

To the two anonymous reviewers,

We would like to thank both reviewers for the careful consideration of our manuscript. We have revised our manuscript taking your constructive assessment into account. Please find below the original comments in black and our responses in blue; the revised manuscript sections are indicated in italics.

In general, we would like to clarify that the eOMP that we set up for the water mass analysis in the Irminger Sea between 1991 and 2015 is only used to determine the fraction of source water types in our region of interest and it is independent of the eOMP analysis used within the $\varphi_{C_T^\circ}$ -method to calculate anthropogenic carbon. This seems to have been a source of misunderstanding and has been clarified in the revised manuscript.

Reviewer 1

Received and published: 9 March 2017

I applaud the authors for a thorough treatment of a large series of hydrographic and carbon data collected over more than two decades. This is a nice contribution to the understanding of the marine carbon cycle in a changing climate in a region where substantial ventilation of the oceans occurs. In general the manuscript is well organized and easy to read, with one exception; there are too many abbreviations used. I have no problems with using abbreviations for water masses as well as currents, but don't see the point of doing this for regions like the "subpolar North Atlantic" or the "subpolar gyre". It costs very little to spell these out. Additionally I have some minor comments that the authors can consider before this manuscript is suitable for publication in Biogeosciences.

We agree fully with the reviewer. We have taken out the abbreviations for 'subpolar gyre', 'subpolar North Atlantic', 'Irminger Current' and 'East Greenland Current' accordingly.

P 2, L 32. Delete last word, "conditions".

Done.

P 5, L20 -. You apply the data from 100-200 m to determine the disequilibrium of DIC as well as preformed TA. Some of the waters within the water column left the surface at very different regions, e.g. the DSOW. How well do the "observed" parameters represent these waters and does this aspect impact the computations? Some words on this would be nice.

We would like to clarify that the disequilibrium of DIC and preformed TA for waters colder than 5°C are, in the $\varphi_{C_T^\circ}$ method, determined using an eOMP analysis that is independent from the eOMP that we use for our water mass analysis described in section 4.2. This $\varphi_{C_T^\circ}$ -eOMP analysis determines for each sampling point the fractions of 6 source water types that dominate deep global ocean ventilation; each with an assigned disequilibrium of DIC (calculated from CFC values) and preformed TA value, which were determined from all data in the 100-200 m layer available for each source region. These assigned values are used in combination with the $\varphi_{C_T^\circ}$ -eOMP determined water mass fractions to calculate

disequilibrium of DIC and preformed TA at each sampling point (Pérez et al., 2009, Pardo et al., 2011, 2012, Vazquez-Rodriguez et al. 2012). This approach thus, actually takes into account that for instance DSOW is formed in a very different region than that we analyze. All of this has been clarified in section 4 and 4.1 in the manuscript.

P 5, L 30. SWT needs to be identified in the text, not only in Table 2.

We thank the reviewer for that suggestion. We now list all SWTs used in our eOMP accordingly:

“[...] property features in the Irminger Sea (Fig. 2) and that are used in the present analysis, namely Subarctic Intermediate Water (SAIW), Intermediate Water (IW), classical and upper Labrador Sea Water (cLSW and uLSW), Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW), upper Northeast Atlantic Deep Water (uNEADW), Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW), Icelandic Slope Water (IcSW), Irminger Sea Water (ISW), Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW) and North Atlantic Central Water (NACW).” (P6L29-32)

P 7, L 7. Ideally all hydrographic parameters should be independent if one wants the best quality of a eOMP analysis, and this would be worth noting. That is a further reason why it is wise to limit the number of water masses in each analysis, as is done.

We thank the reviewer for that remark. Indeed, while linear independence of the parameters is assumed, it cannot be guaranteed for example for phosphate and nitrate, which may locally correlate and no additional information can be gained including both parameters. However, that might not be the case if source water masses of very different origin are mixed; then one might not lose that degree of freedom for the eOMP analysis. In our case, we circumvent this issue by limiting the number of source water types, in each of the 4 eOMP analyses that we perform, to a maximum number of 5, while using 7 hydrographic parameters and adding the mass residual.

We have added the following two statements in the manuscript accordingly:

“[...], which is solved in a non-negative least square sense assuming that the parameters are linearly independent:” (P6 L10)

“[...] system of linear equations. However, NO_3 and PO_4 might be locally correlated, therefore one degree of freedom for the eOMP analysis is potentially lost. Therefore, the Irminger Sea was divided into four regions, defined such that each contained a maximum number of 5 SWTs to be determined with the 7 parameters plus mass conservation. This ensures over-determination of the system of mixing equations, which then can be solved in a non-negative least square sense. In the deep ocean [...]” (P7 L19-20)

P 7, L 27. Here the SWT concentrations of the different parameters are referred to, thus relying on the disequilibrium of DIC and preformed TA as mentioned above. It would be nice for the reader to have these concentrations specified for each SWT.

We would again like to clarify that our Irminger Sea eOMP described in Sect 4.2 is not used to determine the concentrations of C_{ant} (see response to comment on p. 5 l. 20, above). Our approach is to first calculate the C_{ant} concentrations for each sampling point using the φC_T° method. Then the eOMP is used to determine the fraction of each source water type in each sampling point. And then equation (4) is used (for C_{ant}) to determine the C_{ant} concentration in each source water type. The reason for this approach is that while the values of the parameters used for our eOMP can be assumed to be time independent and they can be determined from the data in hand, this is not the case for C_{ant} . C_{ant} is time dependent and cannot be directly determined for each SWT (in contrast to e.g. salinity); this we would need to do for each year, which is not possible with the data at hand.

The concept of archetypical concentrations is a way to resolve the unknown concentrations of any species in the SWTs, i.e. these concentrations can be calculated using the observed concentration of that species in the sampling points and the known source water fractions.

The disequilibrium of DIC and preformed TA for each SWT can of course be calculated for each SWT, similar to what has been done for C_{ant} as described above, using equation (4). In that case, though, these values should be included in Table 3 (and not Table 2). Nevertheless, we have chosen not to do this as the variability in C_{ant} among the SWTs is almost entirely explained as a result of differences in their age, and the DIC disequilibrium and preformed alkalinity are not relevant.

In the revised version of the manuscript we convey more clearly that the Irminger Sea eOMP is not used for calculating C_{ant} , and explain more clearly that (and why) the C_{ant} in each SWT is determined through Equation (4).

“[...] using an extended Optimum MultiParameter analysis (eOMP, see Sect. 4.2). While the hydrographic parameters that describe a set of source water types (SWTs) used for the eOMP analysis are assumed to be time independent, the concentrations within each water mass of different species such as DIC or C_{ant} vary over time and can therefore only be resolved by evoking the concept of water mass mixing averaged concentration, i.e. archetypal concentration (Álvarez-Salgado et al., 2013) (see Sect. 4.2). Finally, the inventory changes can be decomposed into contributions from changes in the archetypal concentration of the source water types and from changes in layer thickness of each water mass assuming linearity:” P5L9-15

“In order to determine SWT concentrations of time-varying species [...]” P8L14

P8, L6. It reads “multiplied by the bottom depth”. However, the computations are performed in a set of density layers, see P 7, L 11 -, so why by bottom depth. Please expand text to address this.

We would like to clarify that the calculations were preformed at sampling depths. The density was only used for delineating the SWTs potentially present at each

sampling depth. We have nevertheless revised this passage to better convey how the layer thicknesses were calculated, also in response to comments made by Reviewer 2:

“Here, $\sum_j r_{ij} / n_k$ is the fraction of the total water column that each SWT occupies at each station, with n_k describing the number of sampling points per station. The fraction is unitless and needs to be scaled to the total water column height, i.e. multiplied by the maximum depth of station, $d_{k,max}$. The average layer thickness over all stations of the Irminger Sea transect is the mean layer thickness. As each water parcel is a mixture of different water masses represented by r_{ij} , Eq. (5) allows to convert each composite to a measure of height.” P5L17-19

“While the density boundaries were used to identify the set of potentially present SWTs, the eOMP analyses were performed at each sampling point.” P7L35

P 9, L 25 and continuation. There are two reasons why DIC_{nat} can change, either a change in salinity (i.e. mixing or other water mass) or in primary production / remineralization of organic matter. The salinity effect is well discussed in respect to the layer thickness aspect. But the mineralization aspect is not much discussed. It would be nice to see some discussions of this in relation to the convection, e.g. on L 30 same page. The newly ventilated water has higher DIC_{anthro} but the water that is expelled likely had more remineralized DIC. Similar aspects relate to the text on P 11, paragraph starting L 15. Here it reads that all SWTs except cLSW has a constant DIC_{nat}, while the cLSW gets accumulation of DIC during ageing, presumably be remineralization. That such an increase is not seen in the other SWTs is that explained by a steady state situation, or? What is then the difference for the cLSW. Is there a difference between the SWTs or is this a result of the uncertainty of the method? Some discussions on this would be valuable, more than now on P 13, L 5.

We agree with the reviewer that the discussion with respect to remineralization and ageing in the different water masses should be discussed in more depth.

Over the time period of 24-years, no significant trends in DIC_{nat} were found for any SWT but cLSW. However, as illustrated in Figure 8b, on shorter timescales the DIC_{nat} concentration of individual SWTs shows quite some variability, particularly for the upper SWTs. For IW and uLSW the convection events in 2007/8, 2011/12 and 2014/15 can be depicted in the time series of DIC_{nat}: the renewal of these water masses due to deep(er) convection resets the water column in terms of remineralization. It is also worth noting that the system is not a closed one, which is why the observed concentration changes reflect as well different residence times of one water mass in the Irminger Sea, which would contribute to the (non-)significant concentration changes in different water masses.

We clarified this by adding the following statements:

“[...] inventory varies slightly more. DIC_{nat} is increasing as water masses age and DIC_{nat} from remineralisation of organic matter accumulate, while it decreases during water mass renewal/ventilation, which brings water with preformed, relatively low, DIC_{nat} concentrations into the ocean interior. It is notable that [...]” P10L13-15

“[...] Irminger Sea (Fröb et al., 2016). During the strong convection, older water masses enriched in DIC_{nat} were replaced by water masses high in C_{ant} due to their most recent contact to the atmosphere, and relatively low in DIC_{nat} as DIC_{nat} from remineralisation has not yet accumulated. In contrast to that, [...]” P10L18-20

P 11, L 25. This sentence needs some rewriting. I can guess what is meant, but “The decomposition of the inventory rate of change ...” is not very informative.

Done. It now reads:

“The decomposition of the inventory changes reveals the contribution that changes in the SWT distribution and changes in the concentration within these SWTs have on the total storage rate of DIC and its natural and anthropogenic components.”

Reviewer 2

Received and published: 19 July 2017

General comments:

The present study is developed in a very important oceanic region in terms of the carbon system. The results obtained are based on high quality measurements and demonstrate the importance of maintaining the effort of the international community in carrying on high-quality measurements on world-wide repeat lines. In general, the manuscript is well written and the results well presented. Besides, the results add insight into the changes in DIC by analysing the changes in its natural and anthropogenic components. Nevertheless, there are some comments on the manuscript that need to be clarified before the manuscript can be accepted for publication in Biogeosciences.

Major comments:

I do not understand very well the use of the thickness of the water mass layers as a driving mechanism to the changes in the carbon storage rates. As far as I know, the authors are not exactly computing the thickness of a layer. The computation of the layer thickness (Eq. 5, page 8) implies that there are points that “share thickness” between SWTs, isn’t it? I understand that the authors are computing how thick in the water column the distribution of the SWTs are. I would like the authors to clarify this in the text.

We thank the reviewer for this comment. Yes, the term thickness does not refer to strict density layers, it is an alternative, depth-normalized approach of expressing fractions of SWTs.

We have now clarified in the text the term thickness, also in response to comments made by reviewer 1 (px 1Y):

“Here, $\sum_j r_{ij} / n_k$ is the fraction of the total water column that each SWT occupies at each station, with n_k describing the number of sampling points per station. The fraction is unitless and needs to be scaled to the total water column height, i.e. multiplied by the maximum depth of station, $d_{k,max}$. The average layer thickness over all stations of the Irminger Sea transect is the mean layer thickness. As each water

parcel is a mixture of different water masses represented by r_{ij} , Eq. (5) allows to convert each composite to a measure of height.” P5L17-19

Thus, the dependence/not-dependence of on the storage rates of natural and anthropogenic DIC on the SWT-layer thickness is intrinsic to the computation of the layer thickness and the use of the OMP to estimate the anthropogenic DIC. I do not think that the decomposition into layer thickness driven changes and concentration driven changes (Figures 9 and 10) is really needed. The results of the OMP should not be used as a driving mechanism. The increase/decrease of the layer thickness is the solution of the OMP to the mixing between the water masses. The authors found the layer thickness a driving mechanism because the OMP results are used to establish the amount of anthropogenic carbon in the interior ocean.

Here we would like to clarify that the eOMP that we used to calculate SWT fractions (Sect 4.2) is different from the eOMP used in the calculations of the C_{ant} concentrations. C_{ant} was calculated using the ϕC_T° method, which uses an eOMP to estimate preformed alkalinity and the disequilibrium of DIC below the 5°C isotherm, which are then used in the calculation of C_{ant} . This ' ϕC_T° eOMP' was set up for the global ocean and is based on 6 different water masses that dominate ventilation of the global ocean below the 5°C isotherm. Each of the 6 water types here have an assigned disequilibrium of DIC and preformed TA. The ' ϕC_T° eOMP' calculates the fraction of each of the source water types and thus the net disequilibrium of DIC and preformed TA at each sampling point, which are then used to determine C_{ant} . See Perez et al. (2008) and Vazquez-Rodriguez et al. (2009, 2012) for more detail.

