PICPOCBSI Paper revisions

1. Uploaded new version of data table "picpocbsi_5voyage_finaldata_ver003.txt". New table includes volumes of water filtered and filtrations times to help respond to question about filter blockage. Going back through the data discovered underreporting of flowmeter counts for Totten voyage samples. Recalculated volumes. In all cases this reduced PIC concentrations slightly which will not affect conclusions, in fact it will strengthen them. Also discovered two errors in the interpretation of SIPEXII handwritten logsheets which lead to unlikely flow rates being recorded for PIC. Samples were discarded from final dataset. R code now pulls data directly from the database rather than voyage specific tabs. There is now only one source of data which is easier to keep up to date and data extraction code is more consistent across voyages. In the event that the database is modified (hopefully not again) re-extraction of the data is easy. During the course of these realised Excel was rounding numbers off to what was displayed on screen when exporting as .txt file. Increased digits to 15 for all data columns for export to .txt so numbers as slightly different to first "final dataset" but will be more accurate. In final data set after calculations completed rounded lat longs, sal and temp to 4 digits, data columns to 8 digits, water volumes and filtrations times to 1 digit.

2. Table 1
   a. added superscript three to other column titles as suggested in comments.
   b. Updated number of samples to fit new version of data where a few samples were removed for QC reasons when flow rates and logsheets were re-examined.

3. Fig 1 Replotted with new better QCed dataset. Changed incorrect legend title from "Fronts" to "Voyage Leg".

4. Fig 3 Replotted with new better QCed dataset

5. Fig 4 replotted with new better QCed dataset

6. Fig 5 Replotted with new better QCed dataset. Rewrote code so panelling is done within R rather than manually.

7. Fig 6 did not need replotting because VL3 and VL6 data were not changed

8. Fig 7. Fixed minor issue with presentation of NOBM data. Changed plotting from geom_raster to geom_tile. Suspect lat longs in data not completely regular. geom_tile slower but makes sure tile is properly centred around coordinates.

9. New figure added, comparison of satellite SPIC and ocean PIC. Figure numbers adjusted. Discussion altered accordingly.

10. Fig S1 Replotted with new better QCed dataset. Rewrote code so panelling is done within R rather than manually. In original submission suspect VL8 satellite-PIC was incorrectly substituted for satellite-Chla during manual panelling (R code looks OK). This has now been fixed. Units are now more consistent PIC umol/L and Chl-a ug/L. Reduced range of Chla from 0-2ug/L to 0-1ug/L. This gives better colour in the map and not losing much at the top end.

11. All figures changed to colour-blind palette

11. corrections to the methods and reduced duplication in Smit BSi.

12. Technical Corrections requested by Kai Schulz:

    1.Introduction: low alkalinity changed to moderate alkalinity

    2. POC/PIC changed to POC/BSI….thankyou!!

    3. Gafar et al (2017) amended.

Response to Reviewer 1: L. Bach Comments

Review on: "Distribution of planktonic biogenic carbonate organisms in the Southern Ocean south of Australia: a baseline for ocean acidification impact assessment " by Trull et al. In this study, Trull et al., investigate Diatom and calcifier distribution patterns in the Southern Ocean. Their analysis is based on BSi, POC and size fractionated PIC data. They compare their ground truth data with satellite data and model predictions and report important discrepancies and consistencies. I think their study is very valuable and their paper contains key information to document climate change effects on diC1 BGD Interactive comment Printer-friendly version Discussion paper atoms and calcifiers in the Southern Ocean. I really only have minor comments. Some of these are addressing their methods and some refer to the discussion/conclusion part.

Line 26: Are diatoms really the most abundant phytoplankton? I can understand that they might be dominant in terms of biomass but would intuitively assume that smaller groups (e.g. picoeukaryotes such as Micromonas) are more abundant than diatoms. (I may be wrong here but just to double check.)

Reviewer is correct. Sentence modified as follows:

Ancillary measurements of biogenic silica (BSi) and particulate organic carbon (POC) provided context, as estimates of the biomass of diatoms (the highest biomass phytoplankton in polar waters), and total microbial biomass, respectively.

Line 56: I am not sure that the under-saturation is primarily due to low TA. I would assume that it is due to the low temperature that leads to generally low carbonate ion concentration.

Reviewer is correct – the dominant effect is temperature. For example, (based on CO2SYS with standard default constants), for seawater Salinity=35, Alkalinity=2320 umol/kg waters in equilibrium with pCO2=400 uatm air, cooling from 15 to 5 C reduces the carbonate anion concentration from 160 to 112 umol/kg, whereas at 15C dilution of salinity from 35 to 33 and alkalinity proportionally reduces carbonate anion concentrations from 160 to 145 umol/kg. That is, temperature accounts for ~80% of the total effect. Sentence modified as follows:

The low temperature and low alkalinity of Southern Ocean waters make this region particularly susceptible to ocean acidification, ….

Line 63: It is a bit weird that you say that their relative importance is poorly known but then in the same sentence say that they will have an influence ecosystem health. The second part of the sentence implicitly contradicts the first part. Furthermore, I did not understand how the "importance" will "influence of the overall impact". This sentence could perhaps be rephrased.

Agreed, and we have reordered and rewritten these sentences to make the issue clearer:

Carbonate forming organisms in the Southern Ocean include coccolithophores (the dominant carbonate forming phytoplankton; e.g. [Rost and Riebesell, 2004]), foraminifera (the dominant carbonate forming zooplankton; e.g. [Moy et al., 2009; Schiebel, 2002]), and pteropods (a larger carbonate forming zooplankton, which can be an important component of fish diets; e.g. [Doubleday and Hopcroft, 2015; Roberts et al., 2014]). However, the importance of carbonate forming organisms relative to other taxa is unclear in the Southern Ocean [Watson W. Gregg and Casey, 2007b; Holligan et al., 2010].

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 5/10/2017 3:10 PM
Deleted: *abundance*

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 5/10/2017 3:10 PM
Deleted: *most abundant*

Line 80: Aren't these results? Perhaps move this sentence to results part. Furthermore, I do not understand the use of the second "suggested" in this sentence. Please check.

Agreed. Result sentence removed.

Line 91: In this context it may also be useful to remind the reader that the PIC50 fraction could also contain aggregated coccolithophore calcite (e.g. within fecal pellets).

We don't think this is likely, and accordingly we have not added this possibility to the text. The PIC50 fraction is collected at a high flow rate, sufficient to disaggregate most faecal matter. This perspective was corroborated by not seeing faecal pellets during visual inspection of the 50um mesh screens to remove rare zooplankton. We added text explaining this perspective in the Methods section:

Based on visual examination, the high flow rate through the 50 μm nylon mesh was sufficient to disaggregate faecal pellets and detrital aggregates.

Line 152: It would be helpful to know whether or not you expect a loss of CaCO3 by sieving the samples. Are there large quantities of CaCO3 expected in the >1000 μm size fraction?

In our experience the >1000μm fraction rarely contains anything, and early attempts to analyse this fraction yielded negligible CaCO3. The filter is occasionally useful for preventing krill and other large zooplankton from entering the filtration system. We have not tried to assess what would be "expected" in this large fraction, because we are not aware of data that would make this possible and we consider that the ship intake is unlikely to provide an unbiased sampling of organisms of this size which are often both mobile and rare. We made no changes to the text.

Line 152: What do you mean by "ship clean"? Please clarify.

Text has been added to clarify the meaning:

All samples were collected from the ships' underway "clean" seawater supply lines with intakes at ~4 m depth. These supply lines are separate from the engine intakes, have scheduled maintenance and cleaning, and are only turned on offshore (to avoid possible contamination from coastal waters).

Line 154: Can you provide any information if the 50 μm filter tended to block when such a large volume is filtered? I am asking because it could be that towards the end of the filtration process also smaller particles might have been retained on the filter due to clogging. I know this is difficult to reconstruct, but in case you have any further information it would be useful to provide them. I have personally made bad experiences with sequential filtrations.

The short answers are that:

1. We were aware of this potential problem and designed our filtration processes to minimize it. We do not consider that clogging was a problem.
2. If clogging retained PIC on the 50 um filter, than our PIC01 estimates would too low and our PIC50 estimates would be too high. Because the fraction of total PIC on the PIC50 mesh was generally quite small (10% or less), this possible redistribution does not affect any of our conclusions.

The longer answer is that it is challenging to create a filtration system capable of filtering water across the diverse conditions of the entire Southern Ocean. Our filtration system evolved over time, partly to deal with the issue of filter clogging. The first leg (VL1) was a purely sequential filtration system where the volume of water filtered was sometimes limited by either filter clogging, so that insufficient material was obtained on the other filter. For this reason prior to VL2 we added a pressure relief valve between the 50um and 1um filters which allowed large volumes of water to pass through the 50um filter and bypass the 1um filter. The second improvement prior to VL6 was the introduction of digital flow meters which recorded instantaneous flow rates. The final improvement prior to VL8 was the introduction of electronically controlled ball valves that stopped filtration when flow rates fell below threshold values (0.5L/min hiflo and 0.05L/min loflo). We believe these stop thresholds are very conservative and the filters are not truly clogged at this stage.

Using our final configuration of the system, on VL8 & VL9, samples from the lower latitudes (approx. 44S - 50S) have reasonably high levels of 1-50μm particles which can reduce flow rates through the 1 μm filter below our cut-off threshold of 0.05L/min prior to the 2 hour filtration time limit (Figure 1). However, very large volumes of water pass through the 50 μm filter (Figure 1). In mid latitudes (approx. 50S – 58S) flow rates remain high through both filters and most samples filter for 2 hours (Figure 1). At high latitudes (approx. 58S - 68S) flow rates through the 50 μm filter often reduced rapidly to the 0.5L/min cut-off threshold because the 50μm filter collects many large chain forming diatoms. In our filtration system this shuts down filtration through both filters which is reflected in the low filtration times and volumes (Figure 1). Ideally we would like to filter larger volumes of water at high latitudes which may require a filtration system capable of switching to a second 50 μm filter or something similar.

Figure 1

For VL8 & VL9 with their conservative cut-off thresholds we believe that clogging of the 50 µm filter and retention of smaller particles is unlikely. The picture is less clear for earlier legs where instantaneous flow rate data was not available and flowrate cut-off thresholds were not used. However, the data across all voyages shows quite consistent trends in PIC concentration and the PIC50/PIC01 ratio.

We have added these filtration times and volumes for all PIC samples in Table S1, included this discussion and figures in the Supplementary Materioal, and added a sentence into the main text pointing to this material:

The flow rate and flow volume data also suggests that filter clogging was uncommon (see the Supplementary Information for expanded discussion).

Line 158: I am a bit nervous about the PIC filter cleaning procedure. Omega is 0 in the deionized water and the pH is (probably) low. Does the deionized water have the potential to dissolve CaCO3?

We also were nervous about this. Accordingly we used degassed de-ionized water (boiling to remove CO2 and obtain close to neutral pH). The contact time of seconds and no loss of sharp edges on coccolithophores collected in this way and examined by scanning electron microscopy (Cubillos et al., 2007) reassured us. We added the following text:

We consider that this rinse did not dissolve PIC, based on the sharp (non-eroded) features of coccolithophores collected in this way and examined by scanning electron microscopy (Cubillos et al., 2007).

Line 336: "as resulting" twice.

Deleted one "as resulting"

Line 402: I do not understand why mesoscale variability makes the comparison difficult. If you are at a certain location with a ship and sample PIC and you have satellite data for the very same time, you could easily compare these values, couldn't you?

Strong mesoscale variability means that the match-up length scale must be very small. This limits the amount of match-ups that can be achieved. The variability length scale can also be smaller than the satellite pixel size. The correlation length scale for chlorophyll in the Southern Ocean degrades at distances > 10-15 km, as recently shown in attempting to match Biogeochemical-Argo fluorescent chlorophyll and satellite ocean colour estimates for a large set of observations (**Haëntjens N, Boss E, Talley LD (2017) Revisiting Ocean Color algorithms for chlorophyll a and particulate organic carbon in the Southern Ocean using biogeochemical floats. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 122:6583-6593**).

Using a somewhat longer match-up length scale of 25 km (i.e. the ship and satellite observations must be within 25 km of each other on the same day), we were able to retain 116 match-ups and we have added these results to the paper. The match-ups tend to occur in clusters of several samples along a transit when the ship encountered cloud-free conditions, so that the amount of independent observations is less than this. Nonetheless the match-up results are valuable and they confirm that the satellite SPIC values are reasonable estimates in Subantarctic waters but very much too high in Antarctic waters.

The new results are described by a new figure and new text:

Both cloudy conditions and strong mesoscale variability limit the number of direct comparisons (match-ups) that can be made. Using a match-up length scale of 25 km (i.e. the ship and satellite observations must be within 25 km of each other on the same day), which is somewhat larger than the correlation length scale for chlorophyll in the Southern Ocean of 10-15 km [Haëntjens et al., 2017], allowed us to retain 116 match-ups. These results, shown in Figure 6, confirm that the satellite SPIC values are reasonable estimates in Subantarctic waters, within a factor of 2-3 [W M Balch et al., 2011], but very much too high in Antarctic waters.

Line 405: What is "e-folding"? The term has not been introduced.

This is a common term to describe exponential behaviour, e.g. from Wikipedia:

"In science, e-folding is the time interval in which an exponentially growing quantity increases by a factor of e; it is the base-e analog of doubling time."

No change was made to the text.

Line 469: Dominant in terms of abundance? Dominant in terms of biomass would probably be the more important metric here.

Agreed, and we changed "abundance" to "biomass" here and throughout this paragraph.

Lines 470 and 473 : These results imply that diatoms (and to a limited extent coccolithophores) more or less exclusively contribute to the bulk POC in Antarctic waters. I am not so experienced with the plankton communities in the Southern Ocean but would intuitively disagree. Is it really possible that diatoms are so dominant? What about grazers? Did the analysis include e.g. copepod as a POC source or were these not captured on the filters? I think the result of bulk POC = diatom POC in the Antarctic is very interesting.

We have added a qualifying sentence as follows (*in italics here but not in text*):

As shown in Figure 4b, this suggests that diatoms dominate the accumulation of organic carbon throughout the Southern Ocean, with coccolithophores generally contributing less than half that of diatoms in the SAZ and less than a tenth of that in Antarctic waters. *This statement is of course limited to POC captured by our small volume, size limited (1-1000 um) sampling procedure, and variability in the extent of dominance and the scaling of POC to biogenic minerals still allows significant contributions from other POC sources.*

Line 480: Abundance of calcifiers or concentration of CaCO3? I think you should stick to the latter term to be more precise.

Agreed, and sentence changed to:

Finally, we note that the relatively low levels of PIC across the Southern Ocean as observed here means that POC/PIC ratios are high, greater than 4 in the SAZ and ranging up to 20 in Antarctic waters (Figure 4a).

