



# 1 An Orphan Problem Looking for Adoption

- 2 Responding to Ocean Acidification Utilising Existing International Institutions
- 3
- 4 Ellycia R. Harrould-Kolieb<sup>1</sup>
- 5 <sup>1</sup>Australian-German Climate and Energy College, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, 3000, Australia
- 6 Correspondence to: Ellycia R. Harrould-Kolieb (ellycia.harrould@unimelb.edu.au)
- 7
- 8





#### 9 Abstract

- 10 Ocean acidification poses a substantial threat to the ocean, marine wildlife and the goods and services they
- 11 provide. As a result it presents a substantial regulatory challenge at the international, regional, national and sub-
- 12 national levels. In the international realms, ocean acidification is not currently addressed by any international
- 13 instrument or stand-alone agreement, nor does there appear to be any coherent framework for responding to the
- 14 issue. Despite this, there are a number of international institutions, including treaty bodies and specialised UN
- agencies that have expressed an interest in some acidification and have begun to initiate an array of relevant
- 16 activities a small number of which may be considered substantive activities, including rule-making and
- 17 implementation.
- 18 This paper is an effort to explore the existing international frameworks that are applicable to forming a response
- 19 to ocean acidification in an attempt to prevent worsening acidification and respond to impacts now and into the
- 20 future. Six policy domains are outlined that together comprise a comprehensive response to ocean acidification.
- Each of these are then addressed with respect to what institutions are currently doing to respond to acidificationand what could be done in the future.
- 23 This paper finds that only three international institutions have initiated substantive policy-making in response to
- 24 ocean acidification with respect to the regulation of carbon capture and storage and the protection of species.
- 25 While these are important policy interventions, they are simply not enough to prevent worsening ocean
- 26 acidification or respond to the impacts resulting from increased acidity, even when coupled with policies, such
- 27 as regulation of carbon dioxide under the UNFCCC that have been implemented without reference to ocean
- 28 acidification. In order to fill the existing gaps, this paper proposes a series of, as yet unutilised mechanisms that
- 29 could be employed to enhance a response to ocean acidification.
- 30





# 31 1. Introduction

32 The problem of ocean acidification is a complex global issue, resulting primarily from the emission of 33 anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO2) (Doney et al., 2009) and yet, is exacerbated by a myriad of local stressors 34 (Cai et al., 2011;Hassellöv et al., 2013). Its impacts are present across many scales, from the microscopic, 35 through to ecosystems and on to the global (Gattuso and Hansson, 2011). Its consequences are not limited by 36 geography and are felt in and across national boundaries and in areas of the global commons. However, 37 consequences are not experienced evenly, sometimes with those least responsible the most vulnerable. Further, 38 ocean acidification has implications for biodiversity (Sutherland et al., 2009), economic stability (Narita et al., 39 2012) and sustainable development (Rockström et al., 2009) and its solutions are intimately tied with other 40 complex global problems, such as climate change. Ocean acidification and its consequences are, therefore, 41 pertinent to and present challenges for the work of a number of international institutions and yet, a response 42 does not appear to fall neatly with the mandate of any. Thus, causing it to sit "within a very complex 43 institutional landscape, at a rather cracked interface between the climate, biodiversity and oceans regimes" 44 (Kim, 2012 p.257). 45 With no treaty or international instrument designed deliberately to address ocean acidification the issue is 46 somewhat relegated to the 'twilight zone' with no single institution responsible for guiding a response. Despite 47 this, there are a number of international institutions, including treaty bodies and specialised UN agencies that 48 have expressed an interest in ocean acidification (UNGA, 2006;CCAMLR, 2009;UNFCCC, 2015b). However, 49 much of this interest appears to be limited to calls of concern and knowledge production activities, with limited 50 efforts to change legal frameworks or initiate implementation policies to integrate ocean acidification into 51 existing structures (Billé et al., 2013). 52 Given this lack of substantive policy making, one is left asking what can be done to enhance the global 53 governance of ocean acidification. One avenue that has been proposed is the creation of a comprehensive ocean 54 acidification treaty, that would tackle all aspects of a response in one forum (Lamirande, 2011;Kim, 2012). 55 However, such an effort seems unlikely at this time, with seemingly little support in the wider policy or 56 academic communities. Thus, we are left attempting to fill the gaps by utilising existing international 57 mechanisms to respond to ocean acidification. 58 This paper is, therefore, an effort to explore in more depth the existing international frameworks that are 59 applicable to ocean acidification and can be utilised to take action. This paper proceeds by first exploring the 60 problem of ocean acidification and its solutions. Six policy domains are proposed that need to be filled in order 61 to prevent worsening acidification and address its impacts now and into the future. The discussion then turns to 62 a review of activities initiated by the United Nations (UN) and UN affiliated bodies as well as international 63 treaties deposited with the UN that have been implemented, at least in part, as a response to ocean acidification. 64 This review reflects upon their capacity to fill all six policy domains. Substantial gaps are found, hence, non-65 acidification directed policies are accessed to see if it is possible that ocean acidification is being addressed 66 without explicit intent to do so. Again the responses are found lacking. Thus, this paper turns to an exploration

of existing mechanisms and institutions, which are not yet being applied to ocean acidification, to investigate

68 how they can be utilised to further contribute to a response.





# 70 2. Responding to Ocean Acidification

71 An ocean acidification response has two main objectives: preventing acidification from worsening, while 72 simultaneously addressing the impacts that have already occurred (or those that are yet to occur due to already 73 released emissions). Billé et al. (2013) identified the main way to achieve these two goals. First and foremost is 74 the need to limit carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere. This can be achieved by the direct reduction 75 of carbon dioxide emissions or the removal of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. Reducing the local factors 76 that cause acidification, including nutrient inputs, can also help to prevent worsening acidification. 77 Strengthening ecosystem resilience to ocean acidification, adapting human activities in anticipation of, or 78 reaction to, ocean acidification, and repairing damages when the ocean has already acidified by restoring 79 degraded systems or reducing acidity using additives other than iron are all measures that can be used to address 80 the impacts of rising ocean acidity. 81 This range of available responses can be grouped under six types of policy domains (as summarised in Table 2). 82 *Mitigation* policies are those intended to lead to reductions in carbon dioxide emissions, while  $non-CO_2$ 83 mitigation policies are those aimed at reducing non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions that contribute to OA as well as efforts to 84 reduce or remove other local exacerbating factors, such as run-off. Adaptation and Protection measures are 85 policies aimed at enhancing resilience in human and ecological communities to enable them to better withstand 86 the impacts of ocean acidification. These are grouped together as the terms adaptation and protection appear to 87 be used interchangeably within a number of policy settings and can refer to either human or ecological 88 communities. Restoration policies are those intended to facilitate the repairing and rebuilding of ecological 89 communities harmed by ocean acidification, while reparation policies are those implemented to assist human 90 communities that have suffered damage or loss. Finally, those policies aimed at manipulating oceanic or 91 atmospheric properties to address ocean acidification, whether they be mitigation, restoration or other type 92 policies, are termed geoengineering, such as addition of additives to increase alkalinity.

