Regional-scale lateral carbon transport and CO$_2$ evasion in temperate stream catchments
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Abstract. Inland waters play an important role in regional to global scale carbon cycling by transporting, processing and emitting substantial amounts of carbon, which originate mainly from their catchments. In this study, we analyzed the relationship between terrestrial net primary production (NPP) and the rate at which carbon is exported from the catchments in a temperate stream network. The analysis included more than 200 catchment areas in southwest Germany, ranging in size from 0.8 to 889 km$^2$ for which CO$_2$ evasion from stream surfaces and downstream transport with stream discharge were estimated from water quality monitoring data, while NPP in the catchments was obtained from a global data set based on remote sensing. We found that on average 2.7 % of terrestrial NPP (13.9 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) are exported from the catchments by streams and rivers, in which both CO$_2$ evasion and downstream transport contributed about equally to this flux. The average carbon fluxes in the catchments of the study area resembled global and large-scale zonal mean values in many respects, including NPP, stream evasion as well as the catchment-specific total export rate of carbon in the fluvial network. A review of existing studies on aquatic-terrestrial coupling in the carbon cycle suggests that the catchment-specific carbon export varies in a relatively narrow range, despite a broad range of different spatial scales and hydrological characteristics of the study regions.
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1 Introduction

Inland waters represent an important component of the global carbon cycle by transporting, storing and processing significant amounts of organic and inorganic carbon (C) and by emitting substantial amounts of carbon dioxide (CO₂) to the atmosphere (Cole et al., 2007; Aufdenkampe et al., 2011). Globally about 0.32 to 0.8 Pg C is emitted per year as CO₂ from lakes and reservoirs (Raymond et al., 2013; Barros et al., 2011). For streams and rivers the global estimates range from 0.35 to 1.8 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Raymond et al., 2013; Cole et al., 2007), where the lower estimates can be considered as conservative because they omit CO₂ emissions from small headwater streams. Comparable amounts of carbon are discharged into the oceans by the world’s rivers (0.9 Pg C yr⁻¹) and stored in aquatic sediments (0.6 Pg C yr⁻¹) (Tranvik et al., 2009). In total, evasion, discharge and storage of C in inland waters have been estimated to account for about 4% of global terrestrial net primary production (NPP) (Raymond et al., 2013) or 50-70% of the total terrestrial net ecosystem production (NEP) (Cole et al., 2007). A recent continental-scale analysis, which combined terrestrial productivity estimates from a suite of biogeochemical models with estimates of the total aquatic C yield for the conterminous United States (Butman et al., 2015), resulted in mean C export rates from terrestrial into freshwater systems of 4% of NPP and 27% of NEP. These estimates varied by a factor of four across 18 hydrological units with surface areas between 10⁵ and 10⁸ km².

The substantial lateral and vertical transport of terrestrial-derived C in inland waters is currently not accounted for in most bottom-up estimates of the terrestrial uptake rate of atmospheric CO₂ (Battin et al., 2009) and results in high uncertainties in regional-scale C budgets and predictions of their response to climate change, land use and water management. Only few studies have quantified C fluxes and pools including inland waters at the regional-scale (O(10⁻⁵-10⁻⁷ km²)) (Christensen et al., 2007; Buffam et al., 2011; Jonsson et al., 2007; Maberly et al., 2013) or for small (O(1-10 km²)) catchments (Leach et al., 2016; Shibata et al., 2005; Billett et al., 2004). The majority of existing regional-scale studies on terrestrial-aquatic C fluxes are from the boreal zone and are characterized by a relatively large fractional surface area covered by inland waters, a high abundance of lakes and high fluvial loads of dissolved organic carbon (DOC). Landscapes in the temperate zone can differ in all these aspects, potentially resulting in differences in the relative importance of aquatic C-fluxes and flux paths (storage, evasion and discharge) in regional-scale C budgets differ. In this study, we analyzed the relationship between terrestrial NPP and CO₂ evasion and C discharge for more than 200 catchments in southwest Germany. The stream-dominated catchments range in size from 0.8 to 889 km² and are characterized by a relatively small fraction of surface water coverage (< 0.5% of the land surface area). In contrast to studies from the boreal zone, the fluvial C load is dominated by dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). Estimates of aquatic C export from the catchments were obtained from water quality and hydrological monitoring data and were related to terrestrial NPP derived from MODIS satellite data. The scale dependence of aquatic carbon fluxes in relation to NPP is analyzed by grouping the data according to stream order. By comparing our results to a variety of published studies, we finally discuss the magnitude as well as the relative importance of different fluvial flux paths in regional-scale C budgets in different landscapes and climatic zones.
2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area and hydrological characteristics