Line 482: You argue that PIC/POC is low which leads to little influence on the TA mediated reduction of atmospheric CO2 uptake. I agree with that. However, PIC can induce biogeochemical feedbacks in other ways e.g. through ballasting (as you mention in the paragraph before). So I think that it is not really valid to say that coccolithophores had a limited influence on the uptake capacity of atmospheric CO2 if you neglect other feedback mechanisms than TA reduction.

We agree with the reviewer on the multiple mechanisms of influence of calcification on air-sea CO2 transfer, and modified this sentence to make clear that only the aspect of alkalinity affects of surface ocean pCO2 is under consideration:

This suggests calcification has a negligible countering impact on the reduction of surface ocean CO_2 partial pressure by phytoplankton uptake, even smaller than the few to ~10% influence identified earlier from deep sediment trap compositions in HNLC [P. W. Boyd and Trull, 2007a] and iron-enriched waters, respectively [Salter et al., 2014].

Section 3.4: In section 3.4 you compare model predictions with field data to test whether they predict meaningful trends. I think this is extremely valuable. I have, however, two comments.

1) **You first use the Bach et al., 2015 and Langdon et al. 2000 models. These models only consider carbonate chemistry conditions and no other environmental parameter to predict calcification rates. Your data nicely shows that carbonate chemistry is obviously not the driving factor behind the latitudinal trend in the Southern Ocean because model prediction and latitudinal patterns are inconsistent. The Bach et al., model basically predicts that the carbonate chemistry conditions are close to ideal throughout the Southern Ocean. The Langdon et al., model predicts a decline which reflects the trend in Omega. Both models describe calcification response to carbonate chemistry and not distribution patterns of calcifiers. The reason why I mention this is because at the end of this part of the paper you state: "Thus, and unsurprisingly, coccolithophore abundances are clearly not controlled by inorganic carbon chemistry alone" (Lines 603- 604). I could not agree more with this statement. However, the way this is formulated implies to some extent that your finding contradicts what we have concluded in our study. But this is not the case. In Bach et al. (2015) we wrote: "great care must be taken when correlating carbonate chemistry with coccolithophore dispersal because this is by no means the only parameter controlling it. Physical (e.g. temperature), other chemical (e.g. nutrient concentrations), or ecological (e.g. grazing pressure) factors will under many if not most circumstances outweigh the influence of carbonate chemistry conditions, unless differences in the latter are extreme. We will therefore focus the discussion on those cases where differences in carbonate chemistry conditions are rather extreme." Thus, our valuation is very similar to that of the authors of this manuscript. I would appreciate if you could point out that your main conclusion in this paragraph (that carbonate chemistry is probably not the key factor controlling coccolithophore distribution) is also in line with (and not conflicting with) what we assumed in our studies.**

2)

We are very happy to do this, and added this information explicitly in the summary of this section:

Thus, and unsurprisingly, coccolithophore abundances are clearly not controlled by inorganic carbon chemistry alone. This perspective has been strongly emphasized previously, including by Bach et al., (2015), who noted " …great care must be taken when correlating carbonate chemistry with coccolithophore dispersal because this is by no means the only parameter controlling it. Physical (e.g. temperature), other chemical (e.g. nutrient concentrations), or ecological (e.g. grazing pressure) factors will under many if not most circumstances outweigh the influence of carbonate chemistry conditions…".

2) I am a bit skeptical about the growth rate vs. temperature argument based on the Norberg model. The model predicts a decline of coccolithophore growth rates due to decreasing temperature. This in itself is not convincing because the decrease of growth rate would apply for every other phytoplankton group as well. What you would really have to look at is if the growth rate of coccolithophores decreases over-proportionally relative to other phytoplankton species. If this was then case, then you could argue that coccolithophores become less competitive the further South you go.

We made no changes in response to this comment, because while it has merit we were already careful to describe at the start of this paragraph that the presentation of the Norberg model was limited to an exploration of the possible response to temperature in a univariate sense:

"To provide a brief visualization of the expected univariate response, we fit the "Norberg" thermal optimum envelope model ….

and we already re-emphasize at the end of the paragraph that this exploration was limited in scope:

There are of course many other possible explanations (as noted at the start of this section).

In addition, in the following paragraphs we were already careful to note again that autotrophic completion was a larger issue - see our response to the next comment.

Accordingly, we made no further changes.

Line 653: In this concluding remark you only consider the bottom-up control on diatom vs. coccolithophore distribution. Have you also considered if top-down mechanisms could have played a role here? Even though there may not be appropriate data available to test this in the present study, it may still be useful to remind the reader that this mechanism exists and could also have played a role. I think the Assmy et al., (2013) study nicely made the case that predators may have an important influence on phytoplankton composition in the Southern Ocean.

We had already mentioned this possibility, but have augmented it with a final clause in parentheses to cite the Assmy et al., 2013 study:

Importantly, in addition to multivariate environmental control of coccolithophore distributions via their growth rates, there is the possibility of control by resource competition with other autotrophs (presumably mainly for iron) and/or stronger loss terms to grazers in Antarctic than Subantarctic waters ([*Assmy et al.*, 2013] has suggested preferential grazing as a control on community structure; but we have no data to allow us to evaluate this).

Table 1: I think the uppercase 3 also needs to be added to PIC01, POC, and BSi.

Agreed and we added upper case 3 to PIC01, POC, BSi

Figure 1: It would be helpful to add full names and abbreviations of the various fronts to the figure caption.

Full names and abbreviations added

Figure 3: One particularly interesting finding presented in Figure 3 is that PIC50 (foraminifera) concentrations are considerably lower than PIC01 (coccolithophores) concentrations except for maybe the most Southern stretch of the transects. Sometimes the discrepancies are orders of magnitude. This implies that coccolithophores are the much more important pelagic calcifiers in the Southern Ocean than foraminifera and pteropods. Is this conclusion valid? If so, I think this finding definitely deserves more attention in your paper. Furthermore, it would be interesting to compare this with the results from Broecker

and Clark (2009) who found roughly equal contribution of coccolithophores and foraminifera to the sediment CaCO3 (although their most southern sample came from 40 South).

We deliberately avoided discussion of the PIC50 distributions in any detail for multiple reasons, as we had stated early in the Introduction. Comparison to sediments would bring in the further complexity of the extent of losses of these organisms after leaving surface waters, and become very speculative. Accordingly, we have not added discussion on this issue, and instead have further strengthened our sentence regarding why we do not discuss these results in any detail in the revised version:

We do not discuss the PIC50 results in any detail because of this complexity, because controls on foraminifera distributions appear to involve strongly differing biogeography of several co-dominant taxa, rather than dominance by a single species [Be and Tolderlund, 1971], because the numbers of these organisms collected by our procedures was small, and because assessing these issues is beyond the scope of this paper.

Figure 4: What was the rationale of showing the POC/PIC ratio? I think readers will generally be more familiar with PIC/POC ratios.

Both are in common use. We preferred POC/PIC (and BSi/PIC) because these emphasized our key findings that BSI and POC are both much more abundant that PIC.

We made no change.

<u>Response to Reviewer 2: A. Poulton Comments</u>

My recommendation is that the authors need to further elucidate in the manuscript the distinction between particulate material and 'living biomass'. Most particulate pools (POC, PIC and BSi) contain variable contributions of both living biomass (i.e. organisms) and detrital material. In the case of PIC, detached coccoliths (e.g.) can be a significant fraction of the total pool and their small size conveys very slow sinking speeds. In the case of diatoms, empty or broken frustules may stay in suspension (or as part of the cell chain) after the organic material has been removed. Similar comments may be made about the larger biogenic organisms (foram fragments, juvenile shells, radiolarian tests). While the particulate concentrations of PIC and BSi represent well the (historical) production of biogenic material from coccolithophores and diatoms, as well as the other groups examined here, their relationship to 'living biomass' is not necessarily direct and may break down seasonally and spatially. Recognising that this may occur, for example in post-bloom conditions, is an important caveat that should be clear to the reader.

Agreed, and we added the following sentence to the Introduction:

We also note that our technique does not distinguish between living and non-living biomass, and thus is more representative of the history of production than the extent of extant populations at the time of sampling.

Ln 107-108: The calcite content of these different strains of Emiliania huxleyi also differ significantly (see Poulton et al., 2011 for estimates or Muller et al., 2015 for measurements), which may have strong implications for PIC production in S Ocean coccolithophore blooms (e.g. Poulton et al., 2013).

Agreed, and we have added a sentence acknowledging this issue and these results:

Of course, Emiliania huxleyi itself comes in several strains even in the Southern Ocean, with differing physiology, including differing extents of calcification [Cubillos et al., 2007; M. N. Muller et al., 2015; M.N. Muller et al., 2017; Poulton et al., 2013; Poulton et al., 2011].

Ln 263: Missing full stop between 'cell' and 'Calibration'.

Full stop inserted.

Ln 468-470: The POC:PIC ratio given is relatively low, especially for the S Ocean strain: Muller et al. (2015) reports values of 0.83 for over-calcified strains, 1.5 for normal Atype and greater than 2 for the B/C type reported in the S. Ocean. Maybe the authors could add in a statement on the sensitivity of their estimates to cell POC:PIC ratios– and also how detached coccoliths may actually counteract high cellular POC:PIC ratios.A

Agreed. We have replotted Figure 4b using the POC:PIC ratio of 1.5 for the Southern Ocean morphotype A, and added discussion on latitudinal variations in morphotypes, associated POC:PIC ratios, and their implications for our conclusions:

The relatively small POC contribution from coccolithophores is only weakly sensitive to the ~3-fold variation [M. N. Muller et al., 2015] of POC/PIC ratios among Emiliania huxleyi morphotypes. Using the lower value of 0.83 observed for over-calcified forms that occur in the northern SAZ would reduce the POC contribution there but still leave it co-dominant with diatoms, and using the higher value of 2.5 observed for polar morphotype C would increase the POC contribution in Antarctic waters, but still leave it overwhelmed by the diatom contribution (Figure 4b). The relative contributions to total POC are also sensitive to the POC/PIC ratio chosen for diatoms (which vary significantly across genera; [O. Ragueneau et al., 2002; Olivier Ragueneau et al., 2006]). For these reasons, the relative dominance is best viewed on the log scale of Figure 4, and while keeping in mind the considerable scatter.

Ln 518-519: Biometric measurements have confirmed the low PIC per coccolith for the different morphotypes/strains (see Poulton et al., 2011 and/or Charalampopoulou et al., 2016; see also Muller et al., 2015 (as cited)).

Agreed, and we have added a clause acknowledging these results:

Early work in the South Atlantic found that SPIC values appeared to exceed ocean PIC by a factor of 2-3 [W M Balch et al., 2011], and based on a handful of samples it was suggested that this might reflect a lower amount of PIC per coccolith [Holligan et al., 2010], and it has since been confirmed that polar coccolithophores can have low PIC contents [Charalampopoulou et al., 2016; M. N. Muller et al., 2015; Poulton et al., 2011].

Ln 573: Please correct Emiliania Huxleyi to Emiliania huxleyi.

Corrected as requested

Ln 622: Light utilization may be another important factor as there are pigment differences between E. huxleyi strains (see Cook et al., 2011)

We added this possibility to the existing sentence, citing the work of Zhang et al., 2015, who measured light responses for coccolithophores:

Coccolithophores, especially the most common species Emiliania huxleyi, have been studied sufficiently in the laboratory to allow possible important controls on their niches and especially their calcification rates to be proposed, including temperature, pH, pCO$_2$, calcite saturation state, light, and macro- and micro-nutrient availability [Bach et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2016; Mackinder et al., 2010; M. N. Muller et al., 2015; Müller et al., 2017; Schlüter et al., 2014; Schulz et al., 2007; Sett et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015].

Ln 653-655: Charalampopoulou et al. (2016) concluded that temperature and light were strong drivers of coccolithophore distribution and calcification across a latitudinal transect in Drake Passage (whilst also acknowledging the role of iron). Have the authors considered the role of (seasonal) light availability?

We added this possibility and citation, while also stating that we did not have data sufficient to consider it further:

Many properties that might influence coccolithophore productivity decreased strongly and close to monotonically from north to south across the Southern Ocean for our voyages (Figure 6). These include temperature (from 23 to -0.4 C for our samples), salinity (from 35.6 to 33.6, with tight correlation with alkalinity, not shown - data available in the Supplementary Material), pH (from 8.20 to 8.08 on the free scale), and the saturation state of calcite (from 5.22 to 2.12). The strong correlation of these properties means that it is not easy to separate their possible influences on coccolithophore distributions, without relying on specific thresholds or quantitative response models. This problem of correlations among drivers has been noted before in examining transect data across Drake passage, where more detailed measurements of coccolithophore properties augmented with incubation studies found temperature and light were the most probable drivers of coccolithophore abundance and calcification rates [Charalampopoulou et al., 2016]. Our lack of information on the availability of light (mixed layer depth was determined only on the two hydrographic sections), iron, or individual species and strains, makes deducing a possible influence of ocean acidification on coccolithophore distributions from our spatial distribution data even more difficult.

We also reiterated the probable importance of light at the end of this section:

Further progress in understanding the controls on coccolithophore abundances in the Southern Ocean is clearly needed. At present temperature, light, and competition with diatoms for iron appear to be the strongest candidates (at least for southward expansion [Charalampopoulou et al., 2016; Gafar et al., 2017]; with nitrate a strong influence on the location of the northern oligotrophic boundary; [Feng et al., 2016]).

1 **Distribution of planktonic biogenic carbonate organisms in the Southern Ocean south of**

2 **Australia: a baseline for ocean acidification impact assessment**

3

4 Thomas W. Trull[1,2,3], Abraham Passmore[1,2], Diana M. Davies[1,2], Tim Smit[4], Kate Berry[1,2], and Bronte

5 Tilbrook[1,2]

6

7 1. Climate Science Centre, Oceans and Atmosphere, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial

8 Research Organisation, Hobart, 7001, Australia

9 2. Antarctic Climate and Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre, Hobart, 7001, Australia

10 3. Institute of Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, 7001, Australia

11 4. Utrecht University, Utrecht, 3508, Holland

12

13 *Correspondence to*: Tom Trull (Tom.Trull@csiro.au)

14

15 **Abstract**

16 The Southern Ocean provides a vital service by absorbing about one sixth of humankind's annual

17 emissions of CO_2. This comes with a cost – an increase in ocean acidity that is expected to have

18 negative impacts on ocean ecosystems. The reduced ability of phytoplankton and zooplankton to

19 precipitate carbonate shells is a clearly identified risk. The impact depends on the significance of

20 these organisms in Southern Ocean ecosystems, but there is very little information on their abundance

21 or distribution. To quantify their presence, we used coulometric measurement of particulate inorganic

22 carbonate (PIC) on particles filtered from surface seawater into two size fractions: 50-1000 μm to

23 capture foraminifera (the most important biogenic carbonate forming zooplankton) and 1-50 μm to

24 capture coccolithophores (the most important biogenic carbonate forming phytoplankton). Ancillary

25 measurements of biogenic silica (BSi) and particulate organic carbon (POC) provided context, as

26 estimates of the biomass of diatoms (the highest biomass phytoplankton in polar waters), and total

27 microbial biomass, respectively. Results for 9 transects from Australia to Antarctica in 2008-2015

28 showed low levels of PIC compared to northern hemisphere polar waters. Coccolithophores slightly

29 exceeded the biomass of diatoms in Subantarctic waters, but their abundance decreased more than 30-

30 fold poleward, while diatom abundances increased, so that on a molar basis PIC was only 1% of BSi

31 in Antarctic waters. This limited importance of coccolithophores in the Southern Ocean is further

32 emphasized in terms of their associated POC, representing less than 1 % of total POC in Antarctic

33 waters and less than 10% in Subantarctic waters.   NASA satellite ocean colour based PIC estimates

34 were in reasonable agreement with the shipboard results in Subantarctic waters, but greatly over-

35 estimated PIC in Antarctic waters. Contrastingly, the NASA Ocean Biogeochemical Model (NOBM)

36 shows coccolithophores as overly restricted to Subtropical and northern Subantarctic waters. The

40   cause of the strong southward decrease in PIC abundance in the Southern Ocean is not yet clear.