The eOMP used to calculate Irminger Sea SWT fractions (Sect. 4.2) is completely independent, giving a higher resolution of source water types that could ever be reached with an eOMP set up with only 6 water masses. And, importantly, it has not been used to calculate C_{ant} , which is thus independent of the layer thickness. Hence thickness and C_{ant} are independent, and the decomposition is valid.

Minor comments:

Abstract: Page 1, line 6. It should be mention that the distribution of the main water masses is based on the results from an OMP analysis.

Yes, we agree and revised the mentioned statement accordingly:

“Here, data from 15 cruises in the Irminger Sea covering the 24-year period between 1991 and 2015 were used to determine changes in total DIC and its natural and anthropogenic components. Based on the results of an extended Optimum Multiparameter Analysis (eOMP), the inventory changes are discussed in relation to the distribution and evolution of the main water masses.” P1L6-7

4.1 Anthropogenic CO₂ calculation: Page 5. The authors should mention how AT₀ (preformed alkalinity?) and ΔC_{dis} are estimated in the interior ocean, i.e., using the OMP (Vazquez-Rodriguez et al., 2009).

Yes, we agree with the reviewer and clarified the passage in section 4.1 (see below). As stated earlier, the eOMP method used to determine preformed alkalinity and the disequilibrium term below the 5°C isotherm has been set up by Vázquez-Rodríguez et al. (2009), and is different to the eOMP method described in section 4.2. The 'φC_T eOMP' is based on 6 SWTs types that dominate deep global ocean ventilation. As Vázquez-Rodríguez et al. (2009) show, the φC_T estimates for anthropogenic carbon are realistic in the North Atlantic.

We added the following to section 4.1:

“[...] and the air-sea CO₂ disequilibrium (ΔC_{dis}). The approach involves the following basic features: The subsurface layer (100-200 m) preserves conditions during water mass formation and is therefore taken as a reference. ΔC_{dis} is parameterized based on subsurface data using a short-cut approach to calculate C_{ant}. The set of parameterizations for preformed alkalinity (A^o_T) and ΔC_{dis} obtained from the subsurface data are applied directly to waters with temperatures larger than 5°C. For waters below the 5°C isotherm, an extended Optimum MultiParameter (eOMP) analysis was used to estimate A^o_T and ΔC_{dis}, which was successfully used in previous studies (Pérez et al., 2008; Vázquez-Rodríguez et al., 2009, 2012). This eOMP determines in each sampling point the fraction of 6 water masses that ventilate the global ocean, taking different formation histories and water mass origins into account. Each water mass has assigned values for A^o_T and ΔC_{dis} and together with the obtained fractions, A^o_T and ΔC_{dis} can be calculated.

The major advantage of the φC_T^o method over other back-calculation methods is that it does not rely on measurements of age tracers, such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). Further, the parameterized A^o_T is corrected for effects of CaCO₃ dissolution changes and the sea surface temperature increase since preindustrial times and any spatial and temporal variability of ΔC_{dis} is taken into account. [...]”

5 Results: Page 9, lines 30-32. I am not sure about the comparison between the increase in the Cant inventory from 2012 to 2015, which I also think could be real, and the peak in 2005. Between 2012 and 2015 there are only two cruises that were measured in summer and spring, respectively, when the active convection is not as deep as in winter. Nevertheless, the peak in 2005 pops up in the group of cruises of 2004, 2005 and 2006 and the cruise of 2005 was measured in October, closer to winter and more likely to have some episode of active convection (even though a general situation was a neutral NAO state). Could the peak of 2005 be due to interannual variability?

We agree with the reviewer that the statement in question needs clarification. It is unlikely that the peak in C_{ant} in 2005 is related to deep convection that same winter. October is still at the onset of the convective season and the mixed layer depth has generally not deepened enough to generate a signal that strong (Våge et al., 2008). On the other hand, what could be possible is that convectively formed water with a higher C_{ant} signature has advected into the Irminger Sea. Labrador Sea Water formed via convection in Labrador Sea takes approximately 1,5-2 years to travel into the Irminger Sea; water formed south of Cape Farewell needs less time (Straneo et al., 2003, Palter et al., 2015). The peak in uLSW thickness in 2005 can be explained by such a mechanism, and there was moderately strong deep convection in the Labrador Sea in 2000-2003 (Yashayaev et al., 2017). An

argument against this hypothesis is that no such signals are evident in the mid-1990s, a period of time where much stronger convection in the Labrador Sea was observed.

While we know for certain that the signal in 2015 is true (as we were there on a cruise (Fröb et al., 2016)), we can not distinguish in this framework whether the 2005 signal in the data was generated locally or had been advected into the area, i.e. related to interannual variability, or is a result of measurement uncertainty. We have revised the section in question accordingly:

“In contrast to that, the peak in 2005 in the C_{ant} inventory could be related to the advection of C_{ant} enriched water masses formed in the Labrador Sea or in the region south of Cape Farewell into the Irminger Sea (Straneo et al., 2003; Palter et al., 2016), but this strong signal may also reflect the true error or reveal measurement bias.” P10L20-23

5.3 DIC storage rate decomposition: Pages 11-12. See major comments.

We do still think that the decomposition increases our mechanistic understanding of the involved processes, and hope, the explanation above is sufficient.

6 Discussion: Page 13, line 21. pm should be \pm .

Done.

6 Discussion: Page 14, lines 11-27. These two paragraphs do not need Figure 10 for the discussion of the results. Graphs similar to Figure 6 would be better. It could also be interesting and complete more the discussion to relate the changes in oxygen, AOU to the changes in natural DIC. Some hints of it had been said in 5.2 section, page 11, lines 22-23 but not enough.

We still think that Figure 10 still is a useful representation as it shows that particularly on short timescales the abiotic DIC term can not be used as a representation of C_{ant} . We have added the following statements:

“[...] despite stronger convection in the early 1990s. At the same time, the small increase in AOU does neither reflect the constant inventory in DIC_{nat} before 1997, nor does the constant AOU reflect the loss in DIC_{nat} after 1997. Again, this is attributed [...]” P15L18-19

“[...] convection in 2015 (Fröb et al., 2016). Here, the strong loss in AOU matches the loss in DIC_{nat} as the water column is reventilated so that remineralized organic matter is replaced by a larger fraction of C_{ant} . In fact, [...]” P15L24-26

7 Conclusions: Page 15, lines 5-8. See major comments

Same as above. In addition, we found that the layer thickness changes are more important for changes in the natural component, while it is in fact concentration changes due to air-sea gas exchange, ventilation and/or remineralization that drive changes in DIC and C_{ant} .

Inorganic Carbon and Water Masses in the Irminger Sea since 1991

Friederike Fröb^{1,2}, Are Olsen^{1,2}, Fiz F. Pérez³, Maribel I. García-Ibáñez⁴, Emil Jeansson⁴,
Abdirahman Omar⁴, and Siv K. Lauvset⁴

¹Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen, Bergen 5007, Norway.

²Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen 5007, Norway.

³Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas (IIM-CSIC), Vigo 36208, Spain.

⁴Uni Research Climate, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen 5008, Norway.

Correspondence to: Friederike Fröb (friederike.frob@uib.no) and Are Olsen (are.olsen@uib.no)

Abstract.

The subpolar gyre-region in the North Atlantic is a major sink for anthropogenic carbon. While the storage rates show large interannual variability related to atmospheric forcing, less is known about variability in the natural Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) and the combined impact of variations in the two components on the total DIC inventories. Here, data from 15 cruises in the Irminger Sea covering ~~1991-2015~~ the 24-year period between 1991 and 2015 were used to determine changes in total DIC and its natural and anthropogenic components. Based on the results of an extended Optimum Multiparameter Analysis (eOMP), the inventory changes are discussed in relation to the distribution and evolution of the main water masses. The inventory of DIC increased by $1.43 \pm 0.17 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ over the period, mainly driven by the increase in anthropogenic carbon ($1.84 \pm 0.16 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), but partially offset by a loss of natural DIC ($-0.57 \pm 0.22 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). Changes in the carbon storage rate can be driven by concentration changes in the water column, for example due to ageing of water masses, or by changes in the distribution of water masses with different concentrations, either by local formation or advection. A decomposition of the trends into their main drivers showed that variations of natural DIC inventories are mainly driven by changes in the layer thickness of the main water masses, while anthropogenic carbon is most affected by concentration changes. The storage rates of anthropogenic carbon are sensitive to data selection, while changes in DIC inventory show a robust signal on short timescales, associated with the strength of convection.

1 Introduction

Since the industrial revolution, atmospheric CO₂ levels have been increasing almost exponentially as a result of human activities such as fossil fuel burning, cement production, and land use changes. The global ocean has acted as strong sink for this anthropogenic CO₂ (Sabine et al., 2004) and is currently taking up approximately 25 % of the annual emissions (Le Quéré et al., 2016). While the ocean has capacity to store almost all of the anthropogenic CO₂ released to the atmosphere, the emissions currently outpace the oceanic absorption rates (Sabine and Tanhua, 2010). This is because the transport of anthropogenic CO₂ from the atmosphere into the ocean interior is limited by the rate of vertical exchange between the surface and deep ocean (Sarmiento and Gruber, 2002). Warming of the ocean will decrease this rate as a consequence of the increased strat-

ification, and Earth System Models predict a decline in oceanic anthropogenic CO₂ uptake efficiency over the 21st century (Friedlingstein et al., 2006; Schwinger et al., 2014). Warming of the ocean will also affect CO₂ solubility, primary production and other factors governing the distribution and inventory of natural carbon in the ocean (Arora et al., 2013; Schwinger et al., 2014). It is important to constrain the magnitude of these feedbacks for policy planning, but current estimates vary significantly among models. Observational based quantitative and qualitative insight in carbon cycle climate interactions are important for the further improvement of projections of the future ocean carbon cycle.

Over the past three decades, ocean CO₂ chemistry data have been collected on a regular basis in the world's oceans. As the observational record extendsgrows, direct evidence of the climate sensitivity of the marine carbon cycle emerges. For example, the Southern Ocean carbon sink exhibits clear variations in response to atmospheric circulation patterns; the sink was weakening from the early 1980s to the early 2000s (Le Quéré et al., 2007), but has strengthened in the more recent decades (Landschützer et al., 2015). In the subarctic western North Pacific, measurements from 1992 to 2008 at the two time series stations KNOT and K2 reveal decadal trends in total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), related to alkalinity driven reductions in CO₂ outgassing (Wakita et al., 2010). In the Mediterranean Sea, changes in the large-scale circulation result in variability of the anthropogenic CO₂ concentration (Touratier and Goyet, 2009). Within the subpolar North Atlantic (SPNA), high-quality carbon data have been collected almost every second year since the early 1990s (Olsen et al., 2016), enabling the determination of subdecadal variability. This shows relationships between the anthropogenic CO₂ storage rate and the extent and intensity of ventilation processes, primarily driven by the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) (Pérez et al., 2010; Wanninkhof et al., 2010; Fröb et al., 2016; Woosley et al., 2016).

The SPNA-subpolar North Atlantic is a key region for the storage and transport of CO₂ in the global ocean (Sabine et al., 2004). While a response of anthropogenic CO₂ storage to atmospheric forcing has been determined as mentioned above, less is known about variations in natural DIC, any relations to atmospheric forcing and relevance for total DIC inventories (Tanhua and Keeling, 2012). Here, we analyse changes in total DIC and its natural and anthropogenic components in the central SPNA-subpolar North Atlantic, the Irminger Sea, in relation to the distribution and evolution of water masses over a 24-year period from 1991 to 2015, covering three periods of variable convective activity (Fröb et al., 2016).

2 Hydrographic setting

The Irminger Sea, a central sea in the SPNA-subpolar North Atlantic (Fig. 1), is a climatically sensitive area with strong hydrographic contrasts. The SPNA-subpolar North Atlantic circulation pattern has been extensively presented in the literature; here the description follows Lavender et al. (2005) and Våge et al. (2011). In the upper ocean, the East Greenland Current (EGC) carries cold and fresh water of Arctic origin southwards, in the west, close to the shelf of Greenland. In the east, the Irminger Current (IC) carries warm and salty water northwards along the Reykjanes Ridge. The salinity and temperature signature of these warm water masses is affected by the strength and shape of the subpolar gyre (SPG) (Häkkinen and Rhines ,

2004; Hátún et al., 2005). South of the Denmark Strait, they largely recirculate to the south. In the centre of the cyclonic circulation of the Irminger Gyre, preconditioning ~~conditions for convection are~~ for convection is fulfilled (Marshall and Schott, 1999; Bacon et al., 2003; de Jong et al., 2012) and depending on heat loss, deep convection can occur (Pickart et al., 2003a; Våge et al., 2008, 2011; Fröb et al., 2016; de Jong and de Steur, 2016). The extent and strength of convective processes are
5 mainly driven by the state of the NAO, which is the leading mode of atmospheric variability over the mid-North Atlantic (Curry et al., 1998; Hurrell and Deser, 2009).

At depth, the circulation in the Irminger Sea is mainly characterized by the Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW) and the Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW). DSOW, to the west, is a relatively recently ventilated water mass enriched in oxygen
10 (O_2) as well as other dissolved atmospheric gases. It is composed of several water masses originating from the Arctic Ocean and the Nordic Seas (Tanhua et al., 2005; Jeansson et al., 2008). ISOW originates from intermediate waters of the Nordic Seas, which are modified as they flow through the Iceland Basin to the Irminger Sea (Hansen and Østerhus, 2000). In combination with ISOW and DSOW, Labrador Sea Water (LSW) forms North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) (Dickson and Brown, 1994), the key component of the lower limb of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation. Two main LSW classes are identified
15 in the SPNA subpolar North Atlantic: the $LSW_{1987-1994}$ and the LSW_{2000} . $LSW_{1987-1994}$, from now on called classical LSW (cLSW), is a dense, cold and relatively fresh water mass with high concentrations of dissolved atmospheric gases, formed by the recurring winter convection in the mid-1980s and mid-1990s in the Labrador and Irminger Seas (Lazier et al., 2002; Pickart et al., 2003b; Yashayaev et al., 2007). After 2000, the lighter LSW_{2000} or upper Labrador Sea Water (uLSW) has largely replaced cLSW (Yashayaev et al., 2007).

