This file contains:

1. **A point-by-point response to the editor and the referee, including a list of relevant changes**
2. **A marked up manuscript version, thus, the manuscript including "track changes" from the present revision.**

Response to editor and referee

2016-09-10

First we would like to thank the editor Prof. Fennel and the anonymous referee for their helpful and thoughtful comments. In our response we repeat the comments/questions followed by our response/changes. We refer to page + line numbers in the now revised manuscript, if suited, in which "track changes" are included.

AR-Author reply

Editors comments:

Associate Editor Decision: Reconsider after major revisions (30 Jul 2016) by Prof. Katja Fennel
Comments to the Author:
Dear Authors,

Reviewer 1 provided a further detailed review of your revised manuscript. I encourage you to carefully consider these comments.
In addition I'm providing my own comments below. I encourage you to submit a revised manuscript for further consideration as well as detailed responses to each comment.

Page and line numbers refer to the revised manuscript with changes tracked.

Define what you mean by "coastal filter" (P1, L18; P1, L25 and L26). The definition provided on page 4, lines 6-8 is insufficient. Where do these nutrients ultimately go? Which processes are responsible?
AR: This is now shortly better defined already in the abstract (P1, L19-21; P2, L2-5), with a more thorough definition in the introduction (P3, L17-21). It is clarified that retention in this manuscript also includes removal of nutrients (P3-4, L27-5), since the point of view is how much of the nutrient load from land does not reach the open sea.

P1, L24: "good quality" Do you mean "the simulated values agree well with observations"? Unclear otherwise. Please rephrase.
AR: Yes, this is what we mean and it is now rephrased (P1,L25-27).

P1, L26: "take care of" is not a good word choice. Replace by "remove" or "retain" or similar.
AR: We agree and have changed it (P2, L1).

Also, please explain where all the nutrients ultimately go. The Archipelago cannot infinitely continue to retain nutrients.
AR: This is now more clear in the abstract (P2, L2-5) and better described in the introduction (mentioned above (P3-4, L27-5)). Fig. 4 in the previous manuscript schematically shows the coastal filter and the retention and is therefore now moved to the introduction section and re-numbered to Fig. 1. Consequently the following figures up to fig. 3 changes number as well.

P2, L1-7: Denitrification does not "retain" nutrients. Denitrification removes nutrients from the system! This is different from retention.

AR: We agree with the Prof. Fennel that it is a simplification to include the denitrification process in the retention definition. But, since one of the "simpler" methods to calculate retention is to calculate the difference between the load of nutrients and the outflow (described in section 2.4), which is used in a number different studies, the comparable numbers of the retention includes denitrification. From the point of view that the filter capacity is defined as the difference from what comes in to the area and what comes out from the area, then the denitrification process is included in the retention. We also consider e.g. burial to be a removal of nutrients since it is a sink for the model. This is now better explained in the manuscript where the definition and explanation is described in the introduction and further described also in the method section (section 2.4).

P4, L8: "There are not enough estimates of nutrient retention in different coastal systems around the world…"

I note that you are not providing references of those that exist – something that was also criticized by Reviewer 1. Here are just three examples that I'm familiar with (I'm sure there are many more):

Xue, Z., He, R., Fennel, K., Cai, W.-J., Lohrenz, S., and Hopkinson, C., Modeling ocean circulation and biogeochemical variability in the Gulf of Mexico, Biogeosciences, 10, 7219-7234, doi:10.5194/bg-10-7219-2013 (2013)

Fennel, K., The role of continental shelves in nitrogen and carbon cycling: Northwestern North Atlantic case study. Ocean Science 6, 539-548, doi:10.5194/os-6-539-2010 (2010)

Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., Levin, J., Moisan, J., O'Reilly, J., Haidvogel, D., Nitrogen cycling in the Mid Atlantic Bight and implications for the North Atlantic nitrogen budget: Results from a three-dimensional model. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 20, GB3007, doi:10.1029/2005GB002456. (2006)

Two other studies of some potential relevance as well as the references given within are:

Laurent, A., Fennel, K., Hu, J., and Hetland, R.: Simulating the effects of phosphorus limitation in the Mississippi and Atchafalaya River plumes, Biogeosciences, 9, 4707-4723, doi:10.5194/bg-9-4707-2012 (2012)

Laurent, A., Fennel, K., Simulated reduction of hypoxia in the northern Gulf of Mexico due to phosphorus limitation, Elementa 2:000022, doi:10.12952/journal.elementa.000022 (2014)

Please make an effort to properly place your findings in the context of the published literature.

AR: First we would like to thank Prof. Fennel for the literature suggestions. We have read them all and considered their interesting results. We have included results from some of the studies where both the river input and the removal of nutrients (retention) are given, section 3.2 (P18, L25-31) as well as given examples of model studies in the introduction (P4, L24-25). We have also changed the formulation of the statement (P4, L17-18). New references included in the revised manuscript:

Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., Levin, J., Moisan, J., O'Reilly, J., Haidvogel, D., Nitrogen cycling in the Mid Atlantic Bight and implications for the North Atlantic nitrogen budget: Results from a three-dimensional model. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 20, GB3007, doi:10.1029/2005GB002456. (2006)

Seitzinger, S. P. and Giblin, A. E.: Estimating denitrification in North Atlantic continental shelf sediments, Biogeochemistry, 35, 235-260, 1996.

Xue, Z., He, R., Fennel, K., Cai, W.-J., Lohrenz, S., and Hopkinson, C., Modeling ocean circulation and biogeochemical variability in the Gulf of Mexico, Biogeosciences, 10, 7219-7234, doi:10.5194/bg-10-7219-2013 (2013)

P11, L13: Please see my earlier comment about the difference between removal and retention. Please be very clear what you mean. At present these two terms are lumped together which is not appropriate. Always be clear about the processes that are underlying your results. Just quantifying

the difference between incoming and outgoing matter fluxes without explaining where the missing matter ended up is not sufficient.
AR: We do not totally agree. The retention has in many other studies been calculated as the difference between the nutrient load and the outflow e.g. Karlson (2010), Johnston (1991), Billen et al. (2011), and some other studies that we refer to in the manuscript. In a lot of areas the different processes are not known and a simpler method is the only choice. In our study we use both the simpler method, but we also calculate separately the burial and the denitrification processes. In this way we can compare the total "retention" with other studies. Also in Nixon et al. (1996), see e.g. fig. 3, the difference between the input and the outflow is defined as "retention", and a part of that retained nitrogen is denitrified. From the point of view that fractions of the nutrients supply from land are prevented from being further transported to the open sea, then it is "retained" in the area and for nitrogen that includes both burial and denitrification in our model study. As mentioned above, this is now more described in the introduction (P3-4, L25-13) as well as in the section 2.4 (P11, 11-27).

P11, L16: Do not relegate important definitions to the supplement. Define retention in the main text.
AR: Yes, we agree. Because the used retention definitions are already described in the main text the supplementary 2 is not necessary to include. We remove it from the submission.

P12: I question your calculation of "freshwater" residence time. As per the Monsen reference you provide, residence time is defined as the ratio of an inventory and it's inflow or outflow rate. You talk about freshwater residence time and divide the volume by the freshwater inflow rate, but the volume is not the freshwater volume but the total volume. Perhaps you do not mean freshwater residence time? Please clarify.
AR: We agree. We do mean the fresh water residence time and have now calculated is as the fresh water volume (given by a freshwater tracer in the model) dived by the freshwater inflow (Qf). The description is revised in section 2.4 (P12, L14-23). This change also led to an updated Fig. 13 since the lower residence time affected the positions of our data points in the graph as well as the text in section 3.2.2 (P19-20, L25-1).

P12, L9-22: This text and Table 2 are not very informative. It is not clear what the baseline load is. Without this it is not particularly useful to state a percentage reduction. Consider giving less detail about treatment plants and instead describe better what nutrient inputs were used in the different experiments.
AR: We agree. The baseline load (forcing from 2010, the annual loads of N and P) are now given in the revised text in section 2.4.2 (P13). The level of details has been reduced. Also table 2 has been reduced since some of the numbers are now given in the text.

P13, L4-6: "A clear relationship between river outflow and nutrient load is observed …"
This is not a result that can be observed, but something that is imposed!!! Does not belong in the results but in the Methods.
AR: This sentence is now re-formulated (P14, L4-6). We hope the re-formulation makes this clearer.

Fig. 9: Please separate input from land and atmosphere instead of providing one combined number.
AR: Done.

The concept of temporary retention does not make much sense to me. Do you consider your system to be in a dynamic steady state? How do you distinguish between processes leading to temporary versus permanent retention? Do the N and P inventories in the water column differ between the beginning and end of the simulation period? Please clarify this in section 3.2.
AR: Permanent retention for P means burial. For N benthic denitrification represented as much as almost 92 % of the permanent retention, burial for less than 8 % and pelagic denitrification was below 1 %. This is written on P17, L7-10.
The temporary retention on the other hand is considered to be changes in the pelagic and benthic pools of nutrients. The nutrient pools include both the inorganic and organic nutrients. Factors that affect the benthic N and P pool are supply of organic material from the water column settling on the sediment, the decomposition of organic material and the release of inorganic nutrients as well as by burial

(which in the model is a permanent sink of nutrients). The pelagic N and P pools are affected by the supply from land, the sinking of organic material, the release of nutrients from the sediment to the water column and to the net export of nutrients to areas down-stream. These processes are handled by the model and what we present in the paper is the total temporary retention. This is now written in section 2.4 (P11, L20-27).
The benthic and the pelagic pools can thus change during the simulation period, which is described in section 3.2 (P16,L12-14; P18, L14-18) and can be observed in fig. 12.

Katja Fennel
Editor

Comments from reviewer 1:

P6L5: "transparency"
AR: Done (P6, L18).

P12L9: section title suggestion: Nutrient load reduction scenario
AR: Done.

P12L21 (and everywhere else in the manuscript, there are many occurrences): change "with" to "by"
AR: Done.

P12L22: replace "to" by "in"
AR: This paragraph is re-written and the comment is therefore not valid any more.

P16L1-3: change to something like "Permanent retention was relatively stable during the simulated period, while fluctuations in the temporal retention reflects the effect of varying riverine nutrient input (Fig. 10c,d).".
AR: Done (P17, L4-5).

Also, temporal retention of P has increased, Can you comment on that?
AR: Yes, this is now mentioned in the section 3.2 (P18, 17-20).

P17L11-16: This new part could be polish for clarity.
AR: This section is now revised.

P17L14: change "has" to "have"
AR: Done.

P18L23: change "parameters" to "processes"
AR: The whole paragraph is revised, section 3.2.2 (P19).

P18L26: change "relation" to "relationship"
AR: Done (P19, L27).

P18L27-28: replace to "… the filter efficiency. Nixon et al (1996) show that including the depth in the analysis of retention"
AR: Done, P1928-30.

P19L1-31: This added text could be improved.
P19L1-4: suggested replacement for the first 2 sentences: "In the model, denitrification is an O2 dependent process that has a maximum rate at O2 concentration…".
AR: Done, but parts of this section is also moved to the new method section 2.4.1 (P12-13).

P19L5-9: Are you talking about the model here or in general? This is not described in the Methods so we don't know if this relates to your results or not.
AR: It is mentioned in the method section 2.2.2 that the release of phosphate is redox dependent (P8, L18-20) and it is now also explained in the section 2.4.1 (P12-13).

P19L9-12: What do you mean by manually? This is vague, you need to provides details on what you did. It could be mentioned in the Methods.
AR: This is now rewritten and described in the new section 2.4.1 in the revised manuscript (P12-13).

