



1 Changing patterns of fire occurrence in proximity to forest edges,

2 roads and rivers between NW Amazonian countries

- Bolors Armenteras¹, Joan Sebastian Barreto¹, Karyn Tabor², Roberto Molowny³, Javier Retana³
- 5 ¹Laboratorio de Ecología del Paisaje y Modelación de Ecosistemas ECOLMOD, Departamento de Biología, Edif. 421,
- 6 Of. 223, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia.
- 7 ² Conservation International, Arlington, VA 22202, USA
- 8 ³ CREAF i Unitat d'Ecología, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain.
- 9

10 **Correspondence*: Dolors Armenteras (darmenterasp@unal.edu.co)

11





13 Abstract

14 Tropical forests in NW Amazonia are highly threatened by the expansion of the agricultural frontier and subsequent 15 deforestation. Fire is used, both directly and indirectly, in the Brazilian Amazon to propagate deforestation and 16 increase forest accessibility. Forest fragmentation, a measure of forest degradation, is also attributed to fire occurrence 17 in the tropics. However, outside the Legal Amazonia the role of fire in increasing accessibility and forest fragmentation 18 is less explored. In this study, we compared fire regimes in five countries sharing this tropical biome in the most North 19 Western part of the Amazon Basin (Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Brazil). We analysed spatial differences 20 in the timing of peak fire activity and in relation to proximity to roads and rivers using 15 years of MODIS active fire 21 detections. We also distinguished patterns of fire in relation to forest fragmentation by analysing fire distance to the 22 forest edge as a measure of fragmentation for each country. We found significant hemispheric differences in peak fire 23 occurrence with the highest number of fires in the South in 2005 vs 2007 in the North. we also found difference in 24 peak fire occurrence by country with fire peak in Colombia and Venezuela in February; peak fire in September for 25 Brazil and Peru; and Ecuador presented two fire peaks. We confirmed the relationship between fires and forest 26 fragmentation for all countries; and also found significant differences in the distance of fire to forest edge for each 27 country. These results can inform land use planning at the regional, national and sub-national scale to minimize how 28 road expansion and subsequent access to the amazonian natural resources contribute to fire occurrence, and the 29 associated deforestation and carbon emissions. 30 31 Keywords: fragmentation, accessibility, deforestation, patterns, MODIS, active fire, NW Amazon





33 Introduction

- 34 Fires in the tropics are a major consequence of the interaction of climate and human activities and are becoming an 35 increasingly important ecological factor affecting forest extent and condition (Bowman et al., 2009; Cochrane, 2009). 36 Fire degrades forest by changing their composition and structure (Barlow and Peres, 2004), altering essential 37 ecological processes and functions such as nutrient or hydrological cycling, or modifying the rates at what those 38 operate (Cochrane, 2003; Marengo et al., 2008b; Morton et al., 2007). Agricultural practices that use cutting and 39 burning as a land management technique or use fire for land clearing or grazing are usually linked to tropical 40 deforestation (Fearnside et al., 2009; Fearnside and Barbosa, 2004; Kirby et al., 2006; Lima et al., 2012; Nepstad et 41 al., 2001). Most recently large scale industrial agriculture was related to the use of fire (Brando et al., 2013). Increasing 42 demands for agricultural land and forest related products has enhanced the link of fire to tropical deforestation and 43 enabled conditions related to increased accessibility to forests (Barber et al., 2014; Laurance et al., 2002) and changing 44 climatic patterns (Aragão et al., 2008; Flannigan et al., 2009; Malhi et al., 2008).
- 45 Fire occurrence in the tropics has a particular pattern: in Latin America it has been established that, in general terms, 46 north of the Equator the fire season is between December and February while in the south it is between May and July 47 (Chuvieco et al., 2008). However, unusual fire events are occurring more frequently and more intensely in the Amazon 48 basin and have been associated to extreme climatic events such as the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Aragão 49 et al., 2007; Ray et al., 2005) or the warm tropical North Atlantic oscillation (Marengo et al., 2008a; Phillips et al., 50 2009) and also to the occurrence of extreme drought years (Asner and Alencar, 2010; Brown et al., 2006; Lewis et al., 51 2011; Malhi et al., 2009; Marengo et al., 2008b). In addition to the cyclical severe fire seasons associated with climatic 52 oscillations and climate change, fires in the Amazon occur more frequently in previously fragmented forests and are 53 largely associated with deforestation and forest edges (Cochrane & Laurance 2002; Cochrane 2001).

In the Amazon the increasing frequency and intensity of fires has many consequences locally, regionally, and globally. Fluctuations in biomass in the Amazon have a significant impact on atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ (Phillips et al., 2009) that contribute to global warming. Fires also cause a reduction in above ground biomass (Cochrane and Schulze, 1999; Kauffman and Uhl, 1990), primary production (Kinnaird and O'Brien, 1998), loss of biodiversity, and disruption of regional water and energy cycles (Salati, 1987; Salati and Vose, 1984). Despite the importance of fire occurrence in the Amazon, there is a lack of knowledge of the significance of both climate and landscape





characteristics driving fire patterns especially for those sub regions outside legal Amazonia. Rainfall patterns in the
Amazon basin have high heterogeneity (Marengo, 1992; Marengo and Tomasella, 1998). Northwestern Amazonia, in
particular, is one of the wettest tropical rainforest regions with ca. 3000 mm of rain per year and has a shorter/weaker

63 dry season than Southwest, Southeast or Central Amazonia (Malhi and Wright, 2004).

