



# The sensitivity of estuarine aragonite saturation state and pH to the carbonate chemistry of a freshet-dominated river

Benjamin L. Moore-Maley<sup>1</sup>, Debby Ianson<sup>1,2</sup>, and Susan E. Allen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Institute of Ocean Sciences, Sidney, British Columbia, Canada

Correspondence to: Benjamin Moore-Maley (bmoorema@eoas.ubc.ca)

# Abstract.

Ocean acidification threatens to reduce pH and aragonite saturation state ( $\Omega_A$ ) in estuaries, potentially damaging their ecosystems. However, the impact of highly variable river total alkalinity (TA) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) on pH and  $\Omega_A$  in these estuaries is unknown. We assess the sensitivity of estuarine surface pH and  $\Omega_A$  to river chemistry using a

- 5 1-dimensional, biogeochemical-coupled model of the Strait of Georgia on the Canadian Pacific coast and generalize the results in the context of global rivers. The productive Strait of Georgia estuary has a large, seasonally variable freshwater input from the glacially fed, undammed Fraser River. Analyzing TA and pH observations from this river and its estuary, we find that the Fraser is moderately alkaline (TA 500–1350  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup>) but relatively DIC-rich, especially during winter (low flow). Model results show that estuarine pH and  $\Omega_A$ , while sensitive to freshwater DIC and TA, do not vary in synchrony. Instead, rivers with
- 10 high DIC and TA produce lower estuarine pH due to an increased estuarine DIC:TA ratio, but higher estuarine  $\Omega_A$  because of DIC contributions to the carbonate ion. This estuarine pH sensitivity decreases with increasing mean river TA, but the zone of maximum pH sensitivity also moves to higher salinity which could impact a larger areal extent of the estuary. Many temperate rivers, such as the Fraser, are expected to experience weaker freshets and stronger winter flows under climate change, reducing the extent of the river plume and the impact of river chemistry in much of the estuary. However, increasing carbon in rivers
- 15 will move the highest sensitivity zone to higher salinities that cover larger areas under present-day flow regimes.

#### 1 Introduction

Estuaries support productive ecosystems (Cloern et al., 2014) and significant human populations (Cloern et al., 2015). Critical trophic links within many of these ecosystems may be negatively impacted by increases in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and reduced pH associated with ocean acidification (Haigh et al., 2015). Those organisms using the calcium-carbonate mineral

form aragonite in their external hard parts (e.g., mussels, oysters, geoduck) are especially vulnerable since oceanic  $CO_2$  uptake lowers the aragonite saturation state ( $\Omega_A$ ) of seawater (Waldbusser et al., 2015). While carbonate system dynamics have been studied extensively in marine (e.g., Jiang et al., 2015) and freshwater (e.g., Schindler, 1988) environments, less is known about carbon chemistry in the estuaries where these two zones meet (Salisbury et al., 2008).





5

Estuarine systems are complex, cover large salinity ranges that challenge current measurement techniques and are generally under-sampled (Ianson et al., 2016). The carbonate chemistry in the rivers that feed these estuaries may also be exceptionally variable, ranging from rivers with low DIC to total alkalinity (DIC:TA) ratios and high pH (> 8) like the Mississippi River (Hu and Cai, 2013) to blackwater rivers that have low pH (< 5) (Cai et al., 1998; de Fátima F. L. Rasera et al., 2013). Along a salinity gradient there may exist maximum sensitivity zones occurring where DIC $\sim$ TA (Egleston et al., 2010) that are especially vulnerable to acidification. The strength and location of these zones depend on the river endmember carbonate

chemistry (Hofmann et al., 2009; Hu and Cai, 2013). In addition, seasonality is often strong and single rivers and estuaries may experience highly variable conditions (Waldbusser and Salisbury, 2014; Hunt et al., 2014; Ianson et al., 2016). On top of natural variability, many estuaries also experience heavy anthropogenic pressure (Frankignoulle et al., 1996; Zhai et al., 2007), making them particularly vulnerable to ocean acidification (Cai et al., 2011) and in some cases the subject of intensive

management and policy initiatives (Fennel et al., 2013).

DIC and TA vary by nearly two orders of magnitude between major world rivers (Cai et al., 1998), and throughout individual watersheds in space and time (Hellings et al., 2001; de Fátima F. L. Rasera et al., 2013; Voss et al., 2014). Carbonate and silicate weathering are major sources of river DIC and TA in most rivers (Meybeck, 1987; Amiotte Suchet et al., 2003). Both

- 15 quantities can be strongly flow-dependent due to dilution if physical weathering outpaces chemical weathering rates (i.e., kinetic-limited weathering (West et al., 2005)). Carbonates are concentrated globally in the northern mid-latitudes (Amiotte Suchet et al., 2003), and several carbonate-rich, mid-latitude watersheds demonstrate high TA and large TA flow-dependence (e.g., Changjiang, Mississippi (Cai et al., 1998)). TA flow-dependence is also common among low-TA, low-latitude rivers (e.g., Amazon (Richey et al., 1990), Congo (Wang et al., 2013)), although organic carbon contributions can complicate this behavior.
- 20 In the Congo River for example, TA is flow-dependent but DIC is persistently high year-round (Wang et al., 2013). Pollution can also contribute enormously to TA and DIC throughout urbanized watersheds (e.g., Scheldt (Hellings et al., 2001)) and estuaries (e.g., Changjiang (Zhai et al., 2007)).

In the present study, we determine the sensitivity of  $\Omega_A$  and pH in a large, mid-latitude, fjord estuary (Strait of Georgia, Canada) to changes in freshwater TA and pH using a one-dimensional biogeochemical model. We establish freshwater TA and pH ranges and variability for the system by extrapolating TA observations from the Fraser River plume region (de Mora, 1983; Ianson et al., 2016) to zero salinity, and by using autonomous pH measurements from an Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) mooring near the Fraser River mouth. We use TA and pH to constrain freshwater DIC since we lack reliable DIC observations in the Fraser River. From the model  $\Omega_A$  and pH results across 18 scenarios based on these estimated freshwater TA and pH ranges, we identify regions of the freshwater pH (DIC:TA) range that produce enhanced estuarine pH and

30  $\Omega_A$  sensitivity to freshwater TA. We characterize these regions in terms of past (higher pH) and future (lower pH) freshwater carbonate chemistry. We further discuss the implications of these results for global rivers and future climate.





# 2 Methods

## 2.1 Study area

The Strait of Georgia (Fig. 1) is a large ( $\sim$ 6800 km<sup>2</sup>, >400 m deep), temperate, semi-enclosed, fjord-like estuarine sea with strong seasonal stratification, productivity, and carbonate chemistry cycles (Moore-Maley et al., 2016; Ianson et al., 2016). This

- 5 high productivity supports abundant populations of shellfish, finfish, and other higher organisms that may be sensitive to pH and  $\Omega_A$  anomalies (Haigh et al., 2015). The Fraser River, the primary freshwater source, drains approximately 238,000 km<sup>2</sup> with seasonally-variable discharge (~800 to 12,000 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at Hope, ECCC data, http://wateroffice.ec.gc.ca) due to summer snow/ice melt and lack of dams throughout most of the watershed. This large freshwater flux is partially contained by narrow passages and tidal mixing over sills (Pawlowicz et al., 2007), and thus imparts a significant freshwater influence on the Strait
- 10 especially compared with regions where large rivers meet the ocean directly such as the nearby Columbia River plume region (Roegner et al., 2011). These same coastal and topographic features create long residence times, causing carbon to accumulate and making the Strait DIC-rich relative to the open ocean (Ianson et al., 2016) despite a strong, seasonal DIC upwelling signal over the outer shelf (Bianucci et al., 2011). The large freshwater footprint, together with the abundance of previous circulation (e.g., LeBlond, 1983; Pawlowicz et al., 2007), ecology (e.g., Masson and Peña, 2009; Allen and Wolfe, 2013), and acidification
- studies (e.g., Moore-Maley et al., 2016; Ianson et al., 2016) make the Strait an ideal system for investigating the response of estuarine pH and  $\Omega_A$  to freshwater carbonate chemistry.

The Fraser River watershed spans four distinct geologic belts (Fig. 1a) that transition from the carbonate-rich Foreland Belt to the silicate-rich Coast Belt (Cameron and Hattori, 1997). Carbonate and silicate weathering thus dominate the watershed (Voss et al., 2014); carbonate weathering generally produces TA faster than silicate weathering (Meybeck, 1987). Observed

- 20 Fraser River TA (Jul/Aug 2009, Oct 2010, May/Jun 2011, (Voss et al., 2014)) accumulates along the Foreland Belt, decreases along the Coast Belt, and is highest at low flow stage. Fraser River TA thus appears to be produced primarily by carbonate weathering in the upper watershed, diluted by weakly-buffered seaward tributaries, and flow-dependent. Remineralization of dissolved organic matter can contribute to DIC (e.g., Yukon (Wickland et al., 2012)), and high river turbidity can limit biological DIC uptake (Irigoien and Castel, 1997) as is the case in the Fraser River (Moore-Maley et al., 2016). Like most rivers, the Fraser
- is persistently aragonite undersaturated ( $\Omega_A < 0.3$ ). However, some alkaline (TA > 2000  $\mu$ eq kg<sup>-1</sup>), mid-latitude rivers have been estimated to be near or supersaturated with respect to aragonite (Salisbury et al., 2008).

#### 2.2 Data sources

TA can behave, at times, like a conservative tracer in the Canadian coastal Pacific Ocean (Ianson et al., 2003). We therefore estimate the Fraser-dominated, seasonal, freshwater TA endmembers for the Strait of Georgia by extrapolating TA observations

from 10 sampling cruises near the Fraser plume (de Mora (1983); Ianson et al. (2016); Table 1) to zero salinity (S = 0) using linear regression (Fig. 2). We only consider profiles or transects that include at least one TA sample below S = 20 to ensure sufficient river influence, which limits our analysis to 5 cruises prior to 1980 (March, May, October 1978 and January, April 1979; de Mora (1983)) and 5 cruises after 2010 (August, October 2010, June, August 2011 and July 2012; Ianson et al. (2016)).





