Authors Response to Reviewer 1

Smeaton et al. applied geochemical and geophysical methods to investigate the carbon stock in five representative fjords in Scotland and then used these five fjords using seismic and geochemical data and further modeled these five fjords. Results suggested strong similarity in estimated and calculated carbon stock numbers. They further applied this model to upscale to the national level and calculated the carbon budget in all Scotland fjords. This manuscript presented an interesting case study and also a valuable methodology advisable for future studies. I believe this manuscript is suitable for publication after minor revision.

We thank the reviewer for the very helpful review, which highlights the significance of the national stock estimates and rigorous methodology adopted.

I only have one major concern about the manuscript, or maybe because I did not understand the methodology clearly, which requires further clarification. My understanding is that authors used seismic and carbon data to estimated carbon stock in these five fjords and then correlate them with parameters such as rainfall, catchment area, etc. These parameters were further used separately to calculate the carbon stock in each fjord.

An Excel file detailing the statistical tests and results was has now been attached to the submission further detailing the methodology and providing greater clarity. We ask that this be included with the supplementary material; we make reference to this table in the revised manuscript text (lines 206-208).

In my opinion, I believe it could generate a much more reliable number if the authors could incorporate all the parameters into one equation, such as carbon stock = a*precipitation*catchment area*runoff*tidal range. I am sure the equation could be further optimized based on the available data from these 5 fjords. This method has been largely used by Syvitski et al in modeling sediment discharge from global rivers.

The approach highlighted by the reviewer was undertaken. However, equations utilising all the parameters to determine C stock were highly variable and never produced C stock estimates comparable to the 5 fjords for which data was available. Several iterations of this equation were tested with little success (all of this is now included in the new supplementary table). We believe this numerical approach could be successful and could be used to further refine the these first order estimates but the lack of detailed C stock data is currently preventing its use; we have added a sentence (lines 320-322) to highlight this opportunity.

We believe the methodology utilised in this manuscript is the best suited to produce a first-order national C stock estimate with the current data availability, but we recognise going forward refinement of these estimates could use alternative numerical approaches as highlighted by the reviewer. This point, as noted above, is now acknowledged in the revised manuscript.

Minor comments:

Line 180: change to Identified in Table 1.

Brackets have been removed.

Line 206: a reference would be good.

Reference added: McIntyre and Howe, (2010), Scottish west coast fjords since the last glaciation: a review, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 344, 305-329, 1.

Line 224: as mentioned in the major comment and repeat again here: What if you combine all the parameters together, such as OC = a* tidal range*precipitate*catchment area*runoff. You could also modify the equation based on the best fitting. I think in this way, you could generate a more reliable OC and IC number.

See above comment.

Line 254: . . .. available to test. . .. .

Typo corrected

Line 265: change carbon data to carbon concentrations?

Data changed to concentrations

Lines 272-273: How do you conclude without glacial samples from all fjords?

It is true that we only have glacial sediment samples from Loch Sunart and the data produced from these samples has been used to calculate the C stocks for glacial material in all 111 fjords. In Smeaton et al. (2016) we compared the C concentrations from the glacial marine sediments to glacial till deposited on land at the end of the last glacial period within the wider region. The C concentrations found in till compared well to that of the glacial marine sediment. Therefore we believe that C data from the Loch Sunart glacial samples is largely applicable to the wider network of fjords. We do accept there will be an error associated with these calculations which is reflected in the confidence level we have attributed to the calculations.

Line 283: If sills are a major reason affecting IC storage, then how it is possible to factor sills into the numeric model?

Though the sills are not directly used in the calculations, the physical attributes of the fjords (Table 1) used in the calculations do reflect the role of the sills. The fresh/tidal ratio represents how restrictive the fjord geomorphology this is directly linked to the sill attributes.

Line 295: change my to by

Changed

Lines 334-336: any reference?

References Added: Bianchi, T. S.: The role of terrestrially derived organic carbon in the coastal ocean: a changing paradigm and the priming effect., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 108(49), 19473–81, doi:10.1073/pnas.1017982108, 2011.

References Added: Middelburg, J. J., Vlug, T., Jaco, F. and van der Nat, W. .: Organic matter mineralization in marine systems, Glob. Planet. Change, 8, 47–58, 1993.

