

Spatial variations in snowpack chemistry, ~~and~~ isotopic composition of NO_3^- ~~along a~~ nitrogen deposition gradient ~~from the ice sheet margin to the coast~~ in West Greenland

Chris J. Curtis^{1, 5}, Jan Kaiser², Alina Marca², N. John Anderson³, Gavin Simpson^{4, 5}, Vivienne Jones⁵, Erika Whiteford³

¹School of Geography, Archaeology & Environmental Studies, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.

²Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK.

³Dept of Geography, Loughborough University, UK.

⁴Dept of Biology, University of Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada.

⁵ECRC, Dept of Geography, University College London, Gower Street, London, UK.

Correspondence to: Chris Curtis (christopher.curtis@wits.ac.za)

Abstract. ~~The relative roles of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition and climate change in causing ecological change in remote Arctic ecosystems, especially lakes, have been the subject of debate over the last decade. Some palaeoecological studies have cited isotopic signals ($\delta(^{15}\text{N})$) preserved in lake sediments as evidence linking N deposition with ecological change, but a key limitation of most published palaeoecological studies has been the lack of co-located data on both deposition input fluxes and isotopic composition of deposited nitrate, which has been assumed to determine isotopic signals ($\delta(^{15}\text{N})$) preserved in lake sediments. In Arctic lakes including those in west Greenland, previous palaeolimnological studies have indicated a spatial variation in $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ trends in lake sediments but data were lacking for deposition chemistry, input fluxes and stable isotope composition of nitrate. Snowpack—~~In the present study, snowpack chemistry, nitrate stable isotopes and net deposition fluxes for the largest ice-free region in Greenland were investigated to determine whether there are spatial gradients from the ice sheet margin to the coast linked to a gradient in precipitation. Late-season snowpack was sampled in March 2011 at 8 locations within 3 lake catchments in each of 3 regions (ice sheet margin in the east, central area near Kelly Ville and the coastal zone to the west). At the coast, snowpack accumulation averaged 181 mm snow water equivalent (SWE), compared with 36 mm SWE by the ice sheet. Coastal snowpack showed significantly greater concentrations of marine salts (Na^+ , Cl^- , other major cations), ammonium (regional means 1.4–2.7 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$), total and non-sea salt sulfate (total 1.8–7.7, non-sea salt 1.0–1.8 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) than the two inland regions. Nitrate (1.5–2.4 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) showed significantly lower concentrations at the coast. Despite lower concentrations, higher precipitation at the coast results in a ~~stronger~~ greater net deposition ~~gradient~~ for NO_3^- as well as NH_4^+ and non-sea salt sulfate (nss-SO_4^{2-}) ~~increasing from relative to~~ the inland regions ~~to the coast~~ (lowest at Kelly Ville 6, 4 and 3; highest at coast 9, 17 and 11 $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$ of NO_3^- , NH_4^+ and nss-SO_4^{2-} respectively). The $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of snowpack NO_3^- shows a significant decrease from ~~the ice sheet margin inland regions~~ (-7.55.7 ‰ at Kelly Ville) to the coast (-11.3 ‰). We attribute the spatial ~~gradient patterns~~ of $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ in SW Greenland to post-deposition processing rather than differing sources because of 1) the climatic gradient from ice sheet margin to coast, 2) within-catchment isotopic differences between terrestrial snowpack and lake-ice snowpack, and 3) similarities between fresh snow (rather than accumulated snowpack) at Kelly

Ville and the coast. Hence the $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of coastal snowpack is most representative of snowfall in SW Greenland, but after deposition the effects of photolysis, volatilization and sublimation lead to enrichment of the remaining snowpack with the greatest effect in inland areas of low precipitation and high sublimation losses.

1. Introduction

In recent years it has been demonstrated that anthropogenic nitrogen deposition, primarily from fossil fuel combustion, has reached areas very remote from the original sources, including high latitude sites in the Arctic. Evidence includes contemporary deposition monitoring (AMAP, 2006), the snowpack record of the Greenland ice sheet (Hastings et al., 2009) and palaeolimnological records in Arctic lakes (Holtgrieve et al., 2011). However, contemporary deposition data are sparse in such remote areas due to logistical and cost limitations. According to AMAP (2006), “more observations for NO_3^- in air and precipitation are required to better understand the development of NO_3^- pollution in the Arctic” and Greenland is a striking example of the paucity of data. In addition, stable isotopes of NO_3^- have been used to understand both temporal changes and spatial patterns in N deposition as well as links to ecological changes recorded in Arctic lake sediments. [Holtgrieve et al. \(2011\) asserted that \$\delta^{15}\text{N}\$ records in lake sediments indicate a “coherent signal of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition to remote watersheds”, yet a central problem remains why some remote lakes record a 20th Century \$\delta^{15}\text{N}\$ depletion signal, attributed to atmospheric inputs of anthropogenically produced \$\text{NO}_3^-\$, whilst others \(often neighbouring sites\) do not. This is an important issue as \$\delta^{15}\text{N}\$ in lake sediments offers one of the few means of identifying a nitrogen effect on remote lakes over historic timescales and is central to the debate over the relative roles of climate change and nitrogen deposition in driving ecological change in remote lakes \(Catalan et al. 2009; Wolfe et al. 2013\).](#) Stable isotope data are even more restricted [than precipitation chemistry](#) in the Arctic despite their value for understanding pollutant pathways and ecological impacts, with most published data derived from studies in the centre of the Greenland ice sheet (Hastings et al., 2009; Fibiger et al., 2016) or from Svalbard (Heaton et al., 2004; Tye and Heaton, 2007; Björkman et al., 2014).

The largest ice-free region of Greenland is found in the south-west, where a great number of lakes have been the subject of several limnological and palaeolimnological studies. The region between the edge of the ice sheet, the key international airport hub at Kangerlussuaq and the coastal town of Sisimiut, was selected for an integrated study into the potential effects of nitrogen deposition on Arctic lakes (Figure 1) without the confounding effects of climate change, since there was no significant warming trend in the region for most of the 20th Century (Hanna et al., 2012). [This region contains lakes showing very different \$\delta^{15}\text{N}\$ sediment records between coastal sites and inland regions \(e.g. Perren et al., 2009; Reuss et al., 2013\), which we hypothesize may be driven by differences in the net delivery of \$\text{NO}_3^-\$ in deposition.](#) This study presents a first attempt to characterise the chemistry and isotopic composition of NO_3^- inputs across an assumed deposition gradient from the ice sheet margin to the coast.

Around half of precipitation in West Greenland falls as snow, with year-to-year variability (e.g. 45 % at Sisimiut and 52 % at Kangerlussuaq from 1994-1997; Yang et al., 1999, and ~~37-42~~40% at the ice sheet in ~~2011-2013~~; ~~Bosson-Johansson et al., 2013~~2015). Hence snowpack chemistry (if unchanged following deposition) can provide the data required for estimating annual deposition of pollutants in remote Arctic regions where regular

deposition monitoring is not possible due to logistical and financial constraints. In high snowfall regions with a fairly continuously accumulating snowpack, late season snowpack may provide a good estimate of total deposition inputs over the snow season, which may cover more than 6 months in high altitude or high latitude sites (e.g. Rockies – Turk et al., 2001; Ingersoll et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2009). However, in West Greenland the inland areas experience very low precipitation inputs, while sublimation of accumulated snowpack is also important (Bosson-Johansson et al., 2013, 2015). Annual mean precipitation at Sisimiut from 2001-2012 was 631 mm while at Kangerlussuaq it was 258 mm (Mernild et al., 2015). Much greater accumulation of snowpack also occurs in the coastal areas, so it is expected that there is a gradient of precipitation, snowpack accumulation and resultant deposition of pollutants from the interior ice sheet margin to the coast.

~~Here we describe the spatial variation in total inorganic nitrogen (TIN: $\text{NO}_3^- + \text{NH}_4^+$) and sulfur deposition in snowpack and the isotopic signature of snowpack NO_3^- ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$) for three regions in West Greenland. We use the strong climatic gradient to test the hypothesis that both the delivery of TIN deposition and isotopic composition of NO_3^- will differ from the ice sheet margin to the coastal region.~~

1.1 Precipitation chemistry, nitrogen deposition and postdepositional processing in the Arctic

The most wide-ranging studies of Arctic precipitation chemistry and acid deposition fluxes (nitrate, ammonium and sulphate) have been reported under the auspices of the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP, 2006). Spatial assessments of both concentrations (Hole et al., 2006a) and sources (Hole et al., 2006b) indicate spatial heterogeneity across the Arctic, but mostly with very low concentrations and deposition fluxes across much of the region including Greenland, relative to more industrialised regions further south. Sources of acid deposition precursors (including anthropogenic nitrogen) within the Arctic are restricted to a few major point sources, such as large smelters on the Kola Peninsula and Norilsk in the Russian Arctic, with other poorly quantified emissions associated with shipping and oil or gas exploration (Hole et al., 2006b). Pollution is also transported into the Arctic from all northern hemisphere continents, but predominantly from Europe and North America (Hole et al., 2006b). With respect to anthropogenic nitrogen deposition and isotopic composition of nitrate in the Arctic, the majority of published studies relate to seasonal snowpack and ice core records on the Greenland ice sheet, where the fate of deposited nitrate is determined by a complex range of processes.

The processing of nitrate in deposited snowpack, termed postdepositional processing, occurs at the air-snow interface and may entail losses and in situ cycling of nitrate, with different impacts on both net deposition fluxes and isotopic fractionation depending on their relative importance (Frey et al., 2009; Geng et al., 2015; Fibiger et al., 2016). Nitrate may be released back to the atmosphere by desorption and evaporation as HNO_3 , often termed ‘physical’ losses (Mulvaney et al., 1998; Berhanu et al., 2015), or by photolysis (sometimes referred to as photodenitrification) (Frey et al., 2009). Photolysis of snowpack nitrate by UV radiation produces NO_x , which may then undergo various processes which differ in relative importance depending on local conditions. NO_x may be:

1. re-emitted from the snowpack and transported away from the area, depending on wind speed;
2. redeposited by dry deposition;
3. reoxidised back to nitrate and redeposited (re-adsorption or dissolution) (Frey et al., 2009).

Erbland et al. (2015) define “nitrate recycling” as the net effect of nitrate photolysis (producing NO_x), following atmospheric processing and oxidation to form atmospheric nitrate, and the local redeposition (wet or dry) and

export of products. Recycling may also include redeposition of directly emitted HNO₃ (Erbland et al., 2013). Hence both physical and photolytic processes may lead to effective net losses of nitrate from the snowpack if products are transported away from the area, but a proportion may be recycled and hence does not result in net removal from the snowpack, although such recycling can progressively modify isotopic signatures of the nitrate. Photolysis is associated with large fractionation of both N ($^{15}\epsilon$ between -48 and -56 ‰) and O ($^{18}\epsilon = -34$ ‰) which both tend to increase $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in the remaining snowpack nitrate if the NO_x produced is removed from the system (Frey et al., 2009; Erbland et al., 2013; Berhanu et al., 2015; Geng et al., 2015). In situ recycling of nitrate can also reduce $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ due to oxygen isotope exchange with water (Frey et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2015), which has a different isotopic signature from atmospheric oxidants. This means that the negative $^{18}\epsilon$ is not expressed in the residual snow nitrate and, in fact, the apparent overall oxygen isotope fractionation can be positive (between 9 and 13 ‰, Berhanu et al., 2015). However, the depth-integrated $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ remains constant if there is no net loss of nitrate, hence $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is deemed a more reliable indicator of net postdepositional losses than oxygen isotopes (Geng et al., 2015; Zatko et al., 2016). Much smaller (only slightly negative) fractionation constants for other processes have been derived, e.g. physical release of nitrate (evaporation) but studies in the Antarctic by Erbland et al. (2013) found different experimental values at different temperatures and hence these factors are not generally transferable to regions with differing climatic regimes.

Antarctic studies have generally found photolysis to be the dominant driver of nitrate remobilisation and isotopic fractionation, while acknowledging that physical processes could play a greater role in coastal and other regions (Erbland et al., 2013; Berhanu et al., 2015). Erbland et al (2013, 2015) working in Antarctica found that fractionation in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ through nitrate loss and recycling was much less pronounced than $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and either slightly positive or not significantly different from zero. Similar results for $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ were also found experimentally by McCabe et al. (2005) and Berhanu et al. (2015). Erbland et al (2013) suggested that the small fractionation factors for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ in their coastal Antarctic snowpack could indicate a greater role for physical nitrate release, which does not entail oxygen exchange. Zatko et al. (2016) demonstrated that recycling of snow nitrate in Greenland, where nitrate spends a much shorter time in the photic zone, is much less than in Antarctica. They assumed that wet deposited nitrate is more likely to be embedded in the interior of snow grains whereas dry deposited nitrate on the grain surface should be more photolabile, so that in situ recycling is also a function of the form (wet vs dry) of nitrate deposited.

Here we describe the spatial variation in total inorganic nitrogen (TIN: NO₃⁻ + NH₄⁺) and sulfur deposition in snowpack and the isotopic signature of snowpack NO₃⁻ ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$) and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$) for three regions in West Greenland. We use the strong climatic precipitation gradient to test the hypothesis that both the delivery of TIN deposition and isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ will differ from the ice sheet margin to the coastal region while simultaneously determining the differences in the isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ due to postdepositional processing.

2. Methods

2.1 Site selection

As part of a wider study of the ecology and palaeolimnology of low Arctic lakes, deposition study sites were based in three clusters of lake catchments along an assumed deposition gradient from the ice sheet margin to the coast (Figure 1, Table 1), hereafter referred to as ice sheet, Kelly Ville and coastal sites. Three lake catchments were chosen within each region on the basis of previous studies and suitability for (palaeo-)limnological studies reported elsewhere. Five replicated late season snowpack samples were collected within the terrestrial part of each catchment, with a further three replicates obtained from the snowpack on the frozen lake surface. Hence for the purposes of the present study considering spatial gradients/patterns, eight samples each from three lake catchments are considered to represent 24 replicated samples within each region. All catchments are located within a narrow latitudinal band around 67° N with a maximum difference in latitude of only 0.2° (22 km). The maximum distance between sites is 153 km (152 km in east-west direction). The distances between regions are much greater than the distance between lake catchments within each region. The central Kelly Ville sites are at least 98 km from the closest coastal site and 33 km from the closest ice sheet site. Within each region, the largest distance between sites is 12 km at the coast, 8 km at Kelly Ville and 5 km at the ice sheet.

2.2 Snowpack estimation and sampling

Snowpack depth and density were measured during repeat traverses of each catchment along a grid-based pattern to obtain a spatial coverage of 50-150 measurements per catchment. Depth was measured every 100 m with a graduated pole while density was estimated by taking a snow core of known volume using a 37 mm internal diameter plastic pipe at every 5th measurement point and weighing in the field using a spring balance. Snowpack sampling locations were selected to obtain representative spatial coverage within each lake catchment, recognising the spatial variations in aspect, altitude and snowpack depth where snowpack coverage was unevenly distributed within catchments. Within each catchment, samples were obtained from upper, mid-level and lakeside elevations and different aspects, but logistical constraints limited sampling to just five locations. In addition, three lake snowpack samples on top of the lake ice were obtained from equally spaced locations along the longest axis of the frozen lake. Hence eight samples per catchment were collected, but comparisons of terrestrial snowpack and snow accumulated on lake ice were also possible.

Snowpack was sampled according to USGS ultra-clean protocols (Clow et al., 2002; Ingersoll et al., 2008, 2009). In summary, all sampling equipment and sample bags were triple rinsed with distilled deionised water (DDIW), with field blanks obtained by rinsing off the sampling shovel and scoop into a clean sample bag with a DDIW wash bottle in the field. Depth-integrated snow samples were collected with a polycarbonate scoop and kept frozen in clean polyethylene bags until processed in the laboratory. The whole snowpack was sampled down to ground level and hence represents an integrated sample incorporating the net effects of postdepositional processing over the winter season. Fresh latex gloves for each sample were worn at all times while sampling and processing in the laboratory. Back in the laboratory, snow samples were allowed to thaw at room temperature overnight and then filtered through 0.45 µm nylon membrane filters (Millipore) prior to storage and freezing in

125 ml ultra-clean LDPE bottles. Samples were kept frozen and transported back to the isotope laboratory at UEA where they were stored frozen prior to analysis.

Sampling was carried out in the late winter period to capture as much of the accumulated snowpack as possible without the risk of substantial snowmelt occurring (cf. de Caritat et al., 2005); all total snowpack samples were collected between 22nd March and 1st April 2011. Snowpack profile temperature and physical description were noted as per Ingersoll et al. (2009) for assessment of snowpack status and whether melt was in progress. In addition, to assist with bulk deposition estimates, ad hoc sampling of rainfall and fresh falling snow was carried out on numerous occasions during field campaigns in each region during 2011 and 2012.

