

Author's final comments

I agree with all comments made by all reviewers and have followed all suggestions. Of the larger changes, I have;

1. included a final section '5. Discussion and summary', where I have added all of the requested additional discussion.
2. Changed the Table to include extra studies and a column indicating positive/negative or no change
3. Figure 1, I have added to the caption to better explain the role of nutrients

"Ice Acidification: A review of the effects of ocean acidification on sea ice microbial communities" by Andrew McMinn

Anonymous Referee #1

Andrew McMinn has done a good job summarizing the (relatively scarce) literature that is available about effects of ocean acidification on sea ice microorganisms. I think this is an important topic, and I am generally in favor of the publication of this type of synthesis paper. However, I do believe that the author needs to elaborate the review paper before it is ready for publication. I feel like the manuscript summarizes the main findings from the studies quite well, but I am lacking key points and overall conclusions from the synthesis of these papers. I would like to see more emphasis on general conclusions that can be drawn from reviewing the literature, other than a collection of findings (albeit solid and worth publishing). More specifically, my key points are:

I am lacking a section about future directions on the topic (and perhaps an overall summary in the end of the review). What are the key points lacking in the field of sea ice acidification and how should we best approach them? For instance, the author discusses strong pH fluctuations in sea ice – how can we best address these fluctuations experimentally?

I would also like to see that the author comments on the technical issues working with ocean acidification in sea ice – is there a "best practice" approach for doing it? The author lists a number of approaches (e.g. cultures, brine communities, in situ experiments). Ocean acidification experiments are relatively complicated to perform by themselves, considering the effort needed to control the CO₂-system well. Doing it in sea ice may be intimidating for scientists new to sea ice work. I believe that suggestions for a best practice approach would be very helpful to our community to increase the scientific effort on the topic (especially in the Arctic).

RESPONSE: I agree with all the above comments and have now added an extra section '5. Discussion and Summary' at the end of the manuscript where I address all these points. In this section I discuss future directions, technical issues and best practice, and a summary

Why do you think everything is performed in the Southern Ocean? Would you expect to see differences in the Arctic, considering the differences in sea ice characteristics?

I would also be happy to hear about the author's opinion about the importance of ice acidification in relation to planktonic acidification. By the limited number of published studies available on ice algae, can you draw any conclusions about the sensitivity of sea ice

algae compared to phytoplankton? Is ocean acidification more important in the water mass than in sea ice?

RESPONSE: There are currently no published studies based on the Arctic, although I know of a couple in progress. However, I have added a paragraph at the end of section 4.1 to indicate Arctic bottom communities are expected to respond in a similar manner to Antarctic communities.

I am also a bit reluctant about Figure 1. It describes a highly generalized seasonal cycle of pH and nutrients. Why are nutrients there, and what type of nutrients is the author referring to (I am assuming inorganic macronutrients)? Nutrients are never discussed in relation to Figure 1 in the text, so please elaborate on this. Some nutrients (mainly phosphorous) are accumulated in the brine before the melt in summer (Fripiat et al 2017, Elementa), so I think it is important to note that this figure is quite generalized.

RESPONSE: I like this diagram and want to leave it in. I accept the reviewer's comments, however, and have expanded the caption to better explain its meaning. I have also added a sentence to the introduction describing the expulsion of nutrients during ice formation (p2, Ln 16).

I would also like to bring some additional papers to the author's attention, which are not discussed in the review but could perhaps be relevant for the discussion. The main papers that I am referring to are Barr et al (2017, Limnology and oceanography: Methods), Sogaard et al (2011, Polar Biology) and Torstensson et al (2013, Biogeosciences).

RESPONSE: Added Barr et al. 2017 and Torstensson et al. 2013 to refs, Torstensson et al. 2013 is now also in Table 1. I haven't added Sogaard et al. 2013 as they only look at elevated pH, i.e ocean alkalization not acidification.

Minor points:

Title: Please revise the title so that it starts with "Reviews and synthesis:", according to the journal's instructions.

RESPONSE: Changed as suggested

P3, L31: Please clarify "ice water interface (surface communities)". This term might be confusing for a reader who lacks knowledge about surface flooding (if that is what the author is referring to). I believe that many readers could misinterpret the "ice-water interface" as the bottom community.

RESPONSE: Changed to snow-ice interface

P4, L7-11: This section needs some elaboration. Please explain how it relates to ocean acidification studies and the context of this review.

RESPONSE: I have added some extra context here, emphasizing that different groups have differing physiological responses depending on whether they have a CCM or the type of RuBisCo present (p4, Ln 15-24)

P5, L6-8: Please elaborate this statement. I would imagine that temperature would affect sea ice thickness, melt pond formation and less multiyear ice (in the Arctic at least), which definitely have large impacts on the environment in sea ice.

RESPONSE: I am trying to focus on physiological responses here. Phytoplankton will need to respond to increased temperatures, sea ice algae will not. I have restructured this paragraph to make this clearer. I agree that there will be impacts on the physical and chemical structures.

P7, L6-12: What about indirect physiological effects on bacterial communities from changes in algal physiology? Engel et al (2013, Biogeosciences) reported elevated bacterioplankton growth due to shifted nutrient stoichiometry and DOC production in Arctic phytoplankton.

RESPONSE: I have added some general comments about bacteria and phytoplankton but there is very little specific information about sea ice. I have also added the Engel reference, although it relates to polar phytoplankton.

Table 1: Would a column with a short summary of the results (e.g. positive/negative/no effect) be in place in this table? That way this could be a central Table summarizing the whole review quite well.

RESPONSE: I have added the extra column and included a more detailed Table caption

Anonymous Referee #1

Additional comment:

I would like the author to carefully check the bibliography. There are a number of papers missing in the reference list, that are listed in the text and vice versa. For instance, Søggaard et al (2011) is listed in the bibliography, but never in the text. Young et al (2015) and Ugalde et al (2014) are referred to in the text, but it is missing in the bibliography, as far as I can see. There might be more instances, so please make sure that the referencing is in order.

RESPONSE: Young et al. and Ugalde et al. have been added to references. I have removed Søggaard et al (2011), who only discuss elevated pH.

Anonymous Referee #2

General comment Ocean acidification (OA) is a hot topic that received increasing attention during the last 10 years or so. Several experimental studies have been conducted to assess the sensitivity of phytoplankton and other marine organisms to the predicted changes in pH/CO₂ concentrations. Bacteria and microalgae living in the sea ice will also be exposed to changes in pH. Few studies so far attended to determine the sensitivity of these microorganisms to changes in pH/CO₂. This paper reviews their main findings. The paper reads well and provides a good overview of our state of knowledge. Although bacteria are also considered here, the focus is clearly on sea ice algae. The paper offers a good balance between the factual review of the findings from the different papers and more personal viewpoints. Surprisingly for such specific and relatively recent topic, the number of published papers is large enough to justify a re- view. The main conclusion is that ice-related organisms are generally quite resistant

I would recommend to:

Provide an estimate of the relative importance of bottom, brines, and top ice assemblages to the annual primary productivity. In the present version of the paper, algae living in these different ice 'habitats' seem to be equally important in terms of PP which is not the case.