#### 93 Table 1: Interventions for Preventing Worsening OA

POLICY DOMAIN	OBJECTIVE	EXAMPLES			
CO <sub>2</sub> Mitigation	Reducing the primary global driver of ocean acidification	Introduce renewables Increase efficiency Land use changes			
Non-CO <sub>2</sub> Mitigation	Reducing the local factors that exacerbate ocean acidification	Reduce runoff Reduce Non-CO <sub>2</sub> emissions			
Adaptation and Protection	Building or maintaining resilience in order to assist human communities and ecological systems to overcome, absorb, return from or adjust to change	Establish marine protected areas Reduce non-OA stressors Alter species distribution Protect ecosystem services Identify alternative sources of income Alter commercial/industrial practices			
estoration	Repairing ecological communities after damage has occurred	Replant vegetation Reseed coral reefs Reintroduce species			
	Ameliorating damage that has occurred within human communities	Establish reparation funds			
Geoengineering	Altering the physical properties of the ocean or atmosphere to prevent further acidification or reduce acidity	Sequester $CO_2$ Remove $CO_2$ from atmosphere Increase alkalinity of water			

94

95 This suite of options for tackling ocean acidification begins with the reduction of carbon dioxide in the

96 atmosphere. It is the primary solution to halting further increases in acidity as it is largely these emissions that





- 97 will determine the trajectory of the acidity of the global ocean in the near future (Caldeira and Wickett, 2003).
- 98 This can be achieved, for example, via the introduction of renewable energy and the removal of fossil fuels,
- 99 increases in efficiency of energy production, changes in land use and the capture and storage of carbon dioxide.
- 100 In addition to carbon dioxide, local factors can exacerbate or alleviate this global trend and therefore for some
- 101 locations the removal or reduction of these factors can be an important way of protecting discreet geographic
- areas, for example, limiting run-off (Cai et al., 2011) and reducing emissions, such as sulphur and nitrogen
- 103 emissions from shipping (Doney et al., 2007).
- 104 While mitigation is the only way to prevent long term increases in acidity, negative effects are already occurring 105 (De'ath et al., 2009;Bednaršek et al., 2014) and will continue to occur due to the locked in impacts from already 106 released emissions (Joos et al., 2011). Thus, efforts to build and maintain resilience in order to assist human 107 communities and ecological systems withstand, absorb, or adjust to these changes are also required. These types 108 of policies can be targeted at protecting ecological communities, for example by establishing marine protected 109 areas, clearance of invasive species and the removal of other anthropogenic stressors, such as pollution (Billé et 110 al., 2013). Alternatively, policies can be targeted at ensuring human communities have the potential to adapt to 111 changes, for example, by switching fisheries targets to less vulnerable species (Ekstrom et al., 2015) or 112 establishing monitoring systems to allow commercial enterprises to respond appropriately to changing pH 113 levels, as has occurred in Washington State oyster hatcheries (Barton et al., 2015).
- 114 It is also possible that efforts to reduce emissions, protect ecological systems and enhance the adaptive capacity
- 115 of human communities may simply not be enough in some cases. As a result it is also important to consider
- 116 whether human communities may be entitled to reparations for damages and loss experienced due to the impacts
- 117 of ocean acidification. In addition, efforts will be needed to determine whether degraded ecosystems can and
- 118 should be restored, and if so, via what methods. For example, the reintroduction of species, reseeding coral
- 119 reefs, or increasing ocean pH via introduction of various additives (Rau et al., 2012).
- 120 3. Current Responses to Ocean Acidification
- 121 These six domains offer a typology for examining how the international community, via the UN and its
- 122 affiliated institutions, is either preventing ocean acidification from worsening or responding to impacts that have
- 123 already occurred. This research revealed that only three institutions, the London Convention and Protocol
- 124 (LC&P), the OSPAR Convention, and the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), have implemented any of
- these types of policies with explicit consideration of ocean acidification.<sup>1</sup>
- 126 The Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (LC, 1972),
- 127 known as 'The London Convention' or the 'dumping Convention' was designed to prevent pollution of the
- 128 ocean from the dumping of waste from vessels, aircrafts and platforms. The Convention functions by providing
- a banned list of substances that cannot be disposed of in the marine environment. The London Protocol (1996),
- 130 designed to replace the Convention is more precautionary and provides a 'reverse list' naming substances that
- 131 may be considered for dumping, while prohibiting all others (Annex 1). The Convention on Biological Diversity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A number of other activities were found to have been initiated in response to ocean acidification, including calls of concern, calls for action and knowledge production, all of which are important and contribute to the larger discourse around ocean acidification and will (hopefully) lead to further policy making. However, these activities are beyond the scope of this particular discussion as they are not classified as policies designed to directly prevent further acidification or address the impacts that have already occurred.





- 132 (1992a) was designed to conserve biological diversity, as well as its sustainable and equitable use. The
- 133 Convention provides a framework for national action via agreed upon goals and guidelines, without putting in
- 134 place many binding obligations, beyond the obligation to address the issues covered by the Convention
- (Secretariat, 2001).Both the LC&P and the CBD are conventions with global reduce and both enjoy fairly high
- 136 participation rates (with 87, 48 and 196 members respectively). The Convention for the Protection of the Marine
- 137 Environment of the North-East Atlantic, or the "OSPAR Convention" (1992) is a regional convention focused
- 138 on the protection of the North-East Atlantic and has 18 contracting parties. The objectives of the OSPAR
- 139 Convention are implemented via the adoption of decisions (which are legally binding) and recommendations
- and other agreements that guide the activities of its members.

## 141 3.1 Geoengineering

- 142 As early as 2004, ocean acidification began to appear in discussions around the possible placement of carbon
- 143 dioxide in the OSPAR maritime area as a way of addressing climate change. The potential detrimental effects of
- 144 ocean acidification due to increasing anthropogenic carbon dioxide were highlighted and a review of existing
- 145 knowledge was commissioned (OSPAR, 2005). This resulted in the publication of a technical report, Effects on
- 146 the marine environment of ocean acidification resulting from elevated levels of  $CO_2$  in the atmosphere, which
- 147 provided an overview of ecosystem sensitivity to carbon dioxide exposure (Haugan et al., 2006).
- In 2007, the OSPAR Commission (the decision-making body of the Convention) formally expressed concern
  over the 'implications for the marine environment of climate change and ocean acidification due to elevated
  concentrations of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere' (OSPAR, 2007b, p. 1). The Commission further recognised
  that the storage of carbon dioxide in geological formations could act as part of a portfolio of measures for
  mitigating these impacts (OSPAR, 2007a). OSPAR adopted a *Decision* to ensure environmentally safe storage
  of carbon dioxide streams in geological formations, while legally ruling prohibiting the placement of carbon
- dioxide streams in the water column or on the seabed, due to the likelihood of resulting harm to living resources
- and marine ecosystems (OSPAR, 2007b).
- 156 Echoing the discussions taking place within the OSPAR regime, the Consultative Meeting of Contracting 157 Parties to the London Convention acknowledged, in 2005, that carbon dioxide posed a direct threat to the marine 158 environment and was responsible for causing ocean acidification. It was also acknowledged that carbon dioxide 159 sequestration and storage, which had effectively been banned until this point, could bring about benefits to the 160 oceans in terms of reducing ocean acidification and climate change. Furthermore, it was agreed that the act of 161 carbon sequestration and its implications for the marine environment came under the purview of the LC&P 162 (IMO, 2005 result, in 2006 an amendment was made to Annex 1of the Protocol (the 'reverse list') that 163 allowed for the consideration of dumping of 'carbon dioxide streams from carbon dioxide capture processes for 164 sequestration' (IMO, 2006, p.3). It was decided that carbon dioxide may only be considered for dumping if 165 'disposal is into a sub-seabed geological formation'(IMO, 2006, p.3), thereby effectively maintaining a 166 prohibition on its disposal in the water column or on the sea floor.

