

Biogenic sediments from coastal ecosystems to Beach-Dune Systems: implications for the adaptation of mixed and carbonate beaches to future sea level rise

Giovanni De Falco, Emanuela Molinaroli, Alessandro Conforti, Simone Simeone, and Renato Tonielli

5

Point-by-point response to the reviews and relevant changes made in the manuscript

Reviewer 1:

Specific comments

10 1) We used in the abstract the same units.

2) To concern the geomorphological terminology we specified the definition of beach-dune system referring to the handbook edited by A. Short (1999).

3) Regarding the sedimentological terminology we avoid to use the terms 'terrigenous' or 'marine' to describe the nature of other sediments. We changed the term 'terrigenous' with 'non-bioclastic'.

15 4) Our data will not allow us to make an evaluation of the beach-dune system variability related to minor sea level oscillations or to storm events. The aim of the study was to analyze the long term sedimentary budget to elucidate the role of carbonate- producer ecosystems (particularly seagrass meadow) in the beach sediment budget.

Answer to technical corrections and recommended rewording.

20 **Page 1.**

Line 9. Suggest: "produce and store carbonate particles"

Answer to Line 9: we incorporated the suggestion.

Line 17. What is the distinction here, and later, between bioclastic and biogenic?

25 Answer to Line 17: Basically we analyzed biogenic sediments (sediments produced in coastal ecosystem) which were transported to the beach-dune system, thus becoming bioclastic sediments.

Lines 21 to 24. Can these data be simplified? What is the conclusion? Apart from the mixture of units (It is a bit bewildering when to my mind the use of the terms beach-dune system and beachface are not conventional. The word "beach" (last word of the paragraph) on its own is not defined anywhere. These inconsistencies crop up later.

30 Answer to Lines 21 to 24: The data have been simplified. The conclusion is that the carbonate production from coastal ecosystems significantly contribute to the beach sediment budget. This sentence was added to the abstract. We changed the term beachface (which is typically used in morphodynamics) in "subaerial beach" and we explained the term "beach-dune system".

Line 25 and 26. Awkward sentence. I suggest dividing into 2 sentences:

35 ". . .P.oceanica, which our data can help quantify. The value of this sediment-supply service is in addition to the other important ecological services provided by seagrass meadows."

Answer to Line 25 and 26: This has been done.

Page 2.

Line 3. Suggest rephrasing: “by the supply and delivery of sediments from the land (fluvial, cliff erosion) and from the sea (nearshore).”

Answer to Line 3: This has been done.

- 5 Line 6. Again I am not sure of the intended meaning of terrigenous (is it based on chemistry or provenance?). Suggest: “in areas where supply from the land is scarce”.

Answer to Line 6: This has been done.

Page 3.

- 10 Line 7. “Mixed” definition?

Answer to Line 7: We added a definition of “Mixed”

Line 19. This may be the place to add a sentence. “. . .carbonate factories. For the purposes of this study we define the beach-dune system as . . .”. Cite any previous research which has used this definition.

Line 19: This has been done

- 15 Line 32. Insert loose or unconsolidated before “sedimentary deposits”

Answer to Line 32: This has been done.

Page 4.

- 20 Line 3. Is “bioclastic” a better term than “biogenic”? Biogenic would be a reef or an oolite perhaps,. Line 14. Substitute “range” for “water displacement”

Answer to Line 3 and Line 14: This has been done.

Line 19. Substitute “height” for “amplitude” unless Simeone et al., 2014 used the term “amplitude” to convey changes in height.

Answer to Line 19: We followed the indications of the reviewer. We have replaced “amplitude” with “height”.

25

Page 5.

Line 1. Is the “submerged sector of the beach”, the coastal wedge?

Answer to Line 1: Yes, the submerged sector of the beach is the costal wedge.

30 **Page 6.**

Line 16. Use of the term “beachface” runs into problems here. Usually the beach comprises a beachface (inter-tidal), berm and upper beach (supra-tidal) which merge into the foredune.

Answer to Line 16: We have removed the use of “beachface” along the manuscript. We have changed “beachface” to “subaerial beach”, which include the backshore and the foreshore. See also the interactive comment by the author (bg-2017-20-AC1).

35

Page 7.

Line 4. Suggest “..considering a span of ages from approximately 0.5 to 4.4 ka Bp based on . . .”

Answer to Line 4: This has been done.

Line 27. Suggest: “prevents the preservation of vertical cliffs favouring, instead, the formation of 15m high seaward sloping talus deposits which have resulted from slumping.”

Answer to Line 27: This has been done.

Line 29. Substitute “down to” for “up to”.

5 *Answer to Line 29: This has been done.*

Page 8.

Lines 7 and 8. Delete these two lines. The information is repeated in the following paragraph.

Answer to Lines 7 and 8: This has been done.

10 Line 10. Re-phrase this sentence which is difficult to follow.

Answer to Line 10: We have re-phrased the sentence.

Page 9.

15 Line 16. There must have been more to calculating the sediment production than just measuring the surface area. Explain the other factors.

Answer to Line 16: We have explained how the sediment production has been calculated.

Line 20. What are the assumptions that are made? Is the sediment considered so well mixed, vertically and horizontally, that more age data are not required?

20 *Answer to Line 20: We know from, very high-resolution seismic profiles of offshore deposits that the sedimentary deposits are few meters depth (See. Fig. 5). Therefore we assumed the composition of the deposits are well mixed. We specified the assumptions in the text.*

Page 10.

25 Line 10. Suggest: ” ..them, but we have demonstrated that a significant proportion, estimated at 15% to 34% (?), is exported outside. . .”

Answer to Line 10: This has been done

Line 12. Awkward sentence. Should it begin “This is the first study to quantify. . .” ?

Answer to Line 12: This has been done

Line 29. Substitute “contribution” for “input”.

30 *Answer to Line 29: This has been done.*

Line 31. Suggest: “The calculation made by Vassallo et al. (2013) is an exception and probably..”

Answer to Line 31: This has been done.

35 Line 33. Suggest: “Our data quantify not only the volumes of sediment retained in the meadows but also the proportion that is exported to the beach-dune system. This facilitates a more detailed evaluation, than had previously been possible, of the respective ecosystem services provided by the seagrass.

Answer to Line 33: This has been done.

Page 12.

Line 9. Suggest: "beach-dune system corresponds"

Answer to Line 9: This has been done.

Line 13. Suggest: "85% of the total beach-dune system volume"

5 *Answer to Line 13 : This has been done.*

Page 20.

Line 8. Substitute "Beach-dune system compartments" for "beach compartments"

Answer to Line 8: This has been done.

10 **Page 21.**

Figure 4. Substitute "sediment volume" for "beach volume"

Answer to Figure 4: This has been done.

Figure 4. I suggest an over-arching bracket across top of figure to illustrate what the "beach-dune system" includes. i.e Coastal wedge, Beach(face), and dune.

15 *Answer to Figure 4: This has been done.*

Page 26.

Table 1. Are the sample locations shown on a map somewhere? If so, then the map should be referenced.

Answer to Table 1: Yes the samples locations is shown in Fig. 1. The map has been referenced in Tab. 1.

20

Reviewer 2:

Abstract Ln 10

We are agree that the role of seagrass as carbonate producer ecosystems can not be extended to all temperate coastal areas. We modified the abstract according this observation.

25 Introduction: Ln 20 to ln35

In the previous studies the evaluation of biogenic carbonate sediments production associated to seagrass meadow was based on different approaches. We better specified the characteristics and the limits of different approaches.

Material and Methods. Could you give more details regarding the 14C dating?

We rewrote the Radiocarbon dating methodology adding more details and clarifying the calibration procedure.

30

Biogenic sediments from coastal ecosystems to Beach-Dune Systems: implications for the adaptation of mixed and carbonate beaches to future sea level rise

Giovanni De Falco¹, Emanuela Molinaroli², Alessandro Conforti¹, Simone Simeone¹, Renato Tonielli³

5 ¹Istituto per l'ambiente Marino Costiero CNR - Oristano - Italy

²Dipartimento di Scienze Ambientali, Informatica e Statistica, Università Ca' Foscari, Venezia – Italy.

