This document provides supplementary information for the publication "Influence of climate variability, fire and phosphorus limitation on the vegetation dynamics and structure in the Amazon-Cerrado border" to appear in Biogeosciences.

S1. Regional phosphorus database

We used 54 samples of phosphorus content in the soil, where 52 were obtained via the Mehlich-1 extractor (H_2SO_4, 0.025mol L^{-1} + HCl 0.05mol L^{-1}) 1:10 soil: solution ratio, and two were direct measurements of total phosphorus content (P_{total}) in the soil. In addition to these samples collected in the field, a phosphorus soil map of the Amazon was also used (Quesada et al., 2010).

The 54 samples of P content were provided by researchers from the UNEMAT – State University of Mato Grosso, collected for different vegetation types ranging from sparse physiognomies like *Campo de Murundus*, open flooded field, *Cerrado típico* to more dense forest formations such as *Cerradão*, semideciduous forest, evergreen seasonal forest and gallery forest in the Amazon-Cerrado transition region in the state of Mato Grosso.

The database of Quesada et al. (2010) provides data of physicochemical properties of the soil, and Hedley fractionation data with eight different fractions of phosphorus content in the soil, including: phosphorus extracted by resin (P_{resin}), P_{total} and residual. The location, name of the experimental sites, value of P_{total}, P_{Resin} and clay percent used to establish the relationship between $P_{-Mehlich-1}$ and P_{total} are shown in Table S1.

Based on the Freire (2001) equation, the amount of phosphorus remaining in the soil, i.e., the existing amount of P in the soil (P_{rem}) was estimated for each site, based on their clay content:

$$P_{rem} = 52.44 - 0.9646\ C + 0.005\ C^2 \qquad R^2 = 0.747 \qquad (1)$$

where P_{rem} is expressed in mg L^{-1} and C is the clay content in %. P_{rem} is the P concentration that remains in solution after shaking soil with 0.01 mol L^{-1} $CaCl_2$ containing 60 mg L^{-1} P (Alves and Lavorenti, 2006).

After obtaining of the remaining values (P_{rem}), it was necessary to estimate the phosphorus maximum adsorption capacity (CMAP) of each soil, in order to calculate how much phosphorus each soil is capable of adsorbing.

Based on significative data from several studies (Bognola, 1995; Campello et al., 1994; Fabres, 1986; Gonçalves, 1988; Ker, 1995; Moreira, 1988; Muniz, 1983; Novelino, 1999; Paula, 1993), Neves (2000) proposed Equation (2) to calculate CMAP from P_{rem}:

$$\mathrm{CMAP} = 1816.1 - 373.72 \ \log \mathrm{P_{rem}} \qquad R^2 = 0.751 \qquad (2)$$

where CMAP is expressed in mg kg^{-1} and P_{rem} in mg L^{-1}.

Knowing the CMAP, Neves (2000) also proposed a robust model (Equation 3), which estimates how much the Mehlich-1 extractor is capable of removing of P added in each soil sample ($P_{-Mehlich-1}/P_{Adc}$). This relationship was adjusted based on 31 soil samples data from the work of Bahia-Filho (1982), Muniz (1983), Gonçalves (1988) and Novelino (1999);

$$\frac{\mathrm{P_{-Mehlich-1}}}{\mathrm{P_{Adc}}} = 380.96 \ \ \mathrm{CMAP}^{-1.2101} \qquad R^2 = 0.734, (p<0.001)$$

(3)

where P_{Adc} is the added dose of P in soil expressed in mg kg^{-1}.

Finally, knowing $P_{-Mehlich-1}/P_{Adc}$, $P_{resin}/P_{-Mehlich-1}$ was estimated using the Equation (4) established by Neves (2000) with r=0.899, n=26.

$$\frac{\mathrm{P_{resin}}}{\mathrm{P_{-Mehlich-1}}} = 0.5553 \ \left(\frac{\mathrm{P_{-Mehlich-1}}}{\mathrm{P_{Adc}}}\right)^{0.6002} \qquad R^2 = 0.808, (p<0.001)$$

(4)

where P_{resin} is expressed in mg kg^{-1}.