P19L17: Is this due only to denitrification? what about higher primary production due to more P available? Did you compare the different effects and found that denitrification is the dominant process You mention this later in the load reduction experiment.?
AR: The permanent retention of N increased and the proportion of the N that was denitrified also increased. The permanent retention, burial, of P decreased. Thus there was a change in the N:P ratio. However, the temporary retention of N did not change significantly, but there was a decreased export of N to the open sea, while the P export increased compared to the original run. This paragraph is re-formulated (P20, L14-30)

P19L17-25: Suggested changes for clarity: "Denitrification increased in magnitude and as a proportion of the permanent retention from 92% to 94%, while the proportion of burial decreased . The decreased in P retention was due to higher released of P from the sediment. Denitrification has been shown to increase in areas with longer residence times (Nixon et al., 1996, Nielsen et al., 2001, Finlay et al., 2013). In the simulation the residence time was only six days on average and the filter efficiencies of N and P were estimated to be 10% and 9%, respectively, which were lower than in the Stockholm Archipelago…"
AR: Thank you for the suggested changes, however, large parts of this paragraph is re-formulated (P20, L14-30)

Also, I don't see why the results L23-25 are included here. Can you be more explicit about the comparison with your system?
AR: Denitrification is one of the removal processes, permanent retention, which is discussed here. The magnitude of the denitrification has been shown to be increase with areas having longer residence time compared to shorter. The result in the study in the Randers fjord is here compared to the Stockholm archipelago where we have both longer residence time and more retention due to denitrification. This is reformulated in the revised manuscript (P20, L14-19).

P19L29-31: This is true everywhere. Please clarify your statement.
AR: This sentence is now rewritten (P20, L20-22).

P19-20L33-4: Algae uptake changes the pool of nutrients, please rephrase to something like this: Assimilation of nutrients by benthic algae does not directly change the inventory of N and P but transfers inorganic N and P into organic material..."
AR: This sentence are now rewritten (P20-21, L32-1).

What is the expected effect on retention? You need to relate this to your results, this is part of the uncertainty of your results.
AR: A discussion about this is now added (P21, L3-12).

P20L13: suggested change to title: "Response to nutrient load reduction.
AR: Done (P21, L21).

P21L11: suggested change: "is" instead of "becomes"
AR: Done (P22, L19).

P21L14: Change "From these experiments it seems that" to "These results indicate that".
AR: Done (P22, L22).

P21L17-18: suggested change: "… concentrations might be expected locally. However, this effect largely depends on the water residence time and on which nutrient limits the seasonal phytoplankton production initially…"
AR: Done (P22, L24-26).

P21L20-29: I don't see the use of this paragraph.
AR: We agree, and large part of the paragraph is now removed.

P21L22-25: not sure what that means, please clarify.
AR: These sentences are now rewritten (P22, L28-30).

P23L6: replace "to" with "in".
AR: Done (P24, L6).

P23L8-10: is that permanent or temporary? Can you indicate their ratio?
AR: This retention is the total, thus a sum of permanent and temporary retention (defined in section 2.4), which now is clarified in the conclusions (P24, L9). The temporary retention in this case is negative, thus we have a decrease in the inventory of N and P. The permanent retention is larger about 98 %. In the conclusions we would prefer to give the total number of retention, however, the different values are given in fig. 9 from which the different ratios can be calculated.

P25L1: journal names should be abbreviated
AR: Done (P26-32).

P25L16-18: add database title: "Baltic Environmental Database" and use the short url provided: http://www.balticnest.org/bed
AR: Done (P26, L16).

P44: is there a reason to not start the x-axis at 0? It seems odd.
AR: No, there is no reason and we also took the opportunity to remake the figure. In the new figure (fig. 14) we think it is easier to compare the filter efficiencies of N and P.

Additional changes by the authors:

"- a model study" has been removed from the title, since the word "Modelling" should be enough.

The keyword "nutrient retention " is already in the title and is therefore changed to "denitrification" .

Modelling nutrient retention in the coastal zone of an eutrophic sea

Borttaget: - a model study

Elin Almroth-Rosell[*1], *Moa Edman*[1], *Kari Eilola*[1], *H.E. Markus Meier*[2,1], *Jörgen Sahlberg*[1]

[1]Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Norrköping, Sweden

[2]Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany

[*]**Corresponding author:**
Elin Almroth-Rosell
Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute
Sven Källfelts gata 15, SE-426 71 Västra Frölunda, Sweden.
Tel: +46(0)31 7518969. E-mail: elin.almroth.rosell@smhi.se

Keywords: Denitrification, phosphate, nitrogen, eutrophication, biogeochemistry, coastal zone, Baltic Sea

Borttaget: Nutrient retention

Abstract

The Swedish Coastal zone Model (SCM) was used at a test site, the Stockholm Archipelago located in the northern part of the central Baltic Sea, to study the retention capacity of the coastal filter on nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) loads from land and atmosphere. The efficiency of the coastal filter to permanently retain nutrients determines how much of the local nutrient loads actually reach the open sea. The SCM system is a NPDZ-type model coupled to a horizontally integrated, physical model in particular suitable for estuaries. In this study the Stockholm Archipelago consisting of 86 sub-basins was divided into three sub-areas: the inner, the intermediate and the outer archipelago. An evaluation of model results showed that the modelled freshwater supply agrees well with observations. The nutrient, salinity and temperature dynamics simulated by the SCM model are also found to be in good or acceptable agreement with observations. The analysis showed that the Stockholm Archipelago works as a filter for nutrients that enter the coastal zone from land, but the filter

Borttaget: with observations
Borttaget: .and tT
Borttaget: in
Borttaget: are of good quality
Borttaget: with observations
Borttaget: Further, tT

efficiency is not effective enough to retain all the supplied nutrients. However, at least 65 % and 72 % of the P and N, respectively, are retained during the studied period (1990-2012). A major part of the retention is permanent, which for P means burial. For N almost 92 % of the permanent retention is represented by benthic denitrification, less than 8 % by burial, while pelagic denitrification is below 1 %. Highest total amounts of P and N are retained in the outer archipelago where the surface area is largest. The area specific retention of P and N, however, is highest in the smaller inner archipelago and decreases towards the open sea. A reduction scenario of the land loads of N and P showed that the filter efficiencies of N and P increase and the export of N from the archipelago decreases. About 15 years after the reduction the export of P changes into an import of P from the open sea to the archipelago.

Borttaget: take care of

1 Introduction

The worldwide increase of coastal eutrophication and anoxia has spread exponentially since the 1960s. Coastal oxygen depletion is associated with dense population areas and large river loads of nutrients (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008). The use of industrially produced fertilizer started in the late 1940s and has since then been contributing to the anthropogenic fertilization of the global marine system (Galloway et al., 2008). The river load of nutrients originating from agriculture activities has been shown to be controlled by the size of the river flow, e.g. the flow from the Mississippi River has a large impact on the oxygen conditions in the northern Gulf of Mexico, which suffers from severe hypoxia with “dead zones” as a result (Rabalais et al., 2002).

With ambition to diminish eutrophication there has been a lot of efforts around the world to reduce the land load of nutrients to sea, but the expected results of a healthier environment have not been accomplished in all places (Kemp et al., 2009). The responses of eutrophication and the extent of hypoxic area for changes in nutrient loads are different in different types of systems. Also changes in climatic and hydrodynamic conditions might lead to a non-linear recovery (Kemp et al., 2009). Nutrients transported from land to sea first enter the coastal zones and are then further transported towards the open sea. However, not all of the supplied nutrients reach the open sea as they are retained in the coastal zone (Fig. 1), which acts as a filter (McGlathery et al., 2007). The retention capacity depends on different chemical, physical and/or biological processes that involve nutrients e.g. denitrification, permanent burial, algae and plant assimilation (Duarte and Cebrián, 1996; Voss et al., 2005). The filter efficiency of the coastal zone might be of large importance for the water quality in open waters.

Fig. 1.

Retention capacity is, however, not well defined. Johnston (1991) discussed that retention processes are of different magnitudes and irreversibility, e.g. plant uptake and litter decomposition provide short- to long-term retention of nutrients. Billen et al. (2011) and Nixon et al. (1996) defined retention as the net effect of temporary and permanent removal from the water phase through different biogeochemical processes. Burial and denitrification lead to a permanent removal of nutrients from the ecological system (Voss et al., 2005). Plant assimilation of nutrients and sedimentation of organic material might influence the temporary retention, a build-up of active nutrient pools in the water and in the sediment. Some of the

Borttaget: Nutrients are involved in coastal

Borttaget: (

Borttaget:)

Borttaget: and are, thus, retained in coastal areas

Borttaget: The retention The and

organic material is more refractory than others e.g. parts of root systems, which also can influence biogeochemical processes by enhanced sediment oxygen, nutrient and dissolved organic material concentrations (McGlathery et al., 2007). Thus, temporary retention depends on the release rates, translocations, and the longevity of plants, which causes variations in retention capacity depending on the time scale of the study. The net effect of nutrient retention in an area can be studied by the simple method of subtracting the output of nutrients from the input (Johnston, 1991). This simple method of calculating the retention capacity of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) has been used in a number of studies (e.g. Eilola et al., 2014; Hayn et al., 2014; Karlsson et al., 2010; Nixon et al., 1996; Sanders et al., 1997) for different areas of the world. The retention capacity has been discussed to be related to the residence time and depth in different water systems (Balls, 1994; Hayn et al., 2014; Nixon et al., 1996). Hence, the longer a water parcel and its nutrient content stays within a system, the more the containing nutrients are affected by the internal transformation and retention processes.

Filter efficiency is in the present study referred to as the capacity of the studied area to retain the local nutrient loads from land and atmosphere (see Section 2.4). It is distinguished between the permanent removal and temporal retention of which the latter is caused by changes in the N and P inventory (Fig. 1). There are studies of nutrient retention in different coastal zones around the world, but there are not enough estimates to evaluate and understand its effect on the environmental status of coastal seas. Quantification of the filter efficiencies in different coastal ecosystems as estuaries, archipelagos, lagoons and embayments would increase the understanding and the knowledge necessary for managing the coastal zone. Numerical models have been used to a larger extent for studies in lakes and freshwater catchment areas (e.g. Ahlgren et al., 1988; Hejzlar et al., 2009) than for retention and filter efficiency studies in coastal areas where only a few studies seem to exist in the literature (e.g. Fennel et al. 2006; Seitzinger and Giblin, 1996; Xue et al, 2013).

The Baltic Sea (Fig. 2), located in northern Europe, is an example where the enhanced land load of nutrients to the sea (Gustafsson et al., 2012) has led to eutrophication and consequently increased frequency and intensity of cyanobacterial blooms, expanding bottom hypoxia and dead bottom zones (e.g. Bergström et al., 2001; Conley et al., 2009; Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Vahtera et al., 2007). Actually, the largest anthropogenically induced hypoxic area in the world is found in the Baltic Sea (Carstensen et al., 2014), where it varied between 70000 and 80000 km^2 during year 2010-2014 (Hansson and Andersson, 2014). In the

Borttaget: The importance of

Borttaget: affects the permanent

Borttaget: Billen et al. (2011) and Nixon et al. (1996) defined retention as the net effect of temporary and permanent removal from the water phase through different biogeochemical processes (e.g. biological uptake, burial and denitrification). Burial and denitrification lead to a removal of nutrients from the ecological system (Voss et al., 2005).

Borttaget: retentions on the water quality

Borttaget: of

Borttaget: larger

Borttaget: of nutrient retention in different coastal zones around the world

Borttaget: (see discussions below)

Borttaget: 1

Baltic Sea, most of the coastal zones and the open sea still suffer from eutrophication in spite of reduced nutrient loads since the 1990s (HELCOM, 2010).

The aim with this study is to quantify the filter efficiency in the eutrophic Stockholm Archipelago (see section 2.1) of N and P and to discuss the relative importance of different physical and/or biological processes using the Swedish Coastal zone Model (SCM). In addition, changes in the filter efficiency along the land-sea continuum, from the inner archipelago, through the intermediate and outer archipelago to the open Baltic Sea, will be studied in order to evaluate the effect of the size of the archipelago on the filter efficiency.

After a description of the model system (Section 2) and an evaluation of the results of SCM (Section 3.1), the filter efficiency of the coastal zone is calculated and the effects of a reduced land load of N and P are analyzed (Section 3.2). Conclusions finalize the study (Section 4).

