The response to comments

Dear Dr. Denise M. Akob

We are grateful for your comments and we agree to revise the manuscript in response to the comments. Please find our response to the comments on behalf of all co-authors. Our response to the reviewer's comments are detailed point by point below.

Abstract: there is no mention of slash pine plantation but it is part of the title. Please revise to include and to link your results back to the habitat or if the habitat is not the key part of the story then revise the title.

Response: We accepted your suggestion. And we remove the "slash pine" from the title.

L. 33: change to hydrolysis. The correct term is hydrolysis and cannot be plural

Response: Revised as recommended, please refer to Line 34.

L. 50: change to "is mostly comprised of"

Response: Revised as recommended, please refer to Line 51.

L. 69: define the BG and NAG acronyms

Response: BG is β-1,4-glucosidase, and NAG is β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase. We add the full names to the Line 70. And at the same time, we used the abbreviations of βG and NAG at Line 97-98.

L. 106: "in line with the economic theory" does not fit here. No economic theory has been presented. I would omit it and start with "Microorganisms…" or try to introduce this better

Response: We accepted your suggestion. The sentence has been revised as 'Microorganisms will allocate energy to the relatively absent resources, so that N additions will cause C and P-acquisition enzymes to increase, and N-acquisition enzymes to decrease (Burns et al., 2013)'. (Line 108-110).

L. 114-116: add a reference

Response: The reference of 'Sinsabaugh et al., 2002' has been added (Line 119).

L. 134: change to "C-"

Response: Revised as recommended, please refer to Line 137.

L. 135: change to “;”

Response: Revised as recommended, please refer to Line 138.

L. 142: there are so many acronyms in the paper that I would not abbreviate the experimental station, just write it out.

Response: The ‘QYZ’ has been revised as Qianyanzhou (Line 145).

L. 149: define a.s.l.

Response: a.s.l means above sea level (Line 146).

L. 153-165: this is still not clear. How many test plots were there, first you say one control and one test then you state there are 9? Start with how they were divided up then discuss the treatments. On l. 157, it reads as if you added both NH4 and NO3 to both test plots. So, how were you comparing effects of each N source? It might be useful to add a schematic to supplemental information. For the application, how much time was there between sprayings? It was only 1 day per month when N was applied?

Response: We have revised the sentence as ‘Nine 20 × 20 m plots were established at the experimental sites, including a control, ammonium only and nitrate only additions plots with three replicates (3 treatments × 3 replicates).’. Furthermore, a schematic was added as supplemental figure S2. The N were applied on a non-rainy day at the interval of about one month, so the N was applied one day per month (Line 168-169).

L. 174: I would not use synthetic here. I suggest changing to seasonal.

Response: Revised ‘synthetic’ to ‘seasonal’ (Line 178).

L. 183-189: was the soil:water shaken before measurement of pH and N? In this section, either provide details on the methods or provide references.

Response: The homogenate was stirring by glass rod for one minute and then was settled for 30 min before measurement of soil pH. And the soil-water mixture was shaken for 2 h before measurement of soil ammonium, nitrate, soil dissolved organic carbon. We have added the references [Bao. (2010)] in the manuscript (Line 187)

L. 187: soil cannot be extracted with soil, revise

Response: The sentence has been revised as 'Soil DOC was extracted with distilled water at a ratio of 1 g soil : 5 ml water, and was measured with an organic element analyzer (Liquid TOCII, Elementar, Germany)' (Line 192-195)

L. 205: what concentration of sodium acetate?

Response: The concentration of sodium acetate was 50 mmol L^{-1} (Line 212).

L. 241: specify panel A, e.g., Fig 1A

Response: We have specified the panel (a) to (f) for Figure 1-6. Accordingly, we have revised in the results and discussion.

L. 241-242: incorrect usage of "respectively". Please always refer to the specific figure panels you are referencing.

Response: We have revised the sentence as "The soil nitrate contents were 165% and 129% higher (Fig. 2b), and the soil ammonium contents were 31% and 38% lower in the ammonium and nitrate treatments (Fig. 1b) than in the control for the three sampling events." (Line 248-251).

L. 258: you are missing an "and"

Response: We added it to Line 265.

L. 264: I caution against using the term "shifted" when you are not showing or referring to time series data.

Response: We have revised the sentence as "The microbial communities was dominated by G^+ in the ammonium-treated plots, meaning that the G^+/G^- ratios were higher in the ammonium-treated plots than in the control or nitrate-treated plots." (Line 272-274)

L. 273-283, Table 2 and elsewhere: are the enzymes supposed to be named with Greek letters? The naming is inconsistent with the intro and methods. Please verify and correct throughout the paper.

Response: We revised aG, BG, BX to αG, βG, βX throughout the manuscript, the Tables and the Figures.

L. 292-294: this sentence is strangely worded—it is unclear what you are referring to with use of respectively twice in this sentence. What are the values respective of?

Response: We revised the sentence to “The results of RDA between soil properties and absolute enzyme activities showed that the first axis explained 72.0% of the variability (Fig. 6a), while the results of RDA between soil properties and microbial community structures showed that the first axis explained 67.5% of the variability (Fig. 6b).” (Line 301-308)

L. 292-303: refer to your table and figure earlier in the paragraph

Response: We added “Fig.6a” to Line 302, and added “Fig. 6b” to Line 303, and added “Fig.6a,b” to Line 311 and Line 317.

L. 312-313: C cannot be included in the sum of ammonium and nitrate, remove the parenthetical statement

Response: We removed the parenthetical statement. Please refer to Line 326-327.

L. 350: only include the reference once.

Response: We removed “(Li et al., 2016)” from Line 365.

L. 356-357: do you mean microbial communities? Microorganism systems is an unsual choice.

Response: The interaction effects between plant, microbes and soil nutrients were variable across the three sampling events. So we changed the “plant-soil-microorganism systems” to “plant-microorganism competitive relationship”, please refer to Line 372.

L. 560: Bacteria is spelled wrong

Response: Revised as recommended. Please refer to Fig.3b

L. 558: soil dissolved organic carbon is misspelled

Response: Fig.2a was revised as recommended.

Fig. 4f: the “Cb” is not easy to read

Response: Fig.4f was revised as recommended. Capital letters represent significant differences between the treatments ($P < 0.05$), and small letters represent significant differences between the sampling time ($P < 0.05$).

Fig. 6: please define the abbreviations in the legend

Response: The full names of the PLFA biomarkers, enzymes and soil properties were shown in Table 1. We add ‘The abbreviations are the same as Table 1. SOC: soil organic matter; TN: total nitrogen; C/N: the ratio of soil

organic matter to total nitrogen; SWC: soil water contents.' to the legend of Fig.6. At the same time, we omitted the state of the abbreviations shown in the legend of Fig. 3 and 4 that replicated with Table 1.

The list of all relevant changes made in the manuscript

The line number see the marked-up manuscript that was showed below this section.

1. Title: Delete ‘in a slash pine plantation’

2. Line 33-34: Change ‘hydrolyses’ to ‘hydrolysis’

3. Line 51: Change ‘comprises’ to ‘is comprised of’

4. Line 70: Change ‘BG and NAG’ to ‘β-1,4-glucosidase (βG) and β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG)’

5. Line 97-98: Change ‘β-1,4-glucosidase (βG)’ and ‘β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG)’ to ‘βG’ and ‘NAG’

6. Line 108-111: Revised as ‘Microorganisms will allocate energy to the relatively absent resources so that N additions will cause C and P-acquisition enzymes to increase, and N-acquisition enzymes to decrease (Burns et al., 2013).’

7. Line 119: Add ‘(Sinsabaugh et al., 2002)’

8. Line 137: Change ‘C and P-hydrolase’ to ‘C- and P-hydrolase’

9. Line 138: Change ‘,’ to ‘;’

10. Line 145: delete the abbreviation ‘(QYZ)’

11. Line 146-147: Change ‘a.s.l’ to ‘above sea level’

12. Line 158-165: Revised as ‘Nine 20 × 20 m plots were established at the experimental sites, including a control, ammonium only and nitrate only treatments with three replicates (3 treatments × 3 replicates).’

