

Interactive comment on “Leaf wax n -alkanes in modern plants and topsoils from eastern Georgia (Caucasus) – implications for reconstructing regional paleovegetation” by Marcel Bliedtner et al.

J. Holtvoeth

j.holtvoeth@bristol.ac.uk

Received and published: 25 July 2017

I would like to refer the authors to our manuscript (Holtvoeth et al., Biogeosciences, 13, 795-816, 2016) wherein we report the distributions of n -alkyl compounds from leaf litter and soils of the Ohrid Basin (Western Balkans). Similar to the authors we observe a bimodal n -alkane distribution in the topsoil from a beech forest, with the grass-derived C31 n -alkane dominating over the beech litter-derived C27 n -alkane.

Apart from a change in vegetation cover, another explanation for this observation would

C1

be that n -alkanes from grasses overproportionally enter the soil lipid pool. In our study, the grasses contained about 4-times the amount of n -alkanes (32 vs. 142 microgram/gram dry weight). Furthermore, the autumnal grass biomass is less mobile than leaf litter and remains in-situ. Thus, the proportion of n -alkanes from the grassy undergrowth contributing the soil lipids is almost certainly higher than that from leaf litter. The amount of grass growing even underneath a closed deciduous canopy can vary greatly depending on a range of local factors such as substrate quality, soil moisture, slope and direction of slope etc., which may complicate the interpretation of n -alkane distributions in environmental archives. Generally, though, I agree with the authors that n -alkane distributions can provide useful paleoenvironmental proxies if calibrated locally, and I strongly support the approach to investigate the modern end-member lipid sources and pools, i.e. plant matter and soils, for such calibration.

With best wishes,

Jens Holtvoeth

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-277, 2017.

C2