2 Methods

2.1 Study site

The brackish archipelago of Stockholm (Fig. 2), located at the east coast of Sweden, is the largest archipelago in Sweden and the second largest in the Baltic Sea. The archipelago is a continuation of the river Norrström with an average discharge of about 160 m^3 s^{-1} from Lake Mälaren (Lindh, 2013). The river outflow carries about 2600 metric tons (t) of N and 120 t of P annually to the coastal basin “Strömmen” in the inner archipelago (Lännergren, 2010). The rocky islands in the archipelago are surrounded by basins of different sizes and depths which are connected by straits. In this study the archipelago has been divided into three areas: the inner, intermediate and outer archipelagos. Several large islands form a natural border between the inner and the intermediate archipelagos and the limited water exchange occurs through five narrow sounds with shallow sills. The outflow from the inner to the intermediate archipelago passes through the sounds in the surface layer, while inflows of more saline water mainly occur at larger depths. The border between the intermediate and the outer archipelagos follows the chain of islands in north-south direction with several connections between the areas (Fig. 2).

Borttaget: 1

Borttaget: 1

Fig. 2

Borttaget: *1*

The largest point sources of nutrients to the inner archipelago originate from waste water treatment facilities of Stockholm, which is situated at the outlet of the Lake Mälaren. Signs of eutrophication in the Stockholm Archipelago have been observed as increased ratio of laminated sediments from the 1930s (Jonsson et al., 2003) and the eutrophication status in the inner Stockholm Archipelago was in the early 1970s classified as highly eutrophic (Lännergren et al., 2009). In the 1970s the sewage treatment facilities in Stockholm started to chemically precipitate P, which reduced their P load from about 600 t yr^{-1} to about 100 t yr^{-1} (Fig. 3 in Lücke, 2014). The reduction led to some improvements of the marine environment (Brattberg, 1986), but in the 1990s the areas were still eutrophic with poor bottom water oxygen conditions (Jonsson et al., 2003; Rosenberg and Diaz, 1993). In the mid-1990s there was a further reduction of the P to about 25 t yr^{-1} and the sewage treatment facilities started to reduce the N as well, from about 3000 t yr^{-1} to 1250 t yr^{-1} (Fig. 3 in Lücke, 2014), which led to further improvement of the eutrophication status. In 2008 the bottom oxygen conditions had clearly improved in the deeper parts and only enclosed bays, such as e.g. Stora Värtan, suffered still from anoxia (Karlsson et al., 2010 and references therein). However, the annual monitoring status report of the environmental status of the inner Stockholm Archipelago in 2014 still classified the area as unsatisfactory eutrophic (Lücke, 2015) according to the national directives by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency and the Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management (Naturvårdsverket, 2007; HaV, 2013) based on the EU Water Framework Directive. The area still suffered from reduced water transparency, high concentrations of phytoplankton chlorophyll and areas without any bottom fauna due to low oxygen concentrations.

Borttaget: a

2.2 Model description

The Swedish Coastal zone Model (SCM) is a multi-basin 1D-model based on the equation solver PROgram for Boundary layers in the Environment (PROBE;Svensson, 1998), coupled to the Swedish Coastal and Ocean Biogeochemical model (SCOBI; Eilola et al., 2009; Marmefelt et al., 1999). The model system was developed to calculate physical and biogeochemical states in Swedish coastal waters. The inner, intermediate and outer Stockholm archipelagoes (Fig. 2) are represented by 16, 44 and 26 sub-basins, respectively (see figure in Supplement 1).

Borttaget: 1

2.2.1 PROBE

The physical model PROBE calculates horizontal velocities, temperature and salinity profiles (Svensson, 1998; Omstedt, 2015). The surface mixing is calculated by a k-ε turbulence model and the bottom mixing is a parameterization based on the stability in the bottom water. Light transmission, as well as ice formation growth and decay, are also included in the model. The vertical grid resolution is half a meter in the uppermost layers, one metre from 4-70 m, and two metres between 70-100 m. The general differential equation of the PROBE solver is formally written as

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}u_i\phi=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\Gamma_\phi\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\right)+S_\phi \qquad (1)$$

Here ϕ is the dependent variable, t time, z vertical coordinate, x_i horizontal coordinates, u_i horizontal velocities, Γ_ϕ vertical exchange coefficient, and S_ϕ source and sink terms. Vertical advection (and moving surface) is included accounting for vertical transport in sub-basins due to in and outflows. The sources and sinks determined by the ecosystem model are added to S_ϕ.

The water exchange between the sub-basins is controlled by the baroclinic pressure gradients. The net flow through the sounds will be the same as the river discharge from land in order to preserve volume. Inflowing water to a sub-basin is interleaved into its density level without any entrainment, and heavy surface water in one sub-basin may thus reach the bottom level in an adjacent basin. The sea level variations outside the boundary are of minor importance for the SCM results and are therefore not included in the forcing. The water exchange across the boundary between the coastal zone and the open sea is assumed to be in geostrophic balance, since this boundary is open with a width greater than the internal Rossby radius. A time step of 600 seconds was used in the present simulations.

2.2.2 Biogeochemical model (SCOBI)

The SCOBI model describes the biogeochemistry of marine waters in the Baltic Sea and Kattegat (Eilola et al., 2009). Nine pelagic and two benthic variables (Fig. 3) are described in the SCM-SCOBI model. In the pelagic zone three different phytoplankton groups (diatoms, flagellates and others, and cyanobacteria), one zooplankton group, one pool for detritus and three inorganic nutrients pools (nitrate, ammonium and phosphate) are represented. The model also calculates oxygen and hydrogen sulfide concentrations, of which the latter are represented by “negative oxygen” equivalents (1 ml H_2S $l^{-1} = -2$ ml O_2 l^{-1}) and includes the conversion of sulfate into hydrogen sulfide (Fonselius, 1969). Thus, the negative oxygen corresponds to the amount of oxygen needed to oxidise the hydrogen sulfide. The sediment in the present model is parameterized by one vertically integrated bulk sediment layer (level 3 in

Borttaget: 2

Soetaert et al, 2000). Organic material that sinks to the sediment is divided into one benthic nitrogen pool (NBT) and one benthic phosphorus pool (PBT). SCOBI has been used and validated in several studies, both coupled to the basin scale Baltic Sea model PROBE-Baltic (e.g. Marmefelt et al., 1999) and to the three dimensional Rossby Center Ocean model (RCO; e.g. Meier et al., 2011).

In the model the processes of phytoplankton assimilation, mortality and nitrogen fixation, zooplankton grazing, excretion of detritus and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) and phosphorus (DIP), the oxygen and temperature dependent mineralization of detritus, benthic N and benthic P, nitrification and denitrification are described. Phytoplankton assimilates carbon (C), N and P according to the Redfield molar ratio (C:N:P=106:16:1) and the biomass is represented by chlorophyll (Chl) according to a constant carbon to chlorophyll mass (mg) ratio (C:Chl=50:1). Light attenuation depends on background attenuation due to water and humic substances and a variable attenuation caused by particulate organic matter (phytoplankton, zooplankton and detritus). All particulate variables sink downward through the water column. Predation is used as a closing term to parameterize interactions with higher tropic levels in the ecosystem and move matter from zooplankton to the detrital and inorganic pools. Resuspension of sediment that is important in the open Baltic Sea (Almroth-Rosell et al., 2011) has not yet been implemented in this SCOBI version, but the sediment releases dissolved inorganic nutrients back to the water mass, with the release of phosphate being redox dependent. Some fractions of benthic N and P are assumed to be buried in the sediment as a permanent sink, and are hence removed from the system. For further details of the SCOBI model the reader is referred to Eilola et al. (2009; 2011).

Fig. 3.

Borttaget: *2*

2.2.3 Forcing

The SCM-SCOBI model system is forced by weather, the conditions in the sea outside the archipelago, point sources, discharge of freshwater and nutrients from land and atmospheric deposition of nutrients. The initial values for both the pelagic zone and the sediment are derived from spin-up simulations.

There are two types of land derived forcing; discharge of water and nutrients from both rivers and surface run-off from the drainage area given by the S-HYPE model (Lindström et al., 2010) and point sources representing sewage plants and industries. The run-off is added to the surface water of each basin and no reduction of river nutrients due to precipitation at rivermouths is assumed in this model setup. The point sources of nutrient loads are assigned to the depth levels mostly resembling the actual depth of the discharge. The inorganic riverine nutrient loads are added as DIN and DIP to the SCM. The organic nutrients in the land loads are calculated from the difference between total nitrogen (TN) and DIN, and total phosphorus (TP) and DIP, respectively. The bioavailability and the composition (dissolved or particulate) of the organic nitrogen and phosphorus loading from land are generally not known. In the present model configuration the fraction of organic nutrient loads that follows the Redfield ratio are assumed to be bioavailable and will be added to the detritus pool in the model, while the remaining fractions of nutrient loads are treated as conservative tracers in the model..

The weather forcing consists of solar insolation, air temperature, wind, relative humidity and cloudiness. The insolation and all the radiation and heat fluxes across the water-air interface are calculated by the PROBE model. The weather variables are taken from a gridded database developed at the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI), using 3-hourly meteorological synoptic monitoring station data, and the depositions of nitrogen species (NHX and NOX) are calculated by the MATCH model (Robertson et al., 1999). For the deposition of phosphate, a literature value of 0.5 kg m^{-2} month^{-1} is used (Areskoug, 1993).

The boundary conditions to the open Baltic Sea is set by vertical mean profiles calculated by a one dimensional PROBE setup for each Baltic open water area and assimilation of monitoring data. The monitoring data used in the assimilation are extracted from the stations MS4, US5B, SR5, BY31 and BY29 (Fig. 2) depending on depth and time, to get the best representation of the open sea's influence on the SCM model domain.

Borttaget: 1

2.3 Evaluation strategy

To quantify the fit between modelled values and observations a correlation coefficient, *r*, was calculated (Eq. 2).

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(P_i - \overline{P}\right)\left(O_i - \overline{O}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(P_i - \overline{P}\right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(O_i - \overline{O}\right)^2}} \qquad 2$$

where *P* is model value, *O* is observation of the analyzed parameter, *i* is the data number and *n* is the total number of data points. Two series of observations and model values that are identical will lead to an *r* value equal to one, while uncorrelated data result in a *r* value close to zero. In addition to the *r* value, the average cost function (C) values (Eq. 3) for the different parameters were used in the evaluation of the SCM results.

$$C = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{P_i - O_i}{sd(O_i)}\right|}{n} \qquad 3$$

A cost function describes the proximity of model results and observations by normalizing the difference between them with the standard deviation (sd) of the observations. If average model results fall within the standard deviation of observations, C is below one which is regarded as good. Results that are within two standard deviations will be regarded as to be on an acceptable level. The corresponding simulation levels, good and acceptable, for the correlation coefficient are achieved when r is higher than two thirds (0.66) and one third (0.33), respectively. This approach using *r* and C has been used in earlier studies (Edman and Omstedt, 2013; Edman and Anderson, 2014) and is based on methods by Oschlies (2010).

The outflow from Lake Mälaren is three orders of magnitude larger than the sum of all other S-HYPE fresh water components to the inner Stockholm Archipelago. The output from S-HYPE of fresh water and nutrient loads from Mälaren to the Stockholm Archipelago was therefore used in the evaluation of the fresh water forcing to SCM. Observations of freshwater discharge were retrieved from the Baltic Environmental Database (BED, 2015) at the Baltic Nest Institute, Stockholm University. The correlation between monthly mean of observed and simulated discharge for the period (1990-2012) was then calculated.

In the evaluation of the results of the SCM in different basins, the long term averages (1990-2012) of the vertical distribution of salinity, DIN, DIP and oxygen during winter (November-February) and summer months (May-August) were compared to corresponding observations for the whole modelled period. Further, the correlation *r* and the mean cost function C of the vertical distribution of observations and model output were calculated. Also the long term averages of the seasonal variations in surface temperature, DIN, DIP and bottom water oxygen concentrations were used in the evaluation by calculating the corresponding *r* and C values.

