

Dear Dr. Ito,

Thank you for the positive evaluation of our paper “The importance of radiation for semi-empirical water-use efficiency models”. The points that were mentioned in the final editor report have been corrected.

We noted a minor mistake which we corrected in the final version of the article. The Table S1 listing all FLUXNET sites that we used included two sites that were not used in our analysis. The table listed 112 sites, in contrast to the correct number of 110 as stated throughout the paper. We corrected this mistake in the updated version of the supplement.

We made minor changes to the figures, such as consistent use of subscripts for the unit *grams of carbon* and added spaces between units where necessary.

Thank you again for your assistance in improving this document.

Best regards

Sven Boese on behalf of the coauthors