20 3 Data

Data from 15 cruises in the Irminger Sea covering 1991-2015 are used in this study (see Table 1). Data from the first 13 cruises were extracted from the GLODAPv2 data product, which provides bias-corrected, cruise-based, interior ocean data (Key et al., 2015; Olsen et al., 2016). The more recent data are from the 2012 OVIDE cruise (expocode: 29AH20120623) and the 2015 SNACS cruise (expocode: 58GS20150410). In order to minimize seasonal bias due to primary production, the upper 100 m of
25 the water column are excluded from the inventory analysis. The region between 40.5° W and 31.5° W was covered by all 15 cruises.

All cruises intersect the ocean currents of the Irminger Sea described in the previous section. The cruises occupied either WOCE section A01/AR07E, the FOUREX or the OVIDE section, and locations are presented in Fig. 1. In order for the sections
30 to be fully comparable, a coordinate transformation was performed for the 1997 FOUREX data. The latitude and longitude coordinates were rotated to the AR07E section using Cape Farewell as pivot point. Adjusting the distance between the stations ensured that the adjusted coordinates of the station over the Reykjanes Ridge on the FOUREX line matched the station over the Reykjanes Ridge on the AR07E line. The inventory estimates are sensitive to depth, therefore the pressure coordinates of all

cruises were normalized. The location of every station for all cruises was mapped to the one arc-minute global relief model of the Earth's surface (Amante and Eakins, 2009) using a nearest-neighbour interpolation. The ratio between the bottom depth of this bathymetry and the reported cruise station bottom depth was multiplied with the pressure coordinates of each station. This normalization step mainly affected the adjusted FOUREX data, while for the other cruises the normalization changed sampling depths by less than 20 meters.

The accuracy of the GLODAPv2 data product is better than 0.005 in salinity, 1 % in O₂, 2 % in nitrate (NO₃), 2 % in silicate (SiO₂), 2 % in phosphate (PO₄), 4 μmol kg⁻¹ in DIC and 6 μmol kg⁻¹ in total alkalinity (A_T) (Olsen et al., 2016). For 29AH20120623, the overall accuracy of NO₃, PO₄ and SiO₂ was 1 %; the accuracy of DIC was 2 μmol kg⁻¹ and for A_T it was 4 μmol kg⁻¹ (Ríos et al., 2015; García-Ibáñez et al., 2016). For the SNACS cruise in 2015, pressure, conductivity, temperature and dissolved O₂ were directly measured with a Seabird 911+ CTD profiler. At every station, water samples were obtained at 12 depths using Niskin bottles, and used to calibrate the CTD measurements following the Global Ocean Ship-based Hydrographic Investigations Program (GOSHIPGO-SHIP) calibration procedure (Hood et al., 2010). The accuracy of bottle salinities, analysed with a salinometer, was ±0.003. The accuracy of O₂ concentration measured with Winkler titration using a potassium iodate solution as a standard was 0.2 μmol kg⁻¹. The precision was better than 2 % in PO₄, 1 % in SiO₂ and 1 % in NO₃ as evaluated using samples drawn from sets of Niskin bottles tripped at the same depth. DIC and A_T was measured according to Dickson et al. (2007), with an accuracy of 2 μmol kg⁻¹ for both (Fröb et al., 2016).

The seawater CO₂ chemistry can be fully described if at least two of the four variables DIC, A_T, CO₂ partial pressure or pH are known. The measured variables at each of the 15 cruises are listed in Table 1. For six cruises, A_T and pH were measured, therefore DIC was calculated for these, using the dissociation constants of Lueker et al. (2000). For three cruises, only DIC was measured. For these, A_T was approximated using the salinity-alkalinity relationship for the North Atlantic of Lee et al. (2006). This relationship is defined for the surface ocean only, therefore its validity for the deep Irminger Sea was tested (Appendix A). The mean difference between approximated and the measured A_T data available was less than 5 μmol kg⁻¹; this is better than the target accuracy of A_T of the GLODAPv2 data product. No bias with depth or position was evident.

4 Method

The total DIC concentration is partitioned into its natural and anthropogenic components ($DIC = DIC_{nat} + C_{ant}$). The C_{ant} concentration was estimated with the φC_T^o method (see section Sect. 4.1). The DIC_{nat} concentration is the difference between DIC and C_{ant} . For all cruises, the column inventories were estimated for DIC, DIC_{nat} and C_{ant} . The inventories are sensitive to depth, therefore column inventories were only estimated for the part of the transect covered by all

15 cruises, between 40.5° W and 31.5° W. The column inventory is the concentration profile integrated over the entire water column (Tanhua and Keeling, 2012):

$$Inv_s = \int_0^z c_s \rho dz \quad (1)$$

Here, Inv_s is the column inventory of any species s , c_s its concentration, ρ the density at in situ temperature and pressure and z the depth of the water column. The storage rate is the slope of a linear least-squares regression over the mean column inventories with time. The standard error of the slope is the error of the storage rate. Changes in inventories can be caused by changes in the distribution of water masses with different species concentrations or by changes in species concentration within the water masses. The distribution of water masses was determined using an extended Optimum MultiParameter analysis (eOMP, see section Sect. 4.2). ~~The change in concentration~~ While the hydrographic parameters that describe a set of source water types (SWTs) used for the eOMP analysis are assumed to be time independent, the concentrations within each water mass ~~was determined by applying of species such as DIC or C_{ant} vary over time and can therefore only be resolved by evoking~~ the concept of water mass mixing averaged concentration, i.e. archetypal concentration (Álvarez-Salgado et al., 2013) (see section Sect. 4.2). ~~Assuming linearity~~ Finally, the inventory changes can ~~then~~ be decomposed into contributions from changes in the archetypal concentration of the source water types (SWTs) and from changes in layer thickness of each water mass ÷

assuming linearity:

$$\frac{dInv_{tot}}{dt} = \sum WM \left(\frac{\partial Inv}{\partial c} \frac{dc}{dt} + \frac{\partial Inv}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{dt} \right) \quad (2)$$

Here, $\frac{\partial Inv}{\partial c} \frac{dc}{dt}$ is the mean layer thicknesses with variable archetypal SWT concentrations, while $\frac{\partial Inv}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{dt}$ can be calculated as the mean archetypal SWT concentrations multiplied by the layer thickness changes over a specific time period. Hence, the two drivers for the observed inventory variability of total DIC and its natural and anthropogenic components can be identified.

4.1 Anthropogenic CO₂ calculation

The $\varphi C_T^o - \varphi C_T^c$ method was applied to all cruises in the Irminger Sea to estimate C_{ant}^c concentrations (Pérez et al., 2008; Vázquez-Rodríguez et al., 2009, 2012). The $\varphi C_T^o - \varphi C_T^c$ method is a back-calculation method that follows the same principles as the $\Delta C^* - \Delta C^*$ method of Gruber et al. (1996). In the φC_T^o method, C_{ant}^c is quantified as the difference between the preformed DIC at the time t and at preindustrial times (π): $C_{ant}^c = DIC^{o,t} - DIC^{o,\pi}$. $DIC^{o,t}$ is calculated by correcting the measured DIC for changes due to remineralisation of organic matter and CaCO₃ dissolution, while $DIC^{o,\pi}$ is quantified as the sum of the saturated DIC concentration with respect to the preindustrial atmosphere and the air-sea CO₂ disequilibrium (ΔC_{dis}). The major advantage of the φC_T^o method over other back-calculation methods is that data for age tracers, such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), are not needed. For approach

involves the following basic features: The subsurface layer (100-200 m) preserves conditions during water mass formation and is therefore taken as a reference. ΔC_{dis} is parameterized based on subsurface data using a short-cut approach to calculate C_{ant} . The set of parameterizations for preformed alkalinity (A_T°) and ΔC_{dis} the parameterizations developed by were adopted. These were determined using data of the subsurface layer (100-200 m), which represents and preserves conditions during water mass formation. The φC_T° method also takes any spatial and temporal variability of ΔC_{dis} obtained from the subsurface data are applied directly to waters with temperatures larger than 5° C. For waters below the 5° C isotherm, A_T° and ΔC_{dis} into account, ΔC_{dis} estimates are based on an eOMP analysis, which was successfully used in previous studies (Pérez et al., 2008; Vázquez-Rodríguez et al., 2009, 2012). This eOMP determines in each sampling point the fraction of 6 water masses that ventilate the global ocean, taking different formation histories and water mass origins into account. Each water mass has assigned values for A_T° and ΔC_{dis} and together with the obtained fractions, A_T° and ΔC_{dis} are calculated. Note that this eOMP analysis is only used to determine A_T° and ΔC_{dis} , which are used in the C_{ant} calculation. This is independent of the eOMP set up for the Irminger Sea water mass analysis (see Sect. 4.2).

The major advantage of the φC_T° method over other back-calculation methods is that it does not rely on measurements of age tracers, such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). Further, the parameterized A_T° parameterized A_T° is corrected for effects of $CaCO_3$ dissolution changes and the sea surface temperature increase since preindustrial times and any spatial and temporal variability of ΔC_{dis} is taken into account. Overall, the uncertainty of φC_T° derived C_{ant} φC_T° derived C_{ant} has been reported to be 5 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (Pérez et al., 2008; Vázquez-Rodríguez et al., 2009).

4.2 Extended Optimum MultiParameter Analysis (eOMP)

The Optimum MultiParameter (OMP) analysis (Tomczak and Large, 1989) is used to estimate the contribution of water masses, which are represented through SWTs, to each water parcel along the Irminger Sea sections. The OMP analysis assumes that all hydrographic parameters describing the water masses are affected by the same mixing processes. For each sampling point the contribution of the various water masses is quantified from an over-determined system of linear mixing equations, which is solved in a non-negative least square sense :

assuming that the parameters are linearly independent:

$$Gx - d = RRes \quad (3)$$

where G where G is the SWT matrix containing their properties, x the relative contributions of each SWT to the sample, d the observed data and R the residual Res the residual, which is minimized in the calculation. The OMP was further developed into the extended OMP (eOMP) analysis, which is used here, by Karstensen and Tomczak (1998) and; in the present analysis an eOMP has been adopted. This accounts for the non-conservative behaviour of O_2 and nutrients by using Redfield ratios. In the eOMP, the remineralization of NO_3 and PO_4 is numerically related to an oxygen consumption rate, which, if multiplied with a pseudo-age, is similar to apparent oxygen utilization (AOU) (Poole and Tomczak, 1999). OMP and eOMP analyses have previously been used to describe in detail the origin, pathways and transformation of the main water masses in the SPNA

subpolar North Atlantic (Tanhua et al., 2005; Álvarez et al., 2005; García-Ibáñez et al., 2015). Here, the SWT properties were defined based on the cruise data from 1991, assuming that the properties of the SWTs do not significantly change over time. The data for potential temperature (θ), salinity, O_2 , NO_3 , PO_4 , SiO_2 and potential vorticity (PV) were used to characterize 11 SWTs that combined encompass the property features in the Irminger Sea (Fig. 2), namely Subarctic Intermediate Water (SAIW), Intermediate Water (IW), classical and upper Labrador Sea Water (cLSW and uLSW), Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW), upper Northeast Atlantic Deep Water (uNEADW), Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW), Icelandic Slope Water (IcSW), Irminger Sea Water (ISW), Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW) and North Atlantic Central Water (NACW). The properties of the SWTs are provided in Table 2, including their standard deviations. These values were determined from the 10 % of data in the relevant density class that were closest to the property maximum or minimum used to delineate the SWT. For example, if a SWT was defined as a salinity minimum, all data points within a specific potential density (σ) range were sorted by salinity and the mean and standard deviation over the first 10 % of the data points, gave the salinity properties for that SWT. The approximate locations of all SWTs are shown in Fig. 3.

DSOW is the densest water mass in the Irminger Sea and defined as an O_2 maximum at σ_2 levels denser than 37.10 kg m^{-3} (Yashayaev et al., 2007). ISOW is defined as a salinity maximum between 36.89 and 37.10 kg m^{-3} (σ_2) and θ between 2.3 and 2.6° C . North East Atlantic Deep Water (NEADW) is formed by the entrainment of ISOW with surrounding waters, mainly deep water of Antarctic origin. In the North Atlantic two classes have been identified, upper and lower NEADW (uNEADW and lNEADW) (Castro et al., 1998), but in the Irminger Sea lNEADW is non-existent (McCartney, 1992), while uNEADW was identified as a maximum in SiO_2 below 2500 m . The mid-depth weakly stratified layer of cLSW in the Irminger Sea was identified by a PV and salinity minimum between 36.90 and 36.94 kg m^{-3} (σ_2). uLSW is less dense than cLSW due to its slightly different θ -salinity signature and was identified as a minimum in PV in the 36.81 - 36.87 kg m^{-3} σ_2 range.

The Icelandic Slope Water (IcSW), the Intermediate Water (IW), the Irminger Sea Water (ISW) and the uLSW are typically found at intermediate depths. IcSW is a warm and saline water mass, close the Reykjanes Ridge on the Iceland Slope (Tanhua et al., 2005; Yashayaev et al., 2007). Here, IcSW was defined by a minimum in O_2 , occupying the 36.80 - 36.86 kg m^{-3} σ_2 range, effectively separating uLSW and cLSW. IW is a saline water mass, depleted in O_2 , and of southern origin (Sarfanov et al., 2008). IW was identified by O_2 values below $250 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ at σ_0 between 27.45 and 27.65 kg m^{-3} . ISW is fresh, elevated in O_2 , and found between 4 and 5° C .