³Istituto per l'ambiente Marino Costiero CNR – Napoli - Italy

Correspondence to: Giovanni De Falco (giovanni.defalco@cnr.it)

Abstract. Coastal ecosystems [produce and](#) store carbonate particles, which play a significant role in the carbonate dynamics of coastal areas and may contribute to the sediment budget of adjacent beaches. In the nearshore seabed of temperate zones (e.g. [Mediterranean Sea and South Australia](#)), marine biogenic carbonates are mainly produced inside seagrass meadows. This study quantifies the contribution of biogenic sediments, mainly produced in *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadows and secondarily in photophilic algal communities, to the sediment budget of a Mediterranean beach-dune system (San Giovanni beach, western Sardinia, western Mediterranean Sea). A set of geophysical, petrographic and sedimentological data were used to estimate the sediment volume and composition of the beach-dune system as a whole. The San Giovanni beach-dune system contains ~~-2.10⁶~~ [3,797,000±404,000 m³-tons](#) of sediments, ~~about~~ 83% [\(3,137,000±404,000 tons\)](#) of which are located in the coastal wedge, 16% [\(619,000±88,000 tons\)](#) in the dune fields and 1% [\(41,000±15,000 tons\)](#) in the [subaerial beachbeachface](#). The sediments are composed of mixed modern bioclastic and relict ~~biogenic-bioclastic~~ and ~~siliciclastic-non-bioclastic~~ grains from various sources. The system receives a large input of modern bioclastic grains, mainly composed of Rhodophytes, Molluscs and Bryozoans, which derive from sediment production by present-day carbonate factories, particularly *P. oceanica* seagrass meadows. Radiocarbon dating of modern bioclastic grains indicated that they were produced during the last 4.37 ka. This value was used to estimate the long-term deposition rates of modern bioclastic sediments in the various beach compartments. The total deposition rate of modern bioclastic grains is 46,000±5,000 tons century⁻¹, mainly deposited in the coastal wedge [\(39,000±4,000 tons century⁻¹85%\)](#) and dunes [\(7,000±1,000 tons century⁻¹15%\)](#). ~~This deposition rate is equivalent to -26,000 m³-century⁻¹~~, and ~~2646,000 m³-tons~~ represents ~ 1.2% of the total beach-dune sediment ~~volumemass~~. Carbonate production from coastal ecosystems was estimated to be 132,000÷307,000 tons century⁻¹, 28% (15%÷34%) of which is transported to the beach-dune system, ~~thus significantly contributing to the beach sediment budget~~.

The contribution to the beach sediment budget represents a further ecosystem service provided by *P. oceanica*, [which our data can help quantify. The value of this sediment-supply service is in addition to the other important ecological services provided by seagrass meadows.](#) ~~and our data can help quantify the value of this specific service in addition to the others provided by this seagrass.~~ The dependence of the beach sediment budget on carbonate production associated with coastal

ecosystems has several implications for the adaptation of mixed and carbonate beaches to the loss of seagrass meadows due to local impacts and the changes expected to occur over the next few decades in coastal ecosystems following sea level rise.

1. Introduction

Carbonate beaches are widespread in tropical areas and locally, ~~biogenic-bioclastic~~ carbonate sands may also be the main component of sediments in beach-dune systems in the temperate zone (Short, 2000).

The sediment budget of beach-dune systems is mainly influenced ~~by the supply and delivery of sediments from the land by the supply of terrigenous sediment~~ (fluvial, cliff erosion) ~~and from the sea (nearshore) and sediments of marine origin~~. The latter involves the reworking and winnowing of seabed sediments and sediments derived from biogenic carbonate production associated with marine coastal ecosystems (Gomez-Pujol et al., 2013). In ~~areas where supply from the land is scarce areas where terrigenous supply is scarce~~ or absent, marine sources of sediment became more important for the maintenance of the coastal system and beaches become progressively more calcareous (Bird, 2008). This is the case with the coasts of southern and south-western Australia, the largest temperate carbonate province in the world, where carbonates produced along the shelf and in seagrass meadows are transported landward, supplying extensive beach-dune systems (Short, 2000).

Modern biogenic sedimentation along continental shelves is documented in both tropical and temperate environments (Tecchiato et al., 2015; Yamano et al., 2015; Short, 2010; De Falco et al., 2011; Ryan et al., 2008; Harney and Fletcher 2003). Along shores bordered by reefs or atolls, coral-based ecosystems such as barrier reefs and lagoons are a source of carbonates for beaches (Yamano et al., 2002). In temperate zones, marine biogenic carbonate sediments are often produced in the seagrass meadows that colonise the nearshore area (De Muro et al., 2016; Mazzarrasa et al., 2015; Short 2010; De Falco et al., 2008; Sanderson & Eliot, 1999).

In the Mediterranean Sea the main marine carbonate factories in the infralittoral zone are *Posidonia oceanica* meadows, which cover about 1.5% of the total Mediterranean Sea sediment surface (Pasqualini et al., 1998) and occur in 16 Mediterranean countries (Giakoumi et al., 2013).

Sediments accumulating inside the meadows generally show a high percentage of biogenic carbonate particles produced by the biota associated with the seagrass ecosystem, such as coralline algae, foraminifers, gastropods, bivalves, serpulid polychaetes, bryozoans, and echinoids (Fornós and Ahr, 1997).

Several authors have estimated carbonate production in *P. oceanica* meadows, using various approaches. Estimates based on the rhizome growth rate of meadows located in the northern sector of the Gulf of Oristano (Western Sardinia, Italy) indicate that carbonate production is in the range of 390 to 1,147 g DW CaCO₃ m⁻² a⁻¹ (De Falco et al., 2008). These values are amongst the highest for seagrass ecosystems (Gacia et al., 2003) and lie within the range calculated for coral reefs (1,160 g DW g DW CaCO₃ m⁻² a⁻¹, Milliman and Droxler, 1996). The analysis of a core collected from a *P. oceanica* meadow near Port Lligat (Cadaqués, Girona, northwest Spain) enabled skeletal carbonate accumulation rates to be estimated at 452.9±15.5 g DW CaCO₃ m⁻² a⁻¹ (Serrano et al., 2012). This value is consistent with those estimated for western Sardinia (De Falco et al., 2000, 2008). At a global level, carbonate production from seagrass meadows is estimated at 1,050 g DW CaCO₃ m⁻² a⁻¹ (Mazzarrasa et al, 2015 and references therein). ~~Those estimations considered the burial rate of biogenic carbonate to the~~

carbonate production, with the assumption that no deposition of carbonate sediments of external origin occurred. On the other hand Canals and Ballesteros (1997) evaluated the carbonate production from *Posidonia oceanica* meadow as 60-70 g DW CaCO₃ m⁻² a⁻¹ considering the production related to the epiphytes, which were scraped from the blades using a razor blade. Their method clearly underestimates the bulk production because they did not take in account the fauna associated to the rhizome compartment which is generally composed by many carbonate-producer organisms (Como et al. 2008).

The *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadows are very widespread in the nearshore. For this reason, *P. oceanica* meadows are considered the main 'carbonate factory' of the Mediterranean inner shelf (Canals and Ballesteros, 1997; Fornós and Ahr, 1997, 2006; De Falco et al., 2008, 2011; Mateu-Vicens et al., 2012).

Biogenic carbonate production is also associated with photophilic algal communities colonising nearshore rocky bottoms. The average production rate associated with photophilic algae in a selection of sites in the western Mediterranean was estimated at 289 g DW CaCO₃ m⁻² a⁻¹ (Canals and Ballesteros, 1997).

The carbonate sediments produced inside these coastal ecosystems nourish beaches and coastal systems along Mediterranean shores (De Falco et al., 2003; De Falco et al., 2008; Gomez-Pujol et al., 2013; Vacchi et al., 2016). This has been observed in the Balearic Islands (Gómez-Pujol et al., 2013, 2011), western Sardinia (Tigny et al., 2007; De Falco et al., 2003) and in pocket beaches in southern Corsica (De Muro and De Falco, 2010). Mixed (mixture of siliciclastic and carbonate grains) and carbonate beaches are widespread in many other Mediterranean sites (e.g. the Ionian coast of Puglia, South Italy, Barsanti et al, 2011; northern Sardinia, Campus et al., 2008), and they may be threatened by alteration of carbonate sediment budgets coupled with sea level rise. Carbonate sediment budgets can be impacted by the general decline of coastal ecosystems following local impacts, seawater acidification and climate change (Duarte et al, 2013; Mazarrasa et al, 2015, Wycott et al., 2009).

Seagrasses are generally known as ecosystem engineers as they can modify the abiotic environment and contribute to sedimentation and sediment modification (Borg et al., 2005). Several studies have shown that sediment retention and wave attenuation are probably the most valuable ecosystem services provided by *P. oceanica* meadows (Vassallo et al., 2013 and references therein). However, little attention has been paid to the meadows' role as a contributor to long-term beach sediment budgets, because no quantitative computation of the exchange and deposition rates of carbonate sediments from *P. oceanica* meadows to beach-dune systems has yet been made.

The aim of this study was to compare the long-term deposition rate of biogenic carbonate sediments in a Mediterranean beach-dune system to the estimated carbonate production rates of adjacent carbonate factories. For the purposes of this study we define the beach-dune system as ~~-----~~ the coupling of the beach system (following the definition sketch proposed by Short, 1999)- and the dunes. Particularly the beach system is composed by the subaerial beach and the coastal wedge, which includes the surf zone and the nearshore (Short, 1999). The study area is far from terrigenous sources of sediments and sediment supply is mainly of marine origin. It is thus a good model system to estimate carbonate sediment exchanges between coastal ecosystems and beaches and dunes. The resilience of such carbonate beach-dune systems to climate and sea level changes expected in the near future is discussed.

