Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-296-RC2, 2017 © Author(s) 2017. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



BGD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "A comprehensive biogeochemical record and annual flux estimates for the Sabaki River (Kenya)" by Trent R. Marwick et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 25 September 2017

This is a well-structured and clearly written paper presenting a 2-year record of biogeochemical data from a drainage basin in Kenya. The paper is more constrained than the title suggests but the authors provide a full description of the trends observed and place this is the context of other studies. Given the focus of the paper, I feel that in its present form it is overlong, and would benefit from a more selective use of the literature: the introduction could be halved in length, with more emphasis on areas of novelty addressed by the paper, and providing clear aims / objectives. This is also scope to reduce the Discussion in length, but this should include a clearer statement of the significance of the work for readers. Overall I think the study is appropriate for publication in this journal, although in a revised paper, the authors might want to con-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



sider: âĂć Providing more detail on sampling protocols, processing and the timing of laboratory analyses; âĂć Considering wider temporal trends (i.e. how representative are the two years of study); âĂć Justifying the sampling location point – in the context of a large and heterogeneous catchment; âĂć Reducing the number of studies cited – which seems excessive, given that the stated aim of the paper is 'to present a 2-year biogeochemical record'.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-296, 2017.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