5

Unlike TA, DIC does not behave conservatively in the Strait of Georgia. We therefore calculate the freshwater DIC endmember from the extrapolated TA endmembers and a Fraser River pH range determined using observations from the ECCC Fraser River Water Quality Buoy (Table 1) moored approximately 10 km upstream along the main arm of the river. Buoy pH was measured potentiometrically using a regularly-inspected (bimonthly to monthly), hull-mounted, YSI ADV6600 multisensor and recorded hourly on the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) scale between 2008 and 2013 (Ethier and Bedard, 2007). We calculate DIC from TA and pH using the CO2SYS program (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) and full salinity range  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  constants (Millero, 2010).

The strong likelihood of non-conservative TA behavior at low salinity, organic alkalinity contributions, and poor accuracy of potentiometric pH measurements introduce uncertainty into our estimates of freshwater TA, pH, and DIC. Non-conservative

- 10 TA behavior has been observed in the Fraser plume at low salinity (de Mora, 1983), particularly during the October 1978 cruise where TA near S = 0 varied between approximately 700 and 1200  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup>. This low salinity variability may be associated with sedimentation of charged clay particles (Kennedy, 1965). If organic acids and bases contribute significantly to TA, as they do in some coastal areas (Koeve and Oschlies, 2012; Kim and Lee, 2009; Hernández-Ayón et al., 2007) and rivers (Hunt et al., 2011; Kennedy, 1965), carbonate alkalinity estimates (which are required to calculate DIC) become increasingly inaccurate.
- 15 Carbonate alkalinity is estimated to be as low as 10% of TA in the Congo (Wang et al., 2013) and Kennebec Rivers (Hunt et al., 2014). The carbonate alkalinity fractions of TA in the Fraser River and Strait of Georgia are unknown. Potentiometric pH measurements in seawater are generally no more precise than 0.02 units (Byrne et al., 1988; Dickson, 1993), however inconsistencies in electrode type and calibration can produce errors in freshwater larger than 0.1 units (Covington et al., 1983).
- Given these uncertainties, we consider the extrapolated TA endmembers, the buoy pH record, and the resulting calculated freshwater DIC to represent approximate seasonal ranges of freshwater carbonate chemistry rather than absolute values. To provide context to these TA ranges, we compare our endmembers to TA data from ECCC Fraser River sampling programs near the ECCC Water Quality Buoy and approximately 100 km upstream near the town of Hope, BC (Fig. 1; Table 1). Since neither dataset was sampled to oceanographic standards – specifically samples were unpreserved and stored in polyethylene containers – we use these data for comparison only and not to define our freshwater carbonate chemistry endmembers.

#### 25 **2.3 Model**

#### 2.3.1 Overview

We employ a one-dimensional (1-D), biogeochemical coupled, Strait of Georgia mixing model that resolves the upper 40 m of the water column on a 15 minute timestep and predicts annual cycles (Moore-Maley et al., 2016) in order to investigate the sensitivity of surface estuarine pH and  $\Omega_A$  in the Strait to changes in river carbonate chemistry. Three-dimensional estuarine

30 circulation is parametrized as an upward entrainment velocity and outward advective flux, both defined in terms of the total freshwater discharge from the Fraser River and other small rivers (Collins et al., 2009). The advective loss arises due to water column convergence, since the upward entrainment velocity increases with depth. We explicitly model *in situ* temperature (ITS-90 (Preston-Thomas, 1990)) and practical salinity (PSS-78 (UNESCO, 1981)) as physical state variables.





5

The biological model contains 3 nutrient classes (nitrate, ammonium, dissolved silica), 3 photosynthesizer classes (diatoms, heterotrophs as *Mesodinium rubrum*, nanoflagellates), 3 grazer classes (*M. rubrum*, microzooplankton, mesozooplankton), and 3 detritus classes (dissolved and particulate organic nitrogen, biogenic silica). *M. rubrum* (a ciliated protozoan) retains functional chloroplasts during grazing and uses them to perform photosynthesis. Calcifying phytoplankton (e.g., coccol-ithophores) are assumed to contribute minimally to productivity in the Strait of Georgia (Haigh et al., 2015) and were absent from satellite observations in the Strait prior to 2016 (J. Gower, personal communication, 2014; NASA Earth Observatory, http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/IOTD/view.php?id=88687) – they are not explicitly modeled.

DIC and TA are both explicitly modeled and are coupled to the biological growth and remineralization cycles (Moore-Maley et al., 2016). Transfer of  $CO_2$  across the air-sea interface in the surface grid cell is parametrized according to Fick's second

- 10 law of diffusion (Sarmiento and Gruber, 2006), using transfer coefficient (Nightingale et al., 2000), Schmidt number (Wanninkhof, 1992), and  $K_0$  solubility coefficient (Weiss, 1974) parameterizations. Model pH (total scale) and  $\Omega_A$  are calculated from model DIC, TA, dissolved silica, temperature, salinity, pressure, and estimated phosphate using the CO2SYS program (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) and full salinity range  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  constants (Millero, 2010). Phosphate is roughly approximated  $(\pm 1 \ \mu \text{mol kg}^{-1}$ , Riche (2011)) from model nitrate using the Redfield N:P ratio. Calcium ion concentrations, required for  $\Omega_A$
- 15 calculation, are approximated by a linear regression to salinity (Riley and Tongudai, 1967), and by the mean observed calcium ion concentration near the Fraser River mouth (350  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup> (Voss et al., 2014)) where S < 1.

#### 2.3.2 Initialization and forcing

We use profiles of temperature, salinity, fluorescence, chlorophyll *a*, nitrate, and dissolved silica (1999 through 2012) measured near the model site (Pawlowicz et al., 2007; Masson, 2006; Masson and Peña, 2009; Peña et al., 2016) (D. Masson, personal
communication, 2014) to initialize the model (Moore-Maley et al., 2016). Model runs are initialized in autumn and run through a full year and then beyond until the end of the following December. Our analysis starts at the beginning of the year following the initialization date which is always longer than the 30-day spin-up period. Initial phytoplankton, zooplankton, and detritus concentrations are determined according to Moore-Maley et al. (2016). Since few DIC and TA data are available, we use a representative fall profile (11 September, 2011 (Ianson et al., 2016)) to initialize model DIC and TA. Model pH and Ω<sub>A</sub> are not

25 sensitive to initial carbonate chemistry conditions after the spin-up period. Time-averages (fluorescence, chlorophyll *a*, nitrate, dissolved silica) and annual fits (temperature, salinity, DIC, TA) of the initialization data near 40 m are used to set the 40 m boundary conditions (Moore-Maley et al., 2016).

The model is forced at the surface (Allen and Wolfe, 2013) by wind stress calculated from hourly wind speed and direction observed at Sandheads weatherstation (6), and by heat fluxes derived from cloud fraction, air temperature and relative humidity

30 observed at Vancouver International Airport (5, Fig. 1b; ECCC observations, http://climate.weather.gc.ca/). Total freshwater flux (volume/time) into the Strait of Georgia is prescribed (Allen and Wolfe, 2013) using daily river discharge measurements obtained by ECCC (http://www.wateroffice.ec.gc.ca/) in the Fraser River at Hope (4) and in the Englishman River (7, Fig. 1b). Englishman River discharge is used in this study as a proxy for the contribution of small, rainfall-dominated rivers to the





freshwater budget of the Strait (Collins et al., 2009). Heat and nutrient fluxes due to freshwater are prescribed (Moore-Maley et al., 2016) as concentration  $\times$  flux. Concentration values used similarly for TA and DIC are discussed in Sect. 2.4.

## 2.3.3 Evaluation

Previous studies using the model have evaluated it against physical, biological and chemical data. The vertical profiles of

- 5 density and in particular, the depth of the halocline are well represented (Collins et al., 2009). The model captures interannual variability in the biology and in the physics driving the biological variability the model accurately predicts the timing of the spring phytoplankton bloom (Allen and Wolfe, 2013; Allen et al., 2016). The large seasonal variation of the carbon cycle is captured with some underestimation of DIC in the summer due to over-productivity (Moore-Maley et al., 2016) a common problem with coupled models in the Strait of Georgia (e.g. Peña et al. (2016)). The resulting positive-bias, root-mean-squared
- 10 error (RMSE) is 0.16 for pH and 0.51 for  $\Omega_A$  (Moore-Maley et al., 2016), sufficiently small to support its use for the process studies described here.

#### 2.4 Sensitivity analysis

In order to determine the sensitivity of estuarine pH and  $\Omega_A$  to freshwater TA and pH, we define approximate minimum, mean, and maximum freshwater TA (TA<sub>f</sub>) and pH (pH<sub>f</sub>) scenarios based on the freshwater endmembers that we estimate from the 15 data discussed in Sect. 2.2. As seasonal variations in freshwater TA are likely flow-dependent, we define an additional three flow-dependent TA<sub>f</sub> scenarios using TA–discharge regressions of the extrapolated endmembers and the two ECCC comparison datasets discussed in Sect. 2.2. We calculate freshwater DIC (DIC<sub>f</sub>) from TA<sub>f</sub> and pH<sub>f</sub> according to Sect. 2.2.