2. Study site

The beach-dune system analysed in this study is located in the bay of San Giovanni, a large embayment located on the Sinis Peninsula on the central-western coast of Sardinia (western Mediterranean Sea) (Fig. 1).

5 The embayment is 4 km wide, running from Cape Seu to Cape San Marco, and is characterised by cliffs alternating with sandy beaches. The geological setting of the Sinis peninsula includes a sequence of volcanic and sedimentary rocks (marls, sandstone and limestone) dating from the Neogene to the Quaternary. The cliffs along the San Giovanni embayment are composed of Miocene limestone and marls, Early Pliocene sandstones, Pliocene basalts and a late Pleistocene succession composed of sandy to gravelly shallow-marine, coastal aeolian and alluvial fan deposits (Andreucci et al., 2009).

10 The seabed map, in Figure 1, shows that the seabed in the shallower northern sector of the bay and around the capes is mainly rocky, while unconsolidated sedimentary deposits are present in the central sector and seagrass meadows are widespread along the outer sector. Inland, two dune fields have been mapped (geological map of Sardinia on a scale of 1:25,000 available on the portal of Sardinia Regional Administration <http://www.sardegnaoportale.it/disclaimer.html>). The sediment grain size of the San Giovanni beach ranges from fine-medium sand to coarse sand with biogenic carbonate content varying from 30 to 90 % of dry weight (De Falco et al., 2003). Based on sediment composition, this beach can be classified
15 as mixed bioclastic~~biogenic~~-siliciclastic.

The seagrass meadows are composed of the endemic Mediterranean species *Posidonia oceanica*. Meadow distribution is influenced by substrate morphology. The plant colonises rocky and sandy substrates forming a mat ~50 cm thick, with frequent inter-mat channels inside the meadow and associated bioclastic sediments (De Falco et al., 2003). The nearshore rocky outcrops are colonised by photophilic algae (De Falco and Piergallini, 2003).

20 In the San Giovanni embayment, *P. oceanica* may form seagrass berms resulting from the accumulation of litter (leaves and rhizomes) and sediments at the extreme landward edge of wave influence (Simeone and De Falco, 2012; Simeone et al., 2013).

The prevailing winds are mainly from the North-West (Mistral), often in the form of severe storms, especially during winter. In autumn and winter, South-west winds (Libeccio) are also important (Corsini et al., 2006). The tides are negligible, with a
25 maximum range~~water displacement~~ of < 0.2 m (Cucco et al., 2006). Waves more than 3 m high occur along the prevalent incoming axis, located in the north-west sector between 280 and 330 degrees.

The morphodynamics of the San Giovanni beach are conditioned by the occurrence of rocky outcrops which influence wave run-up and the interactions between the surf zone and foredunes in the various beach sectors (Simeone et al., 2014). The longshore current induced by waves during mistral wind events follows a cell circulation pattern (Balzano et al., 2013). The
30 cliffs and the submerged rocky platforms are the main factor controlling subaerial beach height~~amplitude~~ and beach dynamics (Simeone et al., 2014).

3. Methods

3.1 Geophysical data

The morphology of the beach-dune system was analysed using Digital Terrain Models (DTM) of the emergent and submerged sectors. The DTM of the ~~beachface~~[subaerial beach](#), dune fields and back-barrier areas was derived from Lidar data, with a spatial resolution of 1 m and a vertical resolution of 0.15 m (available on the portal of Sardinia Regional Administration <http://www.sardegnaoportale.it/disclaimer.html>), and DGPS RTK data, with a vertical resolution of 0.15 m. The DTM of the marine area was derived from multibeam echosounder (MBES) data, acquired using a Reson Seabat 7125 operating at a sonar frequency of 400 kHz, which yielded a DTM with a spatial resolution of 1 m and a vertical resolution of 0.01m. These data were integrated with single beam echosounder (SBES) data, acquired using a Knudsen 320BP operating at a sonar frequency of 28/200 kHz. Data were acquired along transects perpendicular and parallel to the shoreline and were interpolated to obtain a DTM with a grid resolution of 20 m.

The sediment thickness of the submerged sector ([coastal wedge](#)) of the beach was determined by collecting ~14 km of very high-resolution seismic lines using a sub-bottom Chirp Edgetech Profiler 3100P at 4-24 kHz (Fig. 1). The vertical resolution of the seismic data was 0.1 m.

15 3.2 Sedimentological data

The sedimentological dataset used in this study was obtained from a number of sediment transects: 4 transects in the dunes (15 sediment samples); 6 transects in the ~~beachface~~[subaerial beach](#) (11 sediment samples); and 6 transects in the coastal wedge (26 sediment samples). In addition, 8 offshore sediment samples were taken (Fig. 1). The marine sediments were collected using a Van Veen grab. Authorisation for sediment sampling was granted by the Oristano compartment of the Italian Coast Guard and the Marine Protected Area known as 'Penisiola del Sinis - Isola di Mal di Ventre'.

The grain size of the sampled sediments was determined by dry sieving (1/2 phi intervals). Silt and clay-size particles were not analysed because they generally form a small proportion of the sediment (<5%) in this high-energy environment (Table S1). The carbonate content was determined using a Dietrich-Fruhling calcimeter.

3.3 Petrographic analysis

25 The collected samples were analysed by stereomicroscope, following which they were impregnated with epoxy resin, thin-sectioned and examined under a polarising microscope. Statistical analysis of petrographic data was based on point-counting following the method described by Flügel (1982). A minimum of two 300-point counts were performed on separate portions of each thin section to reduce errors linked to sediment heterogeneity. We calculated the percentages of grain types among the samples, tabulating bioclastic and ~~terrigenous~~[non-bioclastic](#) components (Table S2).

Bioclastic components are made up of calcite and micrite assemblages of molluscs (bivalves and gastropods), calcareous algae (rhodophytes), benthic foraminifers, echinoids, bryozoans and brachiopods (Table S2). ~~Terrigenous~~Non-bioclastic grains are made of siliciclastic and unidentified grains.

5 Following the suggestions of James et al. (1997) we sought to classify the bioclastic grains by morphology, colour and abrasion (Fig. 2). Our sediments are palimpsest, consisting of material currently being produced and deposited in the basin (Holocene), as well as elements previously deposited. Based on the methodology of bioclastic grain classification proposed by James et al. (1997) and River et al. (2007), we were able to recognise the grains and classify them as relict or modern bioclastic grains.

10 Relict grains (Fig. 2a) are (i) highly abraded (rounded and to some degree polished when compared with equivalent modern bioclastic grains) (ii) stained yellow-brown due to the presence of iron oxides or other diagenetic phases, and (iii) filled in (where skeletal pores are present) with silt-size carbonate particles as well as micrite. The physical and chemical alteration of relict grains makes it difficult or impossible to recognise their biogenic components. In James et al. (1997), relict particles were dated to between 10,000 and 36,000 yr BP.

15 Modern bioclastic grains originate from the carbonate factory of the infralittoral zone. The grains can be slightly abraded, light grey to buff-coloured skeletal particles. Some of them show (i) lack of brightness, (ii) rounding of skeletal edges and (iii) lack of well-defined fine-scale skeletal surface structures (Fig. 2b). Sedimentary recycling is particularly effective during the migration of the coastal system via barrier rollover to the dune system. Some of the grains are also fine sand bioclasts that show little or no sign of alteration or reworking. Major sources of the regional carbonate assemblage include bivalves, gastropods, rhodophytes, bryozoans, brachiopods and benthic foraminifers.

20 Six modern bioclastic carbonate samples ([Fig. 1](#)) were radiocarbon dated ([Table 1](#)). ~~The sediment samples were rinsed in MQ water, they were inspected under a stereomicroscope to select shells and shell fragments from the mixed samples. The radiocarbon measurements were carried out by the standard method, at the Beta Analytic Radiocarbon Dating Miami, Florida (USA). Acid etch (hydrochloric acid, HCl) was applied as pre-treatment. Their age was calculated using the Libby half life (5568 years), reported as radiocarbon years before present (BP). The radiocarbon age were calibrated considering a reservoir effect ($\Delta R = 46 \pm 40$) referred to the Western Mediterranean Sea. $\delta^{13}C$ values are based on the material itself and are reported in per mil relative to VPDB 1.~~Applicable calendar-calibrated results were calculated using ~~INTCAL13, MARINE13 or SHCAL13 as appropriate~~(Reimer et al., 2013; [Talma and Vogel, 1993](#)). ~~The results are presented as conventional and calibrated ages (Table 1).~~

30 The similarities and differences between sediments from the dunes, ~~beachfaces~~[subaerial beach](#), coastal wedge and offshore sediments were determined by multivariate statistics. Factor analysis was applied to petrographic and grain size data, previously transformed by the ranking method.

3.4 Sediment budget

The sediment volume of the beach-dune system was estimated by subdividing it into three compartments: the dune fields, the ~~beachface~~-subaerial beach and the coastal wedge (Fig. 3). The toe of the foredune separates the dunes from the ~~beachface~~subaerial beach, whereas the shoreline separates the ~~beachface~~-subaerial beach from the coastal wedge. The offshore limit of the coastal wedge is a morphological step visible in the DTM (Fig.s 3 and 4).