The study area encompasses large parts of the federal state of Rhineland-Palatinate (RLP) in southwest Germany (Fig. 1). The average altitude is 323 m (48 m - 803 m) and the mean annual temperature and precipitation varied between 5.8 and 12.2 °C and 244 and 1576 mm during the time period between 1991 and 2011 at the 37 meteorological stations operated by the state RLP (http://www.wetter.rlp.de/). The dominating land cover in the study area is woodland (41 %, mainly mixed and broad-leaved forest), tilled land (37 %, mainly arable land and vineyards) and grassland (13 %, mainly pastures) (Corine land cover (EEA, 2006)).

Most of the rivers in RLP are part of the catchment area of the Rhine River. Other large rivers in the state are Mosel, Lahn, Saar and Nahe. The upland regions of RLP are sources to many small, steep and highly turbulent streams with gravel beds (MULEWF, 2015). Lakes in RLP are small with a total area of approximately 40 km² (Statistisches Landesamt Rheinland-Pfalz, 2014) and were omitted from the analysis. The river network has a total length of 15 800 km and consists of stream orders (Strahler, 1957) between 1 and 7 order. A catchment map of RLP, consisting of subcatchments of 7729 river segments was provided by the state ministry (MULEWF, 2013), where a river segment refers to the section between a source and the first junction with another river or between two junctions with other rivers. All subsequent analyses were conducted separately for each stream order and streams of Strahler order >4 were omitted from the analysis because of the limited sample size with only few catchments available. Moreover, we omitted streams for which parts of the catchment area were outside of the study area. Overall, 3377, 1619, 861 and 453 stream segments were remaining for the analysis for Strahler order 1 to 4, respectively. Annual mean discharge and length of the river segments were obtained from digital maps provided by the state ministry (MULEWF, 2013).

2.2 Aquatic carbon concentrations

DIC concentrations and partial pressure of dissolved CO₂ (pCO₂) in stream water were estimated from governmental water quality monitoring data. The data include measurements of alkalinity, pH and temperature which were conducted between 1977 and 2011 (MULEWF, 2013). Sampling intervals differed between the sites and water sampling was conducted irregularly with respect to year and season. To exclude a potential bias resulting from the seasonality of DIC concentrations on the analysis, we only considered river segments for which at least one measurement was available for each season (spring, summer, autumn, winter). From these measurements, pCO₂ and DIC concentrations were estimated using chemical equilibrium calculations with the software PHREEQC (Version 2) (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999). For 201 river segments with seasonally resolved measurements, we first computed seasonal mean pCO₂ and DIC concentrations, which subsequently were aggregated to annual mean values averaged over the entire sampling period. Measurements of dissolved and total organic C (DOC, TOC) were available only for 54 of these sampling sites.
### 2.3 Estimation of lateral DIC export and catchment-scale CO₂ evasion

The lateral export of DIC and the total CO₂ evasion from the upstream located stream network was calculated for each of the 201 sampling sites with seasonally averaged concentration estimates. Lateral DIC export from the corresponding catchments was calculated as the product of the mean DIC concentration and discharge. CO₂ evasion from the stream network upstream of each sampling site was estimated by interpolating pCO₂ for all river segments without direct measurements. For this, the mean concentrations were averaged by stream order and assigned to all stream segments of the river network (Butman and Raymond, 2011). Stream width (w, in m), depth (d, in m) and flow velocity (v, in m s⁻¹) were estimated from the discharge (Q, in m³ s⁻¹) using the following empirical equations (Leopold and Maddock Jr, 1953):

\[
w = a \cdot Q^b \\
d = c \cdot Q^d \\
v = e \cdot Q^f.
\]  

For the hydraulic geometry exponents and coefficients, the values from Raymond et al. (2012) were used \((b=0.29, d=0.42, f=0.29, a=0.4, c=12.88 \text{ and } e=0.19)\).