41   Poleward decrease in pH is small and while calcite saturation decreases strongly southward it remains

42   well above saturation (>2). Nitrate and phosphate variations would predict a poleward increase.

43   Temperature and competition with diatoms for limiting iron appear likely to be important. While the

44   future trajectory of coccolithophore distributions remains uncertain, their current low abundances

45   suggest small impacts on overall Southern Ocean pelagic ecology.

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 10:12 AM
Deleted:

1. Introduction

Production of carbonate minerals by planktonic organisms is an important and complex part of the global carbon cycle and climate system. On the one hand, carbonate precipitation raises the partial pressure of CO_2 reducing the uptake of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere into the surface ocean; on the other hand, the high density and slow dissolution of these minerals promotes the sinking of associated organic carbon more deeply into the ocean interior increasing sequestration [*P.W. Boyd and Trull*, 2007b; *Buitenhuis et al.*, 2001; *Klaas and Archer*, 2002; *Ridgwell et al.*, 2009; *Salter et al.*, 2014]. Carbonate production is expected to be reduced by ocean acidification from the uptake of anthropogenic CO_2, with potentially large consequences for the global carbon cycle and ocean ecosystems [*Orr et al.*, 2005; *Pörtner et al.*, 2005].

The low temperature and moderate alkalinity of Southern Ocean waters make this region particularly susceptible to ocean acidification, to the extent that thresholds such as undersaturation of aragonite and calcite carbonate minerals will be crossed sooner than at lower latitudes [*Cao and Caldeira*, 2008; *McNeil and Matear*, 2008; *Shadwick et al.*, 2013]. Carbonate forming organisms in the Southern Ocean include coccolithophores (the dominant carbonate forming phytoplankton; e.g. [*Rost and Riebesell*, 2004]), foraminifera (the dominant carbonate forming zooplankton; e.g. [*Moy et al.*, 2009; *Schiebel*, 2002]), and pteropods (a larger carbonate forming zooplankton, which can be an important component of fish diets; e.g. [*Doubleday and Hopcroft*, 2015; *Roberts et al.*, 2014]). However, the importance of carbonate forming organisms relative to other taxa is unclear in the Southern Ocean [*Watson W. Gregg and Casey*, 2007b; *Holligan et al.*, 2010]. Satellite reflectance observations, mainly calibrated against northern hemisphere PIC results, suggest the presence of a "Great Calcite Belt" in Subantarctic waters in the Southern Ocean , and also show high apparent PIC values in Antarctic waters [*W M Balch et al.*, 2016; *W M Balch et al.*, 2011]. Our surveys were designed in part to evaluate these assertions for waters south of Australia.

As a simple step towards quantifying the importance of planktonic biogenic carbonate forming organisms in the Southern Ocean, we determined the concentrations of particulate inorganic carbonate (PIC) for two size classes, representing coccolithophores (1-50 μm, referred to as PIC01) and foraminifera (50-1000 μm, referred to as PIC50), from surface water samples collected on 9 transects between Australia and Antarctica. We provide ecological context for these observations based on the abundance of particulate organic carbon (POC) as a measure of total microbial biomass, and biogenic silica (BSi), the other major phytoplankton biogenic mineral, as a measure of diatom biomass. This provides a baseline assessment of the importance of calcifying plankton in the Southern Ocean south of Australia, against which future levels can be compared.

3

In the discussion of our results, we interpret BSi as representative of diatoms, PIC50 as representative of foraminifera, and PIC01 as representative of coccolithophores, including a tendency to equate this with the distribution of the most cosmopolitan and best studied coccolithophore, *Emiliania huxleyi*. These assumptions need considerable qualification. Most BSi is generated by diatoms (~90%), with only minor contributions from radiolaria and choanoflagellates in the upper ocean, making this approximation reasonably well supported [*Hood et al.*, 2006]. Similarly, but less certainly, foraminifera are a major biogenic carbonate source in the 50-1000 μm size range, but pteropods, ostrocods, and other organisms are also important [*Schiebel*, 2002]. We do not discuss the PIC50 results in any detail because of this complexity, because controls on foraminifera distributions appear to involve strongly differing biogeography of several co-dominant taxa, rather than dominance by a single species [*Be and Tolderlund*, 1971], because the numbers of these organisms collected by our procedures were small, and because assessing these issues is beyond the scope of this paper. Attributing all the PIC01 carbonate to coccolithophores relies on the assumption that fragments of larger organisms are not important. This seems reasonable given that the larger PIC50 fraction generally contained 10-fold lower PIC concentrations (as revealed in the Results section).

Our tendency to equate the PIC01 fraction with the abundance of *Emiliania huxleyi* is probably the weakest approximation. It is not actually central to our conclusions, except to the extent that we compare our PIC01 distributions to expectations based on models that use physiological results mainly derived from experiments with this species. That said, this is a poor approximation in Subtropical waters where the diversity of coccolithophores is large, but improves southward where the diversity decreases (see Smith et al. 2017 for recent discussion), and many observations have found that *Emiliania huxleyi* was strongly dominant in Subantarctic and Antarctic Southern Ocean populations, generally >80% [*Boeckel et al.*, 2006; *Eynaud et al.*, 1999; *Findlay and Giraudeau*, 2000; *Gravalosa et al.*, 2008; *Mohan et al.*, 2008]. Of course, *Emiliania huxleyi* itself comes in several strains even in the Southern Ocean, with differing physiology, including differing extents of calcification [*Cubillos et al.*, 2007; *M. N. Muller et al.*, 2015; *M.N. Muller et al.*, 2017; *Poulton et al.*, 2013; *Poulton et al.*, 2011]. All these approximations are important to keep in mind in any generalization of our results. We also note that our technique does not distinguish between living and non-living biomass, and thus is more representative of the history of production than the extent of extant populations at the time of sampling.

2. Methods

Sub-sections 2.1 and 2.2 present the sampling and analytical methods, respectively, used for the 8 transits across the Southern Ocean since 2012. Sub-section 2.3 details the different methods used during the earlier single transit in 2008 and assesses the comparability of those results to the later voyages. Sub-section 2.4 details measurements of water column dissolved nutrients, inorganic carbon

4

146 and alkalinity. Sub-section 2.5 provides details of satellite remote sensing data and the NASA Ocean

147 Biogeochemical Model used for comparison to the ship results.

148

149 **2.1. Voyages and sample collection procedures**

150 The locations of the voyages, divided into north and south legs, are shown in Figure 1. Voyage and

151 sample collection details are given in Table 1, where for ease of reference we have numbered the legs

152 in chronological order and refer to them hereafter as VL1, VL2, etc. Samples were collected from the

153 Australian icebreaker *RV Aurora Australia* for 4 voyages and from the French Antarctic resupply

154 vessel *l'Astrolabe* for 1 voyage. All samples were collected from the ships' underway "clean"

155 seawater supply lines, with intakes at ~4 m depth. These supply lines are separate from the engine

156 intakes, have scheduled maintenance and cleaning, and are only turned on offshore (to avoid possible

157 contamination from coastal waters). Samples were collected primarily while underway, except during

158 VL1 and VL3, which were operated as WOCE/CLIVAR hydrographic sections with full depth CTDs,

159 with samples collected on station.

160

161 For all voyages (except VL1, discussed in section 2.3 below), separate water volumes were collected

162 for the PIC, POC, and BSi analyses. The POC samples also yielded particulate nitrogen results -

163 referred to here as PON. The POC/PON and BSi samples were collected using a semi-automated

164 system that rapidly, ~ 1 minute, and precisely filled separate 1 L volumes for each analyte - thus these

165 samples are effectively point samples. In contrast, PIC samples were collected using the pressure of

166 the underway seawater supply to achieve filtration of large volumes (10's to 100's of litres) over ~2

167 hours. Thus these samples represent collections along ~20 miles of the ship track (except when done

168 at stations).

169

170 POC/PON samples were filtered through pre-combusted 13 mm diameter quartz filters (0.8 µm pore

171 size, Sartorius Cat#FT-3-1109-013) that had been pre-loaded in clean (flow-bench) conditions in the

172 laboratory into in-line polycarbonate filter holders (Sartorius #16514E). The filters were preserved by

173 drying in their filter holders at 60°C for 48 hours at sea, and returned to the laboratory in clean dry

174 boxes.

175

176 Biogenic silica samples were filtered through either 13 mm diameter nitrocellulose filters (0.8 µm

177 pore size, Millipore Cat#AAWP01300) or 13 mm diameter polycarbonate filters (0.8 µm pore size,

178 Whatman Cat#110409), pre-loaded in clean (flow-bench) conditions in the laboratory into in-line

179 polycarbonate filter holders (Sartorius #16514E). Filters were preserved by drying in their filter

180 holders at 60°C for 48 hours at sea, and returned to the laboratory in clean dry boxes.

181

Passmore, Abe (O…, 26/9/2017 11:18 AM
Deleted: clean

Passmore, Abe (O…, 26/9/2017 11:33 AM
Deleted: supplies

Passmore, Abe (O…, 26/9/2017 11:33 AM
Deleted:

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 5/10/2017 8:53 PM
Deleted: .

PIC samples were collected by sequential filtration for two size fractions. After pre-filtration through a 47 mm diameter 1000 μm nylon mesh and supply pressure reduction to 137 kPa, seawater was filtered through a 47 mm diameter in-line 50 μm nylon filter to collect foraminifera, and then through a 47 mm diameter in-line 0.8 μm GF/F filter (Whatman Cat#1825-047) to collect coccolithophores. The flow path was split using a pressure relief valve set to 55 kPa, so that large volumes (~200 L) passed the 50 μm filter, and only a small fraction of this volume (~15 L) passed the 0.8 μm filter. Filtration time was typically 2 hours. Volume measurement was done by either metering or accumulation. Based on visual examination, the high flow rate through the 50 μm nylon mesh was sufficient to disaggregate faecal pellets and detrital aggregates. The flow rate data also suggests that filter clogging was uncommon (see the Supplementary Information for expanded discussion). While still in their holders, the filters were rinsed twice with 3 mL of 20 mM potassium tetraborate buffer solution (for the first couple of voyages and later degassed deionized water) to remove dissolved inorganic carbon, and blown dry with clean pressurised air (69 kPa). We consider that the short contact time of this rinse did not dissolve PIC, based on the sharp (non-eroded) features of coccolithophores collected in this way and examined by scanning electron microscopy (Cubillos et al., 2007). The filters were then removed from their holders, folded, and inserted into Exetainer glass tubes (Labco Cat #938W) and dried at 60 °C for 48 hours for return to the laboratory. In the following text, we refer to the GF/F filter sample results (which sampled the 0.8 (~ 1) to 50 μm size fraction) as PIC01, and the nylon mesh sample fraction (which sampled the 50-1000 μm size fraction) as PIC50.

2.2 Sample analyses

2.2.1 Particulate Organic Carbon and Nitrogen analysis

The returned filter holders were opened in a laminar flow bench. Zooplankton were removed from the filters and the filters were then cleanly transferred into silver cups (Sercon Cat#SC0037), acidified with 50 μL of 2 N HCl and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes to remove carbonates, and dried in an oven at 60 °C for 48 hours. The silver cups were then folded closed and the samples, along with process blanks (filters treated in the same way as samples, but without any water flow onboard the ship) and casein standards (Elemental Microanalysis OAS standard CatNo. B2155, Batch 114859) were sent to the University of Tasmania Central Sciences Laboratory for CHN elemental analysis against sulphanilamide standards. Repeat samples collected sequentially at approximately 2 hour intervals while the ship remained on station (station replicates) had a standard error of 7% (1 sd n= 10) and 8% (1 sd n= 10) for POC and PON respectively. Importantly the processing blanks were large and variable, and were corrected for separately for each voyage. For VL2 and VL3, POC process blanks averaged 25± 6 μg C (1 sd, n=2) equating to 20% of the average sample value. For VL4 and VL5, POC process blanks averaged 14 ± 2 μg C (1 sd, n=4) equating to 18% of the average sample

6

240 value. For VL6 and VL7, POC process blanks averaged 23 ± 3 µg C (1 sd n=4) equating to 28 % of

241 the average sample value. For VL8 and VL9 POC process blanks averaged 14 ± 1 µg C (1 sd n=4)

242 equating to 14 % of the average sample value.

243

244 **2.2.2 Biogenic Silica analysis**

245 Biogenic silica was dissolved by adding 4 mL of 0.2 M NaOH and incubating at 95 °C for 90 minutes,

246 similar to the method of [*Paasche*, 1973]. Samples were then rapidly cooled to 4 °C and acidified with

247 1 mL of 1 M HCl. Thereafter samples were centrifuged at 1880 *g* for 10 minutes and the supernatant

248 was transferred to a new tube and diluted with 36 g L^{-1} sodium chloride. Biogenic silica

249 concentrations were determined by spectrophotometry using an Alpkem model 3590 segmented flow

250 analyser and following USGS Method I-2700-85 with these modifications: ammonium molybdate

251 solution contained 10 g L^{-1} $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$, 800 µl of 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate detergent replaced

252 Levor IV solution, acetone was omitted from the ascorbic acid solution, and sodium chloride at the

253 concentration of seawater was used as the carrier solution. Station replicates had a standard error of

254 9% (1 sd n=9). The average blank values were 0.002 ± 0.003 µmoles per filter (1 sd, n=13) for

255 nitrocellulose filters and 0.002 ± 0.002 µmoles per filter (1 sd, n=2) for polycarbonate filters, equating

256 to 0.16 % and 0.01 % of average sample values, respectively.