#### 167 **3.2 Protection and Adaptation**

- 168 In 2010, the OSPAR Commission agreed to 'monitor and assess the nature, rate and extent of the effects of
- 169 climate change and ocean acidification on the marine environment and consider appropriate ways of responding
- 170 to those developments' and that '[c]onsiderations of the impacts of climate change and ocean acidification, as





- 171 well as the need for adaptation and mitigation, will be integrated in all aspects of the work' (OSPAR, 2010, p.3).
- 172 Significantly, the Commission also agreed that it would strengthen the OSPAR network of marine protected
- 173 areas in recognition of their role in 'maintenance of ecosystem integrity and resilience against human activities
- and impacts of climate change and ocean acidification' (OSPAR, 2010, p.5).
- 175 Ocean acidification first began to appear in discussions within the CBD in 2008 when it was considered at the 176 Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice (SBSTTA)(SBSTTA, 2008). It then made its way on to the agenda of the 9th Convention of the Parties (COP), at which it was requested that the Executive 177 178 Secretary, in conjunction with others, prepare a synthesis report of available scientific information pertaining to 179 ocean acidification (CBD, 2008). The resulting report, Scientific Synthesis of the Impacts of Ocean Acidification 180 on Marine Biodiversity (Secretariat, 2009), was considered at the following SBSTTA meeting. At which it was 181 recommended that the COP adopt a decision expressing serious concern about increasing ocean acidification 182 and the potential threat to biodiversity and ecosystems and the consequent impacts on the services they provide (SBSSTA, 2010 STTA also recommended that the COP request the Executive Secretary to, in conjunction 183 184 with other relevant organisations and scientific groups, develop a series of expert review processes to monitor 185 and assess the impacts of ocean acidification and widely disseminate the result to raise awareness both within 186 the CBD and without. SBSTTA also suggested that given the relationship between atmospheric carbon dioxide 187 concentration and ocean acidification the COP request the Executive Secretary to transmit the findings to the 188 Secretariat of the UNFCCC (SBSSTA, 2010). All of these recommendations were accepted at the 10<sup>th</sup> COP, at 189 which the COP expressed 'its serious concern that increasing ocean acidification, as a direct consequence of 190 increased carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere, reduces the availability of carbonate minerals in 191 seawater...'(CBD, 2010b p.12)
- 192 The CBD COP also adopted a list of Ecologically or Biologically Significant Marine Areas (ESBAs) and 193 encouraged their conservation and sustainable use. These areas were identified as serving an important purpose 194 in supporting the healthy functioning of the ocean and included the Western South Pacific high aragonite 195 saturation state zone. An area identified as having the highest aragonite saturation state in the ocean today and, 196 therefore, the last to fall below critical thresholds with increasing acidification (CBD, 2012). This area, 197 therefore, may be the slowest to be impacted by ocean acidification and potentially the fastest to recover. 198 Significantly, the COP also set out a revised and updated strategic plan for biodiversity for 2011-2020, which 199 included establishing new biodiversity targets, the "Aichi Targets" (CBD, 2010c). The Aichi Targets set out a 200 series of goals aimed at halting the loss of biodiversity by 2020. Target 10 recommends that 'the multiple 201 anthropogenic pressures on coral reefs, and other vulnerable ecosystems impacted by climate change or ocean 202 acidification are minimized, so as to maintain their integrity and functioning' (CBD, 2010c, p.119). The rational 203 provided for this target is that the reduction of stressors affecting ecosystems will help to make them less 204 vulnerable to the impacts of acidification over the short to medium-term, thus, providing more time to address 205 acidification over the longer-term. 'Ultimately the aim of this target is to provide ecosystems with the greatest 206 probability of maintaining their integrity and functioning despite the effects of climate change and/or ocean 207 acidification' (CBD, 2013, p.1). Pollution control, reducing over-exploitation and harvesting, eradication of 208 invasive species are all activities offered as ways to reach this target. While remaining fairly vague, this is





209 significant as it is the first target set by any international institution with a timeframe for responding to ocean

- 210 acidification.
- 211 In response to this target, SBSTTA suggested a series of practical responses available to Parties to meet Target
- 212 10 and help reduce threats from ocean acidification. With regards to mitigation, Parties were encouraged to
- 213 work towards emission reductions of carbon dioxide and to participate in the UNFCCC, IPCC and other related
- 214 processes. These are relatively vague and aspirational and it expears that mitigation activities have largely been
- deferred to other bodies, such as the UNFCCC, that are deferred to other task. However, the
- 216 guidance offered for maintaining and restoring ecosystem resilience is far more detailed and includes specific
- 217 activities that governing bodies can implement, including effectively managing coastal runoff, limiting the
- 218 impacts of unstainable fishing practices and the reduction of local pollutants (SBSSTA, 2012).

#### 219 3.3 Substantial Gaps in the Response to Ocean Acidification

- 220 The substantive activities of the CBD, OSPAR Convention and the LC&P, as summarised in Table 2, are useful
- 221 first steps in crafting an international response to ocean acidification. However, these policies by themselves are
- unable to prevent worsening acidification. This is in large part because these activities focus on the protection of
- species and ecosystems and the regulation of geoengineering efforts and do not tackle the root cause of ocean
- 224 acidification rising carbon dioxide emissions. Activities focused on alleviating local pressures, protecting and
- 225 restoring ecosystems and helping human communities to adapt and respond are critical to ensuring positive
- 226 outcomes in the face of ocean acidification. However, the success of interventions designed to alleviate the
- 227 pressure of ocean acidification greatly declines with increasing emissions (Gattuso et al., 2015). Thus, these
- 228 options are only viable when coupled with substantive action to reduce carbon dioxide emissions. Without
- 229 measures to reduce carbon dioxide, non-CO<sub>2</sub> interventions become more costly and less effective and are only
- capable of delaying the worsening impacts of ocean acidification for a short period of time (Kennedy et al.,
- $\label{eq:231} 2013). As a result, non-CO_2 mitigation, protection, adaptation, restoration and reparation efforts, while$
- 232 important, remain largely ancillary to CO<sub>2</sub> reduction efforts and should only be viewed as effective when
- $\label{eq:coupled_co$

#### INTERVENTION **OSPAR** Convention LC&P CBD CO<sub>2</sub> Mitigation Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Recommended minimization of Mitigation anthropogenic pressures on ecosystems impacted by OA Agreed to strengthen the OSPAR Adaptation and Identified Western South Pacific high Protection network of marine protected areas aragonite saturation state zone for protection Restoration Reparations Geoengineering Prohibited the disposal of carbon Allowed for the sequestration of dioxide on or above the sea floor CO2 in sub-seabed geological formations.

# 234 Table 2: Policies Initiated with Explicit Intent to Respond to Ocean Acidification

235





# 236 4. Co-Benefits of Non-Ocean Acidification Directed Policies

It is evident that there are substantial gaps in the current governance of ocean acidification, especially in the domains of carbon dioxide mitigation, restoration and reparations. Even within those domains with existent policies there is room for additional efforts to create a more robust response to acidification. However, it is possible that efforts already exist that have been initiated without consideration of ocean acidification, that may actually be deemed relevant to its response.

#### 242 4.1 CO<sub>2</sub> Mitigation

Most significant is the work gundertaken within the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate 243 244 Change (UNFCCC)(1992a) to regulate emissions of carbon dioxide. As the main international institution 245 working to regulate carbon dioxide emissions, it is this institution that has the largest potential to determine 246 future levels of ocean acidity. To date, there has been little activity on behalf of the COP to consider ocean 247 acidification in discussions of targets and timelines for emission reductions. Nevertheless, rapid decarbonisation 248 in order to address climate change would also address ocean acidification. In the most recent Paris Agreement, 249 Parties agreed to hold 'the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial 250 levels and pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels' (UNFCCC, 251 2015a, p.3).