RESPONSE: I have modified this section to explain that each of these communities can be dominant in different seasons and different locations.

Present the information on bacteria and algae in different sections.

RESPONSE: Now broken into different sections, as suggested

Specific comments

P1, 10: . . .than marine phytoplankton. . .Note that coastal and even more estuarine phytoplankton are also subjected to large variations in pH taking place at different time scales.

RESPONSE: **RESPONSE:** Yes, I have added 'like some coastal and estuarine phytoplankton'

P1, 23: . . .on bacterial growth. . .

Added 'bacterial'

P2, 20-21: Is this seasonality found all over the SO or only in the marginal ice zone? The 2-3 examples provided in the paragraph are all from the near coastal waters.

RESPONSE: Added 'in the seasonally ice-covered waters'

P2, 32: . . .CO₂ concentration in. . .?

RESPONSE: Added 'in brine channels'

P3, 14-19: This paragraph disrupts the flow of the paper.

RESPONSE: I have now removed this paragraph to the final section

P3, 14: . . .form later in the season and melt sooner. . .Yes but the extent of sea ice tends to decrease over most the Antarctica waters.

RESPONSE: Changed to 'sea ice extent will inevitably decrease and the ice will form later in the season and melt sooner'.

P3, 30: . . .The biological communities can be. . .Here the author should refer to the previous studies describing these different assemblages (ex. Cota et al. 1991, Horner et al. 1992, and the more recent one by Bluhm et al. 2017). In Antarctica ice, infiltration assemblages are important at time. They are not mentioned in the review.

RESPONSE: Added Horner 1992, Bluhm et al 2017, Arrigo 2014.

Infiltration communities are a type of surface community, which are discussed here extensively. Recent reviews (eg Arrigo 2014) refer to infiltration communities to as 'surface communities' and do not specifically emphasize the mechanism of their formation. I have added 'infiltration to the first time I comment on surface communities in both the abstract and the section on biological communities (p1 ln 15; p4 ln 4).

P4, 9: ...communities (add Bluhm et al. 2017 in the list. . .

RESPONSE: Added Bluhm et al. 2017

P4, 28: . . .diatoms, which also show increased. . .I am not sure 'also' fits well here since there are several mentions before (and after. . .) of no or negative effects of high CO2 on phytoplankton growth. This could be confusing.

RESPONSE: Deleted 'also'

P5, 4: . . .which affects average. . .

Changed to 'affects'

Changed to 'affects'

P5, 32: Delete 'at all'.

RESPONSE: Lannuzel et al. 2011 is correct

P6, 1: . . .the important. . .delete 'important' or explain why this species is important.

RESPONSE: Deleted

P6, 2: . . .Unlike most previous experiments, growth was not stimulated. . .Why 'unlike'? You previously mentioned other studies showing no effect of high CO2 on phytoplankton growth.

RESPONSE: Deleted 'unlike most previous studies'

P6, 9-12: This paragraph will better fit at the end in a 'summary' section. P6, 30: . .

.demanding function for species with CCMs. . .

RESPONSE: I have moved this section to the final 'Discussion and Summary' section

P7, 3: . . .how these processes. . .Which processes? Please be more specific.

RESPONSE: Added 'the presence or up/down regulation of CCMs'

P7, 10: . . .Likewise. . .The author should explain why bacterial growth increase with increasing CO2 concentrations.

RESPONSE: Added 'because of increased DOC production'

P7, 14: . . .Sea ice ecosystems. . .The idea developed in this paragraph is interesting but is not well introduced. The paper needs a proper conclusion section starting with a short summary of the main findings, followed by the limitations identified (ex. age of the culture), and ending perhaps with the importance of considering the full life cycle of the species.

RESPONSE: I have added a new section '5. Discussion and summary' This section expands on the ideas suggested here and adds a final summary.

Reviews and syntheses: Ice Acidification, the effects of ocean acidification on sea ice microbial communities

Andrew McMinn¹

5

¹Institute of Marine and Antarctic Science, University of Tasmania, Hobart 7001, Tasmania, Australia

Correspondence to: Andrew McMinn (andrew.mcminn@utas.edu.au)

10 **Abstract.** Sea ice algae, like some coastal and estuarine phytoplankton, are naturally exposed to a wider range of pH and CO₂ concentrations than those in open marine seas. While climate change and ocean acidification (OA) will impact pelagic communities, their effects on sea ice microbial communities remains unclear.

Sea ice contains several distinct microbial communities, which are exposed to differing environmental conditions depending on their depth within the ice. Bottom communities mostly experience relatively benign bulk ocean properties, while interior
15 brine and surface (infiltration) communities experience much greater extremes.

Most OA studies have examined the impacts on single sea ice algae species in culture. Although some studies examined the effects of OA alone, most examined the effects of OA and either light, nutrients or temperature. With few exceptions, increased CO₂ concentration caused either no change or an increase in growth and/or photosynthesis. *In situ* studies of brine and surface algae also demonstrated a wide tolerance to increased and decreased pH and showed increased growth at higher
20 CO₂ concentrations. The short time period of most experiments (<10 days) together with limited genetic diversity (i.e. use of only a single strain), however, has been identified as a limitation to the broader interpretation of results.

While there have been few studies on the effects of OA on the growth of marine bacterial communities in general, impacts appear to be minimal. In sea ice also, the few reports available suggest no negative impacts on bacterial growth or community richness.

25 Sea ice ecosystems are ephemeral, melting and re-forming each year. Thus, for some part of each year organisms inhabiting the ice must also survive outside of the ice, either as part of the phytoplankton or as resting spores on the bottom. During these times, they will be exposed to the full range of co-stressors that pelagic organisms experience. Their ability to continue to make a major contribution to sea ice productivity will depend not only on their ability to survive in the ice but also on their ability to survive the increasing seawater temperatures, changing distribution of nutrients and declining pH forecast for
30 the water column over the next centuries.

1 Introduction

Sea ice is widely recognized as one of the most extreme habitable environments on earth (Thomas and Dieckmann, 2004, Martin and McMinn 2017). Organisms living within it can be exposed to temperatures of below -20°C and salinities greater than 200 for extended periods of time (Arrigo, 2014; Thomas and Dieckmann, 2004). These environments also endure long periods of darkness and extremes in nutrient concentration, dissolved gases (O_2 , CO_2) and pH (Thomas and Dieckmann 2004 McMinn et al., 2014). In spite of these conditions, some sea ice habitats are very productive and often support a dense microbial biomass (Arrigo, 2014). They also play a key supporting role in maintaining energy flow through polar marine food webs in winter and spring when sea ice covers much of the ocean surface and there is very little pelagic primary production (Arrigo and Thomas, 2004).