In order to compute the volume of the dunes and ~~beachface~~subaerial beach, we subtracted the grid of the underlying substrate surface from the grid of the dune and ~~beachface~~-subaerial beach surface. Figure 4 shows a schematic cross-section with the surfaces used for volume estimation.

The morphology of the substrate underlying the dunes and the ~~beachface~~-subaerial beach was interpolated as shown in Figure 4, by using the elevation data of the boundary of the dunes, and adding the elevation data of the rocky outcrops present on the ~~beachface~~-subaerial beach and along the shoreline. Those data were interpolated to obtain a grid of the basal surface of the sedimentary body (the dashed red line in Figure 4). This surface was subtracted from the grid of the terrain surface, available from the DTM (Lidar and RTK data), in order to compute the volume using the Global Mapper 13 software package. The volume estimation margin of error was computed considering a vertical variability of ± 0.15 m, based on the vertical resolution of the Lidar and RTK data.

The sediment thickness of the coastal wedge was obtained from the isopach map, derived in turn from the analysis of seismic lines using the Geosuite software package. The spatial limit of the coastal wedge was mapped using data from the multibeam echosounder survey and seabed mapping data (occurrence of rocky outcrops, Fig. 3). The DTM derived from the multibeam echosounder data made it possible to identify the seaward limit of the coastal wedge, which is characterised by a morphological step (Fig. 3). The sediment volume estimation margin of error was based on the vertical resolution of the seismic data used for surface interpolation (± 0.1 m).

Sediment volume data were converted into sediment mass adopting a porosity value of 0.3 and the density of calcite (2.71 tons m⁻³). Based on petrographic analysis, the mass of modern carbonate bioclastic sediments was estimated for the different beach compartments (dunes, ~~beachface~~-subaerial beach and coastal wedge). The deposition rates of modern carbonate bioclastic grains was computed considering a ~~time span of ages from approximately 0.5 to 4.4 ka Bp, interval of 4.37 ka BP,~~ based on radiocarbon dating of bioclastic grains (Table 1).

Carbonate sediment production in adjacent carbonate factories, i.e. the *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadows and the photophilic algal communities, was estimated by considering their surface area and the production rates reported in the literature (De Falco et al, 2008 and Serrano et al, 2012, for *P. oceanica* meadows; Canals and Ballesteros, 1997, for photophilic algae).

4. Results

4.1 San Giovanni beach-dune system morphology and stratigraphy

The morphology of the beach-dune system of the San Giovanni embayment is mainly determined by the presence of rocky outcrops in the back-barrier region and along the shoreface.

- 5 The northern sector of the beach-dune system (S1, Fig. 3) includes a dune field, beaches located at the foot of cliffs and a narrow coastal sedimentary wedge delimited offshore by a rocky outcrop. The dune field is partially connected to the beach in the North, while it consists of cliff-top dunes in the south.

The cliffs are vertical, 10 m high, carved out of the Pleistocene sedimentary formations (sandstones and limestones). At the foot of the cliffs the beaches are embayed between rocky headlands. The cliffs evolved in the historical period, as revealed
10 by the presence of Roman tombs in the collapsed blocks (Acquaro et al, 1995). The sedimentary coastal wedge is located between the shoreline and a depth of 7 m, where the bedrock, partially colonised by *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass, outcrops extensively. Bars are present along the submerged beaches.

Along the central sector of the beach-dune system (S2, Fig. 3), the dune field extends inland for about 500 m. The dunes are partially vegetated, and parabolic dunes with blowouts are also present. The beach extends from the foredune to the
15 foreshore where the bedrock outcrops. The bedrock extends offshore, from the shoreline to a depth of 5 m. The coastal wedge extends from the seaward limit of the rocky outcrop (5 m) to the 15-metre depth line, marked by a clear break in the slope which is visible on the DTM based on multibeam echosounder surveys (Fig. 3, Fig. 5).

In the southern sector (S3, Fig. 3) the dunes are deposited on a scarp lying on marls dated to the Upper Miocene. The lithology prevents the preservation of vertical cliffs favouring, instead, the formation of 15m high seaward sloping talus
20 deposits which have resulted from slumping. the formation of straight cliffs and favours the formation of sloping cliffs, 15 m high, resulting from slumping. Dunes have developed on the steep substrate, with the beach connected to the toe of the dunes. The coastal wedge is in continuity with the beach, ~~down to~~ a rocky outcrop located at around 7-8 m depth. In this area there are several parallel bars. In the offshore sector, the coastal wedge is depth-limited by a break in the slope at 15 m depth.

- 25 The seismic surveys enabled assessment of the coastal wedge's thickness and stratigraphy (Fig. 5), finding variable thicknesses, up to a maximum of about 3 m, depending on the morphology of the rocky substrate, which determines the space available for sediments (Fig. 5). To the north, several rocky outcrops limit the coastal wedge to small depositional areas (Line L1). In the central sector the coastal wedge lies on the seaward side of a very shallow rocky outcrop of the substrate (Line L2), whereas to the south a major rocky outcrop clearly separates the submerged beach with bars from the
30 deeper portion of the coastal wedge (Line L3).

4.2 Petrographic features of the mixed sediments

~~The grain size of the dunes and coastal wedge sediments ranged from medium fine to medium coarse sands, whereas the beachface and offshore sediments are coarse grained sands.~~

The dunes and coastal wedge sediments are mainly bioclastic medium-fine sands (CaCO_3 $75\pm 12\%$ and $67\pm 11\%$ respectively), whereas the ~~beachface-subaerial beach~~ sediments are mixed coarse sands (CaCO_3 $44\pm 18\%$) (Table S1). ~~The framework components of t~~The investigated mixed deposits include bioclastic and ~~terrigenous-non-bioclastic~~ components in highly variable proportions (Table S2).

Modern bioclastic grains, moderately abraded and unabraded, are generally found in all components of the beach-dune system (range 33%-53%) and are particularly abundant in the coastal wedge (53%) and dunes (46%) (Fig. 6a). Relict bioclasts, characterised by coarse sand to very fine granule-size skeletal particles that are highly abraded, rounded, and heavily stained with iron oxides, are more abundant in the offshore samples (29%), outside the beach-dune system (Fig. 6b).

~~Terrigenous-Non-bioclastic~~ components account for most of the ~~beachface's-subaerial beach's~~ sedimentary facies (61%), while they are subordinated to the bioclastic components in the other compartments (Fig. 6c).

We grouped siliciclastic and unidentified grains under ~~terrigenous-non-bioclastic~~ inputs. Siliciclastic components are represented mostly by well-sorted, medium- to coarse-grained, well-rounded quartz grains. Other components include medium- to coarse-grained lithic fragments, whose origin is sedimentary, plutonic, volcanic and metamorphic. Unidentified grains were not readily identifiable under the binocular microscope due to either (i) extreme diagenetic alteration or secondary calcification; (ii) severe physical abrasion or fragmentation.

Modern bioclastic grains are mainly derived from coralline algae and molluscs, followed by bryozoans, brachiopods, benthic foraminifers and echinoids (Fig. 7) (Table S2). Consequently, the carbonate factory is characterised by rhodophytes and molluscs, since these are the most important carbonate sediment-producing biota (Nelson, 1988).

The radiocarbon dating of six samples of modern bioclastic grains is reported in Table 1. The oldest grain was dated to 4510-4245 calibrated years BP, whereas the most recent grain was dated to 645-495 calibrated years BP.

34.3 Multivariate statistics

Factor analysis was applied to petrographic and grain size data in order to reduce a large number of variables to a few uncorrelated variables. Factor analysis showed that the investigated deposits are readily identified from the considered variables (Fig. 8). The first three factors explain ~74% of the variance of the samples, (factor 1 46%, factor 2 18%, factor 3 10%).

The beach-dune system compartments are clearly separated in the plot of the first and second factors (Fig. 8). Factor 1 is correlated with coarse grain size and relict grains, which are the main components of offshore and ~~beachface-subaerial beach~~ samples, and is inversely correlated with benthic foraminifers, modern bioclastic grains (MBG) and fine sands, which are more abundant in dunes and the coastal wedge. Factor 2 provides further separation of coastal wedge/offshore samples and

~~beachface~~subaerial beach/dune samples, the former characterised by rhodophytes, brachiopods and medium grain-size, and the latter by molluscs, bryozoans and fine grain-size (Fig. 8).

4.4 Sediment budget

The volume of sediment allocated to the three compartments of the beach-dune system (dunes, ~~beachface~~subaerial beach and coastal wedge) was computed (Table 2). The entire system has $\sim 2 \times 10^6$ m³ of sediment, of which $1,667,000 \pm 160,000$ m³ (83% of the total) is in the coastal wedge, $330,000 \pm 47,000$ m³ (16%) is in the dunes and only $22,000 \pm 8,000$ m³ (1%) is in the ~~beachface~~subaerial beach.