252 This agreement, paves the way for large-scale emission reductions, resulting in decarbonisation, thereby 253 preventing future acidification. However, the agreement also leaves room for less ambitious action, including 254 surpassing a  $1.5^{\circ}$ C rise in global temperatures, delaying a reduction to net zero emissions by as late of the end of 255 the century and utilising technologies to remove substantial amounts of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere 256 later in the century. Such scenarios would allow for continued high emissions in the short-term and rapid 257 reductions at a later time, which would result in worsening acidification and irreversible impacts in the near 258 future (Mathesius et al., 2015). The UNFCCC Expert Review suggested that there is a high likelihood of a 259 meaningful difference in impacts resulting from global temperature increases of 1.5 or 2°C. At 1.5°C risk from 260 acidification is likely to be on the verge of high risk, whereas at 2°C the risk would already be high. In addition, 261 an overshoot of the target followed by a rapid reduction in emissions would likely result in impacts from 262 acidification, irreversible for tens of thousands of years due to slow ocean processes (UNFCCC, 2015b). Thus, it 263 is difficult to conclude that the Paris Agreement, unless implemented in its most stringent form, is strong 264 enough to prevent a worsening of acidification into the future. As a result, there is still a need to work towards 265 stronger targets and timelines with consideration of ocean acidification. 266 There has been additional work to reduce carbon dioxide emissions undertaken within the International 267 Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL)(1973). MARPOL has taken steps to regulate 268 carbon dioxide emissions from the shipping industry, which account for approximately 2.2 percent of global 269 emissions (MARPOL, 2017), via the introduction of operational and technical measures (MEPEC, 2011). While

270 not implemented with reference to ocean acidification, nor comprising a big enough reduction in global

271 emissions to prevent further acidification, this is significant as it is the first mandatory regime for regulating the

272 emissions of a global industry. Such measures will aid in attempts to reduce global emissions and paves the way

273 for other industry specific regulations to occur within other institutions.

9





#### 274 4.2 Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Mitigation

MARPOL has also been instrumental in setting limits on the emissions of sulphur and nitrogen and other
pollutants from ships. Again, these regulations have been put in place to reduce air pollution and not as an
attempt to respond to ocean acidification. Although a 2010 submission by the United States proposing areas to
be designated as Sulphur Emission Control Areas noted that sulphur and nitrogen deposition from ships causes
local acidification of marine waters (MPEC, 2010).

#### 280 4.3 Geoengineering

281 Along with efforts to reduce carbon dioxide and non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions a number of efforts have been initiated to 282 regulate marine geoengineering. This is significant as some of these activities are thought likely to exacerbate 283 ocean acidification (Cao and Caldeira, 2010). Concerns have been raised within the CBD and LC&P over the 284 effectiveness and possible negative impacts on the marine environment of iron fertilization (with no mention of 285 ocean acidification). In 2008, the CBD COP requested Parties and urged other governments to 'ensure that 286 ocean fertilization activities do not take place until there is an adequate scientific basis on which to justify such 287 activities' (CBD, 2008). Noting this decision, the LC&P placed a moratorium on all ocean fertilization activities 288 (excluding those conducted for legitimate scientific research purposes)(LC&P, 2008) urer, in 2010 the CBD 289 COP adopted a decision that invited Parties and other Governments to ensure 'that no climate -related geo-290 engineering activities that may affect biodiversity take place, until there is an adequate scientific basis on which 291 to justify such activities and appropriate consideration of the risks for the environment and biodiversity and 292 associated social, economic and cultural impacts' (CBD, 2010a, p.5). While these steps are useful in regulating 293 geoengineering, it is possible that such efforts will still go ahead, thus there is a need to consider their impacts, 294 positive, negative, or benign, for ocean acidification prior to their deployment.

#### 295 4.4 Protection, Adaptation and Restoration

296 Also of relevance to ocean acidification are the multitude of conservation measures that have been implemented 297 under various institutions. Listing all is simply beyond the scope of this paper; however, efforts include 298 establishing marine protected areas, limiting fishery quotas, and restoration of local habitats. These policies may 299 play a role in boosting resiliency and protecting biodiversity from increasing acidity, as well as restoring 300 impacted systems and aiding human communities in adapting to changing conditions. However, conservation 301 measures implemented without consideration of the trajectory and impacts of ocean acidification may allow 302 activities that will exacerbate ocean acidification. In addition, they may simply not be constructed or 303 implemented in a way that helps human and ecological communities to overcome the impacts of ocean 304 acidification. For instance, it is not enough to have areas designated as protected, it is recommended that they be 305 specifically located to avoid hotspots of acidification (Hofmann et al., 2011;Kelly et al., 2011), while 306 simultaneously placed to act as refugia, either by preserving areas that are likely to acidify at a slower rate or by 307 protecting populations that exhibit high levels of genetic diversity and natural resilience (Billé et al., 2013). 308 Thus, if institutions wish to protect and restore ecological communities and aid the human communities dependent upon them, conservation measures need to be designed with ocean acidification in mind. 309

#### 310 aps Still Exist

- 311 It is evident that there are a number of existing policies, initiated without consideration of ocean acidification,
- 312 which are able to help lessen worsening acidification and address impacts (See Table 3 for a summary). Efforts





- 313 to reduce carbon dioxide emissions within the UNFCCC have, to date, not considered ocean acidification and
- thus, are not strong enough to prevent increasing acidification in the future. Other mitigation policies, including
- 315 those within MARPOL provide positive steps to reduce industry wide emissions of carbon dioxide, however are
- 316 not broad enough to capture a large enough segment of emissions so as to prevent future acidification. In
- 317 addition, general conservation measures are likely to have a positive effect on ecosystems in the face of rising
- 318 acidity. However, without specific intent to address acidification it is possible that such measures could miss
- 319 important opportunities with regards to protecting ecological systems. Further, few legally binding restrictions
- 320 have been placed on deployment of geoengineering efforts and thus, may be used in the future. It is important to
- 321 understand how such efforts could interact with ocean acidification and ensure that the possible negative
- 322 impacts are considered prior to their deployment.
  - INTERVENTION LC&P UNFCCC OSPAR CBD Convention CO<sub>2</sub> Mitigation Agreed to rapid Operational and reductions of technical GHG emissions measures to reduce ship emissions SOx and NOx Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Recommended Mitigation minimization of regulations anthropogenic pressures on ecosystems impacted by OA Adaptation and Identified Western Agreed to South Pacific high Protection strengthen the OSPAR network aragonite saturation state of marine protected areas zone for protection Restoration Reparations Geoengineering Prohibited the -Allowed for the Requested ocean fertilization disposal of carbon sequestration of dioxide on or CO<sub>2</sub> in sub-seabed activities do not above the sea geological occur floor formations -Moratorium on iron fertilization and other forms of marine geoengineering

323 Table 1: Existing Policies that Form a Response Ocean Acidification

# 324

325 It is appears that while there are a series of policies currently forming an international response to ocean

326 acidification, they are simply not enough, even when coupled with non-acidification directed efforts, to prevent

327 the worsening of ocean acidification or address its impacts. Thus, this paper will now turn to a discussion of

- 328 existing policies within international institutions that are not currently being utilised to respond to ocean
- acidification that could be employed to enhance efforts to prevent worsening of ocean acidification and respond
- to impacts as they occur.





# 331 5. Utilising Existing International Instruments

# 332 5.1 CO<sub>2</sub> Mitigation

333 With regards to the mitigation of carbon dioxide emissions, the UNFCCC remains the venue in which the 334 international community has come together to regulate emissions. As discussed above the Paris Agreement has 335 established a long-term goal for emission reductions that provides a pathway for avoiding unacceptable risks 336 associated with both ocean acidification and climate change. However, the leniencies built into the agreement 337 mean that this is not guaranteed. Thus, there is still a need for broader incorporation of ocean acidification into discussions within the UNFCCC (Harrould-Kolieb, 201 his could be worked into the polic reviews 338 339 that will take place in regards to strengthening the long-term goal and the timeline for meeting this goal. Some 340 scholars, however, suggest that this is unlikely to occur due to structural limitations of the UNFCCC mandate 341 that effectively prevents a more meaningful consideration of ocean acidification within the workings of the 342 Convention (Baird et al., 2009;Kim, 2012). However, these are narrow readings of the Convention and do not 343 take into account its progressive nature with regards to the incorporation of developing science (Harrould-344 Kolieb, 2016). Thus, it is possible and critical for ocean acidification to be considered alongside climate change 345 when setting targets, timelines and methods for emission reductions within the UNFCCC.