For each  $TA_f$  and  $pH_f$  scenario, we ran the model for 12 separate years: 2001 to 2012 (e.g., Moore-Maley et al., 2016) to ensure a wide range of climatological forcing regimes. With 18 possible  $TA_f$  and  $pH_f$  combinations, we ran the model a total

of 216 times. Since the seasonality of pH and DIC in the Strait of Georgia is surface-intensified (Moore-Maley et al., 2016), we use surface (3 m average) pH, DIC:TA ratio, and aragonite undersaturation ( $\Omega_A < 1$ ) duration as model sensitivity metrics. At constant salinity and temperature, pH and DIC:TA vary inversely. We average surface DIC:TA and pH over two different salinity regimes: S < 20 (summer) and  $S \ge 20$  (remainder of the year). Since the model demonstrates periods of  $\Omega_A < 1$  in winter and strong freshet summers (Moore-Maley et al., 2016), we evaluate  $\Omega_A < 1$  duration during both seasons.

#### 25 3 Results

## 3.1 Data analysis and sensitivity scenarios

The freshwater TA endmembers extrapolated from the Fraser plume cruise data (Table 1; Fig. 2) span a range of approximately 500 to 1350  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup> and demonstrate a significant negative correlation to discharge, although freshwater TA increases slightly above 7,000 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (open symbols, Fig. 3a). Observed TA from the cruise data at zero salinity (*S* = 0) are generally

30 slightly higher than the endmembers because of the non-conservative behavior of TA in the Fraser River at low salinity (filled





symbols, Fig. 3a). The ECCC comparison TA data from the Fraser rivermouth and  $\sim 150$  km upstream at Hope described in Sect. 2.2 (Table 1) cover similar ranges and also negatively correlate with discharge (Fig. 3b and c).

Buoy pH varies seasonally from approximately 7.4 to 8.0 NIST units between low flow and peak flow (disregarding sporadic readings below 7.4 and above 8.0), and is positively correlated to river discharge except at higher flow where it reaches a maximum (Fig. 3d). However, since the correlation is weak and the cycles of river discharge and primary productivity (low in winter, high in summer) are in-phase, the pH variability in the lower Fraser River is likely driven primarily by local processes that influence DIC such as phytoplankton growth rather than changes in river flow. The buoy pH cycle is consistent with such a biologically-driven cycle, whereas, the seasonal temperature cycle would drive pH changes in the opposite direction. The typical winter to summer temperature increase (maximum  $\sim 2$  to  $20^{\circ}$ C) would cause a decrease in pH of  $\sim 0.15$  pH units in

10 summer.

5

We define our three constant  $TA_f$  scenarios to span the range shown by our freshwater TA endmembers: minimum  $TA_f$  = 500 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>, mean  $TA_f$  = 900 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>, and maximum  $TA_f$  = 1350 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). We further define our three flow-dependent  $TA_f$  scenarios based on the TA-discharge, least squares regressions of the freshwater TA endmembers (Endmember Fit) and the ECCC comparison datasets (Rivermouth Fit and Hope Fit; Fig. 3a-c) for a total of six  $TA_f$  scenarios

15 (Table 2). Our  $TA_f$  scenarios cover the total observed range over multiple years, and we assume that, despite uncertainty in some of the data (Sect. 2.2), they include realistic variability.

We define our three constant  $pH_f$  scenarios (Table 2) to span the range shown by the buoy pH record, however we use the oceanography-preferred (Dickson et al., 2007) total pH scale for our estuarine analysis. The present-day scenario ( $pH_f = 7.7$ , total scale) is based loosely on mean NIST scale buoy pH (Fig. 3d). Given the large seasonal temperature change (>15°C

- 20 increase in summer), a constant  $pH_f$  implies a summer  $DIC_f$  decrease due to temperature (causing  $DIC_f$ :TA<sub>f</sub> to decrease by about 0.06) which is is not as large as the observed seasonal difference because observed pH increases in summer. We use a high-pH<sub>f</sub> scenario of 8.0 total scale units, which is the upper end of the observed buoy pH and is typical of present-day high TA rivers with low DIC:TA (e.g., Mississippi (Cai, 2003) and Changjiang (Zhai et al., 2007)). We suggest that this scenario represents an upper limit in the Fraser River and may represent past chemistry (lower  $pCO_2$ ). Likewise, we define a low-pH<sub>f</sub>
- scenario of 7.4 units to represent possible future  $pCO_2$  increases but still within the range of present-day river pH observations (buoy pH). This low-pH<sub>f</sub> scenario still has a lower DIC<sub>f</sub>:TA<sub>f</sub> than low pH, weakly-buffered rivers (e.g., Kennebec (Hunt et al., 2014) and Congo (Wang et al., 2013)). Our low to high pH<sub>f</sub> scenarios (Table 2) imply DIC<sub>f</sub>:TA<sub>f</sub> ratios of 1.10-1.13, 1.05-1.07 and 1.02-1.03, respectively, with the ranges being summer-winter values.

#### 3.2 Sensitivity analysis

30 The sensitivity of model surface DIC:TA and pH to TA<sub>f</sub> and pH<sub>f</sub> is strong at low salinity (S < 20) which occurs during high freshwater discharge (Fig. 4b and d), and relatively weak at high salinity ( $S \ge 20$ ; Fig. 4c and e). As the mean annual TA<sub>f</sub> increases in TA<sub>f</sub> scenarios 1 through 6 (Table 2) within a given DIC<sub>f</sub>:TA<sub>f</sub> treatment (constant pH<sub>f</sub>; shaded bands, Fig. 4b-g), the concurrent increase in DIC<sub>f</sub> causes model pH to decrease by raising model DIC:TA (Fig. 4b and c). This effect is strongest





5

at pH<sub>f</sub> 7.4 (Fig. 4b through e) where the range of model pH and DIC:TA across the TA<sub>f</sub> scenarios nearly doubles relative to pH<sub>f</sub> 8.0.

Model  $\Omega_A < 1$  duration is 3 to 4 months in all winters, and about 1 month when it occurs in summer (nearly half of all runs; Fig. 4f and g). Both summer and winter  $\Omega_A < 1$  duration are highly sensitive (> 10 day median change across all scenarios) to TA<sub>f</sub> and pH<sub>f</sub>. However, despite rising estuarine DIC:TA and declining pH,  $\Omega_A < 1$  duration in the estuary declines with increasing TA<sub>f</sub>. The sensitivity of this decline is strongest at pH<sub>f</sub> = 8.0 (where model pH sensitivity is weakest), with the largest decline between the two highest TA<sub>f</sub> scenarios (5: Hope Fit TA<sub>f</sub> and 6: Maximum TA<sub>f</sub>). In the case of high pH<sub>f</sub> (8.0) in summer, the number of runs exhibiting any duration of  $\Omega_A < 1$  decreased from four to one of twelve across all TA<sub>f</sub> scenarios (Fig. 4f).

10 The impact of flow dependence is generally weak except at  $pH_f = 8.0$  where median summer  $\Omega_A < 1$  duration is reduced by ~ 10 to 15 days (Fig. 4f) between TA<sub>f</sub> scenarios 3 and 4 (Rivermouth Fit TA<sub>f</sub> and Mean TA<sub>f</sub>). This reduction is consistent with higher TA<sub>f</sub> at peak river flows in the Mean TA<sub>f</sub> case (scenario 4) compared to the Rivermouth Fit TA<sub>f</sub> case (scenario 3; Fig. 3b). Overall, modeled surface estuarine pH and  $\Omega_A < 1$  duration are less sensitive to the range of pH<sub>f</sub> than they are to the range of TA<sub>f</sub>. The primary importance of pH<sub>f</sub>, rather, appears to be as a catalyst for pH and  $\Omega_A < 1$  duration sensitivity to

15  $TA_f$ , with the sensitivity of the two quantities strongest at opposite ends of the pH<sub>f</sub> range.

#### 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 Two-endmember conservative mixing

To conceptualize why model estuarine pH is lowest at high  $TA_f$  (while  $pH_f$ , or  $DIC_f:TA_f$ , is held constant), and why this sensitivity is strongest at low  $pH_f$ , it is useful to consider the simple case of conservative mixing between freshwater and 20 seawater endmembers. In the absence of biological processes and gas exchange, physical dilution alone drives linear mixing between these two endmembers, and thus the mixing curves for DIC, TA, temperature, dissolved silica, and phosphate are linear with respect to salinity (e.g., DIC and TA, Fig. 5a). However, pH calculated from these curves (CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998)) with full salinity range  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  constants (Millero, 2010)) is not linear with salinity and demonstrates a characteristic minimum between the two endmembers when  $pH_f$  is lower than the seawater endmember pH (Fig. 5b). Similar

25 theoretical salinity-pH curves have been calculated (Mook and Koene, 1975; de Mora, 1983; Whitfield and Turner, 1986; Hofmann et al., 2009; Hu and Cai, 2013) and also observed (e.g., Scheldt River estuary (Mook and Koene, 1975; Hofmann et al., 2009), Fraser estuary (de Mora, 1983)).

Estuarine pH along the mixing line is clearly sensitive to  $pH_f$ , however large differences also arise from changes in  $TA_f$ (Fig. 5b). The reason for this sensitivity to  $TA_f$  is the dramatic difference in DIC:TA along the mixing line between  $TA_f$  cases

30 despite an equal ratio between the two cases at the freshwater and seawater endmembers (Fig. 5c). In the high  $TA_f$  case, the estuarine DIC:TA decreases more linearly with salinity resulting in a higher estuarine DIC:TA and lower estuarine pH overall. In the low TA<sub>f</sub> case, estuarine DIC:TA decreases rapidly with salinity producing a higher estuarine pH. The difference between





5

these curves increases with the difference between the freshwater and seawater DIC:TA endmembers (increasing  $DIC_f$ :TA<sub>f</sub>, decreasing pH<sub>f</sub>).