64 Throughout the tropics, road development increases the susceptibility of forests to deforestation and forest 65 fragmentation by exposing forest edges to increasing levels of disturbances (Barber et al., 2014; Cochrane and Barber, 66 2009). Fire is frequently used for clearing in fragmented forests and is largely associated with forest edges (Cochrane, 67 2001; Cochrane and Laurance, 2002). Distance from forest edges influences fire occurrence and intensity (Cochrane 68 2003; Armenteras and others 2013). The combination of road development, forest deforestation, and fragmentation 69 make tropical forests more vulnerable to fires, especially under expected climate change (Cochrane, 2003). Recent 70 studies showing forest accessibility (from both roads or rivers) as enabling conditions for fires and using fire as a 71 proxy for deforestation are focused mostly in the Legal Amazon (Adeney et al., 2009) or in the Brazilian tropical 72 moist forest biome, largely ignoring the Amazonian territory under other sovereignties (Kumar et al., 2014). Much 73 less is known regarding factors influencing fire dynamics and patterns in NW Amazon, nor linking fires to 74 deforestation and fragmentation in the Ecuador, Peruvian, Colombian and Venezuelan parts of the Amazon (but see 75 Armenteras and Retana, 2012; Armenteras et al., 2011). The shared territory does not have the same land use policies 76 and climate action plans nor the same economic or infrastructure development (i.e. road construction). In this study 77 we analyzed the dynamics and patterns of fires in the most northwestern part of the Amazon basin to highlight regional 78 differences in patterns of fire occurrence in relation to accessibility and forest fragmentation between neighboring 79 countries. To achieve this, we addressed the following questions: i) are temporal patterns of fire occurrence in NW 80 Amazon tropical forests influenced by its latitudinal position (North/South) and/or the country of occurrence?, ii) are 81 fire occurrences detected in NW Amazon influenced by accessibility and do they differ between countries? and, iii) 82 are there differences between countries in the effect of forest fragmentation (i.e. edge effect) on fire occurrence?

83 Methods

84 Study site





- The study area (Figure 1) corresponded to the northwestern (65°W, 10°S-6°N) part of the Amazon shared by Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Venezuela, and Brazil. The most northern and western limit was delimited using a biogeographic limit corresponding to the South American tropical and subtropical humid forest biome (UNEP, 2009). The total study area contained approximately 2,140.936 km² of land of which 582,612 km² are located north of the Equator and 1,558.324 km² to the south. The largest area belonged to Brazil (41% or 885 459 km²), followed by Peru (26%, 559 709 km²) and Colombia (21% or 451 847 km²), and finally Venezuela and Ecuador with a 8% (173 966 km²) and 3% respectively (69 955 km²).
- 92 Data sources and analyses
- 93 We used the following data sources:
- 94
- A forest/ non-forest map for 2010 derived from the 25 m global PALSAR mosaics produced by the Japan
 Aerospace Exploration Agency, JAXA from the Advanced Land Observing Satellite (ALOS)/ Phased array Type
 L-band SAR (PALSAR) data. (Shimada et al., 2014).
- For fire data we used the satellite active fire datasets from both MODIS Aqua and Terra sensors download from January 2003 to January 2015) through the FIRMS (Fire Information for Resource Management System: Archiving and Distributing MODIS Active Fire Data, Collection 5.1). We only used data with confidence levels over 30% (medium and high confidence fires as applied in Armenteras et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2013a) .We standardized fire occurrence by the area in km² of the unit of analysis, so we used fire density (Number of occurrences per 1000 km²) as fire variable.
- We used the best available information for the region on roads from CIESIN (Center for International Earth
 Science Information Network, Columbia University and of Georgia, 2013). This database shows no roads for
 Venezuela in the Amazonia, therefore, we removed Venezuela from the analysis of accessibility by roads.
- We used the USGS HydroSheds data for the river network (Lehner et al., 2008).
- 108
- 109 To examine the temporal patterns of fire occurrence, we first explored the long term patterns of fire (Jan 2003-Jan
- 110 2015) to describe both interannual and interannual variability. We tested for differences in fire occurrence between





- 111 those occurring north and south of the Equator using paired two sample t tests and among countries by means of
- 112 ANOVA tests to detect the effect of its latitudinal position and the country.
- 113

114 We explored the effect of accessibility on fire occurrence by analyzing the proximity of detected fires to rivers and 115 roads. We calculated the distance of all fire hotspots to the closest river and road. We built Cumulative Frequency 116 Distributions (CFD) per country of each set of distances to quantify the annual probability of occurrence of fire within 117 a given distance of each transportation mean. For this reason we also developed grids of theoretical distances to the 118 explanatory road and river networks by creating a regularly spaced geographic grid of 1 km apart locations (2,254,046 119 points) across the study area of Colombia, Peru, Ecuador, Venezuela, and Brazil) following the approach presented 120 by Kumar et al (2014). We called this model the null model of distances to roads and rivers, against which fire distance 121 distributions are compared. For the sake of comparison with the work of Kumar et al. (2014), the non parametric 122 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was applied to test for differences in the CFDs for both the active fire distances and the 123 null model for each country, with values varying from zero (no significant differences between two distributions) to 124 one (two distributions totally different indicating fires occurring associated to the explanatory variables).

125

We also compared the CDF curves grouped per countries. In this case, we departed slightly from the approach outlined in Kumar et al. (2014). Instead of the two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test we employed the k-sample Anderson-Darling test (Scholz and Stephens, 1987), since there is no equivalent k-sample version of the former. CDF data sets were then grouped by distances to rivers, roads and fire edges. If the k-sample Anderson-Darling tests concluded that there were significant differences between at least two of the CDF curves in the group, pairwise Anderson-Darling tests were carried out between country CDF curves.

132

In order to establish a measure of forest fragmentation and to determine whether there were any differences between countries regarding the edge effect on fire occurrence (i.e. fires occurring more frequently near the forest edge), we calculated the distance of fires to the forest edge (inside or outside the forest). We used the 2010 forest map to establish the forest edge. Similarly to the tests for accessibility to roads and rivers, we built CFD curves for the edge distances and compared them (k-sample Anderson-Darling test) both with their respective null models of distances to edges and between countries.