346 It is worth noting that a number of other fora, including the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea 347 (UNCLOS)(1982) and the United Nations Fish Stocks Agreement (UNFSA)(1995) have been proposed as 348 avenues for limiting carbon dioxide emissions, primarily due to their obligations to protect the marine 349 environment through the regulation of pollutants. These institutions are seen as particularly attractive as they both have binding dispute resolution mechanisms in place that could, it is proposed, essentially be used to 350 351 compel states to reduce their carbon dioxide emissions (Boyle, 2012;Burns, 2006). However, these institutions 352 are unlikely to be utilised, primarily because of the significant duplication of efforts already being pursued 353 within the UNFCCC to reduce emissions (Boyle, 2012). These institutions are also less widely subscribed to 354 than the UNFCCC, and it is questionable whether the dispute resolution mechanisms could be used to compel 355 some of the largest emitters, including the United States, that have not yet ratified the traty. Thus, the UNFCCC 356 remains the most likely venue for achieving a global reduction in carbon dioxide emissions.

### 357 5.2 Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Mitigation

358 The broad pollution controls offered under UNCLOS, which impose management obligations on Parties to limit 359 marine pollution (UNCLOS, 1982a), could be used to encourage states to make greater effort to reduce non-CO2 360 drivers of ocean acidification. Similarly, UNFSA requires Parties to 'minimize pollution' (UNFSA, 1995) and 361 while no definition of pollution is provided within the agreement text, it could be reasonably interpreted to 362 include pollutants that increase coastal acidification, especially as linkages between increasing acidity and 363 impacts to fisheries become more apparent (Branch et al., 2013). In addition, the Global Programme of Action 364 for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-based Activities (GPA), established by the Washington 365 Declaration on Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities (UNEP, 1995), provides a 366 forum for limiting nutrient run-off, indeed, the GPA was tasked with working on 'on nutrients, litter and 367 wastewater' and identified a number of land-based sources of pollution including sewage, nutrients, sediment 368 mobilisation, persistent organic pollutants, oils, litter, heavy metals and radioactive substances on which to 369 focus its work (UNEP, 2015). Similarly, the CBD has agreed in Aichi Target number 8, that nutrient pollution





be brought to sustainable levels by 2020 so as not to negatively impact ecosystem function and biodiversity(CBD, 2010c).

- 372 These are all existing measures that can easily be understood to include efforts to reduce the local causes of 373 ocean acidification. Further, institutions that manage networks of marine protected areas, including, for 374 example, OSPAR, UNFSA and the Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources 375 (CCAMLR)(1980a), could incorporate the local reduction of acidity into the MPA management as a regular 376 operating procedure (Billé et al., 2013). It has been suggested that an implementing agreement under UNCLOS 377 could provide an avenue for establishing a series of marine protected areas beyond national jurisdictions (CBD, 378 2006), such areas would be governed by the objectives of UNCLOS, including limiting pollution to the marine 379 environment. Thus, these MPAs could be established with consideration of ocean acidification and managed
- 380 with the intent of responding to it.

# 381 5.3 Adaptation and Protection

382 Marine protected areas could also be utilised to enhance resilience and adaptive capacity of ecological and 383 human communities affected by ocean acidification. The identification and protection of areas that may act as 384 refugia or hotspots of biodiversity would act to reduce stressors and encourage greater resilience in the face of 385 ocean acidification. Consideration of ocean acidification could be incorporated into existing strategies and 386 guidelines for designing and managing MPA networks, such as those designated by the IUCN World 387 Commission on Protected Areas, which contain guidelines for best practice in regards to climate change (IUCN-388 WCPA, 2008). Similarly, ocean acidification could be incorporated into the General framework for the 389 establishment of CCAMLR Marine Protected Areas, which already recognises the role of MPAs in contributing 390 to sustaining ecosystem structure and function and aims to protect areas in order to maintain resilience or the 391 ability to adapt to the effects of climate change (CCAMLR, 2011). CCAMLR has the ability to designate marine 392 protected areas that can exclude fishing activities, ship discharges and dumping of wastes, as well as setting 393 catch limits and designating open and closed seasons for fisheries (CCAMLR, 1980b). All of which could be 394 useful in protecting species, such as krill, that are likely to be impacted severely by increasing acidity 395 (Kawaguchi et al., 2011;Kawaguchi et al., 2013) and the Southern Ocean areas that are rapidly acidifying 396 (McNeil and Matear, 2008). These treaties could be utilised to create networks of protected areas with the 397 expressed intent of combatting ocean acidification. 398 The UNFSA and various regional fisheries management organisations (RMFOs) also offer venues for the

399 consideration of the impact of ocean acidification on fisheries and the management options required to ensure

400 functional fisheries into the future. These could include the adjusting of take limits and establishing no take

zones to boost resilience in areas most vulnerable to ocean acidification. The CBD could also provide a venue to

402 host a broader discussion about the integration of ocean acidification into biodiversity adaption and protection

403 planning. Specifically via the *Climate Change Adaptation Database* that offers guidance on adaptation options

to Parties(CBD, 2017)

#### 405 5.4 Restoration

406 The CBD also offers an important venue for initiating activities to restore ecosystems degraded by ocean

407 acidification. Indeed, Article 8(f) of the Convention states that 'each Contracting Party shall [...] [r]ehabilitate

408 and restore degraded ecosystems and promote the recovery of threatened species, inter alia, through the





- 409 development and implementation of plans or other management strategies' (CBD, 1992a). Further, Target 14 of
- 410 the Aichi Targets requires that 'ecosystems that provide essential services, including services related to water,
- 411 and contribute to health, livelihoods and well-being, are restored and safeguarded'(CBD, 2010c). Thus,
- 412 restoration of species and ecosystems degraded by ocean acidification fall easily within the CBD mandate. The
- 413 RAMSAR Convention on Wetlands (1971) could also offer a venue for restoration activities pertaining to coral
- 414 reefs and coastal areas affected by ocean acidification as it contains a very broad definition of wetland that
- 415 includes coral reefs and marine waters to a depth of six meters at low tide (RAMSAR, 1971).

# 416 5.5 Reparations

417 The UNFCCC COP has initiated efforts to consider ways to address the loss and damages experienced in 418 developing countries due to climate change (UFCCC, 2017). Interestingly, ocean acidification appears as part of 419 this discussion; listed as a slow onset event that could result in loss and damage(UNFCCC, 2010). This is the 420 only mention of ocean acidification in any outcome documents of the COP to date. Here the COP recognised the 421 need for greater effort to better understand and reduce the loss and damage associated with, among other things, 422 the impacts of slow onset events, including ocean acidification. In 2013, following two years of deliberations, 423 the Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and Damage was established (UFCCC, 2014). It is, as yet, 424 unclear how the mechanism will progress (Surminski and Lopez, 2014) and how and to what extent ocean 425 acidification will be factored in. However, this could provide an avenue for addressing issues of reparations 426 associated with loss and damage resulting from ocean acidification.

#### 427 5.6 Geoengineering

Geoengineering, like ocean acidification, presents numerous governance challenges as it is a cross-sectional
issue that falls under the interest areas of many international institutions while, simultaneously not fitting neatly
within the mandate any one. As yet, there is no clear governance framework applicable to geoengineering.
However, it has been suggested that the use of international environmental impact assessment (EIA)
mechanisms could be an avenue for increasing geoengineering governance (Craik, 2015). This could also offer a
pathway for assessing whether individual geoengineering schemes are likely to be positive, negative or neutral
with regards to ocean acidification.

435 There are a series of institutions that offer EIA mechanisms that could be utilised for this purpose, including 436 UNCLOS that imposes an obligation on states to assess the potential effects of planned activities that 'may 437 cause substantial pollution of or significant and harmful changes to the marine environment' (UNCLOS, 438 1982b). The CBD also requires states to 'introduce appropriate procedures requiring environmental impacts 439 assessment of its proposed projects that are likely to have significant adverse effects on biological diversity' 440 (CBD, 1992b). There is also a clause in the UNFCCC that makes reference to undertaking impact assessments 441 in order to minimize adverse effects resulting from projects or measures undertaken to mitigate or adapt to 442 climate change (UNFCCC, 1992b). For regional impacts, the Protocol on Environmental Protection to the 443 Antarctic Treaty (1991b) offers EIA requirements for all activities occurring within the Antarctic Treaty area that could have even a 'minor or transitory impact' (ATS, 1991). The Convention on Environmental Impact 444 445 Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo Convention)(1991a) may also be applicable as it offers 446 processes to deal with transboundary impacts, which may occur due to the deployment of geoengineering 447 mechanisms.