With the P_{resin} values and the ratio estimated by Equation (4), $P_{-Mehlich-1}$ values for all stations in Quesada et al. (2010) were estimated ($P_{\_m1\_est,}$ expressed in mg kg^{-1}). Table 2 shows the estimates obtained by Equations (1), (2), (3) and (4) for the 26 sites in the Amazon.

Obtaining $P_{\_m1\_est}$ values for locations where data for clay content and P_{total} (mg kg^{-1}) were available, enabled the development of a linear regression model (Equation 5) that estimates P_{total} from $P_{\_m1\_est}$ (mg kg^{-1}) and C (%) with r = 0.639.

$$P_{total} = 72.4628 + 0.639\ (P_{\_m1\_est}\ C) \qquad R^2 = 0.408, (p<0.001) \qquad (5)$$

Although the R^2 value is low, the regression is significant at $p<0.01$. The product ($P_{\_m1\_est}$ C) was used to correct the effect of soil clay contribution on $P_{-Mehlich-1}$ values, which tends to remove smaller amounts of P, for high values of clay. Applying the Equation (5) to the observed data, P_{total} was estimated for 54 field samples collected in Mato Grosso. These results are presented in Table S2 and were incorporated to the regional dataset of Quesada et al. (2010). Depending on the spatial distribution of the samples, the average for the P_{total} values was calculated inside each 1° × 1° pixel.

Table S1. Phosphorus samples in P_{resin} and clay fraction of different plots in the Brazilian Amazon from the database Quesada et al. (2010). Estimates made for obtaining $P_{-Mehlich-1}$ values for the stations used in this study.

Observation data – Quesada et al. (2010)				Estimated data				
Plot	**P_{resin}**	**P_{total}**	**Clay**	**P_{rem}**	**CMAP**	**$\frac{P_{-Mehlich-1}}{P_{Adc}}$**	**$\frac{P_{resin}}{P_{-Mehlich-1}}$**	**$P_{\_m1\_est}$**
ID	mg kg^{-1}	mg kg^{-1}	%	mg L^{-1}	mg kg^{-1}	mg kg^{-1}	mg kg^{-1}	mg kg^{-1}
'RIO-12'	3.42	178.96	9.50	43.7	404.2	0.27	1.23	2.79
'ELD-12'	5.34	173.59	20.1	35.1	486.9	0.21	1.40	3.80
'SCR-01'	2.36	65.85	6.88	46.0	384.9	0.28	1.18	1.99
'TIP-05'	9.01	437.32	37.3	23.4	637.6	0.15	1.71	5.27
'JRI-01'	1.30	189.13	80.7	7.15	1081	0.08	2.51	0.52
'JAS-02'	8.79	423.65	29.1	28.6	563.1	0.18	1.56	5.64
'CAX-01'	5.17	115.18	41.8	20.9	680.6	0.14	1.79	2.88
'MBO-01'	3.14	101.38	11.5	42.0	419.1	0.26	1.26	2.49
'BNT-04'	4.82	68.67	57.7	13.4	845.2	0.11	2.10	2.30
'TAP-04'	4.50	192.34	89.3	6.18	1136	0.08	2.60	1.73
'ALP-12'	7.37	86.62	14.0	40.0	437.9	0.24	1.30	5.67
'SUC-02'	4.06	349.81	37.2	23.5	636.7	0.15	1.71	2.38
'AGP-01'	2.99	303.28	42.6	20.4	688.8	0.14	1.81	1.66
'ZAR-03'	4.81	177.19	31.1	27.3	580.7	0.17	1.60	3.01
'TAP-123'	1.65	78.89	66.1	10.5	936.4	0.1	2.26	0.73
'ZAR-04'	7.48	54.15	18.3	36.5	471.8	0.22	1.37	5.45
'JUR-01'	10.6	331.93	36.6	23.8	631.2	0.16	1.70	6.22
'RST-01'	8.29	240.19	25.4	31.2	530.8	0.19	1.49	5.55
'ALF-01'	3.51	118.14	11.4	42.1	418.7	0.26	1.26	2.79
'DOI-01'	7.18	203.19	19.1	35.9	478.5	0.22	1.39	5.18
'SIN-01'	2.58	61.25	9.8	43.5	406.4	0.27	1.23	2.10
'TAM-01'	5.85	343.51	37.8	23.2	641.9	0.15	1.72	3.41
'CUZ-03'	11.5		42.5	20.5	687.4	0.14	1.80	6.35
'CRP-01'	21.8		18.1	36.7	470.1	0.22	1.37	15.9
'HCC-21'	7.34	289.76	25.6	31.0	532.4	0.19	1.50	4.90

