Comments to the report of reviewer 1 and additional modifications to the manuscript.

We thank the reviewer for the additional comments. The reviewer raises several concerns regarding our manuscript to which we would like to respond below.

1. Although the authors go to great length rebutting the comments of both reviewers, for most instances their reasoning is not reflected in the revised manuscript (in particular regarding the detailed technical explanations in the second report of reviewer 2). Although the manuscript would be acceptable for publication after minor revisions, I am taken aback by the authors’ reluctance to make meaningful changes to the text after three revisions. The review process should provide an opportunity for the authors to make their reasoning more accessible to the readers instead of trying to just brush off criticism. We want to understand your research and help make it more impactful. It is sad that the authors did not grasp this opportunity.

We are sorry that the reviewer feels that we missed the chance to improve our manuscript during the past revisions. We really appreciate the detailed comments by both reviewers during this lengthy process, but we also feel that we also addressed these comments with great detail and modified our manuscript accordingly. The reviewer also recommended to re-analyze the samples, which as discussed before is not feasible due to the decay of the compounds in the already extracted samples. However, in our last reply to the reviewer’s comments we included new data comparing the different analytical methods by using other freshly extracted samples. This addition contributes to the clarity of the manuscript and addresses the reviewer’s concerns. Also, we have added many caveats and statements to our manuscript regarding our analytical approach, such as the lack of quantitation and the underestimation of the MH-GDGTS. Furthermore, we have addressed all line by line comments and made modifications as recommended. We believe this demonstrates our willingness to consider the reviewer’s comments. However, it is clear we have differing scientific views on the topic of this paper and we retain the right to express our views.

Line comments:

2. Line 347-357: I would suggest adding the recent paper by Yu et al. (2018, PNAS) to this discussion, which shows putative growth of group 8 MCG archaea on lignin and incorporation of bicarbonate into GDGTs.

We have added the recent paper by Yu et al. (2018). It is a very interesting study, which sheds some light on the factors that drive (or do not drive) Bathyarchaeota in marine sediments. However lignin is not present in substantial concentration in our studied subsurface samples (cf. Cowie et al., 1999). Therefore, it does not explain the relative abundances of MCG-8 (Bathy-8) in our archaeal compositions. We also added the reference of Cowie et al. (1999) to our manuscript.

3. Line 393: Please be precise on the details here and rephrase. Logemann et al. did not study GDGT degradation. It would be useful to discuss Xie et al. (2013, PNAS), who also studied archaeal glycolipids degradation. It is also not clear how the Logemann experiment would be relevant to the discussion of relative degradation rates of phospho- and glyco-GDGTS (or glyco vs. phosphor ether lipids), as they did not study this process.
We have modified the text accordingly. We have added the proposed reference.

4. Line 410-411: Please rephrase. After the last revision, it is no longer clear what “this discrepancy” refers to.

We rephrased this sentence in the manuscript.

5. Line 414-417: Following our discussion in the review and rebuttal, rephrase “GDGT biosynthetic pathway” to “archaeal lipid biosynthetic pathway”, since only this statement is reasonably supported by the references. Alternatively, re-arrange the references to match each statement regarding lack of “archaeal lipid biosynthetic pathway (Jahn et al., 2004; Podar et al., 2013; Waters et al., 2003)” vs. “putatively lack the GDGT biosynthetic pathway (Villanueva et al., 2017)”

We rephrased the sentence. Villanueva et al. (2017) also refers, as the other references, to the archaeal biosynthetic pathway.
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**Abstract.** Benthic Archaea comprise a significant part of the total prokaryotic biomass in marine sediments. Recent genomic surveys suggest they are largely involved in anaerobic processing of organic matter but the distribution and abundance of these archaeal groups is still largely unknown. Archaeal membrane lipids composed of isoprenoid diethers or tetraethers (glycerol dibiphytanyl glycerol tetraether, GDGT) are often used as archaeal biomarkers. Here, we compare the archaeal diversity and intact polar lipid (IPL) composition in both surface (0–0.5 cm) and subsurface (10–12 cm) sediments recovered within, just below, and well below the oxygen minimum zone (OMZ) of the Arabian Sea. Archaeal 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing revealed a predominance of Thaumarchaeota (Marine Group I, MG-I) in oxygenated sediments. Quantification of archaeal 16S rRNA and ammonia monoxygenase (amoA) of Thaumarchaeota genes and their transcripts indicated the presence of an active *in situ* benthic population, which coincided with a high relative abundance of hexose phosphohexose crenarchaeol, a specific biomarker for living Thaumarchaeota. On the other hand, anoxic surface sediments within the OMZ and all subsurface sediments were dominated by archaea belonging to the Miscellaneous Crenarchaeota Group (MCG), the Thermoplasmatales and archaea of the DPANN superphylum. Members of the MCG were diverse with a dominance of subgroup MCG-12 in anoxic surface sediments. This coincided with a high relative abundance of IPL GDGT-0 with an unknown polar head group. Subsurface anoxic sediments were characterized by higher relative abundance of GDGT-0, 2 and 3 with dihexose IPL-types, as well as GDGT-0 with a cyclopentanetetraol molecule and a hexose, as well as the presence of specific MCG subgroups, suggesting that these groups could be the biological sources of these archaeal lipids.
INTRODUCTION

Archaea are ubiquitous microorganisms in the marine system (DeLong et al., 1994; Delong and Pace, 2001; Schleper et al., 2005). They occur in diverse environments, e.g. hydrothermal vents (Stetter et al., 1990), the marine water column (Karner et al., 2001; Massana et al., 2004), in the underlying sediments (Lloyd et al., 2013; Teske and Sørensen, 2008), and well below the seafloor (Biddle et al., 2006; Lipp et al., 2008), where they are considered key players in diverse biogeochemical processes (Offre et al., 2013, and references cited therein). Specifically marine sediments have been shown to contain a highly diverse archaeal community (Lloyd et al., 2013; Spang et al., 2017; Teske, 2013; Teske and Sørensen, 2008). The ammonia-oxidizing Thaumarchaeota of the marine group I.1a (further referred to as MG-I) is probably the most widely studied archaeal group in marine sediments. However, in comparison with studies of marine pelagic Thaumarchaeota, the diversity and distribution of benthic Thaumarchaeota is still not well established (e.g. Durbin & Teske, 2010; Jorgenson et al., 2012; Learman et al., 2016). Genomic studies have revealed the existence of uncultured archaeal groups other than Thaumarchaeota in marine, predominantly anoxic, sediments such as the Miscellaneous Crenarchaeota Group (MCG; Meng et al., 2014), archaea of the DPANN superphylum (composed of Micrarchaeota, Diapherotrites, Aenigmarchaeota, Nanohaloarchaeota, Parvarchaeota, Nanoarchaeota, Pacearchaeota and Woesearchaeota; Castelle et al., 2015; Rinke et al., 2013) and the Marine Benthic Group (MBG) B (Teske & Sørensen, 2008), and D (Lloyd et al., 2013). In the case of the archaea belonging to the groups of the MCG and MBG-D, metagenomic studies suggest that they are able to degrade extracellular proteins and aromatic compounds (Lloyd et al., 2013; Meng et al., 2014).

Archaeal diversity is currently determined through nucleic acid-based methods but the characterization of other cellular biomarkers such as membrane lipids has proven to be also effective in tracking the presence of archaeal groups in different ecosystems (e.g. Coolen et al., 2004a; Ingalls et al., 2012; Meador et al., 2015; Pitcher et al., 2011b; Sturt et al., 2004). One of the advantages of using lipid-based methods to determine the presence of archaeal groups is that lipids can be preserved in the sedimentary record. Therefore, they can also be used as biomarkers of the presence and metabolic potential of these microorganisms in past environments. On the contrary, other biomolecules like DNA have a more rapid turnover and they cannot be used for this purpose. In recent years, intact polar lipids (IPLs) have increasingly been applied for tracing ‘living’ bacteria and archaea in the environment (Lipp et al., 2008; Lipp and Hinrichs, 2009; Rossel et al., 2008). IPLs with polar head groups are present in living cells but upon cell lysis the polar head groups are lost, releasing core lipids (CLs) that may be preserved in the fossil record. Since IPLs degrade relatively quickly after cell death (Harvey et al., 1986), it is possible to associate the presence of IPLs in the environment with the occurrence of their living producers (Lipp and Hinrichs, 2009; Schubotz et al., 2009).