257

258 **2.2.3 Particulate Inorganic Carbon analysis**

259 Particulate inorganic carbon samples were analysed by coulometry using a UIC CM5015 coulometer

260 connected to a Gilson 232 autosampler and syringe dilutor. The samples were analysed directly in

261 their gas tight Exetainer collection tubes, by purging for 5 minutes with nitrogen gas, acidification

262 with 1.6 mL (PIC50 - 50 µm nylon filters) or 2.4 mL (PIC01 - GF/F filters) of 1 N phosphoric acid,

263 and equilibration overnight at 40°C. Samples were analysed the following day with a sample analysis

264 time of 8 minutes and a dried carrier gas flow rate of 160 mL min^{-1}. Calcium carbonate standards

265 (Sigma Cat#398101-100G) were either weighed onto GF/F filters or weighed into tin cups (Sercon

266 Cat# SC1190) and then inserted into Exetainer tubes (some with blank nylon filters). Station

267 replicates had standard errors of 18% (1 sd n=11) and 13% (1 sd n=11) for PIC01 and PIC50

268 respectively. The average GF/F filter blank value was -0.07 ± 0.27 µg C (1 sd, n=47) equating to -

269 0.21% of average sample values, and for nylon filters was 0.04 ± 0.27 µg C (1 sd, n=46) equating to

270 0.05% of average sample values.

271

272 **2.3 Distinct sample collection and analytical methods used during V1**

273 **2.3.1 Distinct sample collection procedures for VL1**

274 For VL1, single samples were collected at each location by both sequential filtration and

275 centrifugation of the underway supply over 1-3 hours. Despite the long collection times these

276 samples are effectively point samples because they were collected on station.

7

332

333 Sequential filtration was done using in-line 47 mm filter holders (Sartorius, Inc.) holding 3 sizes of

334 nylon mesh (1000 µm, 200 µm, 50 µm) followed by a glass fibre filter (Whatman GF/F, 0.8 µm

335 nominal pore size, muffled before use). These size fractions were intended to collect foraminifera (50-

336 200 µm) and coccolithophores (0.8-50 µm), and pteropods (200-1000 µm), but the largest size

337 fraction had insufficient material for analysis. The flow rate at the start of filtration was 25-30 L hour^{-1}

338 and typically dropped during filtration. The 0.8 µm filter was replaced if flow rates dropped below 10

339 L hour^{-1}. Sampling typically took 3 hours. Quantities of filtered seawater were measured using a flow

340 meter (Magnaught M1RSP-2RL) with a precision of +/-1%. After filtration, remaining seawater in the

341 system was removed using a vacuum pump. Filters were transferred to 75 mm Petri dishes inside a

342 flow bench, placed in an oven (SEM Pty Ltd, vented convection) for 3-6 hours to dry at 60 ^{o}C and

343 stored in dark, cool boxes for return to the laboratory.

344

345 A continuous flow Foerst type centrifuge [*Kimball Jr and Ferguson Wood*, 1964], operating at 18700

346 rpm, was used to concentrate phytoplankton from the underway system at a flow rate of 60 L per

347 hour, measured using a water meter with a precision of +/-1% (Arad). Sampling typically took 1-3

348 hours. After centrifugation, 500 mL of de-ionized water was run through the centrifuge to flush away

349 remaining seawater and associated dissolved inorganic carbon. This was followed by 50 mL of

350 ethanol to flush away the de-ionized water, ensure organic matter detached from the cup wall, and

351 speed subsequent drying. Inside a laminar flow clean bench, the slurry in the centrifuge head was

352 transferred into a 10 mL polypropylene centrifuge tube (Labserve) and the material on the wall of the

353 cup was transferred using 3 mL of ethanol and a rubber policeman. The sample was then centrifuged

354 for 15 minutes and 3200 rpm, and the supernatant (~7 mL) removed and discarded. The vial was

355 placed in the oven to dry for 12 hours at 60 ^{o}C and returned to the laboratory.

356

357 **2.3.2 Distinct analytical procedures for VL1 samples**

358 POC/PON analyses for the 0.8 µm size fraction collected by filtration were done by packing five 5

359 mm diameter aliquots (punches) of the 47 mm diameter GF/F filters into acid-resistant 5x8 mm silver

360 cups (Sercon SC0037), treating these with two 20 µl aliquots of 2 N HCl to remove carbonates [*P

361 King et al.*, 1998], and drying at 60 ^{o}C for at least 48 hours. For the 50 µm mesh filtration samples,

362 and the centrifuge samples, 0.5-1.0 mg aliquots of the dried (72 hours at 60 ^{o}C) centrifuge pellet

363 remaining after PIC coulometry were encapsulated in 4x6 mm silver cups (Sercon SC0036).

364 Analyses of all these sample types was by catalytic combustion using a Thermo-Finnigan Flash 1112

365 elemental analyzer calibrated against sulphanilamide standards (Central Sciences Laboratory,

366 University of Tasmania). Precision of the analysis was +/- 1 %. A blank correction for of 0.19 ± 0.09

367 ug C was applied which represented 1.6 % of an average sample.

368

Diana Davies 28/9/2017 12:29 PM
Deleted: 5

370 PIC concentrations were determined for subsamples of the 0.8 μm GF/F filters (half of the filter), the

371 whole 50 μm mesh screens, and the whole centrifuge samples by closed system acidification and

372 coulometry using a UIC CM5011 CO_2 coulometer. The samples were placed in glass vials (or in the

373 case of the centrifuge tubes connected via an adaptor), connected to a manual acidification unit and

374 condenser and maintained at 40°C after acidification with 4mL of 1N HCl, and swept with a nitrogen

375 gas-flow (~100 mL min^{-1}) via a drier and aerosol filter (Balston) into the coulometry cell. Calibration

376 versus calcium carbonate standards (200 to 3000ug) provided precision of ± 0.3%. However, for the

377 0.8 μm filter, precision was limited to 10 % by sub-sampling of the filter due to uneven distribution.

378 Blank corrections were applied to the 0.8 μm size fraction, being 2.4 ± 1.8 ug C representing 8.8 % of

379 an average sample. The 50 μm fraction blank correction was 3.3 ± 0.1 ug C, representing 22 % of an

380 average sample. Centrifuge pellet coulometry blank subtraction was 2.0 ± 0.1 ug C, equivalent to 2.8

381 % of an average sample.

382

383 Biogenic silica analysis of the residues remaining after PIC analysis of the centrifugation samples,

384 was by alkaline digestion (0.2 N NaOH) in a 95°C water bath for 90 minutes, similar to the method

385 described by Paasche (1973) and as described in section 2.2.2. with the variation that 4 mL of each

386 sample was transfered from the centrifuge tubes and filtered using a syringe filter before dilution to

387 10mL.

388

389 **2.3.3 Comparison of VL1 to other voyages**

390 The first survey on VL1 in 2008 differed from later efforts in two important ways: i) POC and PIC

391 samples were collected by both filtration and centrifugation, ii) separate BSi samples were not

392 collected - instead BSi analyses were carried out only on the sample residues from PIC coulometric

393 sample digestions of the centrifuge samples. Comparison of POC and PIC results from the

394 centrifugation samples (effectively total samples without size fractionation) and the filtration samples

395 (separated into the PIC01 0.8-50 μm and PIC50 50-1000 μm size fractions) shows (Figure 2) that

396 filtration collected somewhat more PIC (order 20-30 %) and considerably more POC (order 200-300

397 %) than centrifugation. This fits with the possibility of loss of material from the continuous

398 centrifuge cup, with greater loss of lower density organic matter (and possible additional loss of

399 organic matter via dissolution in the ethanol rinsing step). Thus for comparison of VL1 POC and PIC

400 to the other voyages we use only the filtration results, thereby avoiding methodological biases. For

401 BSi, we do not have this possibility. Based on the low centrifuge yields for PIC and POC we can

402 expect that the VL1 BSi values are also too low. This is confirmed by comparison to the other

403 voyages which reveals that VL1 BSi values were lower than those of other voyages, especially in the

404 far south where BSi values were generally highest (data shown below), but nonetheless had similar

9

429 north-south latitudinal trends. For this reason, our further interpretation of the VL1 BSi results is only
430 in terms of these latitudinal trends.
431

2.4 Analysis of nutrients, DIC, alkalinity, and calculation of pH and calcite saturation

433 Nutrients were analysed onboard ship for VL1 to VL5, and on frozen samples returned to land for
434 VL6-9, all by the CSIRO hydrochemistry group following WOCE/CLIVAR standard procedures,
435 with minor variations [*Eriksen*, 1997], to achieve precisions of ~1% for nitrate, phosphate, and silicate
436 concentrations. Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkalinity samples were collected in gas tight
437 bottles poisoned with mercuric chloride and measured at CSIRO by coulometry and open cell
438 titration, respectively [*Dickson et al.*, 2007]. Comparison to certified reference materials suggests
439 accuracy and precision for both DIC and alkalinity of better than ± 2 μmol kg^{-1}. Full details were
440 recently published [*Roden et al.*, 2016]. Calculation of pH (free scale) and calcite saturation were
441 based on the Seacarb version 3.1.2 software (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=seacarb), which
442 uses the default selection of equilibrium constants given in [*Van Heuven et al.*, 2011].
443

2.5 Satellite derived ocean properties and the NASA Ocean Biogeochemistry Model

445 The locations of oceanographic fronts in the Australian sector were estimated from satellite altimetry,
446 following the approach of [*S. Sokolov and Rintoul*, 2002], updated as follows. Absolute sea surface
447 height (SSH) was calculated by adding the sea surface height anomaly from AVISO+ [*Pujol et al.*,
448 2016] to the 2500 dbar reference level mean dynamic topography of [*Olbers et al.*, 1992]. The
449 positions of the fronts were then identified using the sea surface height contours corresponding to the
450 positions of the Southern Ocean fronts identified by [*S. Sokolov and Rintoul*, 2007a] in the region
451 100-180 °E. From this analysis, we show 8 fronts from north to south consisting of:
452 Fronts 1-3: north, middle, and south branches of the Subantarctic Front (SAF), which bound the
453 highest velocity jets of the ACC. Fronts 4-6: north, middle, and south branches of the Polar Front
454 (PF), associated with subsurface temperature features related to the strength of the ACC and with the
455 shoaling of CDW in the overturning circulation. The Polar Frontal Zone (PFZ) lies between the
456 northernmost of these branches and the SAF to its north. Fronts 7-8: north and south branches of the
457 Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front (sACCf) front, marking weaker flows in Antarctic
458 waters of the ACC and occurring near where upwelling of old nutrient rich and relatively acidic
459 Circumpolar Deep Water comes closest to the surface.
460

461 We do not show the Subtropical Front (STF) that marks the northern boundary of the Southern Ocean,
462 or the Southern Boundary Front, which marks the southern edge of the ACC (separating it from
463 westerly flow in Antarctic shelf waters). This is because both features have weak, discontinuous SSH
464 signatures south of Australia: mesoscale eddies rather than the STF dominate the weak SSH field in
465 the Subantarctic Zone (SAZ; between the STF and the SAF), and detection of the Southern Boundary

10

468   Front is confounded by proximity to the Antarctic shelf where altimetry is impacted by other

469   processes, including sea-ice cover for much of the year [*S. Sokolov and Rintoul*, 2007a].

470

471   We considered using these dynamic heights and front locations as ordinates for the spatial

472   distributions of POC, PIC and BSi. In the core of the ACC (50-60 °S), this did help explain some

473   departures from monotonic north-south trends as resulting from meanders of the fronts, but latitude

474   was more strongly correlated with PIC abundance in the SAZ and with BSi in southern ACC waters

475   and Antarctic shelf waters, where dynamic height contours were only weakly varying. Accordingly,

476   there was no overall advantage of replacing latitude by dynamic height as a predictor of biogenic

477   mineral concentrations, and we have used latitude as the ordinate in our figures and discussion.

478

479   Sea surface temperatures (°C) were obtained from the NASA MODIS Aqua 11 μm night-only L3m

480   product available on-line:

481   https://giovanni.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni/#service=TmAvMp&starttime=&endtime=&data=MODISA_

482   L3m_SST_2014_nsst&variableFacets=dataFieldMeasurement%3ASea%20Surface%20Temperature

483   %3B

484   We chose the night values to avoid shallow ephemeral structures arising from daytime solar heating.

485   We refer to these estimates simply as SST values.

486

487   Phytoplankton chlorophyll concentrations (Chl in mg m^{-3} = ug L^{-1}) were obtained from the NASA

488   MODIS Aqua L3m product available on-line:

489   https://giovanni.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni/#service=TmAvMp&starttime=&endtime=&data=MODISA_

490   L3m_CHL_2014_chlor_a&variableFacets=dataFieldMeasurement%3AChlorophyll%3B

491   The full citation for this data is:

492   NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Ocean Ecology Laboratory, Ocean Biology Processing Group.

493   Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Aqua Chlorophyll Data; 2014

494   Reprocessing. NASA OB.DAAC, Greenbelt, MD, USA.

495   doi:10.5067/AQUA/MODIS/L3M/CHL/2014.

496   The algorithm relies on the blue/green reflectance ratio for Chl values above 0.2 ug L^{-1} and

497   incorporates stray light correction based on the difference between red and blue light reflectances at

498   lower Chl levels. This product has been suggested to underestimate chlorophyll in the Southern Ocean

499   south of Australia (Johnson et al., 2013), but has the advantage of ongoing ready availability. For this

500   reason, we use it only for context and not for any detailed comparisons to shipboard observations. We

501   refer to these estimates as SChl values.

502

503   Particulate inorganic carbonate concentrations (mol m^{-3}) based on backscatter magnitudes [*W M Balch*

504   *et al.*, 2005] were obtained from the NASA MODIS/AQUA ocean colour product available on-line:

11

Passmore, Abe (O…, 26/9/2017 2:06 PM
Deleted: as resulting

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:02 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:02 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:02 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:02 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:02 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:04 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:04 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:03 AM
Deleted:

514 https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi/l3/A20111212011151.L3m_MO_PIC_pic_9km.nc.png?sub=img

515 The full citation for this data is:

516 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Ocean Ecology Laboratory, Ocean Biology Processing Group.

517 Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Aqua Particulate Inorganic Carbon Data;

518 2014 Reprocessing. NASA OB.DAAC, Greenbelt, MD, USA. doi:

519 10.5067/AQUA/MODIS/L3M/PIC/2014.

520 We refer to these estimates as SPIC values. The veracity of these estimates in the Southern Ocean

521 remains an active area of research. PIC sampling in the Subantarctic South Atlantic found levels 2-3

522 times lower than the satellite estimates [*W M Balch et al.*, 2011], and the algorithm also produces

523 surprisingly high estimates in Antarctic waters, where limited shipboard surveys suggest that

524 coccolithophore abundances drop strongly (work summarized in Balch et al., 2005). Our data

525 provides the most extensive PIC observations for comparison to SPIC values in Antarctic waters yet

526 available, and is discussed in detail below. Comparison of PIC and SPIC values at individual

527 sampling sites was based on combined data from MODIS Aqua and Terra 9km daily products. SPIC

528 values were an average of pixels within 25 km of PIC sampling sites on the same day.

529

530 Modeled coccolithophore distributions were obtained from the data-assimilating general circulation

531 model NASA Ocean Biogeochemical Model (NOBM) available on-line:

532 https://giovanni.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni/#service=TmAvMp&starttime=&endtime=&data=NOBM_M

533 ON_R2014_coc&variableFacets=dataFieldDiscipline%3AOcean%20Biology%3BdataFieldMeasure

534 ment%3APhytoplankton%3B

535 The phytoplankton function type model is based on [*Watson W Gregg and Casey*, 2007a]. Details of

536 particular relevance to comparisons with our observations are discussed in section 3.4.

