

## ***Interactive comment on “Temporal and spatial decoupling of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O soil emissions in a Mediterranean riparian forest” by Sílvia Poblador et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 18 April 2017

The paper is interesting, methods reliable and results almost as expected. The main concern is missing of data on potential N<sub>2</sub> emission which is the main product of denitrification. Therefore, I cannot agree with the statement in paper that low N<sub>2</sub>O emission is a result of low intensity denitrification. In opposite, it could be that the denitrification process is complete and most of N<sub>2</sub>O produced will be transformed to N<sub>2</sub>. However, without evidences on (potential) N<sub>2</sub> emission (either based on <sup>15</sup>N or He-O<sub>2</sub> analysis or even the acetylene method which gives underestimated but at least some values) and denitrification control genes (*nirS+nirK* and *nosZi+II*) it is hard to say about the intensity of denitrification. It can also be that a part of N<sub>2</sub>O is coming from nitrification. This kind of discussion is missing and may be it is too much to require analysis

C1

of all those components. However, authors should avoid to declare that denitrification intensity is low because the N<sub>2</sub>O flux is low. Also, it is recommended to include some relevant references on denitrification intensity (N<sub>2</sub>:N<sub>2</sub>O ratio) in riparian zones and develop a short discussion based on this knowledge.

---

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2017-12, 2017.

C2