13. Line 178: Change ‘synthetic’ to ‘seasonal’

14. Line 187: We added ‘The measurement of soil chemical properties was followed the method of Bao (2010).’

15. Line 272-274: Revised as ‘The microbial communities shifted from G− towas dominated by G+ in the ammonium-treated plots, meaning that the G+/G− ratios were higher in the ammonium-treated plots than in the control or nitrate-treated plots (Fig. 3d).’

16. Line 301-308: Revised as ‘The results of RDA between soil properties and absolute enzyme activities showed that the first axis explained 72.0% of the variability (Fig. 6a), while the results of RDA between soil properties and microbial community structures showed that the first axis explained 67.5% of the variability (Fig. 6b).’

17. Line 326-327: Delete ‘(the sum of the ammonium and nitrate concentrationscontents)’

18. Results and Discussion section: We have specified the panel (a) to (f) for Figure 1-6.

19. We revised aG, BG, BX to αG, βG, βX throughout the manuscript, the Tables and the Figures.

20. We added a schematic as supplemental figure S2.

The marked-up manuscript see below

Contrasting effects of ammonium and nitrate additions on the biomass of soil microbial communities and enzyme activities ~~in a slash pine plantation~~ in subtropical China

Chuang Zhang[a,b,c], Xin-Yu Zhang[b,c], Hong-Tao Zou[a], Liang Kou[b], Yang Yang[b,c], Xue-Fa Wen[b,c], Sheng-Gong Li[b,c], Hui-Min Wang[b,c] Xiao-Min Sun[b,c]

[a]College of Land and Environment, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang 110866, China;
[b]Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China;
[c]College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing, 100190, China

Corresponding author: X. Y. Zhang (zhangxy@igsnrr.ac.cn), H.T. Zou (zouhongtao2001@163.com)

Abstract

The nitrate to ammonium ratios in nitrogen (N) compounds in wet atmospheric deposits have increased over the recent past, which is a cause for some concern as the individual effects of nitrate and ammonium deposition on the biomass of different soil microbial communities and enzyme activities are still poorly defined. We established a field experiment and applied ammonium (NH_4Cl) and nitrate ($NaNO_3$) at monthly intervals over a period of four years. We collected soil samples from the ammonium and nitrate treatments and control plots in three different seasons, namely spring, summer, and autumn, to evaluate the how the biomass of different soil microbial communities and enzyme activities responded to the ammonium (NH_4Cl) and nitrate ($NaNO_3$) applications. Our results showed that the total contents of phospholipid fatty acids (PLFA) decreased by 24% and 11% in the ammonium and nitrate treatments, respectively. The inhibitory effects of ammonium on gram positive bacteria (G^+) and bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) PLFA contents ranged from 14% to 40% across the three seasons. We also observed that the absolute activities of C, N, and P hydrolyses and oxidases were inhibited by ammonium and nitrate, but that nitrate had stronger inhibitory effects on the activities of acid phosphatase (AP) than ammonium. The activities of N-acquisition specific enzymes (enzyme activities normalized by total PLFA contents) were about 21%

and 43% lower in the ammonium and nitrate treatments than in the control, respectively. However, the activities of P-acquisition specific enzymes were about 19% higher in the ammonium treatment than in the control. Using redundancy analysis (RDA), we found that the measured C, N, and P hydrolysis ~~hydrolyses~~ and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activities were positively correlated with the soil pH and ammonium contents, but were negatively correlated with the nitrate contents. The PLFA biomarker contents were positively correlated with soil pH, soil organic carbon (SOC), and total N contents, but were negatively correlated with the ammonium contents. The soil enzyme activities varied seasonally, and were highest in March and lowest in October. In contrast, the contents of the microbial PLFA biomarkers were higher in October than in March and June. Ammonium may inhibit the contents of PLFA biomarkers more strongly than nitrate because of acidification. This study has provided useful information about the effects of ammonium and nitrate on soil microbial communities and enzyme activities.

1. Introduction

Studies have reported increases of 25% in wet atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition over the past decade (Jia et al., 2014), which has resulted in a range of problems in forest ecosystems, such as induced soil acidification, aggravation of cation and nitrate leaching, and decreased microbial biomass (Liu et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2013). While wet atmospheric N deposition is mostly comprised~~s~~ of ammonium, nitrate deposition has increased over recent years, so that the ratio of ammonium to nitrate has decreased from 5 to 2 (Liu et al., 2013). It is therefore important to study the individual influences of these two forms of N on soil microorganisms to support improved predictions of C, N, and P cycling under increased nitrate deposition.

Soil microorganisms supply nutrients to forests by producing enzymes that catalyze the degradation of soil organic matter, and drive carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P) cycling, with consequences for forest productivity and sustainability (Heijden et al., 2008). The soil microbial biomass of different communities may be quantified by phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) biomarkers. Even though the PLFA signature method is not as advanced as genomic technology, it has been used extensively with good results to analyze the biomass and structures of microbial communities

(Frostegård et al., 2011). Bacteria, including gram positive (G^+) and negative (G^-) bacteria, generally degrade labile compounds by excreting hydrolase, while fungi, including arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and saprophytes (SAP), are responsible for degrading recalcitrant compounds by secreting oxidase (Burns et al., 2013; Sinsabaugh et al., 2010; Willers et al., 2015).

To date, most studies have considered the influence of organic N on microbial communities (Guo et al., 2010; Hobbie et al., 2012) and few studies have reported how ammonium and nitrate individually influence microbial communities in forest soils. Positively charged ammonium is more easily absorbed by negatively charged soil colloids than nitrate, meaning that ammonium is more available to microorganisms than nitrate. In our previous study, we showed that ammonium promoted the activities of ~~BG~~ β-1,4-glucosidase (βG) and ~~NAG~~ β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG) in soil aggregates were strongly than nitrate (Yan et al., 2017). However, the process of nitrification, i.e. where ammonium is rapidly transformed to nitrate when it enters soil, may sterilize microorganisms in the soil (Dail et al., 2001). Ammonium and nitrate have different effects on the microbial decomposition rate and microbial respiration of soil organic matter. For example, substrate respiration in peatlands increased when ammonium was added, but did not change when nitrate was added (Currey et al., 2010). Nitrate additions strongly promoted the decomposition rates of soil organic matter of fir plantations in the early incubation phase (0–15 d; Zhang et al., 2012). However, from a laboratory incubation experiment, Ramirez et al. (2010) showed that nitrate and ammonium had similar inhibitory effects on soil microbial respiration.

It is well known that microorganisms and enzymes are sensitive to soil pH. Tian and Niu (2015), from their meta-analysis of soil acidification caused by N additions, suggested that ammonium nitrate (NH_4NO_3) contributed more to soil acidification than ammonium. Further, most studies have not separated the individual effects of additions of different nitrogen forms on PLFAs and microbial biomass carbon (MBC) in forest ecosystems. From their meta-analysis, Treseder et al. (2008) reported that N additions caused MBC to decrease by 15%, and that fungi were more sensitive to N additions than other microbial communities. The responses of microbial biomass to N additions may be influenced by a wide range of factors, including forest type and geographical location. For example, in temperate regions, the total PLFA contents decreased in American beech (*Fagus grandifolia* Ehrh) and yellow birch (*Betula alleghaniensis* Britton), but increased in eastern hemlock (*Tsuga Canadensis* (L.) Carr) and red oak (*Quercus rubra* (L.) Britton) forests when NH_4NO_3 was added, with variable

responses from bacteria and fungi (Weand et al., 2010). In subtropical forests, NH_4NO_3 additions resulted in an increase in total PLFA contents in a Chinese fir forest (Dong et al., 2015), a decrease in soil MBC contents in an evergreen broad leaved forests, but no change in the pine broad-leaved mixed forest (Wang et al., 2008).