The water surface area \((A, \text{ in } \text{m}^2)\) was calculated as the product of length and width of the river segments. The average slope for each segment was estimated from a Digital Elevation Map (resolution 10 m) provided by the federal state of Rhineland-Palatinate (LVermGeoRP, 2012). The gas transfer velocity of CO₂ at 20°C \((k_{600} \text{ in } \text{m d}^{-1})\) was calculated from slope \((S)\) and flow velocity \((v, \text{ in } \text{m s}^{-1})\) (Raymond et al., 2012).

\[
k_{600} = S \cdot v + 2841.6 + 2.03
\]

This gas transfer velocity was adjusted to the in situ temperature \((k_T, \text{ in } \text{m d}^{-1})\) using the following equation:

\[
k_T = k_{600} \cdot \left( \frac{SCo_2}{600} \right)^{-0.5},
\]

where \(SCo_2\) is the Schmidt number (ratio of the kinematic viscosity of water and the diffusion coefficient of dissolved CO₂ at the in situ temperature (Raymond et al., 2012). Finally the CO₂ flux \((F_D, \text{ in } \text{g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1})\) for each stream segment was calculated as:

\[
F_D = k_T \cdot K_H (pCO_2 - pCO_2,a) \cdot M_C
\]

The partial pressure of CO₂ in the atmosphere \((pCO_2,a)\) was considered as constant \((390 \text{ ppm})\) and the Henry coefficient of CO₂ at in-situ temperature \((K_H \text{ in } \text{mol m}^{-3} \text{ atm}^{-1})\) was estimated using the relationship provided in (Stumm and Morgan, 1996). \(M_C\) is the molar mass of C \((12 \text{ g mol}^{-1})\). Finally, the total CO₂ evasion was estimated by summing up the product of \(F_D\) with the corresponding water surface area for all stream segments located upstream of each individual sampling point.

### 2.4 Estimation of the catchment NPP

Average NPP in the catchment areas of the study sites were obtained from a global data set derived from moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) observations of the earth observing system (EOS) satellites, which is available for the time period 2000 to 2013 with a spatial resolution of 30 arc seconds \((\sim 1 \text{ km}^2)\) (Zhao et al., 2005). In this data set, NPP was estimated based on remote sensing observations of spectral reflectance, land cover and...
surface meteorology as described in detail by Running et al. (2004). We used mean NPP data (2000-2013) averaged over the catchment areas of the individual sampling sites.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Linear regressions (F-test) were used to analyze the data. Group differences or correlations with \( p<0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. For the regression of total aquatic C export rate and annual catchment NPP, data were log-transformed to correct for normal distribution.

3 Results

3.1 Catchment characteristics and aquatic C load

The size of the analyzed catchment areas varied over three orders of magnitude (0.8 to 889 km\(^2\)) and the mean size increased from 9 km\(^2\) for 1\(^{st}\) order streams to 243 km\(^2\) for streams of the order 4 (Table 1). Mean discharge and catchment area were linearly correlated \( (r^2=0.74, \ p<0.001) \). The drainage rate, i.e. the stream discharge divided by the catchment area, was relatively constant across stream orders with a mean value of 0.28 m y\(^{-1}\), corresponding to 35 % of the annual mean precipitation rate in the study area. The mean discharge increased more than 30-fold from 0.06 to 2.2 m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) for 1\(^{st}\) to 4\(^{th}\) order streams, respectively. Similarly, the estimated water surface area increased with increasing stream order from 0.24 to 0.42 % of the corresponding catchment size (Table 1).