Archaeal membrane lipids are typically a variation of two main structures, sn-2,3-diphytanylglycerol diether (archaeol) with phytanyl (C_{20}) chains in a bilayer structure, and sn-2,3-dibiphytanyldiglycerol tetraether (glycerol dibiphytanyl glycerol tetraether, GDGT), in which the two glycerol moieties are connected by two C_{40} isoprenoid chains, allowing...
the formation of a monolayer membrane (Koga and Morii, 2007). GDGTs containing 0–4 cyclopentane moieties (Fig. S1) are usually not exclusive to a specific archaeal group (Schouten et al., 2013) with the exception of the GDGT crenarchaeol, containing 4 cyclopentane and one cyclohexane moiety, which is deemed to be exclusive to the Thaumarchaeota phylum (De La Torre et al., 2008; Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2002, 2012). Recently, Lincoln et al. (2014) proposed the Marine Group II as potential producers of crenarchaeol. However, this is still debated (Lincoln et al., 2014b; Schouten et al., 2014). The newly described archaeal groups detected by genetic methods are yet uncultured, therefore, their membrane lipid composition remains unknown.

In this study, we determined the archaeal diversity in a marine benthic system along a strong gradient in bottom water oxygen concentrations and compared it with the diversity of archaeal lipids. We aimed to characterize changes in the archaeal benthic community under different physicochemical conditions, as well as to provide clues on the potential archaeal lipid biomarkers produced by uncultured benthic archaea. We analyzed sediments (surface 0–0.5 cm, and subsurface 10–12 cm) of the Murray ridge in the Arabian Sea, which is impinged by one of the strongest present-day oxygen minimum zones (OMZ). Previous studies observed changes in the diversity of archaeal lipids in the same environmental setting in sediments under different oxygen and nutrient concentrations (Lengger et al., 2012; 2014). In our study, we expand the repertoire of archaeal lipid diversity previously detected by Lengger et al. (2012; 2014) by analyzing these sediments with High Resolution Accurate Mass/Mass spectrometry (UHPLC-HRAM MS). In addition, we determined the archaeal diversity by means of 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, as well as the abundance and potential activity of specific archaeal groups by quantitative PCR (QPCR) of 16S rRNA and the metabolic gene coding for the ammonia monoxygenase (amoA gene) of Thaumarchaeota.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Sampling

Sediments were collected in the Northern Arabian Sea during the PASOM cruise in January 2009 with R/V Pelagia. Sediment cores obtained with a multicorer were taken on the Murray ridge at four depths, 885 m below sea level (mbsl) (within the OMZ), at 1306 mbsl (just below the OMZ), at 2470 mbsl and 3003 mbsl (both well below the OMZ) as previously described by Lengger et al. (2012). Upon retrieval the cores were sliced in 0.5 cm resolution for the first 2 cm and at 2 cm resolution beyond 10 cm below the surface, and stored at -80°C until further analysis. For an overview of the surface sediments physicochemical conditions see Table 1.

Lipid extraction and analysis

Total lipids were extracted from surface (upper 0–0.5 cm) and subsurface (10–12 cm) sediments after freeze-drying using a modified Bligh and Dyer method (Bligh and Dyer, 1959) as previously described by Lengger et al. (2014). C16-PAF (1-O-hexadecyl-2-acetoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) was added to the extracts as an internal standard and the
extracts were dried under a stream of nitrogen. The extracts with the added standard were then dissolved by adding solvent (hexane/isopropanol:H2O 718:271:10 [v/v/v/v]) and filtered through a 0.45 µm, 4 mm-diameter True Regenerated Cellulose syringe filter (Grace Davison, Columbia, MD, USA).

IPLs were analyzed according to Sturt et al. (2004) with some modifications. An Ultimate 3000 RS UHPLC, equipped with thermostated auto-injector and column oven, coupled to a Q Exactive Orbitrap MS with Ion Max source with heated electrospray ionization (HESI) probe (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), was used. Separation was achieved on a YMC-Triart Diol-HILIC column (250 x 2.0 mm, 1.9 µm particles, pore size 12 nm; YMC Co., Ltd, Kyoto, Japan) maintained at 30 °C. The following elution program was used with a flow rate of 0.2 mL min⁻¹: 100% A for 5 min, followed by a linear gradient to 66% A: 34% B in 20 min, maintained for 15 min, followed by a linear gradient to 40% A: 60% B in 15 min, followed by a linear gradient to 30% A: 70% B in 10 min, where A = hexane/2-propanol/formic acid/14.8 M NH₃aq (79:20:0.12:0.04 [v/v/v/v]) and B = 2-propanol/water/formic acid/14.8 M NH₃aq (88:10:0.12:0.04 [v/v/v/v]). Total run time was 70 min with a re-equilibration period of 20 min in between runs. HESI settings were as follows: sheath gas (N₂) pressure 35 (arbitrary units), auxiliary gas (N₂) pressure 10 (arbitrary units), auxiliary gas (N₂) T 50 °C, sweep gas (N₂) pressure 10 (arbitrary units), spray voltage 4.0 kV (positive ion ESI), capillary temperature 275 °C, S-Lens 70 V. IPLs were analyzed with a mass range of m/z 375 to 2000 (resolving power 70,000 at m/z 200), followed by data dependent MS² (resolving power 17,500 ppm at m/z 200), in which the ten most abundant masses in the mass spectrum (with the exclusion of isotope peaks) were fragmented (stepped normalized collision energy 15, 22.5, 30; isolation window 1.0 m/z). A dynamic exclusion window of 6 sec was used as well as an inclusion list with a mass tolerance of 3 ppm to target specific compounds (Table S1). The Q Exactive Orbitrap MS was calibrated within a mass accuracy range of 1 using the Thermo Scientific Pierce LTQ Velos ESI Positive Ion Calibration Solution (containing a mixture of caffeine, MRFA, Ultramark 1621, and N-butylamine in an acetonitrile-methanol-acetic acid solution).

Peak areas for each individual IPL were determined by integrating the combined mass chromatogram (within 3 ppm) of the monoisotopic and first isotope peak of all relevant adducts formed (protonated, ammoniated and/or sodiated adducts may be formed in different proportions depending on the type of IPL). PAF was used as internal standard to continuously monitor MS performance and to assess matrix effects. Reported peak areas have been corrected for these effects. Absolute quantification of IPL GDGTs was not possible due to a lack of standards. Peak areas were not corrected for any possible differences in response factors between the various classes of IPL-crenarchaeol. IPLs with the same headgroup but with the regioisomer of crenarchaeol instead of crenarchaeol as the CL co-elute on the chromatographic system used here and any peak area reported for a crenarchaeol IPL thus represents the sum of both isomers.

To rule out any degradation of the GDGT-IPLs during storage of the sediments at -20°C, the anoxic surface sediment sample at 885 mbsl was also analyzed according to the method previously used by Lengger et al. (2012). The IPL
fraction was separated from the CLs with the use of a silica column and elution with MeOH (Lengger et al., 2012). This IPL fraction was hydrolyzed for 3 h and analyzed by HPLC-APCI/MS (according to Hopmans et al., 2016) and the IPL derived CL-GDGT distribution was compared with previously published data.