537

538 **3. Results and Discussion**

539

540 **3.1 Representativeness of oceanographic sampling**

541 As shown in Figure 1, sampling covered all Southern Ocean zones from sub-tropical waters in the

542 north to seasonally sea-ice covered waters in the south (covering SST ranging from -1 to 23 °C).

543 Almost all samples were representative of high-nutrient low-chlorophyll Southern Ocean waters,

544 indicative of iron limitation. Exceptions occurred near Tasmania, where moderate levels of SChl

545 were occasionally present, and over the Antarctic shelf where locally very high levels of SChl were

546 present. Individual maps for each voyage leg of SChl are provided in the Supplementary Material and

547 of satellite reflectance based estimates of PIC (SPIC) below, and reveal that higher values of SChl and

548 SPIC are often associated with mesoscale structures, especially in the Subantarctic and Polar Frontal

549 Zones. This means that mesoscale variability makes satellite versus shipboard comparisons difficult,

550 and this problem is exacerbated by frequent cloud cover. Both techniques characterize the very upper

12

water column, with ship samples from ~4m depth and the satellite ocean colour observations reflecting the e-folding penetration depth of ~10-15 m [*Grenier et al.*, 2015; *Morel and Maritorena*, 2001].

It appears likely that our single-depth sampling can be considered as representative of upper water column phytoplankton concentrations, because pigment samples and profiles of beam attenuation and night-time fluorescence from some of these voyages as well as previous work show that biomass is generally well mixed in the upper water column, and that when subsurface chlorophyll maxima are present they primarily reflect increased chlorophyll levels rather than increased phytoplankton abundances [*Andrew R. Bowie et al.*, 2011a; *A.R. Bowie et al.*, 2011b; *Parslow et al.*, 2001; *Rintoul and Trull*, 2001; *Shadwick et al.*, 2015; *Trull et al.*, 2001b; *S. W. Wright et al.*, 1996; *S.W. Wright and van den Enden*, 2000]. This perspective is also consistent with the limited information on the depth distributions of coccolithophores in the Southern Ocean, which generally exhibit relatively uniform and maximal values (especially for the most abundant species, *Emiliania huxleyi*) within the surface mixed layer [*Findlay and Giraudeau*, 2000; *Holligan et al.*, 2010; *Mohan et al.*, 2008; *Takahashi and Okada*, 2000]. There is some evidence that this conclusion can also be applied to the PIC50 foraminiferal fraction, in that the most abundant of these organisms tend to co-locate with phytoplankton in the mixed layer in the Southern Ocean [*Mortyn and Charles*, 2003].

3.2 Latitudinal distributions of BSi, PIC, and POC

All the Voyage Legs exhibited similar latitudinal variations of the measured chemical components (Figure 3). BSi, predominantly derived from diatoms, was clearly the dominant biogenic mineral in the south in Antarctic waters. PIC01 concentrations, predominantly derived from coccolithophores, were highest in northern Subantarctic waters, although even there BSi was often present at similar levels. Interestingly, PIC50 concentrations, predominantly derived from foraminifera, often exhibited maxima in the middle of the Southern Ocean at latitudes of 55-60 °S. The latitudinal variations in all these biogenic mineral concentrations were quite strong, exceeding two orders of magnitude. In contrast, variations in POC were 10-fold smaller, and often quite uniform across the central Southern Ocean, with maxima sometimes in the far north near Tasmania and sometimes in the far south over the Antarctic shelf (Figure 3). Variations in BSi, PIC, and POC concentrations among the voyages, at a given latitude, were smaller than these north-south trends. It seems likely that these smaller variations were partly seasonal, in that the earliest seasonal voyage leg (VL4 in September) had lower concentrations of every component. But across the other voyages, ranging from mid-November (VL5) to mid-April (VL1) no clear seasonal cycle was exhibited, perhaps owing to variations in sampling location, and the known importance of inter-annual and mesoscale structures in Southern Ocean phytoplankton distributions (e.g. [*Moore et al.*, 1999; *Moore and Abbott*, 2002; *S. Sokolov and Rintoul*, 2007b]). As noted in the Methods section (2.3), the BSi values for VL1 stand out as being too

601   low, in that they were well below those of other voyages, while the POC, PIC01, and PIC50 values
602   were similar.

603

604   The latitudinal dependence of the relative importance of diatoms and coccolithophores is revealed by
605   viewing the BSi/PIC01 ratios as an ensemble for all the voyages (use of the ratio helps to remove
606   seasonal and interannual variations in their abundances which tend to track each other at a given
607   latitude). The BSi/PIC01 ratio reaches values of 200 in the far south and decreases north of 50 °S to
608   values near 1 (Figure 4a). Approximate equivalence of BSi and PIC01 occurs relatively far north in
609   the Southern Ocean, near 50 °S, and thus near the southern edge of the Subantarctic Zone. This
610   persistence of the importance of diatoms as a major component of the phytoplankton community in
611   northern waters of the Southern Ocean must reflect the winter-time renewal of silica supply from
612   upwelled deep waters in the Southern Ocean that are carried north by Ekman transport, combined
613   with recycling of biogenic silica within surface waters, given that by mid-summer silicate is largely
614   depleted north of the Subantarctic Front [*Nelson et al.*, 2001; *Trull et al.*, 2001b]. Accordingly the
615   relative dominance of diatoms and coccolithophores in the SAZ may be quite sensitive to changes in
616   the overturning circulation and westerly wind field. How this might translate into impacts on the
617   biological carbon pump remains far from clear. Interestingly, deep ocean sediment traps in the SAZ
618   south of Australia reveal strong dominance (4-fold) of PIC over BSi in the export flux to the ocean
619   interior, reminding us that export can be selective (and also that foraminifera can contribute a
620   significant fraction of total PIC, estimated to vary from ~1/3 to 2/3; [*A L King and Howard*, 2003]).
621   The POC flux recovered by these deep sediment traps was close to the global median and similar to
622   that of biogenic silica dominated fluxes in the Polar Frontal Zone to the south [*Trull et al.*, 2001a].

623

624   The importance of diatoms across the entire Southern Ocean, relative to coccolithophores is further
625   emphasized by expressing their biogenic mineral abundances in terms of associated POC, using
626   average values for the POC/BSi ratio of iron-limited diatoms (3.35, equivalent to a Si/N ratio of 2 and
627   Redfield C/N ratio of 6.7 [*Olivier Ragueneau et al.*, 2006; *Takeda*, 1998]) and the POC/PIC ratio of
628   coccolithophores (1.5, for *Emiliania huxleyi* morphotype A, the dominant Southern Ocean species,
629   [*Bach et al.*, 2015; *M. N. Muller et al.*, 2015]). As shown in Figure 4b, this suggests that diatoms
630   dominate the accumulation of organic carbon throughout the Southern Ocean, with coccolithophores
631   generally contributing less than half that of diatoms in the SAZ and less than a tenth of that in
632   Antarctic waters. This statement is of course limited to POC captured by our small volume, size limited (1-
633   1000 mm), sampling procedure, and variability in the extent of dominance and the scaling of POC to biogenic
634   minerals still allows significant contributions from other POC sources. The relatively small POC
635   contribution from coccolithophores is only weakly sensitive to the ~3-fold variation [*M. N. Muller et*
636   *al.*, 2015] of POC/PIC ratios among *Emiliania huxleyi* morphotypes. Using the lower value of 0.83
637   observed for over-calcified forms that occur in the northern SAZ would reduce the POC contribution

14

645 there but still leave it co-dominant with diatoms, and using the higher value of 2.5 observed for polar

646 morphotype C would increase the POC contribution in Antarctic waters, but still leave it

647 overwhelmed by the diatom contribution (Figure 4b). The relative contributions to total POC are also

648 sensitive to the POC/BSi ratio chosen for diatoms (which vary significantly across genera; [*O.*

649 *Ragueneau et al.*, 2002; *Olivier Ragueneau et al.*, 2006]). For these reasons, the relative dominance is

650 best viewed on the log scale of Figure 4b, and while keeping in mind the considerable scatter.

651

652 Figure 4b also emphasizes that total POC contents can be largely explained by diatom biomass in

653 Antarctic waters (south of 50 °S), whereas in the SAZ (north of 50 °S), total POC often exceeds the

654 sum of contributions from diatoms and coccolithophores. This serves as an important reminder that

655 other organisms are important to the carbon cycle in the SAZ, and phytoplankton functional type

656 models should avoid over-emphasis on diatoms and coccolithophores just because they have

657 discernable biogeochemical impacts (on silica and alkalinity, respectively) and satellite remote

658 sensing signatures [*Hood et al.*, 2006; *Moore et al.*, 2002]. Finally, we note that the relatively low

659 levels of PIC across the Southern Ocean as observed here means that POC/PIC ratios are high, greater

660 than 4 in the SAZ and ranging up to 20 in Antarctic waters (Figure 4a). This suggests calcification

661 has a negligible countering impact on the reduction of surface ocean CO_2 partial pressure by

662 phytoplankton uptake, even smaller than the few to ~10% influence identified earlier from deep

663 sediment trap compositions in HNLC [*P. W. Boyd and Trull*, 2007a] and iron-enriched waters,

664 respectively [*Salter et al.*, 2014].

665

666 Notably, our Southern Ocean PIC01 estimates are smaller than those found in northern hemisphere

667 polar waters. As compiled by Balch et al. (2005), concentrations were 100-fold higher (~10 μM) in

668 the north Atlantic south of Iceland (60-63 °N) than any of our values, and 1000-fold higher than our

669 values in the same southern hemisphere latitude range. Values collected over many years from the

670 Gulf of Maine [*W M Balch et al.*, 2008] were ~ 1 μM, and thus 5-10 times higher than our SAZ values

671 (Gulf of Maine summer temperatures are similar to the SAZ, and colder in winter). This difference

672 between hemispheres is also evident in observations from the South Atlantic, where PIC values

673 estimated from acid labile backscatter for 6 voyages between 2004 and 2008 and latitudes 40-50 °S

674 were ~0.1-0.5 μM in remote waters [*W M Balch and Utgoff*, 2009], increasing to 1-2 μM in the

675 Argentine Basin with a few values reaching 4 μM [*W Balch et al.*, 2014]. These high South Atlantic

676 observations are the highest of the "Great Calcite Belt" identified as a circumpolar feature of

677 Subantarctic waters based on SPIC values [*W Balch et al.*, 2014; *W M Balch et al.*, 2011]. Notably,

678 shipboard PIC measurements in this feature are 2-3 times lower than the SPIC estimates in the South

679 Atlantic [*W M Balch et al.*, 2011], and ship collected samples from two voyages across the South

680 Atlantic and Indian sectors [*W M Balch et al.*, 2016] exhibit PIC concentrations (actual PIC values

15

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 3:17 PM
Moved up [2]: [*M. N. Muller et al.*, 2015]

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:14 AM
Deleted:

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 5/10/2017 9:45 PM
Deleted: abundances

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:42 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:16 AM
Deleted:

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 5/10/2017 9:45 PM
Deleted: abundance

Trull, Tom (O&A, …, 5/10/2017 10:02 PM
Deleted: of pelagic calcifying organisms

Trull, Tom (O&A, …, 5/10/2017 10:12 PM
Deleted: , and thus in mediating CO_2 transfer from the atmosphere into the surface ocean

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:17 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:18 AM
Deleted:

Trull, Tom (O&A, …, 5/10/2017 10:05 PM
Deleted: u

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:19 AM
Deleted:

Trull, Tom (O&A, …, 5/10/2017 10:05 PM
Deleted: u

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:19 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:19 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:19 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:20 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:20 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:20 AM
Deleted:

701 accessed online at http://www.bco-dmo.org/dataset/560357, rather than the PIC estimates from acid-

702 labile backscatter shown in the paper) that decrease eastwards in this feature to reach values close to

703 our observations in the Australian sector of ~ 0.1 μM (Figure 3).

704

705 **3.3 Comparison to satellite PIC (SPIC) estimates**

706 As is very evident from the limited observations we have achieved from our efforts over many years,

707 it will never be possible to characterize Southern Ocean phytoplankton population dynamics from

708 ship based sampling – the influences of mesoscale circulation, ephemeral inputs of the limiting

709 nutrient iron, and food web dynamics produce variability that cannot be adequately assessed in this

710 way, leaving sparse sampling open to potentially large biases. Use of satellite observations is clearly

711 the path forward to alleviate this problem, and development of algorithms for global coccolithophore

712 distributions has been a major advance [*W M Balch et al.*, 2005; *Brown and Yoder*, 1994]. Until

713 recently the calibration of these SPIC values has been based primarily on North Atlantic observations.

714 Work to check these efforts for the Southern Ocean has begun, but remains sparse. Early work in the

715 South Atlantic found that SPIC values appeared to exceed ocean PIC by a factor of 2-3 [*W M Balch et*

716 *al.*, 2011], and based on a handful of samples it was suggested that this might reflect a lower amount

717 of PIC per coccolith [*Holligan et al.*, 2010], and it has since been confirmed that polar

718 coccolithophores can have low PIC contents [*Charalampopoulou et al.*, 2016; *M. N. Muller et al.*,

719 2015; *Poulton et al.*, 2011]. Two dedicated voyages to investigate the "Great Calcite Belt" in the SAZ

720 and PFZ across the South Atlantic and South Indian Oceans, attempted comparison of acid-labile

721 backscatter (as a proxy for PIC) and MODIS SPIC values, but there were no match-ups in the South

722 Atlantic owing to cloudy conditions [*W M Balch et al.*, 2016]. Results from the South Indian sector,

723 and from other voyages in the South Atlantic show high acid-labile backscatter which translates into

724 high SPIC estimates in the SAZ and PFZ (especially in naturally iron-fertilized waters), but also high

725 values further south which are not in agreement with ship observations [*W M Balch et al.*, 2016; *Smith*

726 *et al.*, 2017].

727

728 Comparison of our ship observations to MODIS SPIC estimates are shown in Figure 5 for each

729 voyage leg. These reveal some agreement in the SAZ in terms of identifying moderate levels of PIC,

730 often in association with higher levels of total SChl (Supplementary Material), but differ strongly in

731 Antarctic waters where all ship observations reveal low PIC values, whereas the SPIC estimates in

732 Antarctic waters reach and often exceed those in the SAZ, especially over the Antarctic shelf. Both

733 cloudy conditions and strong mesoscale variability limit the number of direct comparisons (match-

734 ups) that can be made. Using a match-up length scale of 25 km (i.e. the ship and satellite observations

735 must be within 25 km of each other on the same day), which is somewhat larger than the correlation

736 length scale for chlorophyll in the Southern Ocean of 10-15 km [*Haëntjens et al.*, 2017], allowed us to

737 retain 116 match-ups. These results, shown in Figure 6, confirm that the satellite SPIC values are

16

reasonable estimates in Subantarctic waters, within a factor of 2-3 [*W M Balch et al.*, 2011], but very much too high in Antarctic waters.