~ ~

139	Kesuits
140	There was high interannual variability of MODIS active fire detections (Figure 2A). The year with the highest density
141	of fires in the North was 2007 whilst 2005 was the year with the highest number of fires in the South. Concerning the
142	years with less fires detected, the North had less fires in 2012 whilst the south showed the lowest number of fires in
143	2011. Despite the different patterns in terms of annual average fires there was no significant difference (t test=1.0,
144	p=0.17) between the average annual density in the north (mean \pm standard deviation: 7.5 \pm 4.6 fires/1000 km ²) and in
145	the south of the Equator (9.0 \pm 4.8 fires/1000 km ²). Annual fire density was significantly different between countries
146	(Figure 2B, ANOVA F=8.0, p<0.01).
147	
148	Seasonal differences between the northern and southern parts of the study area were clear (Figure 3A) with the main
149	fire season occurring between December and March in the north and between July and October in the south of the
150	Equator. In terms of monthly variability between countries (Figure 3B), both Colombia (4.0 fires/1000 km ²) and
151	$Venezuela~(2.0~fires/1000~km^2)~presented~February~as~the~fire~peak~month~of~the~year.~This~is~not~surprising~since~both~arcsecondervalue and the second s$
152	have the highest proportion of their territory in the north. Brazil (3.9 fires/1000 km ²) and Peru that are mostly located
153	in the south of the Equator had their fire peak in September (4.0 fires/1000 km ²). On the other hand, Ecuador despite
154	having most of its territory in the southern hemisphere had two peaks in January (0.37 fires/1000 $\rm km^2$) and October
155	(0.38 fires/1000 km ²)

156

Table 1 summarizes the results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests used to compare the CFDs of the active fires distances to transportation networks and the null model for distance of the territory within each country, the higher the values the higher the differences between those. For all countries and both roads and rivers, the pattern of fire occurrence is significantly different to their null model and, thus, for each case fire pattern was related to both roads and rivers (Table 1).

162

On the other hand, Figure 4 shows the comparison between countries of the CFDs of the observed distances of fire to the closest river and roads. There are also significant differences between countries for distances to rivers (Figure 4A; Anderson-Darling test, p<0.01) and also to roads (Figure 4B, p<0.01). Figure 4 shows that most fires were closely associated with the river network, in the case of Ecuador 80% of fires were within 300 m of the closest rivers, 500 for





167 Colombia and within less than 1 km for the other countries. The case for roads is different since it is really in Brazil 168 where fires were closest to the rivers but within 10 km of the nearest road. It was evident that the null models for rivers 169 and roads were different between countries (Figures 4 C,D). The null model of the CFDs for rivers was very similar for all countries, illustrating that rivers were similarly distributed independent of the country. On the contrary the 170 171 comparison of the null model to the closest roads showed significant differences between countries (Anderson-Darling 172 test, p<0.01). Comparing the curves in Figure 4, the country with the highest road density (theroretical locations closest 173 to roads) in the Amazon was Ecuador, followed by Peru, Colombia and Brazil. In the Supplementary Information we 174 present a summary of the tests undertaken to compare between countries the observed CFDs to the closest river or 175 road in their respective territory.

176

In relation to the occurrence of fires in the deforestation frontier and where the forest is fragmented, all countries presented a significant relation to the distance to the forest edge (Table 2). There were significant differences between countries of the CFDs of the distance of the active fire detection to the forest edge (Supplementary Information 2), both towards inside the forest (Figure 5 A, Anderson-Darling test, $p \le 0.01$) and outside the forest (Figure 5 B, Anderson-Darling test, $p \le 0.01$). The vast majority of fires occur within 500 m outside (Figure 5B) of the forest edge, with Colombia presenting the most fires occurring close to the edge (e.g., 80% within 250 m outside the forest or in the forest).

184

185 Discussion

186 Our results indicated the temporal pattern of fire occurrence in NW Amazon tropical forests was determined by its 187 latitudinal position (North/South) and by the country. Thus, intense fires seasons in the Northern Hemisphere were 188 almost opposite to what is expected in the Southern Hemisphere Amazonia in terms of temporal variability (see Figure 189 1). Fire dynamics is strongly influenced by climate, and indeed the dry season (~July-September) in the southern 190 Amazonia corresponded to a wet season in northern Amazonia and this is a well-established feature. For example, 191 2004/05, 2006/07, and 2009/10 were years of El Nino during dry season in the Northern Hemisphere, while increased 192 SST in the Atlantic Ocean were responsible for the 2005 and 2010 droughts during dry season in the Southern 193 Hemisphere (Phillips et al., 2009; Saatchi et al., 2013). The Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO), for instance, in 194 2004, 2005, 2007 and 2010 also influenced fire patterns, with strongly positive effects north of the equator (Chen et





al., 2011). Despite the intraannual variability, the internannual comparison through the average annual fire density for
the time period studied did not differ significantly between north and south. This indicated that north and south of the
equator may differ in when fire occurs but not on the intensity and land affected, as the two hemispheres have been
equally impacted/affected by fire.

199 Our results also indicated differences in fire patterns between countries. In 2005, fire density was higher in Brazil in 200 association with increased SST in the Atlantic Ocean; and in particular the state of Acre, was the epicenter of the 201 drought (Aragão et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2011). In the case of the states of Amazonas and Acre, AMO had a stronger 202 positive correlation in 2004, 2007, and 2010 (Chen et al., 2011). Colombia had higher fire density in 2004 and 2007, 203 two dry seasons associated with El Niño (Armenteras-Pascual et al., 2011) and also influenced by the AMO (Chen et 204 al., 2013b). For Ecuador, only 2004 and 2005 stood out as the relative higher density years for this country likely 205 associated to the AMO in 2004 and the SST associated 2005 drought. Venezuela, the only country with all its territory 206 in the northern hemisphere for this study, presented a high density of fires in 2004 in association with AMO (Chen et 207 al., 2011) and in the 2007 El Niño year following the same pattern as Colombia. Finally, in 2005 and 2010 Peru 208 presented high densities of fires as expected being in the southern hemisphere. However Peru, stood out in 2007 (a 209 year with particularly more fires in the Northern Hemisphere) for excessive fire density and another peak in 2012. The 210 first could be associated to the AMO, and for the 2012 the SST or Niña year (Marengo et al., 2013) but also this might 211 indicate that above climate there are other factors influencing the occurrence of fire in this country.