# 448 5.7 Filling the Gaps

- 449 This section has proposed a series of existing instruments that could be utilised in order to enhance the current, 450 but wanting, international response to ocean acidification. These suggestions include utilising instruments 451 within institutions that are already responding to ocean acidification, such as the CBD. Opportunities exist 452 within this institution to expand efforts to mitigate the non-CO<sub>2</sub> causes of ocean acidification as well as 453 adaptation and protection, restoration and geoengineering efforts. Other institutions, such as UNCLOS, that 454 have previously been inactive with regards to ocean acidification, also offer avenues for increasing the 455 governance of ocean acidification. UNCLOS offers opportunities for action within efforts to reduce both carbon 456 dioxide emissions and the non-CO2 causes of rising acidity. UNCLOS can also enhance efforts to adapt and 457 protect human and ecological systems from acidification, as well as the regulation of geoengineering via 458 environmental impact assessments. 459 These previously untapped opportunities offer the potential for addressing each of the six policy domains that 460 constitute a comprehensive response to ocean acidification. This is visualised in Table 4. The CBD and 461 UNCLOS are venues that could take on multifaceted responses to ocean acidification. Indeed, these two 462 institutions could act to guide the wider response across the international community. The UNFCCC is central 463 to this response with regards to reducing carbon dioxide emissions and is, currently, the only venue discussing 464 the issue of reparations with relations to loss and damage suffered due to climate change. It is also likely that the 465 UNFCCC will be abreast of any deployment of geoengineering schemes, and thus, incorporating ocean 466 acidification into the UNFCCC impact assessment will be an effective way of ensuring impacts with regards to 467 ocean acidity are considered. While the other institutions listed offer more ancillary avenues to responding to
- 468 ocean acidification, they do represent additional opportunities for creating a comprehensive response.

#### 469 Table 2: International Institutions Capable of Contributing to a Response to Ocean Acidification

INTERVENTION	CBD	NUCLOS	UNFCCC	OSPAR	UNFSA	MARPOL	CCAM	LC&P	GPA	RAMSAR	STA	Espoo
CO <sub>2</sub> Mitigation		Х	Х		Х	Х						
Non-CO <sub>2</sub> Mitigation	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х		Х			
Adaptation and Protection	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х					
Restoration	Х									Х		
Reparations			Х									
Geoengineering	Х	Х	Х	Х				Х			Х	Х

470

# 471

# 472 6. Conclusion

473 This review of activities related to ocean acidification within the UN General Assembly and across the UN 474 family found that substantive action (rule-making or implementation) to prevent worsening ocean acidification 475 and to respond to impacts has largely not occurred. Indeed, only three institutions, the London Convention and 476 Protocol, the OSPAR Convention and the Convention on Biological Diversity, were found to have initiated any 477 rule making and implementation activities in direct response to ocean acidification. These are useful first steps 478 in crafting an international response to ocean acidification. However, these policies by themselves are unable to





479 prevent worsening acidification. This is in large part because these activities focus on the protection of species

480 and ecosystems and the regulation of geoengineering efforts and do not tackle the root cause of ocean

481 acidification – rising carbon dioxide emissions.

- 482 In addition to these activities there are a number of existing policies, initiated without consideration of ocean
- 483 acidification, which are able to help lessen worsening acidification and address its impacts. However, these
- 484 activities, in most cases, including carbon dioxide reduction efforts within the UNFCCC, have been found not to
- 485 be strong enough to guarantee prevention of ocean acidification in the future. Other mitigation policies,
- 486 including those within MARPOL provide positive steps to reduce industry wide emissions of carbon dioxide,
- 487 however are not broad enough to capture a large enough segment of emissions so as to prevent future
- 488 acidification. In addition, general conservation measures are likely to have a positive effect on ecosystems in the
- 489 face of rising acidity. However, without specific intent to address acidification it is possible that such measures
- 490 could miss important opportunities with regards to protecting ecological systems. Further, few legally binding
- 491 restrictions have been placed on deployment of geoengineering efforts and thus, may be used in the future. It is
- 492 important to understand how such efforts could interact with ocean acidification and ensure that the possible
- 493 negative impacts are considered prior to their deployment. Thus, this range of activities were found wanting
- 494 with regards to offering a strong, comprehensive response to rising ocean acidity and its impacts.
- 495 Therefore, a series of options for enhancing the current international response to ocean acidification utilising, as 496 yet, untapped instruments was explored. This found, most importantly, that the CBD and UNCLOS could both 497 take on a substantial amount of work to craft a response to ocean acidification. These two institutions are readily 498 applicable to responding to ocean acidification due to their interests in conserving biodiversity and protecting 499 the marine environment. These two institutions could also serve as focal points for and guide a wider 500 international response to acidification. A number of other institutions were found to have instruments that could 501 be utilised in responding to rising acidity and its impacts. Including, the UNFCCC that will need to remain the 502 venue for the mitigation of carbon dioxide with regards to ocean acidification as it is the site of international
- 503 efforts to regulate carbon dioxide as a response to climate change.

504 While this piecemeal approach responding to ocean acidification is perhaps far from ideal it is important to 505 acknowledge that existing institutions are limited to some extent by their mandates in their capacity to initiate a 506 holistic response to ocean acidification. For instance, being a regional agreement the OSPAR Convention is 507 prevented from protecting marine ecosystems globally. The LC&P are limited to governing substances dumped 508 into the ocean and do not have the capacity to regulate land-based emissions of carbon dioxide. Similarly, the 509 CBD, while very broad in scope, is probably limited in its ability to regulate carbon dioxide. Despite the lack of 510 a single institution able to tackle to problem of ocean acidification, this work has found there are a number of 511 institutions capable of taking on different aspects of a response. Together these efforts, if employed, could cover 512 all six policy domains that comprise a comprehensive response to acidification. It is unlikely that an existing 513 institution can or will take on a comprehensive response to ocean acidification. Thus, this piecemeal approach, 514 while far from ideal, offers a pathway forward that is politically feasible and achievable in the short- to medium-515 term - a critical timeframe with regards to this issue.

516





# 519 References

- 520 Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat, entered into force 1975,
- **521** 1971.
- 522 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), entered into force 1994, 1982.
- 523 Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo Convention), entered
- 524 into force 1997, 1991a.
- 525 Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty, entered into force 1998, 1991b.
- 526 Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic, entered into force 1998,
- **527** 1992.
- 528 Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea of
- 529 10 December 1982 relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly
- 530 Migratory Fish Stocks, entered into force 2001, 1995.
- 531 Protocol to the Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter,
- 532 1972, entered into force 2006, 1996.
- 533 ATS: Article 8: Environmental Impact Assessment, Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic
- 534 Treaty, 1991.
- 535 Baird, R., Simons, M., and Stephens, T.: Ocean Acidification: A litmust test for international law, Carbon and
- 536 Climate Law Review, 4, 459-471, 2009.
- 537 Barton, A., Waldbusser, G. G., Feely, R. A., Weisberg, S. B., Newton, J. A., Hales, B., Cudd, S., Eudeline, B.,