3.4 Comparison to possible environmental controls on coccolithophore growth rates

The ship observations provided here offer a significant advance in quantifying the distributions of coccolithophores in the Southern Ocean south of Australia, but much less understanding of why these distributions arise and therefore how they might change in response to climate, circulation, and biogeochemical changes in the future. Coccolithophores, especially the most common species *Emiliania huxleyi*, have been studied sufficiently in the laboratory to allow possible important controls on their niches and especially their calcification rates to be proposed, including temperature, pH, pCO_2, calcite saturation state, light, and macro- and micro-nutrient availability [*Bach et al.*, 2015; *Feng et al.*, 2016; *Mackinder et al.*, 2010; *M. N. Muller et al.*, 2015; *Müller et al.*, 2017; *Schlüter et al.*, 2014; *Schulz et al.*, 2007; *Sett et al.*, 2014; *Zhang et al.*, 2015]. We collected observations of many of these properties in parallel with our PIC observations, and now briefly examine whether they present correlations that might contribute to understanding why coccolithophores are found mainly in northern Subantarctic waters, and not further south. For illustrative purposes, we focus on VL3 (the mid- to late summer I9 northward hydrographic section from Antarctica to Perth) and VL6 (the early to mid-summer southward Astrolabe transit from Tasmania to Antarctica). VL3 covered the widest range of physical properties, and exhibited PIC01 concentrations that remained elevated further south than any other voyage (Figure 3). VL6 exhibited the more typical PIC01 distribution of a close to continuous decrease southward (Figure 3). The results from the other Voyage Legs were very similar to VL3 (figures not shown; data available in Supplementary Materials).

Many properties that might influence coccolithophore productivity decreased strongly and close to monotonically from north to south across the Southern Ocean for our voyages (Figure 7). These include temperature (from 23 to -0.4 C for our samples), salinity (from 35.6 to 33.6, with tight correlation with alkalinity, not shown - data available in the Supplementary Material), pH (from 8.20 to 8.08 on the free scale), and the saturation state of calcite (from 5.22 to 2.12). The strong correlation of these properties means that it is not easy to separate their possible influences on coccolithophore distributions, without relying on specific thresholds or quantitative response models. This problem of correlations among drivers has been noted before in examining transect data across Drake passage, where more detailed measurements of coccolithophore properties augmented with incubation studies found temperature and light were the most probable drivers of coccolithophore abundance and calcification rates [*Charalampopoulou et al.*, 2016]. Our lack of information on the availability of light (mixed layer depth was determined only on the two hydrographic sections), iron, or individual species and strains, makes deducing a possible influence of ocean acidification on coccolithophore

17

distributions from our spatial distribution data even more difficult. Nonetheless, we offer a few pertinent observations. Firstly, the change in PIC01 abundances with latitude is much larger than expected from models of the responses of calcification rates (normalized to maximum rates) to inorganic carbon system variations (Figure 7). Two models are shown:

1. The "Bach model" based on independent terms for sensitivity to bicarbonate, CO_2, and pH. It fits quite well the results from many laboratory incubations of *Emiliania huxleyi* strains under conditions of modern and elevated pCO_2 [*Bach et al.*, 2015], and we have used values for the constants (a, b, c, d) obtained from incubations of a strain isolated from Subantarctic waters south of Tasmania [*Müller et al.*, 2017] to provide what might be considered the best current model for the calcification rate response to changing inorganic carbon abundance and speciation, following Eq. (1):

$$\text{Bach relative calcification rate} = a\,[HCO_3^-]\,/\,(b+[HCO_3^-]) - e^{-c[CO2]} - d[H^+] \qquad (1)$$

2. The "Langdon model" based on a simple, inorganic precipitation motivated parameterization of calcification as a function of calcite saturation state Ω [*Gattuso et al.*, 1998; *Langdon et al.*, 2000], which has been shown to apply in an approximate way to many corals [*Anthony et al.*, 2011; *Silverman et al.*, 2007], and perhaps to Southern Ocean foraminifera [*Moy et al.*, 2009]. We have chosen the simple linear form (n=1) and a sensitivity at the top end of the observed range (a =1/4, so that calcification rate varies linearly from 0 to 1 for Ω=1 to 4), following Eq. (2):

$$\text{Langdon relative calcification rate} = a\,(\Omega-1)^n \qquad (2)$$

As shown in Figure 7, both these calcification rate models exhibit limited variations with latitude in the Southern Ocean. The Bach model suggests negligible change in calcification rate. This is essentially because the Southern Ocean variations in bicarbonate, CO_2, and pH are very small compared to the future expected values used in incubation experiments. In addition, southward cooling causes pH to rise, offsetting the impact of southward decrease in salinity and alkalinity, thus reducing the southward decrease of pH and the associated drop in modeled calcification rate. The Langdon model suggests approximately 3-fold decrease in calcification rate, which is considerably smaller than the more than 10-fold drop in PIC01 (shown on a linear scale in Figure 7 and a logarithmic scale in Figure 3). The shape of the Langdon model decrease shows some agreement with that of PIC01 for VL6, but none for VL3 (which exhibits relatively constant significant PIC01 concentrations in the 40-50 °S latitude range where the Langdon model shows a strong decrease in

18

calcification rate, and then a strong drop in PIC01 south of 60 °S where the Langdon model shows no change). Thus, and unsurprisingly, coccolithophore abundances are clearly not controlled by inorganic carbon chemistry alone. This perspective has been strongly emphasized previously, including by Bach et al., (2015), who noted " …great care must be taken when correlating carbonate chemistry with coccolithophore dispersal because this is by no means the only parameter controlling it. Physical (e.g. temperature), other chemical (e.g. nutrient concentrations), or ecological (e.g. grazing pressure) factors will under many if not most circumstances outweigh the influence of carbonate chemistry conditions…"

Many laboratory studies have emphasized the importance of temperature on coccolithophore growth rates, as compiled recently [*Feng et al.*, 2016], and warming has been suggested as a possible cause of decadal northward apparent range expansion in the North Atlantic [*Rivero-Calle et al.*, 2015] and the occurrence of unusual blooms in the Bering Sea [*Merico et al.*, 2004]. To provide a brief visualization of the expected univariate response, we fit the "Norberg" thermal optimum envelope model [*Norberg*, 2004] to growth rate data for 5-25 °C with modern pCO_2 and nutrient replete conditions for a Southern Ocean morphotype A strain of *Emiliania huxleyi*, isolated from south of Tasmania [*M. N. Muller et al.*, 2015], with optimum temperature z=15, thermal window w=10, and scaling constant *a*, in which the exponential term represents the broad global temperature dependence of generic phytoplankton growth rates [*Eppley*, 1972] and produces the known skewed form of organismic thermal tolerances, following Eq. (3):

$$\text{Norberg growth rate (d}^{-1}) = a\ [1\text{- } ((T\text{-}z)/w)^2]\ e^{0.0633T} \tag{3}$$

As shown in Figure 7, this predicts a drop from ~0.5 d^{-1} at the northern edge of the Southern Ocean to zero growth near ~53 °S, whereas PIC01 concentrations fall off more slowly further south. The presence of other morphotypes with lower thermal optima [*Cubillos et al.*, 2007] is an easy possible way to explain this difference. Overall the Norberg temperature model has an advantage of the calcification rate models – it does predict a strong decrease to negligible PIC01 values in the south. There are of course many other possible explanations (as noted at the start of this section).

Interestingly, these uncertainties regarding the roles of inorganic carbon chemistry and temperature on Southern Ocean coccolithophore distributions contrast with the possible role of macro-nutrients, in that phosphate and nitrate increase southward across the Southern Ocean (e.g. [*Trull et al.*, 2001b]), and were everywhere abundant during our surveys (nitrate > 3 μM, with phosphate/nitrate close to Redfield expectations, data in Supplementary Material), and thus would be expected to lead to southward increases in coccolithophore abundances which were not observed. For this reason we suggest nitrate and phosphate availability is not an obvious driver of the southward decrease in

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:32 AM
Deleted:

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 6:31 PM
Formatted: Font:(Default) Times New Roman, 11 pt, Not Bold

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 6:31 PM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:32 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:33 AM
Deleted: *Huxleyi*

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:33 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:33 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:33 AM
Deleted:

Trull, Tom (O&A,…, 10/10/2017 11:51 AM
Deleted: 6

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:34 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:34 AM
Deleted:

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 6:39 PM
Deleted: .

Diana Davies 3/11/2017 4:38 PM
Deleted: uM

coccolithophore abundances in Southern Ocean HNLC waters (i.e. these nutrients are sufficient everywhere), although these nutrients may be important in determining the success of coccolithophores in oligotrophic waters at the northern edge of the Southern ocean, given the high half-saturation constant for nitrate uptake observed in some laboratory studies (~13 μM; [*Feng et al.*, 2016]), and the possibility that high temperature and low nutrient conditions may non-linearly amplify phytoplankton stresses [*Thomas et al.*, 2017].

Importantly, in addition to multivariate environmental control of coccolithophore distributions via their growth rates, there is the possibility of control by resource competition with other autotrophs (presumably mainly for iron) and/or stronger loss terms to grazers in Antarctic than Subantarctic waters ([*Assmy et al.*, 2013] has suggested preferential grazing as a control on community structure; but we have no data to allow us to evaluate this). These are difficult issues to evaluate, and we provide just one comment. Diatom abundances as estimated from BSi concentrations show a stronger latitudinal relationship to silicon availability than coccolithophores do to carbonate availability (Figure 7). Diatoms abundances drop strongly near the SAF, north of which summer time $Si(OH)_4$ concentrations drop below 1 μM, i.e. close to the 'residual" concentration which it appears diatoms cannot access [*Paasche*, 1973]. Surveys of coccolithophores and diatoms in the SAZ in the South Atlantic and South Indian sectors have previously suggested that coccolithophore distributions may be linked to competition with diatoms [*W M Balch et al.*, 2016; *Smith et al.*, 2017], and this view is compatible with our observations, although it remains unproven. Further progress in understanding the controls on coccolithophore abundances in the Southern Ocean is clearly needed. At present temperature, light, and competition with diatoms for iron appear to be the strongest candidates (at least for southward expansion [*Charalampopoulou et al.*, 2016; *Gafar et al.*, 2017]; with nitrate a strong influence on the location of the northern oligotrophic boundary; [*Feng et al.*, 2016]).

3.5 Comparison to the NASA Ocean Biogeochemical Model
Many of these ideas about the roles of environmental conditions and ecological competition have been included in models for global coccolithophore distributions, e.g. [*Watson W Gregg and Casey*, 2007a; *Le Quere et al.*, 2005]; and we provide a brief comparison to one model – the NASA Ocean Biogeochemical Model (NOBM) for which simulation results are available on-line (see the Methods section). In brief, the NOBM predicts coccolithophore abundances (in Chl units) that are restricted to the far northern reaches of the Southern Ocean (Figure 8). This is also true for the Dynamic Green Ocean Model [*Le Quere et al.*, 2005]. This contrasts with our PIC results (Figures 3, 4, 7) and with PIC and coccolithophore cell counts from other sampling efforts which have found coccolithophore abundances to extend with similar concentrations right across the SAZ and sometimes the PFZ, e.g. during VL6 south of western Australia (Figures 3 and 7), south of Tasmania [*Cubillos et al.*, 2007],

20

in the Scotia Sea [*Holligan et al.*, 2010], and in the South Atlantic and South Indian Oceans, especially in regions of natural iron fertilization [*W M Balch et al.*, 2016; *Smith et al.*, 2017]. In the NOBM, diatoms are also simulated and show (Figure 8) the expected high abundance in Antarctic waters in the southern third of the Southern Ocean, decreasing northward as in our results (but also show a band of elevated diatom concentrations in the Subantarctic, which we did not observe).

Competition for nutrients in the NOBM favours the ability of coccolithophores over diatoms to get by on limited resources (half-saturation constants for nitrate and iron of 0.5 and 0.67 versus 1.0 and 1.0 μM) including light (half saturation constant of 56 versus 90 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} under Southern Ocean low light conditions). But diatoms are specified to have higher growth rates when all resources are non-limiting (maximum growth rate at 20 °C 1.50 versus 1.13, both with the same Eppley dependence on temperature). Thus in the model, diatoms dominate silicon replete Southern Ocean waters, outcompeting other species for the limiting iron, and only give way to other species when silicon is depleted. Notably these other species then do best when additional Fe is supplied from either atmospheric sources (in the north where continental dusts are not shielded by ice) or island oases such as Crozet or Kerguelen. This view is compatible with our observations and those carried out in the northern half of the Southern Ocean during the "Great Calcite Belt" voyages [*W M Balch et al.*, 2016; *Smith et al.*, 2017]. It suggests that potential expansion of coccolithophores southward might be linked to decreasing supply of silicon from reduced upwelling of Circumpolar Deep Water in a progressively more stratified global ocean. A cautionary note to this conclusion is provided by the NOBM simulation of significant concentrations of diatoms in the SAZ where silicon is low, which arises from their specified higher maximum growth rate, emphasizing the importance of this parameter, and its temperature dependence, in modeling phytoplankton distributions. In specifying this temperature dependence, this model and most others still rely on the global compilation from nearly 50 years ago [*Eppley*, 1972]. Clearly better understanding of the controls on maximum growth rates and their temperature tolerance for key phytoplankton taxa is needed, first to understand current distributions and then to explore possible future changes.

4. Conclusions

Our surveys of PIC concentrations as a proxy for coccolithophores in the Southern Ocean south of Australia suggest:

- The concentrations of coccolithophores were much smaller (at least 10-fold) in the open Southern Ocean south of Australia than in northern hemisphere oceans.

- Coccolithophores were most abundant in the SAZ, and occasionally in the PFZ.

21

1014

1015 • The contribution of coccolithophores to total phytoplankton biomass (estimated from POC)

1016 was small, less than 10% in Subantarctic waters and less than 1% in Antarctic waters.

1017

1018 • The "Great Calcite Belt" characterization of SAZ and PFZ waters is overstated south of

1019 Australia, because both the satellite (SPIC) estimates and our in-situ PIC measurements show

1020 lower values than in the South Atlantic and South Indian where this feature was first

1021 suggested.

1022 • The satellite PIC (SPIC) algorithm provides a good estimate, within a factor of 2-3, of PIC

1023 values in Subantarctic waters south of Australia, but erroneously suggests large

1024 agglomerations of PIC in polar waters, where little to none is present south of Australia.

1025

1026 • Our PIC results and ancillary measurements of biogenic silica, particulate organic carbon,

1027 dissolved nutrients, and inorganic carbon system status may be useful in the testing of models

1028 of limiting conditions and ecological competitions that affect coccolithophore distributions.

1029 Preliminary considerations suggest that temperature, iron, and competition with diatoms may

1030 be stronger influences than pH or calcite saturation state.