Despite our limited model results in the low salinity range (S < 10), the simple mixing case can help us explain the model sensitivity. In both the model case and the mixing case, higher TA<sub>f</sub> (at constant DIC<sub>f</sub>:TA<sub>f</sub>) produces lower estuarine pH (Fig. 4d and e and 5b) because of the dramatic increase in estuarine DIC:TA (Fig. 4b and c and 5c). The low pH<sub>f</sub> (high DIC<sub>f</sub>:TA<sub>f</sub>) scenarios demonstrate the strongest estuarine pH sensitivity to TA<sub>f</sub> because they produce the largest difference between freshwater and seawater DIC:TA endmembers (Fig. 5c).

Ocean pH and  $\Omega_A$  are often assumed to be coupled, however they are clearly not in brackish estuarine waters fed by rivers with moderate or low TA<sub>f</sub> (Fig. 4d through g). This asynchrony arises because the response of estuarine carbonate ion over the

- 10 large range of river  $TA_f$  and  $pH_f$  scenarios is more sensitive to changes in total DIC than shifts in the equilibrium point of the carbonate system. Thus increases in model DIC (and carbonate ion) shorten  $\Omega_A < 1$  duration despite reductions in estuarine pH. This carbonate mechanism is also responsible for the increased sensitivity of model  $\Omega_A$  to  $TA_f$  at high  $pH_f$ . At low  $pH_f$ , the carbonate equilibrium shifts are strong enough to stabilize  $\Omega_A < 1$  duration to  $TA_f$  (DIC<sub>f</sub>) changes, but at high  $pH_f$  these equilibrium shifts are weak and DIC<sub>f</sub> ( $CO_3^{2-}$ ) increases dominate.
- 15 Our model sensitivity studies demonstrate the impact of freshwater carbonate chemistry on the marine environment beyond the simple bulk mixing case. Air-sea gas exchange, biological activity, dynamic mixing, and estuarine circulation make these studies more realistic, yet also more complicated. Hofmann et al. (2009) found that adding a biogeochemical model to a prescribed physical mixing scenario in the highly polluted Scheldt estuary decreased the low-salinity pH minimum due to strong remineralization of abundant anthropogenic organic carbon (Frankignoulle et al., 1996) and increased pH at higher
- 20 salinity due to intense outgassing (Schiettecatte et al., 2006). In contrast the Fraser River estuary is biologically productive and deep (model site is deeper than 300 m) and much of the organic matter produced locally sinks and is remineralized well below the surface layer (Johannessen et al., 2008) resulting in increased estuarine pH across the salinity range during summer (Ianson et al., 2016) compared with the two-endmember mixing model. Still, this simplified case reproduces the overall estuarine pH sensitivity that we observe in the coupled model.

# 25 4.2 Implications for other estuaries

Present-day carbonate chemistry in world rivers covers a large range (DIC or TA from ~100 to 7000  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup>; Fig. 6). Pollution drives part of this variability (black symbols, Fig. 6) as does latitude, in large part from the presence of carbonate rocks in many temperate drainage basins (Amiotte Suchet et al., 2003). Seasonal biological productivity may also play a strong role in some temperate rivers by drawing down DIC, at least near the river mouth. In the Fraser River the productive season

30 occurs during high flow keeping DIC:TA low (pH high), while other rivers experience an increase in DIC:TA from low flow to high flow (Fig. 6c, d). The low flow DIC:TA ratio in the Fraser is thus uniquely high (Fig. 6c), although it is less able to exert influence on neighboring ocean waters due to a smaller river plume and storm-induced winter mixing. DIC and TA in most rivers are strongly flow (or seasonally) dependent, particularly the tropical rivers (Fig. 6).





5

The sensitivity of estuarine pH to this flow dependence (seasonal TA<sub>f</sub> variability) in the simple mixing model changes significantly with freshwater DIC and TA ( $\Delta$ pH, Fig. 5d). This sensitivity is large ( $\Delta$ pH > 0.1) and centered at low salinity (8-10) over the Fraser River TA<sub>f</sub> range (500-1,350  $\mu$ eq kg<sup>-1</sup>, yellow line), but is greatly reduced ( $\Delta$ pH < 0.05) and shifted to higher salinity (15-20) over a higher (but similar width) TA<sub>f</sub> range approximately representative of the Mississippi River (2115-2870  $\mu$ eq kg<sup>-1</sup>, solid black line; Table 3). Likewise,  $\Delta$ pH is high ( $\Delta$ pH > 0.1) and at low *S* (4-5) for a lower TA<sub>f</sub> range representative of the tropical Amazon River (246-549  $\mu$ eq kg<sup>-1</sup>, teal line; Table 3), and is reduced ( $\Delta$ pH < 0.04) over a similar TA<sub>f</sub> range to the Amazon but shifted slightly higher to represent the Arctic Lena River (651-860  $\mu$ eq kg<sup>-1</sup>, black dash line; Table 3).

For our endmember mixing curves (Fig. 5) across an even larger range of mean TA<sub>f</sub> (0-6000  $\mu$ eq kg<sup>-1</sup>), overall estuarine 10 pH sensitivity ( $\Delta$ pH) to a given TA<sub>f</sub> range ( $\Delta$ TA<sub>f</sub>) decreases (Fig. 7a) and salinity at maximum  $\Delta$ pH increases (Fig. 7b) with increasing mean TA<sub>f</sub> (TA<sub>f</sub>). While these dependencies are estimated using endmembers specific to the Fraser-Strait of Georgia system, we propose that these relationships have broader implications for rivers and their neighboring estuaries. Specifically, mean river TA (TA<sub>f</sub>) primarily determines estuarine pH sensitivity ( $\Delta$ pH and S at maximum  $\Delta$ pH) to river TA variability ( $\Delta$ TA<sub>f</sub>). As such, low TA rivers like the Kennebec (yellow triangle right), Ob (white circle), and Yenisey (white

- 15 square, Fig. 7) may have larger seasonal  $\Delta pH$  variations at lower salinities than high TA rivers like the Changjiang (black circle) and Mackenzie (white diamond), despite sharing similar  $\Delta TA_f$ . Conversely, rivers with similar  $\Delta TA_f$ :  $\overline{TA_f}$  ratios (e.g., Lena (white triangle up), Mackenzie (white diamond), Changiang (black circle), Mississippi (black diamond); Fig. 7a) may share similar  $\Delta pH$  maxima as the increase in  $\overline{TA_f}$  offsets the increase in  $\Delta TA_f$ , but salinity at maximum  $\Delta pH$  would still increase with  $\overline{TA_f}$  independently of  $\Delta TA_f$  (Fig. 7b).
- 20 Thus, for arbitrary rivers sharing the same Strait of Georgia seawater endmember, the estuaries of high TA rivers experience smaller seasonal estuarine pH ranges than those of low TA rivers over similar seasonal freshwater TA range widths. However, these high TA river estuaries also experience their zones of strongest seasonal pH sensitivity at higher salinities than their low TA counterparts, which likely impact a larger areal extent of the estuary (this zone is different than the zone of maximum pH sensitivity to changes in DIC alone which occurs at DIC~TA (Egleston et al., 2010)). In this regard, seasonal pH changes in
- 25 the Strait of Georgia driven by mixing alone are large ( $\Delta pH > 0.15$ ) because the Fraser (red star, Fig. 7a) is relatively low in TA but has a relatively high seasonal TA range relative to other world rivers. However, this large pH range is centered below salinity 10 so the impact on the estuary may not be as severe.

#### 4.3 Implications for future climate

In the coming decades, weathering rates and mean river TA are unlikely to change significantly due to climate (Riebe et al., 2001). In contrast, increasing atmospheric  $pCO_2$  will increase DIC in the ocean and most likely in rivers as well. River DIC<sub>f</sub> may be influenced by many additional variables, including changes in freshwater flow, human pressures (local anthropogenic inputs) and anticipated increases in river temperature. For example, the present day glacial DIC and TA end-member yields a high DIC:TA ratio relative to most world rivers (Fig. 6c, d), but is in equilibrium with the atmosphere at a river temperature of 0°C (Meire et al., 2015). If this pure glacier water were to experience a 10°C increase during its passage to the ocean, then





5

outgassing would decrease  $\text{DIC}_f$  by about 10% (~9  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup>) if it remained in atmospheric equilibrium. However, its pH would stay about the same (slight increase) in this scenario.

While the present study finds a maximum estuarine pH sensitivity to river TA at relatively low salinity (~10 for the Fraser–Strait of Georgia endmembers, Fig. 5c), DIC changes to the system independent of TA generally impact estuarine pH most severely where DIC ~ TA (Egleston et al. (2010),  $S \sim 12-20$  for the Fraser–Strait of Georgia), creating a salinity zone of particularly strong sensitivity (Hu and Cai, 2013). These future DIC increases will shift this zone to higher salinities. As the surface areas of various salinity zones in an estuary generally increase away from the river, this shift would increase the areal size of this highly sensitive zone at present-day river flows.

A warming climate has (Zhang et al., 2001) and will continue (Morrison et al., 2014) to reduce the peak freshet flows of glacial, temperate rivers and move the freshet timing earlier. Winter flows may increase, which may increase the sensitivity of estuaries to river chemistry during these low flow times beyond our model (currently insensitive) predictions. However, the surface Strait of Georgia is relatively acidic compared with oceanic extrapolations of fresh water endmembers from the otherwise similar, glacially-fed temperate Corcovado estuary in Chile (Torres et al., 2011), despite the Fraser having higher alkalinity than what the Puelo River appears to have. In fact the surface Strait of Georgia is already aragonite-undersaturated

15 for the whole winter season (Fig. 4g). Unsurprisingly, the river has the strongest impact during years with the highest river flows. These years have the lowest summer pH and  $\Omega_A$  when river carbonate chemistry remains constant (not shown, (Moore-Maley et al., 2016)). Thus as climate change continues to reduce peak flows, the impact of rivers on neighboring estuaries may decrease overall despite changing river carbonate chemistry.