During the annual cycle of sea ice formation and melting, associated organisms are naturally exposed to a large range in gas concentrations, including CO_2 . Gases are expelled from the forming ice in autumn and winter and become concentrated in the trapped brine (Fig. 1). Consequently, there is a relationship between the CO_2 concentration of the brine and that of the original sea water. As dissolved CO_2 concentrations continue to rise with ocean acidification (OA), there will also be a commensurate increase in the CO_2 concentration in the trapped brine. Macronutrients are also expelled from growing ice and are also concentrated in the brine in autumn but taken up for cell growth in subsequent months (Fig. 1). This review will examine the effects of increasing CO_2 concentrations and decreasing pH on sea ice microbial communities. The review focuses on Antarctic sea ice communities as no Arctic studies have been identified.

2. Natural pH fluctuations

There are strong seasonal cycles in pH in the seasonal ice-covered waters of the Southern Ocean due to brine drainage from forming sea ice in winter and high levels of biological activity in summer. (Miller et al., 2011). In Prydz Bay and the Ross Sea this has led to seasonal differences of up to 0.6 of a pH unit (Gibson and Trull, 1999; McNeil et al., 2010), which is greater than the 0.4 increase usually predicted for the end of the century (IPCC4). Large seasonal differences in pH have also been observed in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica (Kapsenberg et al., 2015; Matson et al., 2014), where pH was relatively high but stable during winter but was lower and dynamic in summer. Large diurnal fluctuations in pH beneath the sea ice were also observed and it was suggested that these changes could be almost entirely explained by the biological processes of photosynthesis and respiration (Matson et al., 2014).

During the early stages of ice formation in early winter, the concentration of CO₂ within the ice is determined solely by physical processes associated with freezing and brine rejection. While low temperatures increase the solubility of CO₂, increasing brine salinity during sea ice growth leads to super-saturation (Papadimitriou et al., 2003). Change in temperature is subsequently the dominant factor determining CO₂ concentration in brine channels (Geilfus et al., 2014). However, the incorporated sea ice algae take up CO₂ for photosynthesis and, together with other components of the microbial food web such as bacteria, release it during respiration. The balance of these processes commonly produces brine CO₂ concentrations that are lower in summer and higher in winter relative to atmospheric levels (Gleitz et al., 1995; Thomas et al., 2010). Hare et al. (2013) examined the development of pH in an outdoor experimental sea ice facility in Winnipeg, Canada. Experiments were run over several weeks and ice attained a thickness of up to 22 cm. pH profiles had a characteristic 'C' profile with pH values greater than 9 at the surface and in the bottom 2 cm. Interior values were below that of the source water (8.4) with some as low as 7.1. The reason for this pattern was thought to be entirely due to abiotic factors as no algal growth was observed. The higher values at the surface and bottom reflected equilibrium with the atmosphere and underlying sea water, respectively, while those in the middle reflected CO₂ rejection during brine formation.

Changes in pH and CO₂ concentration in natural brines from the Weddell Sea in early summer have been documented by Papadimitriou et al. (2007). The *in situ* pH varied between 8.41 and 8.82, up more than 0.7 units from the underlying sea water. The concentration of CO₂ ranged from 3.1 μmol kg⁻¹ to 15.9 μmol kg⁻¹ and variations were consistent with biological activity (Papadimitriou et al., 2007).

As global temperatures warm, sea ice extent will inevitably decrease and the ice will form later in the season and melt sooner. As a consequence, average ice thickness will probably decrease. These large-scale changes are already clearly evident in the Arctic (Barnhart et al. 2016). Thinner ice would result in average irradiances within and beneath the ice being higher, although this will also be modified by changes in snow thickness. However, there are still large uncertainties in predictions of future changes in precipitation over sea ice and while a warmer atmosphere might be expected to increase snow fall, there is no clear evidence of this happening so far or whether in future it will fall as snow or rain (Bracegirdle et al., 2008; Leonard and Maksym, 2011).

25

3. Biological communities

Sea ice is not a solid, uniform, homogenous layer but instead contains many different habitats that can be colonized by a large range of organisms. Each of these habitats is characterized by different physical and chemical conditions. Initially any organism present in the underlying water column has the potential to be trapped within the ice as it forms. The early process of ice formation (frazil ice formation) can concentrate the phytoplankton biomass many times above that of the surrounding water column (Garrison et al., 1983). All species present in the water column can be harvested, although some, such as *Nitzschia stellata*, have the ability to greatly increase the likelihood of their capture by the release of ice active substances (Raymond et al., 1994; Ugalde et al., 2014). Young ice typically contains a highly diverse microalgal community (Scott et

al., 1994) but this diversity decreases with time as those species that are better adapted to this environment out compete those that are less well adapted. The biological communities can be differentiated by where they occur in the ice; in brine channels within the ice (brine communities), on the undersurface of the ice (bottom communities) or at the snow-ice interface (surface/infiltration communities) (Horner 1992; Arrigo, 2014; Bluhm et al. 2017) Bottom communities, which are dominant in both Arctic and Antarctic coastal locations, typically inhabit a benign and equitable environment characterized by minimal changes in temperature and salinity, maximum access to nutrients but low light levels. Brine communities, which dominate in pack ice, experience, extreme fluctuations in temperature and salinity, high to very low nutrient concentrations and intermediate light levels (Thomas and Dieckmann, 2004). Surface communities, which are often the dominant community in summer in pack ice, have access to the highest light but also experience the lowest temperature and nutrient levels. These communities also experience different CO₂/pH conditions. Bottom communities are constantly in contact with the underlying water column and typically experience the bulk ocean properties. Brine and surface communities, by contrast, experience supersaturated CO₂ concentrations during early ice formation but very low levels later in the season when the CO₂ is exhausted by photosynthesis. In coastal fast ice communities >90% of the algal biomass and productivity is concentrated in the bottom 10 cm. However, in pack ice communities the biomass is more evenly spread with significant biomass and productivity occurring both in brine channels and at the snow-ice interface (Meiners et al. 2012).

Major micro algal groups have been found to respond differently to CO₂ availability depending on physiological factors such as the presence of a carbon concentrating mechanism (CCM), which enables cells to use bicarbonate, or the type of RuBisCo present (Eberlein et al. 2014). Thus, the response of sea ice algal communities to ocean acidification will reflect their taxonomic composition. Diatoms are often the most abundant and also the most studied group of sea ice microbes, but other eukaryotic and prokaryote groups are often important. While diatoms tend to dominate bottom communities (Garrison, 1991), dinoflagellates and other flagellate groups tend to dominate interior and surface communities (Stoecker et al., 1992; Thomson et al., 2006). There is also a diverse bacterial community (Mock and Thomas, 2005; Bowman et al., 2012; Bowman, 2013, Bluhm et al., 2017) and evidence of an active microbial loop (Martin et al., 2012). While most diatoms, including sea ice species, have been found to possess a CCM, this has not been tested on most other groups and so their response to increase in CO₂ availability is unknown.