2.3 Chemical and isotopic analysis

The nitrogen ($^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$) and oxygen ($^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$, $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$) isotope ratios of NO_3^- were determined using the denitrifier method (Casciotti et al., 2002; Kaiser et al., 2007). ~~$\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ values were determined using the standard denitrifier method with N_2O as analyte gas (Casciotti et al. 2002). $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ was determined using the thermal decomposition method with O_2 as analyte gas (Kaiser et al. 2007). $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ values have been corrected for isobaric interference of N_2^{17}O (Kaiser & Röckmann, 2008), using the $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ measurements.~~ The isotope ratios are expressed as relative isotope ratio differences (isotope deltas) with respect to the international reference materials Air- N_2 for nitrogen isotopes and Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) for oxygen isotopes, e.g.

$$\delta(^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}, \text{NO}_3^-) = \frac{R_{\text{sample}}(^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}, \text{NO}_3^-)}{R_{\text{reference}}(^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}, \text{NO}_3^-)} - 1 \quad (1)$$

Instead of the complete quantity symbols (i.e. $\delta(^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}, \text{NO}_3^-)$ etc.) we use the short-hand notation $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$, $\delta(^{17}\text{O})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$. Since $\delta(^{17}\text{O})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ are highly correlated, we use the ^{17}O excess, $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$, instead of $\delta(^{17}\text{O})$ and define it (following Kaiser et al., 2007) as:

$$\Delta(^{17}\text{O}) = \frac{1 + \delta(^{17}\text{O})}{[1 + \delta(^{18}\text{O})]^{0.5279}} - 1 \quad (2)$$

~~$\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ values were determined using the standard denitrifier method with N_2O as analyte gas (Casciotti et al. 2002). $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ was determined using the thermal decomposition method with O_2 as analyte gas (Kaiser et al. 2007). $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ values have been corrected for isobaric interference of N_2^{17}O (Kaiser & Röckmann, 2008), using the $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ measurements.~~ The international reference material IAEA-NO-3 was used for calibration of the delta values, using $\delta(^{15}\text{N}) = 4.7 \text{ ‰}$ (vs. Air- N_2), $\delta(^{18}\text{O}) = 25.61 \text{ ‰}$ (vs. VSMOW) and $\delta(^{17}\text{O}) = 13.18 \text{ ‰}$ (vs. VSMOW) (Kaiser et al. 2007), giving $\Delta(^{17}\text{O}) = -0.25 \text{ ‰}$. In addition, the reference materials USGS 34 ($\delta(^{18}\text{O}) = -27.93 \text{ ‰}$, $\Delta(^{17}\text{O}) = 0.04 \text{ ‰}$) and USGS 35 ($\delta(^{18}\text{O}) = 57.50 \text{ ‰}$, $\Delta(^{17}\text{O}) = 20.88 \text{ ‰}$) were used to correct the measurements for oxygen isotope scale contraction. The analytical precision (repeatability) based on repeat sample analysis was 0.2 ‰ for $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$, 0.5 ‰ for $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ and 0.3 ‰ for $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ (10 nmol NO_3^-). [The standard deviations represent analyses in duplicate.](#)

2.3.1 Base cations, SO_4^{2-} , Cl^-

Chloride (Cl^-) and sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) concentrations were measured using ion chromatography on a Dionex ICS-2000 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) comprising a Dionex Ion Pac AG18 guard column (50 mm x 2 mm), a Dionex Ion Pac AS18 analytical column (250 mm x 2 mm), isocratic elution with potassium hydroxide (KOH) at 24 mm, flow rate: 0.250 ml/min, column temperature: 30 °C, with suppressed conductivity detection. Standards and ultrapure water blanks (18 M Ω cm, Purelab Ultra) were analysed at the beginning, in the middle and at the end of each sample batch for the calibration of the instrument and to account for any instrument drift during the run. The data were processed using Chromeleon software 6.8 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The relative analytical precision (repeatability) based on repeat sample analysis was 2 % for both Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} . Major cations, Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} were determined using inductively coupled optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES; Varian Vista-Pro). Mean instrumental detection limits were 0.1, 0.01, 0.01 and 0.1 μM , respectively, with a relative instrumental precision of 10 %.

2.3.2 Nutrients (NO_2^- , NO_3^- , NH_4^+ , phosphate): autoanalyser

Nitrite (NO_2^-), NO_3^- (after cadmium reduction to NO_2^-), NH_4^+ and phosphate (PO_4^{3-} , HPO_4^{2-} , H_2PO_4^-) concentrations were determined colorimetrically using a Skalar San⁺⁺ autoanalyser. Detection limits of 0.1 μM (for NO_3^- and NH_4^+) and 0.01 μM (for NO_2^- and phosphate) were achieved, with a relative instrumental precision of 4 %.

2.4 Statistical analysis

For all snowpack chemistry and isotope data, statistical analysis was first performed on aggregated regional data (15 catchment replicates plus 9 lake snow replicates; n=24 per region). Subsequent analyses were performed to compare catchment snowpack between regions, lake ice snowpack between regions, and catchment versus lake ice snowpack within regions. Generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) were used to investigate how snowpack chemistry and isotope variables varied between regions. A random intercept was included in the model to account for clustering in the data at the catchment level. Snowpack chemistry variables were modelled using a Gamma GLMM with log link function to account for non-constant variance. Stable isotope variables were modelled using a Gaussian GLMM with identity link function. Additional models explored differences in snowpack chemistry and stable isotopes between samples of catchment snow and snow over lake ice by including sample type, region, and their interaction terms in the fitted models.

Post-hoc pairwise comparison of the GLMM-estimated regional means was performed using Tukey contrasts and the generalized linear hypothesis testing (GLHT) framework. For models of differences in snow sample type (terrestrial versus lake ice) post-hoc comparisons were restricted to comparison of sample type within region using appropriate contrast matrices.

All statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical language (version 3.3.2; R Core Team, 2016) with the lme4 package (version 1.1.12; Bates et al, 2015) for fitting GLMMs and the multcomp package (version 2.4.6, Hothorn et al, 2008) for GLHT post-hoc comparisons.

3. Results

3.1 Catchment scale snowpack depth and SWE estimation

Estimates of snowpack depth and snow water equivalents (SWE) are presented in Table 2. Note that snow water equivalent calculations were carried out for the subset of points at which snow mass was measured in the snow tube and then corrected for mean snow depth across each catchment based on the much larger number of snow depth measurements. Snow density ranged from 0.20 to 0.34 g cm⁻³, remarkably similar to the datasets used in the wide-ranging snow depth-SWE study of Sturm et al. (2010) (0.21-0.34 g cm⁻³).

Snow depth measurements confirm that there is a major difference in snowpack accumulation from 16 to 20 cm mean catchment snow depth (max. 103 cm; overall 35.7 mm SWE) close to the ice sheet, up to 50-74 cm mean catchment snow depth (max. 260 cm; overall 180.8 mm SWE) at the coast. Depth and SWE are slightly higher at the central Kelly Ville catchments relative to the ice sheet sites, but all are still much lower than the coastal sites. At the two inland regions, snow cover [was](#) much more patchy than at the coast, where continuous cover was found except on the steepest slopes (Figure 2).

3.2 Snowpack sampling and chemistry

Details of snowpack samples for chemical and isotopic analysis are provided in Table 3a (terrestrial) and 3b (lake ice snowpack). At the ice sheet, *sampled* terrestrial snowpack varied from 24 to 103 cm depth while *sampled* lake ice snowpack reached only 23 cm maximum depth, reflecting the heterogeneous snow distribution around the catchment and suggesting wind redistribution of the snow. Sampled terrestrial snowpack depth had a smaller range at the Kelly Ville catchments, from 23 to 65 cm, and again the lake ice snow depth was much smaller, reaching only 30 cm maximum depth. At the coastal sites, terrestrial snowpack depths from 28 to 240 cm were sampled while lake ice snow ranged from 19 to 60 cm depth. During the sampling period, air temperatures were all well below 0 °C at the ice sheet and Kelly Ville sites, reaching a maximum of -6 °C. However, during sampling of the coastal snowpack, air temperatures were slightly above freezing, suggesting that some snowmelt may have been occurring during the sampling period (Table 3a-b). Snowpack temperatures were only just below zero at the coastal sites and melting was observed in the lake ice snowpack at site AT1.

3.3 Regional comparison of aggregated snowpack data

Concentrations of major ions in west Greenland snowpack are very low (<5 μmol L⁻¹) except for Mg²⁺, SO₄²⁻ and the sea-salt associated ions Na⁺ and Cl⁻ (Table 4). Analysis of the aggregated snowpack data shows that there are significant differences for most measured analytes except NO₂⁻ between the coastal sites and both the

Kelly Ville and ice sheet margin sites, while snowpack composition is very similar between the two inland regions (Table 4). For NH_4^+ , SO_4^{2-} , Cl^- and all base cations, concentrations are significantly higher in coastal snowpack than in the Kelly Ville or ice sheet regions (except for no significant differences between the coast and ice sheet sites for NH_4^+ and Ca^{2+}). The sea-salt-associated ions Na^+ and Cl^- are highly correlated ($r=0.999$, $p<0.01$) and concentrations are an order of magnitude greater at the coast than inland. Mg^{2+} , K^+ and SO_4^{2-} are also very highly correlated with Cl^- ($r>0.95$, $p<0.01$). The nutrients NO_3^- and PO_4^{3-} show an opposing pattern ~~to the sea salt related ions~~, with significantly lower concentrations in coastal snowpack than at inland sites and weak, negative correlations with Cl^- (NO_3^- : $r=-0.392$, $p<0.01$; PO_4^{3-} : $r=-0.277$, $p<0.05$).

In order to investigate the influence of non-sea salt atmospheric sources of ions, the proportion of sea salt contributions was subtracted using Cl^- as a tracer of sea salt inputs and the relatively constant ionic proportions of major ions in seawater (Henriksen & Posch, 2001). For non-sea salt (nss) sulfate, the pattern of snowpack concentrations is similar to total measured values (Table 4), with the highest mean concentrations at the coast which are significantly greater than inland, although mean non-sea salt concentrations are all very low ($1.0\text{-}1.8 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$). Non-sea salt Mg^{2+} is significantly lower at Kelly Ville than elsewhere (Table 4), while non-sea salt Ca^{2+} increases significantly from the coast towards the ice sheet, presumably due to wind-blown mineralogenic sources. There are no significant differences for non-sea salt K^+ and negative values for non-sea salt Na^+ suggest either non-sea salt sources of Cl^- or snowpack losses of Na^+ , perhaps through preferential elution pathways.

For nutrients, concentrations in seawater are assumed to be negligible hence snowpack concentrations are assumed to be due entirely to non-sea salt atmospheric inputs. Nitrate concentrations are very low at all sites but significantly lower ($p<0.0001$) at the coast (mean $1.5 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) than at Kelly Ville (mean $2.3 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) or the ice sheet (mean $2.4 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$), with no significant difference between the inland regions. Mean NH_4^+ concentrations are also low, but significantly higher in coastal snowpack ($2.7 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) than Kelly Ville ($1.4 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$). Nitrite levels were negligible in all regions.

3.4 Catchment snowpack versus lake ice snowpack

Exploratory data analysis of separate terrestrial snowpack ($n=5$ per catchment) and lake ice snowpack ($n=3$ per catchment) was carried out to determine whether there were within-catchment differences in snowpack chemistry between catchment slopes (with very heterogeneous snow cover) and the relatively homogenous snow cover on the frozen lake. Mean lake ice snowpack concentrations were higher than terrestrial snowpack concentrations for all ions except for a few cases with very low ionic concentrations of $<3 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, with the difference being most pronounced at the coastal sites (Table SI 1). While very few of these differences were statistically significant except at the coast ($P<0.05$ for all plotted ions), the pattern of higher lake ice snowpack concentrations was remarkably consistent (Figure 4). For NO_3^- , significantly higher concentrations were found in lake ice snowpack at the coast ($p=0.028$) and Kelly Ville ($p=0.0042$) but the difference was not quite significant for the ice sheet sites ($p=0.0731$) – noting that only 3 replicated lake ice snowpack samples resulted in large standard errors.

The only sites where melting snow was observed during sampling were at the coast, raising the possibility that in coastal catchments, the snowpack on lake ice could be the recipient of meltwater drainage from catchment slopes whereby preferential elution from catchment snowpack could explain the higher concentrations in the lake snow. Alternatively, losses of some ions such as NO_3^- back to the atmosphere may be greater on catchment slopes (see Discussion below considering stable isotope data). Despite differences between catchment snow and lake ice snowpack, the general patterns of higher major ion concentrations at the coast but lower nutrients (as seen in the aggregated catchment data) is repeated.

3.5 Stable isotopes

For aggregated snowpack $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ there are significant differences ($p < 0.001$) between coastal and inland regions (as with major ion chemistry) with the lowest mean values at the coast (-11.3 ‰) and the highest at Kelly Ville (-5.7 ‰) (Table 4). There are no significant differences in $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ with mean values of 81.7-83.3 ‰ across all regions. None of the measured isotopes show significant differences between inland regions. Values of $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ in catchment snowpack range from 30.8 ‰ at the coast to 34.4 ‰ at Kelly Ville, again with significantly lower values in coastal snowpack than for inland regions ($p < 0.01$).

3.6 Deposition estimates

In addition to the analysis of accumulated snowpack in the study regions, ad-hoc sampling of fresh snow and rainfall was carried out on numerous occasions during late winter, summer and autumn field campaigns. Unfortunately, most of the bulk deposition samples collected were subject to major contamination by bird strikes by the northern wheatear (*Oenanthe oenanthe*) which finds any prominent vertical structures in the low Arctic scrub an irresistible vantage point, despite attempts to fit various configurations of bird deterrent devices. However, a small number of uncontaminated rainfall samples were collected along with fresh snowpack samples where a surface accumulation of falling snow was collected within a few hours of being deposited (Table 5).

Fresh snow collected from coastal sites in 2011 had slightly higher nutrient concentrations compared to catchment snowpack but had very low concentrations of sea salt related ions, indicating that in the fresh falling snow the influence of seasalt inputs was minimal. Presumably marine aerosols accumulate in the snowpack over winter, which may explain the higher concentrations of NH_4^+ as well as SO_4^{2-} at the coast. Fresh snow collected at Kelly Ville in April 2011 also had slightly higher concentrations of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ than regional snowpack but had very similar major ion concentrations. Four rainfall samples from the Kelly Ville region in 2011 had variable concentrations of NO_3^- (1.3- 7.8 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) but the mean of 4.0 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ was higher than the regional snowpack (mean 2.3 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) while NH_4^+ concentrations in the rainfall were slightly lower than the snowpack (Table 5). Several rainfall samples were also collected from the ice sheet region, again showing c. 50% higher mean NH_4^+ (3.1 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) and NO_3^- (3.4 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) than the snowpack.

Logistical challenges prevented the routine monitoring of non-snowpack precipitation, and while around half of annual precipitation falls as snow in West Greenland, this does mean that annual deposition fluxes can only be estimated using best available data. In this region, we assume that snowpack concentrations of atmospherically derived ions are representative of total annual precipitation and hence can obtain a first approximation of deposition fluxes by using mean snowpack solute concentrations with measured annual precipitation data at Sisimiut and Kangerlussuaq (Mernild et al., 2015) and scaled for ice sheet data at SS903 from 2011-12 (Bosson Johansson et al., 2013, 2015). Estimated deposition loads based on mean snowpack chemistry and mean 2001-2012 precipitation levels for Sisimiut (coast) and Kangerlussuaq (inland regions) are shown in Table 6.

While NO_3^- concentrations are lower at coastal sites than inland, higher precipitation levels at the coast lead to 18-62 % greater NO_3^- deposition than inland. For NH_4^+ where coastal sites have both higher concentrations and higher precipitation, estimated deposition loads are around 3-5 times higher at the coast than inland. Overall, total inorganic N deposition at the coast is therefore estimated to be 1.9-2.8 times higher than for the inland regions. For non-sea salt SO_4^{2-} the deposition at the coast is also 2.7-4.4 times higher than inland.

4. Discussion

4.1 Precipitation chemistry

The gradient in precipitation from the coast to the ice sheet has been attributed by Mernild et al. (2015) to katabatic winds moving downslope from the ice sheet interior, distance from oceanic moisture sources and orographic enhancement by coastal mountains, all contributing to much greater precipitation at the coast relative to areas further inland towards the ice sheet. There is a strong major gradient difference in the chemistry of snowpack from inland to the coast which is primarily driven by the greater influence of marine inputs (sea spray and aerosols) at the coast, clearly shown by highly elevated concentrations of Na^+ and Cl^- (cf. coastal snowpack in Svalbard studied by Tye & Heaton, 2007) but also by separate gradients in atmospheric pollutant deposition. Concentrations of NH_4^+ ($p=0.0017$ for coast-Kelly Ville) and nss-SO_4^{2-} ($p<0.05$) are greater in coastal snowpack than inland, but concentrations of NO_3^- ($p<0.0001$) are lower at the coast. Hence there is clearly an interaction between dilution effects of greater precipitation at the coast and differential pollutant inputs and presumably pathways from inland to coastal regions (see below).

Snowpack solute concentrations in this study are comparable to values recorded in studies on the Greenland ice sheet. Fischer et al. (1998a) studied chemistry of recent firn along ice sheet transects and recorded a range of 110-150 ng g^{-1} (1.8-2.4 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) NO_3^- and 70-110 ng g^{-1} (1.5-2.3 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) sulfate for central Greenland. Burkhart et al. (2004) recorded a mean NO_3^- of 2.9 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ in surface snow at Summit from 1997-1998 (range 0.4-34.4 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) while a later study indicated recent peaks of 2-5 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ in 6 ice cores (Burkhart et al., 2006). Dibb et al. (2007) studied daily snowpack chemistry at Summit from 1997-98 and then from August 2000-August 2002, and recorded overall mean concentrations of 0.5 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for NH_4^+ (monthly mean range 0.1-1.4 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) and 3.2 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for NO_3^- (monthly mean range 1.3-6.7 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$). Mean SO_4^{2-} was 0.7 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ (monthly mean range 0.2-2.3 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) while mean Na^+ was 0.4 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ and Cl^- was 0.8 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$. In

equivalence terms, NO_3^- constituted the dominant ion in fresh snow at Summit while sea salt ion concentrations were negligible, much lower than the terrestrial snowpack in our study and reflecting the much greater distance from the coast of the ice sheet studies. Dibb et al. (2007) found that NO_3^- was the only ion having a higher concentration in fresh snow compared with buried layers, but the difference, presumably due to postdepositional processing, was only 9%. More recent samples at Summit showed mean concentrations of 2.8 and 5.2 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for the 2010 and 2011 seasons (Fibiger et al., 2016).