The total sediment mass was estimated at $3,797,000 \pm 404,000$ tons. The total amount of modern bioclastic sediments is $1,961,000 \pm 205,000$ tons. Considering a time span of sediment deposition of 43 centuries, based on the oldest radiocarbon dating of modern bioclastic grains (Table 1), we can estimate the deposition rates of modern bioclastic grains. The total deposition rate is $46,000 \pm 5,000$ tons century⁻¹, mainly in the coastal wedge (85%) and dunes (15%).

The sediment production from the coastal carbonate factories (*Posidonia oceanica* meadows and photophilic algal communities) was computed by ~~measuring~~ measuring multiplying the carbonate production rates per surface unit reported in previous studies (Serrano et al., 2012, De Falco et al., 2008, Canals and Ballesteros, 1997) per the surface area occupied by those ecosystems, based on seabed mapping (Fig. 1). The surface area of *P. oceanica* meadows was limited by the bathymetric contour line of 15 m, which is the deeper limit of the coastal wedge, assuming that sediment transport from the carbonate factories to the beach was mainly driven by longshore currents. The total carbonate production rate from coastal ecosystems was estimated at $132,000 \div 307,000$ tons century⁻¹, with *P. oceanica* meadows accounting for 76%÷90% of total production. The deposition rate of modern bioclastic sediment in the beach system corresponds to 15%÷34% of the carbonate production rate of the adjacent coastal ecosystems, assuming that the sediments were vertically well mixed. This assumption is particularly reliable for the coastal wedge which account the greater portion of sediment mass of the beach-dune system. Indeed the coastal wedge was characterized by a thin sediment layer (maximum 2.5 m) which is frequently reworked, particularly in the surf zone.

5. Discussion

The results of our study show that the formation and evolution of the San Giovanni beach-dune system is controlled by a combination of several factors including the availability of heterogeneous sediments resulting from the reworking of relict sediments and the production of biogenic sediments from coastal carbonate factories.

The San Giovanni beach-dune system is composed of mixed bioclastic and relict sediments deriving from various sources. The relict sediments include siliciclastic grains and biogenic carbonate (sensu River et al., 2007). The provenance of the siliciclastic grains is complex, as they may have derived from either the reworking of relict sediments during barrier rollover following sea level rise, or from the erosion of cliffs located along the San Giovanni embayment. The biogenic relict sediments can be interpreted as Pleistocene sediments reworked and transported onshore (River et al, 2007, James, 1997).

Those grains were exposed to meteoric conditions for a period of time and were then submerged once more, and can thus be attributed to the last interglacial period. Relict grains constitute a large portion of the offshore sediments, whereas the beach-dune sediments are mainly composed of modern biogenic carbonates.

5 The system has also received a large input of modern bioclastic grains derived from sediment production by present-day carbonate factories. The biogenic carbonates are mainly composed of Rhodophytes, Molluscs and Bryozoans. This association is typical of sediments produced by *P. oceanica* ecosystems, which are the main ecosystem producers of carbonate sediments in the infralittoral zone of the Mediterranean (Vacchi et al., 2016; De Falco et al., 2011; Canals and Ballesteros, 1997). The skeletal components of biogenic sediments associated with the meadows are mainly composed of Molluscs, red algae, foraminifers, bryozoans and echinoids (Jeudy de Grissac and Boudouresque, 1985; Blanc and Jeudy de
10 Grissac, 1989; Fornós and Ahr, 1997). Carbonate sediments produced in *P. oceanica* meadows are mostly trapped inside them, but we have demonstrated that a significant proportion, estimated at 15% to 34% (?), is exported outside but they could be partly exported outside the upper bathymetric limit towards the shoreface due to wave action ~~(De Falco et al., 2003).~~

15 This is the first study to quantify ~~quantifies~~ the contribution of biogenic sediments produced in *P. oceanica* meadows and -in photophilic algal communities to the beach sediment budget.

A sediment budget is an evaluation of sediment gains and losses, or sources and sinks, within a specified cell, or in a series of connected cells, over a given time period. The algebraic difference between sediment sources and sinks in each cell, and hence for the entire sediment budget, must equal the rate of change in sediment volume occurring within that region (Rosati, 2005).

20 The production of biogenic carbonate by coastal ecosystems, the deposition rates and the schematic sediment transport pathways for the San Giovanni beach are shown in Figure 9. Coastal ecosystems, among which the main contributor is *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadows, constitute a major source of sediments for the beach, with a net contribution of $46,000 \pm 5,000$ tons century⁻¹, equivalent to $\sim 26,000$ m³ century⁻¹, 26,000 m³ representing $\sim 1.2\%$ of the current total beach-dune sediment volume. In other words this beach has a positive budget, with an increase in volume over the long term which
25 is partially due to biogenic sand nourishment from a biological source. However, only a fraction (28% on average) of the biogenic sediment produced inside the coastal ecosystems is delivered to the beach. The remaining fraction of sediment is transported outside the beach system, trapped inside the ecosystems (De Falco et al., 2000, 2008, 2011, Serrano et al., 2012) or consumed by the process of carbonate grain alteration (Lopez et al., 2016).

The contribution to the beach sediment budget represents a further ecosystem service provided by *P. oceanica*. Vassallo et al. (2013) estimated the value of the main ecosystem services provided by *P. oceanica* at 172 Euro m⁻² a⁻¹. The authors
30 considered sediment retention by *P. oceanica* meadows to be their most important input contribution, accounting for almost all of their value. This estimate is two orders of magnitude higher than the values proposed by other authors for other coastal biomes (de Groot et al. 2012; Costanza et al. 2014). The calculation made by Vassallo et al. (2013) is an exception and probably overestimates the sediment retained in the meadows ($5.19E+04$ g m⁻² a⁻¹), which is fifty times higher than the

values estimated by other authors (Serrano et al. 2012; De Falco et al., 2000). ~~Furthermore, Our data quantify not only the volumes of sediment retained in the meadows but also the proportion that is exported to the beach-dune system. This facilitates a more detailed evaluation, than had previously been possible, of the respective ecosystem services provided by the seagrass. our data quantify for the first time the contribution of sediment retained in the meadow to the beach sediment budget, and they are useful for quantifying the value of this specific ecosystem service provided by the seagrass.~~

The dependence of the beach sediment budget on carbonate production associated with coastal ecosystems has several implications for the adaptation of mixed and carbonate beaches to environmental changes, at both local and global levels. Seagrass ecosystems are often impacted by intense human activities that inevitably affect their distribution (Meinesz et al., 1991; Duarte, 2002). The combined effects of anthropogenic and natural disturbance are leading to a global decline of seagrass meadows, with loss rates estimated at 2 to 5% per year (Waycott et al., 2009; Short et al., 2011). The decline of *P. oceanica* meadows has been reported in the Mediterranean Sea (Ardizzone et al., 2006; Boudouresque et al., 2009; Montefalcone et al., 2010), where many meadows have already been lost in recent decades (Bianchi and Morri, 2000; Leriche et al., 2006; Marbà et al., 1996).

In the case of San Giovanni beach, the reduction or complete disappearance of the meadows would lead to the reduction of carbonate sediment production. Less sediment produced in coastal carbonate factories means less sediment being delivered to the beach, with a consequent possible change in the beach sediment budget from positive (beach accretion) to negative (beach erosion).

In relation to sea level rise, more complex effects are expected. The expected sea level rise by the year 2100 for the study area ranges from 0.54 m to 1.3 m (Antonioli et al., 2017, De Falco et al., 2015). This will determine an adaptation of the whole coastal system. One of the expected consequences of sea-level rise is the retreat of shorelines and coastal erosion-related sediment redistribution due to waves and currents (Le Cozannet et al., 2014). The landward retreat of the shoreline implies the creation of newly available space for sedimentation, which will be occupied by sediments derived from the winnowing of ~~beachfaces~~ subaerial beach and dune sediments. At the same time however, sea level rise will have an impact on seagrass meadows. Specifically, the existing seagrass beds will be located at greater depth, with a decrease in the amount of light reaching them. This will reduce plant productivity (Short and Neckels, 2002, Marba and Duarte, 1997) and hence biogenic carbonate sediment production and transport from coastal ecosystems to the beach. In addition, sea level rise will reduce the surface area of the seagrass meadows able to transfer biogenic carbonates to the coastal wedge and the beach.

Furthermore, the rate at which seagrass meadows colonise new areas is slower than what will be required in order to keep up with the expected pace of sea level rise. Colonisation can occur by seed or lateral expansion, but those processes are known to be very slow and to depend on external forcing (i.e. basin hydrodynamics) and internal species-specific traits (i.e. rhizome elongation rates and the rhizomes' angle of branching) (Boström et al., 2006). Model-based studies show that complete coverage of the seabed by *P. oceanica* can take more than 600 years (Kendrick et al., 2005).

Consequently, the time required for mixed beaches to adapt to sea level rise will be longer than that of beaches that are mostly nourished by riverine sediments, since the systems will also be affected by a reduction of sediment input, due to the changes occurring in coastal ecosystems.

