

Interactive comment on “Temporal and spatial decoupling of CO₂ and N₂O soil emissions in a Mediterranean riparian forest” by Sílvia Poblador et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 18 April 2017

The paper is interesting, methods reliable and results almost as expected. The main concern is missing of data on potential N₂ emission which is the main product of denitrification. Therefore, I cannot agree with the statement in paper that low N₂O emission is a result of low intensity denitrification. In opposite, it could be that the denitrification process is complete and most of N₂O produced will be transformed to N₂. However, without evidences on (potential) N₂ emission (either based on 15N or He-O₂ analysis or even the acetylene method which gives underestimated but at least some values) and denitrification control genes (*nirS+nirK* and *nosZi+II*) it is hard to say about the intensity of denitrification. It can also be that a part of N₂O is coming from nitrification. This kind of discussion is missing and may be it is too much to require analysis

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



of all those components. However, authors should avoid to declare that denitrification intensity is low because the N₂O flux is low. Also, it is recommended to include some relevant references on denitrification intensity (N₂:N₂O ratio) in riparian zones and develop a short discussion based on this knowledge.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2017-12, 2017.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