Nucleic acids extraction, cDNA synthesis and quantitative PCR (QPCR) analyses

Sediment was centrifuged and the excess of water was removed by pipetting before proceeding with the extraction of nucleic acids from the sediment. DNA/RNA of surface (0–0.5 cm) and subsurface (10–12 cm) sediments was extracted with the RNA PowerSoil® Total Isolation Kit plus the DNA elution accessory (Mo Bio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA). Concentration of DNA and RNA were quantified by Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) and Fluorometric with Quant-iTM PicoGreen® dsDNA Assay Kit (Life technologies, Netherlands). RNA extracts were treated with DNase and reverse-transcribed to cDNA as described by Pitcher et al. (2011). Quantification of archaeal 16S rRNA gene copies and amoA gene copies were estimated by QPCR by using the following primers; Parch519F and ARC915R (archaeal 16S rRNA gene), CrenAmoAQ-F and CrenAmoAModR (amoA gene), as previously described (Pitcher et al., 2011). For details on the QPCR conditions, efficiency and $R^2$ of the QPCR assays see Table S2.

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, analysis, and phylogeny

PCR reactions were performed with the universal, Bacteria and Archaea, primers S-D-Arch-0159-a-S-15 and S-D-Bact-785-a-A-21 (Klindworth et al., 2013) as previously described in Moore et al. (2015). The archaeal 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences were analyzed by QIIME v1.9 (Caporaso et al., 2010). Raw sequences were demultiplexed and then quality-filtered with a minimum quality score of 25, length between 250–350, and allowing maximum two errors in the barcode sequence. Taxonomy was assigned based on blast and the SILVA database version 123 (Altschul et al., 1990; Quast et al., 2013). Representative operational taxonomic units (OTUs, clusters of reads with 97% similarity) of archaeal groups were extracted through filter_taxa_from_otu_table.py and filter_fasta.py with QIIME (Caporaso et al., 2010). The phylogenetic affiliation of the partial archaeal 16S rRNA gene sequences was compared to release 123 of the Silva NR SSU Ref database (http://www.arb-silva.de/; Quast et al., 2013) using the ARB software package (Ludwig et al., 2004). Sequences were added to the reference tree supplied by the Silva database using the ARB Parsimony tool. MCG intragroup phylogeny for representative sequences of OTUs affiliated to the MCG lineage was carried out in ARB (Ludwig et al., 2004). Sequences were added by parsimony to a previously-built phylogenetic tree composed of reference sequences of the 17 MCG subgroups known so far (Kubo et al., 2012). Affiliation of any 16S rRNA gene sequences to a given subgroup was done assuming a similarity cutoff of ≥85%.

Cloning, sequencing and phylogeny of the archaeal amoA gene

Amplification of the archaeal amoA gene was performed as described by Yakimov et al., (2011). PCR reaction mixture was the following (final concentration): Q-solution 1× (PCR additive, Qiagen); PCR buffer 1×; BSA (200 µg ml⁻¹); dNTPs (20 µM); primers (0.2 pmol µl⁻¹); MgCl₂ (1.5 mM); 1.25 U Taq polymerase (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). PCR
conditions for these amplifications were the following: 95°C, 5 min; 35 × [95°C, 1 min; 55°C, 1 min; 72°C, 1 min];
final extension 72°C, 5 min. PCR products were gel purified (QIAquick gel purification kit, Qiagen) and cloned in the
TOPO-TA cloning® kit from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA) and transformed in *E. coli* TOP10 cells following the
manufacturer’s recommendations. Recombinant clones plasmid DNAs were purified by Qiagen Miniprep kit and
screening by sequencing (n ≥ 30) using M13R primer by Macrogen Europe Inc. (Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

Obtained archaeal amoA protein sequences were aligned with already annotated amoA sequences by using the Muscle
application (Edgar, 2004). Phylogenetic trees were constructed with the Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou and Nei,
1987) and evolutionary distances computed using the Poisson correction method with a bootstrap test of 1,000
replicates.

**RESULTS**

In this study, we analyzed both IPLs and DNA/RNA extracts from sediments previously collected along the Arabian
Sea Murray Ridge within the OMZ (885 mbsl), just below the lower interface (1306 mbsl), and well below the OMZ
(2470 and 3003 mbsl). The surface sediment (0-0.5 cm) at 885 mbsl was fully anoxic, however, the surface sediments
below the OMZ were partly oxygenated (1306 mbsl), and fully oxygenated at 2470 and 3003 mbsl (Table 1). The
subsurface sediments (10-12 cm) were fully anoxic at all stations (Table 1). For more details on the physicochemical
conditions in these sediments see Table 1.

**Archaeal IPL-GDGTs in the surface and subsurface sediments**

A range of IPL-GDGTs (GDGT-0 to 4 and crenarchaeol) with the IPL-types monohexose (MH), dihexose (DH) and
hexose-phosphohexose (HPH) was detected in surface and subsurface sediments across the Arabian Sea OMZ (Table
2). For the DH GDGT-0 two structural isomers (type-I with two hexose moieties at both ends of the CL, and type-II
with one dihexose moiety; Table 2) were detected and identified based on their mass spectral characteristics (Fig. S2).
These isomers were previously also reported in thaumarchaeota cultures (Elling et al., 2014, 2017). In addition, the
GDGT-0 with both an ether-bound cyclopentanetetraol moiety and a hexose moiety as head groups was identified (Fig.
S2) in some sediments (Table 2). This IPL was previously reported as a glycerol dibiphytanyl nonitol tetraether
(GDNT; de Rosa et al. 1983) but was later shown to contain a 2-hydroxymethyl-1-(2,3-dihydroxypropoxy)-2,3,4,5-

In the surface sediment at 885 mbsl, crenarchaeol IPLs were dominant (44.7% of all detected IPL-GDGTs), occurring
predominantly with DH as IPL-type (with a hexose head group on both ends; 43.1%; Table 2). IPL-GDGT-2 was the
second most abundant (29.6%), also mainly consisting of the IPL-type DH (29.5%; Table 2). IPL-GDGT-0, -1, -3 and -
4 were occurring with relative abundances of 0.3%, 1.7%, 17.8% and 6.1%, respectively (Table 2). Overall, the
majority (98.1%; Table 3) of IPL-GDGTs in surface sediment at 885 mbsl with IPL-type DH (all with a hexose molecule on both ends of the CL).

The surface sediment at 1306 mbsl contained mostly IPL-GDGT-0 (37.6% of all detected IPL-GDGTs), almost entirely with the IPL-type HPH (36.6% of the total; Table 2). Slightly less abundant was the IPL-crenarchaeol (35.6%), with the IPL-types HPH (18.7%) and DH type-I (15.5%) in equal amounts and with a minor relative abundance with MH (1.4%). Overall, the IPL-GDGTs in surface sediment at 1306 mbsl mainly contained the IPL-types HPH (55.4%; Table 3) and DH (42.0%; Table 3).

Well below the OMZ, surface sediments from 2470 and 3003 mbsl were both dominated by IPL-GDGT-0 (71.9 and 80.8% of all detected IPL-GDGTs, respectively), predominantly with IPL-type HPH (Table 2; Fig. 1a). The IPL-crenarchaeol had a lower relative abundance (26.6 and 17.6%, respectively) and again was dominated by the member with IPL-type HPH (Table 2). The other IPL-GDGTs occurred in minor quantities (<1%). Overall, IPL-type HPH was, thus, by far the most abundant head group detected in surface sediments at 2470 and 3003 mbsl (97.7% and 97.4%, respectively), in contrast to the other two surface sediments studied (Table 3).

In all subsurface (10-12 cm) sediments (i.e. at 885, 1306, 2470 and 3003 mbsl) the most abundant IPL-GDGTs were DH-crenarchaeol (28.9±3.8%; Table 2) and DH-GDGT-2 (25.5±3.5%; Table 2). DH was also the most commonly observed IPL-type attached to GDGT-3 and GDGT-4 (Table 2). Overall the distributions of the IPL-GDGTs in all subsurface sediments were relatively similar (Fig. 1a) in comparison to the substantial changes observed at the surface (cf. Fig. 1a). Overall, the IPL-type DH was the predominant one detected in subsurface sediment with a relative abundance ranging from 68.8% at 3003 mbsl to 92.9% at 885 mbsl (Table 3). In contrast to all other sediments, in the subsurface sediments at 885 mbsl and 1306 mbsl, two different isomers (Fig. S2) of the DH-GDGT-0 were detected (Table 2). DH type-I (0.9% at 1306 mbsl) is also found in the other surface and subsurface sediments and in combination with other core GDGT structures, whereas the other isomer (DH type-II) only occurs (7.8% at 885 mbsl; 1.8% at 1306 mbsl; Table 2; Fig. S2b). In addition, these subsurface sediments also contain small amounts of GDGT-0 with cyclopentanetetraol and MH head groups (IPL-type HCP; 1.6% at 885 mbsl; 0.4% at 1306 mbsl; Table 2; Fig. S2c).