Line 370: also depend on how deep is the seagrass habitat deposits

The depth of the seagrass sediments from Rohr et al. 2016 is unknown, this is the reason we do a like for like comparison (i.e. top 25 cm). The lack of fully depth integrated records is an issue for comparison is an issue highlighted in lines 363-373.

Authors Response to Reviewer 2

General comments

This is the important study to estimate the national scale carbon stock of mid latitude fjords. Although this estimation is a case study in Scotland, the methodology using seismic and biogeochemical data and the upscaling approaches are valuable and suggestive for estimating globally the carbon inventories of coastal waters. The upscaling methods contain uncertainties but the authors evaluate the uncertainties by IPCC protocol. I believe that this study is worth published in Biogeosciences.

We thank the reviewer for the very helpful review, which highlights the significance of the national stock estimates and rigorous methodology adopted.

However, there are some points which should be addressed for publication. In my understanding, the authors compared the total quantity of sedimentary C calculated for five representative fjords by two upscaling approaches alongside detailed estimates of C stocks of each of the five fjords to check the accuracy of two upscale methodologies. However, I cannot find any tables and figures about this point. I recommend adding a table or figure to certify the accuracy.

An Excel file detailing the statistical tests and results was has now been attached to the submission further detailing the methodology and providing greater clarity; as noted in response to reviewer 1 – a note in the revised text makes reference to this new, supplementary table.

Specific comments

Line180: What is Fresh/Tidal ratio in Table 1. How to calculate them?

The fresh/tidal ratio represents the ratio of supplies of fresh and tidal water as found in Edwards and Sharples (1986). Edwards and Sharples (1986) details the method used to calculate this ratio simply; fresh/tidal ratio = runoff/inflow

To add clarity Fig.1 caption now includes the reference to Edwards and Sharples (1986).

Edwards, A. and Sharples, F.: Scottish Sea Lochs: A Catalogue. Scottish Marine Biological Association/Nature Conservancy Council, Oban, 1986.

Line305: Please refer to “table 3”.

Reference to Table 3 has been added

Line307: In postglacial sediments, the contribution of IC is similar to that of OC. What is the origin of IC?

Generally the geology of the west coast of Scotland is igneous and metamorphic in nature therefore the main source of IC will be calcifying organisms (e.g. Foraminifera). In order to clarify the nature of the geological setting and its significance for sediment IC, a new sentence has been added into section 2 of the revised manuscript. Line 275-303 discusses that the fjords with highest IC content are also the most marine influenced (fresh/tidal ratio), this paragraph highlights that the source of the IC are marine calcifying organisms.

Line337: “changing environmental change”.

The sentence now reads: This suggests that these systems have the capacity to adapt to future environmental change

Line339: Please update the reference. If possible, please add the discussion about the origin of stored OC in the fjords.

Reference has been updated.

Globally it has been estimated that approximately 66% of OC held in fjords is terrestrial in origin (Cui et al. 2016). In the Scottish context only one compressive study has taken place (Smeaton and Austin, 2017) which focused on Loch Sunart, it concluded that 44% of the OC held within the loch was terrestrial in origin. We believe that to fully include OC source contributions within this study would overstretch our current understanding of the Scottish fjord system and that this will require further and extensive field sampling (i.e. beyond the scope of this study). Line 285 has been updated to reflect our current understanding.

Figure 6: What is the meaning of shaded area? Is there any data in Maerl Beds and Biogenic Reef in Fig. 6A, B?

The shaded areas signify the broad environmental context. These shaded areas split the plot into three section 1) study results; 2) Living vegetation; 3) Soil; 4) Marine C stores. In order to simplify these plots, the background shading has been removed from the revised manuscript plot for Figure 6.

Maerl and biogenic reef data are included in panels A and B of figure 6, but the total quantity of C stored in these environments and there areal coverage is small in comparison to the other data sets – this means that they do not readily appear on the plot (they are present). Only when normalized by area are they visible on the plot (i.e. panel C).

Technical corrections

Line254: available “to” test

Typo has been corrected

Line295: by Little loch Broom?