Individual estimates of the CO\(_2\) partial pressure at the sampling sites varied between 145 and 7759 ppm. Only 1 % of the pCO\(_2\) values were below the mean atmospheric value (390 ppm), indicating that the majority of the stream network was a source of atmospheric CO\(_2\) at all seasons. The total mean value of pCO\(_2\) was 2083 ppm and pCO\(_2\) and DIC did not differ significantly among the different stream orders \( (pCO_2: \ p=0.35; \ DIC: \ p=0.56) \).

The few available samples of DOC and TOC indicate that the organic C concentration was about one order of magnitude smaller than the inorganic C concentration (Table 1). Only a small fraction of TOC was in particulate form and TOC was linearly related to DIC, indicating that the organic load made up only 4 % of the total carbon load at the sampling sites (Fig. 2).

3.2 Catchment NPP and C budget

NPP increased linearly with catchment size \( (r^2=0.98, \ p<0.001) \), but the specific NPP, i.e. the total NPP within a catchment divided by catchment area, did not differ significantly \( (p=0.24) \) among catchments of different stream orders. The smallest mean value and the largest variability \( (\text{mean}\pm\text{sd}: \ 466\pm127 \ \text{g C m}^{-2} \ \text{yr}^{-1}, \ \text{range}: \ 106 \text{ to } 661 \ \text{g C m}^{-2} \ \text{yr}^{-1}) \) was observed among the small catchments of 1\(^{st}\) order streams, while the variability was consistently smaller for higher stream orders (Table 2). The total average of terrestrial NPP in the study area was 515±79 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (mean±sd).
In a simplified catchment-scale C balance, we consider the sum of the DIC discharge (DIC concentration multiplied by discharge) measured at each sampling site and the total CO$_2$ evasion from the upstream located stream network as the total amount of C that is exported from the catchment area through the aquatic conduit. The total evasion was estimated by interpolation with stream-order specific pCO$_2$ values assigned to the complete stream network. Given the small number of available measurements, we neglect the fraction of organic C which is exported with stream discharge. As demonstrated above, TOC load is small in comparison to the DIC load (Fig. 2), resulting in a comparably small (< 4 %) error.

The resulting CO$_2$ evasion rates decreased slightly, but not significantly (p=0.26) for increasing stream orders with a total mean evasion rate of 2032 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (expressed as per unit water surface area) (Table 2). The total aquatic evasion rate within catchments normalized by the size of the catchment increased significantly with stream order with a mean value of 6.6 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. (Table 2).

The total aquatic C export rate, i.e. the sum of evasion and DIC discharge, was strongly correlated with annual mean NPP averaged over the corresponding catchment area. Linear regression of the log-transformed data results in a power-law exponent of 1.06, indicating a nearly linear relationship (Fig. 3). Most of the correlation between both quantities, however, can be attributed to their common linear scale-dependence.

After normalization with catchment area, the total aquatic C export rate increased slightly with stream order (Fig. 4a). Also the fraction of NPP which was exported through the aquatic network, i.e. the sum of evasion and discharge, increased slightly, though not significantly (p=0.32), from 2.18 % for first-order stream to 2.72 % for stream order 4 (Fig. 4b). This increase was related to increasing rates of CO$_2$ evasion in streams of higher order and the contribution of evasion to the total C export rate increased from 39 to 53 % (Fig. 4c). The increasing evasion is mainly caused by the increasing fractional water surface area for increasing stream orders (Table 1), because the CO$_2$ fluxes per water surface showed a rather opposing trend with decreasing fluxes for increasing stream orders (Table 2). On average 1.31 % of the catchment NPP are emitted as CO$_2$ from the stream network and 1.49 % are discharged downstream (Table 2).

No regional (large-scale) pattern or gradients were observed in the spatial variation of catchment-scale NPP and aquatic C export (Fig. 5).

4 Discussion

4.1. An average study region

The average carbon fluxes in the catchments of the study area resemble global and large-scale zonal mean estimates in many aspects. The mean atmospheric flux of CO$_2$ from the stream network of 2031±1527 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ is in close agreement with bulk estimates for streams and rivers in the temperate zone of 2630 (Aufdenkampe et al., 2011) and
2370 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Butman and Raymond, 2011). The fractional surface coverage of streams and rivers (0.42 % for stream order 4) corresponds to the global average of 0.47 % (Raymond et al., 2013) and also mean terrestrial NPP in the catchments (515 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) was in close correspondence to recent global mean estimates (495 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Zhao et al., 2005)).