We also determined the IPL-derived CL-GDGTs in the 885 mbsl surface sediment following the method of Lengger et al. (2012), in order to exclude IPL degradation within the stored samples. The CL-GDGTs composition derived from freshly obtained IPL showed the same distribution (r= 0.99, p < 0.001) as reported previously (Lengger et al., 2012).  

Archaeal diversity in the surface and subsurface sediment

Different archael groups were detected in surface and subsurface sediment across the Arabian sea OMZ. The surface sediment at 885 mbsl, contained archael 16S rRNA gene sequences that were assigned to several archael groups (Fig. 1b). The most dominant group was MCG (Total 30.5%, 12.2% attributed to C3; also known as MCG-15, Kubo et al.,
219 2012). Another major group found was the DPANN Woesearchaeota Deep sea Hydrothermal Vent Group 6 (DHVEG- 220 6, 20.3%; Fig. 1b; Castelle et al., 2015). Marine Benthic Group (MBG) -B, -D and -E were also present with 12.2%, 221 7.7% and 6.9% of the archaeal 16S rRNA gene reads, respectively (Fig. 1b). Sequences affiliated to the Marine 222 Hydrothermal Vent Group (MHVG, 8.1%) of the phylum Euryarchaeota were also detected (Fig. 1b). Other groups, 223 with lower relative abundances, were Thermoplasmatales groups ANT06-05 (5.7%) and F2apm1A36 (3.3%) and the 224 DPANN Aenigmarchaeota (previously named Deep Sea Euryarchaeotic Group, DSEG; 1.6%; Fig. 1b).
225
Below the OMZ, in partly and fully oxygenated surface sediments at 1306, 2470 and 3003 mbsl (Table 1), the most 226 dominant archaeal group was Thaumarchaeota MG-I with relative abundances of 81.5%, 89.7% and 100%, respectively 227 (Fig. 1b). At 1306 mbsl other archaeal groups, such as MHVG (5.6%), Thermoplasmatales ASC21 (3.2%), DHVEG-6 228 (2.9%), MBG-B (2.4%) and MCG (1.3%) made up the rest of the archaeal community (Fig. 1b). At 2470 mbsl 229 DHVEG-6 (1.1%) was still detectable besides the MG-I (Fig. 1b).
230
In the subsurface sediments (10–12 cm), only the DNA extracted from the sediments at 885 and 1306 mbsl gave a 231 positive amplification signal. The archaeal composition of the subsurface (10–12 cm) sediments at 885 mbsl and 1306 232 mbsl was similar (Fig. 1b; Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.95), with most of the 16S rRNA gene reads classified 233 within the MCG (47.5% and 48.4%, respectively). Other archaeal groups, such as MBG-D (14.4% and 5.7%, 234 respectively), MBG-B (10.1% and 4.4%), the Woesearchaeota (7.8% and 10.4%), were also detected with comparable 235 relative abundances (Fig. 1b). Other archaeal groups such as Thaumarchaeota Terrestrial hot spring, the Euryarchaeota 236 MHVG, MBG-E and the Aenigmarchaeota were detected but at low (<10%) relative abundance (Fig. 1b). Only minor 237 amount of reads were classified as Thaumarchaeota MG-I (0.5% at 1306 mbsl) (Fig. 1b).
238
Considering the high relative abundance of the MCG detected in the surface sediment at 885 mbsl, as well as in the 239 subsurface (10–12 cm) sediments at 885 mbsl and 1306 mbsl (between 30.5-48.4% of total archaeal 16S rRNA gene 240 reads detected in those samples), we performed phylogenetic analyses to determine the diversity of subgroups of the 241 MCG within these sediments. A total of 57 representative 16S rRNA gene reads assigned to MCG were extracted from 242 the dataset and incorporated in a MCG phylogenetic tree of Fillol et al. (2015) (Fig. 2). The majority of MCG 16S 243 rRNA gene reads from the 885 mbsl surface sediment (77.3%; Table 4) clustered in subgroup 15. In the 885 mbsl 244 subsurface sediment, the majority of MCG reads clustered within subgroups 8 and 15 (33.6% and 19.6%, respectively; 245 Table 4). In the 1306 mbsl surface sediment there was only a low relative abundance of MCG (Fig. 1b); all MCG 246 archaea detected clustered in subgroup 15 (Table 4). On the other hand, in the 1306 mbsl subsurface sediment the reads 247 clustered in subgroups 15, 2 and 14 (34.3%, 10.9% and 10.9%, respectively; Fig. 2).
248
As the Thaumarchaeota MGI was dominant in oxygenated sediments at 1306, 2470 and 3003 mbsl (Fig. 1b), we further 249 analyzed the diversity of this group by performing a more detailed phylogeny of the recovered 16S rRNA gene reads 250 attributed to this group. Five OTUs dominated the Thaumarchaeota MGI (Table 5); we will refer to them as OTU-1 to -
5. OTU-1, 2, 3 and 5 were phylogenetically closely related to other known benthic Thaumarchaeota MGI species, such as ‘Ca. Nitrosoarchaeum koreensis MY1’ or environmental 16S rRNA gene sequences from marine sediments (Fig.3).

On the other hand, OTU-4 clustered with 16S rRNA gene sequences from pelagic Thaumarchaeota MGI species, like Ca. Nitrosopeilagicus brevis, and also clustered with 16S rRNA sequences recovered from seawater SPM (Fig. 3). OTU-3 was the most abundant OTU in the surface sediment at 1306, 2470, and 3003 mbsl with a relative abundance of 44-68% (Table 5). At 1306 mbsl OTU-4 was the second most abundant (35.1%). This OTU had a much lower relative abundance (1.6% and 0.0%) at 2470 and 3003 mbsl, respectively (Table 5). The relative abundance of OTU-2 increased with increasing sampling station depth (Table 5), OTU-1 and 5 had an abundance <5% in the surface sediments (Table 5).

The abundance of Thaumarchaeota MGI was further assessed by amplification, cloning and sequencing of the archaeal amoA gene. Most of the amoA gene sequences from surface (27 out of 29 clones) and subsurface sediment at 885 mbsl (9 out of 10 clones) and just one from the surface sediment from 1306 mbsl (1 out of 58 clones) were closely related with amoA gene sequences previously recovered from SPM at 1050 mbsl from this area of the Arabian Sea (Villanueva et al., 2014). Phylogenetically they fall within the ‘Water column B, subsurface water’ amoA clade as defined by Francis et al. (2005) (Fig. 4). At 1306 and 3003 mbsl (surface and subsurface) the majority of recovered amoA gene sequences clustered within the ‘shallow water/sediment’ clade (100 and 98.3%, respectively) and are closely related with amoA gene sequences from water column SPM at 170 mbsl (Villanueva et al., 2014) as well as amoA gene coding sequences previously detected in sediments (Villanueva et al., 2014; Fig. 4). Of all recovered amoA gene sequences from 885 mbsl only a small fraction (8.3%) clustered within the ‘shallow water/sediment’ clade (Fig. 4).

**Abundance and potential activity of archaea in surface and subsurface sediments**

The abundance of archaeal 16S rRNA gene copies in the surface sediments of different stations varied slightly: it was lowest at 1306 mbsl (9.8 × 10⁹ copies g⁻¹ sediment) and highest at 2470 mbsl (1.5 × 10¹¹; Fig. 5a). The potential activity, based on the 16S rRNA gene transcripts of the archaeal 16S rRNA gene, was the lowest at 2470 mbsl (5 × 10⁵ transcripts g⁻¹ sediment), while a higher potential activity was detected at 885, 1306 and 3003 mbsl (0.9-42 × 10⁷; Fig. 5a). The abundance of archaeal 16S rRNA gene copies in the subsurface sediment varied also within one and a half order of magnitude (1.1-54 × 10⁹; Fig. 5c), with a decrease with increasing water depth. The potential activity showed less variation within the subsurface sediments (1.2-22 × 10⁷ 16S rRNA gene transcripts g⁻¹ of sediment; Fig. 5c) than in the surface sediments.