Observations from the Stockholm Archipelago (Fig. 4) were provided by Stockholm City and Stockholm University. For the quantitative validation described above the quality of observations from each site (Table 1) had to fulfil three requirements to be used in the

Borttaget: 3

validation process; 1) period coverage: 80% of the years sampled; 2) annual coverage: at least 7 of the 12 months sampled; and 3) vertical data coverage: at least 5 depth levels frequently measured over the full depth of the basin. In addition at least 3 months with observations were required for the evaluation of winter and summer conditions. Average values were then calculated for periods and depth levels with dense data distribution. The model output was used in the same way as observations, and the modelled averages were calculated for the same time intervals and depth ranges.

Fig. 4.

Table 1.

2.4 Calculation of retention

The retention of P and N in a region can be calculated as the difference between the load and the outflow (Almroth-Rosell et al., 2015; Hayn et al., 2014; Johnston, 1991; Meier et al., 2012). The input of nutrients is the sum of inflows from outer areas, rivers, land runoff, point sources and atmospheric load, while the outflow of nutrients is the export from the area to outer seas (Fig. 1). N_2-fixation is another process that needs to be taken into account as it is a source of bioavailable N to the system. Retention in the present study can be temporal or permanent. Permanent retention removes the nutrients permanently from the pool of nutrients in the modelled system. Burial is the only retention process that permanently removes P. For N, in addition to burial, also benthic and pelagic denitrification is considered as permanent removal. The temporal retention during a studied period can be negative or positive depending on changes in the pelagic and benthic inventory of nutrients. The nutrient pools include both the inorganic and organic nutrients. Factors that affect the benthic N and P pools are the sedimentation of organic material from the water column, the decomposition of organic material and the release of inorganic nutrients back to the water column, as well as burial of nutrients. The pelagic N and P pools are affected by the supply from land, the export of organic material to the sediment, the release of nutrients from the sediment to the water column and to the net export of nutrients to downstream areas.

The different processes that affect retention have been calculated separately, as they are included in the biogeochemical model SCOBI. Total retention (R_{tot}) is the sum of both permanently and temporally retained P and N. Area specific retention, R_{AS}, is the retention normalized to the area and is calculated from eq. 4:

Borttaget: *3*

Borttaget: 4

Borttaget: . A build-up of the pelagic and benthic active pools of P and N are referred to as temporal retention processes. P

Borttaget: For modelled P bB

Borttaget: ., while f

Borttaget: are

Borttaget: ing

Borttaget: (burial, denitrification, benthic and pelagic nutrient pool changes)

Borttaget: (see supplement 2 for retention definitions)

Borttaget: The a

$$R_{AS} = \frac{R_{tot}}{A} \qquad 4$$

where *A* is the size of the area. R_{AS} can be used to compare the retention in basins of different sizes. The filter efficiency, F_{eff}, is calculated from eq. 5:

$$F_{eff} = \frac{R_{tot}}{Nu_{land}} \times 100 \qquad 5$$

where Nu_{land} is the sum of the nutrient load from land and the deposition from air. The F_{eff} is an estimate of the proportion (%) of the nutrients from land and atmosphere that is retained within the area. Similarly the retention efficiency (R_{eff}) can also be calculated, defined as the proportion of the total nutrient load (sum of all sources, including import from surrounding waters) that is retained within the area. In the present study, however, the focus is on the filter efficiency.

Borttaget: (supplement 2)

Borttaget: effect and filter

The total retention efficiency was calculated for the entire Stockholm Archipelago, and also separately for the inner, intermediate and outer archipelagos in order to investigate the spatial gradient of retention capacity from the inner coastal zone towards the open Baltic Sea.

Borttaget: and the filter

The residence time is defined as the average time water, or a dissolved substance, spends within a particular basin (Bolin and Rodhe, 1973). In the present study the residence time of the freshwater is calculated to relate the filter efficiency to physical characteristics of the archipelago as described by Nixon et al. (1996). A fresh water tracer in the model is used to determine the freshwater volume (V_{qf}) in the different parts of the archipelago. The fresh water residence time is estimated by the flushing time calculated from the freshwater volume divided by the fresh water discharge received from land (Q_f) as in the freshwater fraction method discussed by Sheldon and Alder (2006). The filter efficiency was calculated for; the inner, the sum of the intermediate and inner archipelago, and the entire Stockholm Archipelago.

2.4.1 Oxygen reduction scenario

Borttaget: *Fig. 4.* ¶

In the model, denitrification is an O_2 dependent process that has a maximum rate at O_2 concentration of about 45 µmol l^{-1} (~1 ml l^{-1}) while denitrification halts under anoxic conditions. Also P is affected by oxygen since P has an oxygen dependent adsorption behaviour on particulate iron(III)oxyhydroxides (Mortimer, 1941). The adsorption of P on particles can lead to higher burial rates during oxic conditions compared to anoxic conditions when the release rate of P from the sediment is higher (Viktorsson et al., 2012; Almroth-

Rosell et al., 2015). This O_2 dependent adsorption behaviour is also simulated by the model (Eilola et al., 2009) using a reduced release of P from the sediment when O_2 is present in the bottom water. The effect of the O_2 concentration on the filter efficiency is studied in an experiment where the O_2 concentration was reduced in the SCOBI model with a fixed amount, 134 µmol l^{-1} (3 ml l^{-1}), during the simulation period (1990-2012).

2.4.2 Nutrient load reduction scenario

The SCM is also used to investigate the effect of a reduction of the nutrient load from land to the Stockholm Archipelago. The reductions are applied to the forcing from 2010 with a river load of 4027 t N yr^{-1} and 163 t P yr^{-1}, and a load from point sources of 1805 t N yr^{-1} and 30 t P yr^{-1} to the entire Stockholm archipelago. Reductions of point sources were estimated from realistic minimum discharge concentrations of N and P from sewage treatment facilities based on technical feasibility, but not on economic or resource sustainability (Table 2, Kerstin Rosén Nilsson, County Administrative Board of Stockholm, personal communication). Point sources from different industries are assumed to decrease their discharge of N and P by 10 %. The minimum discharge concentrations, and the 10 % reduction from industries, resulted in reductions by approximately 51 % of N and 34 % of P from point sources. The reductions of N and P from land runoff, e.g. due to decreased nutrient load from agriculture and increased use of small sized sewage treatment plants by individual households, are set to 15 % for N and 10 % for P. The combined reductions in rivers and point sources results in a total decrease of N and P load by 20 % and 12 % relative to 2010. A SCM model spin-up run period of 45 years, with forcing from year 2010 provides the steady state initial conditions used for the reduction experiment. After the spin-up period the reductions of the nutrient loads are implemented.

Table 2.

Borttaget: R

Borttaget: of the nutrient land load

Borttaget: The SCM is also used to investigate the effect of a reduction of the nutrient load from land to the Stockholm Archipelago.

Borttaget: The loads of P and N from sewage treatment facilities depend on their size, i.e. the number of person equivalents (pe) for which they were built.

Borttaget: Estimates of realistic minimum discharge concentrations of P

Borttaget: from sewage treatment facilities used in the SCM reduction scenario, which are based on technical feasibility but not on economic or resource sustainability, are given in Table 2 (Kerstin Rosén Nilsson, County Administrative Board of Stockholm, personal communication). The reductions of P and N from land runoff, e.g. due to decreased nutrient load from agriculture and increased use of small sized sewage treatment plants by individual households where these are not connected to a municipal sewage treatment facility are set to 10 % and 15 % for P and N, respectively. Also point sources from different industries are assumed to decrease their discharge of N and P with 10 % as well. The reductions result in a total decrease of P and N load by 12 and 20 %, respectively, to the entire Stockholm Archipelago. ¶

Borttaget: river and weather

Borttaget: , according to Table 2

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Validation

The variability of the modelled discharge of water and nutrients by the S-HYPE model agrees well with observations (Fig. 5 and Table 3) for the simulated period (1990-2012). A good description of river runoff is needed because the nutrient loads are strongly related to the magnitude of river outflow (Q_F) as seen in Fig. 5. The model seems to slightly underestimate the spring discharge and overestimate low flow regimes relative to observations. However, overall it captures a realistic annual variation of the discharge, which is reflected in high correlation coefficients (Eq. 2) for all evaluated parameters (Table 3). Highest correlation coefficients are found for Q_F and TN, compared to the slightly lower values for TP, DIP and DIN, which is in accordance with previous studies (Grimvall et al., 2014; Strömqvist et al., 2012). An extensive validation is also available in Sahlberg et al. (2008).

Borttaget: A clear relationship between the magnitude of

Borttaget: and the nutrient loads is observed both for monthly observations and S-HYPE output (Fig. 5).

Fig. 5.

Table 3.

Datasets from eight stations (Table 1) fulfilled the requirements of good data availability and were used in the evaluation of the SCM model results. There are aspects that are important to have in mind when comparing model results and observations. In the model the state variables are horizontally averaged in each basin, while observations are measured at one station at a certain location. The Stockholm Archipelago has relatively large spatial salinity gradients and the representativeness of a station when compared to model results can be somewhat limited if e.g. the position of the station is close to an out- or inlet of the basin. Observations may in general also be influenced by local conditions, e.g. sewage effluents, high sediment fluxes or stagnant conditions, which are smeared out in the average results of the model. Still we assume for the present study that the station data are good enough for the quantitative model validation and give a background for discussions about model strengths and weaknesses. As an example, validation results are shown for one of the basins where the number of observations is large enough both during summer and winter periods to be included in the validation process. The example is from station Blockhusudden (Position G in Fig. 3), where the largest data set of observations was found. The station is situated at the boundary between the innermost basin Strömmen and the next adjacent sub-basin.

The objective correlation coefficients (Eq. 2) and the cost function value (Eq. 3) for the different state variables implied correspondingly that the model manage to simulate the average vertical winter and summer profiles with good or acceptable skills in the basin Strömmen (Fig. 6g), except for the average seasonal value of DIN that was described as not good. The differences between model results and observations of DIN may be a result of the location of the monitoring station.

The long term average summer depth profiles of modelled salinity and oxygen in the basin Strömmen correlate well with observations, while the winter values of salinity were too low, especially in the surface layers (Fig. 7a,b). This difference is partly due to the fact that the salinity of a station at the entrance to the basin is more reflecting the boundary conditions of the downstream basin than the mean conditions in Strömmen. The surface winter concentrations of oxygen were too high, but decreased with depth and became too low in the lower layers (Fig. 7b). It might be expected that winter surface oxygen concentrations in observations should be higher than in summer because of the temperature effect on oxygen saturation concentrations as seen from the model results. However, the number of observations during winter are limited and occurred mostly in November and February, which may influence the average values of the observations.

The results indicate that there is an impact from local conditions at the monitoring station that are not captured by the model setup. The modelled DIN depth profiles show higher values at about 15 m depth during both winter and summer (Fig. 7c), while the DIP profiles values seems to be satisfactory at all depth and periods (Fig. 7d). Also the individual observations show higher concentrations of both DIN and DIP around 15 m depth which is where the halocline has its largest vertical gradient. This depth level corresponds to the depth where two sewage water treatment plants relieve their sewage water in the model. The winter stratification was stronger in the model because of the lower surface salinity. This hampers the vertical transports of oxygen and has an influence on the winter oxygen conditions in the deep water that were lower in the model compared to the observations from the more well ventilated entrance area.

The average seasonal variation of the surface temperature and the bottom water oxygen concentrations was captured by the model, but not the increase of surface nutrients, especially DIN, during autumn (Fig. 8). The surface salinity was overall somewhat low, which probably is a result of the location of the monitoring station, as described above.