Soil enzymes catalyze the decomposition of soil organic matter (Burns et al., 2013). Enzymes involved in labile C breakdown that can decompose starch, cellulose, and hemicellulose include α-1,4-glucosidase (αG), ~~β-1,4-glucosidase (βG),~~ cellobiohydrolase (CBH), ~~and~~ β-1,4-xylosidase (βX) and βG,. ~~β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase (~~NAG~~)~~, a nitrogen-degradation enzyme, can decompose oligosaccharides. Acid phosphatase (AP), a phosphorus-degradation enzyme, can decompose chitin lipophosphoglycan (Stone et al., 2014). Recalcitrant C-degradation enzymes that can decompose lignin, and aromatic and phenolic compounds including peroxidase and phenol oxidase (Sinsabaugh et al., 2010). When added to peatland, Currey et al. (2010) found that ammonium and nitrate had different effects on carbon- and phosphorus-enzyme activities (CBH and AP) but had similar effects on polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activities, while Tian et al. (2014) found that the effects of ammonium and nitrate were not significantly different when added to an alpine meadow. To date, few studies have reported how ammonium and nitrate additions individually influence soil enzyme activities in forest ecosystems.

~~In line with the economic theory, m~~Microorganisms will allocate ~~enzymes following economic theory that microbes allocate~~ energy to the relatively absent resources ~~according to economic theory that are absent,~~ so that N additions will cause C and P-acquisition enzymes to increase, and N-acquisition enzymes to decrease (Burns et al., 2013). It has been reported that, when inorganic N forms were not considered, N additions caused C-degradation enzymes (αG, βG, CBH and βX) and P-degradation enzymes (AP) to increase, restricted oxidase (PPO and PER), but did not inhibit N-degradation enzymes (NAG) (Jian et al., 2016; Marklein and Houlton, 2012), which suggests that the allocation of enzyme activities does not always correspond exactly with the economic theory.

The responses of enzyme activities to N additions are influenced by a range of factors including environmental conditions, plant types, and N background values. For example, in temperate regions, the soil activities of ~~BG~~βG, CBH, NAG, and PPO increased in a dogwood forest, decreased in an oak forest, and did not change in a maple forest when NH_4NO_3 was added (Sinsabaugh et al., 2002); ~~while~~ ~~tThe~~The AP activities increased in dogwood and maple forests, but were invariant in an oak forest after

NH_4NO_3 additions (Sinsabaugh et al., 2002). However, in acidified temperate regions, the soil βG~~BG~~ activities increased in a maple forest, but the soil βG~~BG~~, NAG, and AP activities did not change in yellow birch, oak, hemlock, and beech forests, when NH_4NO_3 was added (Weand et al., 2010). In subtropical and tropical forests, the βG~~BG~~, NAG, and AP activities increased, and oxidase (PPO and PER) activities decreased, after NH_4NO_3 additions (Dong et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2011; Cusack et al., 2011). To date, we are still not sure if ammonium and nitrate additions have different effects on the soil microbial biomass of different communities and on enzyme activities. To support improved predictions of the effects of elevated N deposition on C, N, and P cycling in soil, we therefore need to evaluate the individual effects of ammonium and nitrate additions on the soil microbial biomass of different communities and enzyme activities.

The N-rich subtropical soils in southern China have experienced increased nitrate deposition in the recent past. To facilitate an exploration of the different effects of ammonium and nitrate additions on soil microbial communities and enzyme activities, we established a long-term ammonium and nitrate trial in a slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*) plantation in a subtropical area. We hypothesized that (1) ammonium would have stronger inhibitory effects on total PLFA, fungi PLFA contents, and enzyme activities than nitrate because of its strong negative effect on soil pH; and (2) that ammonium and nitrate additions would result in increased C- and P-hydrolase activities, and decreased N-hydrolase activities in line with the economic theory~~;~~, and (3) that oxidase activities would be restricted due to their inhibitory effects on fungi.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site

The study was conducted in the Qianyanzhou ~~(QYZ)~~ Experimental Station, in the hilly red soil region of Taihe County, Jiang Xi Province, China (26°44′29.1″N, 115°03′29.2″E, 102 m above sea level ~~a.s.l.~~). The region has a subtropical monsoon climate, a mean annual temperature of 17.9 °C, and a mean annual precipitation of 1475 mm. The soil formed because of weathering of red sandstone and mudstone, and, based on the US soil taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010), is classified as a Typical Dystrudepts Udepts Inceptisol. The slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*), one of the dominant species in this hilly

red soil region, was planted in 1985 under a vegetation restoration program. *Woodwardia japonica*, *Dicranopteris dichotoma* and *Loropetalum chinense* dominate the understory (Kou et al., 2015).

2.2. Experimental design

As described by Kou et al. (2015), the plots were established in November 2011 using a randomized complete block design. Background atmospheric wet N deposition of about 33 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} comprises 11 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} as ammonium and 8 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} as nitrate (Zhu et al., 2014). Nine 20 × 20 m plots were established at the experimental sites, including a control, ammonium only and nitrate only ~~additions plot~~treatments with three replicates (3 treatments × 3 replicates). ~~We established a control and test plots at the experimental sites.~~ We equally added two types of N to the test plots, i.e. ammonium ($N_{ammonium}$) as ammonium chloride (NH_4Cl) and nitrate ($N_{nitrate}$) as sodium nitrate ($NaNO_3$), at an annual rate of 40 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}. This rate was about double the background N wet deposition. ~~Each treatment had three replicates, so the experiment comprised a total of nine plots, which each measured 20 × 20 m.~~ The plots had slope angles of less than 15° and were separated by buffer zones of more than 10 m. The NH_4Cl or $NaNO_3$ were dissolved in 30 L of tap water and evenly sprayed onto the plots once a month, i.e. 12 times per year. The equivalent amount of tap water was sprayed onto the control plots. Nitrogen additions commenced in May 2012 and were applied each month on non-rainy days until March 2015. A total of 113 kg N ha^{-1} was applied over the course of this study.

2.3. Sampling and analysis

We collected soil samples in March, June, and October of 2015, to represent spring, summer, and fall. We removed the surface litter, and extracted soil cores with a diameter of 5 cm from between 0 and 10 cm deep from 5 randomly selected locations in each plot, which we then mixed together as one composite sample. The atmospheric conditions and plant-derived litters differed between the three seasons, and so indirectly affected the soil microbial biomass and enzyme activities of different communities. We collected soils from three seasons so that we could investigate the ~~synthetic~~ seasonal responses of soil microbial biomass and enzyme activities to ammonium and nitrate additions and to obtain improved information to support predictions of the effects of elevated N depositions on C, N,

and P cycling. Field-fresh samples were sieved through a 2 mm mesh after being mixed evenly. Samples were stored at 4 °C until analysis for PLFA biomarkers, enzyme activities, soil pH, ammonium, nitrate, and soil dissolved organic carbon (DOC). The PLFA biomarker and enzyme activity assays were performed on return to the laboratory. Subsamples of each soil were air-dried, and then sieved through a 0.25 mm mesh before soil organic C (SOC) and total N (TN) concentrations were determined.

The measurement of soil chemical properties was followed the method of Bao (2010). Soil pH was measured in a soil-water suspension by glass electrode at a soil to water ratio of 1g fresh soil:2.5 volume of water ~~after stirring evenly and leaving to rest for 30 min~~. Soil water contents (SWC) were measured by the oven drying method (105 °C). After extraction with 1mol L^{-1} KCl ~~and shaking for 2h~~, the ammonium and nitrate concentrations in the fresh soils were measured by a continuous flow auto-analyzer (Bran Lubbe, AA3, Germany). ~~Another portion of the s~~Soil ~~sample~~ DOC was extracted with distilled water at ~~soil and distilled water at~~ a ratio of 1 g soil : 5 ml ~~distilled~~ water, and ~~soil DOC concentrations were~~was measured with an organic element analyzer (Liquid TOC*II,* Elementar, Germany) ~~after shaking for 2h~~. Soil TN and SOC were measured with a carbon/nitrogen analyzer (Vario Max, Elementar, Germany).

Phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) biomarkers were measured as outlined by Bossio and Scow (1998). In brief, field-fresh soil equal to 8 g of dry soil was subjected to mild alkaline methanolusis to form fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs). The extracted PLFAs were dissolved in hexane and measured by gas chromatography (Agilent 6890N) with MIDI peak identification software (version 4.5; MIDI Inc. Newark, DE) and a DB-5 column. The abundances of the PLFA biomarkers were calculated as nmol PLFA g^{-1} dry soil. The total amounts of the different PLFA biomarkers were used to represent different groups of soil microorganisms, i.e. gram-positive bacteria (G^+) by i14:0, i15:0, a15:0, i16:0, i17:0, a17:0; gram-negative bacteria (G^-) by 16:1ω7c, cy17:0, 18:1ω7c, cy19:0; arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) by 16:1ω5; saprophytic fungi (SAP) by 18:1ω9c, 18:2ω6c, 18:2ω9c 18:3ω6c; actinomycete (A) by 10Me16:0, 10Me17:0, 10Me18:0 (Bradley et al., 2007; Denef et al., 2009). Bacterial biomass was calculated as the sum of G^+ and G^-, and fungi biomass were calculated as the sum of AMF and SAP, respectively.

We measured four C-acquisition hydrolases (i.e. αG, βG, CBH, and βX), one N-acquisition hydrolase (NAG), and one P-acquisition hydrolase (AP) following the methods of Saiya-Cork et al.

(2002), and have provided information about their corresponding substrates and functions in Table S1. In brief, 1 g of field-fresh soil was homogenized in a 50 mmol L^{-1} sodium acetate buffer (125 ml). We then added 200 µl of homogenate and 50 µl of substrate to black microplates with 96 wells with eight replicates for each soil sample. The microplates were then incubated at 20 °C for 4 h. After incubation, 10 µl of 1 mol L^{-1} NaOH was added to each well to terminate the reactions, and fluorescence values were measured at an excitation of 365 nm and emission of 450 nm with a microplate fluorometer (Synergy H4, BioTek). The absolute hydrolase activities were expressed in units of nmol g^{-1} soil h^{-1}. We compared the stoichiometry of C and P to N-acquisition enzyme activities by ln(~~aG~~αG+βG~~BG~~+CBH+βX~~BX~~) and lnaP to lnNAG, respectively (n=27).

Two oxidases, i.e. PER and PPO, were measured using 96-well transparent microplates as outlined by Saiya-Cork et al. (2002). We added 600 µl of homogenate and 150 µl of substrate to deep microplates with 96 wells. To measure the PER activities, we added 10 µl of 0.3% H_2O_2 to the homogenate and substrates mixtures. After incubation at 20 °C for 5 h, the microplates were centrifuged at 3000 r for 3 minutes, then 250 µl of liquid supernatant was transferred to a 96-well transparent microplate. The absorbance values were measured at 460 nm by microplate spectrophotometer (Synergy H4, BioTek). We calculated the specific activities of the enzymes by dividing the enzyme activities by the PLFA values to normalize the activity to the size of the microbial active biomass (Cusack et al. 2011).

2.4. Statistical analyses

We used a two factor randomized block analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple comparisons to test the differences between the treatments and sampling time (n=9). To evaluate the effects of ammonium and nitrate additions, the treatment differences of time-dependent indexes were tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's multiple comparisons for each sampling event or season (n=3). Analyses were performed with SPSS 17.0. Relationships among the soil physical and chemical properties, soil PLFA biomarker contents, and the soil enzyme activities were tested by redundancy analysis (RDA) in CANOCO 4.5 (n=27). Results were statistically significant when $P < 0.05$. The figures were plotted in Sigmaplot 10.0.

3. Results

3.1. Soil physical and chemical properties

The soil pH and ammonium contents were either treatment- or time-independent. There were interaction effects between the treatments and the sampling time on the soil DOC and nitrate contents ($P<0.01$, Table 1). The soil pH decreased by 0.7 of a unit across the three sampling events in the ammonium-treated plots, but did not change significantly in the nitrate-treated plots (Fig. 1a). The soil nitrate contents were 165% and 129% higher (Fig. 2b), and the soil ammonium contents were 31% and 38% lower, ~~respectively,~~ in the ammonium and nitrate treatments (Fig. 1b ~~& 2~~) than in the control for the three sampling events. Compared with the control, the soil DOC concentrations were 17% higher in the nitrate-treated plots across the three sampling events, but did not change significantly in the ammonium-treated plots (Fig. 2a). Ammonium contents were higher in March than in June and October (~~Fig. 2,~~ Table S2), while DOC and nitrate concentrations were highest in October and lowest in March (~~Table 2~~Fig. 2a,b).

3.2. Soil microbial biomass of different communities

Both the treatment and the time of sampling significantly influenced the soil microbial biomass of the different communities ($P<0.01$). Total PLFAs, bacteria, G^-, and G^+/G^- were either treatment- or time-independent. There were also interaction effects between treatments and sampling time on fungi, actinomycetes, G^+, AMF, SAP, and the fungi/bacteria ratio (Table 1). The inhibition effects of ammonium additions on total PLFA contents were stronger than those of nitrate additions and the total PLFA contents were 24% and 11% less in the ammonium- and nitrate-treated plots across the three sampling events than in the control (Fig. 3a). The PLFA contents of G^+, AMF, bacteria, fungi~~,~~ and actinomycetes were between 14% and 40%, and 7% and 24%, lower in the plots treated with ammonium and nitrate, respectively, than in the control across the three sampling events (Fig. 3b,c and Fig. 4a,b,c,d,e). The soil PLFA contents also showed seasonal variation (Table 1). Total PLFA biomarker contents and bacterium, fungi, G^+, G^-, AMF, and SAP PLFA biomarker contents were highest in March and lowest in October, while actinomycete PLFA biomarker contents were highest in

June and lowest in October (Fig. 4a,b,c,d,e~~Fig. 4~~, Table S2).

The microbial communities~~ shifted from G^- to~~was dominated by G^+ in the ammonium-treated plots, meaning that the G^+/G^- ratios were higher in the ammonium-treated plots than in the control or nitrate-treated plots (Fig. 3d). The fungi/bacteria ratios were lower in both the ammonium- and nitrate-treated plots than in the control, but were much lower in the nitrate-treated plots than in the ammonium-treated plots (~~Figs. 3 and~~ Fig. 4f).

3.3. Soil enzyme activities

There were significant influences from both treatment and sampling time on the measured absolute enzyme activities ($P<0.01$). Activities of βG~~BG~~, AP, and PPO were either treatment- or time-independent, and there were interaction effects between the treatments and sampling time on activities of αG~~aG~~, βX~~BX~~, CBH, NAG, and PER (Table 1). Ammonium and nitrate had similar inhibition effects on αG~~aG~~, βG~~BG~~, βX~~BX~~, CBH, NAG, PPO, and PER activities, which decreased by between 6% and 50% across the three sampling events~~ (Table 2)~~. The AP absolute activities were about 9% lower in the nitrate treatment than in the ammonium treatment (Table 2). When compared to control, the ratios of C to N-acquisition enzyme activities were about 0.2 higher, the ratios of N to P acquisition enzyme activities were about 0.1 lower, and there were no obvious differences in the ratios of C to P acquisition enzyme activities in the ammonium and nitrate treatments. The measured enzyme activities varied seasonally (Table 2). Activities of βG~~BG~~, βX~~BX~~, CBH, NAG, AP, and PPO were lowest in March and highest in October; αG~~aG~~ activities were highest in March and lowest in June, and PER activities were highest in March and lowest in October (Table 2).

The treatments had a significant influence on the activities of N- and P-acquisition specific enzymes ($P<0.01$), but not on the activities of C and oxidase specific enzymes (Table 1). The inhibitory effects of nitrate on the activities of N-acquisition specific enzymes were stronger (about 43%) than those of ammonium (about 21%, Fig.5a). When compared with the control, the AP specific activities were about 19% higher in the ammonium-treated plots across the three sampling events (Fig. 5b).