The abundance of Thaumarchaeota was estimated by quantifying the archaeal amoA gene copies. The highest abundance of amoA gene copies in surface sediment was detected at 2470 mbsl (1.0 × 10⁹ copies g⁻¹ sediment), and the lowest at 885 mbsl (5 × 10⁸; Fig. 5b). AmoA gene transcripts in surface sediment were under the detection limit at 885 mbsl but were detected below the OMZ with 4 × 10⁷, 2.3 × 10⁸ and 8 × 10³ gene transcripts g⁻¹ of sediment at 1306, 2470 and 3003 mbsl, respectively (Fig. 5b). In subsurface sediments, the abundance of amoA gene copies was low at
885 and 1306 mbsl (5.4-19 × 10^2 gene transcripts g\(^{-1}\) sediment) and higher at 2470 and 3003 mbsl (4.1 × 10^3, 5.4 × 10^6; respectively; Fig. 5d). AmoA gene transcripts were not detected in the subsurface sediments (Fig. 5d).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we assessed the changes in benthic archaeal diversity and abundance in sediments of the Arabian Sea oxygen minimum zone along a gradient in bottom water oxygen concentrations. The steep Murray Ridge protrudes the OMZ, allowing the study of sediments deposited under varying bottom water oxygen concentrations. All these sediments receive organic matter (OM), the most important fuel for benthic prokaryotic activity in sediments. This OM is produced in a relatively small area of the ocean (i.e. the station within the OMZ, at 885 mbsl, and well below the OMZ, at 3003 mbsl, are only 110 km apart) and, therefore, is likely composed of the same primary photosynthate. However, due to differences in the degree of mineralization resulting from different exposure to oxic conditions in the water column, there were differences in OM quality. OM in the sediments within the OMZ has a higher biochemical “quality” based on amino acid composition and intact phytopigments compared to OM in the sediments below the OMZ (Koho et al., 2013). Therefore, changes in the quality and flux of OM received by the different sediment niches could also affect the archaeal community composition as several of the archaeal groups (i.e. MCG and MBG-D) reported here have been suggested to use OM as carbon source in anoxic conditions (Lloyd et al., 2013).

Effect of oxygen availability on archaeal diversity and abundance in the surface sediments

We detected large differences in archaeal diversity between the surface sediment deposited within the OMZ and those deposited below the OMZ. In contrast to the diverse anaerobic archaeal community in the surface of 885 mbsl, in surface sediments at 1306, 2470 and 3003 mbsl, Thaumarchaeota MG1 were dominant, representing 80-100% of the archaeal population (Fig. 1). This clear difference in the benthic archaeal population in the surface sediments can be attributed to the oxygen availability as Thaumarchaeota are known to require oxygen for their metabolism (i.e. nitrification; Könneke et al., 2005). In fact, the oxygen penetration depth (OPD) was observed to be 3, 10, and 19 mm in sediments at 1306, 2470, and 3003 mbsl, respectively, while in sediments at 885 mbsl, the OPD was barely 0.1 mm (Table S1; Kraal et al., 2012). The surface (0-5 mm) sediment at 1306 mbsl was not fully oxygenated (OPD of 3 mm), which probably explains the detection in relatively low abundance (ca. 20%) of the anaerobic archaea that thrive in the anoxic sediment from 885 mbsl. The low OPD at 1306 mbsl also explains the low amoA gene expression in comparison with the deeper surface sediments (Figs. 5b,d). Overall this indicates the presence of Thaumarchaeota with lower activity in the surface sediments at 1306 mbsl (Fig. 5). Within the Thaumarchaeota MG1 group, we also detected changes in the relative abundance of specific OTUs in the surface sediments at 1306, 2470 and 3003 mbsl (Table 5). For example, OTU-2 becomes progressively more abundant with increasing water depth, suggesting that this OTU is favored at the higher oxygen concentrations found in the surface sediment at 3003 mbsl. OTU-4 was closely affiliated
with ‘Ca. Nitrosopelagicus brevis’, a pelagic MG-I member, which indicates that this DNA is most likely derived from the overlying water column (Table 5), and thus should be considered to represent fossil DNA.

High amoA gene abundances were detected in the surface sediment at 2470 and 3003 mbsl, while values in the surface of 885 mbsl were approximately three orders of magnitude less. The lack of oxygen in the surface sediments at 885 mbsl and in the subsurface sediments, as well as undetectable amoA gene transcripts at those depths, suggest that in these cases the amoA gene DNA signal is fossil. It is well known that under anoxic conditions DNA of marine pelagic microbes may become preserved in sediments even for periods of thousands of years (Boere et al., 2011; Coolen et al., 2004b). The fossil origin of the Thaumarchaeotal amoA gene is supported by the phylogenetic affiliation of the amoA gene fragments amplified from the 885 mbsl surface sediment, as those sequences were closely related to amoA gene sequences detected in the suspended particulate matter in the subsurface water column (Villanueva et al., 2015), thus suggesting that the detected DNA originated from pelagic Thaumarchaeota present in the subsurface water column, as proposed for the presence of OTU-4 16S rRNA gene sequences in the surface sediment (see earlier).

There is a discrepancy between the 16S rRNA gene copy numbers and the amoA gene copy numbers within the sediments (Fig. 5). AmoA gene copies were consistently lower than the 16S rRNA gene copies, even within sediments that were completely dominated by Thaumarchaeota MG-I. This may be caused by the amoA gene primer mismatches and/or the disparity of gene copy numbers within the archaeal genomes (Park et al., 2008).

In the anoxic surface sediment at 885 mbsl (within the OMZ), we detected a highly diverse archaeal population composed of MCG, Thermoplasmatales, MBG-B, -D and -E, Woesearchaeota, and MHVG. Archaeal groups such as MCG and MBG-B and E have been previously described in anoxic marine sediments, where they have been suggested to be involved in anaerobic OM degradation (e.g. Biddle et al., 2006; Inagaki et al., 2003; Castelle et al., 2015).

Members of the DPANN Woesearchaeota were only present in the surface sediment at 885 mbsl but not in the subsurface anoxic sediments at 885 and 1306 mbsl, suggesting that their presence here is not solely dependent on the absence of oxygen but possibly also on the OM composition and availability in surface and subsurface sediments. Alternatively, the DPANN Woesearchaeota 16S rRNA gene signal could also originate from the water column and deposited in the surface sediment at 885 mbsl as fossil DNA as observed for the case of Thaumarchaeota as mentioned above.

Archaegal community composition in the anoxic subsurface sediments

The archaeal diversity in the subsurface sediment (10–12 cm) from both 885 and 1306 mbsl (i.e. dominated by MCG, MBG-B, -D and –E) is similar to that observed in the surface sediment at 885 mbsl. This supports that oxygen availability is an important factor for determining the diversification of archaeal groups (Fig. 1b). MCG, one of the dominant archaeal groups in these sediments, showed substantial differences in the distribution of its subgroups (Table 4). All subsurface sediments had a high intra-group diversity of MCG. This is in contrast with the surface sediment at 885 and 1306 mbsl where a high relative abundance of the subgroup MCG-15 is detected (Table 4). A recent study with
enrichments of estuarine sediments showed evidence that MCG-8 are capable of growth with lignin as an energy source (Yu et al., 2018). MCG-8 was the most or one of the most abundant MCG groups in our studied subsurface sediments (Table 4). Substantial lignin concentration were previously not detected in the Arabian Sea, which indicated a relatively invariant terrestrial OM contribution (Cowie et al., 1999). Therefor it remains uncertain which physicochemical factor(s) influence the MCG-8 subgroup in the studied subsurface sediments. A recent survey of the ecological niches and substrate preferences of the MCG in estuarine sediments based on genomic data pointed to MCG-6 archaea as degraders of complex extracellular carbohydrate polymers (plant-derived), while subgroups 1, 7, 15 and 17 have mainly the potential to degrade detrital proteins (Lazar et al., 2016). Lazar et al. (2016) also described the presence of aminopeptidases coded in the genome bin of MCG-15, suggesting that this subgroup could be specialized in degradation of extracellular peptides in comparison with the other MCG subgroups, which would be restricted to the use of amino acid and oligopeptides. Considering the dominance of the MCG-15 subgroup in the surface sediments analyzed in this study (Table 4), we hypothesize that the proteinaceous OM deposited in the surface sediment, which mainly originates from photosynthate, is still quite undegraded. This would favor the MCG-15 in this niche, fueling its metabolism by the degradation of peptides extracellularly, while in subsurface sediments, other MCG groups such as 2, 8 and 14 would be more favored.