#### 5 Conclusions

- The Fraser River is moderately alkaline (TA =  $500-1350 \ \mu \text{mol kg}^{-1}$ ) due to chemical weathering, however, its DIC:TA ratio is high during winter (low flow), even relative to rivers that are considered heavily polluted. While it contributes less freshwater and TA to the Northeast Pacific ocean than does the Columbia River, it exerts strong influence over a large, semi-enclosed estuarine region that is vulnerable to climate change and DIC-rich relative to the open ocean. We assessed recent and historic observations of the carbonate system in the river and its estuary, the southern Strait of Georgia. We then investigated the
- 25 sensitivity of surface estuarine pH and  $\Omega_A$  to river TA and pH (18 scenarios) using a predictive biogeochemical model over more than a decade of present-day physical forcing scenarios with strong interannual variability.

Estimated freshwater TA endmembers extrapolated from Strait of Georgia cruise data and Fraser River TA observations near the mouth decrease with increasing river flow, as is the case in most temperate rivers. However, pH is highest during peak flow despite this low alkalinity and significant summer warming, likely due to biological uptake of DIC. This seasonal variation

30 means that, unlike some other rivers (e.g., Congo), the Fraser River is most acidic (high DIC:TA) during low flow when river impact on the estuary is at a minimum. The Fraser is always well undersaturated with respect to aragonite ( $\Omega_A \le 0.1$ ), typical of the more acidic Arctic and tropical rivers.





5

Within the Fraser's Strait of Georgia estuary, including our model site ( $\sim 25$  km seaward of the river mouth), the river plays a key role in driving strong seasonal cycles in physical and biogeochemical variables. For roughly three months during summer, surface salinity is low and variable, ranging from 5–20, depending on strength and timing of the Fraser freshet. In contrast, winter conditions in the estuary are less variable and more saline, typically near 25 (always < 30). Like in the Fraser, surface pH in the Strait of Georgia is high during the summer freshet (8.1–8.35) when biological productivity throughout the Strait is also high, as is typical of temperate systems. This summer estuarine pH is sensitive to both river TA and DIC:TA ratio (pH),

but large DIC fluxes associated with the summer productivity reduces the sensitivity to the latter. Winter estuarine pH is always around 8.0 and relatively insensitive to river TA and DIC:TA ratio since river flow is at a minimum.

During freshets when salinity is low (< 20) in neighboring estuaries, surface  $\Omega_A$  becomes decoupled from pH due to the 10 impact of calcium and carbonate ion dilution. Thus, despite high productivity in the southern Strait of Georgia (high pH) during summer, there are long periods (up to 40 days) of surface  $\Omega_A$  undersaturation during strong freshets, which occur in nearly half of the years studied. These periods occur regardless of river carbonate chemistry, excepting the single highest freshwater TA and pH scenario, which represents only the strongly alkaline rivers at present day like the Mississippi, Changjiang, and Mackenzie. The duration of these undersaturated periods is less sensitive to river TA at high river DIC:TA (low pH) than is

15 estuarine pH. However, low river DIC:TA (high pH) allows river TA to exert more control, decreasing this duration and even its incidence, as may have been the case in many estuaries, including the Fraser, in the past.

While the sensitivity of estuarine pH to changes in river TA is low for alkaline (high TA) rivers, the salinity zone of this sensitivity is high and potentially covers large areal extents. Conversely, the greater sensitivity of estuarine pH for low TA rivers is maximized at low salinities and thus may be confined to smaller sections of the estuary. However, in the future as

- 20 atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> continues to dissolve into aquatic systems, estuarine pH sensitivity to river TA will increase in the estuaries of moderately alkaline rivers like the Fraser because of rising DIC:TA. These DIC:TA increases may also reduce the ability of rivers like the Fraser to buffer against estuarine surface aragonite undersaturation. Additionally, like the zones of maximum estuarine pH sensitivity to freshwater TA, the zones of maximum estuarine pH sensitivity to DIC changes (DIC $\sim$ TA) will be pushed to higher salinities as well, likely affecting larger areal extents of estuaries, depending on changes in river flow.
- 25 Code and data availability. Model source code and run scripts will be hosted by the UBC Salish Sea bitbucket repository at https://bitbucket. org/salishsea prior to publication. Results files for the sensitivity experiments will be hosted at the Abacus Dataverse Network which is a research data repository of the British Columbia Research Libraries' Data Services http://dvn.library.ubc.ca/dvn, prior to publication. Model initialization data is available from the Fisheries and Oceans Canada Institute of Ocean Sciences Data Archive http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc. ca/science/oceans/data-donnees/search-recherche/profiles-eng.asp. Carbon cruise data from the Fraser River plume presented in Ianson et al.
- 30 (2016) will be hosted at the U.S. Department of Energy's ESS-DIVE data repository prior to publication. Carbon cruise data from the Fraser River plume presented in de Mora (1983) are published entirely in de Mora (1981). All other data presented in this article are available from their cited URL locations.





Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

*Acknowledgements.* This work was supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery grant to the third author, Fisheries and Oceans Canada's Climate Change Science Initiative and International Governance Strategy programs, and the Marine Environmental Observation, Prediction, and Response (MEOPAR) Network of Centres of Excellence of Canada. We thank Sophia Johannessen and Robie Macdonald at the Fisheries and Oceans Canada Institute of Ocean Sciences (IOS) for sharing their Fraser

- 5 Sophia Johannessen and Robie Macdonald at the Fisheries and Oceans Canada Institute of Ocean Sciences (IOS) for sharing their Fraser Plume carbon data, Diane Masson and Peter Chandler (IOS) for sharing their Strait of Georgia survey data, and Eleanor Simpson and Karen Kohfeld (Simon Fraser University), Marty Davelaar (IOS), Yves Perrault (Little Wing Oysters) and Andre Comeau (Okeover Organic Oysters) for collecting and sharing data from the Freke Ancho River. We thank Doug Latornell at UBC for developing the model working environment, and Robie Macdonald and Paul Covert for providing helpful insights. The second author thanks Niki Gruber for his hospitality
- 10 at ETH-Zurich while this manuscript was completed. We also acknowledge three anonymous reviewers for their detailed feedback.





## References

- Allen, S., D., L., Olson, E., and Pawlowicz, R.: Timing of the spring phytoplankton bloom in the Strait of Georgia, 2015 and 2016., in: State of the physical, biological and selected fishery resources of Pacific Canadian marine ecosystems in 2015., edited by Chandler, P., King, S., and Perry, I., vol. 3179, pp. 147–152, Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci., Canada, 2016.
- 5 Allen, S. E. and Wolfe, M. A.: Hindcast of the timing of the spring phytoplankton bloom in the Strait of Georgia, 1968-2010, Prog. Oceanogr., 115, 6–13, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2013.05.026, 2013.
  - Amiotte Suchet, P., Probst, J., and Ludwig, W.: Worldwide distribution of continental rock lithology: Implications for the atmospheric/soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by continental weathering and alkalinity river transport to the oceans, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 17, 1038, doi:10.1029/2002GB001891, 2003.
- 10 Anderson, D. H. and Robinson, R. J.: Rapid electrometric determination of alkalinity of sea water using glass electrode, Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed., 18, 767–769, doi:10.1021/i560160a011, 1946.
  - Bianucci, L., Denman, K. L., and Ianson, D.: Low oxygen and high inorganic carbon on the Vancouver Island Shelf, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 116, C07011, doi:10.1029/2010JC006720, 2011.

Byrne, R. H., Robert-Baldo, G., Thompson, S. W., and Chen, C. T. A.: Seawater pH measurements: an at-sea comparison of spectrophoto-

- 15 metric and potentiometric methods, Deep Sea Res., 35, 405–410, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(88)90091-X, 1988.
  - Cai, W.: Riverine inorganic carbon flux and rate of biological uptake in the Mississippi River plume, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1032, doi:10.1029/2002GL016312, 2003.
    - Cai, W., Wang, Y., and Hodson, R. E.: Acid-base properties of dissolved organic matter in the estuarine waters of Georgia, USA, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 62, 473–483, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(97)00363-3, 1998.
- 20 Cai, W., Hu, X., Huang, W., Murrell, M. C., Lehrter, J. C., Lohrenz, S. E., Chou, W., Zhai, W., Hollibaugh, J. T., Wang, Y., Zhao, P., Guo, X., Gundersen, K., Dai, M., and Gong, G.: Acidification of subsurface coastal waters enhanced by eutrophication, Nature Geosci., 4, 766–770, doi:10.1038/ngeo1297, 2011.
  - Cameron, E. M. and Hattori, K.: Strontium and neodymium isotope ratios in the Fraser River, British Columbia: a riverine transect across the Cordilleran orogen, Chem. Geol., 137, 243–253, doi:10.1016/S0009-2541(96)00168-4, 1997.
- 25 Cloern, J. E., Foster, S. Q., and Kleckner, A. E.: Phytoplankton primary production in the world's estuarine-coastal ecosystems, Biogeosci., 11, 2477–2501, doi:10.5194/bg-11-2477-2014, 2014.
  - Cloern, J. E., Abreu, P. C., Carstensen, J., Chauvaud, L., Elmgren, R., Grall, J., Greening, H., Johansson, J. O. R., Kahru, M., Sherwood, E. T., Xu, J., and Yin, K.: Human activities and climate variability drive fast-paced change across the world's estuarine-coastal ecosystems, Glob. Change Biol., 22, 513–529, doi:10.1111/gcb.13059, 2015.
- 30 Collins, A. K., Allen, S. E., and Pawlowicz, R.: The role of wind in determining the timing of the spring bloom in the Strait of Georgia, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 66, 1597–1616, doi:10.1139/F09-071, 2009.
  - Covington, A. K., Whalley, P. D., and Davison, W.: Procedures for the measurement of pH in low ionic strength solutions including freshwater, Analyst, 108, 1528–1532, doi:10.1039/AN9830801528, 1983.
- de Fátima F. L. Rasera, M., Krusche, A. V., E.Richey, J., Ballester, M. V. R., and Victória, R. L.: Spatial and temporal variability of pCO<sub>2</sub>
   and CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in seven Amazonian rivers, Biogeochemistry, 116, 241–259, doi:10.1007/s10533-013-9854-0, 2013.
  - de Mora, S. J.: Manganese chemistry in the Fraser Estuary, Ph.D. thesis, University of British Columbia, 1981.





de Mora, S. J.: The distribution of alkalinity and pH in the Fraser Estuary, Environ. Technol. Lett., 4, 35-46, doi:10.1080/09593338309384169, 1983.