1031

1032 Despite the considerable effort required to obtain these survey results, much remains to be done just to

1033 define coccolithophore distributions, for example their seasonality, especially when the complexities

1034 of differing responses of individual species and strains are considered.

Margin comments:

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 5:45 PM
Deleted: based on satellite estimates of PIC (SPIC)

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 5:46 PM
Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Indent at:

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 5:46 PM
Deleted: . The SPIC estimates appear to be too high by a factor of 2-3 in the SAZ, and given their low contribution to total PIC it does not appear that coccolithophores have a dominant role regional marine ecology.

Trull, Tom (O&A, …, 5/10/2017 10:29 PM
Formatted: Highlight

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 5:43 PM
Deleted: Even greater care must be taken in the use of

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 5:43 PM
Deleted: estimates

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 5:44 PM
Deleted: south of the Subantarctic Front, because the algorithms

Trull, Tom (O&A, H…, 9/10/2017 5:44 PM
Deleted: identify

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:52 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:52 AM
Deleted:

Passmore, Abe (O…, 27/9/2017 9:53 AM
Deleted: .

22

References

Anthony, K., J. A Kleypas, and J. P. Gattuso (2011), Coral reefs modify their seawater carbon chemistry–implications for impacts of ocean acidification, *Global Change Biology*, *17*(12), 3655-3666.

Assmy, P., V. Smetacek, M. Montresor, C. Klaas, J. Henjes, V. H. Strass, J. M. Arrieta, U. Bathmann, G. M. Berg, and E. Breitbarth (2013), Thick-shelled, grazer-protected diatoms decouple ocean carbon and silicon cycles in the iron-limited Antarctic Circumpolar Current, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(51), 20633-20638.

Bach, L. T., U. Riebesell, M. Gutowska, L. Federwisch, and K. G. Schulz (2015), A unifying concept of coccolithophore sensitivity to changing carbonate chemistry embedded in an ecological framework, *Progress in Oceanography*, *135*, 125-138.

Balch, W., D. Drapeau, B. Bowler, E. Lyczkowski, L. Lubelczyk, S. Painter, and A. Poulton (2014), Surface biological, chemical, and optical properties of the Patagonian Shelf coccolithophore bloom, the brightest waters of the Great Calcite Belt, *Limnol. Oceanogr*, *59*(5), 1715-1732.

Balch, W. M., N. R. Bates, P. J. Lam, B. S. Twining, S. Z. Rosengard, B. C. Bowler, D. T. Drapeau, R. Garley, L. C. Lubelczyk, and C. Mitchell (2016), Factors regulating the Great Calcite Belt in the Southern Ocean and its biogeochemical significance, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *30*(8), 1124-1144.

Balch, W. M., D. T. Drapeau, B. C. Bowler, E. S. Booth, L. A. Windecker, and A. Ashe (2008), Space–time variability of carbon standing stocks and fixation rates in the Gulf of Maine, along the GNATS transect between Portland, ME, USA, and Yarmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada, *Journal of plankton research*, *30*(2), 119-139.

Balch, W. M., D. T. Drapeau, B. C. Bowler, E. Lyczskowski, E. S. Booth, and D. Alley (2011), The contribution of coccolithophores to the optical and inorganic carbon budgets during the Southern Ocean Gas Exchange Experiment: New evidence in support of the "Great Calcite Belt" hypothesis, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *116*, C00F06, doi:10.1029/2011JC006941.

1100 Balch, W. M., H. R. Gordon, B. C. Bowler, D. T. Drapeau, and E. S. Booth (2005), Calcium
1101   carbonate measurements in the surface global ocean based on Moderate-Resolution
1102   Imaging Spectroradiometer data, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *110*, C07001; doi:
1103   07010.01029/02004jc002560.
1104 Balch, W. M., and P. E. Utgoff (2009), Potential interactions among ocean acidification,
1105   coccolithophores, and the optical properties of seawater, *Oceanography*, *22*(4), 146-159.
1106 Be, A. W. H., and D. Tolderlund (1971), Distribution and ecology of living planktonic
1107   foraminifera in surface waters of the Atlantic and Indian Oceans, in *Micropaleontology of*
1108   *Oceans* edited by B. M. Funnel and W. R. Riedel, pp. 105-149, Cambridge University
1109   Press, New York.
1110 Boeckel, B., K.-H. Baumann, R. Henrich, and H. Kinkel (2006), Coccolith distribution
1111   patterns in South Atlantic and Southern Ocean surface sediments in relation to
1112   environmental gradients, *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*,
1113   *53*(6), 1073-1099, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2005.11.006.
1114 Bowie, A. R., F. B. Griffiths, F. Dehairs, and T. W. Trull (2011a), Oceanography of the
1115   subantarctic and Polar Frontal Zones south of Australia during summer: Setting for the
1116   SAZ-Sense study, *Deep-Sea Research II*, *58*(21-22), 2059-2070,
1117   doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.05.033.
1118 Bowie, A. R., T. W. Trull, and F. Dehairs (2011b), Estimating the Sensitivity of the
1119   Subantarctic Zone to Environmental Change: the SAZ-Sense project., *Deep Sea Research*
1120   *II*, *58*(21-22), 2051-2058.
1121 Boyd, P. W., and T. Trull (2007a), Understanding the export of biogenic particles in oceanic
1122   waters: Is there consensus?, *Progress in Oceanography*, *72*(4), 276-312,
1123   doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2006.10.007.
1124 Boyd, P. W., and T. W. Trull (2007b), Understanding the export of marine biogenic particles:
1125   is there consensus?, *Progress in Oceanography*, *4*, 276-312,
1126   doi:210.1016/j.pocean.2006.1010.1007.
1127 Brown, C. W., and J. A. Yoder (1994), Coccolithophore blooms in the global ocean,, *Journal*
1128   *of Geophysical Research*, *104C*, 1541-1558.
1129 Buitenhuis, E., P. van der Wal, and H. J. W. de Baar (2001), Blooms of Emiliania huxleyi are
1130   sinks of atmospheric carbon dioxide: a field and mesocosm study derived simulation,
1131   *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *15*(3), 577-587.
1132 Cao, L., and K. Caldeira (2008), Atmospheric CO2 stabilization and ocean acidification,
1133   *Geophysical Research Letters*, *35*(19), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2008GL035072.
1134 Charalampopoulou, A., A. J. Poulton, D. C. E. Bakker, M. I. Lucas, M. C. Stinchcombe, and
1135   T. Tyrrell (2016), Environmental drivers of coccolithophore abundance and calcification
1136   across Drake Passage (Southern Ocean), *Biogeosciences*, *13*(21), 5917-5935,
1137   doi:10.5194/bg-13-5917-2016.
1138 Cubillos, J. C., S. W. Wright, G. Nash, M. F. d. Salas, B. Griffiths, B. Tilbrook, A. Poisson,
1139   and G. M. Hallegraeff (2007), Calcification morphotypes of the coccolithophorid
1140   Emiliania huxleyi in the Southern Ocean: changes in 2001 to 2006 compared to historical
1141   data, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *348*, 47-54, doi: 10.3354/meps07058.
1142 Dickson, A. G., C. L. Sabine, and J. R. Christian (2007), Guide to Best Practices for Ocean
1143   CO2 Measurements*Rep.*, Sidney, British Columbia.
1144 Doubleday, A. J., and R. R. Hopcroft (2015), Interannual patterns during spring and late
1145   summer of larvaceans and pteropods in the coastal Gulf of Alaska, and their relationship to
1146   pink salmon survival, *Journal of Plankton Research*, *37*(1), 134-150,
1147   doi:10.1093/plankt/fbu092.
1148 Eppley, R. W. (1972), Temperature and phytoplankton growth in the sea, *Fisheries Bulletin*,
1149   *70*, 1063-1085.

24

1150   Eriksen, R. (1997), A practical manual for the determination of salinity, dissolved oxygen,
1151   and nutrients in seawater*Rep. 11*, 83 pp, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia.
1152   Eynaud, F., J. Giraudeau, J. J. Pichon, and C. J. Pudsey (1999), Sea-surface distribution of
1153   coccolithophores, diatoms, silicoflagellates and dinoflagellates in the South Atlantic
1154   Ocean during the late austral summer 1995, *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic*
1155   *Research Papers*, *46*(3), 451-482, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00079-X.
1156   Feng, Y., M. Y. Roleda, E. Armstrong, P. W. Boyd, and C. L. Hurd (2016), Environmental
1157   controls on the growth, photosynthetic and calcification rates of a Southern Hemisphere
1158   strain of the coccolithophore Emiliania huxleyi, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *62*, 519-
1159   540, doi:10.1002/lno.10442.
1160   Findlay, C. S., and J. Giraudeau (2000), Extant calcareous nannoplankton in the Australian
1161   Sector of the Southern Ocean (austral summers 1994 and 1995), *Marine*
1162   *Micropaleontology*, *40*(4), 417-439, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8398(00)00046-
1163   3.
1164   Gafar, N. A., B. D. Eyre, and K. G. Shulz (2017), A conceptual model for projecting
1165   coccolithophorid growth, calcification, and photosynthetic carbon fixation rates in
1166   response to global change (carbonate chemistry speciation, temperature, and light) based
1167   on *Gephyrocapsa oceanica*, *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *in review*.
1168   Gattuso, J.-P., M. Frankignoulle, I. Bourge, S. Romaine, and R. Buddemeier (1998), Effect of
1169   calcium carbonate saturation of seawater on coral calcification, *Global and Planetary*
1170   *Change*, *18*(1), 37-46.
1171   Gravalosa, J. M., J.-A. Flores, F. J. Sierro, and R. Gersonde (2008), Sea surface distribution
1172   of coccolithophores in the eastern Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean (Bellingshausen
1173   and Amundsen Seas) during the late austral summer of 2001, *Marine Micropaleontology*,
1174   *69*(1), 16-25, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2007.11.006.
1175   Gregg, W. W., and N. W. Casey (2007a), Modeling coccolithophores in the global oceans,
1176   *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, *54*(5), 447-477.
1177   Gregg, W. W., and N. W. Casey (2007b), Modeling coccolithophores in the global oceans,
1178   *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, *54*(5–7), 447-477,
1179   doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.12.007.
1180   Grenier, M., A. Della Penna, and T. W. Trull (2015), Autonomous profiling float
1181   observations of the high biomass plume downstream of the Kerguelen plateau in the
1182   Southern Ocean, *Biogeosciences 12*, 1–29, doi:10.5194/bg-12-1-2015.
1183   Haëntjens, N., E. Boss, and L. D. Talley (2017), Revisiting Ocean Color algorithms for
1184   chlorophyll a and particulate organic carbon in the Southern Ocean using biogeochemical
1185   floats, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *122*(8), 6583-6593,
1186   doi:10.1002/2017JC012844.
1187   Holligan, P., A. Charalampopoulou, and R. Hutson (2010), Seasonal distributions of the
1188   coccolithophore, Emiliania huxleyi, and of particulate inorganic carbon in surface waters
1189   of the Scotia Sea, *Journal of Marine Systems*, *82*(4), 195-205.
1190   Hood, R. R., E. A. Laws, R. A. Armstrong, N. R. Bates, C. W. Brown, C. A. Carlson, F.
1191   Chai, S. C. Doney, P. G. Falkowski, and R. A. Feely (2006), Pelagic functional group
1192   modeling: Progress, challenges and prospects, *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies*
1193   *in Oceanography*, *53*(5), 459-512.
1194   Kimball Jr, J., and E. Ferguson Wood (1964), A simple centrifuge for phytoplankton studies,
1195   *Bulletin of Marine Science*, *14*(4), 539-544.
1196   King, A. L., and W. R. Howard (2003), Planktonic foraminiferal flux seasonality in
1197   Subantarctic sediment traps: A test for paleoclimate reconstructions, *Paleooceanography*,
1198   *18*(1), 1019, doi:1010.1029/2002PA000839, 002003.

25

1199   King, P., H. Kennedy, P. P. Newton, T. D. Jickells, T. Brand, S. Calvert, G. Cauwet, H.
1200   Etcheber, B. Head, and A. Khripounoff (1998), Analysis of total and organic carbon and
1201   total nitrogen in settling oceanic particles and a marine sediment: an interlaboratory
1202   comparison, *Marine Chemistry*, *60*(3), 203-216.
1203   Klaas, C., and D. E. Archer (2002), Association of sinking organic matter with various types
1204   of mineral ballast in the deep sea: Implications for the rain ratio, *Global Biogeochemical*
1205   *Cycles*, *16*(4), doi:10.1029/2001GB001765.
1206   Langdon, C., T. Takahashi, C. Sweeney, D. W. Chipman, and J. Goddard (2000), Effect of
1207   calcium carbonate saturation state on the calcification rate on an experimental coral reef,
1208   *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *14*(2), 613C-DIC, 615N-NO613 and 618O-NO613 639-
1209   654.
1210   Le Quere, C., S. P. Harrison, I. Colin Prentice, E. T. Buitenhuis, O. Aumont, L. Bopp, H.
1211   Claustre, L. Cotrim Da Cunha, R. Geider, and X. Giraud (2005), Ecosystem dynamics
1212   based on plankton functional types for global ocean biogeochemistry models, *Global*
1213   *Change Biology*, *11*(11), 2016-2040.
1214   Mackinder, L., G. Wheeler, D. Schroeder, U. Riebesell, and C. Brownlee (2010), Molecular
1215   mechanisms underlying calcification in coccolithophores, *Geomicrobiology Journal*,
1216   *27*(6), 585-595.
1217   McNeil, B. I., and R. J. Matear (2008), Southern Ocean acidification: A tipping point at 450-
1218   ppm atmospheric CO2, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105*(48), 18860-
1219   18864, doi: 18810.11073/pnas.0806318105.
1220   Merico, A., T. Tyrrell, E. J. Lessard, T. Oguz, P. J. Stabeno, S. I. Zeeman, and T. E.
1221   Whitledge (2004), Modelling phytoplankton succession on the Bering Sea shelf: role of
1222   climate influences and trophic interactions in generating Emiliania huxleyi blooms 1997–
1223   2000, *Deep Sea Research I*, *51*(12), 1803-1826, doi:1810.1016/j.dsr.2004.1807.1003.
1224   Mohan, R., L. P. Mergulhao, M. V. S. Guptha, A. Rajakumar, M. Thamban, N. AnilKumar,
1225   M. Sudhakar, and R. Ravindra (2008), Ecology of coccolithophores in the Indian sector of
1226   the Southern Ocean, *Marine Micropaleontology*, *67*(1–2), 30-45,
1227   doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2007.08.005.
1228   Moore, J. K., M. R. Abbot, J. G. Richman, W. O. Smith, T. J. Cowles, K. H. Coale, G. W.D.,
1229   and R. T. Barber (1999), SeaWiFS satellite ocean color data from the Southern Ocean,
1230   *Gephysical Research Letters*, *26*(10), 1465-1468.
1231   Moore, J. K., and M. R. Abbott (2002), Surface chlorophyll concentrations in relation to the
1232   Antarctic Polar Front: seasonal and spatial patterns from satellite observations, *Journal of*
1233   *Marine Systems*, *37*, 69-86.
1234   Moore, J. K., S. C. Doney, J. A. Kleypas, D. M. Glover, and I. Y. Fung (2002), An
1235   intermediate complexity marine ecosystem model for the global domain, *Deep-Sea*
1236   *Research II*, *49*, 403-462?
1237   Morel, A., and S. Maritorena (2001), Bio-optical properties of oceanic waters- A reappraisal,
1238   *Journal of Geophysical research*, *106*(C4), 7163-7180.
1239   Mortyn, P. G., and C. D. Charles (2003), Planktonic foraminiferal depth habitat and δ18O
1240   calibrations: Plankton tow results from the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean,
1241   *Paleoceanography*, *18*(2).
1242   Moy, A. D., W. Howard, S. G. Bray, and T. Trull (2009), Reduced calcification in modern
1243   Southern Ocean planktonic foraminifera, *Nature Geoscience*, *2*(April), 276-280,
1244   doi:10.1038/NGEO460.
1245   Muller, M. N., T. Trull, and G. M. Hallegraeff (2015), Differing responses of three Southern
1246   Ocean Emiliania huxleyi ecotypes to changing seawater carbonate chemistry, *Marine*
1247   *Ecology Progress Series*, *531*, 81-90, doi:10.3354/meps11309.