The archaeal 16S rRNA gene abundance in the subsurface sediments progressively declined with increasing water depth, while the potential activity was similar. This can be due to the expected decrease in the flux of OM being delivered to these anoxic sediments layers attributed to higher degradation of OM in oxygenated bottom waters and the progressively larger oxic zone in the sediments (Lengger et al., 2012; Nierop et al., 2017). This results in lower organic carbon concentrations and a decreased biochemical quality of the OM (Koho et al., 2013; Nierop et al., 2017) to sustain the heterotrophic archaeal population inhabiting the anoxic subsurface sediments. Also the presence or lack of macrofauna in the analyzed sediments would have an effect on the OM composition, sediments within the OMZ are less prone to bioturbation which most likely resulted in higher OM preservation (Koho et al., 2013). Differences in the OM biochemical composition can influence the microbial community composition as was shown recently for North Sea sediments (Oni et al., 2015).

**Benthic archaea as potential sources for archaeal IPLs**

Archaeal lipids in surface and deeper sediments of the Murray Ridge (Lengger et al., 2012, 2014), as well as in the overlaying water column (Pitcher et al., 2011; Schouten et al., 2012), have been studied earlier. The study by Lengger et al. (2012) was limited to the determination of MH-, DH- and HPH-crenarchaeol with HPLC/ ESI-MS² using a specific selected reaction monitoring method (SRM; Pitcher et al., 2011). A follow-up study of Lengger et al. (2014) reported MH-, DH- and HPH-IPLs with multiple CL-GDGTs. In our study, we expanded the screening for IPLs carrying different polar head groups in combination with multiple CLs using high resolution accurate mass/mass spectrometry (see Table S1). By applying this method, we were able to target a broader range of IPLs in these sediments. This allows
a more direct comparison with the archaeal diversity detected by gene-based methods. Note that the study of Lengger et al. (2014) used a different sampling resolution (surface sediment used was 0–2 compared to our 0–0.5 cm) and our results can, therefore, not be directly compared.

The fully oxygenated surface sediments showed a dominance of GDGT-0 and crenarchaeol mostly with HPH as IPL-type (Table 2). This is the expected IPL-GDGT signature of Thaumarchaeota as previously observed in pure cultures (Elling et al., 2017; Pitcher et al., 2010; Schouten et al., 2008; Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2012). The predominance of the HPH IPL-type in surface (0–2 cm) sediments was previously interpreted as an indication of the presence of an active Thaumarchaeotal population synthesizing membrane lipids in situ (Lengger et al., 2012, 2014), taking into account the labile nature of sedimentary phospholipids (Harvey et al., 1986; Schouten et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2013). Elling et al. (2014) showed, in a Thaumarchaeota culture experiment, that a high HPH-crenarchaeal abundance was an indication of metabolically active Thaumarchaeota. The hypothesis by Lengger et al. (2012, 2014) is strongly supported by our data because (i) the archaeal community in the oxygenated surface (0–0.5 cm) sediments is dominated by Thaumarchaeota (Fig. 1) and (ii) the high abundance of thaumarchaeotal amoA gene copies and gene transcripts detected in the oxygenated surface sediments. On the other hand, in the anoxic surface sediment at 885 mbsl, crenarchaeol was predominantly present with DH as the predominant IPL-type (Table 2). This is considered to be a fossil signal of Thaumarchaeota deposited from the water column due to a higher preservation potential of glycolipid head groups (as present in DH) as previously suggested (Lengger et al., 2012, 2014). However, Logemann et al. (2011) showed in a 100-day degradation experiment that IPL-GDGTs (ether-bound lipids) were hardly degraded in anoxic sediments and, hence, the differences in degradation rates between phospholipid versus glycolipid GDGTs still need to be determined, especially on longer time scales that apply to sediments. Xie et al. (2013) showed a high preservation potential for glycosidic ether lipids in a 300-day incubation study. However, the differences in degradation rates between phospholipid versus glycolipid GDGTs still need to be determined, especially on longer time scales that apply to sediments. Nevertheless, the presence of amoA gene sequences in the 885 mbsl surface sediment, which are derived from the deeper water column, as well as the much lower amoA gene abundance and lack of amoA gene expression (Fig. 5b, d) supports the contention that the crenarchaeol IPLs in the surface sediment at 885 mbsl are predominantly fossil since evidence for active Thaumarchaeota is lacking.

The low relative abundance of GDGT-0 IPLs in the surface sediment at 885 mbsl (Table 2) is remarkable. Only MH-GDGT-0 was detected in low relative abundance (0.3 %), whereas any other of the IPL-types with GDGT-0 as CL that were screened for in our study (Table S2; Fig. 1b) was absent. In contrast, Lengger et al. (2014) reported a significant amount of IPL-derived CL-GDGT-0 (i.e. 18.5% of total CL GDGTs) when the head groups of the IPLs are released by acid hydrolysis and the remaining CLs were analyzed in a surface (0–0.5 cm) sediment from the same site. We re-analyzed the IPL-derived CL-GDGT composition in the surface (0–0.5 cm) sediment at 88 mbsl and recovered an
identical CL-GDGT distribution as reported by Lengger et al. (2014). The discrepancy between CL and IPL distribution may partly be explained by the underestimation of MH IPLs by our method. To assess the MH IPLs underestimation, two North Atlantic suspended particulate matter samples analyzed with the normal phase method (this study) and the reverse phase method (Wörmer et al., 2013). This underestimation of MH IPLs with the used normal phase method could be on average 10 fold compared to the reverse phase method (Fig. S4). However, the difference in response factor for the different IPL types is not sufficient to explain this discrepancy between IPL-derived CL-GDGT-0 and IPL-GDGT-0 relative abundances. Therefore, we speculate it is due to the presence of an IPL-type with unknown head groups not included in our analytical window. This unknown IPL GDGT-0 may originate from any of the archaeal groups present in the surface sediment at 885 mbsl, such as MCG, Thermoplasmales, MBG-B, MBG-E and Euryarchaeota MHVG. DPANN Woesearchaeota is also relatively abundant in the surface sediments at 885 mbsl (Fig. 1) but recent studies suggest that their small genomes lack the genes coding for the enzymes of the archaeal lipid GDGT biosynthetic pathway (Jahn et al., 2004; Podar et al., 2013; Villanueva et al., 2017; Waters et al., 2003). Therefore, they are not expected to contribute to the IPL-GDGT pool. Ruling out the Woesearchaeota as a possible source of IPL-GDGTs, the IPL GDGT-0 with unknown polar head group(s) in the surface sediment at 885 mbsl may be attributed to the MCG, which make up 30.5% of the archaeal 16S rRNA gene reads in this sediment. Most of these MCG archaea fall into subgroup MCG-15 (Table 4). Previous studies proposed butanetriol dibiphytanyl glycerol tetraethers (BDGTs) as putative biomarker of the MCG based on the correlation between the presence of these components and MCG in estuarine sediments (Meador et al., 2014). However, we did not detect any IPL BDGTs in the sediments analyzed in our study. Buckles et al. (2013) suggested that members of the MCG and Crenarchaeota group 1.2 could be the biological source of IPL GDGT-0 found in the anoxic hypolimnion of a tropical lake. Considering these evidences, it is possible that the unknown IPL GDGT-0 present in the surface sediment at 885 mbsl could be a biomarker for MCG.