4. Ocean acidification experiments

4.1 Sea ice algae

Studies of ocean acidification effects on sea ice algae and bacteria have taken a number of different approaches. Some have just examined the effects of increased CO₂ concentration in isolation (Coad et al., 2016, Torstensson et al., 2015), while other have looked at the combined effects of co-stressors such as temperature (Pančić et al., 2015; Torstensson, 2012), light (Xu et al., 2014; Heiden et al., 2016) or iron (Xu et al., 2014b). Most studies have been undertaken on cultures of single species in a laboratory (Mitchell and Beardall, 1996; Xu et al., 2014; Pančić et al., 2015; Torstensson et al., 2012; Young et

al., 2015), although there has been one study of a brine community (Coad et al., 2016) and two *in situ* experiments on brine communities (McMinn et al, 2014; 2017). All but one of these studies (Torstensson et al., 2015) was conducted on a time scale of less than 30 days and most less than 10 days (Table 1).

Studied in isolation, increased CO₂ concentration seems only to have negative effects on growth and photosynthesis at levels above ~1000 μatm (Torstensson et al., 2015). When a natural diatom-dominated sea ice brine community was incubated over a CO₂ gradient from 400 μatm to 11,300 μatm for up to 18 days, only the treatment incubated at the most extreme concentration was significantly different from the control and other treatments (Coad et al., 2016). In a further experiment, they simulated future spring conditions by allowing a surface ice community to melt into seawater with the same large CO₂ gradient. Once again, only the most extreme CO₂ concentrations induced significant reductions in growth and photosynthesis. These results are consistent with most other studies of polar marine diatoms, which show increased growth rates and photosynthetic performance at CO₂ concentrations up to at least 1000 μatm (Trimborn et al., 2013; Hoppe et al., 2013). Future increases in marine CO₂ concentration, however, are likely to be accompanied by changes in temperature, light, macro nutrient and iron concentrations (Boyd et al., 2016) and interactions between these and other factors are likely to produce unpredictable results (Boyd and Hutchins, 2012). However, not all of these changes are likely to occur within the sea ice environment.

Studies of current Southern Ocean phytoplankton communities have identified the importance of iron and irradiance as being the key drivers determining changes in community composition and productivity (Feng et al., 2010). Others have suggested that future changes in pCO₂, temperature and stratification, which affects average irradiance and nutrient availability, will also be significant (Constable et al., 2014). However, not all of these factors are directly relevant to ice algal communities. For instance, unlike the oceans, the temperature of sea ice habitats cannot rise above ~0°C. Increasing sea water temperatures may well change the temporal and spatial distribution of sea ice and ice thickness but they will have only a small effect on micro algal physiology.

The combined effects of temperature and CO₂ concentration on the bipolar sea ice/phytoplankton species, *Fragilariopsis cylindrus* was examined in short incubations (7 days) by Pančić et al. (2015). Significant interactions between temperature and pH were identified but the two factors produced opposite effects. Growth rates increased with increasing temperatures but decreased with decreasing pH, resulting in little overall change with treatment. As a result, it was concluded that *F. cylindrus* would largely be unaffected by increasing ocean acidification. These experiments were conducted over a wide range of temperature (1°C to 8°C) and pH (7.1 to 8.0). A similar study on the sea ice/benthic diatom *Navicula directa* likewise found only minor responses to increased CO₂ with no synergistic effects with temperature, although a smaller range of temperature (0.5- 4.5°C) and pH (7.9-8.2) was used (Torstensson et al., 2012). These authors emphasized the need to examine multiple strains of a species and over longer incubation times before conclusions could be reached on its long-term response. It should be noted that while both these studies used sea ice algae taxa, the cells were exposed to temperatures well

above 0°C, i.e. temperatures that could never be experienced by cells living within sea ice. Although the maximum temperature of sea ice is fixed, the average temperature is still likely to rise. This could result in more intensive heterotrophic processes, via increased grazing rates and nutrient regeneration (Melnikov, 2009). However, it is probable that these processes, which already occur in spring and summer, will simply develop a little earlier.

5 Future changes in iron supply has been identified as likely to have a significant but unquantified effect on much of the world's phytoplankton (Shi et al., 2010) but the effects on sea ice communities are unknown; to date there have been no studies on the combined effects of increased CO₂ and iron-limitation on sea ice algae. A study of Southern Ocean phytoplankton, which contains many of the same species as sea ice communities, under co-limitation by CO₂, iron and other factors found that competition was likely to induce taxonomic changes in community composition, favoring small diatoms
10 (Xu et al., 2014b). Algae growing at the ice water interface (bottom communities), like the phytoplankton, experience iron limiting conditions and show evidence of chronic iron stress (Pankowski and McMinn, 2008; 2009). However, the iron concentration within brine channels is one to two orders of magnitude greater than in the underlying seawater (Lannuzel et al., 2011) and sea ice brine algae have been shown not to be iron stressed at all (Pankowski and McMinn, 2008; 2009). Under these circumstances, iron cannot be considered a co-stressor.

15

The combined effect of CO₂ concentration and increased light on the ice diatom, *Fragilariopsis curta* was examined by Heiden et al. (2016). In this study growth and photophysiology were not stimulated at relevant light (20 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and OA-relevant elevated pCO₂ (1000 μatm). These authors also showed that there were large variations in species-specific responses. The growth and photosynthetic response of the chlorophyte *Chlamydomonas*, isolated from Antarctic sea
20 ice, to ocean acidification and photoperiod was examined by Xu et al. (2014a) over the course of 28 days. While *Chlamydomonas* is not a common ice algal taxon, it none the less represents an organism adapted to living in the ice. Their data showed that CO₂ concentration had a minimal effect on the response to differing photoperiods.

There have been few *in situ* experiments with natural sea ice communities. McMinn et al. (2014, 2017) incubated
25 dinoflagellate-dominated brine algal communities *in situ* in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. The 2014 study was conducted in spring while the 2017 study was conducted in late summer. They used sack holes to extract the brine (McMinn et al., 2009), adjusted the CO₂ concentrations of the treatments and then returned them to the same depth within the ice from where they were collected. Incubations were relatively short, up to 6 days. In both studies growth and photosynthesis were only affected when the pH fell below approximately 7.5. In a companion set of experiments in both studies the carbon system was
30 manipulated to hold the pH constant at ~8.0 while providing a gradient in CO₂ concentrations up to 2700 μatm. Growth increased by approximately 20% and remained constant at even the highest concentrations. This 20% increase in growth with increased CO₂ supply is of a similar scale to those estimated for a variety of temperate phytoplankton taxa on a similar CO₂ gradient (Rost et al., 2003; Riebesell, 2004).