In the other basins used in the evaluation (vertical and seasonal profiles are not shown) of the SCM state variables during winter, summer and season were simulated with good or acceptable skills, except for the average vertical summer profiles of DIN in the basin Solöfjärden (Fig. 6c) and oxygen concentration in the basin Sandöfjärden (Fig. 6a). The combined model skills, which were calculated as the average of the individual *r* and C values, were good in six of the eight evaluated basins (purple cross in Fig. 6). In the remaining two basins the skills were considered as acceptable.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Borttaget: *6*

Borttaget: *7*

Borttaget: *8*

3.2 Retention of nutrients in the Stockholm Archipelago

The load and the inventories of N and P may change and vary between the beginning and the end of a studied period, thus the determination of total nutrient retention depends on the time scales of consideration as discussed in section 3.2.3. During the period 1990-2012 on average 174 t P yr^{-1} and 5846 t N yr^{-1} entered the inner archipelago, mainly from the Lake Mälaren. That is a major part of the 217 t P yr^{-1} and 8288 t N yr^{-1} which entered the entire Stockholm Archipelago (Fig. 9). The P load from point sources was clearly lower than the river load (Fig. 10). However, the N load from point sources was higher than the river load in the beginning of the studied period (Fig. 10b), but decreased in the middle of the 1990s due to the implementation of a more effective method to remove N in the waste water treatment facilities. The P supply to the intermediate archipelago mainly originated from runoff from land, while for N there were also some point sources that contributed to the land load on the same level. In the outer archipelago the nutrient load from land was almost negligible and most of the nutrients were deposited from the atmosphere.

Fig. 9.

Fig. 10.

Largest amounts of P and N in the model were retained in the outer archipelago compared to the intermediate and inner archipelagos (Fig. 9). The retentions of all supplied P and N, including the net import from upstream areas, within the inner, intermediate and outer Stockholm archipelagos amounts to 18 %, 23 % and 48 % for P, respectively, and 14 %, 26 % and 60 % for N, respectively. The area of the three zones increases from inner (109 km^2), to the intermediate (759 km^2) and to the outer archipelago (2360 km^2) and thus, the retention of nutrients seems to increase with increased area. On the other hand, the average of the area specific retention of P and N was for the simulation period highest in the inner archipelago, and decreased towards the open sea (Fig. 11). The permanent retention was relatively stable during the simulated period, while fluctuations in the temporal retention reflect the effect of varying riverine nutrient input (Fig. 10c, d). The water depth and the residence time affect the retention of nutrients, which will be further discussed in Section 3.2.2. The largest part of the total retention in the entire Stockholm Archipelago was permanent, which for P means burial. For N benthic denitrification represented as much as almost 92 % of the permanent retention, burial for less than 8 % and pelagic denitrification was below 1 %.

Borttaget: during

Borttaget: on a stable level

Borttaget: rivierine

Borttaget: are

Borttaget: ing

Fig. 11.

Karlsson et al. (2010) found in their empirical study for 1982-2007 that about 15 % of the total input of N and 10 to 13% of the total input of P were retained in the inner Stockholm Archipelago. However, their numbers are based on the total input, thus both the land load and an estimated input from outer areas, i.e. the intermediate Stockholm Archipelago. A recalculation from the given numbers in their study resulted in a filter efficiency of about 25 and 24 % for N and about 21 and 30 % for P of the nutrient load from land and atmosphere for the periods 1982-1995 and 1996-2007, respectively. These numbers of the filter efficiency are higher than the numbers in the present model study. To be able to compare the numbers a recalculation of the filter efficiency in the SCM for the latter period (1996-2007) in the inner archipelago was performed, but did not change the SCM results considerably. The largest difference between the two studies is caused by the calculation of net exchange of nutrients through the sounds. The transport through the sounds was in Karlsson et al. (2010) calculated from average volume flows estimated from mass balance calculations for salt together with budget calculations using observations of average nutrient concentrations. In the present study the exchange of nutrients between the inner and the intermediate archipelago was part of the dynamic model calculations in the SCM. The SCM net outflow from the inner archipelago for N and P was about 11 % and 8 %, respectively, larger compared to the net outflow of the nutrients in the Karlsson et al. (2010) study. Another difference between the two studies was the land load of P, which was about 8 % lower in the SCM. The difference in land load of N was only about 1 %. Thus, calculations from an empirical model based on Knudsen´s relations (Knudsen, 1900) and calculations using long term average values resulted in about 10 % higher retention efficiency values compared to the calculations from SCM, a coupled numeric physical-biogeochemical model with high vertical resolution and small time step. In spite of the difference in models the result are surprisingly close.

The average temporary retention in SCM for the entire simulated period is negative in all three parts of the archipelago for both P and N (Fig. 9 and Fig. 10). The reason for negative temporary retention is mainly a decrease in the benthic nutrient pools during the period (Fig. 12). The largest decrease (29 %) is found in the pelagic pool of N in the inner archipelago, which coincides with the decrease in N load from point sources (Fig. 10). In the intermediate and outer Stockholm archipelagos the pelagic pool of N remains on about the same level through the whole simulation period. The large decreases in the benthic pools of N and P (14-18 %) occur in the intermediate and outer archipelagos, while there are only small changes in the pelagic and benthic pools of P in the inner archipelago. Because of the nutrient retention there is a reduced net transport of N and P from the inner archipelago towards the intermediate and outer archipelagos and further to the open sea during the simulated period (Fig. 9). The annual temporary retention of P in the entire Stockholm Archipelago increases with time during the simulated period (Fig. 10). There is a change to positive values at the end of the period, when there again is a build-up of the benthic pools of P (fig. 12). The build-up is most likely a result of better oxygen conditions in the modelled deep water (not shown) during the end of the simulation period, which lead to a lower release of P from the sediment to the water column (Eilola et al., 2009). For the temporary retention of N there is no visible trend in the variation with time. In addition to the nutrient load from land, and the net export of nutrients to outer areas, there is also an extensive circulation of nutrients between the coast and the open sea. The importance of imported nutrients into the coastal zones from sea have been discussed in earlier studies (e.g. Humborg et al., 2003) in which it was concluded that many estuaries has a net import of DIN and DIP from sea, e.g. Chesapeake Bay (Boynton et al. 1995). This is shown also for e.g. the Mid Atlantic Bight where almost three times the riverine input of N is denitrified (Fennel et al., 2006). In different parts of the shelf in the Gulf of Mexico the denitrified proportion of the land input of N is in total 86 %, where locally on the different part of the shelves the denitrification fraction of the supply from land varied between 68 % and 341 % (Xue et al., 2013). Thus, in many cases the import is larger than the export and the coastal zones works as a filter not only for the nutrients from land, but also for the nutrients from the open sea as also discussed in section 3.2.3.

Borttaget: s

Borttaget: these

Fig. 12.

3.2.1 The coastal filter

From the present results it can be concluded that the Stockholm Archipelago works like a filter for nutrients that enter the coastal zone from land and atmosphere. However, a rather large area of the archipelago is needed to effectively retain the nutrients. About 82 and 86 % of P and N supplies, respectively, pass the small inner archipelago and are exported to the intermediate archipelago. In the intermediate and the outer archipelago all local supplies of nutrients from land and atmosphere are retained together with a fraction of the nutrients imported from the inner archipelago. The filter efficiencies increase with increased coastal area from land to the sea continuum (Fig. 13). However, the filter efficiency of the entire Stockholm Archipelago is not effective enough to retain of all the nutrients that enter the system from land and the atmosphere, but still, at least 65 % and 72 % of the supplied P and N, respectively, are retained. The total retention numbers (permanent and temporary) correspond to 141 t P yr^{-1} and 5954 t N yr^{-1} (Fig. 9). Since Stockholm Archipelago is the largest archipelago in Sweden it might be that most of the other Swedish coastal areas with a large run-off from land would be less effective as coastal filters and, thus, contribute to a larger extent to the eutrophication in the open sea. This is one question in focus of an on-going study where the entire Swedish coastal area will be evaluated similarly to the present study.

Borttaget: take care

3.2.2 Processes affecting retention

The present study was performed in an area characterised as an eutrophic archipelago in an inland sea with basins having oxic, hypoxic and anoxic bottom waters. Nixon et al. (1996) showed that the retention of P and N correlated to the log scale of the ratio between the average depth and the residence time of the study areas, which is confirmed by the results from the studies by Billen et al. (2011), Hayn et al. (2014) and Nielsen et al. (2001) as well as by the present study (Fig. 13). The freshwater residence time in the Stockholm Archipelago is 48 days in the inner, 108 days in the middle and inner, and 185 days in the entire area. No clear relationship was found between the filter efficiency and the average depth, which vary between 17 m and 20 m for the three areas. These results are in agreement with Nixon et al. (1996) who showed that including the depth in the analysis of retention vs residence time did not much improve their regression. In the present study the change of the filter efficiency with residence time is about 0.5-0.6 % per day. The results of the present retention estimates are in agreement with results from previous studies (Billen et al., 2011; Hayn et al., 2014; Nielsen et

Flyttad (infogning) [2]

Borttaget: 4-5 % yr^{-1} with increasing fresh water residence time.

al., 2001; Nixon et al., 1996), but with somewhat higher values in the entire archipelago (Fig. 13). Their studies were performed in various types of systems: coastal lagoons, drowned river estuaries, coastal embayments, and inland seas in North America and in Europe. Those systems varied from being relatively pristine to systems with large point sources (eutrophic), and they also varied between oxic to hypoxic and/or anoxic conditions. In shallow areas larger parts of the sinking particulate organic material may reach all the way down to the sea floor where it can be exposed to retention processes such as burial and denitrification. On the other hand, in a much deeper area a larger part of the organic material may become re-mineralised within the water column on its way down to the sea floor. The nutrients can then be re-used by phytoplankton and/or be further transported out from the system. Long residence times in a system increase the time of exposure for biogeochemical transformation processes and sedimentation within the system and larger parts of the nutrients may be retained.

Fig. 13.

Denitrification increase the retention in areas with longer residence times (Nixon et al., 1996, Finlay et al., 2013) as also seen from Fig. 13. In the Randers Fjord the residence time was short (sex days) and the filter efficiencies of N and P were lower, 10 % and 9 %, respectively (Nielsen et al., 2001), compared to the Stockholm Archipelago where the freshwater residence time is longer. The denitrified proportion of the permanently retained N was also lower, about 60 % compared to in the Stockholm Archipelago (92 %). Oxygen is an important factor regulating the magnitude of denitrification. In waters with longer residence time the bottom water might be less ventilated, and, thus, the bottom water oxygen concentrations lower with higher denitrification as a result. As a result of the forced reduction of the oxygen concentrations with 134 µM the hypoxic areas increased by 49 km^2 (300 %) and the anoxic area increased by 13 km^2 (360 %) in the entire Stockholm Archipelago. The reduced oxygen concentration led to increased N retention (780 t yr^{-1} or 14 %) due to increased denitrification and to decreased P retention (49 t yr^{-1} or 28 %) due to higher release of P from the sediment. Denitrification increased the fraction of permanent retention from 92 % to 94 %, while the buried fraction decreased. The inner archipelago had the largest increase of hypoxic and anoxic areas and also the largest changes in retention of N and P. The N retention increased there by 243 t yr^{-1} (29 %) and the P retention decreased by 9 t yr^{-1} (38 %).

Benthic primary producers and benthic fauna are also important for the retention of nutrients in shallow coastal ecosystems (McGlathery et al., 2007; Norkko et al., 2012). Assimilation of nutrients during primary production does not directly change the inventory of N and P, but

Borttaget: lower

Borttaget: and inner plus intermediate

Borttaget: s

Flyttad uppåt [2]: Nixon et al. (1996) showed that the retention of P and N correlated to the log scale of the ratio between the average depth and the residence time of the study areas, which is confirmed by the results from the studies by Billen et al. (2011), Hayn et al. (2014) and Nielsen et al. (2001) as well as by the present study (Fig. 13).

Borttaget: These two parameters therefore affect the retention of nutrients (Fig. 13) and the correlation implies that the retention occurs mostly in the sediment due to processes such as burial and denitrification, which is the case in the present model study. However, in the present study no clear relation was found between the average depth (10-20 m for all three areas) and the filter efficiency. Actually, also Nixon et al. (1996) discussed this and concluded that including the depth in the analyze of the retention vs residence time did not much improve the regression. The filter efficiency in the present study did, however, increase with 4-5 % yr^{-1} as the fresh water residence time increased in the land to sea continuum.