In subsurface sediments, the IPL GDGT distribution was remarkably different from that detected in the surface oxygenated sediment as higher relative abundances of GDGT-1, 2, 3 and 4 were detected in detriment of GDGT-0, similar to the distribution detected in the surface sediment at 885 mbsl. This may represent new archaeal production in the anoxic sediments, selective preservation of archaeal lipids produced in the water column and surface sediments, or both. The HCP GDGT-0 and two isomers of the DH GDGT-0 (Fig. S2) were detected in the subsurface sediments at 885 and 1306 mbsl but not in those from deeper waters (Table 2). Since these IPLs were not detected in the surface sediments, it is likely that they are produced in situ. Unfortunately, we only obtained information on the archaeal community composition of the subsurface sediments at shallow water depth, so we cannot compare these with the subsurface sediments from deeper waters that lack these DH moieties, which could have provided a clue towards the archaeal source of these IPLs. An IPL composed of GDGT-0 with a cyclopentanetetraol head group has been previously detected in cultures of the hyperthermophilic crenarchaeal Sulfolobales (Langworthy et al., 1974; Sturt et al.,
However, members of the Sulfolobales were not detected in our 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data. We also detected a high relative abundance of MCG (up to 48.4% relative abundance) in the subsurface sediment at 885 and 1306 mbsl (Fig. 1). The diversity of the MCG population in the subsurface sediments was much higher in comparison with the diversity in surface sediments at 885 mbsl as sequences closely related to the MCG subgroups, 2, 8, 10, 14, 5b, 15, and 17 were detected both in the 885 mbsl and 1306 mbsl subsurface sediments (Fig. 2). This presence of these different MCG members, likely caused by niche differentiation (see before), may be the origin of the unusual DH-GDGT-0 isomer and the HCP-GDGT-0 IPL that we detected within the subsurface sediments at 885 and 1306 mbsl.

CONCLUSIONS

By using a combined 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and IPL analysis with high-resolution accurate mass/mass spectrometry we have unraveled the high diversity of benthic archaea harbored in oxygenated and anoxic sediments of the Arabian Sea, as well as widening our detection window of archaeal intact polar lipids. DNA-based analyses revealed a dominance of active benthic in situ Thaumarchaeota in those sediment where oxygen was present, which coincided with high relative abundance of the HPH-crenarchaeol previously suggested to be a marker of living Thaumarchaeota. In the anoxic marine sediments analyzed here, members of the MCG, DPANN and Euryarchaeota Thermoplasmatales dominated. We also observed a high diversity within the MCG with a more diverse population in subsurface sediments. Subsurface anoxic sediments had a high relative abundance of IPL GDGT-1, 2, and 3 with DH headgroups, which could either be attributed to fossil signal due to the more recalcitrant nature of the glycosidic bonds or being IPLs synthesized by the archaeal groups detected in those sediments. In addition, IPL GDGT-0 was also detected with a hexose head group on both ends of the core lipid, two hexoses on one end, and a cyclopentanetetraol molecule bound to the core lipid and a hexose attached to it. Members of the DPANN could possibly be ruled out of making those lipids due to the lack of lipid biosynthetic pathway. Dominant archaeal members in those sediments such as the MCG and Thermoplasmatales, could be potential biological sources of these IPLs. To conclude, this combined approach has shed light on the possible biological sources of specific archaeal IPLs and also detected a highly diverse benthic archaeal community.
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**Figure legends**

**Fig. 1.** (A) Relative abundances of the IPL-GDGTs (sum of the IPL-types MH, DH and HPH) for the different core GDGTs in the surface (0-0.5 cm) and subsurface sediments (10-12 cm) and (B) the archaeal community composition as revealed by 16S rRNA gene reads (with average abundance above of > 1%) in the surface sediments at 885, 1306, 2470, and 3003 mbsl and in the subsurface sediments at 885 and 1306 mbsl.

**Fig. 2.** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of the archaeal groups MCG+C3 (modified from Fillol et al., 2015). Extracted OTUs from the Arabian Sea sediments assigned as MCG were inserted in the tree. The number of detected reads per OTU per samples are indicated. Per MCG subgroup the relative abundance is given as detected at the different stations and sediments depths, this is also noted in Table 4. Scale bar represents a 2% sequence dissimilarity.

**Fig. 3.** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of MG-I OTUs recovered within the sediment based on the 16S rRNA gene (colored in blue). Sequences from cultured representatives of Thaumarchaeota MG-I are indicated in red. Environmental sequences of MG-I members are indicated in black with their origin specified. The relative abundances of the various OTUs are listed in Table 4. Scale bar represents a 2% sequence dissimilarity.

**Fig. 4.** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of *amoA* gene coding sequences recovered from surface (S; 0-0.5 cm) and subsurface (SS; 10-12 cm) sediments (colored in blue) at 885 mbsl, 1306 mbsl and 3003 mbsl (155 clones). *AmoA* gene coding sequences recovered from SPM (colored in orange) at 170 mbsl (28 clones), SPM at 1050 (25 clones) reported by Villanueva et al. (2014). ** indicates *amoA* gene sequences recovered from surface sediments at 3003 mbsl previously reported in Villanueva et al., (2015). Scale bar represents a 2% sequence dissimilarity.

**Fig. 5.** Abundance of Thaumarchaeotal 16S rRNA (A,C) and *amoA* (B,D) gene fragment copies per gram of dry weight in the surface sediment (0-0.5 cm) (A,B) and the subsurface sediment (10-12 cm) (C,D). Black bars indicate the amount of DNA 16S rRNA or *amoA* gene fragment copies and the gray bars indicate the RNA (gene transcripts) of 16S rRNA or *amoA* gene fragment copies. Error bars indicate standard deviation based on *n* = 3 experimental replicates.
### Table 1. Bottom water temperature and bottom water oxygen (BWO) concentration, oxygen penetration depth (OPD) in the sediment, and TOC content and pore water composition of the surface (0-0.5 cm) sediment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station (mbsl)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>BWO (µmol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>OPD (mm)</th>
<th>TOC (wt %)</th>
<th>NH₄⁺ (µM)</th>
<th>NO₂⁻ (µM)</th>
<th>NO₃⁻ (µM)</th>
<th>HPO₄²⁻ (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>885</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.6 (± 0.2)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1306</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9 (± 0.1)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2470</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.8 (± 0.1)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3003</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.7 (± 0.1)</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from Kraal et al. (2012) and Lengger et al. (2014)*

*b* no data available

### Table 2. Total IPL abundance and heatmap* of the relative abundance (%) of the detected IPLs and sum (not color coded) per IPL-GDGT in the sediments studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment (mbsl)</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>MH</th>
<th>DH</th>
<th>HPH</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>HCP</th>
<th>HPH</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>MH</th>
<th>DH</th>
<th>HPH</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>MH</th>
<th>DH</th>
<th>HPH</th>
<th>Sum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>0.0-0.5 cm</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3003</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subsurface**

|                | (10-12 cm) | 885| 0.3| ND  | 2.1 | 0.1 | 1.7 | ND  | 27.0| ND  | 9.2 |
|                |            | 1306| 2.2| ND  | 18.6| 0.2 | 0.6 | ND  | 23.2| ND  | 9.2 |
|                |            | 2470| 4.3| ND  | 13.0| 0.2 | 4.3 | ND  | 21.9| ND  | 9.2 |
|                |            | 3003| 9.1| ND  | 80.3| ND  | 2.9 | ND  | ND  | 21.9| ND  | 9.2 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment (mbsl)</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>MH</th>
<th>DH</th>
<th>HPH</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>HCP</th>
<th>HPH</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>MH</th>
<th>DH</th>
<th>HPH</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>MH</th>
<th>DH</th>
<th>HPH</th>
<th>Sum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>0.0-0.5 cm</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3003</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subsurface**

|                | (10-12 cm) | 885| 0.1| 15.9| ND  | 1.1 | 31.1| 1.5 | 33.8| ND  | 3.0 |
|                |            | 1306| 0.0| 14.5| ND  | 2.7 | 32.4| 0.4 | 35.5| ND  | 2.2 |
|                |            | 2470| 0.9| ND  | 6.9 | 3.5 | 28.3| 1.0 | 32.8| ND  | 7.8 |
|                |            | 3003| 9.7| ND  | 5.6 | 8.2 | 23.9| 0.6 | 32.7| ND  | 1.6 |

*Green colors indicate a low relative abundance, red colors indicate a high relative abundance

*b* DH isomers were detected as a GDGT with a glycosidically-bound hexose moiety on both ends of the core (I) and with one glycosidically-bound dihexose moiety on one end (II).