The concentration of dissolved CO₂ in seawater is usually considered insufficient to maintain maximum growth rates in phytoplankton. As a consequence, most species have developed strategies to alleviate this stress; collectively referred to as Carbon Concentrating Mechanisms (CCM) (Raven et al., 2011). The presence of a CCM allows most marine microalgae, and diatoms in particular, to concentrate inorganic carbon as CO₂ and/or HCO₃ (Giordano et al., 2005) and thus alleviate much of this stress. Ocean acidification increases the natural CO₂ concentration and so potentially favours those species without a CCM, however, it will also reduce the need to invest in this energetically demanding function for species with CCMs (Beardall and Raven, 2004). Inorganic carbon uptake by the sea ice diatom *Nitzschia stellata* was examined by Mitchell and Beardall (1996). They demonstrated that this taxon was capable of carbon-dependent photosynthesis at rates greater than the CO₂ supply rate to the cell and that much of the carbonic anhydrase activity was associated with the cell surface; these characteristics demonstrated the presence of an active carbon concentrating mechanism (CCM). Other studies (Tortell et al., 2013; Gibson et al., 1999; McMinin et al., 1999) have also found evidence for CCM activity from either carbon isotope analysis or carbonic anhydrase activity. So far, all sea ice species examined have shown evidence of an active CCM. However, little is still known about how the presence or up/down regulation of CCMs will respond to future changes in CO₂ concentration (Raven et al., 2011).

While most sea ice algal OA research has focused on Antarctic communities, those from the Arctic would be expected to respond in a similar way as they share similar taxonomic compositions, i.e. dominated by diatoms, and have similar temperature, salinity and irradiance profiles (Horner 1985). There are, however, some structural differences in sea ice ecosystems between the two regions resulting in brine algal communities being virtually absent from the Arctic and the vast bulk of the algal biomass being located on the bottom of the ice (Horner, 1985, Thomas and Dieckmann, 2005). As in the Antarctic, bottom communities are generally less exposed to extreme fluctuations in temperature, salinity, gases and nutrients. Pančić et al. (2015) examined the response of the bipolar sea ice diatom species, *F. cylindrus*, isolated from the Greenland Sea, and concluded that, as in the Antarctic, OA would have little effect on the growth of this species.

4.2 Bacteria

Sea ice contains an abundant and diverse, psychrophilic bacterial community (Mock and Thomas, 2005; Bowman et al., 2012; Bowman, 2013). Ocean acidification effects on marine bacterial communities in general appear to be minimal (Oliver et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2017). They are able to mitigate the effect of decreased pH by enhancing the expression of genes encoding proton pumps, such as respiration complexes, proteorhodopsin and membrane transporters (Bunce et al., 2016). Bacterial growth rates usually appear to be closely coupled with phytoplankton growth (Engel et al. 2013). Likewise, in sea ice studies, bacterial growth rates have been found to increase with increasing CO₂ concentration, probably reflecting an increase in sea ice algae and an increased rate of DOC production (Torstensson et al., 2015). A pH range of 8.203 – 9.041 has also been found to have no effect on the community richness or diversity of Antarctic fast ice bacteria (Torstensson et al., 2012).

5. Discussion and Summary

Working with sea ice algal communities is implicitly more difficult than working with pelagic phytoplankton communities because, like benthic microbial ecosystems, they live in biofilms that have steep and highly structured vertical chemical and physical gradients. Most studies of sea ice algae physiology, including responses to pH and CO₂, have removed the cells from their ice substrate and suspended them in water. This process both exposes cells to osmotic shock and also destroys the highly structured vertical gradients. *In situ* studies, where the communities are not handled or moved, although more technically challenging, are likely to produce the most meaningful results. *In situ* methods have been used in studies of sea ice algal photosynthesis and photophysiology for several years (McMinn et al., 2000, 2012, Kuhl et al., 2001). An innovative *in situ* methodology for studying OA effects of on sea ice bottom communities has also been recently published by Barr et al. (2017), although no results from this study are yet forthcoming. This *in situ* methodological approach is similar to that used in ‘Free Ocean Carbon Experiments’ (FOCE) on Antarctic benthic communities (Stark et al., in press).

While studying sea ice bottom communities by *in situ* methods is achievable, studying brine communities *in situ* presents additional problems. These communities, which are entombed in brine channels and pockets, are often completely isolated and invisible. Currently used methods, such as variable fluorescence and microsensors, are unable to penetrate the ice matrix to measure biological responses. Even the *in situ* chemistry of the brine chambers cannot be measured. Studies by McMinn et al. (2012, 2014) extracted the brine algae from the ice, manipulated the carbon chemistry and then reinserted the algal samples back into the ice. While not truly *in situ*, the algae in these studies were at least exposed to natural irradiances and temperatures. Future studies of the effects of OA on sea ice algal communities will also need to focus on using *in situ* approaches and more accurately reproducing natural conditions.

Most studies of the effects of OA on ice algae have used only short, i.e. less than 10 days, incubation times. In the first long term experiment (194 days), Torstensson et al. (2015) showed that significant impacts were not detected until after 147 days. Interestingly, however, most sea ice environments are both ephemeral and constantly changing. As pH and CO₂ concentrations within the ice are determined by temperature, natural sea ice communities are very unlikely to be exposed to a constant pH environment over this length of time. While longer experiments would seem to give more reliable responses, there is little point extending incubation times beyond the periodicity of natural change. Future studies could include these fluctuations into their experimental design.

It is well known that the physiological responses of diatoms in culture changes with time (Anderson, 2005). Some of the culture experiments discussed herein used strains of diatoms that had been isolated decades earlier (Xu et al. 2014, Heiden et al. 2016). Therefore, the extreme age of the culture used needs to be taken into account when interpreting the physiological response. Where possible, culture experiments should utilize freshly isolated strains to optimize meaningful physiological responses.

Brine algae and to a lesser degree bottom algae are naturally exposed to a large range of pH and CO₂ concentrations during seasonal ice formation and melting (Hare et al., 2013) and this range is typically greater than predicted changes resulting from OA. As a result, it is not surprising that ice algae appear to be well adapted to changes in carbon chemistry and show only minimal responses to these changes. Likewise, many coastal phytoplankton communities, which are also exposed to large diurnal and seasonal changes in pH and CO₂ concentrations, show either a positive response or no response to OA (Grear et al., 2017). Larger responses have been detected in open ocean areas where natural fluctuations are much lower (Li et al., 2016).

Sea ice ecosystems are ephemeral and in most relevant locations they melt and re-form each year. Thus, for some part of each year organisms inhabiting the ice must also survive outside of the ice, either as part of the phytoplankton or as resting spores on the bottom. During these times, they will be exposed to the full range of co-stressors that pelagic organisms experience (Xu et al., 2014c). Some sea ice taxa go into dormancy during these periods, e.g. *Polarella glacialis* (Thompson et al., 1996), while others, such as *Fragilariopsis curta* and *Fragilariopsis cylindrus* make a major contribution to phytoplankton biomass. Most sea ice microorganisms do not appear to be badly impacted by moderate increases in CO₂. However, their ability to continue to make a major contribution to sea ice productivity will depend not only on their ability to survive in the ice but also on their ability to survive the increasing seawater temperatures, changing distribution of nutrients and declining pH forecast for the water column over the next centuries (Boyd and Hutchins, 2012).