3.4. Redundancy analyses

The results of RDA between soil properties and absolute enzyme activities showed that the first axis explained 72.0% of the variability (Fig. 6a), while the results of RDA between soil properties and microbial community structures showed that the first axis explained 67.5% of the variability (Fig. 6b). ~~The results of RDA between soil properties and absolute enzyme activities, PLFA biomarker contents showed that the first and the second axis explained 72.0% and 11.5% (Fig. 6a), 67.5% and 14.3% (Fig. 6b) of the variation.~~ ~~The results of RDA between soil properties, absolute enzyme activities, and PLFA biomarker contents showed that the first ordination RDA axis explained 72.0% and 67.5%, respectively, and the second axis explained 11.5% and 14.3%, respectively, of the variation.~~ The RD1 for soil absolute enzyme activities and PLFA biomarkers was correlated with DOC/SOC, DOC, ammonium, and SOC. However, nitrate was only correlated with the RD1 of the absolute enzyme activities but not the PLFA biomarker contents (Fig. 6 a, b). Most of the measured absolute soil enzyme activities and the PLFA biomarker contents were positively correlated with soil pH, but G^+/G^- and F/B were negatively correlated with soil pH. Ammonium and DOC contents were positively correlated with all the soil absolute enzyme activities except PER, but were negatively correlated with PLFA biomarker contents. Nitrate contents were negatively correlated with soil absolute enzyme activities, but were barely correlated with the PLFA biomarker contents. SWC were positively correlated with soil PLFA biomarker contents, but were not correlated with the absolute enzyme activities (Fig. 6 a, b).

4. Discussion

Our results agree with our first hypothesis and show that the inhibition effects on soil PLFA contents of bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes across the three sampling events or seasons were stronger when ammonium was added than when nitrate was added (Fig~~ures~~ . 3b and Fig. 4a.b, Table 1). Results from RDA suggest that acidification because of the ammonium additions triggered the decrease in the microbial biomarkers-PLFA contents (Fig. 6b). Soil microbial biomass may be inhibited by resource availability and acidification (Sinsabaugh et al., 2014; Moorhead et al., 2006). However, C and N availability ~~and N availability (the sum of the ammonium and nitrate concentrations~~contents~~)~~ either increased or stayed the same over the three sampling events when ammonium and nitrate were added (Fig~~s~~. 1b and Fig. 2a,b). Ammonium additions may aggravate nitrification in subtropical soils (Tang et al. 2016), and nitrification may be toxic to microorganisms (Dail et al., 2001), which may then lead to a

decrease in the microbial PLFA contents.

The soil pH did not change when nitrate was added (Fig. 1a), which may explain why nitrate had weaker inhibition effects on PLFA biomarker contents than ammonium. Nitrate additions may inhibit the PLFA biomarker contents because of accelerated leaching of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (Qian et al., 2007), increases in the soil osmotic potential, and activation of Al^{3+} absorbed by soil colloids (Treseder et al., 2008). The PER activity was lower when ammonium and nitrate were added (Table 2), which may eventually result in polyphenol accumulation in soil. Accumulated polyphenol may be toxic to microorganisms (Sinsabaugh et al., 2010) and may have contributed to the decrease in the contents of the PLFA biomarkers. Moreover, the higher soil DOC concentrations observed in the nitrate-addition treatments (Fig. 2a) may be attributed to changes in the diversity of the composition of saprophytic bacteria (Freedman and Zak, 2014; Freedman et al., 2016).

In our study, the fungi /bacteria ratios were lower in the ammonium and nitrate treatments than in the control, which suggests that fungi were more sensitive to N additions than bacteria. In an earlier study, we found that the fine root biomass decreased after N additions (Kou et al., 2015), which suggests that N might upset the symbiosis between AMF and plants, thereby restricting the AMF-PLFA contents.

Our study showed that the absolute activities of C, N, and P-hydrolases and oxidase were inhibited by ammonium and nitrate in the three seasons (Table 2). This agrees with our second and third hypothesis, i.e., that N additions caused the absolute activities of the N-acquisition enzyme (NAG) to decrease, in line with the microbial economic theory; and that N additions reduced the absolute activities of the oxidase by decreasing the PLFA contents of fungi. However, we did not expect the C- or P-acquisition enzymes to decrease. As main producers of soil enzymes, the microbial biomass would decrease in response to ammonium and nitrate additions, resulting in lower absolute enzyme activities in the treated plots than in untreated plots (Allison et al., 2005).

The ratios of C or P to N acquisition enzyme activities were higher in the ammonium and nitrate treatments than in the control plots, and the N-acquisition enzyme activities per unit of microbial biomass were lower in the ammonium and nitrate treatments than in the control (Fig. 5a), indicating that microorganisms secreted enzymes in line with the economic theory. Measured absolute enzyme activities were positively correlated with soil pH and ammonium contents, and negatively correlated with nitrate contents (Fig. 6a). The inhibitory effects of N on the soil absolute enzyme activities may be more closely related to abiotic factors, i.e. soil pH and nitrification, than biotic factors (Kivlin et al.,

2016).

We also found that ammonium and nitrate additions inhibited AP activities (Table 2). However, P-acquisition enzyme activities per unit of microbial biomass increased in the ammonium treatments (Fig. 5b). Li et al. (2016) reported that N applications aggravated the P-limitations on biomass production ~~(Li et al., 2016)~~. In line with the microbial economic theory, when the P-availability was low, the activities of P-acquisition enzymes were higher. The decreased AP activities that resulted from ammonium additions may be more strongly related to abiotic inhibition caused by the ammonium, such as acidification, aggravated nitrification, and leaching of cations and nitrate, than biotic inhibition.

The N treatments also varied significantly on a seasonal basis and there were interaction effects between N treatments and seasons on the contents of some PLFA biomarkers and enzyme activities (Table 2). Climate conditions, plant growth, the amount of litter returned, and plant ~~soil~~-microorganism ~~systems~~ competitive relationship varied across the three seasons. The temperature ranged from 13.5 to 27.6 °C, and precipitation ranged from 88.2 to 176.6 mm, across the three seasons (Fig. S1), and did not limit the growth of microorganisms. The positive relationships between PLFA biomarker contents and soil moisture contents indicate that soil moisture had a strong influence on soil microbial community biomass. There may be interaction effects between plant growth, the mass and quality of litter, plant-microbe competition, and soil nutrient dynamics. For example, compared with the control plots, the soil DOC contents were lower, and soil nitrate contents stayed the same in June (the growing season) in the ammonium treatment, but the soil DOC and nitrate contents were higher in the ammonium and nitrate treatments in March and October (non-growing season, Fig. 2a). This indicates that there was stronger competition between plants and microbes for available C and N in June than in March and October, and that there were interaction effects between plants and microbes on soil C and N availability. This might explain the interaction effects between N additions and seasons on the activities of C and N-acquisition enzymes. The effects of interactions between N additions and season on the AMF PLFA contents, along with available C and N dynamics, may result from plant growth as plant-AMF symbiotic systems may be influenced by fine root biomass.

5. Conclusions

The results showed that soil bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes- PLFA biomarker contents decreased

after ammonium and nitrate additions. Ammonium inhibited the biomass of different soil microbial communities except SAP more strongly than nitrate, perhaps because of acidification caused by ammonium. The microbial communities were dominated by G^+ and bacteria after ammonium additions, and were dominated by bacteria under nitrate additions.

The absolute activities of C, N, and P-acquisition hydrolases and oxidase decreased after additions of ammonium and nitrate, and nitrate had a stronger inhibition effects on P-acquisition absolute enzyme activities than ammonium. However, ammonium improved the P-demand per unit of microbial biomass. C and P-acquisition absolute enzyme activities were higher than N-acquisition absolute enzyme activities under ammonium and nitrate additions. Because of the positive correlation between the measured absolute enzyme activities and soil pH, the decreases in the absolute hydrolase and oxidase activities reflected abiotic restrictions, i.e. acidification and nitrification caused by ammonium additions, rather than biotic restrictions.

Ammonium and nitrate additions had a range of effects on soil microbial communities and the activities of specific enzymes. Our results show that the effects of ammonium and nitrate need to be discussed separately to provide the information that we need to predict the effects of elevated N deposition on soil microbial biomass and enzyme activities.

Author contribution: Xin-yu Zhang, Xue-Fa Wen, Sheng-Gong Li, Hui-Min Wang, and Xiao-Min Sun designed the research; Chuang Zhang, Liang Kou, and Yang Yang performed the study and analyzed data; and Chuang Zhang, Xin-yu Zhang and Hong-tao Zou wrote the paper.