Our results are also within the range of other studies of Arctic precipitation and ice cores. Kekonen et al. (2002) recorded peak concentrations of 3-4 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for NO_3^- and 4-5 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for NH_4^+ during the 1980s in Svalbard ice cores. Tye & Heaton (2007) found concentrations of 1.7-3.1 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ NO_3^- and 1.2-1.7 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for NH_4^+ in Svalbard snowpack. In the AMAP synthesis of Arctic precipitation chemistry data (Hole et al., 2006a), NO_3^- concentrations for the period 1980-2005 ranged from 0-10 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ but the great majority of annual mean values were <4 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$. However, the majority of stations showed higher winter than summer precipitation concentrations, unlike our study where analysis of ad hoc rainfall samples suggested higher concentrations of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in rainfall relative to snowpack (Table 5). Sulfate concentrations were much more spatially variable, but the great majority of annual mean concentrations were <10 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ and some regions showed higher summer than winter concentrations (Hole et al., 2006a). De Caritat et al. (2005) carried out a wide-ranging snapshot survey of Arctic snowpack chemistry and found snowpack concentrations at Pittufik (NW Greenland) of 5.7-8.9 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for NO_3^- and 9.9-12.8 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for SO_4^{2-} , with median values across their Arctic survey of 3.5 and 9.9 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ respectively. Jaffe and Zukowski (1993) recorded 2.6 and 1.9 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} in Alaskan snowpack.

Hence the chemistry of SW Greenland snowpack is comparable to the Greenland ice sheet and other areas of the Arctic remote from pollution sources, but with lower acid anion concentrations than more polluted regions of the Arctic such as parts of the Russian Federation and NW Europe (De Caritat et al., 2005; Hole et al., 2006b). Snowpack concentrations in this part of the Arctic are also generally lower than those recorded in remote alpine systems such as the Rockies (e.g. 10-12 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for NO_3^- and 3-6 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for NH_4^+ ; Williams et al, 2009). Sources of nitrogen and sulfur compounds in the Arctic include long-range transport of fossil fuel combustion products from e.g. large smelters in the Russian Federation, shipping on Arctic sea routes, volcanic activity (e.g. Iceland, Alaska) and biomass combustion from natural or anthropogenic fires in the boreal forest zone (Hole et al., 2006b). The mix of Eurasian and North American sources for both S and N was found to be consistent across the Greenland ice sheet, based on emission inventories and ice core records (Fischer et al., 1998b), but it may be assumed that local shipping sources would be greatest for the coastal region.

4.2 Deposition estimates

There are very few data for recent atmospheric deposition in Greenland, but there have been studies of snowpack and ice core records of pollutants on the Greenland ice sheet (e.g. Dye2, 200 km from Kangerlussuaq; Dye3, 380 km; Summit, 800 km; Burkhardt et al., 2006). Therefore, despite the lack of contemporary deposition data for the region, there are numerous records of relative change in nitrogen deposition loads over the past 200 years or more.

Ice-core records from Greenland show that increases in NO_3^- commenced in the latter half of the nineteenth century (Mayewski et al., 1990; Fischer et al., 1998b; Burkhardt et al., 2006). Greenland ice core records closely follow the emissions inventories for Europe and North America over this period (Burkhardt et al., 2006). Current NO_3^- concentrations are double the pre-industrial levels across the Greenland ice sheet (Fischer et al., 1998b; Hastings et al., 2009). Burkhardt et al. (2006) calculated 1789-1994 deposition fluxes for six ice sheet cores to range from 0.13 to 0.59 $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ with mean N deposition flux derived from snow pits at Summit of 0.5 $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ as NO_3^- (0.11 $\text{kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$; Burkhardt et al., 2004). These fluxes are double the pre-industrial values for both wet and dry NO_3^- deposition (Fischer et al., 1998a). Fluxes of NO_3^- derived from ice cores since the 1960s ranged from 0.5 (at D3) to 1 $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ at Gits (NW Greenland) in the study of Burkhardt et al. (2006).

Fluxes of NO_3^- deposition in the current study range from 0.08 to 0.13 $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ which are remarkably similar to the fluxes recorded at Summit by Burkhardt et al. (2004). Total inorganic N deposition fluxes are 2-3 times higher at the coast (0.37 $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$) than inland, primarily due to NH_4^+ , reflecting both the higher precipitation but also possibly local sources, especially of NH_4^+ . [It is possible that there could be greater biogenic sources of ammonium deposition from seabird colonies to the coastal sites which are within 2-3 km from the coast, but there are no recent data and older records indicate only very small colonies \(20 pairs\) within 10 km of the study region and the only sizeable colony of several hundred pairs is around 100 km away \(Boertman et al., 1996\). To our knowledge there are no major seabird colonies in the vicinity of the coastal sites.](#) Unlike NO_3^- there has been no similar increasing trend in NH_4^+ in ice core records over the past 200 years (Savarino & Legrand, 1998). Other studies of industrial sources of contaminants indicated by unsupported ^{210}Pb and weapons ^{137}Cs in lake sediment cores have also found a strong gradient of increasing deposition from the ice sheet to the coast in this region (Bindler et al., 2001a, b). Isotope ratios of Pb indicate that Western Europe is a major emissions source for southern Greenland (Bindler et al., 2001b).

Burkhardt et al. (2004) reviewed several studies demonstrating that deposition flux (but not concentration) is strongly dependent on snow accumulation (Legrand & Kirchner, 1990), which is consistent with our results showing the much higher deposition flux at the coast where the snowpack is much greater than inland, even if only on a seasonal basis (unlike the ice sheet). Unlike larger-scale studies of Arctic precipitation (Hole et al., 2009), NO_3^- deposition appears to be of a similar magnitude in charge-equivalent terms to nss- SO_4^{2-} deposition in inland regions of West Greenland, while TIN deposition is almost double nss- SO_4^{2-} deposition (Table 5). At the coast, NO_3^- deposition (9 $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$) is much lower than nss- SO_4^{2-} (11 $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$) while NH_4^+ is comparable in charge-equivalent terms (17 $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$), possibly suggesting an influence of ammonium sulfate aerosols (Fisher et al., 2011; Paulot et al., 2015). Local urban, marine or shipping emissions could also account for higher deposition fluxes of all these ions in coastal snowpack, especially given the proximity of the town and port at Sisimiut, but since NO_3^- fluxes are much less enhanced at the coast than either NH_4^+ or nss- SO_4^{2-} a dominant deposition pathway via ammonium sulfate (Fisher et al., 2011) seems most likely. Ammonium and sulfate are highly correlated in coastal snowpack (natural logs of concentrations; $r=0.740$, $t=5.154$, $df=22$, $p=3.63e-05$) which provides supporting evidence for this pathway.

Modelled wet deposition of SO_4^{2-} for West Greenland in a global analysis was found to be in the region of $0.2 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ S (Vet et al., 2014), which falls in the middle of the spatial range suggested by our study ($0.08 - 0.35 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$). The same modelling study indicated wet deposition of total N to be $<1 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$, which includes the range of estimates in our study. Nitrogen deposition levels in West Greenland snowpack (assuming 50% of deposition as snow) are comparable to those found in Svalbard snowpack, estimated at $0.059 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ for NO_3^- -N and $0.03 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ for NH_4^+ -N in 2001 (Tye and Heaton, 2007) and NO_3^- -N deposition rates (wintertime, snow-derived only) to Alaskan snowpack of $0.07\text{-}0.12 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ (Jaffe & Zukowski, 1993). These values are much lower than those found in high altitude snowpack in the Rockies of the USA, for example $1.43\text{-}1.71$ and $0.46\text{-}0.81 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ for NO_3^- -N and NH_4^+ -N in the study of Williams et al (2009). Likewise, higher deposition loads are recorded in the Russian Arctic, where total N and S deposition loads range from $0.75\text{-}3.10$ and $0.40\text{-}3.00$ (much higher close to smelters) $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ (Hole et al., 2006a).

Finally, while our deposition estimates are comparable to modelled values and other Arctic studies in regions remote from pollution sources, the reliance of our estimates on chemical data from snowpack and a small number of rainfall samples means that our estimates should be viewed as approximate. Comparison of rainfall chemistry with snowpack within each region suggests that mean rainfall concentrations could be as low as 60% or as high as 170% of those in snowpack, with uncertainties therefore conservatively in the range +/- 40% for annual mean deposition fluxes.

4.3 Stable isotopes

Isotope delta values of snowpack NO_3^- in the current study are comparable to the few other published studies in the Arctic from seasonal snowpack (as opposed to accumulating snow on the ice sheet). Heaton et al. (2004) sampling snowpack in Svalbard during 2001-2003 recorded $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ in the range -4 to -18 ‰ while $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ values fell in the range $42\text{-}76 \text{ ‰}$ ($60\text{-}85 \text{ ‰}$ when accounting for organic contamination) while Tye and Heaton (2007), also in Svalbard, found seasonal snowpack $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ fell in the range -7 to -18 ‰ while $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ values fell in the range $74\text{-}78 \text{ ‰}$. The snowpack data presented here are slightly higher but largely overlapping with the Svalbard studies, with the mean $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of -11 ‰ for coastal catchments being lower than most of the non-polar studies reviewed by Heaton et al. (2004).

There are few published studies on the triple isotope analysis of O in NO_3^- globally. Although $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ values in our study are in a similar range ($81\text{-}84 \text{ ‰}$ cf. $60\text{-}95 \text{ ‰}$), values of $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ for snowpack NO_3^- are somewhat higher than those reported for atmospheric sources (aerosols, fog and precipitation) in a semiarid region of California ($26 \pm 3 \text{ ‰}$; Michalski *et al*, 2004). Michalski et al. (2003) showed in a study of seasonal isotopic composition that $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ values were consistently higher in winter months. The high values of $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ found here are comparable to the few existing Arctic studies and indeed such high values are only found in Polar regions (Morin et al., 2009). Morin et al. (2007a) reported $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ values of $29\text{-}35 \text{ ‰}$ at Alert, Canada and $26\text{-}36 \text{ ‰}$ at Barrow, Alaska, compared with the present study where the coastal mean value of 30.8 ‰ was significantly lower than both Kelly Ville (mean = 34.4 ‰) and the ice sheet (mean = 33.8 ‰). However, some of the ice sheet margin samples in the current study ranged up to 43 ‰ , higher than any previously recorded in the Arctic and comparable to data from Savarino et al. (2006) in Antarctica.

The non-mass dependent fraction of oxygen associated with tropospheric ozone means that there is positive correlation between ozone concentration and $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ (Morin et al., 2007b). In Arctic coastal zones, springtime ozone depletion events ([ODEs](#)) commonly occur due to reaction pathways involving marine derived halogen compounds and radicals, most importantly linked to bromine (Morin et al., 2007b). Morin et al. (2007b) established a significant positive correlation between $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ and ozone concentration and it may be speculated that the lower $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ values in coastal snowpack in our study could be linked to ODE's caused by marine influences; although bromide was not measured, the contribution of sea salts to coastal snowpack in our study is very significantly greater than inland, suggesting a much greater potential for the influence of ODEs on $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$.

In the current study $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ is similar to seasonal snowpack data (Heaton et al., 2004) and ice cores (Vega et al., 2015a) from Svalbard, -but highly depleted (regional means from -5.7 to -11.3 ‰) compared with data from ice cores obtained on the Greenland ice sheet, where NO_3^- over the last 300 years declined from a pre-industrial value near +11 ‰ to values around -1 ‰ in the last decade (Hastings et al., 2009) and is closely correlated with fossil fuel emissions since 1750. While the decrease in $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ commenced around 1850, rising NO_3^- mass fractions in snow from the pre-industrial value of 73 ng g⁻¹ only became apparent later, from around 1890, reaching 133 ng g⁻¹ post 1950. [The isotopic composition of \$\text{NO}_3^-\$ in ice cores reflects Northern Hemisphere pollutants.](#)

Hence there is a very strong gradient of declining snowpack $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ from the central ice sheet, to the ice sheet margin and with the most depleted values at the coast. There is clearly a major difference in the $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of continuously accumulating snow on the central ice sheet compared with seasonal snowpack in the zone from the ice sheet margin to the coast. Such a strong spatial gradient must reflect either:

- i. differing isotopic composition of inputs, due to differing sources of snowpack NO_3^- and/or fractionation during transport to the deposition site (cf. Morin et al., 2009; Vega et al., 2015b), or
- ii. a gradient in post-depositional processing and fractionation of NO_3^- between the coastal, inland and ice sheet sites.

4.3.1 Sources of snowpack NO_3^-

[There are very few studies in SW Greenland to provide evidence for the likely source regions for anthropogenic N or other acid deposition precursors. Kahl et al \(1997\) argue that trajectories to Summit on the ice sheet are similar to Dye 3 in south Greenland \(Davidson et al., 1993\), and that in winter, 94% belong to westerly transport patterns \(in fact moving from SW coastal zones NE onto the ice sheet\). Geng et al \(2014\) assume the dominance of N American pollutant sources at Summit. For our sites in SW Greenland it appears that similar long-range source areas would apply. Alternative approaches \(lake sediment records of Pb isotopes\) have indicated that European sources are also important contributors to pollution across the region \(Bindler 2001a, b\), while the modelling study of Zatko et al. \(2016\) suggests that our study region is an area of wind convergence with air flow mainly from the interior down to the coast. Hence there is no clear indication in the literature of the key](#)

[local source regions affecting our study areas, but some evidence that coastal and inland areas are likely to be exposed to similar long-range sources.](#)

[While it is possible there may be major differences in pollutant source regions across Greenland, in particular from the coast to the interior, the spatial scope of our study is very small relative to the size of the ice sheet and the modelled gradients shown by Zatzko et al \(2016\). Hence while differential source regions cannot be ruled out, the study areas are very close to each other relative to distances from source regions. A striking result is the similarity of the coastal isotopic data \(both \$\delta\(^{15}\text{N}\)\$ and \$\Delta\(^{17}\text{O}\)\$ in seasonal snowpack but also in the summer rain samples\) with studies much further afield including snowpack at Summit on the ice sheet, and atmospheric nitrate at Alert, Canada and Barrow, Alaska \(Hastings et al., 2004; Kunasek et al., 2008; Morin et al., 2012; Fibiger et al., 2016\). Hence it seems unlikely that differences in source region are a plausible explanation for the spatial differences in the isotopic signatures of snowpack observed in our study. The modelling study of Zatzko et al. \(2016\) also shows an increase in the proportional loss of nitrate through photolysis moving inland from the coast towards the ice sheet. While not directly applicable due to the lack of permanent snow cover in the present study transect, their modelled enrichment of ice-core nitrate would be in the range 1-5 ‰ for the inland regions of our study and zero at the coast, which is entirely consistent with our findings in the seasonal snowpack.](#)

The linkages between the $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of NO_3^- preserved in ice cores and anthropogenic sources are poorly understood and debated in the literature and similar questions arise in our study where coastal snowpack $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ is much more depleted than values reported for many emission sources. Fibiger and Hastings (2016) reviewed the published ranges of $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of NO_x and found that coal-fired power plant emissions were generally highly positive, while only soil emissions and automobile emissions included values of less than -10 ‰. Their own data on experimental biomass burning indicated values from -7 to +12 ‰ with the majority of materials giving positive values, although black spruce found in northern latitudes did give the most negative values in their study. In a [separate](#) study of vehicle emissions which reported a wide range in $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of NO_x from -19.1 to 9.8 ‰, it was found that emissions from diesel powered vehicles were the lowest (Walters et al., 2015a). In our coastal sites, it is possible that NO_x sources from diesel vehicles and shipping may contribute to snowpack NO_3^- , but while our coastal sites showed the lowest $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ values, other studies have found shipping emissions to be enriched in ^{15}N (Beyn et al., 2015). At the coast, the most enriched snowpack is found at AT5 closest to Sisimiut where there is a town with road vehicles, a port and an airport, while at Kelly Ville the most enriched snowpack was found at SS02, closest to the harbour and Kangerlussuaq (Fig. 1; Supplementary Information Figure S.I.2). Hence while the influence of local sources cannot be ruled out, comparison of differences between catchments within regions does not support proximity to local sources as an explanation of the regional gradient in $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$. An alternative hypothesis would be differences in source areas for long-range transported pollutants.

Heaton et al. (2004) speculate that preferential deposition of enriched NO_3^- leads to increasingly depleted NO_3^- with distance from source, [while and](#) the later study of Vega et al. (2015b) supported the presence of such a process in air masses travelling long distances over the Arctic. In the present study, it is possible that this process could account for the very low $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ found especially in coastal snowpack, but is unlikely to account for the regional gradient observed. Given the relatively small distances involved (of the order of 100km from the

ice sheet margin to the coast) relative to transport distances from possible N sources (assumed to be industrial regions in Europe, Siberia or North America), the large difference in snowpack $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ (regional differences >5 ‰) seems unlikely to be caused exclusively by this process. Burkhart et al. (2006) speculate that the patterns of recent declining trends in ice-core NO_3^- since the 1990s suggest that Greenland snow may be recording European and North American NO_x and the distance of these sources from the study region are much greater than the within-region distances showing the gradient in isotopic composition.

Another possibility is that there could be a greater proportional contribution of dry deposition at the low precipitation inland sites, relative to the coastal sites. Studies of daily variations in surface snow chemistry and isotopic composition at a coastal site in Svalbard indicated that increasing NO_3^- concentrations occurred between precipitation events, due to dry deposition inputs (Björkman et al., 2014). Since gas phase and aerosol NO_3^- may be enriched in ^{15}N compared to wet deposited NO_3^- ; (Heaton, 1987; Freyer, 1991; Garten, 1996; Elliott et al., 2009) such a mechanism could contribute to both the spatial patterns in NO_3^- concentrations and isotopic differences observed in our study. While the Svalbard study of Björkman et al. (2014) considered coastal snowpack and concluded that dry deposition processes were likely to be more important than postdepositional processing, our study regions cover a strong climatic gradient with a much greater potential role for sublimation and photolytic effects on snowpack NO_3^- in inland sites.