20 **Competing Interests**

The author declares that he has no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

Australian Antarctic Science Grants program is acknowledged for its continuous financial support for sea ice research in general and for this review in particular.

References

Anderson, R. A.; Algal culturing techniques, Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington MA, USA, 2005.

Arrigo, K. R.: Sea Ice Ecosystems, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 6, 439-467, 2014

30

Arrigo KR (2014) Sea ice ecosystems. Ann Rev Mar Sci 6:439-467.

- Arrigo, K. R. and Thomas, D. N.: Large scale importance of sea ice biology in the Southern Ocean. *Antarctic Sci.*, 16, 471-486, 2004.
- 5 Barhart, K. R., Miller, C.R., Overeem, I., Kay, J.E.; Mapping the future expansion of Arctic open water. *Nature Climate Change*, 6, 280-285.
- Barr, N. C., Lohrer, A. M., Cummings, V. J.: An *in situ* incubation method for measuring the productivity and responses of under-ice algae to ocean acidification and warming in polar marine habitats. *Limnol. Oceanog; Methods*, doi:
10 10.1002/lom3.10154, 2017.
- Beardall, J, and Raven J. A.: The potential effects of global climate change on microalgal photosynthesis, growth and ecology, *Phycologia*, 43, 26-40, 2004.
- 15 Bluhm, B. A., Hop, H., Melnikov, I. A., Poulin, M., Vihtakari, M., Collins, M. R., Gradinger, R., Juul-Pedersen, T., von Quillfeldt, C.; Sea ice biota. *State of the Arctic Report*, 32-60.
- Bowman, J. P.: Sea ice microbial communities, *In The Prokaryotes, Prokaryotic communities and ecophysiology*, eds
20 Rosenberg E., DeLong E.F., Lory S., Stackbrandt Eand Thompson F, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 139-161, 2013.
- Bowman, J.S., Rasmussen, S., Blom, N., Deming, J. W., Rysgaard. S. and Sicheritz-Ponten, T.: Microbial community structure of Arctic multiyear sea ice and surface seawater by 454 sequencing of the 16S RNA gene. *ISME J.*, 6, 11-20, 2012.
- 25 Boyd, P. W., Cornwall, C. E., Davidson, A., Doney, S. C., Fourquez F., Hurd, C.L., McMinn, A.: Environmental variability, heterogeneity, ocean biota, and climate change, *Global Change Biol.*, 22, 2633-2650, 2016.
- Boyd, P. W., Dillingham, P. W., McGraw, C. M., Armstrong, E. A., Cornwall, C. E., Feng, C. L., Hurd, C. L., Gault-Ringold, M., Roleda, M.Y., Timmins-Schiffman, E., Nunn, B. L.: Physiological responses of a Southern Ocean diatom to
30 complex future ocean conditions, *Nature Climate Change*, 6, 207-216, 2016.
- Boyd, P. W. and Hutchins, D. A. Understanding the responses of ocean biota to a complex matrix of cumulative anthropogenic change, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 470, 125-135, 2012.

- Bracegirdle, T. J., Connolley, W. M., and Turner, J.: Antarctic climate change over the twenty first century, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, *D03103*, doi:10.1029/2007JD008933, 2008.
- Bunse, C., Lundin, D., Karlsson, C. M. G., Vila-Costa, M., Palovaara, J., Akram, G., Svensson, L., Holmfeldt, K., González, J. M., Calvo, E., Pelejero, C., Marrasé, C., Dopson, M., Gasol, J. M., and Pinhassi, J.: Response of marine bacterioplankton pH homeostasis gene expression to elevated CO₂, *Nature Climate Change*, 2016.
- Coad, T., McMinn, A., Nomura, D., and Martin, A.: Effect of elevated CO₂ concentration on the photophysiology and growth of microalgae in Antarctic pack ice algal communities, *Deep Sea Research II*, 131, 160-169, 2016.
- 10 Constable, A. J., Melbourne-Thomas, J., Corney, S. P., Arrigo, K. R., Barbraud, C., Barnes, D. K. A., Bindoff, N. L., Boyd, P. W., Brandt, A., Costa, D. P. et al.: Climate change and Southern Ocean ecosystems I: how changes in physical habitats directly affect marine biota. *Global Change Biol.* 20, 3004-3025, 2014.
- 15 Eberlein, T., van de Waal, D. B., Rost, B.: Differential effects of ocean acidification on carbon acquisition in two bloom-forming dinoflagellate species. *Physiol Plant* 151:468–479, 2014.
- Engel, A., Borchard, C., Piontek, J., Schulz, K. G., Riebesell, U., Bellerby, R.: CO₂ increases C-14 primary production in an Arctic plankton community. *Biogeosciences*, 10, 1291-2013, 2013.
- 20 Feng, Y., Hare, C. E., Rose, J. M., Handy, S. M., Ditullio, G. R., Lee, P. A., Smith, W. O., Peloquin, J., Tozzi, S., and Sun, J.: Interactive effects of iron, irradiance and CO₂ on Ross Sea phytoplankton. *Deep Sea Res. I*, 57, 368-383, 2010.
- 25 Garrison, D. L., Ackley, S. F., and Buck, K. R.: A physical mechanism for establishing algal populations in frazil ice. *Nature* 306:363–365, 1983.
- Garrison, D. L.: Antarctic sea ice biota. *American Zoologist*, 31, 17-37, 1991.
- 30 Geilfus, N-X., Tison, J-L., Ackley, S. F., Galley, R. J., Rysgaard S, Miller, L., Delille, B.: Sea ice pCO₂ dynamics and air-ice CO₂ fluxes during the Sea Ice Mass Balance in the Antarctic (SIMBA) experiment –Bellingshausen Sea, Antarctica. *Cryosphere* 8, 2395-2407, 2014.
- Gibson, J. A., and Trull, T. W. Annual cycle of fCO₂ under sea-ice and in open water

