



1 **Comment on “Growth responses of trees and understory plants to**
2 **nitrogen fertilization in a subtropical forest in China” by Tian et al.**
3 **(2017)**

4

5 Taiki Mori¹

6 ¹Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan

7

8 **Abstract**

9 Negative effects of over-fertilization have been long reported in agricultural field, which
10 is known as fertilizer burn. A recent paper by Tian et al. (2017) reported a result of
11 simulated nitrogen (N) deposition experiment and demonstrated that application of
12 NH₄NO₃ solution significantly reduced small trees, understory saplings, shrubs, seedlings,
13 and ferns, while large trees were not affected by the application. They discussed that the
14 result was due to the reduced light availability and intensified N saturation. I challenge
15 this view, because it is more likely that the negative effects were caused by the monthly
16 application of NH₄NO₃ solution with high concentration (as high as 0.4 M and 0.8 M).
17 Since experiments using liquid NH₄NO₃ are common, careful interpretations are also
18 required for other experiments.



19

20 *Key words: fertilizer burn; nitrogen deposition; nitrogen fertilization; nitrogen saturation*

21

22 **Text**

23 For testing the impacts of elevated nitrogen (N) deposition on ecosystems, including the
24 impacts on forest understory, plenty of manipulating experiments have been performed,
25 some of which applied high concentration of NH_4NO_3 solution as N source. A recently-
26 published paper by Tian et al. (2017) is one of them. They reported a remarkable negative
27 effect of NH_4NO_3 application on small-sized plants including trees, understory saplings,
28 shrubs/seedlings, and ferns, while the effect on large trees was not clear. Tian et al. (2017)
29 attributed the result to the reduced light availability and intensified N saturation.

30 However, I suspect that the negative impact on understory observed by Tian et
31 al. (2017) was due to the high concentration of the added N solution. Nitrogen is one of
32 the most important nutrients for plants, and often applied as a fertilizer in agricultural
33 practices. However, too much usage of the fertilizer can damage or even kill plants, which
34 has been known as “fertilizer burn.” In the case of the Tian et al (2017)’s experiment, it
35 is likely that the high concentration of NH_4NO_3 solution caused foliar fertilizer damage
36 (Neumann *et al.*, 1981), reducing understory vegetation. The NH_4NO_3 solution applied



37 by authors were around 0.4 M and 0.8 M (0.48 and 0.95 kg NH₄NO₃ dissolved in 15L of
38 fresh water) in N50 (50 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), and N100 (100 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) sites, respectively
39 (materials and methods 2.1 in their paper). According to Neumann *et al.* (1981)'s
40 experiment, the concentration at which 20 µl droplets of NH₄NO₃ solutions applied to
41 leaf surface began to induce damage was 0.40 M. Therefore, it is very natural to assume
42 that monthly application of 0.4 M and 0.8 M NH₄NO₃ solution can damage forest
43 understory.

44 Authors tried to explain the decrease in understory vegetation in several parts of
45 the manuscript, but their hypotheses seem less likely compared with the “foliar fertilizer
46 damage” hypothesis. In the discussion section, authors mentioned “*results showed a*
47 *remarkable negative effect of N fertilization on small-sized plants including trees,*
48 *understory saplings, shrubs/seedlings, and ferns. During our field investigation, we also*
49 *found that the average proportion of dead trees (Fig. 2d) tended to increase in N-fertilized*
50 *plots although the result was not statistically significant (p =0.50). Additionally, the*
51 *ground-cover ferns in N100 plots almost disappeared after 3.4-year N fertilization*
52 *(personal observation). Given the high stand density in this mature subtropical forest, we*
53 *suggest that N fertilization might potentially lead to increased self- and alien thinning of*
54 *individuals through decreasing understory light availability* (discussion 4.2 in their



55 paper).” However, the data provided by the Tian et al. (2017)’s experiment did not support
56 this idea. The canopy cover did not increase in their experiment (Table 2 in their paper),
57 indicating that the reduced light availability is not likely to explain the reduced understory.

58 Compared with the suggested mechanism above, another explanation by authors
59 are more plausible. By referring to the stage 3 of Aber *et al.* (1989)’s concept, authors
60 suggested that the decline in understory was due to the intensified N saturation: “*In our*
61 *experiment, the soil acidification and increased soil N concentration in high-N-fertilized*
62 *plots combined with the negative responses of understory plants suggest that the 3.4-year*
63 *N fertilization in this mature subtropical forest site has potentially caused N saturation*
64 (discussion 4.3 in their paper).” However, soil total N content and understory biomass
65 were not correlated (Fig. S1, drawn using data in Tian et al. (2017)’s Supplement),
66 indicating that the elevated N content in their experiment does not necessarily explain the
67 decrease in understory. The direct negative impact of high concentration of NH_4NO_3
68 solution seems to explain the understory decline more successfully.

69 In this note, I suggested a possibility of the direct negative impact of NH_4NO_3
70 application on understory vegetation. This suggestion is important because if this is the
71 case, the negative impact of experimental N application on understory may have been
72 over-estimated in several case studies using liquid NH_4NO_3 application (for example



73 Rainey *et al.*, 1999; Lu *et al.*, 2010). The prediction of the impact of elevated N deposition
74 on understory may be required to be re-considered.

75

76 **References**

77 Aber JD, Nadelhoffer KJ, Steudler P, Melillo JM (1989) Nitrogen Saturation in Northern

78 Forest Ecosystems Published by: University of California Press on behalf of the

79 American Institute of Biological Sciences Stable URL :

80 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1311067> . *BioScience*, **39**, 378–386.

81 Lu X, Mo J, Gilliam FS, Zhou G, Fang Y (2010) Effects of experimental nitrogen

82 additions on plant diversity in an old-growth tropical forest. *Global Change Biology*,

83 **16**, 2688–2700.

84 Neumann PM, Ehrenreich Y, Golab Z (1981) Foliar Fertilizer Damage to Corn Leaves:

85 Relation to Cuticular Penetration. *Agronomy Journal*, **73**, 979–982.

86 Rainey S, Nadelhoffer K, Silver W, Downs M (1999) Effects of chronic nitrogen addition

87 on understory species in a red pine plantation. *Ecological Applications*, **9**, 949–957.

88 Tian D, Li P, Fang W *et al.* (2017) Growth responses of trees and understory plants to

89 nitrogen fertilization in a subtropical forest in China. 3461–3469.