

Table S1. Changes in livestock(million heads) in Qinghai province during the period from 1949 (data from Zhang (2011)) to 2015 (data from QPBS (2015)).

Livestock	1949	1978	1980	1985	1990	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Yaks		4.97	4.87	5.16	5.39	5.51	5.09	5.24	5.01	4.43	4.22	3.94	3.78	3.91	4.01
Sheep and goats		16.45	16.13	13.28	16.08	16.48	16.35	16.77	16.66	15.70	16.01	16.39	16.40	16.42	16.76
Other large Animals		0.71	0.67	0.74	0.74	0.72	0.66	0.63	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.57	0.53	0.51	0.54
Total livestock	7.49	22.13	21.67	19.18	22.21	22.71	22.10	22.65	22.25	20.75	20.83	20.91	20.71	20.83	21.31
Livestock	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
Yaks	4.11	4.06	4.04	4.06	4.50	4.47	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.42	4.74	4.52	4.44	4.80	
Sheep and goats	17.33	17.62	17.64	17.66	15.02	14.97	14.97	14.98	15.05	14.98	15.12	14.42	14.21	14.31	
Other large Animals	0.52	0.50	0.49	0.47	0.36	0.41	0.39	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.32	
Total livestock	21.95	22.18	22.17	22.19	19.88	19.85	19.81	19.78	19.77	19.76	20.21	19.27	18.97	19.42	

Table S2. Livestock grazing intensity in each county in the study area.

County	Grazing Intensity		County	Grazing Intensity	
	Yak/Cattle (heads ha ⁻¹)	Sheep and Goats (heads ha ⁻¹)		Yak/Cattle (heads ha ⁻¹)	Sheep and Goats (heads ha ⁻¹)
Tianjun	0.04	0.32	Banma	0.29	0.32
Dulan	0.02	0.16	Maqin	0.24	0.27
Wulan	0.04	0.40	Guinan	0.24	1.39
Delinha	0.01	0.11	Xinghai	0.18	1.03
Geermu	0.01	0.05	Guide	0.18	1.03
Xisanzhen	0.03	0.23	Tongde	0.36	2.10
Qumalai	0.04	0.09	Gonghe	0.16	0.94
Nangqian	0.18	0.38	Henan	0.38	0.92
Zhiduo	0.02	0.05	Zeku	0.40	0.98
Chengduo	0.13	0.29	Jianzha	0.61	1.48
Zaduo	0.04	0.10	Tongren	0.29	0.69
Yushu	0.15	0.32	Gangcha	0.25	1.28
Maduo	0.05	0.06	Haiyan	0.24	1.20
Jiuzhi	0.20	0.22	Qilian	0.19	0.95
Dari	0.16	0.18	Menyuan	0.32	1.63
Gande	0.31	0.34			

The number of horses has decreased each year and at the end of 2014, the number of horses only accounted for 1.7% of the total number of grazing livestock. Therefore, we combined the data for horses and cattle to calculate the grazing intensity. The baseline grazing intensity was based on the grazing data from 2005, which was the highest in recent decades, and the grassland monitoring project also started in that year.

Table S3. Livestock grazing parameters employed in this study.

Parameters	Yak/Cattle	Sheep	Horse
Daily C intake (kg C head⁻¹)	2.48	0.50	4.01
Milk C fraction (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Meat C fraction (%)	0.05	0.04	0.05
Urine C fraction (%)	0.02	0.06	0.02
Dung C fraction (%)	0.44	0.42	0.44
Enteric CH₄ C fraction (%)	0.02	0.03	0.02
Respiration C fraction (%)	0.46	0.45	0.46
Milk N fraction (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Meat N fraction (%)	0.30	0.30	0.30
Urine N fraction (%)	0.35	0.49	0.35
Dung N fraction (%)	0.35	0.21	0.35

Table s4. Input values for the main grassland type parameters used in the DNDC model.

Parameters	Mountain meadow subclass	Alpine steppe	Lowland saline meadow subtype	Alpine meadow subtype	Alpine swamp meadow subtype	Alpine desert	Desert soil subclass
Maximum biomass production (kg C ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹) ^a	1157	798	879	2786	3586	1441	672
Grain fraction of biomass ^b	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01
Leaf and stem fraction of biomass ^b	0.40	0.40	0.42	0.60	0.40	0.11	0.28
Root fraction of biomass ^b	0.56	0.57	0.55	0.38	0.58	0.88	0.71
C/N ratio of grain ^c	34	31	31	34	33	26	25
C/N ratio of leaf and stem ^c	33	30	30	32	31	22	24
C/N ratio of root ^c	40	48	22	59	58	25	19
Water requirement ^c (kg water kg ⁻¹ dry matter)	200	200	200	200	200	200	200
Max height (m) ^a	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	1
TDD ^d	1000	1500	1000	1500	1500	1000	1000
N fixation index ^d	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5

^aParameters derived from field observations; ^bparameters derived from (ji et al., 1995); ^cparameters derived from (cai et al., 2007; li et al., 2016; lin et al., 2014); ^dparameters derived from the default values in the DNDC model.

References

- cai, L. M., yan, L. H., wei, S. D., and xing, L. L.: Water Use Efficiency and Nitrogen Use Efficiency of Alpine Plants Grown in the East of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, *Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica*, 2007. 1216-1224, 2007.
- ji, W. Q., min, Z. X., qing, Z. Y., and xi, S. Z.: Community structure and biomass dynamic of the *Kobresia pygmaea* steep meadow, *Acta Phytocologica Sinica*, 19,, 225-

235, 1995.

li, Z. L., hua, S. M., feng, Y. T., and hai, Y. F.: Feeding preference of *Gynaephora menyuanensis* and its relationships with plant carbon and nitrogen contents in an alpine meadow on the Tibetan plateau, *ACTA ECOLOGICA SINICA*, 2016. 2319-2326, 2016.

lin, W. J., ming, Z. Z., hong, W. Z., xiong, C. B., qun, Y. C., xiang, H. X., xi, S. Z., Dacizhuoga, and zhou, Z. X.: Soil C/N distribution characteristics of alpine steppe ecosystem in Qinhai-Tibetan Plateau, *ACTA ECOLOGICA SINICA*, 2014. 6678-6691, 2014.

QPBS: 'Qinghai Statistical Yearbook 2015.'(China Statistics Press:Beijing), 2015. 2015.

Zhang, B.: On the Livestock Development of Qinghai Province during the Time of Republic of China (1912—1949) , *Ancient and Modern Agriculture*, 2011. 91-100, 2011.




Fig. S1. The flowchart of model data preparation and simulation. The vector and raster inside the brackets indicate the input data format, and the intersection and zonal statistic inside the rhombus indicate the ArcGIS algorithm applied to process the data.




Fig. S2. Spatial distributions of precipitation and changes in temperature under the RCP4.5 (a, c) and RCP 8.5 (b, d) climate change scenarios up to 2044.