212 Regarding the influence of accessibility in fire occurrence, we also found (as obtained in a recent study in the legal 213 Amazon, Kumar et al. 2014) that fires were associated to roads, most of them within 10 km but with a lower 75% of 214 the 90% found in the Legal Amazon. This was likely due to the unavailability of data on unmapped and newly 215 developed roads. Unlike the Legal Amazon study, we did not look at the official and unmapped or unofficial roads 216 because this information is not available yet nor is the year by year road development for most north western 217 Amazonian countries. However and contrary to this study, we found that fires are also strongly associated to rivers, 218 in particular for Colombia, Venezuela and Peru where most fires occur within 1 km of the closest river. The fact that 219 we obtain this result also in Brazil, where Kumar et al. (2014) did not find this association between fires and rivers, is 220 probably due to the fact that they only accounted for navigable rivers. Our study considered the whole river network 221 given the fact that many colonist in the frontier use small boats to access resources in the forest.





222 Our results revealed differing relationships between roads, fragmentation and deforestation between countries. The 223 opening of roads in Ecuador and Peru, related to the oil industry (Espinosa et al., 2014; Finer et al., 2015; Finer and 224 Jenkins, 2012; Finer and Orta-Martínez, 2010; Mäki et al., 2001), might be an explanation for increased fire occurrence 225 and deforestation in these two countries. The departments where some of these developments have occurred have also 226 reported the highest forest loss, particularly in 2009 and 2010 (Potapov et al., 2014). Colombia contains a large area 227 of the undeveloped Amazon and fire is used as a tool to open the colonization frontier. Fire is also used as a pasture 228 management tool once the frontier advances and basic road infrastructures are developed (Armenteras and others 229 2013; Dávalos and others 2014). In Venezuela, although not included in the study due to the poor quality road data, 230 detected fires most likely resulted from expansion of the agricultural frontier (Pacheco et al., 2014).

231 Despite the fact that forest is associated with fire (Cochrane 2003), there was little evidence outside Brazilian Amazon 232 of fire as an edge effect at large scales (Cochrane & Laurance 2002). Our results showed that in this part of the 233 Amazon, most fires occurred close to the edge and as such, fire occurrence was strongly linked in all countries to 234 forest fragmentation. The distance to which fire edge effects were detected in our study (within 2 km of forest interior 235 edge) coincided with previously recorded distances of fire influence of at least 2-3 km in other areas of the Amazon 236 (Armenteras et al., 2013a; Cochrane and Laurance, 2002) or with the 1-2.7 km at which edge desiccating effects 237 penetrated into fragmented forests (Briant et al., 2010). Our results also aligned with other studies in Brazil and 238 Colombia concluding fire frequency increases at the forest edge (Cochrane 2001; Cochrane and Laurance 2002; 239 Armenteras and others 2013). The distance of fire to forest edge in our study (within 2 km of the edge either inside or 240 outside the forest) coincided with previously reported distances of fire influence of at least 2-3 km in other areas of 241 the Amazon (Cochrane and Laurance 2002; Armenteras and others 2013). Some studies argue the majority of fires in 242 Brazil are agricultural fires or escaped fires from managed pastures (Cano-crespo et al., 2015). It is likely that the 243 countries with a higher percentage of fires resulting in deforestation are those countries, such as Colombia, that have 244 most fires closest to the forest edge and less agricultural development (Armenteras and others 2013). Nevertheless, 245 whether a forest fire is an unintended escaped fire or a fire used for forest conversion to other land use, the strong 246 association of fires with both accessibility and fragmentation is an important result worth highlighting for the different 247 countries. Indeed, if burning mostly occurs along forest edges and is also associated to increased access to the forest, all tropical forest edges in all countries are becoming increasingly more exposed to further disturbances. As such there 248 249 might be different levels of impacts and different causes but there are common ecological consequences as an





- 250 increased desiccation affecting forest structure and composition, degrading these forests, decreasing living biomass
- and finally reducing their capacity to act as a carbon sink (Balch et al., 2015; Harper et al., 2005).

252 Conclusions

253 This study showed that, within the same tropical forest biome, there were clear differences between countries in terms 254 of timing of peak fire season (different years, different peaks per country) and that accessibility was associated with 255 increased fires. Forest edge effects occurred equally in all countries and might be worthwhile addressing them either 256 regionally or within each individual country since they are causing forest degradation. All our results underscored the 257 influence not only of climate but more strongly socio-economic factors (van der Werf et al., 2004) in increasing fires 258 driving deforestation. Future management plans for NW Amazonia should consider the potential and synergistic edge 259 effects derived from infrastructure development plans and national climate adaptation and mitigation policies. More 260 frequent fires along increasingly fragmented forests may also have other undesired cascade effects in terms of forest 261 degradation and emissions. Subsequent forest loss should be addressed in the context of REDD strategies or other 262 policy mechanisms implemented locally.

263 Author Contributions 264

DA and JR conceived the idea, designed the analysis and performed data analysis and wrote the manuscript; JSB and
RM analyzed the data. KT contributed to writing part of the manuscript. All authors contributed to revising the
manuscript.

268

269 Acknowledgements

We thank the Fulbright Program for the support given to the first author through the NEXUS Scholar Program. We
also thank the Universidad Nacional de Colombia for its support to Joan Sebastian Barreto through the Programa
Nacional de Iniciación en Investigación, Creación e Innovación de la Universidad Nacional de Colombia 2013–2015
(Código 29122).

