

Interactive comment on “Temporal and spatial decoupling of CO₂ and N₂O soil emissions in a Mediterranean riparian forest” by Sílvia Poblador et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 6 April 2017

The manuscript title is not clear for the air emission or soil emission. The paper purpose needs to be sharpened. The details of CO₂ and N₂O measurement, emission calculation formulas, quality assurance, and statistical models should be given in method section. 1. In title: What is the CO₂ and N₂O soil emissions? It should be the CO₂ and N₂O emissions from soil. And in the context, “decoupling” is not discussed. 2. Row 69-80: one sentence is needed to clearly express the paper purpose. 3. Sections 2 and 3 may merge into one section as methodology section. 4. Can you give a schematic graph to show CO₂ and N₂O sampling locations in three zones and sampling schedules? 5. How did you measure CO₂ in three zone at the same time with one sampling system? 6. Row 111: did you directly place the SRC-1 soil chamber on

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



top of the soil surface? 7. What standard operation procedures did you follow for CO₂ and N₂O measurement? How did you conduct the QA/QC? Or are you sure that your measurements were accurate? 8. What is your CO₂ and N₂O emission calculation formulae? 9. Rows 113 to 114: "CO₂ emissions rates were calculated as the amount of CO₂ accumulated in the head-space of the EGM-4 chamber after an incubation time of c.a. 120 s." Please make sure that is EGM-4 chamber or SRC-1 soil chamber? 10. In 3.3 section: can you give statistical model for each analysis 11. Can you give a graph to show CO₂ and N₂O concentrations or emission during June measurement with time to show real measurement? 12. How do you conclude the decoupling of CO₂ and N₂O emission from soils in time and location? 13. In conclusion, you may report your own conclusions. Why did you cite the references in your conclusion? What is your meaning about the large emission of GHG?

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2017-12, 2017.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