4.3.2 Postdepositional processing

Higher levels of volatilisation of NO_3^- at the inland sites with greater sublimation and lower precipitation (cf. 250 mm SWE at Summit; Dibb & Fahnstock, 2004) may lead to enrichment of snowpack ^{15}N compared with the coastal sites. ~~Bosson-Johansson et al. (2013,2015) recorded a mean sublimation rates at Two-Boat lake (our ice sheet site SS903) of 2.750.63 mm d⁻¹ in April 2013. While these rates occurred during very favourable conditions for sublimation and are regarded as upper range values by the authors, they which are ~~istwo an~~ orders of magnitude greater than those recorded in the Svalbard study of Björkman et al. (2014) (0.042 mm d⁻¹) which ruled out postdepositional processing as a major determinant of snowpack NO_3^- concentrations and isotopic composition. Heaton et al. (2004) and Morin et al. (2008) suggested that post-depositional processing of snowpack NO_3^- would lead to isotopic enrichment, so while these processes cannot account for the low coastal values, they could account for the higher inland values if it is assumed that fresh snow in all regions started from a similar value. A fresh snow sample collected at Kelly Ville did indeed show a much lower $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of -11.8 ‰ (Table 5) compared with total snowpack in the region, but ad hoc rainfall samples at different times of year showed a variable $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$. Since snow photochemistry is a major driver of NO_3^- re-emissions, the effects of post-depositional processing should be maximal in spring when UV exposure is highest and there is still snowpack present (Morin et al., 2008).~~

The observed spatial isotopic gradient could potentially be the result of two opposing processes which could act to produce the same gradient; higher melting losses at the coast and higher sublimation losses inland. At the coast, higher temperatures may result in greater melting and preferential elution of the heavier isotope, leaving a more depleted snowpack. Inland, lower temperatures reduce melting effects but lower cloud cover and

precipitation along with a much smaller snowpack cause greater relative sublimation losses, leading to isotopic enrichment of the remaining snowpack. Such a process could explain the much more depleted $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of -11.8 ‰ in fresh snow at Kelly Ville compared with a mean of -5.7 ‰ in total accumulated snowpack sampled at the same time of year but representing the net effect of postdepositional processing on the snowpack remaining at the end of the season.

While the relative importance of these processes cannot be determined conclusively from the current study, there are additional clues when comparing the terrestrial snowpack with the lake ice snowpack. At the coast and Kelly Ville, NO_3^- concentrations are significantly higher in lake ice snowpack than in terrestrial snowpack (Fig. 3) and it may be speculated that this could be due to meltwater losses draining from catchment slopes (with elevated ionic concentrations due to preferential elution) accumulating on the frozen lake surface. However, $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ values are generally lower in lake ice snowpack than in the terrestrial snowpack (Fig S.I. 1) while the opposite might be expected if the lake ice snowpack was receiving enriched meltwater from the catchment. Hence the most plausible mechanism which could decrease NO_3^- concentrations on catchment slopes while increasing $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ would be greater volatilisation or sublimation losses of NO_3^- to the atmosphere. It is possible that the snow accumulated on lake ice is of a different age mix than that sampled on catchment slopes, due to differential removal and redeposition during wind redistribution. Since higher concentrations of most ions were recorded in lake-ice snowpack (significant for nitrate at the coast and Kellyville) it may be hypothesized that postdepositional losses of nitrate are enhanced in snow on catchment slopes. Such a mechanism is also supported by the isotope data whereby terrestrial snowpack has generally higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than lake ice snowpack, suggesting postdepositional enrichment. It is not possible to determine how snow has been redistributed in the current study (and in fact would be extremely difficult to measure in practice), but the consistent pattern for all lake catchments in all regions does suggest a common process operating across the study region.

~~Geng et al. (2014) argue that the high $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ in ice core records from the Greenland ice sheet relative to direct measurements of atmospheric sources may indicate a major role for post depositional enrichment through volatilisation/evaporation and photolysis on the ice sheet during summer. Our data would support this assertion if it is assumed suggest that coastal snowpack more closely represents the source isotopic composition while increased post-depositional processing occurs moving inland. The scope for such post depositional enrichment is likely to increase from the coast to the ice sheet as precipitation levels and snowpack accumulation rates decrease. Periodic melting events indicated by ice layers in the coastal snowpack may facilitate the downward transport of the relatively depleted NO_3^- , further reducing the potential for post-depositional processing via volatilisation or photolysis. The least depleted (or most enriched) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in our study are found in the Kelly Ville region which has the lowest precipitation.~~

Burkhart et al. (2004) observed that almost all NO_3^- found in surface snow at Summit was still present in firn snow pits one year later, while acknowledging that postdepositional NO_3^- loss to the atmosphere may occur and can be offset by dry deposition of HNO_3 . Concentrations of sulfate and NO_3^- in firn are strongly affected by snow accumulation rates and this is particularly important for accumulation of NO_3^- in snowpack since high NO_3^- re-emission losses have been recorded in low accumulation areas such as central Antarctica (Fischer et al.,

1998a). Likewise, Dibb et al. (2007) found NO_3^- concentrations to be 9% higher in surface snow than in buried snow and concluded that postdepositional losses of NO_3^- may be as high as 25% within 1-2 years of deposition. They attributed postdeposition losses of volatile species on ice grain surfaces to decreases in surface area/volume ratios due to ice grain growth, or to photolysis, while non-volatiles may increase due to either dry deposition and/or loss of water mass by sublimation. Postdeposition processing is likely to play a more significant role in areas of higher temperatures and/or lower accumulation rates (Burkhart et al., 2004; Fischer et al., 1998a). ~~For the purpose of calculating net deposition rates to catchments and receiving lake basins, the net effects (photochemical losses and gains) on NO_3^- through postdepositional processing throughout the snow accumulation season should be accounted for by sampling at the end of the season.~~

Since postdepositional processing occurs primarily in the photic zone of the snowpack (modelled values from 6-51cm in Greenland in the study of Zatko et al., 2016), a larger proportion of the snowpack at the inland sites must be exposed to such processing during spring, while much deeper snowpack at the coast will retain a greater proportion of unprocessed nitrate. Although dust inputs are likely to be greater at the inland sites, potentially reducing the depth of the snow photic zone, the much smaller snowpack and greater wind redistribution suggests a much greater potential overall for postdeposition processing through UV exposure and wind removal of photolysis or evaporative products than at the coast (cf. Frey et al., 2009). Frey et al. (2005) found that at wind speeds of less than 3 m s^{-1} (as found at our coastal sites) the effects of wind-pumping were less important than diffusion; while our inland regions experience higher mean annual wind speeds of 3.6 (Kellyville) and 4.0 (ice sheet) m s^{-1} . Furthermore, several studies of both modern snowpack and ice core nitrate (e.g. Geng et al., 2015) attribute differences in nitrate $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to differences in snow accumulation rate, which is consistent with results of our study showing a less-transformed snowpack nitrate signal at the coast. Frey et al. (2009) also highlighted the importance of surface and wind-driven sublimation processes in the enrichment of insoluble chemical species and the removal of volatile species. Their study, like ours, indicated smaller nitrate transformations from snowpack in higher accumulating areas at the coast compared with inland, and the analysis of Zatko et al (2016) in both Antarctica and on the Greenland ice sheet found that enrichment of snowpack nitrate was greatest in areas with the lowest accumulation rates – consistent with our data from seasonal snowpack.

The modelling study of Zatko et al (2016) also indicates that up to 100% of snowpack nitrate deposition in SW Greenland is primary deposition, rather than recycled. Our data, if we assume that coastal snowpack nitrate most closely represents regionally deposited precipitation nitrate, indicate an enrichment in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of 3.8 ‰ at the ice sheet and 5.6 ‰ at Kellyville, while $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ is 3.0 ‰ higher at the ice sheet and 3.6 ‰ higher at Kellyville, relative to coastal snowpack. The lack of a concomitant decrease in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ for inland snowpack suggests the postdepositional enrichment in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ may be due primarily to net losses from snowpack rather than in-situ recycling. Slightly higher mean values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ at inland locations, while not significant, are also suggestive of fractionating losses, rather than in situ recycling which would be expected to reduce $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. Given that $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ shows an increase without a concomitant decrease in $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$, nitrate loss rather than recycling would appear to be the dominant process at inland sites, which is consistent with the presence of a much smaller, more sublimated and wind-redistributed snowpack inland which favours removal and transport of photolytic and evaporative products rather than in situ recycling.

If the much higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values inland do indeed reflect a much greater impact of postdepositional processing on the much smaller snowpack, then it follows that the initial snowpack deposition of nitrate may have been larger, but has subsequently been reduced by photolysis and evaporation, while coastal snowpack more faithfully records the initial atmospheric inputs of nitrate. For the purpose of calculating net deposition rates to catchments and receiving lake basins, the net effects (photochemical losses and gains) on NO_3^- through postdepositional processing throughout the snow accumulation season should be accounted for by sampling at the end of the season.

4.3.3 Seasonal variations in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of deposited NO_3^- versus annually integrated ice core records

Ice core data show seasonal variation in the recent isotopic signature, with summer values higher than winter, which was not apparent in pre-industrial ice (Hastings et al., 2004, 2009). The depletion of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ has been strongest in winter. Since our study records only winter deposition inputs, it is likely that we are capturing the most depleted component of annual inputs leading to lower values than annually resolved records on the ice sheet, where snowpack continuously accumulates through the year (Dibb & Fahnstock, 2004). Morin et al. (2008) ~~recorded~~ compared their coastal snowpack data with the strong seasonal variations in atmospheric $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ on the ice sheet, with lowest values of -15 ‰ in winter through to March, and asserted that emissions of reactive N from snowpack during spring resulted in an increase of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in remaining NO_3^- . Hastings et al. (2004) found a strong seasonal variation in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of fresh snow, with minimal mean values in winter of -10 ‰, and even recorded diurnal variations in deposited snow, with more enriched snow samples collected during the day and more depleted at night. They attributed this diurnal signal to redeposition of NO_x emitted from the snowpack during the day, either through direct contact with the snow surface or during fog events. These patterns are consistent with the spatial gradient in the current study, where the most depleted snowpack $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was found at the coast, where higher precipitation (and accumulation) and greater incidence of cloud cover and fog would reduce the potential for re-emission of depleted N from the snowpack. The few rainfall samples analysed during late summer for all regions show a higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than the snowpack samples, while the sole rain sample analysed from spring (May 2011) at the ice sheet margin had the lowest value in rain, but still not as low as snowpack. Such postdepositional processing in combination with seasonal changes found on the ice sheet, and hinted at in our rainfall data, could explain the major differences between our coastal snowpack samples (reflecting minimal winter deposition) and those recorded at Summit (reflecting year round accumulation including much more enriched summer deposition).

5. Conclusions

There ~~is a strong gradient~~ are major differences in snowpack accumulation and SWE from inland to the coast, reflecting the annual precipitation which is twice as high at the coast than inland. Late season snowpack in SW Greenland shows a strong chemical gradient from the ice sheet margin to the coast. For inland snowpack, chemistry is comparable to remote locations such as Summit on the central ice sheet as well as other Arctic

locations remote from industrial sources. At the coast, sea salt ions dominate the accumulated snowpack, but are much less important in fresh snow. While NO_3^- is the dominant ion at Summit (Dibb et al., 2007) its concentration declines from inland regions to the coast. However the reverse is true for NH_4^+ and nss-SO_4^{2-} with significantly higher concentrations in coastal snowpack than inland. Marine-derived aerosols of ammonium sulfate may be a possible source of these ions in coastal snowpack.

A lack of summer rainfall chemistry data prevents accurate estimation of annual deposition fluxes, but net deposition inputs to catchments may be approximated by assuming (on the basis of a small number of *ad hoc* rainfall samples) that snowpack chemistry is representative of annual mean precipitation, since snow represents around half of annual precipitation. On this assumption there is a strong deposition gradient from inland to the coast, which is much more pronounced for NH_4^+ and nss-SO_4^{2-} than for NO_3^- . While NO_3^- deposition ranges from 0.08-0.13 $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$, comparable to fluxes at Summit (Burkhart et al., 2004), total inorganic N deposition fluxes are almost 2-3 times higher at the coast (0.37 $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$) than inland, primarily due to NH_4^+ . These values are within the range of other studies from remote Arctic locations. In equivalence terms, NO_3^- deposition is very similar to nss-SO_4^{2-} at inland locations, but less than half at the coast. However NH_4^+ is more comparable to nss-SO_4^{2-} at the coast where TIN is about only 23% higher than nss-SO_4^{2-} , compared with around double at inland locations.

While chemistry and deposition show similarities to other studies of ice sheet snowpack, stable isotope data show major differences. There is a gradient of declining $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from the inland areas to the coast, but samples are all highly depleted compared with samples from Summit, with regional means from -5.7 to -11.3‰ compared with only -1‰ in recent Summit ice core samples. While differences in emissions sources are possible, post-depositional processing of snowpack NO_3^- seems the most plausible mechanism driving this very strong gradient in N fractionation. The processes which best explain both the spatial gradient and also the observed differences between snowpack on catchment slopes and lake ice snowpack are sublimation and volatilization. These processes can act to reduce NO_3^- concentrations while simultaneously increasing the remaining snowpack $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. Hence lower NO_3^- concentrations in coastal snowpack are due to the diluting effect of much higher precipitation, but lower concentrations on catchment slopes within each region compared with lake ice snowpack are due to enhanced losses to the atmosphere. ~~Overall, the isotopic composition of coastal snowpack reflects the low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of winter deposition observed in other studies at Summit and Svalbard, but the current study demonstrates for the first time the spatial gradients in snowpack isotopes resulting from the climatic gradient from the coast to the ice sheet.~~ We conclude that at our inland regions, but especially at Kelly Ville, lower precipitation and snowpack accumulation in combination with higher wind speeds enhances both photolytic and physical (sublimation & evaporative) losses of snowpack nitrate. Since we see a significant enrichment in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ but not in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (inland mean values are higher, but not significantly different from the coast) we suggest that in situ recycling is less important than net losses through photolysis and wind removal of NO_x . Physical losses would also lead to $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ enrichment of remaining snowpack nitrate without affecting $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$. However, we find significantly higher $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ at our inland sites compared with coastal sites, in combination with higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, but no difference in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. The higher $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ found in the inland regions must therefore reflect a greater role for the O_3 oxidation pathway as the source of snowpack nitrate, compared with

the coastal sites. Overall, the isotopic composition of coastal snowpack reflects the low $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of winter deposition observed in other studies at Summit and Svalbard, but the current study demonstrates for the first time the spatial gradients differences in snowpack isotopes resulting from the climatic gradient from the coast to the ice sheet.

Future changes in climate are likely to affect the gradients in snowpack chemistry, stable isotopes and deposition observed in the current study, given the importance of precipitation and other climatic factors in driving spatial differences. In 2012 the coastal town of Sisimiut recorded its highest annual precipitation since records began (1004mm) and this AWS station shows the strongest increasing precipitation trend across the Greenland network of $+48.5 \text{ mm a}^{-2}$ from 2001-2012 (Mernild et al., 2015). Over the same period, Kangerlussuaq and the closest ice sheet sites showed decreasing trends in precipitation. It has also been speculated that climate change will affect the relative importance of source types and regions for deposition in the Arctic. While some of these sources are closely linked to industrial activity in the Arctic regions of Europe and the Russian Federation, emissions from forest fires and shipping activity could both increase with climatic warming in the Arctic polar region (Hole et al., 2006b).

Author contributions

C. J. Curtis, N.J. Anderson, G. Simpson and J. Kaiser designed the study. Curtis, Anderson, Simpson, Jones and Whiteford carried out fieldwork and sample preparation. Kaiser and Marca carried out laboratory analyses. All authors contributed to writing and interpretation of the data.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data availability

All underlying site location, chemistry and isotope data are publicly available via the following link: [\(DOI to follow\)](#)

Acknowledgments

This project was funded by NERC (Long range atmospheric nitrogen deposition as a driver of ecological change in Arctic lakes; grant NE/G020027/1 to UCL, NE/G019509/1 to UEA and NE/G019622/1 to Loughborough University). We thank Karen Schleiss of Kangerlussuaq and Prof Morten Nielsen of DTU (Sisimiut) for ad hoc

rainfall sampling. Figure 1 was produced by Wendy Phillips of GAES. The study would not have been possible without the dedication in the field from James Shilland, Simon Patrick, Ewan Shilland and Simon Turner of UCL.

References

AMAP: AMAP Assessment 2006: Acidifying Pollutants, Arctic Haze and Acidification in the Arctic, Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), Oslo, Norway, xii+112pp, 2006.

Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. and Walker, S.: Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1-48, doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01, 2015.

[Berhanu, T.A., Savarino, J., Erbland, J., Vicars, W.C., Preunkert, S., Martins, J.F., Johnson, M.S.: Isotopic effects of nitrate photochemistry in snow: a field study at Dome C, Antarctica, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 11243-11256, 2015.](#)

Bergström, A. K. and Jansson, M.: Atmospheric nitrogen deposition has caused nitrogen enrichment and eutrophication of lakes in the northern hemisphere, *Global Change Biology*, 12, 635-643, 2006.

Bindler, R., Renberg, I., Appleby, P. G., Anderson, N. J. and Rose, N. L.: Mercury accumulation rates and spatial patterns in lake sediments from West Greenland: a coast to ice margin transect, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 35, 1736-1741, 2001a.

Bindler, R., Renberg, I., Anderson, N. J., Appleby, P. G., Emteryd, O. and Boyle, J.: Pb isotope ratios of lake sediments in West Greenland: inferences on pollution sources, *Atmospheric Environment*, 35, 4675-4685, 2001b.