6. Conclusions

5 The sediments of the San Giovanni beach-dune system are composed of mixed modern bioclastic and relict grains. This system is far from any terrestrial source of sediments and represents a good model for computing the contribution of modern biogenic carbonate sediments to the beach sediment budget. The system receives a considerable input of modern bioclastic grains from the present-day carbonate factories, particularly the *P. oceanica* seagrass meadows. The total deposition rate of modern bioclastic grains is $46,000 \pm 5,000$ tons century⁻¹, equivalent to $\sim 26,000$ m³ century⁻¹, 26,000 m³ representing $\sim 1.2\%$ of the current total beach-dune sediment volume.

The total rate of carbonate production from coastal ecosystems was estimated to be $132,000 \div 307,000$ tons century⁻¹, with *Posidonia oceanica* meadows accounting for 76%÷90% and photophilic algal communities accounting for the remainder (24%-10%). Modern bioclastic sediment produced within coastal ecosystems and delivered to the [beach system-beach-dune system](#) corresponds to 15%÷34% of total carbonate production.

15 Over a long period, modern bioclastic sediment produced in the nearshore carbonate factories has significantly contributed to the beach-dune system, forming a wide coastal wedge (85% of the total beach-[dune system](#) volume) and two extended dune fields (15% of the total beach volume).

The value of ecosystem services provided by *P. oceanica* (Vassallo et al, 2013) has mainly been associated with sediment retention by meadows. Our data can be considered as a reference value for improving estimation of the value of the ecosystem service provided by *Posidonia oceanica* meadows in relation to beach sediment budgets.

20 The dependence of the beach sediment budget on carbonate production associated with coastal ecosystems has several implications for the adaptation of mixed and carbonate beaches to environmental changes, at both local and global levels. The reduction or complete disappearance of the meadows due to local impacts would lead to the reduction of carbonate sediment production, with a consequent possible change in the beach sediment budget from positive (beach accretion) to negative (beach erosion).

25 Taking into account the sea level rise expected in the near future, the existing seagrass beds will be located at greater depth, with lower biogenic carbonate sediment production and transport from coastal ecosystems to the beach. The long time needed by *P. oceanica* meadows to colonise new areas will slow the rate of adaptation of such systems to the modified sea level conditions.

30 7. Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the director of the Penisola del Sini Isola di Mal di Ventre MPA for providing the boat for sampling. Mr. George Metcalf revised the English text. De Falco et al_Biogeoscience

References


- Acquaro, E.: Tharros, Cartagine di Sardegna, Rendiconti Accademia Lincei, 6, 523-541, 1995.
- Andreucci, S., Pascucci, V., Murray, A.S., Clemmensen, L.B.: Late Pleistocene coastal evolution of San Giovanni di Sinis, west Sardinia (Western Mediterranean), Sedimentary Geology, 216, 104-116, 2009.
- 5 Antonioli, F., Anzidei, M., Amorosi, A., Lo Presti, V., Mastronuzzi, G., Deiana, G., De Falco, G., Fontana, A., Fontolan, G., Lisco, S., Marsico, A., Moretti, M., Orrù, P.E., Sannino, G.M., Serpelloni, E., Vecchio, A.: Sea-level rise and potential drowning of the Italian coastal plains: Flooding risk scenarios for 2100, Quaternary Science Review, 158, 29-43, 2017.
- Ardizzone, G., Belluscio, A., Maiorano, L.: Long-term change in the structure of a *Posidonia oceanica* landscape and its reference for a monitoring plan, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 27, 299–309, 2006.
- 10 Balzano, A., De Falco, G., Simeone, S., Sulis, A., Antognarelli, F., Massaro, G., Satta, A., Cugusi, G., Oiras, M., Ventroni, M.: Morfodinamica della spiaggia di San Giovanni del Sinis. In 'La Rete per il monitoraggio delle spiagge' (Abis A, Campo C, Careddu MB, Deriu M eds). 98-136. ISBN 9788874321322, 2013.
- Barsanti, M., Calda, N., Valloni, R.: The Italian coasts: a Natural Laboratory for the Quality Evaluation of Beach Replenishments, J. Coas. Res., SI 61, 1-7, 2011.
- 15 Bianchi, C., Morri, C.: Marine biodiversity of the Mediterranean sea: situation, problems and prospects for future research, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 40, 367–376, 2000.
- Bird, E.: Coastal geomorphology. An introduction, San Francisco: Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- Blanc, J.J., Jeudy de Grissac, A.: Reflexion sur la regression des herbiers a Posidonies, In: International workshop on *Posidonia oceanica* Meadows, Departements du Var e des Bouches di Rhone, GIS Posidonie Publisher, France, 2, 273–285,
- 20 1989.
- Borg, J.A., Attrill, M.J., Rowden, A.A., Schembri, P.J., Jones, M.B.: Architectural characteristics of two bed types of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* over different spatial scales, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 62 (4), 667-678, 2005.
- Boström, C., Jackson, E.L., Simenstad, C.A.: Seagrass landscapes and their effects on associated fauna: a review, Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science, 68, 383–403, 2006.
- 25 Boudouresque, C.F., Bernard, G., Pergent, G., Shili, A., Verlaque, M.: Regression of Mediterranean seagrasses caused by natural processes and anthropogenic disturbances and stress: a critical review, Botanica Marina, 52, 395–418, 2009.
- Campus, B., Devoti, D., Pranzini, E., Rossi, L., Silenzi, S.: Morfologia e dinamica dei sedimenti di una cusate foreland (spiaggia della Pelosa, Stintino, Sardegna), Atti del Convegno Nazionale di Maratea 15-17 maggio 2008 n.9 - maggio 2008 <http://www.adb.basilicata.it/adb/pubblicazioni/vol9.asp>, 2008.
- 30 Canals, M., Ballesteros, E.: Production of carbonate particles by phytobentic communities on the Mallorca Menorca shelf, northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Deep-Sea Res. II, 44 (3, 4), 611-629, 1997.
- [Como, S., Magni, P., Baroli, M., Casu, D., De Falco, G., Floris, A.: Comparative analysis of macrofaunal species richness and composition in *Posidonia oceanica*, *Cymodocea nodosa* and leaf litter beds. Mar. Biol., 153, 1087–1101, 2008.](#)


- Corsini, S., Franco, L., Inghilesi, R., Piscopia, R.: Atlante delle onde nei mari Italiani – Italian Waves Atlas. Roma, Italy: Roma: Agenzia per la Protezione dell’Ambiente e per i servizi Tecnici, 2006.
- Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S.J., Kubiszewski, I., Farber, S., Turner, K.: Changes in the global value of ecosystem services, *Global Environmental Change*, 26, 152–158, 2014.
- 5 Cucco, A., Perilli, A., De Falco, G., Ghezzi, M., Umgiesser, G.: Water circulation and transport timescales in the Gulf of Oristano, *Chemistry and Ecology*, 22 (Suppl. 1), 307–331, 2006.
- De Falco, G., Ferrari, S., Cancemi, G., Baroli, M.: Relationships between sediment distribution and *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass, *Geomarine Letters*, 20, 50–57, 2000.
- De Falco, G., Piergallini, G., (eds.): Mare, Golfo, Lagune – Studi e ricerche, Editrice S’Alvure (Oristano, Italy), 205 pp,
10 2003.
- De Falco, G., Molinaroli, E., Baroli, M., Bellacicco, S.: Grain size and compositional trends of sediments from *Posidonia oceanica* meadows to beach shore, Sardinia, Western Mediterranean. *Coast. Shelf S.*, 58, 299-309, 2003.
- De Falco, G., Baroli, M., Cucco, A., Simeone, S.: Intrabasinal conditions promoting the development of a biogenic carbonate sedimentary facies associated with the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*. *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 28, 6, 797-812,
15 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2007.12.014, 2008.
- De Falco, G., De Muro, S., Batzella, T., Cucco, A.: Carbonate sedimentation and hydro-dynamical pattern on a modern temperate shelf: the strait of Bonifacio (western Mediterranean). *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.*, 93, 14-26, 2011.
- De Falco, G., Antonioli, F., Fontolan, G., Lo Presti, V., Simeone, S., Tonielli, R.: Early cementation and accommodation space dictate the evolution of an overstepping barrier system during the Holocene, *Mar. Geol.* 369, 52-66, 2015.
- 20 De Muro, S., De Falco, G., (eds.): Manuale per la gestione delle spiagge: studi, indagini ed esperienze sulle spiagge sarde e corse. Cagliari: CUEC Ed. ISBN: 978-88-8467-629-0, 367 pp, 2010.
- De Muro, S., Ibba, A., Kalb, C.: Morpho-sedimentology of a Mediterranean microtidal embayed wave dominated beach system and related inner shelf with *Posidonia oceanica* meadows: the SE Sardinian coast. *Journal of Maps*, 12(3), 558-572, 2016.
- 25 de Groot, R., Brander, L., van der Ploeg, S., Costanza, R., Bernard, F., Braat, L., Christie, M., Crossman, N., Ghermandi, A., Hein, L., Hussain, S., Kumar, P., McVittie, A., Portela, R., Rodriguez, L.C., ten Brink, P., van Beukering, P.: Global estimates of the value of ecosystems and their services in monetary units, *Ecosystem Services*, 1, 50–61, 2012.
- Duarte, C.M., 2002. The future of seagrass meadows. *Environmental Conservation* 29, 192–206.
- Duarte, C. M., Losada, I. J., Hendriks, I. E., Mazarrasa, I., and Marbà, N. The role of coastal plant communities for climate
30 change mitigation and adaptation, *Nat. Climate Change*, 3, 961-968, 2013.
- Flügel, E.(Ed.): *Microfacies Analysis of Limestones*, Berlin Heidelberg, Springer Verlag, 1982.
- Fornós, J. J., Ahr, W. M.: Temperate Carbonates on a Modern, Low-Energy, Isolated Ramp: The Balearic Platform, Spain. *J. Sediment. Res. B*, 67, 2, 364-373, 1997.