Flyttad uppåt [3]: Thus, a reduced oxygen concentration led to increased N retention due to increased denitrification.

Borttaget: The denitrification process has an oxygen dependency and the largest sensitivity of the denitrification rates to changes in oxygen concentrations in the model occur at concentrations below 180-220 µmol l^{-1} (~4-5 ml/l). The maximum ...

Flyttad (infogning) [3]

Borttaget: has in earlier studies been shown to

Borttaget: This is confirmed also in a study with a two-layer hydrodynamic ...

Borttaget: (Nielsen et al., 2001)

Borttaget: . T

Borttaget: only on average six

Borttaget: estimated to 10

Borttaget: 9

Borttaget: which were lower

Borttaget: in

Borttaget: with a

Borttaget: in the inner archipelago of about 110 days

Borttaget: Waters with longer residence times are less ventilated at the sediment ...

Borttaget: factors

Borttaget: by algae

Borttaget: pools

Borttaget: nutrients

transfer the nutrients into organic material. Plant uptake at the bottoms can e.g. lead to increased burial and also influence on the oxygen dependent biogeochemical processes in the sediment due the plant metabolism (McGlathery et al., 2007).These processes are not yet implemented in the SCM that only include pelagic primary production, and are therefore not included in the present study. Including these processes may have some impact on the model dynamics e.g. on bottoms where seagrasses and burrowing macrofauna might influence the decomposition of organic material and the permanent burial of nutrients and organic matter. The evaluation of forcing and model results indicate, however, that the model system is able to reproduce much of the observed physics and nutrient dynamics in the archipelago which give confidence to the budget estimations of nutrient retention in the area. A quantitative evaluation of the effect and the implementation of benthic flora and fauna to the model are therefore left for future work.

It is also important to know whether a system is in balance with the nutrient loads or not since it would affect the retention capacity. In this study the temporary retention is negative for both N and P in all three areas of the Stockholm Archipelago which implies that the system is not in a steady state. This imbalance is however expected since there are reductions of the nutrient loads in the first part of the simulation period (Fig. 10a, b). However, the possibility that the results may be influenced by unknown initial conditions of sediment concentrations should not be excluded. There are only few observations available and the knowledge about the amount of sediment nutrients involved in biogeochemical cycles is poor.

3.2.3 Response to nutrient load reduction

Borttaget: scenario of the nutrient land load

The fastest response in the nutrient load reduction experiment is seen in the pelagic pool of N which rapidly decreases, but reaches a steady state after about three years with reduced loads (Fig. 14). The pelagic pool of P decreases in the inner archipelago but increases slightly in the outer areas. The changes in P pools are slower compared to those in N pools. The large and fast decrease of pelagic N in the inner archipelago, results in a decreased N:P ratio (Table 4), as well as (not shown) lower chlorophyll concentrations, reduced sedimentation, and increased export of P from the inner archipelago to the outer areas and the Baltic proper. Also the anoxic areas decrease by about 30% as a result of the lower deposition of organic material on the sea floor (not shown). The changes in the benthic pools of N and P occur over a longer time period, and the benthic P pool does not reach a steady state until about 40 years after the reduction.

Borttaget: with

In the reduction scenario the transport of N to the open sea from the Stockholm Archipelago decreases by 62% within four years (Table 4). The filter efficiency of N in the entire archipelago increases at the same time from 79 % to 90 % as a result of the load reduction. The longer response time of P compared to N is observed also in the filter efficiency (Fig. 14).

The filter efficiency of P at the end of the spin-up run is about 100 %. This implies that under the 2010 conditions, all the P land load is retained in the Stockholm Archipelago when the system is in steady state. This is not the case when the original model forcing is used, which implies that the Stockholm archipelago is still adjusting to the load reductions already implemented. Thus, the coastal region might under present conditions continue to improve without further actions.

The filter efficiency of P decreases to 74 % during the first years after the reduction, coinciding with the large decrease in the N pelagic pool and the decrease in N/P ratio. After the initial decrease, the filter efficiency slowly increases to 106 % at the end of the simulation period, i.e. retention is larger than the land and atmospheric load of P. As a consequence the export of P from the archipelago to the Baltic proper decreases with time, and about 18 years after the load reduction the direction of the transport changes. This coincides with the time when the filter efficiency again reached 100 %. Thereafter the archipelago begins to import P from the open sea. Thus, with the contemporary boundary conditions used at the open sea, P from the Baltic proper is retained within the archipelago. For coastal management this indicates the importance of the open sea nutrient conditions when effects of load reductions are evaluated.

These results indicate that local nutrient load abatements can improve the environmental state of a semi-enclosed coastal site (the inner archipelago) that is locally impacted by humans. The results also imply that for the first 5-15 years, increased nutrient concentrations might be expected locally. However, this effect largely depends on the water residence time and on which nutrient limits the seasonal phytoplankton production initially. However, for the more open coastal zone, represented in the present study by the intermediate and outer archipelago, the response to further nutrient load reductions was minor. This exemplifies that for open coastal areas the interactions between the open sea and the coastal zone is probably more important than the land-sea connection.

The present study can conclude that even the eutrophicated Stockholm Archipelago can, after further nutrient load abatements, act as a sink for open water phosphorous. Similar behaviour

Borttaget: becomes

Borttaget: From t

Borttaget: experiments

Borttaget: it seems

Borttaget: be expected, but the effect of this will largely depend on which nutrient that limit the seasonal phytoplankton production initially, and also the water residence time.¶

Borttaget: many

Borttaget: nutrient cycling in the

Borttaget: For the Baltic Sea this could mean that continued cooperation between the Baltic Sea countries will be an important factor for the environmental development of many coastal sites. Some of the coastal areas that are more open and influenced by the open water properties may have a less problematic environmental state to begin with, mainly due to better ventilation of deeper waters, and also less anthropogenic interference. Some other locations may be affected e.g. by large blooms of harmful algae that thrive in nutrient rich waters.¶

was found in the Chesapeake Bay (Boynton et al. 1995), which acts as a sink for the total load of P, thus, P from land, atmosphere and from the open sea.

Table 4.

Fig. 14.

4 Conclusion

Archipelagos are complex areas with many basins and several shallow sounds, which affect the transport of water and the dissolved and particulate nutrients. For the first time the SCM model was used to study the capacity of the coastal filter of nutrients. An evaluation showed that overall, model results agree with observations.

We focused our study in the northern Baltic proper and investigated retention of N and P in the Stockholm Archipelago. The main findings are described below.

Borttaget: to

- The coastal zone works as an efficient filter for the land loads of nutrients. Under prevailing conditions the total retention are 65 % and 72 % of P and N, respectively, supplied from land.

Borttaget: , respectively, are retained

- A sensitivity experiment reducing the land load of nutrients showed that the retention capacity of N and P increased. In this case the export of N from the archipelago decreased and P was imported from the open sea.
- The average filter efficiency is dependent on the spatial dimensions of the coastal area. Thus, nutrient retention per area is largest in the inner archipelago and decreases towards the open sea.
- Average water depth and water residence time regulate the retention of nutrients that occurs mostly in the sediment due to processes such as burial and denitrification.
- The pools of nutrients in the water and in the sediment changes with nutrient loads on different time scales and affects the temporal nutrient retention in the area. N has a rather short response time of about three years while it takes about 40 years for P to reach balance in a system with constant forcing. Changing N:P ratios in the archipelago due to the different response time scales also have an impact on the nutrient retention capacity on decadal time scales.
- Coastal management needs to take the aspects of time and balance between nutrient loads and pools into account in the assessment of impacts from nutrient load abatements. On shorter timescales the retention capacity of P might be less effective when the nutrient load from land decreases.

5 Acknowledgement

The research presented in this study is part of the Baltic Earth programme (Earth System Science for the Baltic Sea region, see http://www.baltex-research.eu/balticearth) and is part of the BONUS COCOA (Nutrient COcktails in COAstal zones of the Baltic Sea) project which has received funding from BONUS, the joint Baltic Sea research and development programme (Art 185), funded jointly from the European Union´s Seventh Programme for research, technological development and demonstration and from the Swedish Research Council for Environment, Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning (FORMAS), grant no. 2013-2056. Additional funding came from the EU Water Framework Directive programme at the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute. We would like to thank Kerstin Rosén Nilsson at the County Administrative Board of Stockholm for interesting discussions and good advice. We are also grateful to the reviewers and the editor for their good comments and suggestions to improve earlier versions of the manuscript.

Borttaget: also

6 References

Ahlgren, I., Frisk, T., and Kamp-Nielsen, L.: Empirical and theoretical models of phosphorus loading, retention and concentration vs. lake trophic state. In: Phosphorus in Freshwater Ecosystems, Springer, 1988.

Almroth-Rosell, E., Eilola, K., Hordoir, R., Meier, H. E. M., and Hall, P. O. J.: Transport of fresh and resuspended particulate organic material in the Baltic Sea — a model study, J. Mar. Sys., 87, 1-12, 2011.

Almroth-Rosell, E., Eilola, K., Kuznetsov, I., Hall, P. O. J., and Meier, H. E. M.: A new approach to model oxygen dependent benthic phosphate fluxes in the Baltic Sea, J. Mar. Sys., 144, 127-141, 2015.

Areskoug, H.: Nedfall av kväve och fosfor till Sverige, Östersjön och Västerhavet., Rapport 4148, 1993.

Balls, P. W.: Nutrient Inputs to Estuaries from Nine Scottish East Coast Rivers; Influence of Estuarine Processes on Inputs to the North Sea, Estuar. Coast Shelf S, 39, 329-352, 1994.

Baltic Environmental Database: http://www.balticnest.org/bed, 2015.

Bergström, S., Alexandersson, H., Carlsson, B., Josefsson, W., Karlsson, K.-G., and Westring, G.: Climate and Hydrology of the Baltic Sea. In: A System Analysis of the Baltic Sea, Wulff, F., Rahm, L., and Larsson, P. (Eds.), Ecolocigal Studies, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2001.

Billen, G., Silvestre, M., Grizzetti, B., Leip, A., Garnier, J., Voss, M., Howarth, R., Bouraoui, F., Lepistö, A., and Kortelainen, P.: Nitrogen flows from European regional watersheds to coastal marine waters, European nitrogen assessment, 271-297, 2011.

Bolin, B. and Rodhe, H.: A note on the concepts of age distribution and transit time in natural reservoirs, Tellus, 25, 58-62, 1973.

Boynton, W., Garber, J., Summers, R., and Kemp, W.: Inputs, transformations, and transport of nitrogen and phosphorus in Chesapeake Bay and selected tributaries, Estuaries, 18, 285-314, 1995.

Brattberg, G.: Decreased phosphorus loading changes phytoplankton composition and biomass in the Stockholm archipelago, Vatten, 42, 1-153, 1986.

Borttaget: ournal

Borttaget: of Marine Systems

Borttaget: Journal of Marine Systems

Borttaget: ine,

Borttaget: al and

Borttaget:

Borttaget: cience

Borttaget: alticnest/thenestsystem/bed balticenvironmentaldatabase.4.74cf9d0413b817d9359e0.html

Carstensen, J., Conley, D., Bonsdorff, E., Gustafsson, B., Hietanen, S., Janas, U., Jilbert, T., Maximov, A., Norkko, A., Norkko, J., Reed, D., Slomp, C., Timmermann, K., and Voss, M.: Hypoxia in the Baltic Sea: Biogeochemical Cycles, Benthic Fauna, and Management, AMBIO, 43, 26-36, 2014.

Conley, D., Bjorck, S., Bonsdorff, E., Carstensen, J., Destouni, G., and Gustafsson, B.: Hypoxia-Related Processes in the Baltic Sea, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 3412-3420, 2009.

Borttaget: mental s
Borttaget: ence
Borttaget: &
Borttaget: t
Borttaget: ogy

Diaz, R. J. and Rosenberg, R.: Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems, Science, 321, 926-929, 2008.