Björkman, M. P., Vega, C. P., Kühnel, R., Spataro, F., Ianniello, A., Esposito, G., Kaiser, J., Marca, A., Hodson, A., Isaksson, E. and Roberts, T. J.: Nitrate postdeposition processes in Svalbard surface snow, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 119, 12953, 10.1002/2013JD021234, 2014.

[Boertmann, D., Mosbech, A., Falk, K., Kampp, K.: Seabird colonies in western Greenland \(60° to 79° 30' N. lat.\), NERI Technical Report No. 170, Ministry of Environment and Energy, National Environmental Research Institute, September 1996, Roskilde, Denmark, 148pp, 1996.](#)

~~Bosson, E., Lindborg, T., Berglund, S., Gustafsson, L.-G., Selroos, J.-O., Laudon, H., Claesson, L. L. and Destouni, G.: Water balance and its intra annual variability in a permafrost catchment: hydrological interactions between catchment, lake and talik, *Hydrol. Earth. Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 10, 9271-9308, 2013.~~

Burkhart, J. F., Hutterli, M. A., Bales, R. C. and McConnell, J. R.: Seasonal accumulation, timing and preservation of nitrate in firn at Summit, Greenland, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 109, D19302, doi:10.1029/2004JD004658, 2004.

Burkhart, J. F., Bales, R. C., McConnell, J. R. and Hutterli, M. A.: Influence of North Atlantic Oscillation on anthropogenic transport recorded in northwest Greenland ice cores, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 111, D22309, doi: 10.1029/2005JD006771, 2006.

Casciotti, K. L., Sigman, D. M., Hastings, M. G., Böhlke, J. K., Hilkert, A.: Measurement of the oxygen isotope composition of nitrate in seawater and freshwater using the denitrifier method, *Analytical Chemistry*, 74, 4905-4912, 2002.

[Catalan, J. and others: Global change revealed by palaeolimnological records from remote lakes: a review. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, 49, 513-535, 2013.](#)

Clow, D. W., Ingersoll, G. P., Mast, A., Turk, J. T. and Campbell, D. H.: Comparison of snowpack and winter wet-deposition chemistry in the Rocky Mountains, USA: implications for winter dry deposition, *Atmospheric Environment*, 36, 2337-2348, 2002.

de Caritat, P., Hall, G., Gislason, S., Belsey, W., Braun, M., Goloubeva, N. I., Olsen, H. K., Scheie, J. O. and Vaive, J. E.: Chemical composition of arctic snow: concentration levels and regional distribution of major elements, *Science of the Total Environment*, 336, 183-199, 2005.

[Davidson, C.I., Jaffrezo, J.L., Small, M.J., Summers, P.W., Olson, M.P., Borys, R.D.: Trajectory analysis of source regions influencing the south Greenland ice sheet during the Dye 3 gas and aerosol sampling programme, *Atmos. Env.* 27A, 2739-2749, 1993.](#)

Davies, T. D., Vincent, C. E. and Brimblecombe, P.: Preferential elution of strong acids from a Norwegian ice cap, *Nature*, 300, 161-163, 1982.

Dibb, J. E. and Fahnestock, M.: Snow accumulation, surface height change, and firn densification at Summit, Greenland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D24113, doi:10.1029/2003JD004300, 2004.

Dibb, J. E., Whitlow, S. I. and Arsenuit, M.: Seasonal variations in the soluble ion content of snow at Summit, Greenland: constraints from three years of daily surface snow samples, *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 5007-5019, 2007.

[Elliott, E.M., Kendall, C., Boyer, E.W., Burns, D.A., Lear, G.G., Golden, H.E., Harlin, K., Bytnerowicz, A., Butler, T.J., Glatz, R.: Dual nitrate isotopes in dry deposition: Utility for partitioning NO_x source contributions to landscape nitrogen deposition, *Journal of Geophysical Research* 114, G04020, doi:10.1029/2008JG000889, 2009.](#)

[Erbland, J., Vicars, W.C., Savarino, J., Morin, S., Frey, M.M., Frosini, D., Vince, E., Martins, J.M.F.: Air-snow transfer of nitrate on the East Antarctic Plateau – Part 1: Isotopic evidence for a photolytically driven dynamic equilibrium in summer, *Atmos.Chem. Phys.*, 13, 6403-6419, 2013.](#)

[Erbland, J., Savarino, J., Morin, S., France, J.L., Frey, M.M., King, M.D.: Air-snow transfer of nitrate on the East Antarctic Plateau – Part 2: An isotopic model for the interpretation of deep ice-core records, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 12079-12113, 2015.](#)

[Fibiger, D.L., Hastings, M.G.: First Measurements of the Nitrogen Isotopic Composition of NO_x from Biomass Burning, *Env. Sci. Tech.*, 50, 11569-11574, 2016.](#)

Fibiger, D. L., Dibb, J. E., Chen, D., Thomas, J. L., Burkhart, J. F., Huey, L. G. and Hastings, M.G.: Analysis of nitrate in the snow and atmosphere at Summit, Greenland: chemistry and transport, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 121, doi: 10.1002/2015JD024187, 2016.

Fischer, H., Wagenbach, P. and Kipfstuhl, J.: Sulfate and nitrate firn concentrations on the Greenland ice sheet. 1. Large-scale geographical deposition changes, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 103, 21927-21934, 1998a.

Fischer, H. Wagenbach, P. and Kipfstuhl, J.: Sulfate and nitrate firn concentrations on the Greenland ice sheet. 2. Temporal and anthropogenic deposition changes, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 103, 21935-21942, 1998b.

Fisher, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Wang, Q., Bahreini, R., Carouge, C. C., Cubison, M. J., Dibb, J. E., Diehl, T., Jimenez, J. L., Leibensperger, E.M., Lu, Z., Meinders, M. B. J., Pye, H. T., Quinn, P. K., Sharma, S., Streets, D. G., Van Donkelaar, A. and Yantosca, R. M.: Sources, distribution, and acidity of sulfate-ammonium aerosol in the Arctic in winter-spring, *Atmospheric Environment*, 45 (39), 7301-7318 2011.

[Frey, M.M., Stewart, R.W., McConnell, J.R., Bales, R.C.: Atmospheric hydroperoxides in West Antarctica: links to stratospheric ozone and atmospheric oxidation capacity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D23301, doi: 10.1029/2005JD006110, 2005.](#)

[Frey, M.M., Savarino, J., Morin, S., Erbland, J., Martins, J.M.F.: Photolysis imprint in the nitrate stable isotope signal in snow and atmosphere of East Antarctica and implications for reactive nitrogen cycling, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8681-8696, 2009.](#)

[Freyer, H.D.: Seasonal variation of \$^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}\$ ratios in atmospheric nitrate species, *Tellus, Ser. B*, 43, 30–44, 1991.](#)

[Garten, C. T.: Stable nitrogen isotope ratios in wet and dry nitrate deposition collected with an artificial tree, *Tellus, Ser. B*, 48, 60–64, 1996.](#)

Geng, L., Alexander, B., Cole-Dai, J., Steig, E. J., Savarino, J., Sofen, E. D. and Schauer, A. J.: Nitrogen isotopes in ice core nitrate linked to anthropogenic acidity change, *PNAS*, 111, 5808-5812, 2014.

[Geng, L., Zatkan, M.C., Alexander, B., Fudge, T.J., Schauer, A.J., Murray, L.T., Mickley, L.J.: Effects of post-depositional processing on nitrogen isotopes of nitrate in the Greenland Ice Sheet Project 2 \(GISP 2\) ice core, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 5346-5354, DOI: 10.1002/2015GL064218, 2015.](#)

Hanna, E., Mernild, S. H., Cappelen, J. and Steffen, K.: Recent warming in Greenland in a long-term instrumental (1881-2012) climatic context: I. Evaluation of surface air temperature records, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 7, 045404, 2012.

Hastings, M. G., Steig, E. J. and Sigman, D. M.: Seasonal variations in N and O isotopes of nitrate in snow at Summit, Greenland: implications for the study of nitrate in snow and ice cores, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D20306, doi: 10.1029/2004JD004991, 2004.

Hastings M. G., Jarvis, J. C. and Steig, E. J.: Anthropogenic impacts on nitrogen isotopes of ice core nitrate, *Science*, 324, 1288, 2009.

[Heaton, T.H.E.: \$^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}\$ ratios of nitrate and ammonium in rain at Pretoria, South Africa, *Atmos. Environ.*, 21\(4\), 843–852, 1987.](#)

Heaton, T. H. E., Wynn, P. and Tye, A. M.: Low $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratios for nitrate in snow in the High Arctic (79°N), *Atmospheric Environment*, 38, 5611-5621, 2004.

Hole, L. R., Christensen, J., Ginzburg, V. A., Makarov, V., Pershina, N. A., Polischuk, A. I., Ruoho-Airola, T., Svistov, P. P. and Vasilenko, V. N.: Chapter 3: Concentrations and deposition of acidifying pollutants, in: AMAP Assessment 2006: Acidifying Pollutants, Arctic Haze and Acidification in the Arctic, Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), Oslo, Norway, 11-30, 2006a.

Hole, L. R., Christensen, J., Forsius, M., Nyman, M., Stohl, A. and Wilson, S.: Chapter 2: Sources of acidifying pollutants and arctic haze precursors, in: AMAP Assessment 2006: Acidifying Pollutants, Arctic Haze and Acidification in the Arctic, Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), Oslo, Norway, 2-9, 2006b.

Hole, L. R., Christensen, J. H., Ruoho-Airola, T., Tørseth, K., Ginzburg, V. and Glowacki, P.: Past and future trends in concentrations of sulfur and nitrogen compounds in the Arctic, *Atmospheric Environment*, 43, 928-939, 2009.

Holtgrieve, G. W., Schindler, D. E., Hobbs, W. O., Leavitt, P. R., Ward, E. W., Bunting, L., Chen, G., Finney, B. P., Gregory-Eaves, I., Holmgren, S., Lisac, M. J., Lisi, P. J., Nydick, K., Rogers, L. A., Saros, J. E., Selbie, D. T., Shapley, M. D., Walsh, P. B. and Wolfe, A. P.: A coherent signature of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition to remote watersheds of the northern hemisphere, *Science*, 334, 1545-1548, 2011.

- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F. and Westfall, P.: Simultaneous Inference in General Parametric Models, *Biometrical Journal*, 50(3), 346—363, 2008.
- Ingersoll, G. P., Mast, A., Campbell, D. H., Clow, D. W., Nanus, L. and Turk, J.T.: Trends in snowpack chemistry and comparison to National Atmospheric Deposition Program results for the Rocky Mountains, USA, 1993-2004, *Atmospheric Environment*, 42, 6098-6113 2008.
- Ingersoll, G. P., Campbell, D., Mast, M. A., Clow, D. W., Nanus, L. and Frakes, B.: Snowpack chemistry monitoring protocol for the Rocky Mountain Network; narrative and standards operating procedures, USGS Administrative Report, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia, USA, 47pp, 2009.
- Jaffe, D. A. and Zukowski, M. D.: Nitrate deposition to the Alaskan snowpack, *Atmos. Env.*, 27A, 2935-2941, 1993.
- Johansson, E., Berglund, S., Lindborg, T., Petrone, J., van As, D., Gustafsson, L. -G., Näslund, J. -O. and Laudon, H.: Hydrological and meteorological investigations in a periglacial lake catchment near Kangerlussuaq, west Greenland – presentation of a new multi-parameter data set, *Earth. Syst. Sci. Data*, 7, 93-108, 2015.
- [Kahl, J.D.W., Martinez, D.A., Kuhns, H., Davidson, C.I., Jaffrezo, J.L., Harris, J.M.: Air mass trajectories to Summit, Greenland: A 44-year climatology and some episodic events, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102\(C12\), 26861-26875, 1997.](#)
- Kaiser, J., Hastings, M. G., Houlton, B. Z., Rockmann, T. and Sigman, D. M.: Triple oxygen isotope analysis of nitrate using the denitrifier method and thermal decomposition of N₂O, *Analytical Chemistry*, 79, 599-607, 2007.
- [Kunasek, S.A., Alexander, B., Steig, E.J., Hastings, M.G., Gleason, D.J., Jarvis, J.C.: Measurements and modelling of \$\Delta^{17}\text{O}\$ of nitrate in snowpits from Summit, Greenland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D24302, doi: 10.1029/2008JD010103, 2008.](#)
- Mayewski, P. A., Lyons, W. B., Spencer, M. J., Twickler, M. S., Buck, C. F. and Whitlow, S.: An ice core record of atmospheric response to anthropogenic sulfate and nitrate, *Nature*, 346, 554-556, 1990.
- [McCabe, J.R., Boxe, C.S., Colussi, A.J., Hoffman, M.R., Thiemens, M.H.: Oxygen isotopic fractionation in the photochemistry of nitrate in water and ice, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D15310, doi: 10.1029/2004JD005484, 2005.](#)
- Mernild, S. H., Hanna, E., McConnell, J. R., Sigl, M., Beckerman, A. P., Yde, J. C., Cappelen, J., Malmros, J. K. and Steffen, K.: Greenland precipitation trends in a long-term instrumental climate context (1890-2012): evaluation of coastal and ice core records, *Int. J. Climatology*, 35, 303-320, 2015.
- Michalski, G., Scott, Z., Kabling, M. and Thiemens, M. H.: First measurements and modeling of $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ in atmospheric nitrate, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30(16), 1870, doi:10.1029/2003GL017015, 2003.
- Michalski, G., Meixner, T., Fenn, M., Hernandez, L., Sirulnik, A., Allen, E. and Thiemens, M.: Tracing atmospheric nitrate deposition in a complex semiarid ecosystem using $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$, *Environmental Science and Technology*, 38, 2175-2181, 2004.
- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Cavender, A., Shepson, P. B. and Bottenheim, J. W.: Major influence of BrO on the NO_x and nitrate budgets in the Arctic spring, inferred from $\Delta^{17}\text{O}(\text{NO}_3^-)$ measurements during ozone depletion events, *Environmental Chemistry*, 4, 238, [10.1071/en07003](#), 2007a.
- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Gong, S. and Bottenheim, J. W.: Signature of Arctic surface ozone depletion events in the isotope anomaly ($\Delta^{17}\text{O}$) of atmospheric nitrate, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 1451, 2007b.

- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Frey, M. M., Yan, N., Bekki, S., Bottenheim, J. W. and Martins, J. M. F.: Tracing the origin and fate of NO_x in the Arctic atmosphere using stable isotopes in nitrate, *Science*, 322, 730-732, 2008.
- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Frey, M. M., Domine, F., Jacobi, H.W., Kaleschke, L., Martins, J. M. F.: Comprehensive isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate in the Atlantic Ocean boundary layer from 65°S to 79°N, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D05303, 2009.
- [Morin, S., Erbland, J., Savarino, J., Domine, F., Bock, J., Friess, U., Jacobi, H.-W., Sihler, H., Martins, J.M.F.: An isotopic view on the connection between photolytic emissions of NO_x from the Arctic snowpack and its oxidation by reactive halogens, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D00R08, doi: 10.1029/2011JD016618, 2012.](#)
- Paulot, F., Jacob, D. J., Johnson, M. T., Bells, T. G., Baker, A. R., Keene, W. C., Lima, I. D., Doney, S. C. and Stock, C. A.: Global oceanic emission of ammonia: constraints from seawater and atmospheric observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8883–8888, 2015.
- Pedersen, S. H., Liston, G.E., Tamstorf, M.P., Westergaard-Nielsen, A., Schmidt, N.M.: ~~(2015)~~Quantifying episodic snowmelt events in Arctic ecosystems—*Ecosystems*, 18, 839-856, ~~2015~~.
- [Perren, B. B., Douglas, M. S. V., Anderson, N.J.: Diatoms reveal complex spatial and temporal patterns of recent limnological change in West Greenland., *Journal of Paleolimnology*, 42, 233-247, 2009.](#)
- R Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, URL <https://www.R-project.org/>, 2016.
- [Reuss, N. S., Anderson, N. J., Fritz, S. C., Simpson, G. L.: Responses of microbial phototrophs to late-Holocene environmental forcing of lakes in south-west Greenland, *Freshwater Biology*, 58, 690-704, 2013.](#)
- [Shi, G., Buffen, A.M., Hastings, M.G., Li, C., Ma, H., Li, Y., Sun, B., An, C., Jiang, S.: Investigation of post-depositional processing of nitrate in East Antarctic snow: isotopic constraints on photolytic loss, re-oxidation and source inputs, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 9435-9453, 2015.](#)
- Smol, J. P., Wolfe, A. P., Birks, H. J. B., Douglas, M. S. V., Jones, V. J., Korhola, A., Pienitz, R., Rühland, K., Sorvari, S., Antoniades, D., Brooks, S. J., Fallu, M. -A., Hughes, M., Keatley, B. E., Laing, T. E., Michelutti, N., Nazarova, L., Nyman, M., Paterson, A. M., Perren, B., Quinlan, R., Rautio, M., Saulnier-Talbot, E., Siitonen, S., Solovieva, N. and Weckström, J. Climate-drive regime shifts in the biological communities of arctic lakes, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 102, 4397-4402, 2005.
- Sturm, M., Taras, B., Liston, G. E., Derksen, C., Jonas, T. and Lea, J.: Estimating snow water equivalent using snow depth data and climate classes, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 11, 1380-1394, 2010.
- Turk, J. T., Taylor, H. E., Ingersoll, G. P., Tonnessen, K. A., Clow, D. W., Mast, M. A., Campbell, D. H. and Melack, J. M.: Major-ion chemistry of the Rocky Mountain snowpack, USA, *Atmospheric Environment*, 35, 3957-3966, 2001.
- Tye, A. M., Heaton, T. H. E.: Chemical and isotopic characteristics of weathering and nitrogen release in non-glacial drainage waters on Arctic tundra, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 71, 4188-4205, 2007.
- Vega, C. P., Pohjola, V. A., Samyn, D., Pettersson, R., Isaksson, E., Björkman, M. P., Martma, T., Marca, A. and Kaiser, J.: First ice core records of NO₃⁻ stable isotopes from Lomonosovfonna, Svalbard, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 120, 313, 10.1002/2013JD020930, 2015a.
- Vega, C. P., Björkman, M. P., Pohjola, V. A., Isaksson, E., Pettersson, R., Martma, T., Marca, A. and Kaiser, J.: Nitrate stable isotopes in snow and ice samples from four Svalbard sites, *Polar Research*, 34, 23246, 10.3402/polar.v34.23246, 2015b.