Finally the Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW), the Subarctic Intermediate Water (SAIW) and the North Atlantic Central Water (NACW) are all typically found in the upper Irminger Sea. SPMW is oxygenated and of subpolar origin. It was defined as a salinity maximum in the 7 - 8° C θ range. SAIW is a salinity minimum in the 6.5 - 7.5° C θ range. NACW was defined as the salinity maximum for θ above 9° C .

The seven hydrographic parameters, describing the SWTs, limit the number of SWTs included in one eOMP analysis to a maximum of seven. In addition, the ~~required~~required mass conservation over-determines the system of linear equations. ~~However, NO₃ and PO₄ might be locally correlated, therefore one degree of freedom for the eOMP analysis is potentially lost.~~ Therefore, the Irminger Sea was divided into four regions ~~and for each data point in each region, an eOMP analysis was carried out with a subset of the 11 SWTs~~, defined such that each contained a maximum number of 5 SWTs to be determined with the 7 parameters plus mass conservation. This ensures over-determination of the system of mixing equations, which then can be solved. In the deep ocean ($\sigma_0 \geq 27.76 \text{ kg kg}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-3}$), SWTs were limited to DSOW, ISOW, uNEADW, cLSW and IcSW. In the intermediate ocean ($27.61 \leq \sigma_0 < 27.76 \text{ kg kg}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-3}$), only ISW, IW, IcSW, uLSW and cLSW were included. The upper ocean ($\sigma_0 < 27.61 \text{ kg kg}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-3}$) was split into two basins: east of Reykjanes Ridge (NACW, SPMW, IW and SAIW) and west of the Reykjanes Ridge (ISW, SPMW, IW and SAIW). The data presented in Fig. 2 are classified according to these 'mixing figures'. While the density boundaries were used to identify the set of SWTs potentially present, the eOMP analyses were performed at each sampling point. The equations were normalized and weighted, accounting for differences in measurement accuracies and potential environmental variability. Weights were assigned according to the variability and accuracy of the parameters following García-Ibáñez et al. (2015). The highest weight was assigned to mass to ensure its conservation. The second highest weights were assigned to θ and salinity, because they are the most accurate. PV was weighted high as well due to its good accuracy and to enable resolution of both LSW classes. The eOMP results in a ratio r_{ij} , this describes the contribution of each SWT ~~i, j~~ to each data point in space and time:

~~For reasons of simplicity, the number of SWTs were reduced from 11 to 9, by performing composite analyses for uNEADW and IcSW. The uNEADW was determined to be a composite of 26 ISOW, 14 LSW, 58 INEADW and 2 Mediterranean Water (MW), based on salinity, θ and SiO₂ following. Properties for the SWTs representing INEADW and MW were taken from. A decomposition based on salinity and θ showed that IcSW is a composite of 30 ISOW, 20 cLSW and 50 IW i, j .~~

In order to determine ~~the~~ SWT concentrations of time-varying species such as DIC, DIC_{nat} ~~and~~ C_{ant}_{nat} and C_{ant}, the mixing-weighted concentration or archetypal concentration, ~~C_i~~ C_i , of these species was calculated for each SWT, i (Álvarez-Salgado et al., 2013):

$$C_i = \frac{\sum_j r_{ij} \times C_j}{\sum_j r_{ij}} \quad (4)$$

Here, the concentration in each sampling point j , C_j , is multiplied with the ratio of the SWT in that point r_{ij} . When summed over all points and divided by the total fraction the SWT occupies, this estimates C_i . Further, the layer thickness, ~~Th~~ Th , of each SWT at each station is estimated according to:

$$Th_{ik} = \frac{\sum_j r_{ij}}{n_k} \times d_{k,max} \quad (5)$$

Here, the sum of the fractions over all sampling point divided by Here, $\frac{\sum_j r_{ij}}{n_k}$ is the fraction of the total water column that each SWT occupies at each station, with n_k describing the number of sampling points at each station, n_k , and multiplied by its bottom depth per station. The fraction is unitless and needs to be scaled to the total water column height, i.e. multiplied by the maximum depth of station, $d_{k,max}$, gives the layer thickness per station for each SWT. The mean. The average layer thickness over all stations of the Irminger Sea transect is the mean layer thickness. As each water parcel is a mixture of different water masses represented by r_{ij} , Eq. (5) allows to convert each composite to a measure of height.

4.3 Uncertainty analysis

Uncertainties for the distribution of water masses result from measurement uncertainties and errors of the eOMP analysis. Here, the largest source of errors is the definition of the SWTs. The SWT matrix needs to represent the known features of the circulation (Tanhua et al., 2005), but temporal shifts in SWT characteristics cannot be accounted for with the eOMP analysis. A measure of uncertainty is given by the difference between measured and eOMP calculated values, the residual R in Eq. (3). The total residual, calculated by taking the square of the largest parameter residual at each sampling point (García-Ibáñez et al., 2015), and the individual parameter residuals are shown in Fig. 4. Below 1200 m, the total residual is close to zero, as are the residuals of θ , salinity and O_2 . In the intermediate and surface ocean these residuals increase, in particular for O_2 , which might be a consequence of gas exchange. The residuals of PO_4 , NO_3 and SiO_2 are larger, as expected due to their lower weights in the eOMP, and do not show any trend with depth. The mean error for each parameter is listed in Table 2. These are of similar magnitude as the errors determined for the Irminger Sea eOMP analysis by Tanhua et al. (2005).

In order to test how robust the results of the eOMP analysis are, a Monte-Carlo simulation was performed (Tanhua et al., 2005). The properties of the SWT matrix were randomly perturbed, within the standard deviation of each parameter. 100 of such perturbed SWT matrices were created and the eOMP was solved for each perturbed system. This allows for quantification of the sensitivity of the eOMP to potential temporal variations of the SWT properties. The standard deviation of the mean SWT contribution over all 100 perturbations is shown in the last column of Table 2. The uncertainties are generally low, hence the robustness of the eOMP analysis is high.

The layer thickness uncertainties were estimated by scaling the averaged standard deviation of each SWT, which were quantified with the Monte-Carlo simulation, to the width and depth of the Irminger Sea. The uncertainty of $C_{ant-ant}$ concentrations is $5 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$, for DIC and for $DIC_{nat-nat}$ it is $4 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$. Errors for the inventories were estimated by propagating the uncertainties of the layer thicknesses and the concentrations through the water column.

5 Results

Over the 24-year period considered here, the frequency and the amplitude of the mean-winter NAO changed significantly (Hurrell and Deser, 2009). The convection in the SPG-subpolar gyre during winter, which is driven by the large-scale atmo-

spheric circulation (Lazier et al., 2002; Pickart et al., 2003b; Yashayaev et al., 2007), has varied in strength and extent accordingly. Three distinctive time periods can be characterized from 1991 to 2015 by different levels of convective activity in the Irminger Sea. In the first period, from 1991 to 1997, several consecutive positive NAO winters led to extensive deep convection in the entire ~~SPG-subpolar gyre~~ (Pickart et al., 2003a, b). In the second period, from 2000 to 2007, the NAO was in a more
5 neutral state and only shallow convection occurred in the Irminger Sea. In the third period from 2008 to 2015, three deep convective events took place in the Irminger Sea, in 2008, 2012 and 2015 (Våge et al., 2008; de Jong et al., 2012; Fröb et al., 2016; de Jong and de Steur, 2016). The DIC, ~~DIC_{nat} and C_{ant, nat} and C_{ant}~~ inventory changes are presented with respect to these three periods and for the entire 24 years of observations in the following sections.

10 The temporal change in DIC, ~~DIC_{nat} and C_{ant, nat} and C_{ant}~~ concentration in the Irminger Sea is clearly visible in Fig. 5, which shows interpolated cruise station data for 1991, 1997, 2007 and 2015, start and end years of the three periods considered here. The increase in DIC is evident throughout the basin. From 1991-1997, cLSW with a low DIC-signature dominates the basin, but a tongue of older IW over the Reykjanes Ridge transports relatively high DIC concentrations into the Irminger Sea. By 2015 the DIC concentration had increased by at least $10 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ compared to 1991, as visualized by the disappearance
15 of the $2150 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ contour line (Fig. 5a). Temporal changes in ~~DIC_{nat, nat}~~ concentration (Fig. 5b) are small compared to those in DIC and less systematic. The ~~C_{ant, ant}~~ concentration increases over time, not only at the surface, but over the entire water column, which is indicated by the disappearance of the $20 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ contour line below 1500 m from 1991 to 2015 (Fig. 5c).

20 The column inventory time series of DIC, ~~DIC_{nat} and C_{ant, nat} and C_{ant}~~, shown in Fig. 6, quantifies this large temporal change in the Irminger Sea sections. Typically, the DIC inventory increased by $1.43 \pm 0.17 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$, from 1991 to 2015, from approximately 5645 to 5685 ~~mol · mol~~ m^{-2} . The ~~C_{ant, ant}~~ storage rate was $1.84 \pm 0.16 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$ in the same time period, this is larger than the rate of DIC inventory change. At the same time, the ~~DIC_{nat, nat}~~ inventory decreased at a rate of $-0.57 \pm 0.22 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$. Therefore, the annual change in the DIC inventory is mainly driven by the large ~~C_{ant, ant}~~ storage rate, but
25 partially offset by the loss in ~~DIC_{nat, nat}~~ inventory. The variability of the DIC and ~~C_{ant, ant}~~ inventories over the 24-year period is of similar magnitude, as indicated by the error of the slope in Fig. 6, whereas the ~~DIC_{nat, nat}~~ inventory varies slightly more. DIC_{nat} is increasing as water masses age and DIC_{nat} from remineralisation of organic matter accumulate, while it decreases during water mass renewal / ventilation, which brings water with preformed, relatively low, DIC_{nat} concentrations into the ocean interior. It is notable that the ~~C_{ant, ant}~~ inventory increased sharply from 2012 to 2015, while there was a comparably
30 large decline in the ~~DIC_{nat, nat}~~ inventory. This is not an artefact of the method, but can be explained by the fact that the 2015 data were obtained during active convection in the Irminger Sea (Fröb et al., 2016). During the strong convection, older water masses enriched in DIC_{nat} were replaced by water masses high in C_{ant} due to their most recent contact to the atmosphere, and relatively low in DIC_{nat} as DIC_{nat} from remineralisation has not yet accumulated. In contrast to that, the peak in 2005 in the ~~C_{ant}~~ inventory cannot be explained by a similar mechanism, therefore it is unlikely a real signal but rather might ~~ant~~ inventory
35 could be related to the advection of C_{ant} enriched water masses formed in the Labrador Sea or in the region south of Cape

[Farewell into the Irminger Sea](#) (Straneo et al., 2003; Palter et al., 2016), [but this strong signal may also](#) reflect the true error or reveal measurement bias.

5.1 SWT distribution

The layer thickness of the Irminger Sea SWTs from 1991 to 2015 is presented in Fig. 7. Because their individual contributions are small, the upper ocean SWTs, i.e. NACW, ISW, and SPMW, are combined and titled upper waters (UW). [For reasons of simplicity, the number of SWTs were reduced from 11 to 9, by performing composite analyses for uNEADW and IcSW. The uNEADW was determined to be a composite of 26 % ISOW, 14 % LSW, 58 % INEADW and 2 % Mediterranean Water \(MW\), based on salinity, \$\theta\$ and \$\text{SiO}_2\$ following \(van Aken, 2000\). Properties for the SWTs representing INEADW and MW were taken from García-Ibáñez et al. \(2015\). A decomposition based on salinity and \$\theta\$ showed that IcSW is a composite of 30 % ISOW, 20 % cLSW and 50 % IW.](#) Based on the composite analysis, the contributions of uNEADW and IcSW are divided up and added to cLSW, IW and ISOW. MW and INEADW only appear in the Iceland Basin and are not included for further analysis. Therefore, not all 11 SWTs used for the eOMP analysis are shown, but only UW, IW, uLSW, cLSW, DSOW and ISOW. Since the FOUREX section, occupied in 1997, was located further south than the AR07E section, covered that year by 06MT19970707, the SWT distribution differs slightly between the two cruises. At the FOUREX section, the ISOW layer is on average 50 m and the SAIW layer about 15 m thicker than further north, while the ISW layer is about 47 m and the SPMW layer 19 m thicker for 06MT19970707 than at the FOUREX section. For the other SWTs, differences are smaller than 8 m. Relatively speaking, 50 m is less than 2 % of the entire water column, so that the discrepancy between the two cruises is small compared to the mean depth of the Irminger Sea.

The distribution of SWTs in the Irminger Sea, as shown in Fig. 7, changes substantially from 1991 to 2015. The overall trend is indicated, but the rates of change are often larger, if sub-periods are considered. For example, the IW layer thickens slightly from 1991 to 2015 at a rate of $6.5 \pm 2.0 \text{ m} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$, but there is little change before 2004, while after, the layer thickness increase is much stronger. The uLSW layer thickness increases by an average of $24.1 \pm 8.7 \text{ m} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$, but it is very thin before 1997 and the major build up occurs after 2004. In particular, after deep convection in the Irminger Sea in 2008, 2012 and 2015, the layer thickness of uLSW increases substantially. The cLSW layer shows the largest changes in thickness at a loss rate of $-54.0 \pm 4.3 \text{ m} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$ from 1991 to 2015. The convective activity in the [SPG-subpolar gyre](#) in 1991 to 1997 [lead-~~led~~](#) to extensive production of cLSW. After that, the cLSW layer was not renewed and strongly diminished until 2015. In contrast to that, the DSOW layer decreases only little in thickness over the 24-year period covered by the data. The ISOW layer thins by $-9.9 \pm 3.1 \text{ m} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$. Over the entire period from 1991 to 2015 the UW layer thickness increases at a rate of $26.2 \pm 4.8 \text{ m} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$, but it has essentially a constant thickness from 1991 to 2000, then thickens until 2007 and decreases in thickness after that. Overall, the change of the distribution of the main SWTs is well captured by the eOMP analysis. Especially the transformation of the two LSW classes seems to match the observations well (Yashayaev et al., 2007).