Dickson, A. G.: The measurement of sea water pH, Mar. Chem., 44, 131-142, doi:10.1016/0304-4203(93)90198-W, 1993.

Dickson, A. G., Sabine, C. L., and Christian, J. R.: Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Measurements, PICES Special Publication 3, cdiac.ornl.gov/oceans/Handbook\_2007.html, 2007.

5

- ECCC: Summary of standard operating procedure for: alkalinity by electrometric titration, Environment and Climate Change Canada Chemistry Section, Pacific Environmental Science Centre, v3.4, 2006.
- Egleston, E. S., Sabine, C. L., and Morel, F. M. M.: Revelle revisited: Buffer factors that quantify the response of ocean chemistry to changes in DIC and alkalinity, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 24, GB1002, doi:10.1029/2008GB003407, 2010.
- 10 Ethier, A. and Bedard, J.: Development of a real-time water quality buoy for the Fraser River Estuary, AXYS Technologies Inc., Sidney, British Columbia, 2007.
  - Evans, W., Hales, B., and Strutton, P. G.: pCO<sub>2</sub> distributions and air-water CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in the Columbia River estuary, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 117, 260-272, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2012.12.003, 2013.

Fennel, K., Hu, J., Laurent, A., Marta-Almeida, M., and Hetland, R.: Sensitivity of hypoxia predictions for the northern Gulf of Mexico to

- 15 sediment oxygen consumption and model nesting, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 118, 990-1002, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20077, 2013.
- Frankignoulle, M., Bourge, I., and Wollast, R.: Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in a highly polluted estuary (the Scheldt), Limnol. Oceanogr., 41, 365-369, doi:10.4319/lo.1996.41.2.0365, 1996.

Gibbs, R. J.: Water chemistry of the Amazon River, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 36, 1061–1066, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(72)90021-X, 1972.

Haigh, R., Ianson, D., Holt, C. A., Neate, H. E., and Edwards, A. M.: Effects of ocean acidification on temperate coastal marine ecosystems and fisheries in the Northeast Pacific, PLoS ONE, 10, e0117533, doi:doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117533, 2015. 20

- Hellings, L., Dehairs, F., Damme, S. V., and Baeyens, W.: Dissolved inorganic carbon in a highly polluted estuary (the Scheldt), Limnol. Oceanogr., 46, 1406-1414, doi:10.4319/lo.2001.46.6.1406, 2001.
  - Hernández-Ayón, J. M., Zirino, A., Dickson, A. G., Camiro-Vargas, T., and Valenzuela-Espinoza, E.: Estimating the contribution of organic bases from microalgae to the titration alkalinity in coastal seawaters, Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods, 5, 225-232,

25 doi:10.4319/lom.2007.5.225, 2007.

- Hofmann, A. F., Middleburg, J. J., Soetaert, K., and Meysman, F. J. R.: pH modelling in aquatic systems with time-variable acid-base dissociation constants applied to the turbid, tidal Scheldt estuary, Biogeosci., 6, 1539–1561, doi:10.5194/bg-6-1539-2009, 2009.
- Hu, X. and Cai, W.: Estuarine acidification and minimum buffer zone A conceptual study, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 5176-5181, doi:10.1002/grl.51000, 2013.
- Hunt, C. W., Salisbury, J. E., and Vandemark, D.: Contribution of non-carbonate anions to total alkalinity and overestimation of pCO<sub>2</sub> in 30 New England and New Brunswick rivers, Biogeosci., 8, 3069-3076, doi:10.5194/bg-8-3069-2011, 2011.
  - Hunt, C. W., Salisbury, J. E., and Vandemark, D.: CO2 input dynamics and air-sea exchange in a large New England estuary, Estuar. Coast., 37, 1078-1091, doi:10.1007/s12237-013-9749-2, 2014.

Ianson, D., Allen, S. E., Harris, S. L., Orians, K. J., Varela, D. E., and Wong, C. S.: The inorganic carbon system in the coastal upwelling

region west of Vancouver Island, Canada, Deep Sea Res. I, 50, 1023-1042, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(03)00114-6, 2003. 35 Ianson, D., Allen, S. E., Moore-Maley, B. L., Johannessen, S. C., and Macdonald, R. W.: Vulnerability of a semi-enclosed estuarine sea to ocean acidification in contrast with hypoxia, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 5793-5801, doi:10.1002/2016GL068996, 2016.





- Irigoien, X. and Castel, J.: Light limitation and distribution of chlorophyll pigments in a highly turbid estuary: the Gironde (SW France), Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 44, 507–517, doi:10.1006/ecss.1996.0132, 1997.
- Jiang, L., Feely, R. A., Carter, B. R., Greeley, D. J., Gledhill, D. K., and Arzayus, K. M.: Climatological distribution of aragonite saturation state in the global oceans, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 29, 1656–1673, doi:10.1002/2015GB005198, 2015.
- 5 Johannessen, S. C., Potentier, G., Wright, C. A., Masson, D., and Macdonald, R. W.: Water column organic carbon in a Pacific marginal sea (Strait of Georgia, Canada), Mar. Environ. Res., 66, S49–S61, doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2008.07.008, 2008.

Kennedy, V. C.: Minerology and cation-exchange capacity of sediments from selected streams, Prof. Paper 433-D, U.S. Geol. Surv., 1965.

- Kim, H. and Lee, K.: Significant contribution of dissolved organic matter to seawater alkalinity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L20603, doi:10.1029/2009GL040271, 2009.
- 10 Koeve, W. and Oschlies, A.: Potential impact of DOM accumulation on fCO<sub>2</sub> and carbonate ion computations in ocean acidification experiments, Biogeosci., 9, 3787–3798, doi:10.5194/bg-9-3787-2012, 2012.

LeBlond, P. H.: The Strait of Georgia: functional anatomy of a coastal sea, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 40, 1033–1063, doi:10.1139/f83-128, 1983.

Lewis, E. R. and Wallace, D. W. R.: Program Developed for CO<sub>2</sub> System Calculations, DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), ORNL/CDIAC-

15 105, 1998.

- Masson, D.: Seasonal water mass analysis for the Straits of Juan de Fuca and Georgia, Atmos. Ocean, 44, 1–15, doi:10.3137/ao.440101, 2006.
- Masson, D. and Peña, A.: Chlorophyll distribution in a temperate estuary: The Strait of Georgia and Juan de Fuca Strait, Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci., 82, 19–28, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2008.12.022, 2009.
- 20 Meire, L., S/ogaard, D. H., Mortensen, J., Meysman, R. J. R., Soetaert, K., Arendt, K. E., Juul-Pedersen1, T., Blicher, M. E., and Rysgaard, S.: Glacial meltwater and primary production are drivers of strong CO2 uptake in fjord and coastal waters adjacent to the Greenland Ice Sheet, Biogeosciences, 12, 2347–2363, 2015.
  - Meybeck, M.: Global chemical weathering of surficial rocks estimated from river dissolved loads, Am. J. Sci., 287, 401–428, doi:10.2475/ajs.287.5.401, 1987.
- 25 Millero, F. J.: Carbonate constants for estuarine waters, Mar. Fresh. Res., 61, 139–142, doi:10.1071/MF09254, 2010.
  - Mook, W. G. and Koene, B. K. S.: Chemistry of dissolved inorganic carbon in estuarine and coastal brackish waters, Estuar. Coast. Mar. Sci., 3, 325–336, doi:10.1016/0302-3524(75)90032-8, 1975.
  - Moore-Maley, B. L., Allen, S. E., and Ianson, D.: Locally-driven interannual variability of near-surface pH and  $\Omega_A$  in the Strait of Georgia, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 121, 1600–1625, doi:10.1002/2015JC011118, 2016.
- 30 Morrison, J., Callendar, W., Foreman, M. G. G., Masson, D., and Fine, I.: A model simulation of future oceanic conditions along the British Columbia continental shelf. Part I: Forcing fields and initial conditions, Atmos. Ocean, 52, 1–19, doi:10.1080/07055900.2013.868340, 2014.
  - Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J., and Upstill-Goddard, R. C.: In situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange parametrizations using novel conservative and volatile tracers, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 14, 373–387,
- doi:10.1029/1999GB900091, 2000.
  - Pawlowicz, R., Riche, O., and Halverson, M.: The circulation and residence time of the Strait of Georgia using a simple mixing-box approach, Atmos. Ocean, 45, 173–193, doi:10.3137/ao.450401, 2007.





Peña, A., Masson, D., and Callendar, W.: Annual plankton dynamics in a coupled physical-biological model of the Strait of Georgia, British Columbia, Prog. Oceanogr., 146, 58–74, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2016.06.002, 2016.

Preston-Thomas, H.: The international temperature scale of 1990 (ITS-90), Metrologia, 27, 3–10, doi:10.1088/0026-1394/27/1/002, 1990.

Riche, O.: Time-dependent inverse box-model for the estuarine circulation and primary productivity in the Strait of Georgia, Ph.D. thesis,

5 University of British Columbia, 2011.

doi:10.1016/j.gca.2013.09.006, 2014.