274





281 Figures

- Figure 1 Location of the study area, fire hotspots detected for the period 2003-2015 and portion of the territory shared
- amongst countries.
- Figure 2. Interannual variability of satellite detected active fires density (Number/1000sqkm) per latitudinal position
- 285 (North, South)(A) and country (B).
- 286 Figure 3. Monthly average satellite detected active fires density (Number/ 1000sqkm) per latitudinal position (North,
- 287 South)(A) and country (B).
- 288 Figure 4. Cumulative frequency of the observed closest distance of fires to rivers (A) and roads (B) for each country
- and of the null model for distances to rivers (C) and roads (D) for each country.
- 290 Figure 5. Cumulative frequency of the observed closest distance of fires occurring outside (A) and inside the forest
- (B) to the forest edge in 2010 for each country.





293 Tables 294 Table 1 Results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests between the observed and the null model for distances of fire 295 occurrences to roads and rivers in each country. 296 Table 2. Results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests between the observed and the null model for distances of fire 297 occurrence to forest edge (outside or inside the forest) in each country. 298





Figure 1. Location of the study area, fire hotspots detected for the period 2003-2015 and portion of the territory shared

amongst countries.









304 Figure 2. Interannual variability of satellite detected active fires density (Number/ 1000sqkm) per latitudinal position



 $\label{eq:south} \textbf{305} \qquad (North,\,South)(A) \text{ and } country \,(B).$











308 Figure 3. Monthly average satellite detected active fires density (Number/ 1000sqkm) per latitudinal position (North,



309 South)(A) and country (B).







312 Figure 4. Cumulative frequency of the observed closest distance of fires to rivers (A) and roads (B) for each country

313 and of the null model for distances to rivers (C) and roads (D) for each country.

314 A B



315

316 C

D







318

- 319 Figure 5. Cumulative frequency of the observed closest distance of fires occurring outside (A) and inside the forest
- 320 (B) to the forest edge in 2010 for each country.



321







- 325 Table 1 Results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests between the observed and the null model for distances of fire
- 326 occurrences to roads and rivers in each country.

Country	Accessibility	D-statistics	p-value
Brazil	rivers	0.633	< 0.01
Brazil	roads	0.706	< 0.01
Colombia	rivers	0.620	< 0.01
Colombia	roads	0.644	< 0.01
Ecuador	rivers	0.706	< 0.01
Ecuador	roads	0.768	< 0.01
Peru	rivers	0.651	< 0.01
Peru	roads	0.713	< 0.01
Venezuela	rivers	0.702	< 0.01





Table 2. Results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests between the observed and the null model for distances of fire

 occurrence to forest edge (outside or inside the forest) in each country.

Country	Forest edge	D-statistics	p-value
Brazil	outside the forest	0.969	< 0.01
Brazil	inside the forest	0.801	< 0.01
Colombia	outside the forest	0.938	< 0.01
Colombia	inside the forest	0.768	< 0.01
Ecuador	outside the forest	0.978	< 0.01
Ecuador	inside the forest	0.705	< 0.01
Peru	outside the forest	0.940	< 0.01
Peru	inside the forest	0.687	< 0.01
Venezuela	outside the forest	0.927	< 0.01
Venezuela	inside the forest	0.737	< 0.01





References

Adeney, J. M., Christensen, N. L. and Pimm, S. L.: Reserves protect against deforestation fires in the Amazon., PLoS One, 4(4), e5014, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005014, 2009.

Aragão, L. E. O. C., Malhi, Y., Roman-Cuesta, R. M., Saatchi, S., Anderson, L. O. and Shimabukuro, Y. E.: Spatial patterns and fire response of recent Amazonian droughts, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(7), 1–5, doi:10.1029/2006GL028946, 2007.

Aragão, L. E. O. C., Malhi, Y., Barbier, N., Lima, A., Shimabukuro, Y., Anderson, L. and Saatchi, S.: Interactions between rainfall, deforestation and fires during recent years in the Brazilian Amazonia., Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.
B. Biol. Sci., 363(1498), 1779–1785, doi:10.1098/rstb.2007.0026, 2008.

Armenteras, D. and Retana, J.: Dynamics, patterns and causes of fires in Northwestern Amazonia., edited by B. Bond-Lamberty, PLoS One, 7(4), e35288, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035288, 2012.

Armenteras, D., Rudas, G., Rodríguez, N., Sua, S. and Romero, M.: Patterns and causes of deforestation in the Colombian Amazon, Ecol. Indic., 6(2), 353–368, doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2005.03.014, 2006.

Armenteras, D., González, T. M. and Retana, J.: Forest fragmentation and edge influence on fire occurrence and intensity under different management types in Amazon forests, Biol. Conserv., 159, 73–79, doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2012.10.026, 2013a.

Armenteras, D., Rodríguez, N. and Retana, J.: Landscape Dynamics in Northwestern Amazonia: An Assessment of Pastures, Fire and Illicit Crops as Drivers of Tropical Deforestation, edited by D. Q. Fuller, PLoS One, 8(1), e54310, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054310, 2013b.

Armenteras, D., Gibbes, C., Vivacqua, C., Espinosa, J., Duleba, W., Goncalves, F. and Castro, C.: Interactions between Climate, Land Use and Vegetation Fire Occurrences in El Salvador, Atmosphere (Basel)., 7(2), 26, doi:10.3390/atmos7020026, 2016.

Armenteras-Pascual, D., Retana-Alumbreros, J., Molowny-Horas, R., Roman-Cuesta, R. M., Gonzalez-Alonso, F. and Morales-Rivas, M.: Characterising fire spatial pattern interactions with climate and vegetation in Colombia,





Agric. For. Meteorol., 151(3), 279-289, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.11.002, 2011.

Asner, G. P. and Alencar, A.: Drought impacts on the Amazon forest: the remote sensing perspective., New Phytol., 187(3), 569–578, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03310.x, 2010.

