The authors responded well to the reviewer comments, and I think the paper is essentially suitable for publication. I noticed the following minor corrections on re-reading the paper:

l. 103: I would not call this "measurement errors"
104-105: "which cannot be assessed" - an overstatement, considering
that there is an entire TransCom project that has been devoted to
doing exactly that

128: good to give a citation for the R package

334: ; -> ,

340: "barely correlated" — please also give a numerical value

346: were -> where