- Richey, J. E., Hedges, J. I., Devol, A. H., Quay, P. D., Victoria, R., Martinelli, L., and Forsberg, B. R.: Biogeochemistry of carbon in the Amazon River, Limnol. Oceanogr., 35, 352–371, doi:10.4319/lo.1990.35.2.0352, 1990.
- Riebe, C. S., Kirchner, J. W., Granger, D. E., and Finkel, R. C.: Strong tectonic and weak climatic control of long-term chemical weathering rates, Geol., 29, 511–514, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029<0511:STAWCC>2.0.CO;2, 2001.
- 10 Riley, J. P. and Tongudai, M.: The major cation/chlorinity ratios in sea water, Chem. Geol., 2, 263–269, doi:10.1016/0009-2541(67)90026-5, 1967.

Roegner, G. C., Seaton, C., and Baptista, A. M.: Climatic and tidal forcing of hydrography and chlorophyll concentrations in the Columbia River Estuary, Estuar. Coast., 34, 281–296, doi:10.1007/s12237-010-9340-z, 2011.

Salisbury, J., Green, M., Hunt, C., and Campbell, J.: Coastal acidification by rivers: a threat to shellfish?, Eos Trans. AGU, 89, 513, doi:10.1029/2008EO500001, 2008.

Sarmiento, J. L. and Gruber, N.: Ocean Biogeochemical Dynamics, Princeton University Press, 2006.

- Schiettecatte, L., Gazeau, F., van der Zee, C., Brion, N., and Borges, A. V.: Time series of the partial pressure of carbon dioxide (2001-2004) and preliminary inorganic carbon budget in the Scheldt plume (Belgian coastal waters), Geochem. Geophys. Geosys., 7, Q06009, doi:10.1029/2005GC001161, 2006.
- Schindler, D. W.: Effects of acid rain on freshwater ecosystems, Science, 239, 149–157, doi:10.1126/science.239.4836.149, 1988.
   Torres, R., Pantoja, S., Harada, N., González, H. E., Daneri, G., Frangopulos, M., Rutllant, J. A., Duarte, C. M., Rúiz-Halpern, S., Mayol, E., and Fukasawa, M.: Air-sea CO2 fluxes along the coast of Chile: From CO2 outgassing in central northern upwelling waters to CO2 uptake in southern Patagonian fjords, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 116, doi:10.1029/2010JC006344, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1029/2010JC006344, co9006, 2011.
- 25 UNESCO: The Practical Salinity Scale 1978 and the International Equation of State of Seawater 1980, Tech. rep., UNESCO technical papers in marine science No. 36, 1981.

Voss, B. M., Peucker-Ehrenbrink, B., Eglinton, T. I., Fiske, G., Wang, Z. A., Hoering, K. A., Montluçon, D. B., LeCroy, C., Pal, S., Marsh, S., Gillies, S. L., Janmaat, A., Bennett, M., Downey, B., Fanslau, J., Fraser, H., Macklam-Harron, G., Martinec, M., and Wiebe, B.: Tracing river chemistry in space and time: dissolved inorganic constituents of the Fraser River, Canada, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 124, 283–308,

30

15

Waldbusser, G. G. and Salisbury, J. E.: Ocean acidification in the coastal zone from an organism's perspective: multiple system parameters, frequency domains, and habitats, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 6, 221–247, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-121211-172238, 2014.

Waldbusser, G. G., Hales, B., Langdon, C. J., Haley, B. A., Schrader, P., Brunner, E. L., Gray, M. W., Miller, C. A., and Gimenez, I.: Saturation-state sensitivity of marine bivalve larvae to ocean acidification, Nat. Clim. Change, 5, 273–280, doi:10.1038/nclimate2479,

- **35** 2015.
  - Wang, Z. A., Bienvenu, D. J., Mann, P. J., Hoering, K. A., Poulsen, J. R., Spencer, R. G. M., and Holmes, R. M.: Inorganic carbon speciation and fluxes in the Congo River, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 511–516, doi:10.1002/grl.50160, 2013.





Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 7373–7382, doi:10.1029/92JC00188, 1992.

Weiss, R. F.: Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: the solubility of a non-ideal gas, Mar. Chem., 2, 203-215, doi:10.1016/0304-4203(74)90015-2, 1974.

- 5 West, A. J., Galy, A., and Bickle, M.: Tectonic and climatic controls on silicate weathering, Earth Planetar. Sci. Lett., 235, 211–228, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.03.020, 2005.
  - Wheeler, J. O., Brookfield, A. J., Gabrielse, H., Monger, J. W. H., Tipper, H. W., and Woodsworth, G. J.: Terrane map of the Canadian Cordillera, Map 1713A, Geol. Surv. Can., scale 1:200,000, 1991.
  - White, D. A. and Visser, J. M.: Water quality change in the Mississippi River, including a warming river, explains decades of wetland plant
- 10 biomass change within its Balize delta, Aquat. Botany, 132, 5–11, doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2016.02.007, 2016.
  - Whitfield, M. and Turner, D. R.: The carbon dioxide system in estuaries an inorganic perspective, Sci. Total Environ., 49, 235–255, doi:10.1016/0048-9697(86)90243-3, 1986.

Wickland, K. P., Aiken, G. R., Butler, K., Dornblaser, M. M., Spencer, R. G. M., and Striegl, R. G.: Biodegradability of dissolved organic carbon in the Yukon River and its tributaries: Seasonality and importance of inorganic nitrogen, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 26, GB0E03,

- 15 doi:10.1029/2012GB004342, 2012.
  - Zhai, W., Dai, M., and Guo, X.: Carbonate system and CO<sub>2</sub> degassing fluxes in the inner estuary of Changjiang (Yangtze) River, China, Mar. Chem., 107, 342–356, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2007.02.011, 2007.
  - Zhang, X., Havery, K. D., Hogg, W. D., and Yuzyk, T. R.: Trends in Canadian Streamflow, Water Resources Res., 37, 987–998, doi:10.1029/2000WR900357, 2001.







**Figure 1.** Maps of (a) the Fraser River watershed with geologic belts (Wheeler et al., 1991), and (b) the Fraser River delta and Strait of Georgia with Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) carbon chemistry sampling sites (2-4) in green, ECCC meteorological stations (5-6) and river gauging stations (4, 7) in yellow, and the model location (1) in red. TA measurements (2-4) and pH readings at the ECCC Fraser River Water Quality Buoy (3) are used to constrain Fraser River carbon chemistry (Table 1). The model is forced with hourly windspeed (6) and meteorlogical (5) observations (http://climate.weather.gc.ca/), and daily Fraser (4) and Englishman (7) River discharge (http://wateroffice.ec.gc.ca).







**Figure 2.** TA versus salinity (*S*) regressions from several cruises near the Fraser River plume prior to 1980 (de Mora, 1983) and post-2009 (Ianson et al., 2016). Cruise ID numbers (legend) begin with the sampling year. Each cruise contains at least one datapoint at S < 20. TA is generally conservative with *S* except near S = 0 (de Mora, 1983) – observations where S < 0.1 are excluded from the regressions. Extrapolated TA values to S = 0 are used as freshwater TA endmember estimates (Table 1).







Figure 3. Fraser River TA (a) extrapolated endmembers, (b) observations (ECCC) near the river mouth, and (c) observations (ECCC) at Hope, BC, and (d) ECCC buoy pH observations (Table 1) all versus Fraser River discharge near the mouth estimated (Pawlowicz et al., 2007) from flow observations at the Hope ECCC flow gauge (http://www.wateroffice.ec.gc.ca/; Fig. 1a). Solid symbols (a) are the observed TA at S = 0, where available, from the corresponding open symbol cruise extrapolations. Cruise numbers (a) are the same as in Fig. 2 and correspond to de Mora (1983) prior to 1980 and Ianson et al. (2016) post 2010. The fits shown are least-squares regressions where  $Q_n = Q/1000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , Q being the estimated discharge near the river mouth. The regressions are used to define flow-dependent TA scenarios for testing model pH and  $\Omega_A$  sensitivity.







Figure 4. Model (a) mean, standard deviation, and min/max S calculated across the period 2001-2012, and 2001-2012 boxplots of estuarine (b, c) DIC:TA and (d, e) pH averaged over (b, d) S < 20 and (c, e)  $S \ge 20$ , and (f) summer and (g) winter estuarine  $\Omega_A < 1$  duration with 6 increasing mean annual TA<sub>f</sub> scenarios (horizontal axis, Table 2) for each of 3 pH<sub>f</sub> scenarios (grey/white sections) moving left to right from low pH<sub>f</sub> (high DIC<sub>f</sub>:TA<sub>f</sub>) to high pH<sub>f</sub> (low DIC<sub>f</sub>:TA<sub>f</sub>). Model S, DIC, TA, pH and  $\Omega_a$  are 0-3 m averages. Each box indicates the median, standard deviation about the mean, and 95% confidence intervals across the 12 years of runs. In (f), each box only contains runs that exhibited  $\Omega_A < 1$  in summer (total labelled above each box).







**Figure 5.** Theoretical two-endmember mixing curves of (a) DIC and TA (for  $pH_f = 7.7$  only), (b) pH, and (c) DIC:TA for high flow (TA<sub>f</sub> = 500 µeq kg<sup>-1</sup>, solid line) and low flow (TA<sub>f</sub> = 1350 µeq kg<sup>-1</sup>, dashed line) scenarios at pH<sub>f</sub> of 7.4 (red), 7.7 (black), and 8.0 (green). (d) Seasonal estuarine pH differences ( $\Delta pH$ ) between high flow and low flow TA<sub>f</sub> scenarios (low and high TA<sub>f</sub>, respectively; pH<sub>f</sub> = 7.7) for four theoretical TA<sub>f</sub> ranges (Table 3): a tropical range similar to the Amazon (teal), a polluted range similar to the Mississippi (black), a temperate range similar to the Fraser (yellow), and an Arctic range similar to the Lena (black dash). All mixing curves (a-d) share the same Strait of Georgia seawater properties defined as the mean 40 m model DIC, TA, T, S, Si, and PO<sub>4</sub> values (Moore-Maley et al., 2016), and the same freshwater *T*, Si, and PO<sub>4</sub> values defined as the mean observations near the Fraser River mouth between 2009 and 2011 (Voss et al., 2014). DIC<sub>f</sub> and pH are calculated using CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) and full *S*-range *K*<sub>1</sub> and *K*<sub>2</sub> dissociation constants (Millero, 2010).