1248 Muller, M. N., T. W. Trull, and G. Hallegraeff (2017), Independence of nutrient limitation
1249   and carbon dioxide impacts on Southern Ocean coccolithophore Emiliania huxleyi, *Nature*
1250   *- Microbial Ecology*, *in press*
1251 Müller, M. N., T. W. Trull, and G. M. Hallegraeff (2017), Independence of nutrient limitation
1252   and carbon dioxide impacts on the Southern Ocean coccolithophore Emiliania huxleyi,
1253   *The ISME Journal*, 1-11, doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.53.
1254 Nelson, D. M., M. A. Brzezinski, D. E. Sigmon, and V. M. Frank (2001), A seasonal
1255   progression of Si limitation in the Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean, *Deep-Sea*
1256   *Research II*, *48*, 3973-3995.
1257 Norberg, J. (2004), Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: a complex adaptive systems
1258   approach, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *49*(4part2), 1269-1277.
1259 Olbers, D. J., V. Gouretski, and G. S. J. Schroter (1992), *Hydrographic Atlas of the Southern*
1260   *Ocean*, 99 pp., Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven.
1261 Orr, J. C., et al. (2005), Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and
1262   its impact on calcifying organisms, *Nature 437*, 681-686, doi:610.1038/nature04095.
1263 Paasche, E. (1973), Silicon and the ecology of marine plankton diatoms. I. Thalassiosira
1264   pseudonana (Cyclotella nana) grown in a chemostat with silicate as limiting nutrient,
1265   *Marine biology*, *19*(2), 117-126.
1266 Parslow, J., P. Boyd, S. R. Rintoul, and F. B. Griffiths (2001), A persistent sub-surface
1267   chlorophyll maximum in the Polar Frontal Zone south of Australia: seasonal progression
1268   and implications for phytoplankton-light-nutrient interactions, *Journal of Geophysical*
1269   *Research*, *106*, 31543-31557.
1270 Pörtner, H. O., M. Langenbuch, and B. Michaelidis (2005), Synergistic effects of temperature
1271   extremes, hypoxia, and increases in CO_2 on marine animals: From Earth history to global
1272   change, *Journal of Geophysical Research, Oceans*, *110*, doi:10.1029/2004JC002561.
1273 Poulton, A. J., S. C. Painter, J. R. Young, N. R. Bates, B. Bowler, D. Drapeau, E.
1274   Lyczsckowski, and W. M. Balch (2013), The 2008 Emiliania huxleyi bloom along the
1275   Patagonian Shelf: Ecology, biogeochemistry, and cellular calcification, *Global*
1276   *Biogeochemical Cycles*, *27*(4), 1023-1033.
1277 Poulton, A. J., J. R. Young, N. R. Bates, and W. M. Balch (2011), Biometry of detached
1278   Emiliania huxleyi coccoliths along the Patagonian Shelf, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*,
1279   *443*, 1-17.
1280 Pujol, M.-I., Y. Faugère, G. Taburet, S. Dupuy, C. Pelloquin, M. Ablain, and N. Picot (2016),
1281   DUACS DT2014: the new multi-mission altimeter data set reprocessed over 20 years,
1282   *Ocean Science*, *12*(5), 1067-1090.
1283 Ragueneau, O., N. Dittert, P. Pondaven, P. Treguer, and L. Corrin (2002), Si/C decoupling in
1284   the world ocean: is the Southern Ocean different?, *Deep-Sea Research II*, *49*, 3127-3154.
1285 Ragueneau, O., S. Schultes, K. Bidle, P. Claquin, and B. Moriceau (2006), Si and C
1286   interactions in the world ocean: Importance of ecological processes and implications for
1287   the role of diatoms in the biological pump, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *20*(4),
1288   GB4S02.
1289 Ridgwell, A., D. N. Schmidt, C. Turley, C. Brownlee, M. T. Maldonado, P. Tortell, and J. R.
1290   Young (2009), From laboratory manipulations to Earth system models: scaling
1291   calcification impacts of ocean acidification, *Biogeosciences*, *6*, 2611–2623.
1292 Rintoul, S. R., and T. Trull (2001), Seasonal evolution of the mixed layer in the Subantarctic
1293   Zone south of Australia, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *106*(C12), 31447-31462,
1294   doi:10.1029/2000JC000329.
1295 Rivero-Calle, S., A. Gnanadesikan, C. E. Del Castillo, W. M. Balch, and S. D. Guikema
1296   (2015), Multidecadal increase in North Atlantic coccolithophores and the potential role of
1297   rising CO_2, *Science*, *350*(6267), 1533-1537.

27

Roberts, D., W. R. Howard, J. L. Roberts, S. G. Bray, A. D. Moy, T. Trull, and R. R. Hopcroft (2014), Diverse trends in shell weight of three Southern Ocean pteropod taxa collected with Polar Frontal Zone sediment traps from 1997 to 2007, *Polar Biology*, *37*(10), 1445-1458, doi:10.1007/s00300-014-1534-6.

Roden, N. P., B. Tilbrook, T. W. Trull, P. Virtue, and G. D. Williams (2016), Carbon cycling dynamics in the seasonal sea-ice zone of East Antarctica, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, doi:10.1002/2016JC012008.

Rost, B., and U. Riebesell (2004), Coccolithophores and the biological pump: responses to environmental changes, in *Coccolithophores*, edited, pp. 99-125, Springer.

Salter, I., R. Schiebel, P. Ziveri, A. Movellan, R. Lampitt, and G. A. Wolff (2014), Carbonate counter pump stimulated by natural iron fertilization in the Polar Frontal Zone, *Nature Geosci*, *7*(12), 885-889, doi:10.1038/ngeo2285.

Schiebel, R. (2002), Planktic foraminiferal sedimentation and the marine calcite budget, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *16*(4), 1065.

Schlüter, L., K. T. Lohbeck, M. A. Gutowska, J. P. Gröger, U. Riebesell, and T. B. Reusch (2014), Adaptation of a globally important coccolithophore to ocean warming and acidification, *Nature Climate Change*, *4*(11), 1024-1030.

Schulz, K. G., B. Rost, S. Burkhardt, U. Riebesell, S. Thoms, and D. Wolf-Gladrow (2007), The effect of iron availability on the regulation of inorganic carbon acquisition in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* and the significance of cellular compartmentation for stable carbon isotope fractionation, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *71*(22), 5301-5312.

Sett, S., L. T. Bach, K. G. Schulz, S. Koch-Klavsen, M. Lebrato, and U. Riebesell (2014), Temperature modulates coccolithophorid sensitivity of growth, photosynthesis and calcification to increasing seawater pCO2, *PLoS One*, *9*(2), e88308.

Shadwick, E. H., B. Tilbook, N. Cassar, T. W. Trull, and S. R. Rintoul (2015), Summertime physical and biological controls on O2 and CO2 in the Australian Sector of the Southern Ocean, *Journal of Marine Systems*, *147*, 21-28, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.12.008.

Shadwick, E. H., T. Trull, H. Thomas, and J. A. E. Gibson (2013), Vulnerability of Polar Oceans to Anthropogenic Acidification: Comparison of Arctic and Antarctic Seasonal Cycles, *Scientific Reports*, *3*(August), 1-7, doi:10.1038/srep02339.

Silverman, J., B. Lazar, and J. Erez (2007), Effect of aragonite saturation, temperature, and nutrients on the community calcification rate of a coral reef, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *112*(C5).

Smith, H. E., A. J. Poulton, R. Garley, J. Hopkins, L. C. Lubelczyk, D. T. Drapeau, S. Rauschenberg, B. S. Twining, N. R. Bates, and W. M. Balch (2017), The influence of environmental variability on the biogeography of coccolithophores and diatoms in the Great Calcite Belt, *Biogeoscience Discussions*, *in review*, doi:10.5194/bg-2017-5110.

Sokolov, S., and S. R. Rintoul (2002), Structure of Southern Ocean fronts at 140E, *Journal of Marine Systems*, *37*(1-3), 151-184.

Sokolov, S., and S. R. Rintoul (2007a), Multiple jets of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current south of Australia, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *37*, 1394-1412, doi:1310.1175/JPO3111.1391.

Sokolov, S., and S. R. Rintoul (2007b), On the relationship between fronts of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current and surface chlorophyll concentrations in the Southern Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res. – Oceans*, *112*(C07030), doi: 10.1029/2006JC004072.

Takahashi, K., and H. Okada (2000), Environmental control on the biogeography of modern coccolithophores in the southeastern Indian Ocean offshore of Western Australia, *Marine Micropaleontology*, *39*(1–4), 73-86, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8398(00)00015-3.

1348 Takeda, S. (1998), Influence of iron availability on nutrient consumption ratio of diatoms in
1349   oceanic waters, *Nature*, *393*, 774-777.
1350 Thomas, M. K., M. Aranguren Gassis, C. T. Kremer, M. R. Gould, K. Anderson, C. A.
1351   Klausmeier, and E. Litchman (2017), Temperature–nutrient interactions exacerbate
1352   sensitivity to warming in phytoplankton, *Global Change Biology*, doi:10.1111/gcb.13641.
1353 Trull, T., S. G. Bray, S. J. Manganini, S. Honjo, and R. Francois (2001a), Moored sediment
1354   trap measurements of carbon export in the Subantarctic and Polar Frontal Zones of the
1355   Southern Ocean, south of Australia, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *106*(C12), 31489-
1356   31509, doi:10.1029/2000JC000308.
1357 Trull, T., S. R. Rintoul, M. Hadfield, and E. R. Abraham (2001b), Circulation and seasonal
1358   evolution of polar waters south of Australia: Implications for iron fertilization of the
1359   Southern Ocean, *Deep-Sea Research Part Ii-Topical Studies in Oceanography*, *48*(11-12),
1360   2439-2466, doi:10.1016/s0967-0645(01)00003-0.
1361 Van Heuven, S., D. Pierrot, J. Rae, E. Lewis, and D. Wallace (2011), MATLAB program
1362   developed for CO2 system calculations, ORNL/CDIAC-105b, Carbon Dioxide Inf, *Anal.*
1363   *Cent., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., US DOE, Oak Ridge, Tenn*.
1364 Wright, S. W., D. P. Thomas, H. J. Marchant, H. W. Higgins, M. D. Mackey, and D. J.
1365   Mackey (1996), Analysis of phytoplankton of the Australian sector of the Southern Ocean:
1366   comparisons of microscopy and size frequency data with interpretations of pigment HPLC
1367   data using the 'CHEMTAX' matrix factorisation program, *Marine Ecology Progress*
1368   *Series*, *144*, 285-298.
1369 Wright, S. W., and R. L. van den Enden (2000), Phytoplankton community structure and
1370   stocks in the East Antarctic marginal ice zone (BROKE survey, Jan.-Mar.1996)
1371   determined by CHEMTAX analysis of HPLC pigment signatures, *Deep-Sea Research II*,
1372   *47*(12), 2363-2400.
1373 Zhang, Y., L. T. Bach, K. G. Schulz, and U. Riebesell (2015), The modulating effect of light
1374   intensity on the response of the coccolithophore Gephyrocapsa oceanica to ocean
1375   acidification, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *60*(6), 2145-2157.
1376
1377

Trull, Tom (O&A,…, 10/10/2017 12:52 PM
Deleted: Zeebe, R. E., and D. Archer (2005), Feasibility of ocean fertilization and its impact on future atmospheric CO2 levels, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *32*(L09703), doi:10.1029/2005GL022449

... [8]

Figure Captions

1. Map of sample sites (dots) relative to major Southern Ocean fronts (lines) and satellite SST (means for productive months, October-March, over the sample collection period 2008-2014). Front abbreviations: SAF = Subantartic Front, PF = Polar Front, sACCf = Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front, N = North, M= Middle, S= South.

2. Comparison of centrifugation versus filtration size-fraction results for Voyage Leg 1, a) centrifugation total POC versus filtration POC (0.8-50 μm fraction): b) centrifugation total PIC versus filtration PIC01 (0.8-50 μm) and PIC50 (50-1000 μm) fractions.

3. Latitudinal variations in POC, BSi, PIC50, PIC01 concentrations for each voyage leg. See Table 1 for Voyage Leg details and Figure 1 for sample sites.

4. Latitudinal variations in the dominance of diatoms versus coccolithophores and their contributions to total POC, for results combined from all voyages; a) BSi/PIC01 and POC/(PIC50+PIC01) ratios, b) Percent contributions to total POC attributable to diatoms (assuming POC/BSi=3.35) and coccolithophores (assuming POC/PIC01=0.833).

5. Maps comparing ship based distributions of coccolithophore PIC distributions (PIC01, coloured dots) with satellite PIC estimates (SPIC; background colours) for each voyage leg. The SPIC estimates are averages for the month preceding the start of each voyage leg. Contour lines indicate dynamic height determined frontal positions for the week preceding the each voyage leg (see Figure 1 for front nomenclature).

6. Comparison of satellite SPIC and ocean PIC concentrations for the 116 match-ups for which satellite SPIC estimates were available within 25 km of the ocean PIC sample sites, on the same day. Colours indicate sample latitudes and show that good correlation occurs in Subantarctic waters, but strong overestimation by the satellite technique in Antarctic waters.

7. Latitudinal environmental conditions for voyage leg VL3 (left panels) and voyage leg VL6 (right panels): a, b) T, S, pH (free scale), calcite saturation, c, d) PIC01, Bach and Langdon relative calcification rate (dimensionless) and Norberg growth rate (d^{-1}) models, e, f) BSi and $Si(OH)_4$ concentrations (μM).

8. Maps of NASA Ocean Biogeochemical Model results for coccolithophore and diatom distributions. Results are means for productive month, October-March for 2008-2012, the last year available on-line: a) diatoms, b) coccolithophores.