Vet, R., Artz, R. S., Carou, S., Shaw, M., Ro, C. -U., Aas, W., Baker, A., Bowersox, V. C., Dentener, F., Galy-Lacaux, C., Hou, A., Pienaar, J. J., Gillett, R., Forti, M. C., Gromov, S., Hara, H., Khodzher, T., Mahowald, N. M., Nickovic, S., Rao, P. S. P. and Reid, N. W.: A global assessment of precipitation chemistry and deposition of sulfur, nitrogen, sea salt, base cations, organic acids, acidity and pH, and phosphorus, *Atmospheric Environment*, 93, 3-100, 2014.

[Walters, W.W., Goodwin, S.R., Michalski, G.: Nitrogen Stable Isotope Composition \(\$\delta^{15}\text{N}\$ \) of Vehicle-Emitted NO_x, *Env. Sci. Technol.*, 49, 2278-2285, 2015a.](#)

Williams, M. W., Seibold, C. and Chowanski, K.: Storage and release of solutes from a subalpine seasonal snowpack: soil and stream water response, Niwot Ridge, Colorado, *Biogeochemistry*, 95, 77-94, 2009.

[Wolfe, A. P. and others: Stratigraphic expressions of the Holocene-Anthropocene transition revealed in sediments from remote lakes, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 116, 17-34, 2013.](#)

Yang, D., Ishida, S., Goodison, B. E. and Gunther, T.: Bias correction of daily precipitation measurements for Greenland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, D6, 6171-6181.

[Zatko, M., Geng, L., Alexander, L., Sofen, E., Klein, K.: The impact of snow nitrate photolysis on boundary layer chemistry and the recycling and redistribution of reactive nitrogen across Antarctica and Greenland in a global chemical transport model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 2819-2842, 2016.](#)




Figure 1: Location of sampling regions and catchments within west Greenland



Figure 2: a) Typical sublimating snowpack close to the ice sheet and lake ice snowcover on lake SS903 (24th March 2011), b) contrasting with deep snow profile on lower catchment slope of coastal site AT7 two days later (26th March 2011)





Figure 3: Comparison of regional snowpack ion concentrations (aggregated data – n=24 per region; all units in $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$). Boxes represents 25th and 75th percentiles, horizontal line = median, whiskers show data extent, points indicate outliers (1.5-3 IQRs outside box)







Figure 4: Comparison of lake ice (red) and terrestrial (blue) snowpack concentrations ($\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) for selected ions. See Fig. 3 caption for explanation of boxplots.



| Figure 5: Comparison of regional aggregated (n=24 per region) snowpack stable isotopes composition of nitrate. See Fig.3 caption for explanation of boxplots.

Table 1: Sampling catchment details (based on centroid of lakes)

Region	Site	Latitude (° N)	Longitude (° W)	Altitude (m)	Lake area (ha)	Catchment area (ha)
Ice Sheet	SS906	67.120	50.256	415	9.3	50.6
Ice Sheet	SS903	67.130	50.172	315	38.0	117
Ice Sheet	SS904	67.157	50.278	405	12.4	42.5
Kelly Ville	SS02	66.996	50.964	160	36.8	217
Kelly Ville	SS08	67.013	51.075	163	14.6	278
Kelly Ville	SS1333	67.001	51.146	308	13.8	57.5
Coast	AT1	66.967	53.404	445	8.0	49.7
Coast	AT7	66.972	53.585	324	6.5	203
Coast	AT5	66.961	53.679	117	7.5	443

Table 2: Snow depth measurements and snow water equivalents (SWE) by catchment and region

Site / Region	Area (ha)	Altitude (m)		<i>n</i>	Snow depth (cm)			SWE (mm)		Corr. SWE (mm) *	
		Lake/Min.	Max.		Range	Mean	SD	<i>n</i>	Mean		SD
SS906	50.6	415	463	64	1-55	20.1	13.9	48	37.9	35.9	34.5
SS903	117	315	476	155	0-74	20.0	13.9	58	43.9	45.8	38.6
SS904	42.5	405	487	104	0-103	16.1	17.5	26	49.9	54.9	35.1
Ice Sheet		315	487	323	0-103	18.8	15.2	132	42.9	44.4	35.7
SS02	217	160	372	123	0-65	20.5	12.0	65	39.1	27.7	35.3
SS08	278	163	279	120	2-50	23.8	10.7	49	49.6	33.9	48.8
SS1333	57.5	308	355	94	1-100	24.4	15.3	39	53.3	41.7	48.6
Kelly Ville		160	372	337	0-100	22.8	12.7	153	46.1	34.0	43.6
AT1	49.7	445	558	102	0-240	73.5	52.8	48	187.9	119.3	196.1
AT7	203	324	441	111	1-260	49.7	43.6	48	149.7	93.6	161.3
AT5	443	117	197	112	5-250	66.9	47.0	45	135.6	89.9	181.8
Coast		117	551	325	0-260	63.1	48.7	141	158.0	103.5	180.8

*Mean SWE corrected for larger number of snow depth-only measurements

Table 3a: Catchment terrestrial snowpack sampling details (n=5 per catchment)

Region	Site	Date	Altitude (m)	Depth (cm)	Air temp (°C)	Snow temp (°C)
Ice Sheet	SS906	23/03/2011	430-440	24-34	-18 to -22	-14 to -27
Ice Sheet	SS903	24/03/2011	333-371	27-74	-10 to -18	-6 to -20
Ice Sheet	SS904	30/03/2011	421-450	25-103	-6 to -11.5	-4 to -8.5
Kelly Ville	SS02	22/03/2011	192-260	23-65	-16 to -25	-13 to -31
Kelly Ville	SS08	31/03/2011	198-211	40-48	-8 to -11	-8.5 to -13.5
Kelly Ville	SS1333	01/04/2011	317-349	27-49	-9 to -15	-7 to -11
Coast	AT1	27/03/2011	478-558	28-240	0 to 2	-2.5 to -9
Coast	AT7	26/03/2011	346-362	31-238	4 to 6	-0.5 to -9
Coast	AT5	28/03/2011	132-143	74-141	-1 to 0.5	-1.5 to -7

Table 3b: Lake ice snowpack sampling details (n=3 per lake)

Region	Site	Date	Altitude (m)	Depth (cm)	Air temp (°C)	Snow temp (°C)
Ice Sheet	SS906	23/03/2011	421-430	12-23	-18	-10 to -18
Ice Sheet	SS903	24/03/2011	333-340	8-13	-12 to -18	-11 to -15
Ice Sheet	SS904	30/03/2011	414-430	5-10	-11 to -12	-5 to -8
Kelly Ville	SS02	02/04/2011	169-180	15-23	-9 to -12	-6 to -9
Kelly Ville	SS08	31/03/2011	166-178	20-30	-8 to -11	-6 to -10.5
Kelly Ville	SS1333	01/04/2011	311-323	20-25	-12 to -15	-7 to -15
Coast	AT1	27/03/2011	456-463	35-44	4 to 5	-0.5 to -2
Coast	AT7	26/03/2011	336-344	19-32	1 to 5	-0.5 to -5
Coast	AT5	28/03/2011	128-133	25-60	0 to 0.5	-1 to -3

Table 4: Comparison of aggregated snowpack chemistry (n=24 per region), derived non-marine concentrations of major ions (all in $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) and ~~stable isotopes~~ isotopic composition (~~%per mille~~). See text for details of post-hoc pairwise comparisons. Coast = CO, Kelly Ville = KV, ice sheet = IS.

Region: Analyte	Coast (CO)		Kelly Ville (KV)		Ice Sheet (IS)		Post hoc sig. differences		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	CO-KV	CO-IS	KV-IS
Nutrients									
NO_2^-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4			
NH_4^+	2.7	0.9	1.4	0.5	1.9	0.8	0.0017		
NO_3^-	1.5	0.4	2.3	0.6	2.4	0.6	<0.0001	<0.0001	
PO_4^{3-}	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	<1e-05	<1e-05	
Base cations									
Ca^{2+}	3.5	2.0	1.8	0.8	2.5	1.0	<0.001		
Mg^{2+}	13.8	7.4	2.6	1.2	2.5	1.1	<1e-08	<1e-08	
K^+	2.8	1.4	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.5	<1e-05	<1e-05	
Na^+	86.9	48.5	12.2	6.0	7.1	3.1	<0.001	<0.001	
Anions									
Cl^-	114.4	61.0	17.2	8.6	10.3	4.3	<0.0001	<0.0001	
SO_4^{2-}	7.7	4.2	2.4	2.6	1.8	0.6	<0.0001	<0.0001	
Non-sea salt									
nss- Ca^{2+}	1.4	1.4	1.6	0.7	2.3	1.0	<1e-08	<1e-08	<1e-08
nss- Mg^{2+}	2.4	1.8	0.9	0.5	1.5	0.9	<0.001		0.014
nss- K^+	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.4			
nss- Na^+	-11.5	4.5	-2.5	1.3	-1.8	1.1			
nss- SO_4^{2-}	1.8	1.8	1.0	0.4	1.2	0.5	0.0011	0.0358	
Isotopes									
$\delta(^{15}\text{N})$	-11.3	1.3	-5.7	2.3	-7.5	2.2	<0.0001	0.001	
$\delta(^{18}\text{O})$	81.7	2.8	81.9	3.5	83.3	2.9			
$\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$	30.8	3.7	34.4	2.8	33.8	3.3	<0.001	0.0052	

Table 5: Comparison of *ad hoc* rain and fresh snow samples with mean snowpack data (from Table 4 - italics) (concentrations in $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$; isotopes in ‰)

Location	Sample type	Sample dates	NH_4^+	NO_3^-	PO_4^{3-}	Ca^{2+}	K^+	Mg^{2+}	Na^+	Cl^-	SO_4^{2-}	$\delta(^{15}\text{N})$	$\delta(^{18}\text{O})$	$\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$
SS903	Rain	18/05/11-23/05/11	3.6	5.0	0.2	6.2	0.2	1.8	7.8	9.3	3.0	-10.0	81.9	30.3
SS906	Rain	05/09/12-06/09/12	2.6	1.7	0.2	9.0	2.6	2.8	13.8	18.3	2.0	-5.6	60.8	20.6
Ice Sheet	Rain	Mean	3.1	3.4	0.2	7.6	1.4	2.3	10.8	13.8	2.5	-7.8	71.4	25.5
<i>Ice Sheet</i>	<i>Snowpack</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>2.4</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>0.8</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>7.1</i>	<i>10.3</i>	<i>1.8</i>	<i>-7.5</i>	<i>83.3</i>	<i>33.8</i>
SS02	Rain	08/08/11-24/08/11	1.4	7.8	0.1	12.3	0.6	2.1	14.1	16.8	4.1	0.9	70.9	22.7
SS02	Rain	28/08/11-01/09/11	1.3	5.2	0.0	7.6	3.5	0.7	5.7	4.1	1.5	-0.9	76.4	23.1
SS02	Rain	01/09/11-07/09/11	0.3	1.8	0.1	6.2	0.3	0.8	4.2	3.8	1.4	-3.4	63.3	21.9
Kanger.	Rain	05/09/12-06/09/12	0.2	1.3	0.1	15.0	0.5	2.4	9.3	10.2	1.9	0.4	55.4	-
Kelly Ville	Rain	Mean	0.8	4.0	0.1	10.3	1.2	1.5	8.3	8.7	2.2	-0.8	66.5	22.6
SS1333	Snow Fresh	01/04/11	3.4	3.4	0.3	1.9	0.9	2.7	13.5	18.8	2.6	-11.8	84.2	31.4
<i>Kelly Ville</i>	<i>Snowpack</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>1.4</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>0.8</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>12.2</i>	<i>17.2</i>	<i>2.4</i>	<i>-5.7</i>	<i>81.9</i>	<i>34.4</i>
AT5	Snow Fresh	28/03/11	4.6	4.7	0.0	1.2	0.5	2.2	10.6	16.3	2.3	-10.2	83.6	31.2
<i>Coast</i>	<i>Snowpack</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>3.5</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>13.8</i>	<i>86.9</i>	<i>114.4</i>	<i>7.7</i>	<i>-11.3</i>	<i>81.7</i>	<i>30.8</i>

Table 6: Mean annual meteorological data and deposition estimates based on snowpack chemistry and mean precipitation (2001-12) for Sisimiut (coast), Kangerlussuaq and SS903 at the ice sheet margin (Bosson-Johansson et al., 2013, 2015; Mernild et al., 2015). #Data from Whiteford et al. (2016) are 2011 mean values except Kangerlussuaq (Kelly Ville) wind speed, which is from 2010

Region	Pptn	Temp [#]	Wind speed [#]	N in NO_3^-	N in NH_4^+	TIN	S in nss- SO_4^{2-}	NO_3^-	NH_4^+	TIN	nss- SO_4^{2-}
	mm	°C	m s^{-1}	$\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$				$\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$			
Coast	631	-2.1	2.9	0.13	0.24	0.37	0.35	9	17	27	11
Kelly Ville	258	-5.6	3.6	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.08	6	4	10	3
Ice sheet	320	-5.0	4.0	0.11	0.09	0.19	0.13	8	6	14	4

RESPONSES TO COMMENTS FROM ASSOCIATE EDITOR

August 17, 2017

Review of bg-2017-140 Spatial variations in snowpack chemistry and isotopic composition of NO_3^- along a nitrogen deposition gradient in West Greenland by Curtis et al.

Thankyou for your additional comments, which we hope we have addressed in full. With regards to comments 2, 4 and 5 we have tried to justify our text/terminology but of course we can amend if required for the journal style.

1. The scientific motivation of the study is not clear and specifically, the importance of spatial gradients or not. More relevant background information is needed on this, on potential sources of ions, and on postdepositional processes.

Substantial new text has been added on source regions, source isotopic signatures and particularly on postdeposition processes to both the introduction and discussion.

2. The analysis of the data is hard to follow therefore conclusions are not clearly supported. For instance in page 17 "...and nss-SO₄²⁻ (not significant) are greater in coastal snowpack than inland, ...". If differences are not significant, then they do not exist and cannot be used as argument. Same in the Conclusion section: "However the reverse is true for NH_4^+ and nss-SO₄²⁻ with significantly higher concentrations in coastal snowpack than inland."

Apologies but I cannot find the comment from p17 (perhaps this was from the original version which was subsequently resubmitted with a new Assoc Editor). I have tried to remove all ambiguity around where significant differences were observed, and believe that the substantial new additions to the text will clarify any prior confusion around results and discussion. With respect to the second comment from the conclusions, this sentence was included with reference to the previous sentence: At the coast, sea salt ions dominate the accumulated snowpack, but are much less important in fresh snow. While NO_3^- is the dominant ion at Summit (Dibb et al., 2007) its concentration declines from inland regions to the coast. However the reverse is true for NH_4^+ and nss-SO₄²⁻ with significantly higher concentrations in coastal snowpack than inland.

Am unclear on what the ambiguity is here? We are trying to show that at Summit, far from marine influence, the dominant ion in snowpack is nitrate with very low sulphate and ammonium. Moving from the centre of the ice sheet towards the coast, via the inland regions of our study, concentrations of nitrate decline while concentrations of sulphate (and nss-SO₄) and ammonium increase.

3. Some of the conclusions are based on correlations that in certain cases are weak (although significant) meaning that other processes are involved. In summary, drawing of conclusion should be based on observations and clearly explained. For instance:

a) "While chemistry and deposition show similarities to ice sheet snowpack, stable isotope data show major differences.

What similarities are you referring to, and which set of data are you using to

state that?” **Apologies, have added text to clarify here that we are comparing our snowpack chemistry and deposition estimates with other studies from Summit station on the Greenland ice sheet.**

b) Page 12. Where is the data for this?

“For non-sea salt sulfate, the pattern of snowpack concentrations is similar to total measured values, with the highest mean concentrations at the coast which are significantly greater than inland, although mean non-sea salt concentrations are all very low (1.0-1.8 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$). Non-sea salt Mg^{2+} is significantly lower at Kelly Ville than elsewhere, while non-sea salt Ca^{2+} increases significantly from the coast towards the ice sheet, presumably due to wind-blown minerogenic sources. There are no significant differences for non-sea salt K^{+} and negative values for non-sea salt Na^{+} suggest either non-sea salt sources of Cl^{-} or snowpack losses of Na^{+} , perhaps through preferential elution pathways.”

Have added references to Table 4 where the non sea-salt chemistry data are presented

Other issues:

4. Page 3. Paragraph 2. “total inorganic nitrogen”. The word total is either redundant if you are measuring all inorganic N species or incorrect since N_2 was not determined.

The use of this term is common in the acid deposition literature, relating to both surface waters and precipitation chemistry, i.e. we are only talking about dissolved species here. However, if you feel it is necessary for this journal we can amend to “ammonium+nitrate”. An alternative sometimes used is reactive N, though this can imply organic N species as well.

5. Page 3. Remove double parentheses in ($\delta(15\text{N})$, $\delta(18\text{O})$ and $\Delta(17\text{O})$)

We agree that the older literature did not use parentheses in this way for delta notation, but the convention in more recent publications is moving towards this notation, and it has been used in other recent papers co-authored by Prof Jan Kaiser (author on this paper)

6. Page 3. “We use the strong climatic gradient ” Define

We are referring to the previous paragraph indicating that mean precipitation at the coast is 631mm compared with 258mm inland, i.e. more than double. We have amended the text in several places to reflect this.