By combining CO\(_2\) evasion and downstream C-export by stream discharge, we estimated that 2.7 % of terrestrial NPP (13.9 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) are exported from the catchments by streams and rivers, in which both evasion and discharge contributed equally to this flux. Also these findings are in close agreement with global and continental scale estimates, of 16 and 13.5 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\), respectively (Table 3).

### 4.1. Aquatic C export across spatial scales

Though not exhaustive, Table 3 provides data from a large share of existing studies relating the aquatic C export to terrestrial production in the corresponding catchments which cover a broad range of spatial scales and different landscapes. Except for the tropical forest of the Amazon basin, the aquatic carbon export normalized to catchment area estimated for temperate streams in our study, is surprisingly similar to those estimated at comparable and at larger spatial scale. In the Amazon, the fraction of terrestrial production that is exported by the fluvial network is more than twofold higher (nearly 7 % of NPP (Richey et al., 2002)). However, that a large fraction of the regional NPP in the Amazon is supported by aquatic primary production by macrophytes and carbon export is predominantly controlled by wetland connectivity (Abril et al., 2013), with wetlands covering up to 16 % of the land surface area.

An additional peculiarity of the Amazon is, that in contrast to the remaining systems, the vast majority (87 %) of the total C export is governed by CO\(_2\) evasion (Table 3), whereas lateral export constitutes a much smaller component.

An exceptionally low fraction of NPP that is exported from aquatic systems at larger scale was estimated for the English Lake District (1.6 % (Maberly et al., 2013)), though only CO\(_2\) evasion from lake surfaces was considered, i.e. downstream discharge by rivers was ignored. Their estimate agrees reasonably well with the fraction of catchment NPP that was emitted to the atmosphere from the stream network in the present study (1.3 %). If a similar share of catchment NPP was exported with river discharge also in the Lake District, the average mass of C exported from the aquatic systems per unit catchment area would be in close agreement with our and other larger-scale estimates (Table 3).

In more detailed studies at smaller scales and for individual catchments, aquatic C export was exclusively related to net ecosystem exchange (NEE) measured by eddy covariance. Here the estimated fractions of aquatic export range between 2 % of NEE in a temperate forest catchment (only discharge, evasion not considered, (Shibata et al., 2005)) and 160 % of NEE in a boreal peatland catchment (Billett et al., 2004). Analysis of inter-annual variations of stream export from a small peatland catchment in Sweden (Leach et al., 2016) resulted in estimates of C export by the fluvial network between 5.9 and 18.1 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) over 12 years. The total mean value of 12.2 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\), however, is in close agreement with the present and other larger-scale estimates (Table 3). In contrast to the present study, C export from the peatland catchments were dominated by stream discharge of dissolved organic carbon.
4.2 Controlling factors for aquatic C export

We found a significant linear relationship between total catchment NPP and the C export from the catchment in the stream network across four Strahler orders. The relationship was mainly caused by a strong correlation between catchment size and water surface area. As expected, large streams and rivers with large surface area have larger catchments. A study analyzing aquatic carbon fluxes for 18 hydrological units in the conterminous U.S. (Butman et al., 2015) observed a significant correlation between catchment-specific aquatic C yield and specific catchment NEP, which in turn was linearly correlated to NPP. We did not observe such correlation at smaller scale, which could be related to the rather narrow range of variability in NPP among the considered catchments. Nevertheless, the linear correlation observed by (Butman et al., 2015) indicates that a constant fraction of terrestrial NPP is exported by aquatic systems if averaged over larger spatial scales.