The mean layer thickness for each SWT in the time periods considered here are summarized in Table 3. The main variability in the distribution of water masses is created by layer thickness changes of cLSW, uLSW and UW. With only small changes over the 24-year period, DSOW, ISOW and IW occupy a little more than a third of the Irminger Sea sections. In the mid-1990s, the cLSW layer occupied close to 50 % of the entire water column, which left thin uLSW and UW layers, corresponding to less than 7 % and around 8 %, respectively, of the entire water column. As cLSW was advected out of the Irminger Sea, while not being re-formed by convection, that layer was replaced mainly by UW in the early 2000s. In 2004, cLSW occupied 37 % of the water column and UW 24 %, while the uLSW layer only accounted for 4 %. With the recurring convection events between 2008 and 2015, uLSW was formed more frequently ~~while~~ and displacing UW as well as the remainder of the cLSW layer. The measurements from winter 2015 reveal that by then the fraction of cLSW was as low as 17 %, and that of UW only 5 %, but that uLSW occupied 45 % of the entire water column.

5.2 Archetypal concentration changes

Within the SWTs, the archetypal concentrations of carbon species change over time due to e.g. remineralization of organic matter, which add $DIC_{nat,ant}$, or air-sea gas exchange, which increases $C_{ant,ant}$ in water masses that are in contact with the atmosphere. The archetypal concentrations of DIC, DIC_{nat} ~~and~~ $C_{ant,ant}$ and C_{ant} from 1991 to 2015 are shown in Fig. 8, for the same SWTs as in Fig. 7, and are also summarized in Table 3. In all SWTs, DIC is similar, except for UW, which have a more variable DIC that is generally lower compared to all other SWTs. The older SWTs in the deep ocean have higher DIC_{nat} concentrations and lower $C_{ant,ant}$ concentrations compared to SWTs that have been ventilated more recently. Therefore, the deep SWTs, namely ISOW and DSOW, have the highest archetypal concentrations of DIC_{nat} (both $2136 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) and the lowest archetypal concentrations of $C_{ant,ant}$ ($22 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ and $20 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), respectively. In contrast, UW have the lowest archetypal concentrations of DIC_{nat} ($2112 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) and the highest archetypal concentrations of $C_{ant,ant}$ ($40 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), which are almost twice as high as in the deep SWTs.

For all SWTs, the rate of change in the archetypal DIC concentration is similar, except for ISOW, where it is slightly smaller. The archetypal concentration change over time for DIC_{nat} is not statistically different from zero for most SWTs, apart from cLSW, where the DIC_{nat} concentration increases by $0.30 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}\text{yr}^{-1}$. In contrast, the archetypal $C_{ant,ant}$ concentration increases significantly in all SWTs over time. Further, the increase rate of the archetypal DIC concentration is not statistically different from the rate of increase of the archetypal $C_{ant,ant}$ concentration. This indicates that the increase in DIC can be explained by the input of $C_{ant,ant}$ to the entire water column. This is true for all SWTs, except cLSW. For this water mass the increase in the archetypal $C_{ant,ant}$ concentration contributes by $0.31 \pm 0.07 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}\text{yr}^{-1}$ to the increase in DIC, which is only half of the DIC concentration increase. This is because DIC_{nat} accumulates as cLSW ages, while at the same time, a smaller fraction of $C_{ant,ant}$ is added to this water mass due to less frequent ventilation.

5.3 DIC storage rate decomposition

The decomposition of the inventory ~~rates-of-change~~ ~~changes~~ reveals the contribution ~~of that~~ changes in the SWT distribution and ~~of~~ changes in the concentration within these SWTs ~~to the trends in~~ ~~have on the total storage rate of~~ DIC and its natural and anthropogenic components. Figure 9 summarizes the storage rates of DIC, DIC_{nat} and $C_{ant,nat}$ and C_{ant} over the entire 24-year time period and for the periods 1991-1997, 2000-2007 and 2008-2015. The first bar shows the total storage rate summed over all SWTs. The second bar shows the concentration driven storage rate and the third bar the layer thickness-driven storage rate. In theory, the first bar should be the sum of the last two bars. However, since the storage rates have been calculated using a linear regression over only a small number of data points, the residuals can become quite large, this is especially the case for the shorter time periods. Nevertheless, some conclusions can be drawn. The increase of the DIC inventory from 1991 to 2015 is driven by the increase in the $C_{ant,ant}$ inventory, partially offset by a decrease in $DIC_{nat,nat}$ inventory. The rise in the $C_{ant,ant}$ inventory is primarily due to a rise in $C_{ant,ant}$ concentration, but the contribution from layer thickness-driven changes is also significantly positive (Fig. 9a). While the rise in $C_{ant,ant}$ concentration occurs in all SWTs (Fig. 8), the contribution from the latter factor appears mostly driven by the increase in the thickness of uLSW (Fig. 7), which is rich in C_{ant} (Fig. 8). The decrease in the $DIC_{nat,nat}$ inventory is the result of the layer thickness-driven reduction, which is larger than the concentration driven increase (Fig. 9a). This can be attributed to the replacement of cLSW with uLSW and UW, which both have lower concentrations of $DIC_{nat,nat}$.

The variations at subdecadal timescales can be understood in terms of the convective activity in the Irminger Sea, although with larger uncertainty. Figure 9b shows the decomposed trends from 1991-1997, a period when convective activity was high in the ~~SPG~~ ~~subpolar gyre~~. The total DIC storage rate is driven by the $C_{ant,ant}$ storage rate, while the changes in the $DIC_{nat,nat}$ inventory are not significantly different from zero. The $C_{ant,ant}$ storage rate is mainly driven by increasing concentrations, which occur in all SWTs (Fig. 8).

From 2000-2007, although the $C_{ant,ant}$ storage rate of $2.14 \pm 0.49 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ was similar to that of the preceding period, the DIC storage rate was much smaller because of the large loss of $DIC_{nat,nat}$. 2000-2007 has smallest DIC storage rate of all periods considered, $0.65 \pm 0.39 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, compared to 2.53 ± 1.24 and $1.93 \pm 0.20 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for the earlier and later periods, respectively. Also, unlike the other periods, changes in layer thickness and concentrations were almost equally important for the $C_{ant,ant}$ storage rate. The DIC_{nat} storage rate, on the other hand, was almost entirely driven by changes in layer thickness: $-1.20 \pm 0.29 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ of a total of $-1.63 \pm 0.98 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. From Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 these features appear to be the result of uLSW and UW replacing cLSW. Their larger concentrations of $C_{ant,ant}$ leads to the relatively large layer thickness-driven storage increase, while the advection of $DIC_{nat,nat}$ -rich cLSW out of the Irminger Sea leads to the negative layer thickness-driven decrease of the $DIC_{nat,nat}$ inventory. The negative concentration-driven storage rate appears primarily driven by the loss of $DIC_{nat,nat}$ from uLSW and UW, outweighing the increase of $DIC_{nat,nat}$ in the cLSW.

For the last period from 2008-2015 (Fig. 9d), the deep convection in 2015 had a large impact on all storage rates, as well as their uncertainty estimates, i.e. the exceptional changes in 2015 incurs a large uncertainty on the regression slopes for this time period. The DIC storage rate is $1.93 \pm 0.20 \text{ mol mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and the result of a large $C_{\text{ant_ant}}$ storage rate, the largest in all three periods considered, offset by a negative $\text{DIC}_{\text{nat_nat}}$ storage rate. Both of these are primarily the result of changes in concentrations, indicative of the ventilation that occurred. As evaluated from Fig. 8, the $C_{\text{ant_ant}}$ increase is greatest in IW, uLSW and UW, while the loss of $\text{DIC}_{\text{nat_nat}}$ occurred primarily in the IW and uLSW. However, also cLSW appears to be affected by the most recent event in 2015.

6 Discussion

The data that have been collected in the Irminger Sea over the past decades provide unequivocal evidence for climate forcing of the carbon cycle in this oceanic region. Over long time scales, the steady trend due to uptake of anthropogenic CO_2 clearly dominates, but at shorter time scales, it varies and can also be significantly masked by variability of $\text{DIC}_{\text{nat_nat}}$. In particular, this was the case in the period from 2000 to 2007, when the negative $\text{DIC}_{\text{nat_nat}}$ storage rate partially offset the increasing $C_{\text{ant_ant}}$ storage, resulting in a DIC storage rate that was only about a third of the storage rates in the preceding and later time periods considered here. In that period, when convection was shallow, the replacement of relatively $\text{DIC}_{\text{nat_nat}}$ -rich cLSW with relatively $\text{DIC}_{\text{nat_nat}}$ -poor UW and uLSW led to the loss of $\text{DIC}_{\text{nat_nat}}$. Ageing might have increased the $\text{DIC}_{\text{nat_nat}}$ concentration, but the water masses in which these processes occur were flushed out the study area. Climate feedback mechanisms that involve natural carbon cycling in the ocean are relevant to elucidate. While for example Zunino et al. (2015) found steady-state conditions for the natural carbon cycle in the entire eastern SPNA-subpolar North Atlantic between 2002 and 2010, this was not the case for the Irminger Sea from 1991-2015. A possible explanation for this discrepancy could be the longer time period considered here, or that if both the Irminger Sea and the Iceland Basin are regarded, inventory changes might cancel each other out. This was shown to be the case for $C_{\text{ant_ant}}$ by Steinfeldt et al. (2009), as a consequence of opposite changes in cLSW volume east and west of the Reykjanes Ridge.

The results presented here do not indicate a consistent response in the storage rates for anthropogenic CO_2 to the NAO. The storage rates were similar for the first two periods, with predominantly high and low values of the NAO index, while it was clearly larger for the last period, with predominantly high NAO index. In that time period, the $C_{\text{ant_ant}}$ storage rate increased by a factor of 1.6 compared to the period from 2000 to 2007 (Fig. 9). The lack of change in $C_{\text{ant_ant}}$ storage rates between the two first periods, contrasts with the results of Pérez et al. (2008), who found that the storage rates were low from 1997-2006. This is a result of the differences in data: when using the same cruises and the same periods of time (i.e 1997-2006 for the middle period) as in Pérez et al. (2008) to estimate $C_{\text{ant_ant}}$ storage rates, we estimated a significant decline in $C_{\text{ant_ant}}$ storage rates for the middle period compared to the first ($C_{\text{ant_ant}}$ storage rates: $2.78 \pm 0.28 \text{ mol mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for 1991-1997: $1.06 \pm 0.47 \text{ mol mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for 1997-2006).

The DIC storage, on the other hand, do show a consistent response to the NAO index, it is larger in the periods with predominantly high NAO index winters (the first and the last) than in the middle period, with a predominance of low NAO index winters. This response was also found when using the same cruises and time periods as Pérez et al. (2008) to calculate the storage rates. Altogether, this shows that while calculation of $C_{\overline{ant}}_{ant}$ storage rates over small time periods is sensitive to data selection, calculation of DIC storage rates is not. This is not unreasonable, as estimates of $C_{\overline{ant}}_{ant}$ inventories involves more variables (for example AOU and alkalinity), which increases the risk of introducing sampling or measurement biases. Regardless, convective events increase the storage of $C_{\overline{ant}}_{ant}$, this is clearly demonstrated for the 2015 event, also presented in detail by Fröb et al. (2016), who also used an independent approach for estimating $C_{\overline{ant}}_{ant}$. Most likely because the thick layer of $C_{\overline{ant}}_{ant}$ rich cLSW was mostly established in 1991 when the first data were collected, no large storage rate is observed for the early NAO positive period included here (Yashayaev et al., 2007).

Tanhua and Keeling (2012) calculated North Atlantic column inventory changes of DIC using data extracted from GLO-DAPv1 (Key et al., 2004) and CARINA (Key et al., 2010). For obtaining further insight on the governing processes, they also included O₂, AOU and DIC _{\overline{abio} abio}, which is DIC corrected for the fraction of remineralised carbon and is closely related to anthropogenic carbon. However, their inventories were only estimated over the upper 2000 m of the water column. For DIC, the storage rate in the Labrador and Irminger Seas combined was estimated to be $0.57 \text{ mol } \underline{\text{mol}} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Tanhua and Keeling, 2012). This is only a third of our estimates of $1.43 \pm 0.17 \text{ mol } \underline{\text{mol}} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. This difference is likely the result of the different depth ranges, region and time periods evaluated. It is, however, noteworthy, that the DIC _{\overline{abio} abio} storage rate calculated by Tanhua and Keeling (2012) is not significantly different from zero. The entire increase in DIC inventory is explained by an increase of the remineralised fraction, as determined from AOU and their assumed C:O ratio. This implies either that there is no storage of $C_{\overline{ant}}_{ant}$ in the region or that any increase in $C_{\overline{ant}}_{ant}$ is completely offset by reduced CO₂ solubility.

In order to compare to the Tanhua and Keeling (2012) results here, the DIC _{\overline{abio} abio} storage rates as well as O₂ and AOU storage rates are shown in Fig. 10 for the same periods as in Fig. 9. For the Irminger Sea cruise data from 1991-2015, the DIC _{\overline{abio} abio} storage rate is $1.40 \pm 0.17 \text{ mol } \underline{\text{mol}} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, and explains the DIC storage rate almost entirely. The negligible trend in AOU explains the lack of difference between the DIC and DIC _{\overline{abio} abio} storage rates. The estimated DIC _{\overline{abio} abio} storage rate is lower than the $C_{\overline{ant}}$ storage rate ($1.92 \pm 0.17 \text{ mol } \underline{\text{mol}} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, Fig. 6), probably due to a decline in preformed DIC values, i.e. a loss of solubility as a consequence of the positive surface temperature trends in the Irminger Sea from 1991-2015 (Stendardo and Gruber, 2012; Maze et al., 2012). The long-term warming trends in the surface ocean and thus the decreasing O₂ solubility leads to a deoxygenation of $-0.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ mol } \underline{\text{mol}} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ over the 24-year period, which is consistent with the suggested loss in preformed DIC.