Table S2. Phosphorus content data ($P_{-Mehlich-1}$) and clay percentage for the 54 soil samples collected in the Amazon-Cerrado transition region and P_{total} estimates.

Location				Physiognomy	Clay	$P_{-Mehlich-1}$	$P_{\_total}$
Latitude	**Longitude**	**n**	**pixel**		**%**	**mg dm^{-3}**	**mg dm^{-3}**
-15.55	-50.10	1	1	*Cerrado rupestre*[a]	30.6	0.89	89.87
-15.54	-50.10	2	1	*Cerrado típico*[b]	34.7	0.2	76.9
-14.17	-51.76	3	2	*Cerrado ralo*[c]	21.06	2.28	103.14
-14.17	-51.77	4	2	*Cerrado ralo*[c]	29.97	1.3	97.36
-14.15	-51.76	5	2	*Cerrado típico*[b]	40.53	2.93	148.35
-14.16	-51.77	6	2	*Cerrado típico*[b]	35.41	1.11	97.58
-14.71	-52.35	7	3	*Cerrado típico*[b]	35.84	3	141.17
-14.71	-52.35	8	3	*Cerrado típico*[b]	48.16	0.84	98.31
-14.71	-52.35	9	3	*Cerrado típico*[b]	49.33	0.42	85.7
-14.82	-52.17	10	3	Semi deciduous Forest	21.5	3.18	116.15
-14.71	-52.35	11	3	*Cerrado típico*[b]	17.28	0.34	76.22
-14.71	-52.35	12	3	*Cerrado típico*[b]	17.71	0.13	73.93
-14.70	-52.35	13	3	*Cerradão*[d]	21.04	0.26	75.96
-14.70	-52.35	14	3	*Cerradão*[d]	24.35	0.1	73.96
-14.69	-52.35	15	3	*Cerradão*[d]	21.03	5.46	145.83
-14.69	-52.35	16	3	*Cerradão*[d]	33.53	3.8	153.88
-14.69	-52.35	17	3	*Cerradão*[d]	40.47	1.9	121.6
-14.69	-52.35	18	3	*Cerradão*[d]	44.03	0.8	94.97
-14.69	-52.35	19	3	*Cerradão*[d]	45.24	0.3	81.13
-14.72	-52.36	20	3	Gallery Forest	15.02	0.87	80.81
-14.72	-52.36	21	3	Gallery Forest	10.45	6.94	118.8
-14.72	-52.36	22	3	Gallery Forest [f]	11.65	1.71	85.19
-13.10	-53.39	23	4	Riparian Forest [f]	43	26	786.86
-13.10	-53.39	24	4	Riparian Forest [f]	49	18	636.06
-13.00	-50.25	25	5	*Cerrado rupestre*[a]	4.44	2.44	79.39
-12.38	-50.93	26	6	*Campo de Murundus*[g]	39.33	2.3	130.27
-12.36	-50.93	27	6	*Campo de Murundus* [g]	29.52	3.3	134.71
-12.56	-50.92	28	6	*Campo de Murundus* [g]	22	3.3	118.85
-12.04	-50.73	29	6	*Campo de Murundus* [g]	38.48	0.7	89.67
-12.57	-50.91	30	6	*Campo de Murundus* [g]	39.11	1.7	114.95
-12.62	-50.82	31	6	*Campo de Murundus* [g]	25.56	2.4	111.66
-12.43	-50.72	32	6	*Campo de Murundus* [g]	29.11	2.3	115.25
-12.23	-50.77	33	6	*Campo de Murundus* [g]	30.77	2.3	117.69
-12.38	-50.94	34	6	*Campo de Murundus* [g]	37.46	5.2	196.93
-12.38	-50.94	35	6	*Campo de Murundus* [g]	39.34	4	173.02
-12.38	-50.93	36	6	open field [f]	32.45	1.6	105.64

