Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-296-RC2, 2017 © Author(s) 2017. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



BGD

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "A comprehensive biogeochemical record and annual flux estimates for the Sabaki River (Kenya)" by Trent R. Marwick et al.

## Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 25 September 2017

This is a well-structured and clearly written paper presenting a 2-year record of biogeochemical data from a drainage basin in Kenya. The paper is more constrained than the title suggests but the authors provide a full description of the trends observed and place this is the context of other studies. Given the focus of the paper, I feel that in its present form it is overlong, and would benefit from a more selective use of the literature: the introduction could be halved in length, with more emphasis on areas of novelty addressed by the paper, and providing clear aims / objectives. This is also scope to reduce the Discussion in length, but this should include a clearer statement of the significance of the work for readers. Overall I think the study is appropriate for publication in this journal, although in a revised paper, the authors might want to con-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



sider: âĂć Providing more detail on sampling protocols, processing and the timing of laboratory analyses; âĂć Considering wider temporal trends (i.e. how representative are the two years of study); âĂć Justifying the sampling location point – in the context of a large and heterogeneous catchment; âĂć Reducing the number of studies cited – which seems excessive, given that the stated aim of the paper is 'to present a 2-year biogeochemical record'.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-296, 2017.

## BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

**Discussion paper** 