My changed to by

Line317: remove “) ”

“)” removed

Figure 6: There are mistakes in the color of fjords.

The colouring of the fjords in figure 6 is consistent throughout. Panel B of figure 6 does not have the same two tone colouring as it is only referring to area not the OC and IC content. We believe the colouring of this figure is consistent and easy to follow.

Vegatation -> Vegetation?

Figure has been altered to vegetation

Scotland's Forgotten Carbon: A National Assessment of Mid-Latitude Fjord Sedimentary Carbon Stocks.

Craig Smeaton [1,*], William E.N. Austin [1,2], Althea L. Davies [1], Agnes Baltzer [3] ,John A. Howe [2] and John M. Baxter [4].

[1] School of Geography & Geosciences, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9AL, UK

[2] Scottish Association for Marine Science, Scottish Marine Institute, Oban, PA37 1QA, UK

[3] Institut de Géographie et d'Aménagement Régional de l'Université de Nantes, BP 81 227 44312 Nantes CEDEX 3, France.

[4] Scottish Natural Heritage, Silvan House, Edinburgh, EH12 7AT, UK

Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

***Corresponding Author.**

Email address: cs244@st-andrews.ac.uk (Craig Smeaton)

Highlights:

- Scottish fjords are a more effective store of C than the terrestrial environment.
- A total of 640.7 ± 46 Mt C is stored in the sediment of Scotland's 111 fjords.
- An estimated 31139-40615 t yr^{-1} C is buried in the sediment of Scotland's fjords.
- Fjord sediments are potentially the most effective store of C globally.

Abstract

Fjords are recognised as hotspots for the burial and long-term storage of carbon (C) and potentially provide a significant climate regulation service over multiple timescales. Understanding the magnitude of marine sedimentary C stores and the processes which govern their development is fundamental to understanding the role of the coastal ocean in the global C cycle. In this study, we use the mid-latitude fjords of Scotland as a natural laboratory to further develop methods to quantify these marine sedimentary C stores at both the individual fjord and national scale. Targeted geophysical and geochemical analysis has allowed the quantification of sedimentary C stocks for a number of mid-latitude fjords and, coupled with upscaling techniques based on fjord classification, has generated the first full national sedimentary C inventory for a fjordic system. The sediments within these mid-latitude fjords hold 640.7 ± 46 Mt of C split between 295.6 ± 52 and 345.1 ± 39 Mt of organic and inorganic C respectively. When compared, these marine mid-latitude sedimentary C stores are of similar magnitude to their terrestrial equivalents, with the exception of the Scottish peatlands, which hold significantly more C. However, when area-normalised comparisons are made, these mid-latitude fjords are significantly more effective as C stores than their terrestrial counterparts, including Scottish peatlands. The C held within Scotland’s coastal marine sediments has been largely overlooked as a significant component of the nation’s natural capital; such coastal C stores are likely to be key to understanding and constraining improved global C budgets.

1. Introduction

Globally there is growing recognition that the burial (Smith et al., 2015) and storage (Smeaton et al., 2016) of carbon (C) in coastal marine sediments is an important factor in the global carbon cycle (Bauer et al. 2013), as well as providing an essential climate regulating service (Smith et al. 2015). Coastal sediments have been shown to be globally significant repositories for C, with an estimated 126.2 Mt of C being buried annually (Duarte et al., 2005). Of the different coastal depositional environments, fjords have been shown to be ‘hotspots’ for C burial, with approximately 11 % of the annual global marine carbon sequestration occurring within fjordic environments (Smith et al., 2015). Although it is clear these areas are important for the burial and long-term storage of C, the actual quantity of C held within coastal sediment remains largely unaccounted for. This knowledge deficit hinders our ability to fully evaluate, manage and protect these coastal C stores and the climate-regulating service that they provide.

The quantification of C in fjordic sediments was identified as a priority by Syvitski et al. (1987), but little progress has been made towards this goal until recently. Our work presented here utilises and extends the joint geochemistry and geophysical methodology developed by Smeaton et al. (2016) by applying it to a number of mid-latitude fjords. Estimated sedimentary C stocks for individual fjords will be utilised to create the first national estimate of sedimentary C stocks in the coastal ocean and thus quantify an overlooked aspect of Scotland’s natural capital.