Table S2 (continued).

Location				Physiognomy	Clay	$P_{-Mehlich-1}$	$P_{\_total}$
Latitude	**Longitude**	**n**	**pixel**		**%**	**mg dm^{-3}**	**mg dm^{-3}**
-12.38	-50.93	37	6	open field [f]	20.78	2	99.02
-12.36	-50.93	38	6	open field [f]	17.08	1.6	89.93
-12.04	-50.73	39	6	open field [f]	22.83	0.8	84.13
-12.57	-50.91	40	6	open field [f]	24.95	0.7	83.62
-12.62	-50.82	41	6	open field [f]	20.77	2.2	101.66
-12.43	-50.72	42	6	open field [f]	19.55	1.6	92.45
-12.23	-50.77	43	6	open field [f]	27.03	1.9	105.28
-12.38	-50.94	44	6	open field [f]	29.93	3.1	131.75
-12.38	-50.94	45	6	open field [f]	30.75	0.9	90.15
-12.83	-52.35	46	7	Seasonal Evergreen Forest	49	-	**141.54**
-12.81	-51.85	47	8	Seasonal Evergreen Forest	16	-	**117.03**
-11.18	-50.23	48	9	*Cerrado denso*[h]	3.96	2.71	79.32
-11.18	-50.23	49	9	*Cerradão*[d]	4.16	1.66	76.88
-11.17	-50.23	50	9	*Cerrado típico*[b]	3.56	1.45	75.76
-11.86	-50.72	51	10	open field [f]	41.63	2	125.67
-11.86	-50.72	52	10	*Campo de Murundus* [g]	47.65	2.8	157.72
-9.11	-54.23	53	11	*Cerrado rupestre*[a]	4.56	4.17	84.61
-9.79	-50.43	54	12	Semi deciduous Forest	18.36	2.04	96.4

[a]**Cerrado rupestre**: a tree-shrub vegetation that grows in areas of accentuated topography with many rock outcrops and shallow soils, where individual trees establish themselves in clefts in the rocks so that their densities will vary as a function of the specific conditions of each site (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

[b]**Cerrado típico**: a vegetation of trees and shrubs fairly regular and usually not more tall (approximately 4m) (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

[c]**Cerrado ralo**: a vegetation that is more open than *Cerrado típico*; the trees not exceeding 2 to 3 meters in height, covering from 5 to 20% of the soil (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

[d]**Cerradão**: a dense and tall woodland formation (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

[g]**Campo de Murundus**: a typical landscape of Central Brazil characterized by countless rounded earth mounds (the '*murundus*'), which are covered by woody '*Cerrado*' vegetation and are found scattered over a grass-covered surface (the 'campo') (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

[h]**Cerrado denso:** this vegetation is more dense than *Cerrado típico*; the trees exceeding 2 to 3 meters in height, and covered with a woody cover ranging from 10 to 60% (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

Difference between the global P_{total} map and regional P_{total} map (PG-PR)

The spatial difference of the soil phosphorus content between the global P_{total} map (PG) and the regional map (PR) showed that the global data underestimates the P_{total} values in some Amazon-Cerrado transitional areas, mainly in western Amazonia. PG overestimates are observed in northern Amazonia and in most of the Cerrado biome area. The differences between the absolute values of total phosphorus at a spatial resolution of

1° × 1° varied in the range of ±180 mg kg^{-1}, with an average of 24.19 mg kg^{-1} (Figure S1).