The relatively narrow range of variability of C export per catchment area (between 9 and 18 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, with the two exceptions discussed above) in different landscapes (Table 3) is rather surprising. Although this range of variation is most likely within the uncertainty of the various estimates, the variability across different landscapes is certainly small in comparison to the order of magnitude differences in potential controlling factors. In lake-rich regions, evasion from inland waters was observed to be dominated by lakes (Buffam et al., 2011; Jonsson et al., 2007), which cover up to 13 % of the surface area. In the present as well as in other studies on catchments where lakes are virtually absent (Wallin et al., 2013) and the fractional water coverage was smaller than 0.5 % of the terrestrial surface area, an almost identical catchment-specific C export and evasion rate has been observed (Table 3). CO$_2$ emissions from water surfaces depend on the partial pressure of CO$_2$ in water and are therefore related to DIC, which was the dominant form of dissolved C in the present study. Studies in the boreal zone, where dissolved C in the aquatic systems is mainly in the form of DOC, however, found comparable catchment-specific C export and evasion rates ((Leach et al., 2016; Jonsson et al., 2007; Wallin et al., 2013), cf. Table 3). The difference in the speciation of the exported C indicates that a larger fraction of the terrestrial NPP is respired by heterotrophic respiration in soils and exported to the stream network as DIC in the present study, in contrast to export as DOC and predominantly aquatic respiration. Observations and modeling of terrestrial-aquatic C fluxes across the U.S. suggested a transition of the source of aquatic CO$_2$ from direct terrestrial input to aquatic CO$_2$ production by degradation of terrestrial organic carbon with increasing stream size (Hotchkiss et al., 2015). Such transition was not observed in the present study, where organic carbon made a small contribution to the fluvial carbon load across all investigated stream orders.

Despite the small number of observations, the narrow range of variability of C export per catchment area may indicate that neither water surface area nor the location of mineralization of terrestrial derived C (soil respiration and export of DIC versus export of DOC and mineralization in the aquatic environment), are important drivers for the total C export from catchments by inland waters at larger spatial scales. This rather unexpected finding deserves further attention, as it suggests that other, currently poorly explored, processes control the aquatic-terrestrial coupling and the role of inland waters in regional C cycling. Given the significant contribution of inland waters to regional and global scale greenhouse gas emissions, the mechanistic understanding of these processes is urgently...
required to assess their vulnerability to ongoing climatic and land use changes, as well to the extensive anthropogenic influences on freshwater ecosystems. Recent developments of process-based models, which are capable of resolving the boundless biogeochemical cycle in the terrestrial–aquatic continuum from catchment to continental scales (Nakayama, 2016), are certainly an important tool for these future studies.
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Fig. 1: Map of the stream network (black lines) within the state borders of Rhineland Palatinate in southwest Germany. The inset map in the upper left corner indicates the location of the study region in central Europe. Filled circles mark the position of sampling sites with color indicating stream order (SO1 – SO4; the numbers in brackets in the legend are the respective number of sampling sites). The catchment areas of the sampling sites are marked in grey color.
Fig. 2: TOC concentration versus DIC concentration. Different colors indicate sampling sites from different stream orders. The solid line shows the fitted linear regression model with TOC=0.04·DIC ($r^2=0.33$, $p<0.001$).

Fig. 3: Annual rate of C export through the stream network versus terrestrial NPP in the catchment area. Different colors indicate sampling sites from different stream orders. The solid line shows the fitted linear regression model for the log-transformed data with $C_{\text{export}}=0.005·NPP^{1.06}$ ($r^2=0.89$, $p<0.001$).
Fig. 4: a) Boxplots of C export (sum of evasion and discharge) normalized by catchment area. b) Boxplots of the ratio of the total exported C and terrestrial NPP for different stream orders. c) Boxplots of the fraction of the total exported C which is emitted to the atmosphere from the stream network for each stream order. The boxes demarcate the 25th and 75th percentiles, the whiskers demarcate the 95% confidence intervals. Median and mean values are marked as horizontal lines and square symbols, respectively. The sample numbers (n) provided in a) apply to all panels.