538 Langdon, C. J., and Jefferds, I.: Impacts of coastal acidification on the Pacific Northwest shellfish industry and

- adaptation strategies implemented in response, Oceanography, 28, 146-159, 2015.
- 540 Bednaršek, N., Feely, R., Reum, J., Peterson, B., Menkel, J., Alin, S., and Hales, B.: Limacina helicina shell
- 541 dissolution as an indicator of declining habitat suitability owing to ocean acidification in the California Current
  542 Ecosystem, Proc. R. Soc. B, 2014, 20140123,
- 543 Billé, R., Kelly, R., Biastoch, A., Harrould-Kolieb, E., Herr, D., Joos, F., Kroeker, K., Laffoley, D., Oschlies,
- 544 A., and Gattuso, J.-P.: Taking action against ocean acidification: a review of management and policy options,
- 545 Environmental management, 52, 761-779, 2013.
- 546 Boyle, A.: Law of the Sea perspectives on climate change, The International Journal of Marine and Coastal
- 547 Law, 27, 831-838, 2012.
- 548 Branch, T. A., DeJoseph, B. M., Ray, L. J., and Wagner, C. A.: Impacts of ocean acidification on marine
- seafood, Trends in ecology & evolution, 28, 178-186, 2013.
- 550 Burns, W. C.: Potential Causes of Action for Climate Change Impacts Under the United Nations Fish Stocks
- 551 Agreement, Sustainable Dev. L. & Pol'y, 7, 34, 2006.
- 552 Cai, W.-J., Hu, X., Huang, W.-J., Murrell, M. C., Lehrter, J. C., Lohrenz, S. E., Chou, W.-C., Zhai, W.,
- Hollibaugh, J. T., and Wang, Y.: Acidification of subsurface coastal waters enhanced by eutrophication, Nature
   Geoscience, 4, 766-770, 2011.
- Caldeira, K., and Wickett, M. E.: Oceanography: Anthropogenic carbon and ocean pH, Nature, 425, 365-365,
  2003.
- 557 Cao, L., and Caldeira, K.: Can ocean iron fertilization mitigate ocean acidification?, Climatic Change, 99, 303-
- **558** 311, 2010.

Biogeosciences Discussions



- 559 CBD: Convention on Biological Diversity, entered into force 1993, 1992a.
- 560 CBD: Article 14. Impact Assessment and Minimizing Adverse Impacts, Convention on Biological Diversity,
- 561 1992b.
- 562 CBD: Decision VIII/24. Protected areas Convention on Biological Diversity, UNEP/CBD/COP/DEC/VIII/24,
- **563** 2006.
- 564 CBD: Decision IX/16. Biodiversity and climate change, Convention on Biological Diversity,
- 565 UNEP/CBD/COP/DEC/IX/16, 2008.
- 566 CBD: Decision X/33. Biodiversity and climate change Convention on Biological Diversity,
- 567 UNEP/CBD/COP/DEC/X/33, 2010a.
- 568 CBD: Decision X/29. Marine and coastal biodiversity, Convention on Biological Diversity,
- 569 UNEP/CBD/COP/DEC/X/29, 2010b.
- 570 CBD: Decision X/2. The Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the Aichi Biodiversity Targets,
- 571 Convention on Biological Diversity, UNEP/CBD/COP/DEC/X/2, 2010c.
- 572 CBD: Decision XI/17. Marine and coastal biodiversity: ecologically or biologically significant marine areas
- 573 Convention on Biological Diversity, UNEP/CBD/COP/DEC/XI/17, 2012.
- 574 CBD: Quick guide to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets: Target 10 Pressures on vulnerable ecosystems reduced,
- 575 Convention on Biological Diversity, 2013.
- 576 Climate Change Adaptation Database: Integrating Biodiversity into Climate Change Adaptation Planning.
- 577 <u>https://adaptation.cbd.int</u>, access: 2017, 2017.
- 578 CCAMLR: Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR), entered into579 force 1982, 1980a.
- 580 CCAMLR: Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR), Article IX,581 1980b.
- 582 CCAMLR: Resolution 30/XXVIII (2009): Climate Change, 2009.
- 583 CCAMLR: Conservation Measure 91-04 (2011): General framework for the establishment of CCAMLR Marine
- 584 Protected Areas, Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR), 2011.
- 585 Craik, N.: International EIA Law and Geoengineering: Do Emerging Technologies Require Special Rules?,
- 586 Climate Law, 5, 111-141, 2015.
- 587 De'ath, G., Lough, J. M., and Fabricius, K. E.: Declining coral calcification on the Great Barrier Reef, Science,
  588 323, 116-119, 2009.
- 589 Doney, S. C., Mahowald, N., Lima, I., Feely, R. A., Mackenzie, F. T., Lamarque, J.-F., and Rasch, P. J.: Impact
- 590 of anthropogenic atmospheric nitrogen and sulfur deposition on ocean acidification and the inorganic carbon
- system, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104, 14580-14585, 2007.
- 592 Doney, S. C., Fabry, V. J., Feely, R. A., and Kleypas, J. A.: Ocean acidification: the other CO2 problem, Marine
- 593 Science, 1, 2009.
- 594 Ekstrom, J. A., Suatoni, L., Cooley, S. R., Pendleton, L. H., Waldbusser, G. G., Cinner, J. E., Ritter, J.,
- 595 Langdon, C., Van Hooidonk, R., and Gledhill, D.: Vulnerability and adaptation of US shellfisheries to ocean
- acidification, Nature Climate Change, 5, 207, 2015.
- 597 Gattuso, J. P., Magnan, A., Bille, R., Cheung, W. W. L., Howes, E. L., Joos, F., Allemand, D., Bopp, L.,
- 598 Cooley, S. R., Eakin, C. M., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Kelly, R. P., Portner, H.-O., Rogers, A. D., Baxter, J. M.,





- 599 Laffoley, D., Osborn, D., Rankovic, A., Rochette, J., Sumaila, U. R., Treyer, S., and Turley, C.: Contrasting
- futures for ocean and society from different anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions scenarios, Science, 349, aac47224721-4710, 2015.
- 602 Harrould-Kolieb, E. R.: Ocean Acidification and the UNFCCC: Finding Legal Clarity in the Twilight Zone,
- 603 Wash. J. Envtl. L. & Pol'y, 6, 613, 2016.
- 604 Hassellöv, I. M., Turner, D. R., Lauer, A., and Corbett, J. J.: Shipping contributes to ocean acidification,
- 605 Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 2731-2736, 2013.
- Haugan, P., Turley, C., and Pörtner, H.-O.: Effects on the marine environment of ocean acidification resulting
- form elevated levels of CO2 in the atmosphere, OSPAR intersessional correspondence group, 2006.
- 608 Hofmann, G. E., Smith, J. E., Johnson, K. S., Send, U., Levin, L. A., Micheli, F., Paytan, A., Price, N. N.,
- Peterson, B., and Takeshita, Y.: High-frequency dynamics of ocean pH: a multi-ecosystem comparison, PloS
  one, 6, e28983, 2011.
- 611 IMO: Report of the 27TH Consultative Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Convention on the Prevention
- of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter 1972, International Maritime Organization LC27/16, 2005.
- 614 IMO: Notification of amendments to Annex 1 to the London Protocol 1996 International Maritime
- 615 Organization, LC-LP.1/Circ.5, 2006.
- 616 IUCN-WCPA: Establishing Marine Protected Area Networks—Making It Happen, IUCN World Commission
- 617 on Protected Areas, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and The Nature Conservancy,
- 618 Washington, D.C., 118 pp., 2008.
- 519 Joos, F., Frölicher, T. L., Steinacher, M., and Plattner, G.-K.: Impact of climate change mitigation on ocean
- acidification projections, Ocean acidification, 272-290, 2011.
- 621 Kawaguchi, S., Kurihara, H., King, R., Hale, L., Berli, T., Robinson, J. P., Ishida, A., Wakita, M., Virtue, P.,
- and Nicol, S.: Will krill fare well under Southern Ocean acidification?, Biology Letters, 7, 288-291, 2011.
- 623 Kawaguchi, S., Ishida, A., King, R., Raymond, B., Waller, N., Constable, A., Nicol, S., Wakita, M., and
- 624 Ishimatsu, A.: Risk maps for Antarctic krill under projected Southern Ocean acidification, Nature Climate
- 625 Change, 3, 843, 2013.
- 626 Kelly, R. P., Foley, M. M., Fisher, W. S., Feely, R. A., Halpern, B. S., Waldbusser, G. G., and Caldwell, M. R.:
- 627 Mitigating local causes of ocean acidification with existing laws, Science, 332, 1036-1037, 2011.
- 628 Kennedy, E. V., Perry, C. T., Halloran, P. R., Iglesias-Prieto, R., Schönberg, C. H., Wisshak, M., Form, A. U.,
- 629 Carricart-Ganivet, J. P., Fine, M., and Eakin, C. M.: Avoiding coral reef functional collapse requires local and
- 630 global action, Current Biology, 23, 912-918, 2013.
- 631 Kim, R. E.: Is a New Multilateral Environmental Agreement on Ocean Acidification Necessary?, Review of
- 632 European Community & International Environmental Law, 21, 243-258, 2012.
- 633 Lamirande, H. R.: From sea to carbon cesspool: Preventing the world's marine ecosystems from falling victim to
- 634 ocean acidification, Suffolk Transnat'l L. Rev., 34, 183-273, 2011.
- 635 LC: Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (London
- 636 Convention), entered into force 1975, 1972.
- 637 LC&P: Resolution LC-LP.1(2008): On the Regulation of Ocean Fertilization London Convention and London
- 638 Protocol, 2008.