*c* HCP is an IPL-type with an ether-bound cyclopentanetetraol moiety on one end and an hexose moiety on the other (previously reported as GDNT; e.g. De Rosa and Gambacorta, 1988; Sturt et al., 2004).

*b* ND = not detected

*e* Response area of summed IPLs given in au (arbitrary units) per gram of dry weight (dw) sediment.
Table 3. Relative abundance of IPL-GDGTs grouped by polar head group*. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Depth (mbsl)</th>
<th>MH</th>
<th>DH</th>
<th>HCP</th>
<th>HPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface (0-0.5 cm)</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>98.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3003</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>97.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface (10-12 cm)</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3003</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Polar head group types detected: MH = monohexose, DH = dihexose, both isomers combined, HCP = monohexose and cyclopentatetraol, HPH = monohexose and phosphohexose.

b ND = not detected
Table 4. Relative abundance (in %) of MCG- and C3-assigned 16S rRNA gene reads relative to total archaeal reads and distribution (in %) of various subgroups for a station within and a station just below the OMZ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>885 mbsl</th>
<th>1306 mbsl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface (0-0.5 cm)</td>
<td>Subsurface (10-12 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 5.** Total Thaumarchaeota MG-I 16S rRNA gene reads and distribution per OTU (%) in surface sediments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (mbsl)</th>
<th>885</th>
<th>1306</th>
<th>2470</th>
<th>3003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total reads</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>1341</td>
<td>1305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU ID #1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU ID #2</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU ID #3</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>61.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU ID #4</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU ID #5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*n.a. = not applicable*
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- GDGT-4
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- Thaumarchaeota Marine Group I
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- Thaumarchaeota Terrestrial Hot Spring
- DPANN DHVEG-6
- Euryarchaeota Thermoplasmatales MBG-D and DHVEG-1
- Euryarchaeota MHVG
- DPANN Aenigmarchaeota
- Euryarchaeota Thermoplasmata MBG-E
- Euryarchaeota Thermoplasmatales F2apm1A36
- Euryarchaeota Thermoplasmatales ANT06-05
- Euryarchaeota Thermoplasmatales ASC21
- Other
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- Candidatus Nitrosopumilus sp. NM35, nitrifying enrichment from coastal sand of an eelgrass zone, AB546961
- Nitrosopumilus maritimus SC1, CP000385
- Candidatus Nitrosopumilus sp. AR2, CP0003843
- Candidatus Nitrosopumilus koreensis AR1, CP003842
- Nitrosopumilus sp. SJ, marine sediment, AJV01000001
- Candidatus Nitrosopumilus sp. D3C, coastal surface water from the Northern Adriatic Sea, CP010868
- Nitrosopumilus maritimus, tropical seawater tank substrate at Seattle Aquarium, DQ050507
- Candidatus Nitrosopumilus sp. P50, coastal water, KP957664
- Candidatus Nitrosopsphaera koreensis MY1, agricultural soil, HQ331116
- Candidatus Nitrosopsphaera limnia SF81, AEGP01000029

uncultured archaeon, surface sediment of the Pearl River estuary, JX870324

- OTU-3
  - uncultured archaeon, surface sediment of the Pearl River estuary, JX870344
  - uncultured archaeon, sediment, HQ267236

- OTU-5
  - uncultured archaeon, surface cold seep sediments of the Okhotsk Sea, GU270122
  - uncultured archaeon, tropical marine sediment, around 20 m water depth, JQ258644
  - uncultured archaeon, sediment, HG265712

- OTU-2
  - Candidatus Nitrosopelagica bravis, CP007026
  - uncultured crenarchaeote, 3010 m depth from Mediterranean Sea, EF597697
  - uncultured archaeon, sea water, HSQ298687
  - unidentified archaeon PM7, from Prof. Marti cruise of North Atlantic at depth of 500 m, U711109
  - uncultured marine group I thaumarchaeote, Station ALOHA at depth of 200 meters, EF106800
  - uncultured archaeon, marine sediment; brown mud; 3800 depth, FJ571806
  - uncultured archaeon, marine sediment; grey mud; 3000 depth, FJ571820
  - uncultured archaeon, marine sediment; grey mud; 3000 depth, FJ571825
  - uncultured sediment archaeon, Logatchev hydrothermal vent field, oceanic sediment, 3034 m, 0–1 cm sediment, FN553866
  - uncultured archaeon, marine sediment; grey mud; 3000 depth, FJ571897

- OTU-1
  - uncultured crenarchaeote, Ridge Flank Abyssal Hills sea floor, DQ417480
  - uncultured archaeon, marine sediment; brown clay; 447 depth, FJ571697
  - uncultured sediment archaeon, Logatchev hydrothermal vent field, oceanic sediment, 3034 m, 0–1 cm sediment, FN553842

- Thaumarchaeota archaeon N4, CBTH01000008
- Thaumarchaeota archaeon MY2, soil, AVSQ01000019
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Deep water column cluster

- 27x 865 mbsl S; 9x 885 mbsl SS; 1x 1306 mbsl S; 25x 1050 mbsl SPM *

Shallow water/sediment cluster

- DQ148654.1 San Francisco Bay sediment
- Candidatus Nitroscarchaeum koreensis
  - EU232397.1 Salt marsh sediment
  - Candidatus Nitroscarchaeum limnia SFB1
  - EU099940.1 intertidal sandy flat
  - 3x 170 mbsl SPM *

- DQ148684.1 San Francisco Bay sediment
- HM458977.1 wastewater
- HM191530.1 wastewater
- JN183601.1 freshwater aquaria
- DQ148805.1 Elkhorn Slough sediments
- DQ148799.1 ETNP OMZ 200 m
  - 1x 170 mbsl SPM *
- EU995994.1 South China Sea 100 m
  - EF106932.1 North Pacific subtropical gyre 130 m
  - 1x 170 mbsl SPM *
- DQ148740.1 Black Sea 70 m
- FJ79200.1 Peruvian OMZ
  - 1x 885 mbsl S; 2x 1306 mbsl S; 1x 3003 mbsl S **; 14x 3003 SS
  - 1x 3003 mbsl S **
  - 1x 1306 mbsl S
  - 1x 1306 mbsl S

- AB289377.1 deep sea water column
  - 1x 1306 mbsl S
  - 1x 1306 mbsl S
  - 1x 3003 mbsl S

- AM035172.1 marine aquaculture

- Nitrosopumilus maritimus SCM1
  - FJ656564.1 marine sediment
  - DQ148771.1 Elkhorn Slough sediment
  - TAB73356.1 aquarium biofilter
  - DQ148992.1 Elkhorn Slough sediment
  - AB285355.1 deep sea sediments
  - EF444231.1 Black Sea 100 m
  - 1x 1306 mbsl S; 1x 1306 mbsl SS

- Cenarchaeum symbiosum A
  - 1x 3003 mbsl S **
  - 1x 3003 mbsl S
  - 1x 3003 mbsl SS
  - 1x 3003 mbsl SS

- Nitrosphaera amoA Lakes
  - 1x 3003 mbsl SS; 1x 3003 mbsl S **; 6x 3003 mbsl SS
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