Borttaget: s

Duarte, C. M. and Cebrián, J.: The fate of marine autotrophic production, Limnol. Oceanogr., 41, 1758-1766, 1996.

Borttaget: ogy and
Borttaget: aphy

Edman, M. and Omstedt, A.: Modeling the dissolved CO2 system in the redox environment of the Baltic Sea, Limnol. Oceanogr., 58, 74-92, 2013.

Borttaget: Limnology and Oceanography

Edman, M. K. and Anderson, L. G.: Effect on pCO 2 by phytoplankton uptake of dissolved organic nutrients in the Central and Northern Baltic Sea, a model study, J. Mar. Sys., 139, 166-182, 2014.

Borttaget: Journal of Marine Systems

Eilola, K., Almroth-Rosell, E., and Meier, H. E. M.: Impact of saltwater inflows on phosphorus cycling and eutrophication in the Baltic Sea. A 3D model study., Tellus A, 66, 23985, 2014.

Eilola, K., Gustafsson, B. G., Kuznetsov, I., Meier, H. E. M., Neumann, T., and Savchuk, O. P.: Evaluation of biogeochemical cycles in an ensemble of three state-of-the-art numerical models of the Baltic Sea, J. Mar. Sys., 88, 267-284, 2011.

Borttaget: Journal of Marine Systems

Eilola, K., Meier, M. H. E., and Almroth, E.: On the dynamics of oxygen, phosphorus and cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea; A model study, J. Mar. Sys., 75, 163-184, 2009.

Borttaget: Journal of Marine Systems

Finlay, J. C., Small, G. E., and Sterner, R. W.: Human influences on nitrogen removal in lakes, Science, 342, 247-250, 2013.

Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., Levin, J., Moisan, J., O'Reilly, J., and Haidvogel, D.: Nitrogen cycling in the Mid Atlantic Bight and implications for the North Atlantic nitrogen budget: Results from a three-dimensional model, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 20, 2006.

Fonselius, S. H.: Hydrography of the Baltic deep basins III, 1969.

Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., Martinelli, L. A., Seitzinger, S. P., and Sutton, M. A.: Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: recent trends, questions, and potential solutions, Science, 320, 889-892, 2008.

Grimvall, A., von Brömssen, C., and Lindström, G.: Using process-based models to filter out natural variability in observed concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus in river water, Environ. Monit. Assess., 186, 5135-5152, 2014.

Gustafsson, B., Schenk, F., Blenckner, T., Eilola, K., Meier, H. E. M., Müller-Karulis, B., Neumann, T., Ruoho-Airola, T., Savchuk, O., and Zorita, E.: Reconstructing the Development of Baltic Sea Eutrophication 1850–2006, AMBIO, 41, 534-548, 2012.

Hansson, M. and Andersson, L.: Oxygen Survey in the Baltic Sea 2013 - Extent of Anoxia and Hypoxia, 1960-2013, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Norrköping, Sweden, REPORT OCEANOGRAPHY 50, 22 pp., 2014.

HaV: Havs och Vattenmyndighetens föreskrifter om klassificering och miljökvalitetsnormer avseende ytvatten, HVMFS 2013:19, 2013.

Hayn, M., Howarth, R., Marino, R., Ganju, N., Berg, P., Foreman, K. H., Giblin, A. E., and McGlathery, K.: Exchange of nitrogen and phosphorus between a shallow lagoon and coastal waters, Estuar. Coast., 37, 63-73, 2014.

Hejzlar, J., Anthony, S., Arheimer, B., Behrendt, H., Bouraoui, F., Grizzetti, B., Groenendijk, P., Jeuken, M., Johnsson, H., and Porto, A. L.: Nitrogen and phosphorus retention in surface waters: an inter-comparison of predictions by catchment models of different complexity, J. Environ. Monitor., 11, 584-593, 2009.

HELCOM: Ecosystem Health of the Baltic Sea 2003–2007: HELCOM Initial Holistic Assessment., 2010.

Humborg, C., Danielsson, Å., Sjöberg, B., and Green, M.: Nutrient land–sea fluxes in oligothrophic and pristine estuaries of the Gulf of Bothnia, Baltic Sea, Estuar. Coast. Shelf. S., 56, 781-793, 2003.

Johnston, C. A.: Sediment and nutrient retention by freshwater wetlands: effects on surface water quality, Crit. Rev. Env. Sci. Tec., 21, 491-565, 1991.

Jonsson, P., Persson, J., and Holmberg, P.: Skärgårdens bottnar, Naturvårdsverket rapport, 5212, 2003.

Borttaget: Environmental

Borttaget: oring and

Borttaget: ment

Borttaget: Estuaries and Coasts

Borttaget: Journal of Environmental Monitoring

Borttaget: Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science

Borttaget: Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology

Karlsson, O. M., Jonsson, P. O., Lindgren, D., Malmaeus, J. M., and Stehn, A.: Indications of recovery from hypoxia in the inner Stockholm archipelago, Ambio, 39, 486-495, 2010.

Kemp, W., Testa, J., Conley, D., Gilbert, D., and Hagy, J.: Temporal responses of coastal hypoxia to nutrient loading and physical controls, Biogeosciences, 6, 2985-3008, 2009.

Knudsen, M.: Ein hydrographischer lehrsatz, Annalen der Hydrographie und Maritimen Meteorologie, 28, 316-320, 1900.

Lindh, G.: Miljörapport 2013, Stockholm Vatten VA AB, Stockholm Vatten, 2013.

Lindström, G., Pers, C., Rosberg, J., Strömqvist, J., and Arheimer, B.: Development and testing of the HYPE (Hydrological Predictions for the Environment) water quality model for different spatial scales, Hydrol. Res., 41, 295-319, 2010.

Borttaget: Hydrology research

Lücke, J. : Stockholms recipienter. Påverkan av Stockholms framtida avloppsrening. Stockholm Vatten AB, 2014.

Lücke, J.: Undersökningar i Stockholms skärgård 2014. Vattenkemi och växtplankton, och bottenfauna. Stockholm Vatten AB, 2015.

Lännergren, C.: Undersökningar i Östra Mälaren till och med 2009, Stockholm Vatten, 2010.

Lännergren, C., Eriksson, B., and Stehn, A.: Surveys in the Stockholm archipelago 2008, Stockholm Vatten Report, Diary, No: 09SV139, 243, 2009.

Marmefelt, E., Arheimer, B., and Langner, J.: An integrated biochemical model system for the Baltic Sea, Hydrobiologia, 393, 45-56, 1999.

McGlathery, K. J., Sundbäck, K., and Anderson, I. C.: Eutrophication in shallow coastal bays and lagoons: the role of plants in the coastal filter, Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser., 348, 1-18, 2007.

Borttaget: Marine Ecology Progress Series

Meier, H. E. M., Müller-Karulis, B., Andersson, H., Dieterich, C., Eilola, K., Gustafsson, B., Höglund, A., Hordoir, R., Kuznetsov, I., Neumann, T., Ranjbar, Z., Savchuk, O., and Schimanke, S.: Impact of Climate Change on Ecological Quality Indicators and Biogeochemical Fluxes in the Baltic Sea: A Multi-Model Ensemble Study, AMBIO, 41, 558-573, 2012.

Meier, M., Eilola, K., and Almroth, E.: Climate-related changes in marine ecosystems simulated with a 3-dimensional coupled physical-biogeochemical model of the Baltic Sea, Clim. Res., 48, 31-55, 2011.

Borttaget: ate

Borttaget: earch (CR)

Monsen, N. E., Cloern, J. E., Lucas, L. V., and Monismith, S. G.: A comment on the use of flushing time, residence time, and age as transport time scales, Limnol. Oceanogr., 47, 1545-1553, 2002.

Mortimer, C. H.: The Exchange of Dissolved Substances Between Mud and Water in Lakes, J. Ecol., 29, 280-329, 1941.

Naturvårdsverket: Status, potential och kvalitetskrav för sjöar, vattendrag, kustvatten och vatten i övergångszon. En handbok om hur kvalitetskrav i ytvattenförekomster kan bestämmas och följas upp, 2007.

Nielsen, K., Risgaard-Petersen, N., Sømod, B., Rysgaard, S., and Bergø, T.: Nitrogen and phosphorus retention estimated independently by flux measurements and dynamic modelling in the estuary, Randers Fjord, Denmark, Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser., 219, 25-40, 2001.

Nixon, S. W., Ammerman, J. W., Atkinson, L. P., Berounsky, V. M., Billen, G., Boicourt, W. C., Boynton, W. R., Church, T. M., Ditoro, D. M., Elmgren, R., Garber, J. H., Giblin, A. E., Jahnke, R. A., Owens, N. J. P., Pilson, M. E. Q., and Seitzinger, S. P.: The fate of nitrogen and phosphorus at the land-sea margin of the North Atlantic Ocean. In: Nitrogen Cycling in the North Atlantic Ocean and its Watersheds, Howarth, R. (Ed.), Springer Netherlands, 1996.

Norkko, J., Reed, D. C., Timmermann, K., Norkko, A., Gustafsson, B. G., Bonsdorff, E., Slomp, C. P., Carstensen, J., and Conley, D. J.: A welcome can of worms? Hypoxia mitigation by an invasive species, Global Change Biol., 18, 422-434, 2012.

Omstedt, A.: Guide to process based modeling of lakes and coastal seas, Springer Praxis-Books in Geophysical Sciences, 2015.

Oschlies, A., J. Blackford, S. C. Doney, and M. Gehlen.: Modelling considerations, In U. Riebesell, V. J. Fabry, L. Hansson, and J.-P. Gattuso [eds.],, Guide to best practices for ocean acidification research and data reporting., Publications Office of the European Union., 233-242, 2010.

Rabalais, N. N., Turner, R. E., and Wiseman Jr, W. J.: Gulf of Mexico hypoxia, AKA" The dead zone", Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 2002. 235-263, 2002.

Robertson, L., Langner, J., and Engardt, M.: An Eulerian limited-area atmospheric transport model, J. App. Meteorol., 38, 190-210, 1999.

Borttaget: o

Borttaget: Journal of Ecology

Borttaget: Marine Ecology Progress Series

Borttaget: ogy

Borttaget: Annual Review of ecology and Systematics

Borttaget: Journal of Applied Meteorology

Rosenberg, R. and Diaz, R. J.: Sulfur bacteria (Beggiatoa spp.) mats indicate hypoxic conditions in the inner Stockholm Archipelago, Ambio, 22, 32-36, 1993.

Sahlberg, J., Marmefelt, E., Brandt, M., Hjerdt, N., and Lundholm, K.: HOME - Vatten i Norra Östersjöns vattendistrikt, Integrerat modellsystem för vattenkvalitetsberäkningar, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Oceanografi, SMHI reports 93, 2008.

Sanders, R., Jickells, T., Malcolm, S., Brown, J., Kirkwood, D., Reeve, A., Taylor, J., Horrobin, T., and Ashcroft, C.: Nutrient fluxes through the Humber estuary, J. Sea. Res., 37, 3-23, 1997.

Borttaget: Journal of Sea Research

Sheldon, J. E. and Alber, M.: The calculation of estuarine turnover times using freshwater fraction and tidal prism models: a critical evaluation, Estuar. Coast., 29, 133-146, 2006.

Seitzinger, S. P. and Giblin, A. E.: Estimating denitrification in North Atlantic continental shelf sediments, Biogeochemistry, 35, 235-260, 1996.

Soetaert, K., Middelburg, J. J., Herman, P. M., and Buis, K.: On the coupling of benthic and pelagic biogeochemical models, Earth-Sci. Rev., 51, 173-201, 2000.

Borttaget: s

Borttaget: r

Strömqvist, J., Arheimer, B., Dahné, J., Donnelly, C., and Lindström, G.: Water and nutrient predictions in ungauged basins: set-up and evaluation of a model at the national scale, Hydrolog. Sci. J., 57, 229-247, 2012.