- 639 MARPOL: International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL), entered into force
- 640 1983, 1973.
- 641 http://www.imo.org/en/OurWork/Environment/PollutionPrevention/AirPollution/Pages/Default.aspx, 2017.
- 642 Mathesius, S., Hofmann, M., Caldeira, K., and Schellnhuber, H. J.: Long-term response of oceans to CO2
- removal from the atmosphere, Nature Climate Change, 5, 1107-1113, 2015.
- 644 McNeil, B. I., and Matear, R. J.: Southern ocean acidification: a tipping point at 450-ppm atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>,
- 645 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 105, 18860-18864, 2008.
- 646 MEPEC: Resolution MEPC.203(62): Inclusion of regulations on energy efficiency for ships in MARPOL
- 647 Annex VI International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL), MEPC
- 648 62/24/Add.1, 2011.
- 649 MPEC: Interpretations of, and Amendments to, MARPOL and Related Instruments: Designation of an Emission
- 650 Control Area for Nitrogen Oxides, Sulphur Oxides and Particulate Matter, Submitted by the United States,
- 651 International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL), MEPC 61/INF.9, 2010.
- 652 Narita, D., Rehdanz, K., and Tol, R. S.: Economic costs of ocean acidification: a look into the impacts on global

shellfish production, Climatic Change, 113, 1049-1063, 2012.

- 654 OSPAR: Meeting of the Biodiversity Committee (BDC), OSPAR Convention for the Protection of the Marine
- Environment of the North-East Atlantic, BDC 05/13/1-E, 2005.
- 656 OSPAR: OSPAR Guidelines for Risk Assessment and Management of Storage of CO2 Streams in Geological

657 Formations, OSPAR Commission, Reference Number: 2007-12, 2007a.

- 658 OSPAR: Decision 2007/1 to Prohibit the Storage of Carbon Dioxide Streams in the Water Column or on the
- 659 Sea-bed, Meeting of the OSPAR Commission ANNEX 5 (Ref. §2.9b), 2007b.
- 660 OSPAR: The North-East Atlantic Environment Strategy: Strategy of the OSPAR Commission for the Protection
- of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic 2010–2020, OSPAR Commission, OSPAR Agreement
  2010-3, 2010.
- RAMSAR: Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat, Article 1,1971.
- Rau, G. H., McLeod, E. L., and Hoegh-Guldberg, O.: The need for new ocean conservation strategies in a highcarbon dioxide world, Nature Climate Change, 2, 720-724, 2012.
- 667 Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin III, F. S., Lambin, E., Lenton, T. M., Scheffer, M.,
- Folke, C., and Schellnhuber, H.: Planetary boundaries: exploring the safe operating space for humanity, Ecologyand Society, 14, 32, 2009.
- 670 SBSSTA: Report of the Fourteenth Meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological
- 671 Advice, Convention on Biological Diversity, UNEP/CBD/COP/10/3, 2010.
- 672 SBSSTA: Report of the Expert Meeting to Develop a Series of Joint Expert Review Processes to Monitor and
- 673 Assess the Impacts of Ocean Acidification on Marine and Coastal Biodiversity, Convention on Biological
- 674 Diversity, UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/16/INF/14, 2012.
- 675 SBSTTA: Report of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice on the Work of its
- 676 Thriteenth Meeting, Convention on Biological Diversity, UNEP/CBD/COP/9/3, 2008.
- 677 Secretariat: Global Biodiversity Outlook 1, Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Montreal,
- **678** 2001.

Biogeosciences Discussions



- 679 Secretariat: Scientifc Synthesis of the Impacts of Ocean Acidifcation on Marine Biodiversity, Technical Series
- 680 No. 46, Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Montreal, 2009.
- 681 Surminski, S., and Lopez, A.: Concept of loss and damage of climate change-a new challenge for climate
- decision-making? A climate science perspective, Climate and Development, 1-11, 2014.
- 583 Sutherland, W., Adams, W., Aronson, R., Aveling, R., Blackburn, T. M., Broad, S., Ceballos, G., Cote, I.,
- 684 Cowling, R., and Da Fonseca, G.: One hundred questions of importance to the conservation of global biological
- diversity, Conservation Biology, 23, 557-567, 2009.
- 686 UFCCC: Decision 2/CP.19: Warsaw international mechanism for loss and damage associated with climate
- 687 change impacts United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, FCCC/CP/2013/10/Add.1 2014.
- 688 Approaches to address loss and damage associated with climate change impacts in developing countries
- 689 particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change.
- 690 <u>http://unfccc.int/adaptation/workstreams/loss\_and\_damage</u>, access: 2017, 2017.
- 691 UNCLOS: United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), PART XII: Protection and
- 692 Preservation of the Marine Environment: Article 194: Measures to prevent, reduce and control pollution of the
- 693 marine environment, 1982a.
- 694 UNCLOS: Article 206: Assessment of potential effects of activities, United Nations Convention on the Law of695 the Sea (UNCLOS), 1982b.
- 696 UNEP: Washington Declaration on Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities United
- 697 Nations Environment Programme, 1995.
- 698 UNEP: Progress in implementation of the Global Programme of Action for the Protection of the Marine
- 699 Environment from Land-Based Activities (GPA) and specifically the Manila Declaration, United Nations
- 700 Environment Programme, UNEP/WBRS.17/5/Rev.1, 2015.
- 701 UNFCCC: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, entered into force 1994, 1992a.
- 702 UNFCCC: ARTICLE 4 COMMITMENTS, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change,
- 703 1992b.
- 704 UNFCCC: Decision 1/CP.16: The Cancun Agreements: Outcome of the work of the Ad Hoc Working Group on
- 705 Long-term Cooperative Action under the Convention, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate
- 706 Change, FCCC/CP/2010/7/Add.1 2010.
- 707 UNFCCC: Paris Agreement, 12 December 2015, Paris, 2015a.
- 708 UNFCCC: Report on the structured expert dialogue on the 2013–2015 review: Note by the co-facilitators of the
- structured expert dialogue, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, FCCC/SB/2015/INF.1,
- 710 2015b.
- 711 UNFSA: Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of
- the Sea of 10 December 1982 relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and
- 713 Highly Migratory Fish Stocks, PART II: Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly
- 714 Migratory Fish Stocks: Article 5: General Principles: (f), 1995.
- 715 UNGA: Sixty-first session: Agenda item 71 (a): Oceans and the law of the sea A/61/L.30, 2006.
- 716

717





- 718 The Author declares no competing interests.
- 719
- 720 The author would like to acknowledge funding for her research provided by an Australian Post-
- 721 Graduate Award.