- Fornós, J.J., Ahr, W.M.: Present-day temperate carbonate sedimentation on the Balearic Platform, western Mediterranean: compositional and textural variation along a low-energy isolated ramp. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 255, 71-84, 2006.
- Gacia, E., Duarte, C.M., Marbà, N., Terrados, J., Kennedy, H., Fortes, M.D., Tri, N.H.: Sediment deposition and production in SE-Asia seagrass meadows. *Estuarine, Coast. Shelf Sci.* 56, 909 - 919, 2003.
- Giakoumi, S., Sini M., Gerovasileiou, V., Mazor, T., Beher, J., Possingham, H.P., Abdulla, A., M.E. Cinar, Dendrinis, P., Gucu, A.C., Karamanlidis, A.A., Rodic, P., Panayotidis, P., Taskin, E., Jaklin, A., Voultziadou, E., Webster, C., Zenetos, A., Katsanevakis, S.: Ecoregion-based conservation planning in the Mediterranean: dealing with large-scale heterogeneity, *PLOS ONE*, 8, 1-15, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076449, 2013.
- Gómez-Pujol, L., Roig-Munar, F.X., Fornós, J.J., Balaguer, P. Mateu, J. Provenance-related characteristics of beach sediments around the island of Menorca, Balearic Islands (western Mediterranean). *Geo-Mar Lett.*, 33, 195-208, doi: 10.1007/s00367-012-0314-y, 2013.
- Harney, J.N., Fletcher III, C.H.: A budget of carbonate framework and sediment production, Kailua Bay, Oahu, Hawaii, *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 73 (6), pp. 856-868, 2003.
- James, N.P.: The cool-water carbonate depositional realm, in: James, N.P. and Clarke, J.A.D. (Eds.) *Cool-Water Carbonates*, SEPM Special Publication, 56, 1-22, 1997.
- Jeudy de Grissac, A., Boudouresque, C.F., Rôles des herbiers de phanérogames marines dans les mouvements des sédiments côtiers: les herbiers à *Posidonia oceanica*, Colloque franco-japonais Oceanographie. Marseille 16–21 (1), 143–151, 1985.
- Kendrick, G.A., Marba, N., Duarte, C.M.: Modelling formation of complex topography by the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 65, 717–725, 2005.
- Le Cozannet, G., Garcin, M., Yates, M., Idier, D., Meyssignac, B.: Approaches to evaluate the recent impacts of sea-level rise on shoreline changes, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 138, 47–60, 2014.
- Leriche, A., Pasqualini, V., Boudouresque, C.F., Bernard, G., Bonhomme, P., Clabaut, P., Denis, J.: Spatial, temporal and structural variations of a *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadow facing human activities, *Aquatic Botany*, 84, 287–293, 2006.
- López M., López, I., Aragonés, L., Serra, J.C., Esteban, V.: The erosion on the east coast of Spain: Wear of particles, mineral composition, carbonates and *Posidonia oceanica*, *Science of the Total Environment*, 572, 487–497, 2016.
- Marbà, N., Duarte, C.M.: Interannual changes in seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) growth and environmental change in the Spanish Mediterranean littoral zone, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 42 (5), 800-810, 1997.
- Marbà, N., Duarte, C.M., Cebrian, J., Gallegos, M.E., Olesen, B., Sand-Jensen, K.: Growth and population dynamics of *Posidonia oceanica* on the Spanish Mediterranean Coast: Elucidating seagrass decline, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 137 (1-3), 203-213, 1996.
- Mateu-Vicens, G., Brandano, M., Gaglianone, G., Baldassarre, A.: Seagrass-meadow sedimentary facies in a mixed siliciclastic-carbonate temperate system in the Tyrrhenian Sea (Pontinian Islands, Western Mediterranean). *J. Sediment. Res.*, 82, 451-463, doi: 10.2110/jsr.2012.42, 2012.


- Mazarrasa, I., Marbà, N., Lovelock, C. E., Serrano, O. Lavery, P. S., Fourqurean, J. W., Kennedy, H., Mateo, M. A., Krause-Jensen, D., Steven, A.D.L., Duarte, C.M.: Seagrass meadows as a globally significant carbonate reservoir. *Biogeosciences*, 12, 4993-5003, doi:10.5194/bg-12-4993-2015, 2015.
- Meinesz, A., Lefevre, J.R., Astier, J.M., Impact of coastal development on the infralittoral zone along the Southeastern Mediterranean shore of continental France, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 23, 343-347, 1991.
- 5 Milliman, J. D. and Droxler, A. W.: Neritic and pelagic carbonate sedimentation in the marine environment: ignorance is not bliss, *Geologische Rundschau*, 85, 496-504, 1996.
- Montefalcone, M., Albertelli, G., Morri, C., Bianchi, C.N.: Pattern of wide-scale substitution within *Posidonia oceanica* meadows of NW Mediterranean Sea: invaders are stronger than natives, *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 20, 507-515, 2010.
- 10 Nelson, C.S.: An introductory perspective on nontropical shelf carbonates. *Sedimentary Geology*, 60, 3-14, 1988.
- Pasqualini, V., Pergent-Martini, C., Clabaut, P., Pergent, G.: Mapping of *Posidonia oceanica* using aerial photographs and side scan sonar: application off the Island of Corsica (France). *Est. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 47, 359-366, 1998.
- Reimer, P.J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Blackwell, P.G. Bronk Ramsey, C., Buck, C.E. Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P.M., Guilderson, T.P., Haflidason, H., Hajdas, I., Hattè, C., Heaton, T.J., Hoffmann, D.L., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kaiser, K.F., Kromer, B., Manning, S.W., Niu, M., Reimer, R.W., Richards, D.A., Scott, E.M., Southon, J.R., Staff, R.A., Turney, C.S.M., van der Plicht, J.: IntCal13 and Marine 13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0-50,000 years cal BP. *Radiocarbon*, 55(4), 1869-1887, doi: 10.2458/azu_js_rc.55.16947, 2013.
- 15 Rivers, J., James, N.P., Kyser, T. K., Bone, Y.: Genesis of palimpsest cool-water carbonate sediment on the continental margin of Southern Australia. *J. Sediment. Res.*, 77, 480-494, doi:10.2110/jst.2007.046, 2007.
- 20 Rosati, J.D.: Concepts in sediment budgets. *Journal of Coastal Research* 21 (2), 307-322, 2005.
- Ryan, D.A., Brooke, B.P., Collins, L.B., Spooner, M.I., Siwabessy, P.J.W., Formation, morphology and preservation of high energy carbonate lithofacies: evolution of the cool-water Recherche Archipelago inner shelf, south-western Australia, *Sedimentary Geology*, 207, 41-55, 2008.
- 25 Sanderson, P.G., and Eliot, I., Compartmentalisation of beachface sediments along the southwestern coast of Australia. *Marine Geology*, 162, 145-164, 1999.
- Serrano, O., Mateo, M. A., Renom, P., and Julià, R.: Characterization of soils beneath a *Posidonia oceanica* meadow, *Geoderma*, 185, 26-36, 2012.
- Short, F.T., Neckels, H.A., The effects of global climate change on seagrasses. *Aquatic Botany* 63, 169-196, 1999.
- 30 Short, F.T., Polidoro, B., Livingstone, S.R., Carpenter, K.E., Bandeira, S., Bujang, J.S., Calumpong, H.P., Carruthers, T.J.B., Coles, R.G., Dennison, W.C., Erftemeier, P.L.A., Fortes, M.D., Freeman, A.S., Jagtap, T.G., Kamal, A.H.M., Kendrick, G.A., Kenworthy, W.J., La Nafie, Y.A., Nasution, I.M., Orth, R.J., Prathep, A., Sanciangco, J.C., van Tussenbroek, B., Vergara, S.G., Waycott, M., Zieman, J.C.: Extinction risk assessment of the world's seagrass species, *Biological Conservation*, 144(7), 1961-1971, 2011.