- in Prydz Bay, East Antarctica. *Mar. Chem.* 66, 187–200, 1991.
- Gleitz, M., Kukert, H., Riebesell, U., and Dieckmann, G. S.: Carbon acquisition and growth of Antarctic sea ice diatoms in closed bottle incubations, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 135, 169-177, 1996.
- 5
- Grear, J. S., Rynearson, T. A., Montalbano, A. L., Govenar, B., Menden-Deuer, S.: pCO₂ effects on species composition and growth of an estuarine phytoplankton community. *Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci.*, 190, 40-49, 2017.
- 10 Hare, A. A., Wang, F., Barber, D., Geilfus, N-X., Galley, R. J., and Rysgaard, S.: pH evolution in sea ice grown at an outdoor experimental facility, *Mar. Chem.*, 154, 46-54, 2013.
- Heiden, J. P., Bischof, K., and Trimborn, S.: Light intensity modulates the response of two Antarctic diatom species to ocean acidification, *Front. Mar. Sci.* 3:260. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2016.00260, 2016.
- 15
- Hoppe, C. J. M., Hassler, C. S., Payne, C. D., Tortell, P. D., Rost, B., and Trimborn, S.: Iron Limitation Modulates Ocean Acidification Effects on Southern Ocean Phytoplankton Communities, *PLoS One*, 8(11): e79890. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079890, 2013.
- 20 Horner, R.A.: Sea ice biota. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1-215, 1992
- Kapsenberg, L., Kelley, A. L., Shaw, E. C., Martz, T. R., and Hofmann, G. E. Near-shore Antarctic pH variability has implications for the design of ocean acidification experiments. *Scientific Rep.*, 5:9638 doi:10.1038/srep09638, 2015.
- 25 Kühl, M., Glud, R. N., Borum, J., Roberts, R., Rysgaard, S.: Photosynthetic performance of surface-associated algae below sea ice as measured with a pulse-amplitude-modulated (PAM) fluorometer and O₂ microsensors. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 233, 1-14, 2001.
- Lannuzel, D., Bowie, A. R., van der Merwe, P. C., Townsend, A. T., and Schoemann, V.: Distribution of dissolved and
30 particulate metals in Antarctic sea ice. *Mar. Chem.*, 2011
- Leonard, K. C., and Maksym, T.: The importance of wind-blown snow redistribution to snow accumulation on Bellingshausen Sea ice. *Annal. Glaciol.*, 52, 271-278, 2011.

Physiological responses of coastal and oceanic diatoms to diurnal fluctuations in seawater carbonate chemistry under two CO₂ concentrations. *Biogeosciences*, 13, 6247-6259, 2016.

- 5 Lin, X., Huang, R., Li, Y., Wu, Y., Hutchins, D.A., Dai, M., and Gao, K.: Insignificant effects of elevated CO₂ on bacterioplankton community in a eutrophic coastal mesocosm experiment. *Biogeosciences*, doi:10.5194/bg-2017-10, 2017

Martin A., McMinn A.: Sea ice, extremophiles and life in extra-terrestrial ocean worlds. *International Journal of Astrobiology*: doi.org/10.1017/S1473550416000483, 2017

10

Martin, A., McMinn, A., Davey, S. K., Anderson, J. M., Miller, H. C., Hall, J. A., and Ryan, K. G.: Preliminary evidence for the microbial loop in Antarctic sea ice using microcosm simulations. *Antarctic Sci.*, 24, 547-553, 2012.

- 15 Matson, G., Washburn, L., Martz, T. R., Hofmann, G. E.: Abiotic versus biotic drivers of ocean pH variation under fast sea ice in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica, *PLoS ONE*, 9(9): e107239. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107239, 2014.

McMinn, A., Ashworth, C., Ryan, K.: *In situ* net primary productivity of an Antarctic fast ice bottom algal community. *Aquatic Microbial Ecol.*, 21, 177-185, 2000.

- 20 McMinn, A., Ashworth, C., Bhagooli, R., Martin, A., Ralph, P., Ryan, K., Salleh, S.: Antarctic coastal microalgal primary production and photosynthesis. *Mar. Biol.*, 159, 2827-2837, 2012.

McMinn, A., Gradinger, R., and Nomura, D.: 3.8 Biochemical properties of Sea ice. *In* H. Eicken (Editor) *Handbook of Field techniques in sea ice research*. University of Alaska Press, USA, 2009.

25

McMinn, A., Muller, M., Martin, A., and Ryan, K. G.: The response of Antarctic sea ice algae to changes in pH and CO₂. *PloS One* e86984. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086984, 2014.

- 30 McMinn, A., Muller, M., Martin, A., and Ryan, K. G.: Effects of changing pH and CO₂ concentration on a late summer surface sea ice community. *Mar. Biol.*, 164, 87, 2017.

McMinn, A., Ryan, K. R., Ralph, P. J. and Pankowski, A.: Spring sea ice photosynthesis, primary productivity and biomass distribution in eastern Antarctica, 2002-2004. *Mar Biol.*, 151, 985-995, 2007,

- McMinn, A., Skerratt, J., Trull, T., Ashworth, C., and Lizotte, M.: Nutrient stress gradient in the bottom 5 cm of fast ice, McMurdo Sound, Antarctica, *Polar Biol.*, 21, 220-227, 1999.
- Meiners, K. M., Vancoppenolle, M., Shanassekos, S., Dieckmann, D. S., Thomas, D. N., Tison, J-L., Arrigo K. R., Garrison, D., McMinn, A., Lannuzel, D., van der Merwe, P., Swadling, K. M., Smith Jr., W. O., Melnikov, I., Raymond, B.: Chlorophyll *a* in Antarctic sea ice from historical ice core data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, 21602-21602, 2012.
- Melnikov, I.A.: Recent sea ice ecosystem in the Arctic Ocean: a review. *In* Nihoul JCJ and Kostiano, AG (eds) Influence of climate change on the changing Arctic and sub-Arctic conditions. NATO Science for Peace and Security Series C- Environmental Security, 57-71, 2009.
- Miller, L.A., Papakyriakou, T. N., Collins, E. R., Deming, J. W., Ehn, J. K., Macdonald, R. W., Mucci, A., Owens, O., Raudsepp, M., and Sutherland, N.: Carbon dynamics in sea ice: A winter flux time series. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, C02028, doi:10.1029/2009JC006058, 2011.
- Mitchell, C. and Beardall, J.: Inorganic carbon uptake by an Antarctic sea-ice diatom, *Nitzschia frigida*. *Polar Biol.*, 16, 95-99, 1996.
- McNeil, B. I., Tagliabue, A., and Sweeney, C.: A multi-decadal delay in the onset of corrosive ‘acidified’ waters in the Ross Sea of Antarctica due to strong air-sea CO₂ disequilibrium. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L19607, doi:10.1029/2010gl044597, 2010.
- McNeil, B. I. and Matear, R. J.: Southern Ocean acidification: A tipping point at 450-ppm atmospheric CO₂. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 105, 18860–18864, doi:10.1073/pnas.0806318105, 2010.
- Mock, T., and Thomas, D. N.: Minireview: Recent advances in sea ice microbiology. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 7, 605-619, 2005.
- Oliver, A. E., Newbold, L. K., Whiteley, A. S., and van der Gast, C. J.: Marine bacterial communities are resistant to elevated carbon dioxide levels. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.*, 6, 574-582, 2014.
- Pančić, M., Hansen, P. J., Tammilehto, A., and Lundholm, N.: Resilience to temperature and pH changes in a future climate change scenario in six strains of the polar diatom *Fragilariopsis cylindrus*. *Biogeosciences Disc.* 12, 4627-4556, 2015.