On shorter timescales (Fig. 10b-c) all variables show large variations in the storage rates consistent with the already discussed changes in hydrography. In contrast to the findings of Tanhua and Keeling (2012), DIC and DIC _{\overline{abio} abio} storage rates are positive over all time periods. This might be partly due to the increased data coverage in our study. Over the entire time period, DIC _{\overline{abio} abio}

~~underestimates $C_{ant-abio}$ underestimates C_{ant}~~ by about 25 %. However, if the time period is too short, $DIC_{abio-abio}$ becomes much more variable, because it includes solubility effects. For example, from 2000-2007, the $DIC_{abio-abio}$ underestimates the $C_{ant-ant}$ storage rate by 40 %, (compare Fig. 9c and 10c), while from 2008-2015, the $DIC_{abio-abio}$ overestimates the $C_{ant-ant}$ storage rate by 20 % (compare Fig. 9d and 10d).

5

Similar to the $C_{ant-ant}$ storage rates, both periods before and after 1997 show a loss in O_2 , despite stronger convection in the early 1990s. At the same time, the small increase in AOU does neither reflect the constant inventory rate in DIC_{nat} before 1997, nor does the constant AOU storage rate reflect the loss in DIC_{nat} after 1997. Again, this is attributed to the fact that cLSW was mostly formed before 1991 (Yashayaev et al., 2007). The mean O_2 saturation degree over the entire water column was 89 % in the period from 1991 to 1997, which resulted in a high O_2 inventory of $750 \pm 4 \text{ mol mol}^{-2}$, indicative of the recent ventilation. After 1997, no re-ventilation took place and the mean O_2 saturation dropped 2 %, while the inventory decreased to $732 \pm 4 \text{ mol mol}^{-2}$. From 2008 to 2015, the O_2 saturation values increased to 89 % again due to the strong inventory increase of $4.3 \pm 2.6 \text{ mol mol}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which was mainly driven by the deep convection in 2015 (Fröb et al., 2016). Here, the strong loss in AOU matches the loss in DIC_{nat} as the water column is reventilated so that remineralized organic matter is replaced by a larger fraction of C_{ant} . In fact, the convection in 2015 was strong enough to restore the O_2 inventory levels so that the mean inventory from 2008 to 2015 was $749 \pm 4 \text{ mol mol}^{-2}$, the level of same level as the well-ventilated early 1990s.

15

7 Conclusions

The repeat observations within the Irminger Sea show significant changes in total, natural and anthropogenic CO_2 inventories from 1991 to 2015 with large interannual variability in the natural component. The eOMP method results and the decomposition of the inventory changes give valuable insight into the driving mechanisms to interpret the observed variability. Overall, changes in layer thickness of the main water masses appear most important for the $DIC_{nat-nat}$ inventory, while concentration change within these water masses is the key factor for $C_{ant-ant}$. C_{ant} is typically more important for changes in total DIC inventories than $DIC_{nat-nat}$. While the DIC inventory changes show a clear signal associated to the NAO, for $C_{ant-ant}$ the signal is less robust, especially before and after 1997, likely because the data used here does not cover the period before 1991, when the thick layer of cLSW was formed. From 1991 to 2015 the mean $C_{ant-ant}$ saturation over the entire water column increased from 52 % to 67 %, increasing the $C_{ant-ant}$ inventory from $53 \pm 3 \text{ mol m}^{-2}$ to $117 \pm 3 \text{ mol mol}^{-2}$, mainly driven by the most recent convection in 2015. Despite the negative trend in O_2 inventory from 1991 to 2015, the convection in 2015 was strong enough to replenish O_2 levels at depth, leading to a mean saturation of 89 % and an O_2 inventory of $749 \pm 4 \text{ mol mol}^{-2}$, which was as high as in 1991. $C_{ant-ant}$ is sensitive to time period considered, while DIC appears more robust to sampling and measurement bias. Therefore, for a comprehensive view on carbon cycle feedback mechanisms, not only $C_{ant-ant}$, but also natural and total DIC should be taken into account.

30

Appendix A: Salinity - Alkalinity relationship

The application of the surface relationship between salinity, temperature and A_{T-T} by Lee et al. (2006) is tested for Irminger Sea cruise data in the entire water column. Further, the linear relationship between salinity and A_{T-T} by Nondal et al. (2009) is applied as well and compared to the Lee et al. (2006) relationship. For all cruise data between 1991 and 2015, the difference between measured and calculated A_{T-T} is presented in Fig. A1. Both relationships perform reasonably well. For the Lee et al. (2006) relationship there is no bias with depth, but measured A_{T-T} is slightly overestimated. The Nondal et al. (2009) relationship tends to overestimate the measured A_{T-T} in the surface ocean and underestimate measured A_{T-T} below 2000 m. Therefore the variance of the Nondal et al. (2009) relationship is much larger than for the Lee et al. (2006) relationship. Within this study, the Lee et al. (2006) relationship was chosen in order to calculate A_{T-T} .

10

Further, the impact of the overestimated calculated A_{T-T} on C_{ant} , DIC_{nat} , DIC_{ant} , DIC_{bat} and DIC storage rates was tested. For that, $4.5 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$, which was the mean difference between measured and calculated A_{T-T} based on the Lee et al. (2006) relationship, were added to the calculated A_{T-T} for the 3 cruises, where only DIC was measured. The archetypal C_{ant} concentrations for all SWTs were less than $1 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ smaller after the correction of A_{T-T} . No significant difference between the storage rates was evident.

15

Appendix B: Location SWT

As a result of the eOMP, the fraction of SWTs in each water parcel sampling point is estimated. Figure B1 shows this fraction for the 1991 (a) and the 2015 (b) data for IW, uLSW, cLSW, DSOW, ISOW and UW, which is the sum over ISW, NACW and SPMW. Overall, the position of the water masses is well represented through time.

20 *Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their suggestions and comments. F. Fröb and A. Olsen appreciate funding from the SNACS project (229752), that is part of the KLIMAFORSK program of the Norwegian Research Council. E. Jeansson and S.K. Lauvset received funding from the NRC project VENTILATE (229791). F.F. Pérez and M.I. García-Ibáñez were supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through the BOCATS (CTM2013-41048-P) project co-funded by the Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional 2007-2012 (FEDER). This is a contribution to the BIGCHANGE project of the Bjerknes Center for Climate
25 Research.

References

- Álvarez, M., Pérez, F. F., Shoosmith, D. R., and Bryden, H. L.: Unaccounted role of Mediterranean Water in the drawdown of anthropogenic carbon, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 110, doi:10.1029/2004JC002633, 2005.
- Álvarez-Salgado, X. A., Nieto-Cid, M., Álvarez, M., Pérez, F. F., Morin, P., and Mercier, H.: New insights on the mineralization of dissolved organic matter in central, intermediate, and deep water masses of the northeast North Atlantic, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 58, 681–696, doi:10.4319/lo.2013.58.2.0681, 2013.
- Amante, C. and Eakins, B.: ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model: Procedures, Data Sources and Analysis, NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24. National Geophysical Data Center, NOAA., [02.10.2015], doi:10.7289/V5C8276M, 2009.
- Arora, V. K., Boer, G. J., Friedlingstein, P., Eby, M., Jones, C. D., Christian, J. R., Bonan, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Hajima, T., Ilyina, T., Lindsay, K., Tjiputra, J. F., and Wu, T.: Carbon-Concentration and Carbon-Climate Feedbacks in CMIP5 Earth System Models, *Journal of Climate*, 26, 5289–5314, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00494.1, 2013.
- Bacon, S., Gould, W., and Jia, Y.: Open-ocean convection in the Irminger Sea, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30,doi:10.1029/2002GL016271, 2003.
- Castro, C., Pérez, F., Holley, S., and Ríos, A.: Chemical characterisation and modelling of water masses in the Northeast Atlantic, *Progress in Oceanography*, 41, 249 – 279, doi:10.1016/S0079-6611(98)00021-4, 1998.
- Curry, R., McCartney, M., and Joyce, T.: Oceanic transport of subpolar climate signals to mid-depth subtropical waters, *Nature*, 391, 575–577, doi:10.1038/35356, 1998.
- de Jong, M. F. and de Steur, L.: Strong winter cooling over the Irminger Sea in winter 2014–2015, exceptional deep convection, and the emergence of anomalously low SST, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, doi:10.1002/2016GL069596, 2016GL069596, 2016.
- de Jong, M. F., van Aken, H. M., Våge, K., and Pickart, R. S.: Convective mixing in the central Irminger Sea: 2002–2010, *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 63, 36–51, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2012.01.003, 2012.
- Dickson, A., Sabine, C., and Christian, J. E.: Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO₂ Measurements, PICES Special Publication 3, 3, 191pp, 2007.
- Dickson, R. and Brown, J.: The Production of North-Atlantic Deep-Water - Sources, Rates and Pathways, *Journal of Geophysical Research - Oceans*, 99, 12 319–12 341, doi:10.1029/94JC00530, 1994.
- Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., Von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney, S., Eby, M., Fung, I., Bala, G., John, J., Jones, C., Joos, F., Kato, T., Kawamiya, M., Knorr, W., Lindsay, K., Matthews, H. D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E., Schnitzler, K. G., Schnur, R., Strassmann, K., Weaver, A. J., Yoshikawa, C., and Zeng, N.: Climate-carbon cycle feedback analysis: Results from the C⁴MIP model intercomparison, *Journal of Climate*, 19, 3337–3353, doi:10.1175/JCLI3800.1, 2006.
- Fröb, F., Olsen, A., Våge, K., Moore, K., Yashayaev, I., Jeansson, E., and Rajasakaren, B.: Irminger Sea deep convection injects oxygen and anthropogenic carbon to the ocean interior, *Nature Communications*, 7, 13 244, doi:10.1038/ncomms13244, 2016.
- García-Ibáñez et al., M. I., Zunino, P., Fröb, F., Carracedo, L. I., Ríos, A., Mercier, H., Olsen, A., and Pérez, F. F.: Ocean Acidification in the Subpolar North Atlantic: mechanisms controlling pH changes, *Biogeosciences*, in progress, 2016.
- García-Ibáñez, M. I., Pardo, P. C., Carracedo, L. I., Mercier, H., Lherminier, P., Ríos, A. F., and Pérez, F. F.: Structure, transports and transformations of the water masses in the Atlantic Subpolar Gyre, *Progress in Oceanography*, 135, 18–36, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2015.03.009, 2015.

- Gruber, N., Sarmiento, J., and Stocker, T.: An improved method for detecting anthropogenic CO₂ in the oceans, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 10, 809–837, doi:10.1029/96GB01608, 1996.
- Häkkinen, S. and Rhines, P.: Decline of subpolar North Atlantic circulation during the 1990s, *Science*, 304, 555–559, doi:10.1126/science.1094917, 2004.
- 5 Hansen, B. and Østerhus, S.: North Atlantic-Nordic Seas exchanges, *Progress in Oceanography*, 45, 109 – 208, doi:10.1016/S0079-6611(99)00052-X, 2000.
- Hátún, H., Sandø, A., Drange, H., Hansen, B., and Valdimarsson, H.: Influence of the Atlantic subpolar gyre on the thermohaline circulation, *Science*, 309, 1841–1844, doi:10.1126/science.1114777, 2005.
- Hood, E.M., Sabine, C.L. & Sloyan, B.M., eds. *The GO-SHIP Repeat Hydrography Manual: A Collection of Expert Reports and Guidelines*.
- 10 IOCCP Report Number 14, ICPO Publication Series Number 134. Available online at <http://www.go-ship.org/HydroMan.html>. (2010).
- Hurrell, J. W. and Deser, C.: North Atlantic climate variability: The role of the North Atlantic Oscillation, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 78, 28–41, 2009.
- Jeansson, E., Jutterström, S., Rudels, B., Anderson, L. G., Olsson, K. A., Jones, E. P., Smethie Jr., W. M., and Swift, J. H.: Sources to the East Greenland Current and its contribution to the Denmark Strait Overflow, *Progress in Oceanography*, 78, 12 – 28, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2007.08.031, 2008.
- 15 Johnson, K., Key, R., Millero, F., Sabine, C., Wallace, D., Winn, C., Arlen, L., Erickson, K., Friis, K., Galanter, M., Goen, J., Rotter, R., Thomas, C., Wilke, R., Takahashi, T., and Sutherland, S.: Carbon Dioxide, Hydrographic, and Chemical Data Obtained During the R/V Knorr Cruises in the North Atlantic Ocean on WOCE Sections AR24 (November 2 - December 5, 1996) and A24, A20, and A22 (May 30 - September 3, 1997), Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee., 2003.
- 20 Karstensen, J. and Tomczak, M.: Age determination of mixed water masses using CFC and oxygen data, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 103, 18 599–18 609, doi:10.1029/98JC00889, 1998.
- Key, R., Kozyr, A., Sabine, C., Lee, K., Wanninkhof, R., Bullister, J., Feely, R., Millero, F., Mordy, C., and Peng, T.: A global ocean carbon climatology: Results from Global Data Analysis Project (GLODAP), *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 18, doi:10.1029/2004GB002247, 25 2004.
- Key, R. M., Tanhua, T., Olsen, A., Hoppema, M., Jutterström, S., Schirnick, C., van Heuven, S., Kozyr, A., Lin, X., Velo, A., Wallace, D. W. R., and Mintrop, L.: The CARINA data synthesis project: introduction and overview, *Earth System Science Data*, 2, 105–121, doi:10.5194/essd-2-105-2010, 2010.
- Key, R. M., Olsen, A., van Heuven, S., Lauvset, S. K., Velo, A., Lin, X., Schirnick, C., Kozyr, A., Tanhua, T., Hoppema, M., Jutterström, S., Steinfeldt, R., Jeansson, E., Ishii, M., Pérez, F. F., and Suzuki, T.: Global Ocean Data Analysis Project, version 2 (GLODAPv2), ORNL/CDIAC-162, NDP-093, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, US., 2015.
- 30 Körtzinger, A., Rhein, M., and Mintrop, L.: Anthropogenic CO₂ and CFCs in the North Atlantic Ocean - A comparison of man-made tracers, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26, 2065–2068, doi:10.1029/1999GL900432, 1999.
- Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., Haumann, F. A., Rödenbeck, C., Bakker, D. C. E., van Heuven, S., Hoppema, M., Metzl, N., Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., and Wanninkhof, R.: The reinvigoration of the Southern Ocean carbon sink, *Science*, 349, 1221–1224, doi:10.1126/science.aab2620, 2015.