- Short, A.D.: The Distribution and Impact of Carbonate Sands on Southern Australian Beach-Dune Systems, in: Edited by Magoon, O.T., Robbins, L. L., Ewing, L. (Eds.), Carbonate Beaches, Proceedings ASCE, 236-250, 2000
- Short, A.D.: Sediment transport around Australia - Sources, mechanisms, rates, and barrier forms, Journal of Coastal Research, 26(3), 395-402, 2010.
- 5 Simeone, S., De Falco, G.: Morphology and composition of beachcast *Posidonia oceanica* litter on beaches with different exposures. Geomorphology 151, 224-233, 2012.
- Simeone, S., De Muro, S., De Falco, G.: Seagrass berm deposition on a Mediterranean embayed beach, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 135, 171–181, 2013.
- Simeone, S., De Falco, G., Quattrocchi, G., Cucco, A.: Morphological changes of a Mediterranean beach over one year (San Giovanni Sinis, western Mediterranean), Journal of Coastal Research, SI 70, 217-222, 2014.
- 10 [Talma, A.S., and Vogel, J.C. A simplified approach to calibrating ¹⁴C dates, Radiocarbon, 35, 317-322, 1993.](#)
- Tecchiato, S., Collins, L., Parnum, I., Stevens, A.: The influence of geomorphology and sedimentary processes on benthic habitat distribution and littoral sediment dynamics: Geraldton, Western Australia. Marine Geology, 359, 148-162, 2015.
- Tigny, V., Ozer, A., De Falco, G., Baroli, M., and Djenidi, S.: Relationship between the Evolution of the Shoreline and the *Posidonia oceanica* meadow limit in a Sardinian Coastal Zone, J. Coas. Res., 23, 787–793, 2007.
- 15 Vacchi, M., De Falco, G., Simeone, S., Montefalcone, M., Morri, C., Ferrari, M., Nike Bianchi, C.: Biogeomorphology of the Mediterranean *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadows. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms., doi: 10.1002/esp.3932, 2016.
- Vassallo, P., Paoli, C., Rovere, A., Montefalcone, M., Morri, C., Bianchi, C.N.: The value of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*: a natural capital assessment, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 75, 157–167, 2013..
- 20 Waycott, M., Duarte, C.M., Carruthers, T.J., Orth, R.J., Dennison, W.C., Olyarnik, S., Calladine, A., Fourqurean, J.W., Heck, K.L. Jr, Hughes, A.R., Kendrick, G.A., Kenworthy, W.J., Short, F.T., Williams, S.L.: Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(30), 12377–12381, 2009.
- Yamano, H., Kayanne, H., Matsuda, F., and Tsuji, Y.: Lagoonal facies, ages, and sedimentation in three atolls in the Pacific. Mar. Geol., 185, 233 – 247, 2002.
- 25 Yamano, H., Cabioch, G., Pelletier, B., Chevillon, C., Tachikawa, H., Lefèvre, J., Marchesiello, P.: Modern carbonate sedimentary facies on the outer shelf and slope around New Caledonia, Island Arc, 24, 4–15, 2015.






5 | Figure 1. Schematic geomorphological map of the study area showing the location of sampling points along the Sinis Peninsula in the San Giovanni embayment and distribution of *P. oceanica* meadows growing on hardgrounds and sedimentary substrates. The labelled points correspond to samples used for radiocarbon analysis (see Table 1). Red lines = seismic profiles.



5 | Figure 2. Photographs of two sediment samples. a) Relict grains, highly abraded, including both Fe-stained bioclasts and lithoclasts (sample SG-18, from offshore); b) Modern bioclastic grains, slightly abraded, generally unabraded and biofragmented (sample SG-28, from the coastal wedge).






Figure 3. Geomorphological features of the study area shown in Fig. 1. (A) Digital Terrain Models (DTMs) of the emerged and submerged sectors. The DTM of the marine area is derived from multibeam echosounder (MBES) data integrated with single beam echosounder (SBES) data. Shaded relief map of the submerged area showing the coastal wedge, seagrass meadows and rocky outcrops. The DTM of the inland area was derived from Lidar data. S1 = northern sector of the coastal barrier system. S2 = central sector; and S3 = southern sector. (B) Representation of the Beach-dune system compartments~~beach compartments~~: dune fields (yellow), beachface-subaerial beach (red) and coastal wedge (pink).




Figure 4. Beach profile showing the various layers used for sediment volume beach volume computation and the methods adopted for determination of the surface elevation.






Figure 5. Very high-resolution seismic profiles of offshore deposits. Representative seismic sections are shown with the derived stratigraphy (L1, L2 and L3). The contour map represents the thickness of sediments in the offshore area.


Modern Bioclastic Grains



Relict Bioclastic Grains



Non-Bioclastic Grains







Figure 6. Percentage of different grain types in the San Giovanni beach-dune system compartments. Modern Bioclastic, Relict and ~~Terrigenous~~ Non-bioclastic grains.






Figure 7. Distribution of bioclastic components in the San Giovanni beach-dune system compartments.





Figure 8. Results of factor analysis applied to the three beach-dune system compartments and offshore samples. Variables correlated with factor scores are indicated along the axes. REL: relict grains; MBG: Modern Bioclastic Grains.






Figure 9. Schematic representation of the long-term biogenic sediment budget of the San Giovanni beach-dune system. Biogenic sediment production rates from coastal ecosystems, sediment transport pathways and biogenic sediment deposition rates throughout the beach-dune system.

Table 1. Radiocarbon datings for various carbonate particles. [Location of sampling points in Fig. 1.](#)

Sample ID	Sample Location	Uncalibrated Conventional Age (yr BP)	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (‰)	Calibrated Age (cal yr BP)
SG-45A	Subaerial beach	1050 +/- 30 BP	2.3	0.7	Cal BP 660 to 510
SG-D4	Dunes	4320 +/- 30 BP	2.1	1.1	Cal BP 4510 to 4245
SG -D6	Subaerial beach	1970 +/- 30 BP	1.7	1.1	Cal BP 1580 to 1355
SG-38	Coastal wedge	1120 +/- 30 BP	2.4	0.7	Cal BP 705 to 550
SG-33	Coastal wedge	1190 +/- 30 BP	2.7	1.8	Cal BP 780 to 630
SG-B4	Dunes	1020 +/- 30 BP	1.6	1.9	Cal BP 645 to 495

Table 2. The sediment budget of the beach-dune system compartments.

<i>Variable description</i>		<i>unit</i>	<i>value</i>	<i>Reference - method</i>
Sediment volumes	Dunes	m ³	330,000±47,000	<i>Lidar data</i>
	Beachface/Foreshore Subaerial Beach	m ³	22,000±8,000	
	Coastal wedge	m ³	1,667,000±160,000	<i>Seismic / Multibeam data</i>
	Total	m ³	2,019,000±215,000	
Porosity of sands		Adim	0.30	
Density of dry sediments		tons m ⁻³	1.88	
Sediment mass	Dunes	tons	619,000±88,000	
	Beachface/Foreshore Subaerial Beach	tons	41,000±15,000	
	Coastal wedge	tons	3,137,000±301,000	
	Total	tons	3,797,000±404,000	
Mass of Modern Bioclastic sediments	Dunes	tons	285,000±41,000	<i>Petrographic analysis</i>
	Beachface/Foreshore	tons	14,000±5,000	
	Coastal wedge	tons	1,663,000±160,000	
	Total	tons	1,961,000±205,000	
Time span of sediment deposition		centuries	43	<i>Calibrated ¹⁴C dating</i>
Deposition rate of Modern Bioclastic sediments	Dunes	tons century ⁻¹	7,000±1,000	
	Beachface/Foreshore Subaerial Beach	tons century ⁻¹	300±100	
	Coastal wedge	tons century ⁻¹	39,000±4,000	
	Total	tons century ⁻¹	46,000±5,000	
Surface of <i>P. oceanica</i> meadows		m ²	2,624,000	<i>Seabed mapping</i>
Surface of photophilic algae		m ²	1,119,000	
Carbonate production rates	<i>Posidonia oceanica</i>	g DW m ⁻² y ⁻¹	493±15 (390÷1047)	<i>Serrano et al 2012 (De Falco et al. 2008)</i>
	Photophylic algae	g DW m ⁻² y ⁻¹	289	<i>Canals & Ballesteros, 1997</i>
Mass of biogenic carbonate produced by coastal ecosystems	<i>Posidonia oceanica</i>	tons century ⁻¹	129,000±4,000 (102,000÷275,000)	
	photophylic algae	tons century ⁻¹	32,000	
	Total	tons century ⁻¹	162,000±4,000 (135,000-307,000)	
Fraction of Biogenic sediment delivered to the beach		Mass % of carbonate production	28% (15%÷34%)	

Table S1. Grain size (in percent) and CaCO₃ content of sediment samples collected from the main depositional environments.

5 | Table S2. List of sediment samples collected from the main depositional environments. Relative percentages of the two principal components (modern bioclasts and ~~terrigenous~~ non-bioclastic grains) obtained by point-counting and classification.