Competing interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was jointly financed by the General, State Key and Major Programs of National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 41571251, 41571130043, 31130009)

References

421 Allison S. D., and Vitousek P. M.: Response of extracellular enzymes to simple and complex nutrient
422 inputs. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 37, 937-943, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.09.014, 2005.
423 Bao, S.D.: Soil and agricultural chemistry analysis. third ed. Agriculture Press, Beijing (In Chinese)
424 2008.
425 Burns R. G., DeForest J. L., Marxsen J., Sinsabaugh R. L., Stromberger M. E., Wallenstein M. D.,
426 Weintraub M. N., and Zoppini A.: Soil enzymes in a changing environment: Current knowledge
427 and future directions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 58, 216-227, doi:
428 org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.11.009, 2013.
429 Cusack D. F., Silver W. L., Torn M. S., Burton S. D., and Firestone M. K.: Changes in microbial
430 communities characteristics and soil organic matter with nitrogen additions in two tropical forests.
431 Ecology, 92, 621-630, doi: 10.1890/10-0459.1, 2011.
432 Dail D. B., Davidson E. A., and Chorover J.: Rapid abiotic transformation of nitrate in an acid forest
433 soil. Biogeochemistry, 54, 131-143, doi: 10.1023/A:1010627431722, 2001.
434 Dong W. Y., Zhang X. Y., Liu X. Y., Fu X. L., Chen F. S., Wang H. M., Sun X. M., and Wen X. F.:
435 Responses of soil microbial communities and enzyme activities to nitrogen and phosphorus
436 additions in Chinese fir plantations of subtropical China. Biogeosciences, 12, 5540-5544, doi:
437 10.5194/bg-12-5537-2015, 2015.
438 Freedman Z., and Zak D. R.: Atmospheric N Deposition Increases Bacterial Laccase-Like Multicopper
439 Oxidases: Implications for Organic Matter Decay. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 80:
440 4460-4468, doi: org/10.1128/AEM.01224-14, 2014.
441 Freedman Z. B, Upchurch R. A, Zak D. R., and Cline L C.: Anthropogenic N Deposition Slows Decay
442 by Favoring Bacterial Metabolism: Insights from Metagenomic Analyses. Frontiers in
443 Microbiology, 7: 1-11, doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00259, 2016.
444 Frostegård A., Tunlid A., and Bååth E.: Use and misuse of PLFA measurements in soils. Soil Biology
445 and Biochemistry, 43, 1621–1625, doi: org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.11.021, 2011.
446 Gao W. L., Yang H., Kou L., and Li S. G.: Effects of nitrogen deposition and fertilization on N
447 transformations in forest soils: a review. Journal of Soil and Sediments, 15, 863–875, doi:
448 10.1007/s11368-015-1087-5, 2015.
449 Guo P., Wang C. Y., Jia Y., Wang Q., Han G. M., and Tian X. J.: Response of soil microbial biomass and
450 enzymatic activities to fertilizations of mixed inorganic and organic nitrogen at a subtropical forest

in East China. Plant and soil, 338, 357-361, doi: 10.1007/s11104-010-0550-8, 2011.

Heijden M. G. A. V. D., Bardgett R. D., and Straalen N. M. V.: The unseen majority: soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. Ecology letters, 11, 296-310, doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01139.x, 2008.

Hobbie S. E., Eddy W. C., Buyarski C. R., Adair C. A., Ogdahl M. L., and Weisenhorn P.: Response of decomposing litter and its microbial community to multiple forms of nitrogen enrichment. Ecological Monographs, 82, 389–405, doi: 10.1890/11-1600.1, 2012.

Huang J., Mo J. M., Zhang W., and Lu X. K.: Research on acidification in forest soil driven by atmospheric nitrogen deposition. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 34, 304-306, doi: org/10.1016/j.chnaes.2014.10.002, 2014.

Jia Y. L., Yu G. R., He N. P., Zhan X. Y., Fang H. J., Sheng W. P., Zuo Y., Zhang D. Y., and Wang Q. F.: Spatial and decadal variations in inorganic nitrogen wet deposition in China induced by human activities. Scientific reports, 4. 1-3, doi: 10.1038/srep03763, 2014.

Jian S. Y., Li J. W., Chen J., Wang G. S., Mayes M. A., Dzantor K. E., Hui D. F., and Luo Y. Q.: Soil extracellular enzyme activities, soil carbon and nitrogen storage under nitrogen fertilization: A meta-analysis. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 101, 32-41, doi: org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.07.003, 2016.

Kivlin S. N, and Treseder K. K.: Soil extracellular enzyme activities correspond with abiotic factors more than fungal community composition. Biogeochemistry, 117, 24-34, doi: 10.1007/s10533-013-9852-2, 2014.

Kou L., Chen W. W., Zhang X. Y., Gao W. L., Yang H., Li D. D, and Li S. G.: Differential responses of needle and branch order-based root decay to nitrogen additions: dominant effects of acid-unhydrolyzable residue and microbial enzymes. Plant and Soil, 394, 318-319, doi:10.1007/s11104-015-2517-2, 2015.

Kou L., Guo D. L., Yang H., Gao W. L., and Li S. G.: Growth, morphological traits and mycorrhizal colonization of fine roots respond differently to nitrogen addition in a slash pine plantation in subtropical China. Plant and Soil, 391, 207-218, doi:10.1007/s11104-015-2420-x, 2015.

Li Y., Niu S. L., and Yu G. R.: Aggravated phosphorus limitation on biomass production under increasing nitrogen loading: a meta –analysis. Global Change Biology, 22, 934–943, doi: 10.1111/gcb.13125, 2016.

Liu X. J., Duan L., Mo J. M., Du E. Z., Shen J. L., Lu X. K., Zhang Y., Zhou X. B., He C. N., and Zhang F. S.: Nitrogen deposition and its ecological impact in China: An overview. Environmental Pollution, 159, 2253-2254, doi: org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.08.002, 2011.

Liu X. J., Zhang Y., Han W. H., Tang A., Shen J. L., Cui Z. L., Vitousek P., Erisman J. W., Goulding K., Christie P., Fangmeier A., and Zhang F.: Enhanced nitrogen deposition over China. Nature, 494, 459-462, doi:10.1038/nature11917, 2013.

Marklein A. R., and Houlton B. Z.: Nitrogen inputs accelerate phosphorus cycling rates across a wide variety of terrestrial ecosystems. New Phytologist, 193, 696-702, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03967.x, 2012.

Moorhead D. L., and Sinsabaugh R. L.: A theoretical model of litter decay and microbial interaction. Ecological Monographs, 76, 151-172, doi: 10.1890/ 0012 -9615, 2006.

Paulinem, C., David, J., Lucyj, S., Iand, L., Hannah, T., René, V. D., Lorna A. D and Rebekka R. E A.: Turnover of labile and recalcitrant soil carbon differ in response to nitrate and ammonium deposition in an ombrotrophic peatland. Global Change Biology, 16, 2307-2321, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02082.x, 2010.

Qian C., and Cai Z. C.: Leaching of nitrogen from subtropical soils as affected by nitrification potential and base cations. Plant and Soil, 300, 199–204, doi:10.1007/s11104-007-9404-4, 2007.

Ramirez K. S., Craine J. M., and Fierer N.: Nitrogen fertilization inhibits soil microbial respiration regardless of the form of nitrogen applied. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 42, 2336-2338, doi: org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.08.032. 2010.

Saiya-Cork K. R., Sinsabaugh R. L., and Zak D. R.: The effects of long term nitrogen deposition on extracellular enzyme activities in an Acer saccharum forest soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 34, 1309–1314, doi: org/10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00074-3, 2002.

Sinsabaugh R. L.: Phenol oxidase, peroxidase and organic matter dynamics of soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 24, 391-401, doi: org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.10.014, 2010.

Sinsabaugh R. L., Belnap J., Findlay S. G., Follstad Shah J. J., Hill B. H., Kuehn K. A., Kushe C. R., Litvak M. E., Martinez N. G., Moorhead D. L., and Warnock D. D.: Extracellular enzyme kinetics scale with resource availability. Biogeochemistry, 121, 287-301, doi:10.1007/s10533-014-0030-y, 2014.