7. Page 6. the common and used scientific notation in the isotope literature is “ $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ” or “ $\delta^{15}\text{N-NO}_3^{-}$ ”.

Please see response to Comment 5 above

8. Table 4. Change “stable isotopes (per mille) ” to isotopic composition (‰)

Done

9. Page 11. “The nutrients NO_3^{-} and PO_4^{3-} show an opposing pattern, with significantly lower concentrations in coastal snowpack than at inland sites and weak, negative correlations with Cl^{-} (NO_3^{-} : $r=-0.392$, $p<0.01$; PO_4^{3-} :

$r=-0.277, p<0.05$). ”

Done – text deleted

10. Caption Figure 5. Complete... stable isotope composition of nitrate.

Done

11. There is a great number of non- standard abbreviations such as nss-SO₄²⁻ , ODE's (What is ODEs by the way?). Please avoid those since they just confuse readers.

We have added the definition of ODEs (ozone depletion events) prior to the first use of the term. We have also defined nss as “non-sea salt” which is a commonly used abbreviation in the atmospheric chemistry and acid deposition literature.

RESPONSES TO REVIEWERS

We thank both anonymous reviewers for their very detailed and constructive comments which have allowed us to propose major improvements to the manuscript, and which we believe strengthen our arguments for postdepositional processing as the most important mechanism driving spatial differences in snowpack isotopic composition. We have responded to both reviewers in turn and provide additional explanatory text and discussion for inclusion in a revised manuscript.

RESPONSES TO REVIEWER 1

RC1.1 Curtis et al report measurements of ion concentrations and nitrate isotopes in 3 locations representing different snow accumulation regimes in western Greenland. All observations show gradients from the coast to the inland site on the ice sheet, with sea salt and sulfate concentrations highest at the coast while nitrate concentrations are highest inland. Most of their discussion focuses on nitrate and its nitrogen isotopic composition, where they conclude that postdepositional processing likely determines the observed spatial gradient. Given that the latter has been somewhat contested in the literature, such a study is important. They also provide estimates of the deposition flux of nitrate, ammonia, and sulfate at each location. The authors otherwise do not do as much analysis of the other data sets, such as the ions other than nitrate and oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate.

Response: In the interests of space we restricted the discussion mainly to nitrate and its isotopes, but included deposition estimates for sulfate and ammonium, given the paucity of such data in the Arctic and their relevance to linked ecological studies in the region. But see proposed new text below. **DONE**

RC1.2 Although the manuscript is well written as far as English language and grammar, it's missing some important background information making it somewhat hard to follow the analysis of the data. Some specific comments on this are below. The technical details seem scientifically sound. Abstract: The authors should start the abstract with a motivation for this study. Why should one be interested in the observed spatial gradients? **DONE**

Response: We accept that more detailed motivation could be provided and would add this to the final manuscript. The study forms part of a larger study into the relative roles of N deposition vs. climate change in causing ecological change in Arctic lakes, as stated in lines 15-20 on page 2. The study region was selected because of the wealth of published ecological and palaeolimnological studies showing ecological change in a region which showed no evidence of climatic change for most of the 20th century. Hence we are interested in the possible role of N deposition in causing differential changes in coastal versus inland lakes, some of which are recorded in the lake sediment N isotopic record – hence our focus here on the N isotopes in snowpack. However, given the interesting spatial patterns observed here along with new discussions around postdepositional processing, we accept that further analysis and interpretation of the oxygen isotopes is merited and include further discussion proposed for the final manuscript as outlined below.

RC1.3 Introduction: The introduction needs more background information. It is very short relative to the length of the entire paper. The introduction should present the potential sources of the observed ions in Greenland and discuss what controls the isotopic composition of nitrate. It should include a discussion of postdepositional processing, which is never really defined.

Response: New introductory text is provided below for the final version, including more introduction to isotopic sources, signatures and postdepositional processing.
DONE – introduction has been substantially expanded with new section

RC1.4 It should explicitly discuss why one should care about the observed spatial gradients, which seems to be the main motivation of the study.

Response: See above – related to published differences in lake sediment records between inland and coastal lakes **DONE – new justification added**

RC1.5 Methods: Please state over what snow depth the snow samples were collected. Over the first 10 cm? Deeper? Shallower?

Response: The whole snowpack was sampled down to ground level and hence represents an integrated sample incorporating the net effects of postdepositional processing over the winter season (described as “depth-integrated” on Page 4 Line 7 in original text; see also Table 3a/b). New explanatory text will be added. **DONE**

RC1.6 Figure 1: What do the colors mean?

Response: Thank you for the comment. A figure legend will be added to explain the colour shading of 100 m contour intervals, ice sheet/land/sea/ and inland waters.
DONE

RC1.7 Section 4.3.1: Provide a reference for the statement that “gas-phase aerosol NO₃⁻ may be enriched in ¹⁵N compared to wet deposited NO₃⁻”. Also, “gas-phase aerosol NO₃⁻” does not make sense. Nitrate is either the gas-phase or the aerosol phase (i.e., equilibrium partitioning between the two phases).

Response: The word "and" between "gas-phase" and "aerosol" was inadvertently omitted. Relevant references added to support this statement are Heaton (1987), Freyer (1991), Garten (1996) and Elliott et al. (2009). **DONE**

RC1.8 Section 4.3.2: This section was particularly hard to read because postdepositional processing is never defined. Many studies on ice sheets have shown that photolysis dominates postdepositional processing, but this is not even mentioned until the very end of this section. Perhaps if the authors properly introduce this process in the introduction, it will make it easier to clarify this section as well.

Response: We hope that we have clarified this in the new introductory text – see new section below. **DONE – substantial new text on postdepositional processing in both the introduction and discussion sections**

RC1.9 It would be useful to give the fractionation factors for the processes involved.

Response: Fractionation factors have been included in the new text below. **DONE**

RC1.10 Conclusion: Like the abstract, the conclusion focuses on the observed gradients with out explicitly stating why this matters. Again, a more thorough introduction may help with this.

Response: Again, hopefully the revised introduction will assist here and we have refocussed the conclusions to reflect the drivers of the spatial patterns observed. **DONE**

RC1.11 Some relevant references that could be included in the introduction and/or discussion and data comparison:

Kunasek, S.A., Alexander, B., E.J. Steig, M.G. Hastings, D.J. Gleason and J.C. Jarvis, Measurements and modeling of $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ of nitrate in snowpits from Summit, Greenland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D24302 (2008).

Geng, L., M.C. Zatzko, B. Alexander, T.J. Fudge, A.J. Schauer, L.T. Murray and L.J. Mickley, Effects of post-depositional processing on nitrogen isotopes of nitrate in the Greenland Ice Sheet Project 2 (GISP 2) ice core, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 5346-5354, DOI: 10.1002/2015GL064218 (2015)

Response: Thank you for the suggestions. We have consulted and added these references to the discussion, along with many others. **DONE**

RESPONSES TO REVIEWER2

In response to comments from both reviews posted, we have added a new introduction and discussion based on additional relevant literature as suggested by the reviewers.

We take the point that there is scope for confusion in our use of the term “gradient” given that the geographically central Kellyville sites represent one end of the data points in terms of deposition and isotopes. However our results show that there are very few significant differences between the two inland regions (KV, IS) while both differ from the coastal snowpack in the same direction (higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$; lower nitrate concentrations etc). We will therefore amend our title and heading accordingly to reflect instead the comparison of coastal with inland sites rather than implying a linear gradient. **DONE**

RC2.1 Comparison with seasonal snowpack at Summit

We maintain that we do provide a comparison with seasonal snowpack at Summit as this forms a key part of our argument about the importance of postdepositional processing (see Discussion Section 4.1 where we cite numerous studies which measured recent firn and seasonal snowpack on the Greenland ice sheet and elsewhere, including Fibiger et al 2016). We thank Reviewer 2 for drawing our attention to the fact that our $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ data are remarkably similar to those of Kunasek et al (2008) from snowpits at Summit, who found $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ ranging from 22.4 ‰ in summer (compare our study where summer rainfall = 20.6-23.1 ‰) to 33.7 ‰ in winter (30.8-34.4 ‰ in our seasonal snowpack). We further note that our data are very similar to those from Alert, Canada and Barrow, Alaska reported in Morin et al (2008, 2012).

Furthermore, in Section 4.3.3 we specifically compare seasonal snowpack from Summit with our data and indeed cite the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value of -10 ‰ from the Hastings et al (2004) study (p17, L18). Like Reviewer 2, we were also struck more by the similarities between our seasonal snowpack and the winter/spring data from Summit snow, and further attribute differences between our seasonal snowpack (winter only) and ice core records with the fact that ice cores resolved annually include much less depleted summer precipitation; p17 lines 26-7). Hence we agree with the reviewer, and in fact do argue, that there is little evidence for spatial differences in the nitrate isotopic composition of the falling snow across the “gradient” (coast to ice sheet) but that there are spatial differences in how the snowpack nitrate is processed – hence the gradient or spatial pattern is one of differential postdepositional processing linked to snowpack accumulation and other climatic factors.

However, to clarify the comparisons we will subdivide the discussion into comparisons with seasonal snow and comparisons with ice core records. The relevance of comparisons with ice core records (rather than just modern snowpack) relates to the wider scope of our project looking at N deposition and isotopic composition as drivers of change in palaeolimnological records. **Have amended the section heading to reflect this**

RC2.2 Postdepositional processing (with new section for Introduction)

We thank the reviewers for drawing our attention to the additional and more recent literature on postdepositional processing – particularly since we feel that it strengthens our interpretation of the data.

NEW TEXT:

The processing of nitrate in deposited snowpack, termed postdepositional processing, occurs at the air-snow interface and may entail losses and in situ cycling of nitrate, with different impacts on both net deposition fluxes and isotopic fractionation depending on their relative importance (Frey et al., 2009; Geng et al., 2015; Fibiger et al., 2016). Nitrate may be released back to the atmosphere by desorption and evaporation as HNO_3 , often termed ‘physical’ losses (Mulvaney et al., 1998; Berhanu et al., 2015), or by photolysis (sometimes referred to as photodenitrification) (Frey et al., 2009). Photolysis of snowpack nitrate by UV radiation produces NO_x , which may then undergo various processes which differ in relative importance depending on local conditions. NO_x may be;

1. re-emitted from the snowpack and transported away from the area, depending on wind speed;
2. redeposited by dry deposition;
3. reoxidised back to nitrate and redeposited (re-adsorption or dissolution) (Frey et al., 2009).

Erbland et al. (2015) define “nitrate recycling” as the net effect of nitrate photolysis (producing NO_x), following atmospheric processing and oxidation to form atmospheric nitrate, and the local redeposition (wet or dry) and export of products. Recycling may also include redeposition of directly emitted HNO_3 (Erbland et al., 2013). Hence both physical and photolytic processes may lead to effective net losses of nitrate from the snowpack if products are transported away from the location, but a proportion may be recycled and hence does not result in net removal from the snowpack, although such recycling can progressively modify isotopic signatures of the nitrate.

Photolysis is associated with large fractionation of both N ($^{15}\epsilon$ between -48 and -56 ‰) and O ($^{18}\epsilon = -34$ ‰) which both tend to increase $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in the remaining snowpack nitrate if the NO_x produced is removed from the system (Frey et al., 2009; Erbland et al., 2013; Berhanu et al., 2015; Geng et al., 2015). In situ recycling of nitrate can also reduce $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ due to oxygen isotope exchange with water (Frey et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2015), which has a different isotopic signature from atmospheric oxidants. This means that the negative $^{18}\epsilon$ is not expressed in the residual snow nitrate and, in fact, the apparent overall oxygen isotope fractionation can be positive (between 9 and 13 ‰, Berhanu et al., 2015). However, the depth-integrated $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ remains constant if there is no net loss of nitrate, hence $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is deemed a more reliable indicator of net postdepositional losses than oxygen isotopes (Geng et al., 2015; Zatko et al., 2016). Much smaller (only slightly negative) fractionation constants for other processes have been derived, e.g. physical release of nitrate (evaporation) but studies in the Antarctic by Erbland et al. (2013) found different experimental values at different temperatures and hence these factors are not generally transferable to regions with differing climatic regimes.

Antarctic studies have generally found photolysis to be the dominant driver of nitrate remobilisation and isotopic fractionation, while acknowledging that physical processes could play a greater role in coastal and other regions (Erbland et al., 2013; Berhanu et al., 2015). Erbland et al. (2013, 2015) working in Antarctica found that fractionation in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ through nitrate loss and recycling was much less pronounced than $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and either slightly positive or not significantly different from zero. Similar results for $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ were also found experimentally by McCabe et al. (2005) and Berhanu et al. (2015). Erbland et al. (2013) suggested that the small fractionation factors for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ in their coastal Antarctic snowpack could indicate a greater role for physical nitrate release, which does not entail oxygen exchange. Zatko et al. (2016) demonstrated that recycling of snow nitrate in Greenland, where nitrate spends a much shorter time in the photic zone, is much less than in Antarctica. They assumed that wet deposited nitrate is more likely to be embedded in the interior of snow grains whereas dry deposited nitrate on the grain surface should be more photolabile, so that in situ recycling is also a function of the form (wet vs dry) of nitrate deposited. **DONE**

New discussion: role of postdepositional processing in isotopic differences between regions

Since postdepositional processing occurs primarily in the photic zone of the snowpack (modelled values from 6-51cm in Greenland in the study of Zatko et al., 2016), a larger proportion of the snowpack at the inland sites must be exposed to such processing during spring, while much deeper snowpack at the coast will retain a greater proportion of unprocessed nitrate. Although dust inputs are likely to be greater at the inland sites, potentially reducing the depth of the snow photic zone, the much smaller snowpack and greater wind redistribution suggests a much greater potential overall for postdeposition processing through UV exposure and wind removal of photolysis or evaporative products than at the coast (cf. Frey et al., 2009). Frey et al. (2005) found that at wind speeds of less than 3 m s^{-1} (as found at our coastal sites) the effects of wind-pumping were less important than diffusion; while our inland regions experience higher mean annual wind speeds of 3.6 (Kellyville) and 4.0 (ice sheet) m s^{-1} . Furthermore, several studies of both modern snowpack and ice core nitrate (e.g. Geng et al., 2015) attribute differences in nitrate $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to differences in snow accumulation rate, which is consistent with results of our study showing a less-transformed snowpack nitrate signal at the coast. Frey et al. (2009)

also highlighted the importance of surface and wind-driven sublimation processes in the enrichment of insoluble chemical species and the removal of volatile species. Their study, like ours, indicated smaller nitrate transformations from snowpack in higher accumulating areas at the coast compared with inland, and the analysis of Zatzko et al (2016) in both Antarctica and on the Greenland ice sheet found that enrichment of snowpack nitrate was greatest in areas with the lowest accumulation rates – consistent with our data from seasonal snowpack. The modelling study of Zatzko et al (2016) also indicates that up to 100% of snowpack nitrate deposition in SW Greenland is primary deposition, rather than recycled. Our data, if we assume that coastal snowpack nitrate most closely represents regionally deposited precipitation nitrate, indicate an enrichment in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of 3.8 ‰ at the ice sheet and 5.6 ‰ at Kellyville, while $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ is 3.0 ‰ higher at the ice sheet and 3.6 ‰ higher at Kellyville, relative to coastal snowpack. The lack of a concomitant decrease in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ for inland snowpack suggests the postdepositional enrichment in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ may be due primarily to net losses from snowpack rather than in-situ recycling. Slightly higher mean values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ at inland locations, while not significant, are also suggestive of fractionating losses, rather than in situ recycling which would be expected to reduce $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. Given that $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ shows an increase without a concomitant decrease in $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$, nitrate loss rather than recycling would appear to be the dominant process at inland sites, which is consistent with the presence of a much smaller, more sublimated and wind-redistributed snowpack inland which favours removal and transport of photolytic and evaporative products rather than in situ recycling.

If the much higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values inland do indeed reflect a much greater impact of postdepositional processing on the much smaller snowpack, then it follows that the initial snowpack deposition of nitrate may have been larger, but has subsequently been reduced by photolysis and evaporation, while coastal snowpack more faithfully records the initial atmospheric inputs of nitrate. **DONE**

NEW TEXT - CONCLUSIONS FOR OUR STUDY

We conclude that at our inland regions, but especially at Kellyville, lower precipitation and snowpack accumulation in combination with higher wind speeds enhances both photolytic and physical (sublimation & evaporative) losses of snowpack nitrate. Since we see a significant enrichment in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ but not in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (inland mean values are higher, but not significantly different from the coast) we suggest that in situ recycling is less important than net losses through photolysis and wind removal of NO_x . Physical losses would also lead to $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ enrichment of remaining snowpack nitrate without affecting $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$. However, we find significantly higher $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ at our inland sites compared with coastal sites, in combination with higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, but no difference in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. The higher $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ found in the inland regions must therefore reflect a greater role for the O_3 oxidation pathway as the source of snowpack nitrate, compared with the coastal sites. **DONE**

RC2.3 Pollutant source regions

While we do of course acknowledge that there may be major differences in pollutant source regions across Greenland, in particular from the coast to the interior, the spatial scope of our study is very small relative to the size of the ice sheet and the modelled gradients shown by Zatzko et al (2016). Hence we would argue that while differential source regions cannot be ruled out, our study areas are actually very close to each other relative to distances from source regions. Perhaps the most striking result is the similarity of our coastal isotopic data (both $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ in

seasonal snowpack but also in the summer rain samples) with studies much further afield including snowpack at Summit on the ice sheet, and atmospheric nitrate at Alert, Canada and Barrow, Alaska (Hastings et al., 2004; Kunasek et al., 2008; Morin et al., 2012; Fibiger et al., 2016). Hence it seems unlikely that differences in source region are a plausible explanation for the spatial differences in the isotopic signatures of deposited snow observed in our study.