- Lavender, K., Owens, W., and Davis, R.: The mid-depth circulation of the subpolar North Atlantic Ocean as measured by subsurface floats, *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 52, 767–785, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2004.12.007, 2005.
- Lazier, J., Hendry, R., Clarke, A., Yashayaev, I., and Rhines, P.: Convection and restratification in the Labrador Sea, 1990-2000, *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 49, 1819–1835, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(02)00064-X, 2002.
- 5 Le Quéré, C., Rödenbeck, C., Buitenhuis, E. T., Conway, T. J., Langenfelds, R., Gomez, A., Labuschagne, C., Ramonet, M., Nakazawa, T., Metzl, N., Gillett, N., and Heimann, M.: Saturation of the Southern Ocean CO₂ Sink Due to Recent Climate Change, *Science*, 316, 1735–1738, doi:10.1126/science.1136188, 2007.
- Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R. M., Canadell, J. G., Sitch, S., Korsbakken, J. I., Peters, G. P., Manning, A. C., Boden, T. A., Tans, P. P., Houghton, R. A., Keeling, R. F., Alin, S., Andrews, O. D., Anthoni, P., Barbero, L., Bopp, L., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Ciais, P., Currie, K.,
10 Delire, C., Doney, S. C., Friedlingstein, P., Gkritzalis, T., Harris, I., Hauck, J., Haverd, V., Hoppema, M., Klein Goldewijk, K., Jain, A. K., Kato, E., Körtzinger, A., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lienert, S., Lombardozi, D., Melton, J. R., Metzl, N., Millero, F., Monteiro, P. M. S., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-I., O'Brien, K., Olsen, A., Omar, A. M., Ono, T., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Rödenbeck, C., Salisbury, J., Schuster, U., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Skjelvan, I., Stocker, B. D., Sutton, A. J., Takahashi, T., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., van der Werf, G. R., Viovy, N., Walker, A. P., Wiltshire, A. J., and Zaehle, S.: Global Carbon
15 Budget 2016, *Earth System Science Data*, 8, 605–649, doi:10.5194/essd-8-605-2016, 2016.
- Lee, K., Tong, L. T., Millero, F. J., Sabine, C. L., Dickson, A. G., Goyet, C., Park, G.-H., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R. A., and Key, R. M.: Global relationships of total alkalinity with salinity and temperature in surface waters of the world's oceans, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, doi:10.1029/2006GL027207, 2006.
- Lherminier, P., Mercier, H., Huck, T., Gourcuff, C., Pérez, F. F., Morin, P., Sarafanov, A., and Falina, A.: The Atlantic Meridional Overturning
20 Circulation and the subpolar gyre observed at the A25-OVIDE section in June 2002 and 2004, *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 57, 1374 – 1391, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2010.07.009, 2010.
- Lueker, T. J., Dickson, A. G., and Keeling, C. D.: Ocean pCO₂ calculated from dissolved inorganic carbon, alkalinity, and equations for K₁ and K₂: validation based on laboratory measurements of CO₂ in gas and seawater at equilibrium, *Marine Chemistry*, 70, 105 –119, doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(00)00022-0, 2000.
- 25 Marshall, J. and Schott, F.: Open-ocean convection: Observations, theory, and models, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 37, 1–64, doi:10.1029/98RG02739, 1999.
- Maze, G., Mercier, H., Thierry, V., Memery, L., Morin, P., and Pérez, F. F.: Mass, nutrient and oxygen budgets for the northeastern Atlantic Ocean, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 4099–4113, doi:10.5194/bg-9-4099-2012, 2012.
- McCartney, M.: Recirculating components to the deep boundary current of the northern North Atlantic, *Progress in Oceanography*, 29, 283
30 – 383, doi:10.1016/0079-6611(92)90006-L, 1992.
- Mercier, H., Lherminier, P., Sarafanov, A., Gaillard, F., Daniault, N., Desbruyères, D., Falina, A., Ferron, B., Gourcuff, C., Huck, T., and Thierry, V.: Variability of the meridional overturning circulation at the Greenland-Portugal OVIDE section from 1993 to 2010, *Progress in Oceanography*, 132, 250 – 261, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2013.11.001, 2015.
- Nondal, G., Bellerby, R. G. J., Olsen, A., Johannessen, T., and Olafsson, J.: Optimal evaluation of the surface ocean CO₂ system
35 in the northern North Atlantic using data from voluntary observing ships, *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, 7, 109–118, doi:10.4319/lom.2009.7.109, 2009.

- Olsen, A., Key, R. M., van Heuven, S., Lauvset, S. K., Velo, A., Lin, X., Schirnick, C., Kozyr, A., Tanhua, T., Hoppema, M., Jutterström, S., Steinfeldt, R., Jeansson, E., Ishii, M., Pérez, F. F., and Suzuki, T.: The Global Ocean Data Analysis Project version 2 (GLODAPv2) – an internally consistent data product for the world ocean, *Earth System Science Data*, 8, 297–323, doi:10.5194/essd-8-297-2016, 2016.
- 5 [Palter, J. B. and Caron, C.-A. and Law, K. L. and Willis, J. K. and Trossman, D. S. and Yashayaev, I. M. and Gilbert, D.: Variability of the directly observed, middepth subpolar North Atlantic circulation, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 2700–2708, doi:10.1002/2015GL067235, 2016.](#)
- Pérez, F. F., Vázquez-Rodríguez, M., Louarn, E., Padín, X. A., Mercier, H., and Ríos, A. F.: Temporal variability of the anthropogenic CO₂ storage in the Irminger Sea, *Biogeosciences*, 5, 1669–1679, doi:10.5194/bg-5-1669-2008, 2008.
- Pérez, F. F., Vázquez-Rodríguez, M., Mercier, H., Velo, A., Lherminier, P., and Ríos, A. F.: Trends of anthropogenic CO₂ storage in North Atlantic water masses, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 1789–1807, doi:10.5194/bg-7-1789-2010, 2010.
- 10 Pérez, F. F., Mercier, H., Vázquez-Rodríguez, M., Lherminier, P., Velo, A., Pardo, P. C., Roson, G., and Ríos, A. F.: Atlantic Ocean CO₂ uptake reduced by weakening of the meridional overturning circulation, *Nature Geoscience*, 6, 146–152, doi:10.1038/NNGEO1680, 2013.
- Pickart, R., Spall, M., Ribergaard, M., Moore, G., and Milliff, R.: Deep convection in the Irminger Sea forced by the Greenland tip jet, *Nature*, 424, 152–156, doi:10.1038/nature01729, 2003a.
- 15 Pickart, R., Straneo, F., and Moore, G.: Is Labrador Sea Water formed in the Irminger basin?, *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 50, 23–52, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(02)00134-6, 2003b.
- Poole, R. and Tomczak, M.: Optimum multiparameter analysis of the water mass structure in the Atlantic Ocean thermocline, *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 46, 1895–1921, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(99)00025-4, 1999.
- Ríos, A.F., Pérez, F.F., García-Ibáñez, M.I., Fajar, N.M., Lherminier, P., Branell, P., Gilcoto, M., Rosón, G., Alonso-Pérez, F., de la Paz, M., Castaño-Carrera, M., Galindo, M., and Velo, A.: Carbon Dioxide, Hydrographic, and Chemical Data Obtained During the R/V Sarmiento de Gamboa Cruise in the North Atlantic Ocean on CLIVAR Repeat Hydrography Section OVIDE-2012 (June 22 - July 20, 2012). Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee., 2015.
- 20 Sabine, C., Feely, R., Gruber, N., Key, R., Lee, K., Bullister, J., Wanninkhof, R., Wong, C., Wallace, D., Tilbrook, B., Millero, F., Peng, T., Kozyr, A., Ono, T., and Ríos, A.: The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂, *Science*, 305, 367–371, doi:10.1126/science.1097403, 2004.
- 25 Sarafanov, A., Falina, A., Sokov, A., and Demidov, A.: Intense warming and salinification of intermediate waters of southern origin in the eastern subpolar North Atlantic in the 1990s to mid-2000s, *Journal of Geophysical Research - Oceans*, 113, doi:10.1029/2008JC004975, 2008.
- Sarmiento, J. and Gruber, N.: Sinks for anthropogenic carbon, *Physics Today*, 55, 30–36, doi:10.1063/1.1510279, 2002.
- Schwinger, J., Tjiputra, J. F., Heinze, C., Bopp, L., Christian, J. R., Gehlen, M., Ilyina, T., Jones, C. D., Salas-Melia, D., Segsneider, J., Seferian, R., and Totterdell, I.: Nonlinearity of Ocean Carbon Cycle Feedbacks in CMIP5 Earth System Models, *JOURNAL OF CLIMATE*, 27, 3869–3888, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00452.1, 2014.
- 30 Steinfeldt, R., Rhein, M., Bullister, J. L., and Tanhua, T.: Inventory changes in anthropogenic carbon from 1997-2003 in the Atlantic Ocean between 20°S and 65°N, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 23, doi:10.1029/2008GB003311, 2009.
- Stendardo, I. and Gruber, N.: Oxygen trends over five decades in the North Atlantic, *Journal of Geophysical Research - Oceans*, 117, doi:10.1029/2012JC007909, 2012.
- 35 [Straneo, F. and Pickart, R.S. and Lavender, K.: Spreading of Labrador sea water: an advective-diffusive study based on Lagrangian data, *Deep-Sea Research Part I*, 50, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637\(03\)00057-8, 2003.](#)

- Stoll, M., van Aken, H., de Baar, H., and de Boer, C.: Meridional carbon dioxide transport in the northern North Atlantic, *Marine Chemistry*, 55, 205 – 216, doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(96)00057-6, 1996.
- Tanhua, T. and Keeling, R. F.: Changes in column inventories of carbon and oxygen in the Atlantic Ocean, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 4819–4833, doi:10.5194/bg-9-4819-2012, 2012.
- 5 Tanhua, T., Olsson, K. A., and Jeansson, E.: Formation of Denmark Strait overflow water and its hydro-chemical composition, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 57, 264–288, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2005.05.003, 2005.
- Thomas, H. and Ittekkot, V.: Determination of anthropogenic CO₂ in the North Atlantic Ocean using water mass ages and CO₂ equilibrium chemistry, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 27, 325 – 336, doi:10.1016/S0924-7963(00)00077-4, 2001.
- Tomczak, M. and Large, D. G. B.: Optimum Multiparameter Analysis of Mixing in the Thermocline of the Eastern Indian-Ocean, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 94, 16 141–16 149, doi:10.1029/JC094iC11p16141, 1989.
- 10 Touratier, F. and Goyet, C.: Decadal evolution of anthropogenic CO₂ in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea from the mid-1990s to the mid-2000s, *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 56, 1708 – 1716, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2009.05.015, 2009.
- van Aken, H.: The hydrography of the mid-latitude Northeast Atlantic Ocean II: The intermediate water masses, *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 47, 789–824, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(99)00112-0, 2000.
- 15 van Heuven, S., Zemmelenk, H., Bakker, K., van Aken, H., and Veth, C.: Carbon Dioxide, Hydrographic, and Chemical Data Obtained During the R/V Pelagia Repeat Hydrography Cruise in the Atlantic Ocean: CLIVAR CO₂ Section AR07E2005 (September 7 - October 5, 2005), Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee., 2012.
- van Heuven, S., Brummer, G.-J., van Ooijen, J., and van Aken, H.: Carbon Dioxide, Hydrographic, and Chemical Data Obtained During the R/V Pelagia Repeat Hydrography Cruise in the Atlantic Ocean: CLIVAR CO₂ Section AR07E2007 (August 30 - September 27, 2007),
- 20 Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee., 2013.
- Vázquez-Rodríguez, M., Touratier, F., Lo Monaco, C., Waugh, D. W., Padín, X. A., Bellerby, R. G. J., Goyet, C., Metzl, N., Ríos, A. F., and Pérez, F. F.: Anthropogenic carbon distributions in the Atlantic Ocean: data-based estimates from the Arctic to the Antarctic, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 439–451, 2009.
- Vázquez-Rodríguez, M., Padín, X. A., Pardo, P. C., Ríos, A. F., and Pérez, F. F.: The subsurface layer reference to calculate preformed alkalinity and air-sea CO₂ disequilibrium in the Atlantic Ocean, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 94, 52–63, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2011.10.008, 2012.
- 25 Våge, K., Pickart, R. S., Moore, G. W. K., and Ribergaard, M. H.: Winter mixed layer development in the central Irminger Sea: The effect of strong, intermittent wind events, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 38, 541–565, doi:10.1175/2007JPO3678.1, 2008.
- Våge, K., Pickart, R. S., Sarafanov, A., Knutsen, O., Mercier, H., Lherminier, P., van Aken, H. M., Meincke, J., Quadfasel, D., and Bacon, S.: The Irminger Gyre: Circulation, convection, and interannual variability, *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 58, 590–614, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2011.03.001, 2011.
- 30 Wakita, M., Watanabe, S., Murata, A., Tsurushima, N., and Honda, M.: Decadal change of dissolved inorganic carbon in the subarctic western North Pacific Ocean, *Tellus B*, 62, 1600–0889, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2010.00476.x, 2010.
- Wanninkhof, R., Doney, S. C., Bullister, J. L., Levine, N. M., Warner, M., and Gruber, N.: Detecting anthropogenic CO₂ changes in the interior Atlantic Ocean between 1989 and 2005, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 115, doi:10.1029/2010JC006251, 2010.
- 35 Woosley, R. J., Millero, F. J., and Wanninkhof, R.: Rapid anthropogenic changes in CO₂ and pH in the Atlantic Ocean: 2003-2014, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 30, 70–90, doi:10.1002/2015GB005248, 2015GB005248, 2016.