Sinsabaugh R. L., Carreiro M. M., and Repert D. A.: Allocation of extracellular enzymatic activities in

511 relation to litter composition, N deposition, and mass loss. Biogeochemistry, 60, 6–22, doi:
512 10.1023/A:1016541114786, 2002.
513 Soil Survey Staff, 2010. Keys to Soil Taxonomy, 11th ed. USDA Natural Resources Conservation
514 Service, Washington, DC.
515 Stone M. M., DeForest J. L., and Plante A. F.: Changes in extracellular enzyme activity and microbial
516 community structure with soil depth at the Luquillo Critical Zone Observatory. Soil Biology and
517 Biochemistry, 75, 240-241, doi: org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.04.017, 2014.
518 Tang Y. Q., Zhang X. Y., Li D. D., Wang H. M., Chen F. S., Fu X. L., Fang J. M., Sun X. M., and Yu G.
519 R.: Impacts of nitrogen and phosphorus additions on the abundance and community structure of
520 ammonia oxidizers and denitrifying bacteria in Chinese fir plantations. Soil Biology and
521 Biochemistry, 103, 284-293, doi: org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.09.001, 2016.
522 Tian D., and Niu S.: A global analysis of soil acidification caused by nitrogen addition. Environmental
523 Research Letters, 10, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/2/024019, 2015.
524 Tian X. F., Hu H. W., Ding Q., Song M. H., Xu X. L., Zheng Y., and Guo L. D.: Influence of nitrogen
525 fertilization on soil ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundance, microbial biomass, and enzyme
526 activities in an alpine meadow. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 50, 703-713, doi:
527 10.1007/s00374-013-0889-0, 2014.
528 Treseder K. K.: Nitrogen additions and microbial biomass: a meta-analysis of ecosystem studies.
529 Ecology Letters, 11, 1114-1118, doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01230.x, 2008.
530 Wang H., Mo J. M., Lu X. K., Xue J. H., Li J., and Fang Y. T.: Effects of elevated nitrogen deposition
531 on soil microbial biomass carbon in major subtropical forests of southern China. Acta Ecologica
532 Sinica, 4, 21-27, doi:10.1007/s11461-009-0013-7, 2008.
533 Weand M. P., Arthur M. A., Lovett G. M., McCulley R. L., and Weathers K. C.: Effect of tree species
534 and N additions on forest floor microbial communities and extracellular enzyme activities. Soil
535 Biology and Biochemistry, 42, 2161-2171, doi: org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.08.012, 2010.
536 Wei Y., Wang Z. Q., Zhang X. Y., Yang H., Liu X. Y., and Liu W. J.: Enzyme activities and microbial
537 communities in subtropical forest soil aggregates to Ammonium and Nitrate-Nitrogen additions.
538 Journal of Resources and Ecology, 8, 258-267, doi: 10.5814/j.issn.1674-764x.2017.03.006, 2017.
539 Willers C., Jansen van Rensburg P. J., and Claassens S.: Phospholipid fatty acid profiling of microbial
540 communities–a review of interpretations and recent applications. Journal of Applied Microbiology,

119, 1207-1213, doi:10.1111/jam.12902, 2015.

Zhang W. D., and Wang S. L.: Effects of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- on litter and soil organic carbon decomposition in a Chinese fir plantation forest in South China. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 47, 116-121, doi: org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.12.004, 2012.

Zhu J. X., He N. P., Wang Q. F., Yuan G. F., Wen D., Yu G. R., and Jia Y. L.: The composition, spatial patterns, and influencing factors of atmospheric wet nitrogen deposition in Chinese terrestrial ecosystems. Science of the Total Environment, 511, 777-784, doi: org/ 10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2014. 12. 038, 2015.

549 **Figure Legends**

550

551 **Fig. 1.** The effects of ammonium and nitrate additions on soil pH and ammonium contents. Small
552 letters represent significant differences between treatments (P <0.05), error bars represent means ±
553 standard errors (n=9).

554 **Fig. 2.** The effects of ammonium and nitrate additions on soil nitrate and ~~DOC~~ soil dissolved organic
555 carbon contents for each sampling event. Capital letters represent significant differences between the
556 treatments (P <0.05), and small letters represent significant differences between the sampling events (P
557 <0.05), error bars represent means ± standard errors (n=3).

558 **Fig. 3.** The effects of ammonium and nitrate additions on Total PLFAs, PLFA contents of bacteria, G^-
559 and G^+/G^-. Small letters represent significant differences between treatments (P <0.05), error bars
560 represent means ± standard errors (n=9). The abbreviations are the same as Table 1.~~G^+ represents :~~
561 ~~gram positive bacteria; and G^- represents : gram negative bacteria.~~

562 **Fig. 4.** The effects of ammonium and nitrate additions on PLFA contents of fungi, actinomycetes, AMF,
563 SAP, G^+, and fungi/bacteria ratio for each sampling event. Capital letters represent significant
564 differences between the treatments (P <0.05), and small letters represent significant differences
565 between the sampling time (P <0.05), error bars represent means ± standard errors (n=3). The
566 abbreviations are the same as Table 1.~~G^+ is gram positive bacteria, AMF is arbuscular mycorrhizal~~
567 ~~fungi, and SAP is saprophytic fungi.~~

568 **Fig. 5.** The effects of ammonium and nitrate additions on N, P-acquisition specific enzyme activities
569 for each sampling event. Capital letters represent significant differences between the treatments (P
570 <0.05), and small letters represent significant differences between the sampling time (P <0.05), error
571 bars represent means ± standard errors (n=3).

572 **Fig. 6.** Redundancy analyses between (a) soil properties and enzyme activities, and (b) soil properties
573 and PLFA-biomarker contents. ~~αG: α 1,4 glucosidase; βG: β 1,4 glucosidase; CBH: Cellobiohydrolase;~~
574 ~~βX: β 1,4 xylosidase; NAG: β 1,4 N- acetylglucosaminidase; AP: Acid phosphatase; PER: Peroxidase;~~
575 ~~PPO: Phenol oxidase. DOC and the abbreviation of PLFA biomarkers were showedn before.~~ The
576 abbreviations are the same as Table 1. SOC: soil organic matter; TN: total nitrogen; C/N: the ratio of
577 soil organic matter to total nitrogen; SWC: soil water contents.


(a)
Soil pH
5.4
5.2
5.0
4.8
4.6
4.4
4.2
4.0
a
b
a
CK
N ammonium
N nitrate
(b)
Soil ammonium contents (mg kg-1)
20
18
16
14
12
10
a
b
b
CK
N ammonium
N nitrate

578

579 **Fig.1**


(a)
Soil dissolved organic carbon contents (mg kg-1)
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
Aa
Aa
Aa
Ab
Bb
Bb
Bc
Bc
Ac
CK
N ammonium
N nitrate
March
June
October
(b)
Soil nitrate contents (mg kg-1)
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
Cb
Aa
Bb
Aa
Aa
Ab
Bb
Aa
Aa
CK
N ammonium
N nitrate
March
June
October

580

581 **Fig.2**

582



583

584 **Fig.3**


(a)
Fungi - PLFA contents (nmol g-1)
CK
N ammonium
N nitrate
March
June
October
(b)
Actinomycetes - PLFA contents (nmol g-1)
(c)
G+ -PLFA (nmol g-1)
(d)
AMF - PLFA contents (nmol g-1)
N ntrate
(e)
SAP - PLFA contents (nmol g-1)
(f)
Fungi / Bacteria

Fig. 4


(a)
N-acquisition specific enzyme activities (h-1)
CK
N ammonium
N nitrate
Aa Aa Ba
Aa Bb Cb
Ab Bc Cc
March
June
October
(b)
P-acquisition specific enzyme activities (h-1)
CK
N ammonium
N nitrate
Ba Aa Ba
ABb Ab Bb
Ac ABc Bc
March
June
October

589
590 **Fig.5**


(a)
0.8
-0.6
RD2 (11.5%)
-1.0
RD1 (72.0%)
1.0
αG
Ammonium
NAG
βG
pH
AP
PPO
CBH
βX
DOC/SOC
DOC
SWC
C/N
NO3--N
SOC
TN
PER
DOC/SOC (P=0.002)
Ammonium (P=0.002)
DOC (P=0.002)
SOC (P=0.002)
TN (P=0.002)
Nitrate (P=0.008)


(b)
0.8
-0.8
RD2 (14.3%)
-1.0
RD1 (67.5%)
1.5
G+/G-
SWC
Ammonium
Fungi/Bacteria
Nitrate
C/N
SOC
TN
AMF
G+
Fungi
SAP
Bacteria
Total PLFA
G-
DOC
DOC/SOC
pH
Actinomycetes
DOC (P=0.002)
DOC/SOC (P=0.002)
TN (P=0.002)
SOC (P=0.004)
Ammonium (P=0.018)
SWC (P=0.022)

591

592 **Fig. 6**

Table 1 Summary statistics (F ratio) for the two factor randomized block analysis of variance (ANOVA) applied to soil variables, enzyme activities and PLFA biomarkers. The bold numbers are significant ($P < 0.05$).