Balch, J. K., Brando, P. M., Nepstad, D. C., Coe, M. T., Silvério, D., Massad, T. J., Davidson, E. A., Lefebvre, P., Oliveira-Santos, C., Rocha, W., Cury, R. T. S., Parsons, A. and Carvalho, K. S.: The Susceptibility of Southeastern Amazon Forests to Fire: Insights from a Large-Scale Burn Experiment, Bioscience, 65(9), 893–905, doi:10.1093/biosci/biv106, 2015.

Barber, C. P., Cochrane, M. a., Souza, C. M. and Laurance, W. F.: Roads, deforestation, and the mitigating effect of protected areas in the Amazon, Biol. Conserv., 177, 203–209, doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2014.07.004, 2014.

Barlow, J. and Peres, C. a: Ecological responses to el Niño-induced surface fires in central Brazilian Amazonia: management implications for flammable tropical forests., Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci., 359(1443), 367–380, doi:10.1098/rstb.2003.1423, 2004.

Bowman, D. M. J. S., Balch, J. K., Artaxo, P., Bond, W. J., Carlson, J. M., Cochrane, M. A., D'Antonio, C. M.,
Defries, R. S., Doyle, J. C., Harrison, S. P., Johnston, F. H., Keeley, J. E., Krawchuk, M. A., Kull, C. a, Marston, J. B., Moritz, M. a, Prentice, I. C., Roos, C. I., Scott, A. C., Swetnam, T. W., van der Werf, G. R., Pyne, S. J., Antonio, C. M. D. and Werf, G. R. Van Der: Fire in the Earth System, Science, 324(April), 481–484,
doi:10.1126/science.1163886, 2009.

Brando, P. M., Coe, M. T., DeFries, R. and Azevedo, A. A.: Ecology, economy and management of an agroindustrial frontier landscape in the southeast Amazon., Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci., 368(1619), 20120152, doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0152, 2013.

Briant, G., Gond, V. and Laurance, S. G. W.: Habitat fragmentation and the desiccation of forest canopies: A case study from eastern Amazonia, Biol. Conserv., 143(11), 2763–2769, doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2010.07.024, 2010.

Brown, I. F., Schroeder, W., Setzer, A., De Los Rios Maldonado, M., Pantoja, N., Duarte, A. and Marengo, J.: Monitoring fires in southwestern Amazonia Rain Forests, Eos, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union, 87(26), 253, doi:10.1029/2006EO260001, 2006.





Cano-crespo, A., Oliveira, P. J. C., Boit, A., Cardoso, M. and Thonicke, K.: Forest edge burning in the Brazilian Amazon promoted by escaping fires from managed pastures, , 1–13, doi:10.1002/2015JG002914.Received, 2015. Center for International Earth Science Information Network - CIESIN - Columbia University and of Georgia, I. T. O. S.-I.-U.: Global Roads Open Access Data Set, Version 1 (gROADSv1), NASA Socioecon. Data Appl. Cent., doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.7927/H4VD6WCT, 2013.

Chen, Y., Randerson, J. T., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Collatz, G. J., Kasibhatla, P. S., Giglio, L., Jin, Y. and Marlier, M. E.: Forecasting Fire Season Severity in South America Using Sea Surface Temperature Anomalies, Science (80-.)., 334(6057), 787–791, doi:10.1126/science.1209472, 2011.

Chen, Y., Morton, D. C., Jin, Y., Collatz, G. J., Kasibhatla, P. S., van der Werf, G. R., DeFries, R. S. and Randerson, J. T.: Long-term trends and interannual variability of forest, savanna and agricultural fires in South America, Carbon Manag., 4(6), 617–638, doi:10.4155/cmt.13.61, 2013a.

Chen, Y., Velicogna, I., Famiglietti, J. S. and Randerson, J. T.: Satellite observations of terrestrial water storage provide early warning information about drought and fire season severity in the Amazon, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 118(2), 495–504, doi:10.1002/jgrg.20046, 2013b.

Chuvieco, E., Opazo, S., Sione, W., Del Valle, H., Anaya, J. a., Di Bella, C., Cruz, I., Manzo, L., Lopez, G., Mari, N., González-Alonso, F., Morelli, F., Setzer, A., Csiszar, I., Kanpandegi, J. A., Bastarrika, A. and Libonati, R.: Global burned-land estimation in Latin America using MODIS composite data, Ecol. Appl., 18(1), 64–79 [online] Available from: http://www.esajournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1890/06-2148.1, 2008.

Cochrane, M.: Tropical fire ecology: climate change, land use and ecosystem dynamics, book, Springer. [online] Available from:

http://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=6J6fWSULMVEC&oi=fnd&pg=PR17&dq=Tropical+fire+ecology. +Climate+change,+land+use+and+ecosystem+dynamics&ots=ug6YVQCP4-

&sig=IajVrsgwxwemcrPKGByu3w_W8v0, 2009.

Cochrane, M. A.: Synergistic Interactions between Habitat Fragmentation and Fire in Evergreen Tropical Forests, Conserv. Biol., 15(6), 1515–1521, 2001.





Cochrane, M. A.: Fire science for rainforests, Nature, 421, 913-918, 2003.

Cochrane, M. A. and Barber, C. P.: Climate change, human land use and future fires in the Amazon, Glob. Chang. Biol., 15(3), 601–612, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01786.x, 2009.

Cochrane, M. A. and Laurance, W. F.: Fire as a large-scale edge effect in Amazonian forests, J. Trop. Ecol., 18(3), 311–325, doi:10.1017/S0266467402002237, 2002.

Cochrane, M. A. and Schulze, M. D.: Fire as a Recurrent Event in Tropical Forests of the Eastern Amazon : Effects on Forest Structure, Biomass, and Species Composition ', Biotropica, 31(March 1999), 2–16, 1999.