- Pankowski, A., and McMinn, A.: Ferredoxin and flavodoxin in eastern Antarctica pack ice. *Polar Biol.*, 31, 1153-1165, 2008.
- 5 Pankowski, A., and McMinn, A.: Iron availability regulates growth, photosynthesis and production of ferredoxin and flavodoxin in Antarctic sea ice diatoms, *Aquatic Biol.*, 4, 273-288, 2009.
- Papadimitriou, S., Thomas, D. N., Kennedy, H., Haas, C., Kuosa, H., Krell, A., and Dieckmann, G. S.: Biogeochemical composition of natural sea ice brines from the Weddell Sea during early austral summer, *Limnol. Oceanog.*, 52, 1809-1823, 2007.
- 10 Raven, J. A., Giordano, M., Beardall, J., and Maberly, S.: Algal and aquatic plant carbon concentrating mechanisms in relation to environmental change, *Photosynth. Res.*, 109, 281-296, 2011.
- Raymond, I. A., Sullivan, C. W., Devries, A. L.: Release of an ice-active substance by Antarctic sea-ice diatoms. *Polar Biol.*, 15 14, 71-77, 1994.
- Riebesell, U.: Effects of CO₂ enrichment on marine phytoplankton, *J. Oceanog.*, 60, 719-729, 2004.
- Rost, B., Riebesell, U., Burkhardt, S., Sultemeyer, D.; 2003. Carbon acquisition of bloom forming marine phytoplankton. 20 *Limnology and Oceanography*, 48, 55–67.
- Scott, P., McMinn, A., Hosie, G.: Physical parameters influencing diatom community structure in eastern Antarctic sea ice, *Polar Biol.*, 14, 507-517, 1994.
- 25 Shi, D., Xu, Y., Hopkinson, F., and Morel, M. M.: Effect of ocean acidification on iron availability to marine phytoplankton. *Science*, 327, 676-679, 2010.
- Stark, J., Roden, N., Johnstone, G., Milnes, M., Black, J., Whiteside, S., Kirkwood, B., Newbery, K., Stark, S., van Ooijen, E., Tilbrook, B., Peltzer, E., Roberts D.: Manipulating seawater pH under sea ice: carbonate chemistry of an *in situ* free- 30 ocean CO₂ enrichment experiment in Antarctica (antFOCE)" [Paper #SREP-17-09642] *Nature scientific reports*, in press.
- Stoecker, D. K., Buck, K. R., and Putt, M.: Changes in the sea-ice brine community during the spring-summer transition, McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. 1. Photosynthetic protists, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 84, 265–278, 1992.

Thomas, D. N. and Dieckmann, G. S.: Sea Ice, an introduction to its physics, chemistry, biology and geology. Blackwell, Oxford, UK, 2004.

- 5 Thomson, P. G., McMinn, A., Kiessling, I., Watson, M., and Goldsworthy, P. M.: Composition and succession of dinoflagellates and Chrysophytes in the upper fast ice of Davis Station, East Antarctica, *Polar Biol.*, 29, 337-345, 2006.

Torstensson, A., Chierici, M., and Wulff, A.: The influence of increased temperature and carbon dioxide levels on the benthic/sea ice diatom *Navicula directa*, *Polar Biol.*, 35, 205-214, 2012.

10

Torstensson, A., Dinasquet, J., Chierici, M., Fransson, A., Riemann, L., and Wulff, A.: Physicochemical control of bacterial and protist community composition and diversity in Antarctic sea ice, *Environ. Microbiol.*, 17, 3869-3881, 2012.

- Torstensson, A., Hedblom, M., Andersson, J., Wulff, A.; Synergism between elevated $p\text{CO}_2$ and temperature on the Antarctic sea ice diatom *Nitzschia lecointei*. *Biogeosc.* **10**, 6391-6401.

15 Torstensson, A., Hedblom, M., Bjork, M. M., Chierici, C., and Wulff, A.: Long-term acclimation to elevated $p\text{CO}_2$ alters carbon metabolism and reduces growth in the Antarctic diatom *Nitzschia lecointei*, *Proc. R. Soc. B*, 282: 20151513.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1513>, 2015.

20

Tortell, P. D., Mills, M. M., Payne, C. D., Maldonado, M.T., Chierici, M., Fransson, A., Alderkamp, A. C., and Arrigo, K. R.: Inorganic C utilization and C isotope fractionation by pelagic and sea ice algal assemblages along the Antarctic continental shelf. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 483, 47-66, 2010.

- 25 Trimborn, S., Breneis, T., Sweet, E., and Rost, B.: Sensitivity of Antarctic phytoplankton species to ocean acidification: Growth, carbon acquisition, and species interaction, *Limnol. Oceanog.*, 58, 997-1007, 2013.

Ugalde SC, Martin A., Meiners KM, McMinn A., Ryan K.: Extracellular organic carbon dynamics during an algal bloom in Antarctic fast ice. *Aquatic Microbiol Ecol.*, 73, 195-210, 2014.

30

Xu, D., Wang, Y., Fan, X., Wang, D., Ye, N., Zhang, X., Mou, S., Guan, Z., and Zhuang, Z. (2014b) Long-term experiment on physiological responses to synergetic effects of ocean acidification and photoperiod in the Antarctic sea ice algae *Chlamydomonas* sp. ICE-L, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, 48, 7738-7746, 2014b

Xu, J., Gao, K., Li, Y., and Hutchins, D. A.: Physiological and biochemical responses of diatoms to projected ocean temperatures, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 515, 73-81, 2014c

Xu, K., Fu, F., and Hutchins, D. A.: Comparative responses of two dominant Antarctic phytoplankton taxa to interactions
5 between ocean acidification, warming, irradiance, and iron availability, *Limnol. Oceanog.*, 59, 1919-1931, 2014a

Young, J. N., Goldman, J. A. L., Kranz, S. A., Tortell, P. D., Morell, F. M. M.: Slow carboxylation of Rubisco constrains the rate of carbon fixation during Antarctic phytoplankton blooms. *New Phyt.* 205, 172–181, 2015.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Generalised annual succession of nutrient concentration and pH in response to ice formation in winter and melting in spring. On the bar at the bottom of the figure, blue represents open water and white represents the presence of ice.

5 'Nutrients' is a general trend showing the concentration of inorganic macronutrients.

Species/Community	Days	T°	CO₂ (μatm)	Site	Author
<i>Navicula directa</i>	7	0.5-4.5°	380-960	Lab	Torstensson et al., 2012
Brine community	8	-2.5°	587-6066	<i>In situ</i>	McMinn et al., 2014
<i>Chlamydomonus</i> sp.	28	5°	390-1000	Lab	Xu et al. 2014
<i>Fragilariopsis cylindrus</i>	7	1-8°	pH 7.1-8.0	Lab	Panic et al., 2015
<i>Nitzschia lecointei</i>	194	-1.8°	280-960	Lab	Torstensson et al., 2015
Brine community	8	-5°	400-11300	Lab	Coad et al., 2016
<i>Fragilariopsis curta</i>	4	4°	180-1000	Lab	Heiden et al., 2016
Surface brine community	6	0°	45-4102	<i>In situ</i>	McMinn et al., 2017

Table 1. Ocean acidification experiments on sea ice microorganisms.




Figure 1.