Factors (Abbreviation)	Treatments	Months	Treatments × Months
Soil acidity~~pH~~(pH)	**12.43**	0.31	0.09
~~DOC~~Soil dissolved organic carbon (DOC)	**23.53**	**561.25**	**20.11**
Nitrate	**43.19**	**7.96**	**8.21**
Ammonium	**11.84**	**65.46**	0.42
~~TPLFA~~Total phospholipid fatty acid (TPLFA)	**102.51**	**477.77**	2.68
Bacteria	**56.94**	**555.14**	2.73
Fungi	**180.49**	**277.81**	**52.16**
Actinomycetes	**172.230**	**2627.61**	**123.12**
~~G+~~Gram positive bacteria (G^+)	**50.30**	**1221.19**	**14.39**
~~G-~~Gram negative bacteria (G^-)	**34.33**	**105.59**	0.45
~~AMF~~Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF)	**147.77**	**83.55**	**21.64**
~~SAP~~Saprophytic fungi (SAP)	**24.70**	**781.67**	**13.08**
G^+/G^-	**16.24**	2.38	0.94
Fungi/Bacteria	**3.82**	**56.42**	**21.67**
~~aG~~~~αG~~α-1,4-glucosidase (αG)	**30.24**	**53.17**	**3.47**
~~BG~~~~βG~~β-1,4-glucosidase (βG)	**3.26**	**72.90**	0.58
~~BX~~~~βX~~β-1,4-xylosidase (βX)	**9.86**	**79.08**	**3.86**
~~CBH~~Cellobiohydrolase (CBH)	**28.51**	**194.75**	**4.39**
~~NAG~~β-1,4-N- acetylglucosaminidase (NAG)	**100.42**	**67.49**	**8.47**
~~AP~~Acid phosphatase (AP)	**22.81**	**467.77**	1.73
~~PPO~~Peroxidase (PPO)	**6.87**	**64.40**	1.98
~~PER~~Phenol oxidase (PER)	**6.27**	**194.30**	**3.07**
C-acquisition specific enzyme	2.82	**334.41**	2.07
N-acquisition specific enzyme	**29.10**	**128.31**	**6.36**
P-acquisition specific enzyme	**13.42**	**397.19**	**4.53**
Oxidase specific enzyme	1.68	**89.04**	1.84

Table 2 Summary statistics (means ± standard errors, n=3) for one way analyses of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan multiple comparisons applied to soil absolute enzyme activities. ~~Capital letters represent significant differences between the treatments (P <0.05), and small letters represent significant differences between the sampling events (P <0.05).~~

Months	Treatments	~~aG~~αG nmol g^{-1} h^{-1}	~~BG~~βG nmol g^{-1} h^{-1}	~~BX~~βX nmol g^{-1} h^{-1}	CBH nmol g^{-1} h^{-1}	NAG nmol g^{-1} h^{-1}	AP nmol g^{-1} h^{-1}	PPO μmol g^{-1} h^{-1}	PER μmol g^{-1} h^{-1}
March	CK	7.0±0.1Aa	160.9±15.6 Aa	36.4±3.4Aa	30.±2.1A a	77.5±4.7 Aa	1658.7±59.1 Aa	7.9±0.9Aa	1.4±0.1A b
	$N_{ammonium}$	4.5±0.2Ba	143.5±4.0A a	26.8±3.2Aa	27.3±1.5 Aa	56.1±5.2B a	1520.7±78.2 Aa	8.9±0.0Aa	1.5±0.1A b
	$N_{nitrate}$	4.5±0.2Ba	157.1±10.9 Aa	33.4±1.0Aa	21.0±0.8 Ba	49.7±2.6B a	1475.2±53.2 Aa	9.9±1.4Aa	1.6±0.1A b
June	CK	4.0±0.9Ab	83.2±13.0A b	37.2±1.6Aa	28.6±2.5 Aa	77.0±4.7 Aa	1030.3±41.2 Ab	7.7±1.2Aa	1.4±0.1A b
	$N_{ammonium}$	2.2±0.1A Bc	70.6±0.9Ab	25.9±1.8Ba	17.9±0.2 Bb	31.8±1.7B b	848.5±62.1B b	4.0±0.0Bb	0.9±0.1B b
	$N_{nitrate}$	1.7±0.3Bb	89.4±10.3A b	28.7±1.2Bb	19.8±0.2 Ba	25.7±0.6B b	667.8±26.5C b	4.8±0.9A Bb	1.2±0.1A b
October	CK	3.7±0.4Ab	89.1±0.9Ab	15.2±0.4A Bb	9.7±0.3A b	44.7±0.2 Ab	578.0±38.1A c	2.9±0.2Ab	7.6±0.1A a
	$N_{ammonium}$	3.7±0.1Ab	64.0±4.2Ab	16.2±0.9Ab	5.2±0.1Bc	26.5±0.2B b	423.4±1.6Bc	2.8±0.1Ab	5.5±0.8A a
	$N_{nitrate}$	2.2±0.0Bb	68.3±11.5A b	13.5±0.1Bc	5.3±0.1B b	24.5±0.2C b	409.8±4.7Bc	1.9±0.1Bc	5.6±0.8A a

Note: Capital letters represent significant differences between the treatments (P <0.05), and small letters represent significant differences between the sampling events (P <0.05). The abbreviations are the same as Table 1.

602 **Supplementary materials**

603



604

605 **Fig S1.** Average monthly atmospheric temperature and precipitation at the study site during 2015.



Treatments	N form	N dose
CK	--	0 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}
$N_{ammonium}$	NH_4Cl	40 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}
$N_{nitrate}$	$NaNO_3$	40 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}

606

607 **Fig S2. The schematic diagram of ~~our~~the experimental ~~design~~treatments.**

608 **Table S 1** Enzymes and their corresponding substrates and functions.

Enzyme	Ec	Abbreviation	Substrate	Function
Peroxidase	1.11.1.7	PER	L-DOPA	Oxidize lignin and aromatic compounds using H_2O_2 or secondary oxidants as an electron acceptor
Phenol oxidase	1.10.3.2	PPO	L-DOPA	Oxidize phenolic compounds using oxygen as an electron acceptor
α-1,4-glucosidase	3.2.1.20	αG~~aG~~	4-MUB-α-D-glucoside	Releases glucose from starch
β-1,4-glucosidase	3.2.1.21	βG~~BG~~	4-MUB-β-D-glucoside	Releases glucose from cellulose
Cellobiohydrolase	3.2.1.91	CBH	4-MUB-β-D-cellobioside	Releases disaccharides from cellulose
β-1,4-xylosidase	3.2.1.37	βX~~BX~~	4-MUB-β-D-xyloside	Releases xylose from hemicellulose
β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase	3.2.1.14	NAG	4-MUB-N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminide	Releases N-acetyl glucosamine from oligosaccharides
Acid phosphatase	3.1.3.1	AP	4-MUB-phosphate	Releases phosphate groups

609 **Table S2** Time-independent seasonal variations in ammonium and PLFAs. Small letters represent
610 significant differences between the sampling time (P <0.05), error bars represent means ± standard
611 errors (n=9).

Months	Ammonium	Total PLFA	Bacteria	G^-
	mg kg^{-1}	nmol g^{-1}	nmol g^{-1}	nmol g^{-1}
March	23.5±1.0a	9.2±0.2c	7.1±0.2c	2.5±0.1c
June	10.6±1.0b	11.0±0.2b	7.7±0.2b	3.1±0.1b
October	7.5±1.0b	16.7±0.2a	13.8±0.2a	5.0±0.1a

612