Dávalos, L. M., Holmes, J. S., Rodríguez, N. and Armenteras, D.: Demand for beef is unrelated to pasture expansion in northwestern Amazonia, Biol. Conserv., 170, 64–73, doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.12.018, 2014.

Espinosa, S., Branch, L. C. and Cueva, R.: Road development and the geography of hunting by an amazonian indigenous group: Consequences for wildlife conservation, PLoS One, 9(12), 1–21, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114916, 2014.

Fearnside, P. M. and Barbosa, R. I.: Accelerating deforestation in Brazilian Amazonia: towards answering open questions, Environ. Conserv., 31(1), 7–10, doi:10.1017/S0376892904001055, 2004.

Fearnside, P. M., Righi, C. A., Graça, P. M. L. D. A., Keizer, E. W. H., Cerri, C. C., Nogueira, E. M. and Barbosa,
R. I.: Biomass and greenhouse-gas emissions from land-use change in Brazil's Amazonian "arc of deforestation":
The states of Mato Grosso and Rondônia, For. Ecol. Manage., 258(9), 1968–1978,
doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.07.042, 2009.

Finer, M. and Jenkins, C. N.: Proliferation of hydroelectric dams in the Andean Amazon and implications for Andes-Amazon connectivity., PLoS One, 7(4), e35126, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035126, 2012.

Finer, M. and Orta-Martínez, M.: A second hydrocarbon boom threatens the Peruvian Amazon: trends, projections, and policy implications, Environ. Res. Lett., 5, 14012, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/5/1/014012, 2010.

Finer, M., Babbitt, B., Novoa, S., Ferrarese, F., Pappalardo, S. E., Marchi, M. De, Saucedo, M. and Kumar, A.: Future of oil and gas development in the western Amazon, Environ. Res. Lett., 10(2), 6, doi:10.1088/1748-





9326/10/2/024003, 2015.

Flannigan, M. D., Krawchuk, M. a., de Groot, W. J., Wotton, B. M. and Gowman, L. M.: Implications of changing climate for global wildland fire, Int. J. Wildl. Fire, 18(5), 483, doi:10.1071/WF08187, 2009.

Harper, K. A., Macdonald, S. E., Burton, P. J., Chen, J., Euskirchen, N. I. E. S., Brosofske, K. D., Saunders, S. C., Eug, E., Roberts, D. A. R., Jaiteh, M. S. and Esseen, P.: Edge Influence on Forest Structure and Composition in Fragmented Landscapes, Conserv. Biol., 19(3), 768–782, 2005.

Kauffman, J. B. and Uhl, C.: Interactions of Anthropogenic Activities, Fire, and Rain Forests in the Amazon Basin, in Fire in the Tropical Biota, vol. 84, edited by J. G. Goldammer, pp. 117–134, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg., 1990.

Kinnaird, M. F. and O'Brien, T. G.: Ecological Effects of Wildfire on Lowland Rainforest in Sumatra, Conserv. Biol., 12(5), 954–956, doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.1998.012005954.x, 1998.

Kirby, K. R., Laurance, W. F., Albernaz, A. K., Schroth, G., Fearnside, P. M., Bergen, S., Venticinque, E. M. and da Costa, C.: The future of deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon, Futures, 38(4), 432–453, doi:10.1016/j.futures.2005.07.011, 2006.

Kumar, S. S., Roy, D. P., Cochrane, M. a., Souza, C. M., Barber, C. P. and Boschetti, L.: A quantitative study of the proximity of satellite detected active fires to roads and rivers in the Brazilian tropical moist forest biome, Int. J. Wildl. Fire, 23(4), 532–543, doi:10.1071/WF13106, 2014.

Laurance, W. F., Albernaz, A. K. M., Schroth, G., Fearnside, P. M., Bergen, S., Venticinque, E. M. and Da Costa, C.: Predictors of deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon, J. Biogeogr., 29(5–6), 737–748, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2002.00721.x, 2002.

Lehner, B., Verdin, K. and Jarvis, A.: New global hydrography derived from spaceborne elevation data, Eos (Washington. DC)., 89(10), 93–94, doi:10.1029/2008EO100001, 2008.

Lewis, S. L., Brando, P. M., Phillips, O. L., van der Heijden, G. M. F. and Nepstad, D.: The 2010 Amazon drought., Science, 331(6017), 554, doi:10.1126/science.1200807, 2011.





Lima, A., Silva, T. S. F., de Aragão, L. E. O. e C., de Feitas, R. M., Adami, M., Formaggio, A. R. and Shimabukuro, Y. E.: Land use and land cover changes determine the spatial relationship between fire and deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon, Appl. Geogr., 34, 239–246, doi:10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.10.013, 2012.

Mäki, S., Kalliola, R. and Vuorinen, K. A. I.: Road construction in the Peruvian Amazon : process, causes and consequences, Environ. Conserv., 28(3), 199–214, 2001.

Malhi, Y. and Wright, J.: Spatial patterns and recent trends in the climate of tropical rainforest regions., Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci., 359(1443), 311–329, doi:10.1098/rstb.2003.1433, 2004.

Malhi, Y., Roberts, J. T., Betts, R. a, Killeen, T. J., Li, W. and Nobre, C. a: Climate change, deforestation, and the fate of the Amazon., Science, 319(5860), 169–172, doi:10.1126/science.1146961, 2008.

Malhi, Y., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Galbraith, D., Huntingford, C., Fisher, R., Zelazowski, P., Sitch, S., McSweeney, C. and Meir, P.: Exploring the likelihood and mechanism of a climate-change-induced dieback of the Amazon rainforest., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 106(49), 20610–20615, doi:10.1073/pnas.0804619106, 2009.

Marengo, J., Nobre, C. a., Tomasella, J., Oyama, M. D., de Oliveira, G. S., de Oliveira, R., Camargo, H., Alves, L. M. and Brown, I. F.: The drought of Amazonia in 2005, J. Clim., 21(3), 495–516, doi:10.1175/2007JCLI1600.1, 2008a.