Borttaget: Hydrological Sciences Journal

Svensson, U.: PROBE An instruction manual, SMHI, 1998.

Vahtera, E., Conley, D. J., Gustafsson, B. G., Kuosa, H., Pitkänen, H., Savchuk, O. P., Tamminen, T., Viitasalo, M., Voss, M., and Wasmund, N.: Internal ecosystem feedbacks enhance nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria blooms and complicate management in the Baltic Sea, AMBIO, 36, 186-194, 2007.

Borttaget: : A journal of the Human Environment

Viktorsson, L., Almroth-Rosell, E., Tengberg, A., Vankevich, R., Neelov, I., Isaev, A., Kravtsov, V., and Hall, P. O. J.: Benthic Phosphorus Dynamics in the Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea, Aquat. Geochem., 18, 543-564, 2012.

Voss, M., Emeis, K., Hille, S., Neumann, T., and Dippner, J.: Nitrogen cycle of the Baltic Sea from an isotopic perspective, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB3001, 2005.

Borttaget: Global biogeochemical cycles

Xue, Z., He, R., Fennel, K., Cai, W., Lohrenz, S., and Hopkinson, C.: Modeling ocean circulation and biogeochemical variability in the Gulf of Mexico, Biogeosciences, 10, 7219-7234, 2013.

7 Figures

Flyttad (infogning) [1]



Fig. 1.

Borttaget: 4



Fig. 2.

Borttaget: 1



Fig.3.

Borttaget: 2



Fig. 4.

Borttaget: 3



Fig. 5.

Flyttad uppåt [1]: ¶
¶
¶



Fig. 4.¶

Borttaget: Sidbrytning
¶


Sandöfjärden (A)
Kanholmsfjärden (B)
Solöfjärden (C)
Trälhavet (D)
Södra Vaxholmsfjärden (E)
Stora Värtan (F)
Strömmen (G)
Baggensfjärden (H)
C
0
2
0
1/3
2/3
1
(1-r)
Tyr
DINyr
DIPyr
O2yr
All
Ss
DINs
DIPs
O2s
Sw
DINw
DIPw
O2w

Fig. 6.


Obs. raw data
Range used for statistics
Obs. summer
Obs. winter
SCM summer
SCM winter
Strömmen (G)
a)
b)
c)
d)
Depth (m)
0
10
20
30
Salinity
0
2
4
6
O_2 (ml L^{-1})
0
5
10
DIN (µmol L^{-1})
50
100
150
200
DIP (µmol L^{-1})
0
2
4
6
8

Fig. 7.



Obs raw data
Range used for statistics
Obs
SCM
Strömmen (G)
a) Surface
Salt (--)
5
4
3
2
1
b) Surface
Temp (°C)
20
15
10
5
c) Surface
DIN (µmol L-1)
120
100
80
60
40
20
d) Surface
DIP (µmol L-1)
2
1
0
e) Bottom
O2 (ml L-1)
8
6
4
2
0
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Jul
Aug
Sep
Oct
Nov
Dec
Month

Fig. 8.



Fig. 9.

Borttaget:




Tot
Riv
P.S.
Atm
a)
b)
External input (t P yr^{-1})
External input (t N yr^{-1})
300
200
100
0
15000
10000
5000
Tot
Temp
Perm
c)
d)
Retention (t P yr^{-1})
Retention (t N yr^{-1})
500
-500
10000
-5000
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010
Years

Fig. 10.


Area specific P retention
Latitude
48'
36'
24'
12'
59°N
18°E
20'
40'
19°E
20'
40'
Longitude
0
0.1
0.2
0.3
0.4
(t km^{-2} yr^{-1})
Area specific N retention
Latitude
48'
36'
24'
12'
59°N
18°E
20'
40'
19°E
20'
40'
Longitude
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
(t km^{-2} yr^{-1})

Fig. 11.


Inner
Intermediate
Outer
Entire
Pelagic P (t km^{-2})
0.45
0.4
0.35
0.3
0.25
0.2
Pelagic N (t km^{-2})
12
10
8
6
4
Benthic P (t km^{-2})
14
13
4
3
2
Benthic N (t km^{-2})
24
22
20
8
6
4
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010
Years
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010
Years

Fig. 12.



Fig. 13.



Borttaget:





Fig. 14



Borttaget:

8 Figure captions

Fig. 1. Simplified scheme of the retention calculations in the study area. Permanent retention is considered as a permanent removal of nutrients from the ecological system and includes burial and for nitrogen also denitrification. Temporary retention is defined as the changes in nutrient inventory in the active sediment layer and water column. The temporary retention may change sign depending on whether the nutrient inventory increases or declines.

Borttaget: *4*

Borttaget: *calculation scheme of retention in the study area.*

Fig. 2. The Swedish Coastal zone Model can be used in different areas along the Swedish coast streching from the Norwegian border in the West to the Finnish border in the North (different colours, left). In the present study the SCM model covers the northen Baltic proper (marked with a red square) and has been used to estimate the coastal filter efficiency of nutrients in the Stockholm inner (red), intermediate (orange) and outer (blue) archipelagos (right).The outlet of river Norrström is marked with a black arrow and the different basins are shown by the black contours.

Borttaget: *1*

Fig. 3. Schematic figure of the Swedish COastal and BIogeochemical model, SCOBI. Oxygen and hydrogen sulphide are simplified for clarity.

Borttaget: *2*

Fig. 4. Available locations with observations (circles and dots) in the Stockholm Archipelago. Model evaluation of temperature, salinity, DIN, DIP and bottom water oxygen concentration was performed at selected stations (circles marked with letters), which are described in Table 1.

Borttaget: *3*

Fig.5. Observed (stars) and modelled (line) monthly outflow (Q_F) and nutrient loads from Lake Mälaren, through Norrström to basin Strömmen for the modelled period (1990-2012). DIN is the sum of nitrate and ammonium.

Fig.6. Average cost function (C) and correlation coefficients, adjusted (1-r) to the range 0-1, for an overview of the model skill at the eight different validation sites (A-G). The individual skills of the different parameters, average seasonal variation (black) and/or the vertical summer (red) and winter (turquoise) profiles of temperature (T), DIN, DIP and oxygen concentrations (O_2) are shown, as well as the combined model skills for all variables (purple cross). Variables within the inner quarter circle and between the two quarter circles are considered to be good and acceptable, respectively, while variables that are outside the quarter circles are not well simulated.

Fig.7. The SCM modelled (lines) and observed (circle and diamond) vertical average profiles (1990-2012) of salinity (a) and concentrations of oxygen (O_2; b), DIN (c) and DIP (d) in the basin Strömmen during winter (turquoise) and summer (red) months. Depth layers with dense number of observations (grey stars) determined the vertical depth intervals (grey shaded area) used in the profile calculations. The standard deviations (horizontal lines) were calculated for the summer and winter values of the observations.

Fig.8. Simulated (lines) and observed averages (squares) of the seasonal variation and the standard deviaton (vertical lines) of the observations in the basin Strömmen (1990-2012) of surface temperature (Temp), salinity, DIN and DIP and of the bottom water oxygen concentrations. Time periods with dense number of observations (grey stars) determined the time intervals (grey shaded area) used in the calculations.

Fig.9. Transport scheme of N and P (t yr^{-1}) from land (leaning top boxes) and atmosphere (top boxes), and the net exchange from the inner, intermediate and outer archipelago (ellipse) towards the open sea. Total retention is the sum of temporary retention (square) and permanent retention (square with round corners). For P burial is the only process that leads to permanent retention, while for N also denitrification removes N. Negative values for the temporary retention means a decrease in the benthic and/or pelagic pools of nutrients.

Fig.10. The external annual load and retention (t yr^{-1}*) of P (a, c) and N (b, d) in the entire Stockholm Archipelago for the period 1990-2012. Total load (shaded area) and the contributions from the different sources; rivers and land run off (diamonds), point sources (circles) and atmosphere (solid line) is shown on the top row. The total retention (shaded area) as a sum of permanent retention (solid line) and temporary retention (diamonds) (c, d) is shown on the bottom row.*

Fig.11. The retention per area unit (t km^{-2} *yr*$^{-1}$*) of P (left) and N (right) in each basin of the Stockholm Archipelago.*

Fig.12. The total content (g m^{-2}*) of the pelagic (top) and benthic (bottom) P (left) and N (right) in the inner (diamonds), intermediate (circles), outer (triangles) and entire (black line) Stockholm archipelagos.*

Fig.13. The filter efficiency of P (left) and N (right) versus the logarithmic ratio between the average depth and the freshwater residence time of the study areas (month yr^{-1}*). Data from other studies are from Billen et al. (2011), Hayn et al. (2014), Nielsen et al, (2001) and Nixon et al. (1996). The straight line shows the logarithmic regression for the data from Nixon et al. (1996).*

Formaterat: Svenska (Sverige)

Fig.14. Pelagic (upper) and benthic (middle) pools of P (left) and N (right) in the inner (red), intermediate (orange), outer (turquoise) and entire (black) Stockholm Archipelago. The filter efficiencies (%) of N (red) and P (blue) load from land and atmosphere are shown for the entire Stockholm Archipelago (lower), where the small peaks derive from leap years.

Borttaget: *the nutrient*

9 Tables

Table 1. Number of sampling occasions (Occ) during the number of years, number of months during each year, and number of depths levels that was frequently sampled at the different stations used for validation of model results. The position of the stations can be seen in Fig.

Borttaget: *Fig. 3*

ID	Station name	Basin name	Occ	Years*	Months	Depths**
A	Nyvarp	Sandöfjärden	209	23	8	14
B	Kanholmsfjärden	Kanholmsfjärden	206	23	9	13
C	Solöfjärden	Solöfjärden	213	23	8	14
D	TrälhavetII	Trälhavet	215	23	9	13
E	S. Vaxholmsfjärden	S. Vaxholmsfjärden	131	23	7	8
F	Blomskär	Stora Värtan	141	23	8	9
G	Blockhusudden	Strömmen	249	23	11	16
H	Baggensfjärden	Baggensfjärden	173	20	9	10

* Entire period is 23 years; **Sampled at least half of the sample occasions

Table 2. The maximum concentrations of P and N (mg l^{-1}) in the discharge from sewage treatment plants of different size (person equivalents, pe).

Borttaget: *and the reduction of P and N loads from rivers and industries (%) in the scenario run with the SCM*

Sewage treatment facilities (pe)	P (mg l^{-1})	N (mg l^{-1})
>50 000	0.1	4
10 000-50 000	0.1	6
<10 000	0.15	10

Borttaget: **Decrease in land load** ...

Table 3. The correlation coefficients (r) between observations (obs) and model results (S-HYPE), and the long term (1990-2012) averages of river outflow (QF) and nutrient loads from Lake Mälaren.

Variable	Units	Average obs	Average S-HYPE	r
Q_F	10^6 m³ month^{-1}	421	422	0.94
TN	t month^{-1}	270	271	0.93
DIN	t month^{-1}	83	76	0.86
TP	t month^{-1}	13	11	0.87
DIP	t month^{-1}	5.7	5.7	0.79

Table 4. The total land load (rivers, land run-off and atmosphere) of P and N (t yr^{-1}) to the Stockholm Archipelago, the size of the benthic and pelagic N and P pools (t),the export from the area (t yr^{-1}) and the filter efficiency (F_{eff}) before and after the nutrient reductions, as well as their percentage changes. The system is in both cases in steady state, thus the benthic and pelagic pools are in balance with the nutrient load.

		Unit	**Initial values**	**End of period**	**Change (%)**
P	Total load	t yr^{-1}	213	186	-13
	Pool*	T	10661	9952	-7
	Export	t yr^{-1}	-3.5	-11	-207
	F_{eff}	%	101	106	
N	Total load	t yr^{-1}	7690	6164	-20
	Pool*	T	35196	33216	-6
	Export	t yr^{-1}	1585	609	-62
	F_{eff}	%	79	90	
N:P**	Molar ratio		42	35	-16

*The sum of benthic and pelagic pools. ** In the inner archipelago.