Figure S1. Difference between the global P_{total} map (Yang et al., 2013) and regional P_{total} map (PG-PR) in mg kg^{-1}. The thick black line delimits the Amazon and Cerrado biomes.

References

Alves, M. E. and Lavorenti, A.: Remaining Phosphorus Estimate Through Multiple Regression Analysis, Pedosphere, 16(5), 566–571, doi:10.1016/S1002-0160(06)60089-1, 2006.

Bahia Filho, A. F. C.: Índices de disponibilidade de fósforo em latossolos do Planalto Central com diferentes características texturais e mineralógicas, Ph.D thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil,178pp., 1982.

Bognola, I. A.: Caracterização química, física e mineralógica de solos intermediários entre Latossolos Brunos e Latossolos Roxos, M.S thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 205pp., 1995.

Campello, M. R., Novais, R. F., Fernandez, R. I. E., Fontes, M. P. F. and Barros, N. F.: Avaliação da reversibilidade de fósforo não-lábil para lábil em solos com diferentes características, Rev. Bras. Ciência do Solo, 18, 157–165, 1994.

Fabres, A. S.: Disponibilidade de fósforo em solos e concentrações críticas de diferentes frações de fósforo em plantas de alface cultivadas em amostras de diferentes solos, M.S thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 39pp., 1986.

Freire, F. J.: Sistema para cálculo do balanço nutricional e recomendação de corretivos e fertilizantes para cana-de-açúcar, Ph.D thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil,144pp., 2001.

Gonçalves, J. L. M.: Cinética de transformação de fósforo lábil em não lábil em amostras de solos de cerrado, M.S thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 62pp., 1988.

Ker, J. C.: Mineralogia, sorção e dessorção de fosfato, magnetização e elementos traços de Latossolos do Brasil, Ph.D thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil,181pp., 1995.

Muniz, A. S.: Disponibilidade de fósforo avaliada por extratores químicos e pelo crescimento de soja (Glycine max (L.) Merrill) em amostras de solo com diferentes valores do fator capacidade, M.S thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 79pp., 1983.

Neves, J. C. L.: Produção e partição de biomassa, aspectos nutricionais e hídricos em plantios clonais de eucalipto na região litorânea do Espírito Santo, Ph.D thesis, State University of North Fluminense, Brazil, 191pp., 2000.

Novelino, J. O.: Disponibilidade de fósforo e sua cinética em solos sob cerrado fertilizados com fósforo, avaliada por diferentes métodos de extração, Ph.D thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 70pp., 1999.

Paula, J. R.: Avaliação da disponibilidade de fósforo por extratores orgânicos em latossolos de Minas Gerais, M.S thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 58pp., 1993.

Quesada, C. A., Lloyd, J., Schwarz, M., Patiño, S., Baker, T. R., Czimczik, C., Fyllas, N. M., Martinelli, L., Nardoto, G. B., Schmerler, J., Santos, a. J. B., Hodnett, M. G., Herrera, R., Luizão, F. J., Arneth, A., Lloyd, G., Dezzeo, N., Hilke, I., Kuhlmann, I., Raessler, M., Brand, W. A., Geilmann, H., Filho, J. O. M., Carvalho, F. P., Filho, R. N. A., Chaves, J. E., Cruz, O. F., Pimentel, T. P. and Paiva, R.: Variations in chemical and physical properties of Amazon forest soils in relation to their genesis, Biogeosciences, 7, 1515–1541, doi:10.5194/bg-7-1515-2010, 2010.

Ribeiro, J. F. and Walter, B. M. T.: As Principais Fitofisionomias do bioma Cerrado, in Cerrado: ecologia e flora, pp. 153–212, 2008.

Yang, X., Post, W. M., Thornton, P. E. and Jain, A.: The distribution of soil phosphorus for global biogeochemical modeling, Biogeosciences, 10, 2525–2537, doi:10.5194/bg-10-2525-2013, 2013.