Marengo, J. a, Nobre, C. a, Tomasella, J., Cardoso, M. F. and Oyama, M. D.: Hydro-climate and ecological behaviour of the drought of Amazonia in 2005., Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci., 363(1498), 1773–1778, doi:10.1098/rstb.2007.0015, 2008b.

Marengo, J. A.: Interannual variability of surface climate in the Amazon basin, Int. J. Climatol., 12(March), 853–863, doi:10.1002/joc.3370120808, 1992.

Marengo, J. A. and Tomasella, J.: Trends in streamflow and rainfall in tropical South America: Amazonia, eastern Brazil, and northwestern Peru, J. Geophys. Res., 103(D2), 1775–1783, 1998.

Marengo, J. A., Alves, L. M., Soares, W. R., Rodriguez, D. A., Camargo, H., Riveros, M. P. and Pabl??, A. D.: Two contrasting severe seasonal extremes in tropical South America in 2012: Flood in Amazonia and drought in





Northeast Brazil, J. Clim., 26(22), 9137-9154, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00642.1, 2013.

Morton, D. C., Shimabukuro, Y. E., Rudorff, B. F. T., Freitas, R. M. and Defries, R. S.: Conservation challenge at the agricultural frontier : deforestation, fire, and land use dynamics in Mato Grosso Desafios para conservação nas áreas de fronteiras agrícolas : desflorestamento, fogo e dinâmica do uso da terra no Mato Grosso, Rev. Ambient. e Agua, 2(1), 5–20, 2007.

Nepstad, D., Carvalho, G., Cristina, A., Alencar, A., Paulo, Ä., Bishop, J., Moutinho, P., Lefebvre, P., Lopes, U., Jr, S. and Prins, E.: Road paving, fire regime feedbacks, and the future of Amazon forests, For. Ecol. Manage., 154, 395–407, 2001.

Pacheco, C. E., Aguado, M. I. and Mollicone, D.: Identification and characterization of deforestation hot spots in Venezuela using MODIS satellite images, Acta Amaz., 44(2), 185–196, doi:10.1590/S0044-59672014000200004, 2014.

Phillips, O. L., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Lewis, S. L., Fisher, J. B., Lloyd, J., López-González, G., Malhi, Y.,
Monteagudo, A., Peacock, J., Quesada, C. a, van der Heijden, G., Almeida, S., Amaral, I., Arroyo, L., Aymard, G.,
Baker, T. R., Bánki, O., Blanc, L., Bonal, D., Brando, P., Chave, J., de Oliveira, A. C. A., Cardozo, N. D., Czimczik,
C. I., Feldpausch, T. R., Freitas, M. A., Gloor, E., Higuchi, N., Jiménez, E., Lloyd, G., Meir, P., Mendoza, C.,
Morel, A., Neill, D. A., Nepstad, D., Patiño, S., Peñuela, M. C., Prieto, A., Ramírez, F., Schwarz, M., Silva, J.,
Silveira, M., Thomas, A. S., Steege, H. Ter, Stropp, J., Vásquez, R., Zelazowski, P., Alvarez Dávila, E., Andelman,
S., Andrade, A., Chao, K., Erwin, T., Di Fiore, A., Honorio C, E., Keeling, H., Killeen, T. J., Laurance, W. F., Peña
Cruz, A., Pitman, N. C. A., Núñez Vargas, P., Ramírez-Angulo, H., Rudas, A., Salamão, R., Silva, N., Terborgh, J.
and Torres-Lezama, A.: Drought sensitivity of the Amazon rainforest., Science, 323(5919), 1344–1347,
doi:10.1126/science.1164033, 2009.

Potapov, P. V, Dempewolf, J., Talero, Y., Hansen, M. C., Stehman, S. V, Vargas, C., Rojas, E. J., Castillo, D., Mendoza, E., Calderón, a, Giudice, R., Malaga, N. and Zutta, B. R.: National satellite-based humid tropical forest change assessment in Peru in support of REDD+ implementation, Environ. Res. Lett., 9(12), 124012, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/12/124012, 2014.

Ray, D., Nepstad, D. and Moutinho, P.: MICROMETEOROLOGICAL AND CANOPY CONTROLS OF FIRE





SUSCEPTIBILITY IN A FORESTED AMAZON LANDSCAPE, Ecol. Appl., 15(5), 1664–1678, doi:10.1890/05-0404, 2005.

Saatchi, S., Asefi-Najafabady, S., Malhi, Y., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Anderson, L. O., Myneni, R. B. and Nemani, R.: Persistent effects of a severe drought on Amazonian forest canopy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 110(2), 565–70, doi:10.1073/pnas.1204651110, 2013.

Salati, E.: The forest and the hydrological cycle, in The Geophysiology of Amazonia. Vegetation and Climate Interactions, edited by R. E. Dickinson, pp. 273–296, John Wiley & Sons, New York., 1987.

Salati, E. and Vose, P. B.: Amazon basin: a system in equilibrium., Science, 225(4658), 129–138, doi:10.1126/science.225.4658.129, 1984.

Scholz, F. W. and Stephens, M. A.: K-sample Anderson-Darling tests., J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 82(399), 918–924, 1987.

Shimada, M., Itoh, T., Motooka, T., Watanabe, M., Shiraishi, T., Thapa, R. and Lucas, R.: New global forest/nonforest maps from ALOS PALSAR data (2007–2010), Remote Sens. Environ., 155(MAY), 13–31, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2014.04.014, 2014.

UNEP: GeoAmazonia, edited by UNEP, book. [online] Available from: United, 2009.

van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Collatz, G. J., Giglio, L., Kasibhatla, P. S., Arellano, A. F., Olsen, S. C. and Kasischke, E. S.: Continental-scale partitioning of fire emissions during the 1997 to 2001 El Niño/La Niña period., Science, 303(5654), 73–76, doi:10.1126/science.1090753, 2004.