The modelling study of Zatko et al (2016) also shows an increase in the proportional loss of nitrate through photolysis moving inland from the coast towards the ice sheet. While not directly applicable due to the lack of permanent snow cover in our study transect, their modelled enrichment of ice-core nitrate would be in the range 1-5 ‰ for the inland regions of our study and zero at the coast (Fig 11d in Zatko et al., 2016), which is entirely consistent with our findings in the seasonal snowpack.

DONE – new text added

RC2.4 Deposition estimates

Our new introductory text explaining the basis for the study should clarify why we feel it important to derive at least a first approximation of total deposition fluxes. We acknowledge that the lack of rainfall samples precludes an accurate assessment of deposition inputs but argue that we can suggest probable bounding values (min, max) with some caveats, given that rainfall represents about 50 % of total annual precipitation. Since the sparse rainfall chemistry data suggest that concentrations may differ from snowpack by 0.57-1.63x for nitrate, 1.42-1.72x for ammonium and 0.91-1.39% for sulphate, we have added deposition uncertainty estimates (+/- 40%) on the assumption that our rainfall data are representative of total rainfall chemistry.

Other Arctic studies of seasonal atmospheric nitrate have generally indicated lower summer concentrations than spring, when maxima are generally seen (e.g. Morin et al., 2012), although Dibb et al (2007) found nitrate maxima in June snowpack. Comparing our assumed snowpack accumulation period of October to March, the monthly data of Dibb et al (2007) show mean values over this period which are very close to the annual means presented for Summit. Hastings et al (2004) found mean nitrate concentrations were highest in spring and summer, while Burkhart et al (2004) found no clear seasonality. **DONE – new text added**

Reviewer 2 Detailed comments:

RC2.5 Introduction, page 2, Line 31 - greater accumulation does not necessarily mean great precipitation rate/amount so this cannot be used as evidence to support a gradient in precipitation. Please clarify this.

Response: Text will be reworded accordingly. . **DONE – inserted the word “also” to remove ambiguity, since the pptn gradient was already established from the AWS data**

RC2.6 Introduction, page 3, Line 4-5 - The Introduction and Abstract contrast in what the primary purpose of this study is/what is being tested. Please clarify.

Response: Additional introductory text has been provided and contrasting text reworded. **DONE – new/revised text added to both abstract and introduction**

RC2.7 Methods, page 3, line 23 - assume 100 m should be 100 cm?

Response: No, 100 m is correct, this figure is our spacing of snowpack depth measurements around the catchment transects. **NO CHANGE REQUIRED**

RC2.8 Methods, page 4, chemical and isotopic analysis section - Please include a few more details on the isotopic method. Is the gold tube based pyrolysis of N₂O used? How many repeated measures of samples do the std deviations represent (here and for the ion concentrations)? What sample sizes were run for isotopic analysis?

Response: $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ values were determined using the standard denitrifier method with N₂O as analyte gas (Casciotti et al. 2002). $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ was determined using the thermal decomposition method with O₂ as analyte gas (Kaiser et al. 2007). $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ values have been corrected for isobaric interference of N₂¹⁷O (Kaiser & Röckmann, 2008), using the $\Delta(^{17}\text{O})$ measurements. The standard deviations represent analyses in duplicate. **DONE – above text added to methods. As stated in section 2.3, we used 10 nmol of NO₃⁻ for isotopic analyses.**

RC2.9 Section 3.4, page 8, line 5: here it is suggested that the snow was homogeneous on the lake surface. This is surprising given the earlier description of the major snow redistribution due to wind. Comparing/contrasting the snowpack and lake ice snow should be done much more carefully. I would argue that it is not at all clear whether these represent the “same” snow in any context.

Response: By homogenous we meant evenly distributed on the flat lake ice surface, compared with the patchy snow cover on catchment slopes. We will re-word accordingly. We agree that we may not be comparing the same snow and propose adding new text in the Discussion as follows:

It is possible that the snow accumulated on lake ice is of a different age mix than that sampled on catchment slopes, due to differential removal and redeposition during wind redistribution. Since higher concentrations of most ions were recorded in lake-ice snowpack (significant for nitrate at the coast and Kellyville) it may be hypothesized that postdepositional losses of nitrate are enhanced in snow on catchment slopes. Such a mechanism is also supported by the isotope data whereby terrestrial snowpack has generally higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than lake ice snowpack, suggesting postdepositional enrichment. It is not possible to determine how snow has been redistributed in the current study (and in fact would be extremely difficult to measure in practice), but the consistent pattern for all lake catchments in all regions does suggest a common process operating across the study region. **DONE – above text added to discussion**

RC2.10 Section 3.6, page 9: is it possible that the higher NH₄⁺ values at the coast are due to the presence of birds? Several studies in the Arctic (and Antarctic) clearly indicate that bird guano can be a major source of atmospheric ammonia. This would better explain the distinct pattern for nitrate versus ammonium and sulfate. Also, it should be made clear if sulfate is in excess to ammonium. If not, than the explanation of ammonium sulfate deposition as a “cause” of higher concentration on the coast (page 12) does not make sense.

Response: We did consider the possibility of biogenic sources of ammonium from seabird colonies, since these sites are all 2-3 km from the coast, but we have no recent data and older records indicate only very small colonies (20 pairs) within 10 km and the only sizeable colony of several hundred pairs is around 100 km away (Boertman et al., 1996). To our knowledge there are no major seabird colonies in the vicinity of the coastal sites. **DONE – above text added to discussion**

We state on p12 (line 16) that NH_4^+ ($17 \text{ mol ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$) and non-seasalt SO_4^{2-} ($22 \text{ mol ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ as $\frac{1}{2} \text{ SO}_4^{2-}$) are similar in charge equivalent terms; we have already accounted for the sea-salt excess (from sea-spray aerosols) of sulfate (total SO_4^{2-} is more than $4x \text{ nssSO}_4^{2-}$). The high correlation between NH_4^+ and nssSO_4^{2-} in coastal snowpack suggests they are largely co-deposited. We therefore stand by our assertion that $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ aerosols could contribute to the higher loads of NH_4^+ and SO_4^{2-} at the coast. **NO CHANGE REQUIRED**

RC2.11 Section 4.3, page 13: lines 10-20, need to compare with Fibiger et al. (2016) and Kunasek et al. (2008). Lines 20-29, this is highly speculative, you need more evidence. The “low” end of the D17O is not at all low compared to other measurements of atmospheric nitrate and other measurements of snowpack nitrate. Line 30-35, see comments above but there should be comparisons here with other relevant snowpack data (winter means, early spring surface snow at Summit - Hastings et al. (2004), Kunasek et al. (2008), Fibiger et al. (2016)). It is not as relevant to compare with a decadal or multi-year mean from the ice core in Hastings et al. (2009).

Response: We have added additional discussion of the Fibiger and Kunasek papers – thank you for the suggestion. We stated in line 25 that the discussion of a possible role for ODEs is speculative, but other major ions certainly do indicate a much greater marine influence at the coast. Given the additional discussion of the $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ data above we acknowledge that other factors could also affect the relative importance of different oxidants at the coast relative to inland regions. We do though feel that we should at least suggest possible mechanisms for the differences in $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ observed.

We have added additional text on comparing the seasonal snowpack from Summit, specifically for $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ data. We have also added additional justification for comparing with ice core data. **DONE – see new text in sections 4.3.1, 4.3.2 and 4.3.3**

RC2.12 Page 14, lines 1-4: this does not make sense. Here it is being stated as a fact that “nitrate in ice cores reflects Northern Hemisphere pollutants,” yet later it is argued that nitrate in snow in Greenland does represent sources.

Response: We agree there is scope for confusion and will remove this sentence. **DONE – sentence deleted**

RC2.13 Page 14, line 16: What is Fibiger and Hastings (2016)? It is not included in the reference list.

Response: We have added the missing reference to the reference list (see below). **DONE**

RC2.14 Section 4.3.1: In general this section would be much improved with a discussion of prevailing transport patterns. Would you expect different regions to contribute to the coast versus the interior sites? (For instance, transport studies for Summit and Dye 3 show distinct difference in expected source regions). And again, the discussion here is largely based upon the assumption of a regional gradient, however, it is not clear that a gradient does in fact exist. Further, there should be consideration of meteorological data during the time period of the study, rather than assuming (based on previous work) that the snow represent ~50% of the annual precipitation. As mentioned above, the Zatzko et al. modeling study could give some context here as well. One possibility not considered here could also be that snow sourced emissions of NO_x from the interior result in deposition of nitrate along the coast with a low δ¹⁵N value reflecting the large photolytic fractionation.

Response: We do not have data for the prevailing transport patterns to either the coastal or inland locations, but we briefly discuss this issue in Section 4.3.1. A detailed discussion on this topic is beyond the scope of this paper, but we suggest to add the following text:

Kahl et al (1997) argue that trajectories to Summit on the ice sheet are similar to Dye 3 in south Greenland (Davidson et al., 1993), and that in winter, 94% belong to westerly transport patterns (in fact moving from SW coastal zones NE onto the ice sheet). Geng et al (2014) assume the dominance of N American pollutant sources at Summit. For our sites in SW Greenland it appears that similar long-range source areas would apply. Alternative approaches (lake sediment records of Pb isotopes) have indicated that European sources are also important contributors to pollution across the region (Bindler 2001a, b), while the modelling study of Zatzko et al. (2016) suggests that our study region is an area of wind convergence with air flow mainly from the interior down to the coast. Hence there is no clear indication in the literature of the key local source regions affecting our study areas, but some evidence that coastal and inland areas are likely to be exposed to similar long-range sources.

DONE – see new text at start of section 4.3.1

It is an interesting suggestion that snow-sourced (photolytically released) emissions from the interior with low δ¹⁵N could contribute to low snowpack δ¹⁵N in our study, but such a process alone would not explain the higher δ¹⁵N values at Kellyville compared with those closer to the ice sheet and at the coast; we would still have to invoke postdepositional processing.

While we believe we have convincing evidence (in terms of precipitation, snow accumulation, wind speed, temperature etc) for increased postdepositional processing inland, we have no evidence to suggest there are likely to be major differences in source regions for our study areas – especially given the similarities between isotopic signatures and concentrations in the coastal snowpack and from winter snowpack at Summit, and the location of our inland sites between the coast and the ice sheet. Our proposed ‘gradient’ of increased postdepositional processing moving inland from the coast is also entirely consistent with the modelling study of Zatzko et al. (2016). See new text above under “Pollutant source regions” **NO ADDITIONAL CHANGES, covered by new text in section 4.3.1 as above**

RC2.15 Page 14, line 32: remove “while”, the latter part of the sentence supports the former part.

Response: **DONE: word removed and replaced by “and”**

RC2.16 Response: Page 15, line 12: what is gas phase aerosol NO₃? and what is this assumption here of the difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ based upon?

Response: As above – will add word "gas phase and aerosol", with several new supporting references added. **DONE – see response to Reviewer 1**

RC2.17 Section 4.3.2: the terminology throughout the manuscript needs to better reflect the difference between post-dep loss versus recycling of nitrate.

Response: Will be done, as per new text and revisions provided above.

RC2.18 Page 16, line 20: While Geng et al. do assert this it is based upon an assumption about the NO_x source $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values. The more recent work by Walters et al. (already cited here), Fibiger and Hastings (2016) and Miller et al. (JGR, 2017) suggest very different source values than that compiled by Geng et al. making this assumption not valid.

Response: We will remove this sentence. **DONE**

RC2.19 Page 17, line 14: Morin's study was in coastal Arctic location, not on the ice sheet? Their data should be relevant for comparison to the coastal data here.

Response: Thanks for the correction. Morin et al.'s study was at Alert, Canada and they compared with Summit data. We have amended the text accordingly. As pointed out, they are also reporting coastal data albeit from much further north. We have also added discussion of the later paper by Morin et al (2012) comparing data from Alert and Barrow (Alaska). We are again struck by the similarities with our own coastal data, indicating much larger scale regional similarities in nitrate isotopic composition and strengthening our argument about postdepositional processing as the most likely driver of spatial differences in our study. **DONE – text corrected in this paragraph but also new paragraph comparing the Alert and Barrow studies added to section 4.3.1.**

Additional references to be added to the manuscript and cited above:

Berhanu, T.A., Savarino, J., Erbland, J., Vicars, W.C., Preunkert, S., Martins, J.F., Johnson, M.S. (2015) Isotopic effects of nitrate photochemistry in snow: a field study at Dome C, Antarctica. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 15, 11243-11256.

Boertmann, D., Mosbech, A., Falk, K., Kampp, K. (1996) Seabird colonies in western Greenland (60° to 79° 30' N. lat.). NERI Technical Report No. 170, Ministry of Environment and Energy, National Environmental Research Institute, September 1996, Roskilde, Denmark, 148pp.

Davidson, C.I., Jaffrezo, J.L., Small, M.J., Summers, P.W., Olson, M.P., Borys, R.D.: Trajectory analysis of source regions influencing the south Greenland ice sheet during the Dye 3 gas and aerosol sampling programme, *Atmos. Env.* 27A, 2739-2749, 1993.

Elliott, E.M., Kendall, C., Boyer, E.W., Burns, D.A., Lear, G.G., Golden, H.E., Harlin, K., Bytnerowicz, A., Butler, T.J., Glatz, R.: Dual nitrate isotopes in dry deposition: Utility for partitioning NO_x source contributions to landscape nitrogen deposition, *Journal of Geophysical Research* 114, G04020, doi:10.1029/2008JG000889, 2009.

Erbland, J., Vicars, W.C., Savarino, J., Morin, S., Frey, M.M., Frosini, D., Vince, E., Martins, J.M.F.: Air-snow transfer of nitrate on the East Antarctic Plateau – Part 1: Isotopic evidence for a photolytically driven dynamic equilibrium in summer, *Atmos.Chem. Phys.*, 13, 6403-6419, 2013.

Erbland, J., Savarino, J., Morin, S., France, J.L., Frey, M.M., King, M.D.: Air-snow transfer of nitrate on the East Antarctic Plateau – Part 2: An isotopic model for the interpretation of deep ice-core records, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 12079-12113, 2015.

Fibiger, D.L., Hastings, M.G.: First Measurements of the Nitrogen Isotopic Composition of NO_x from Biomass Burning, *Env. Sci. Tech.*, 50, 11569-11574, 2016.

Frey, M.M., Stewart, R.W., McConnell, J.R., Bales, R.C.: Atmospheric hydroperoxides in West Antarctica: links to stratospheric ozone and atmospheric oxidation capacity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D23301, doi: 10.1029/2005JD006110, 2005.

Frey, M.M., Savarino, J., Morin, S., Erbland, J., Martins, J.M.F.: Photolysis imprint in the nitrate stable isotope signal in snow and atmosphere of East Antarctica and implications for reactive nitrogen cycling, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8681-8696, 2009.

Freyer, H.D.: Seasonal variation of ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratios in atmospheric nitrate species, *Tellus, Ser. B*, 43, 30–44, 1991.

Garten, C. T.: Stable nitrogen isotope ratios in wet and dry nitrate deposition collected with an artificial tree, *Tellus, Ser. B*, 48, 60–64, 1996.

Geng, L., Zatzko, M.C., Alexander, B., Fudge, T.J., Schauer, A.J., Murray, L.T., Mickley, L.J.: Effects of post-depositional processing on nitrogen isotopes of nitrate in the Greenland Ice Sheet Project 2 (GISP 2) ice core, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 5346-5354, DOI: 10.1002/2015GL064218, 2015.

Heaton, T.H.E.: ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratios of nitrate and ammonium in rain at Pretoria, South Africa, *Atmos. Environ.*, 21(4), 843–852, 1987.

Kahl, J.D.W., Martinez, D.A., Kuhns, H., Davidson, C.I., Jaffrezo, J.L., Harris, J.M.: Air mass trajectories to Summit, Greenland: A 44-year climatology and some episodic events, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102(C12), 26861-26875, 1997.

Kunasek, S.A., Alexander, B., Steig, E.J., Hastings, M.G., Gleason, D.J., Jarvis, J.C.: Measurements and modelling of $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ of nitrate in snowpits from Summit, Greenland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D24302, doi: 10.1029/2008JD010103, 2008.

McCabe, J.R., Boxe, C.S., Colussi, A.J., Hoffman, M.R., Thiemens, M.H.: Oxygen isotopic fractionation in the photochemistry of nitrate in water and ice, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D15310, doi: 10.1029/2004JD005484, 2005.

Morin, S., Erbland, J., Savarino, J., Domine, F., Bock, J., Friess, U., Jacobi, H.-W., Sihler, H., Martins, J.M.F.: An isotopic view on the connection between photolytic emissions of NO_x from the Arctic snowpack and its oxidation by reactive halogens, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D00R08, doi: 10.1029/2011JD016618, 2012.

Shi, G., Buffen, A.M., Hastings, M.G., Li, C., Ma, H., Li, Y., Sun, B., An, C., Jiang, S.: Investigation of post-depositional processing of nitrate in East Antarctic snow: isotopic constraints on photolytic loss, re-oxidation and source inputs, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 9435-9453, 2015.

Walters, W.W., Goodwin, S.R., Michalski, G.: Nitrogen Stable Isotope Composition ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of Vehicle-Emitted NO_x, *Env. Sci. Technol.*, 49, 2278-2285, 2015a.

Zatzko, M., Geng, L., Alexander, L., Sofen, E., Klein, K.: The impact of snow nitrate photolysis on boundary layer chemistry and the recycling and redistribution of

reactive nitrogen across Antarctica and Greenland in a global chemical transport model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 2819-2842, 2016.