Fig. 5: Map of 3rd and 4th order catchments showing a) Mean NPP (g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), b) aquatic export (g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), c) ratio aquatic export/NPP (%).
Table 1: Major hydrological characteristics, $p$CO$_2$, DIC and DOC concentrations averaged over stream orders (SO) and for all sampling sites (total). All values are provided as mean±sd (standard deviation) of the annual mean observations, $n$ is the number of observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SO 1</th>
<th>SO 2</th>
<th>SO 3</th>
<th>SO 4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchment size (km$^2$)</td>
<td>9±7</td>
<td>16±9</td>
<td>87±54</td>
<td>243±140</td>
<td>103±126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water coverage (%)</td>
<td>0.24±0.11</td>
<td>0.26±0.09</td>
<td>0.36±0.11</td>
<td>0.42±0.13</td>
<td>0.33±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge (m$^3$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.06±0.05</td>
<td>0.15±0.10</td>
<td>0.73±0.63</td>
<td>2.20±1.95</td>
<td>0.91±1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage rate (m y$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.26±0.17</td>
<td>0.29±0.16</td>
<td>0.27±0.17</td>
<td>0.30±0.21</td>
<td>0.28±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$CO$_2$ (ppm)</td>
<td>2597±1496</td>
<td>1819±1095</td>
<td>1992±1327</td>
<td>2162±1302</td>
<td>2083±1303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC (g m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>38.8±30.3</td>
<td>34.2±31.1</td>
<td>34.6±22.4</td>
<td>32.4±21.0</td>
<td>34.5±25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC (g m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>3.54±1.86</td>
<td>4.11±0.73</td>
<td>4.17±1.08</td>
<td>4.10±1.24</td>
<td>4.08±1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Aquatic C-fluxes and terrestrial NPP in catchments drained by streams of different stream orders (SO) and for all sampling sites (total). All values are mean ± standard deviation. The CO$_2$ flux from the water surface (first row) is expressed per square meter water surface area, while the remaining fluxes are expressed per square meter catchment area.
### Table 3: Summary of estimates of aquatic C export in relation to terrestrial production in the watershed across different spatial scales (spatial scale decreases from top to bottom). Aquatic C export is the sum of C-discharge and evasion (numbers in parentheses also include the change in C storage in the aquatic systems by sedimentation) normalized by the area of the terrestrial watershed. Aquatic C fate refers to the percentage of the total exported C which is emitted to the atmosphere (evasion) and transported downstream (discharge). The missing percentage is the fraction which is stored in the aquatic systems by sedimentation (if considered). Terrestrial production is expressed as NPP or as net ecosystem exchange (NEE). n.c. indicates that this compartment/flux was not considered in the respective study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study area</th>
<th>Fractional water coverage (%) Rivers Lakes</th>
<th>Aquatic C export (g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Aquatic C fate (%)</th>
<th>Agricultural C export / terrestrial production (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>R: 0.2-0.3 L: 2.1-3.4</td>
<td>16 (20)</td>
<td>E: 44 D: 34</td>
<td>3.7 $^1$</td>
<td>(Aufdenkampe et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conterminous U.S.</td>
<td>R: 0.52 L: 1.6</td>
<td>13.5 (18.8)</td>
<td>E: 58 D: 28</td>
<td>3.6 $^2$</td>
<td>(Butman et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>4-16</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>E: 87 D: 13</td>
<td>6.8 $^4$</td>
<td>(Richey et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow River network</td>
<td>R: 0.3-0.4 L: n.c.</td>
<td>18.5 (30)</td>
<td>E: 35 D: 26</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>(Ran et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North temperate lake district</td>
<td>R: 0.5 L: 13</td>
<td>11.8 (16)</td>
<td>E: 33 D: 41</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>(Buffam et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sweden (peat)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>(4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate streams (0.7-1227)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Lake district (1-360)</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forested stream catchments in Sweden (0.46-67)</td>
<td>0.1-0.7</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>&lt;0.7</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest catchment in Japan (9.4)</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peatland catchment (3.35)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peatland catchment (2.7)</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>12-50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 For a value of 56 Pg C yr⁻¹ for global NPP (Zhao et al., 2005).
2 Global mean NEE was estimated as the difference of GPP and ecosystem respiration, which was assumed to be 91-97% of GPP (Randerson et al., 2002).
3 This percentage refers to NEP instead of NEE.
4 For a global mean value of NPP in tropical forests of 1148 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Sabine et al., 2004).