**Figure 6.** DIC and TA literature values for selected world rivers (Table 3) at (a, c) low river flow (generally high TA) and (b, d) high river flow (generally low TA). The dash line is the DIC:TA 1:1 line. The legend is in order of increasing latitude. Cyan symbols represent tropical watersheds, black symbols represent urbanized/polluted watersheds, yellow symbols represent temperate watersheds, magenta symbols represent watershed type proxies, and white symbols represent Arctic watersheds. The Fraser River is emphasized as a red star. DIC ranges are calculated first from  $pCO_2$ , next from pH when DIC observations could not be found (Table 3). TA ranges are calculated similarly when unavailable (Maipo and Biobio rivers only). For most rivers, DIC:TA can be significantly greater than 1 (c, d) despite falling visually close to the 1:1 line (a, b). Uncertainty is not shown and not always reported.







**Figure 7.** Contours (light gray) of the magnitude (a) and *S* (b) of maximum seasonal estuarine pH differences ( $\Delta$ pH) between high-low flow, two-endmember mixing curves (Fig. 5d) at pH<sub>f</sub> = 7.7 as a function of mean freshwater TA ( $\overline{TA}_f$ ) and freshwater TA range ( $\Delta TA_f$ ). Red lines in (a) and (b) indicate the region where  $\overline{TA}_f - \Delta TA_f/2 < 0$  (i.e., negative lower  $\Delta TA_f$  limit). For reference,  $\overline{TA}_f - \Delta TA_f$  pairs for the Amazon (teal circle), Congo (teal square), Changjiang (black circle), Maipo (black square), Mississippi (black diamond), Biobio (yellow triangle up), Columbia (yellow triangle down), Kennebec (yellow triangle right), Scheldt (black triangle left), Fraser (red star), Rainfall Proxy (magenta circle), Glacial Proxy (magenta square), Ob (white circle), Yenisey (white square), Mackenzie (white diamond), Lena (white triangle up), Yukon (white triangle down), and Kolyma (right triangle right) Rivers (Table 3) in order of increasing latitude are also shown (a and b). All mixing curves share the same Strait of Georgia seawater endmember defined as the mean 40 m model DIC, TA, T, S, Si, and PO<sub>4</sub> values (Moore-Maley et al., 2016), and the same freshwater T, Si, and PO<sub>4</sub> defined as the mean observations near the Fraser River mouth between 2009 and 2011 (Voss et al., 2014). DIC<sub>f</sub> and pH are calculated using CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) and full *S*-range  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  dissociation constants (Millero, 2010).





Table 1. Datasets used to assess the range and variability of freshwater TA and pH near the Fraser River estuary.

Dataset	Locations(s) <sup>a</sup>	Years	Frequency	Qty	Units <sup>b</sup>	Method
Endmember TA <sup>c</sup>	See de Mora (1983)	1978 – 1979	seasonal	TA	$\mathrm{mmol}\ \mathrm{L}^{-1}$	Anderson and Robinson (1946)
	See Ianson et al. (2016)	2010 - 2012	seasonal	TA	$\mu { m mol}~{ m kg}^{-1}$	Dickson et al. (2007)
Buoy $pH^d$	Main Arm	2008 - 2013	hourly	pН	NIST units <sup><math>e</math></sup>	Ethier and Bedard (2007)
Hope $TA^f$	Норе	1979 – 1999	semi-monthly	TA	mg CaCO $_3$ L $^{-1}$	ECCC (2006)
Rivermouth $TA^{f}$	North and Main Arm	2004 - 2009	semi-monthly	TA	mg CaCO $_3$ L $^{-1}$	ECCC (2006)

<sup>a</sup>See Fig. 1.

<sup>b</sup>TA converted to  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup> for use in the present study (Sect. 2.2).

<sup>*c*</sup> de Mora (1983); Ianson et al. (2016).

<sup>d</sup>ECCC Fraser River Water Quality Buoy (http://aquatic.pyr.ec.gc.ca/RealTimeBuoys/fraserRiverChart.aspx).

<sup>e</sup>National Institute of Standards and Technology scale.

<sup>f</sup>Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC, http://aquatic.pyr.ec.gc.ca/webdataonlinenational).





**Table 2.** SoG TA<sub>f</sub> scenarios based on fits between Fraser River and SoG TA data and the estimated (Pawlowicz et al., 2007) Fraser River discharge, Q, near the delta (Fig. 3).

	Scenario	$TA_f \ (\mu eq \ kg^{-1})^a$	$Q \operatorname{limit}^b$
1	Minimum $TA_f$	500	
2	Endmember Fit	$1116 - 165.3Q_n + 12.5Q_n^2$	< 11,000
3	Rivermouth Fit	$935 - 18.9Q_n$	
4	Mean $TA_f$	900	
5	Hope Fit	$1167Q_n^{-0.157}$	> 400
6	Maximum $TA_f$	1350	

 ${}^{a}Q_{n} = Q/1000 \text{ m}^{3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

<sup>b</sup>Designates the range of Q (m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) where the scenario is valid (closest valid point used beyond this range).



<sup>e</sup> 15 comprehensive campaigns with a focus on freshet, late summer, and under-ice periods; daily samples over the freshet.

<sup>d</sup> Arctic Great Rivers Observatory I constituent data (NSF-1107774, http://www.arcticgreatrivers.org/data.html).



1		1	I						
River	TA	DIC	Hd	$pCO_2$	T	Location	Frequency	Time range	Source
	$(\mu \text{eq kg}^{-1})$	$(\mu mol  kg^{-1})$	(NBS)	$(\mu atm)$	(°C)	(km upstream)			
Amazon	$549-246^{a}$	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	delta	monthly	1963–1964	Gibbs (1972)
	I	$500-600^{b}$	6.5–7.2	I	I	400	8 cruises	1982 - 1984	Richey et al. (1990)
Congo	235-85	258	6.7–5.7	2018-6853	28	350	monthly	2011	Wang et al. (2013)
Changjiang	1970-1575	1995-1575	8.0–7.8	607-1395	7–29	60	4 cruises	2003–2006	Zhai et al. (2007)
Maipo	I	4100–2400	7.4–7.5	6700-3500	23-12	Ι	I	Ι	Ι
Mississippi	2870-2115	2920-2155	I	I	I	delta	2 cruises	Aug-Sep 1998	Cai (2003)
	I	I	I	I	8–30	100	daily	1983–2012	White and Visser (2016)
Biobio	I	1000-850	8.1–7.7	550-700	29–9	Ι	I	I	I
Columbia	1300-600	I	I	I	3–24	100	monthly	1995–2012	Evans et al. $(2013)^c$
	I	Ι	I	735-176	I	estuary	8 cruises	2007–2008	Evans et al. (2013)
Kennebec	510-87	557-110	4.9–7.0	1771–203	27–0	0-50	monthly	2004–2008	(Hunt et al., 2014)
Scheldt	$4600-6500^{b}$	$5000-7000^{b}$	7.9–7.5	4000-14000	25–3	81–96	monthly	1996–1999	(Hellings et al., 2001)
Fraser	1300-500	I	7.4–8.0	I	4-20	Table 1	Table 1	Table 1	Table 1
Ob	$1218-744^{a}$	I	8.0–7.2	I	-2-17	Ι	regularly $^e$	2009–2011	Arctic-GRO I <sup>d</sup>
Yenisey	1128–593 <sup>a</sup>	I	8.3–7.7	I	1-13	Ι	$regularly^e$	2009–2011	Arctic-GRO I <sup>d</sup>
Mackenzie	$1747 - 1350^{a}$	I	8.2–7.8	I	0–15	Ι	$regularly^e$	2009–2011	Arctic-GRO I <sup>d</sup>
Lena	860–651 <sup>a</sup>	I	8.0–7.4	I	0-11	Ι	regularly $^e$	2009–2011	Arctic-GRO I <sup>d</sup>
Yukon	2276–1137 <sup>a</sup>	I	8.1–7.2	I	0-15	Ι	regularly $^e$	2009–2011	Arctic-GRO I <sup>d</sup>
Kolyma	$536-369^{a}$	I	8.2–7.1	I	0-10	I	regularly $^e$	2009–2011	Arctic-GRO I <sup>d</sup>
<sup>a</sup> Reported as	HCO <sup>-</sup> <sub>3</sub> in mg I	Converted u	10.016 sing 60.016	$58 \text{ g HCO}_3^- \text{ mol}$	<sup>-1</sup> molect	ular weight and ap	proximating d	ensity as $10^3$ kg n	n <sup>-3</sup> .
<sup>b</sup> Reported as	$\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> or $\mu$	eq L <sup>-1</sup> . Convert	ed by appre	ximating densit	y as 10 <sup>3</sup> k	${ m g}~{ m m}^{-3}.$			
<sup>c</sup> United State	ss Geological St	urvey (USGS) Na	ational Stre	am Quality Acc	ounting N	etwork (http://wat	er.usgs.gov/na	sqan/).	

Table 3. Ranges (low flow to high flow) of TA, DIC, pH, pCO<sub>2</sub>, and T in several world rivers, in order of increasing latitude.