Figure 1. Schematic subpolar North Atlantic circulation. The location of the AR7E, OVIDE, FOUREX and SNACS lines are plotted in black on the bathymetry (500 m intervals). The branches of the North Atlantic Current (NAC) turning into the Irminger Current (IC) are shown in red and the East Greenland Current (EGC) is plotted in orange. The dark blue currents illustrate the spreading of the Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW) and the Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW) at depth, which jointly with the Labrador Sea Water (LSW), in cyan, contribute to the Deep Western Boundary Current (DWBC). Adapted from Lherminier et al. (2010) and Pérez et al. (2013).

Yashayaev, I., Bersch, M., and van Aken, H. M.: Spreading of the Labrador Sea Water to the Irminger and Iceland basins, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34, n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2006GL028999, 110602, 2007.

Yashayaev, I., van Aken, H. M., Holliday, N. P., and Bersch, M.: Transformation of the Labrador Sea Water in the subpolar North Atlantic, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34, doi:10.1029/2007GL031812, 2007.

- 5 Zunino, P., Lherminier, P., Mercier, H., Padín, X. A., Ríos, A. F., and Pérez, F. F.: Dissolved inorganic carbon budgets in the eastern subpolar North Atlantic in the 2000s from in situ data, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42, 9853–9861, doi:10.1002/2015GL066243, 2015GL066243, 2015.




Figure 2. Source water type (SWT) parameters presented in a potential temperature - a) salinity, including potential density (σ_0) levels, b) oxygen, c) nitrate and d) silicate space for Irminger Sea cruise-data from 1991-2015. The colours represent different mixing figures for the eOMP analysis: red for the deep ocean ($\sigma_0 \geq 27.76 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$), orange for the intermediate ocean ($27.61 \leq \sigma_0 < 27.76 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$) and surface ocean ($\sigma_0 < 27.61 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$), east of Reykjanes Ridge (dark blue) and west of Reykjanes Ridge region (cyan).




Figure 3. Vertical cross section through the Irminger Sea showing interpolated salinity based on the 1991 cruise data (06MT19910902). The approximate location of the main water masses of the eOMP analysis, [explicitly](#) shown in Appendix B, is illustrated: Subarctic Intermediate Water (SAIW), Intermediate Water (IW), classical and upper Labrador Sea Water (cLSW and uLSW), Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW), upper Northeast Atlantic Deep Water (uNEADW), Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW), Icelandic Slope Water (IcSW), Irminger Sea Water (ISW), Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW), North Atlantic Central Water (NACW). The longitudinal boundaries for the inventory estimates at 40.5° W and 31.5° W are shown (black lines).




Figure 4. Residuals of the eOMP analysis for all Irminger Sea cruise-data from 1991-2015 for the a) total residual as the squared largest singular value for the set of residuals (García-Ibáñez et al., 2015), and the residual of mass conservation in %, b) residuals of potential temperature and salinity, c) residuals of phosphate and nitrate and d) residuals of oxygen and silicate with respect to pressure.




Figure 5. Vertical cross sections through the Irminger Sea showing interpolated cruise station data of a) DIC b) DIC_{nat} and c) C_{ant} concentration in 1991 (first row), 1997 (second row), 2007 (third row) and 2015 (last row). The white contour lines illustrate selected concentration levels. All panels have a the same span of values of $40 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$.




Figure 6. Total inventory for a) DIC, b) DIC_{nat} and c) C_{ant} for Irminger Sea cruise-data from 1991-2015. The rates of change between 1991 and 2015 are given, including the R-squared value of the linear regression model. **The significance** Significance on the 99 % level (***) is indicated. Values of the two cruises in 1997 were averaged and are shown as one data point.




Figure 7. Layer thickness for IW, uLSW, cLSW, DSOW, ISOW and the sum over the upper ocean waters (UW) based on Irminger Sea cruise-data from 1991-2015. The mean depth between 40.5° W and 31.5° W is 2650 m. The error bars show the uncertainty based on a Monte Carlo simulation scaled to width and depth of the Irminger Sea. The rates of thickness change between 1991 and 2015 are given for all SWTs, including the R-squared value of the linear regression model. The significance on the 90 % level (*) or the 99 % level (***) is indicated. Values of the two cruises in 1997 were averaged and are shown as one data point. All markers are slightly offset in time for clarity.




Figure 8. Archetypal concentration for IW, uLSW, cLSW, DSOW, ISOW and the sum over the upper ocean waters (UW) in the Irminger Sea from 1991 to 2015 for a) DIC, b) DIC_{nat} and c) C_{ant}. The error bars represent σ . The storage rates between 1991 and 2015 are given for all SWTs, including the R-squared value of the linear regression model. The significance on the 90% level (*) or the 99% level (***) is indicated. Values of the two cruises in 1997 were averaged and are shown as one data point. All markers are slightly offset in time for clarity.




Figure 9. Decomposition of the total storage rates (red bars) into the concentration-driven storage rate (blue bars) and the layer thickness-driven storage rate rate (cyan bars) for DIC, C_{ant_ant} and DIC_{nat_nat} from a) 1991-2015, b) 1991-1997, c) 2000-2007 and d) 2008-2015. The error bars represent the error of the linear regression model.




Figure 10. Decomposition of the total storage rates (red bars) into the concentration-driven storage rate (blue bars) and the layer thickness-driven storage rate (cyan bars) for DIC, DIC_{abio}, O₂ and AOU from a) 1991-2015, b) 1991-1997, c) 2000-2007 and d) 2008-2015. The error bars represent the error of the linear regression model.




Figure A 1. Difference between measured and calculated alkalinity for Irmingier Sea cruise data. The dark grey dots use the salinity-alkalinity relationship following Lee et al. (2006) and the light grey dots use the relationship following Nondal et al. (2009). The solid lines represent the mean difference and dotted lines \pm one standard deviation.




Figure B 1. Vertical cross section of fraction of water masses (IW, cLSW, uLSW, DSOW, ISOW and UW) in a) 1991 and b) 2015. Ratios below 0.1 are set to zero for clarity.

Table 1. Irminger Sea cruise information. The measured variables of the seawater CO₂ chemistry are indicated.

Alias	Expocode	Month/Year	Ship	Data	Reference
AR07E	64TR19910408	04-05/1991	Tyro	DIC	Stoll et al. (1996)
A01E	06MT19910902	09/1991	Meteor	DIC, A _T _T	Meincke and Becker (1993)
A01	06MT19941115	11-12/1994	Meteor	DIC	Thomas and Ittekkot (2001)
FOUREX	316N19970530	05-07/1997	Knorr	DIC, A _T _T , pH	Johnson et al. (2003)
AR07W	06MT19970707	07-08/1997	Meteor	DIC, A _T _T	Körtzinger et al. (1999)
AR7E	64PE20000926	09-10/2000	Pelagia	DIC	Yashayaev et al. (2007)
OVIDE 2002	35TH20020611	06-07/2002	Thalassa	A _T _T , pH	Lherminier et al. (2010)
OVIDE 2004	35TH20040604	06-07/2004	Thalassa	A _T _T , pH	Lherminier et al. (2010)
AR07E	64PE20050907	09-10/2005	Pelagia	DIC, A _T _T	van Heuven et al. (2012)
OVIDE 2006	06MM20060523	05-06/2006	Maria S. Merian	A _T _T , pH	Pérez et al. (2008)
AR07E	64PE20070830	09/2007	Pelagia	DIC, A _T _T	van Heuven et al. (2013)
OVIDE 2008	35TH20080610	06-07/2008	Thalassa	A _T _T , pH	Mercier et al. (2015)
OVIDE 2010	35TH20100610	06/2010	Thalassa	A _T _T , pH	Mercier et al. (2015)
OVIDE 2012	29AH20120623	06-07/2012	Sarmiento de Gamboa	A _T _T , pH	García-Ibáñez et al. (2016)
SNACS	58GS20150410	04/2015	G.O. Sars	DIC, A _T _T	Fröb et al. (2016)

Table 2. Source water type (SWT) parameters and their standard deviation used for the eOMP analysis for Subarctic Intermediate Water (SAIW), Intermediate Water (IW), classical and upper Labrador Sea Water (cLSW and uLSW), Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW), upper Northeast Atlantic Deep Water (uNEADW), Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW), Icelandic Slope Water (IcSW), Irminger Sea Water (ISW), Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW) and North Atlantic Central Water (NACW). Definitions for ~~Mediterranean~~ Mediterranean Water (MW) and lower Northeast Atlantic Deep Water (INEADW) (*) from García-Ibáñez et al. (2015) are used only for the composite analysis but not in the eOMP. The weights for the equations are given. The mass weight is 150. The mean parameter residual is given (error). The last column gives an uncertainty estimate (per one) for the SWT contribution based on a Monte Carlo simulation.

SWT	θ °C	S	O ₂ $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$	PO ₄ $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$	NO ₃ $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$	SiO ₂ $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$	PV $10^{-8} \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	Uncertainty
IW	5.85± 0.25	35.05 ± 0.01	251.3± 1.7	1.17 ± 0.03	16.82 ± 0.56	9.87 ± 0.27	0.0547 ± 0.0184	0.030
SAIW	7.25± 0.07	34.80 ± 0.04	283.5± 6.1	0.86 ± 0.07	13.21 ± 1.53	6.01 ± 1.20	0.1100 ± 0.0158	0.005
uLSW	3.57± 0.12	34.89 ± 0.07	296.0± 9.3	1.06 ± 0.02	16.07 ± 0.35	9.68 ± 0.47	0.0009 ± 0.0169	0.034
cLSW	2.96± 0.01	34.85 ± 0.01	300.3± 1.0	1.07 ± 0.02	16.21 ± 0.23	9.74 ± 0.13	0.0003 ± 0.0186	0.034
DSOW	1.11± 0.16	34.88 ± 0.01	303.6± 1.0	0.95 ± 0.02	14.16 ± 0.35	9.23 ± 0.30	0.0479 ± 0.0184	0.003
uNEADW	2.42± 0.05	34.94 ± 0.01	254.3± 1.6	1.35 ± 0.04	19.88 ± 0.34	32.82 ± 2.46	0.0364 ± 0.0158	3.6*10 ⁻⁶
ISOW	2.50± 0.05	34.98 ± 0.01	277.5± 0.7	1.11 ± 0.03	16.04 ± 0.14	13.38 ± 0.51	0.0264 ± 0.0173	0.010
IcSW	4.13± 0.12	34.97 ± 0.01	267.0± 3.4	1.12 ± 0.01	17.87 ± 0.20	10.59 ± 0.30	0.0309 ± 0.0184	0.038
ISW	4.68± 0.30	34.86 ± 0.02	290.2± 1.0	1.07 ± 0.02	16.51 ± 0.90	8.35 ± 0.85	0.0364 ± 0.0158	0.017
SPMW	7.28± 0.25	35.14 ± 0.02	250.0± 0.2	0.98 ± 0.06	15.03 ± 1.00	7.44 ± 0.11	0.0479 ± 0.0096	0.016
NACW	9.74± 0.13	35.26 ± 0.02	245.7± 5.6	0.89 ± 0.01	13.47 ± 0.17	5.76 ± 0.42	0.0547 ± 0.0158	0.006
MW*	11.70	36.50	210	0.7	10.9	4.88	-	-
INEADW*	1.98	34.90	252	1.5	22.6	48	-	-
Weight	25	15	8	2	2	1	3	
Error	0.006	0.015	0.881	0.031	0.421	0.927	0.001	

Table 3. Mean layer thickness and concentration of DIC, DIC_{nat_nat} and C_{ant_ant} in IW, uLSW, cLSW, DSOW, ISOW and UW in the time periods from 1991-2015, 1991-1997, 2000-2007 and 2008-2015.

Period	Variable	IW	uLSW	cLSW	DSOW	ISOW	UW
1991-2015	Thickness (m)	301	338	860	170	474	411
	DIC ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	2158	2157	2157	2157	2155	2152
	DIC_{nat_nat} ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	2125	2121	2130	2136	2136	2112
	C_{ant_ant} ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	33	36	27	20	22	40
1991-1997	Thickness (m)	244	163	1197	186	572	196
	DIC ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	2149	2151	2151	2149	2152	2144
	DIC_{nat_nat} ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	2121	2123	2127	2135	2136	2113
	C_{ant_ant} ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	28	29	24	14	17	31
2000-2007	Thickness (m)	306	211	858	182	450	551
	DIC ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	2161	2159	2158	2156	2158	2153
	DIC_{nat_nat} ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	2129	2123	2131	2135	2136	2112
	C_{ant_ant} ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	32	36	27	20	22	41
2008-2015	Thickness (m)	361	705	527	136	413	416
	DIC ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	2162	2161	2161	2161	2161	2158
	DIC_{nat_nat} ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	2121	2117	2131	2138	2136	2112
	C_{ant_ant} ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)	41	44	30	24	25	46