

Interactive comment on "Complex controls on nitrous oxide flux across a long elevation gradient in the tropical Peruvian Andes" by Torsten Diem et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 8 May 2017

Diem et al. report on a remarkably large and comprehensive set of observations and experiments examining N2O fluxes across the Kosnipata tropical elevation gradient in Peru. This was clearly a lot of work. The combination of high temporal resolution chamber observations with WFPS, 15N and litter experiments makes the study particularly compelling. I have four suggestions. First, there a few aspects of the 15N tracer work that require further clarification. Second, I recommend the authors consider scaling their observations to annual values. Third, depending on details of the 15N tracer methods, I suggest the authors consider making use of the N2: N2O flux ratios from the incubations to estimate total N gas losses from these ecosystems if appropriate. Finally, I think the authors could do a better job at contextualizing their work

C1

with reference to other studies and its global implications.

15N tracers: It would appear that the WFPS experiment was not a true "tracer" experiment but is also a N addition experiment and is therefore confounded. For the lower elevation sites, 200 ug N/g soil is not trivial. Are you sure that the background NO3 values are correct? The reported NO3-N values from soil extractions of $\sim\!150$ ug/g are approximately 5-10 times higher than those observed in across most high N oldgrowth tropical forests worldwide. Tracer experiments often add < 0.5 ug/g at 15NO3 of $\sim\!99$ atom percent. Further, unless I missed it, there is no description of the isotopic enrichment levels (per mil or atom percent). This needs to be included.

Scaling: Given the seasonal representation of the sampling, I think annual scaling could be justified. When scaled annually, the mean N2O-N emissions (0.27 mg N m-2 day-1) would be \sim 0.98 kg N ha-1 yr-1 with peak fluxes of $\sim\!\!2.7$ kg N ha-1 yr-1. On average, chamber studies and models find that N2O losses from undisturbed humid tropical soils are $\sim\!\!1$ -4 kg N ha-1 yr-1 (See van Lent et al. Biogeosciences 2015 and Werner et al. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 2007). So, these values fit right in.

N2 fluxes: Given the response to the first point above, I suggest considering approximating total N gas losses from these ecosystems. Despite potential artifactual contributions of the incubations (disturbance, N additions) one could calculate rough N2 losses assuming equal N2:N2O ratios at a given WFPS as measured during the chamber work. This could be insightful as there are many chamber-based N2O estimates for tropical forests published but very few for total N gas fluxes because it's difficult to measure. Eyeballing the 15N2 versus 15N2O flux ratios ($\sim\!20$ to 80) and applying these to the chamber observations would yield N2 fluxes of $\sim\!20-216$ kg N ha-1 yr-1. The lower-end flux is possible (see Fang et al. PNAS 2015) but the upper end estimate is highly unlikely. Such total N export rates could never persist in a near-equilibrium forest as even the lower end is higher than average N mineralization and annual plant uptake and far exceeds external N inputs in tropical forests (see Brookshire et al. Geophysical Research Letters 2017).

The beauty of the Kosnipata gradient is that it represents a quasi-space-for-climate change substitution. More could be done with this context in the introduction and discussion. Further there are many other papers examining denitrification in tropical land-scapes (some of them mentioned here) that would benefit the narrative to include.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2017-107, 2017.