2. Scotland’s Fjords

The coastal landscape of the west coast and islands of Scotland is dominated by fjordic geomorphology (Cage and Austin, 2010; Nørgaard-Pedersen et al., 2006). Catchments totalling an area of 21,742 km^2 drain to the sea through fjords, thus transporting sediment from the C rich soils into the marine system (Bradley et al., 2005). There are 111 large fjords (over 2 km long, where fjord length is twice fjord width) (Fig.1) in Scotland (Edwards and Sharples, 1986), supplemented by a further 115 smaller systems. The 111 large fjords are the primary focus of this study because their size and heavily glaciated geomorphology (Howe et al., 2002) suggest they are likely to store significant quantities of postglacial sediment. Additionally, geomorphological and oceanographic datasets are readily available for these fjords.

Building on the work of Smeaton et al. (2016), which centred on Loch Sunart (56.705556, -5.737534), we focus on a further four fjords to develop site specific sedimentary C stock estimations, which then allow us to make more precise estimates for the same range of fjordic system types in Scotland. The chosen sites are Loch Etive (56.459224, -5.311151), Loch Creran (56.536970, -5.324578), Loch Broom (57.873974, -5.117443) and Little Loch Broom (57.872144, -5.316385)(Fig.1).These fjords differ significantly in their physical characteristics (Table 1) and bottom water oxygen conditions. Hypoxic bottom water conditions are recognised as an important factor in C burial and preservation within depositional coastal environments (Middelburg and Levin, 2009; Woulds et al., 2007). However of these, 111 fjords, only Loch Etive's upper basin is known to be permanently hypoxic (Friedrich et al., 2014). Modelling of deep water renewal in the 111 fjords suggests that between 5 and 28 fjords, including Loch Broom and Little Loch Broom, could experience intermittent periods of hypoxia, while this is less likely in Lochs Sunart and Creran (Gillibrand et al., 2005, 2006). The geology of the West coast of Scotland is dominated by metamorphic and igneous rocks resulting in minimal input potential from petrogenic/fossil and inorganic carbon sources.

3. Towards A National Fjordic Sedimentary Carbon Inventory

3.1. *Sample and Data Collection*

This study applies the methodology of Smeaton et al. (2016) where sediment cores and seismic geophysical data were collected to four additional fjords. Figure. 1 shows the location of each of the long (>1 m) sediment cores extracted from the four fjords chosen to produce detailed sedimentary C stock estimates. With the exception of Loch Creran, where the required data were extracted from the available literature (Cronin and Tyler. 1980, Loh et al., 2008), each core was subsampled at 10 cm intervals for analysis. In total, 285 subsamples were collected from the sediment cores from Loch Etive (n= 133), Loch Broom (n= 78) and Little Loch Broom (n= 74). The data produced by Smeaton et al. (2016) for the glacially derived sediment in Loch Sunart were used as a surrogate for all glacial sediments in this study since MD04-2833 remains the only mid-latitude fjord core with chronologically constrained glacial sediment (Baltzer et al. 2010). Detailed seabed seismic geophysical data for Loch Etive (Howe et al., 2002) , Loch Creran (Mokeddem et al., 2015), Loch Broom (Stoker and Bradwell, 2009) and Little Loch Broom (Stoker et al. 2010) was compiled.

In addition, sediment surface samples (n= 61) and partial seismic surveys (n=5) have been collected from a number of additional fjords (Fig.1). These, in conjunction with data from the literature (Russell et al., 2010, Webster et al., 2004), provide a greater understanding of C abundance in these sediments and assist in constraining upscaling efforts. The full dataset is presented in the supplementary material.

3.2. *Analytical Methods*

Each of the subsamples was split for physical and geochemical analyses. The dry bulk density (DBD) of the sediment was calculated following Dadey et al. (1992). All samples were freeze dried, milled and analysed for total carbon (TC) and nitrogen (N) using a Costech elemental analysis (EA) (Verardo et al., 1990). Sub-samples of the same samples then underwent carbonate removal through acid fumigation (Harris et al., 2001) and were analysed by EA to quantify the organic carbon (OC) content. The inorganic carbon (IC) content of the sediment was calculated by deducting the OC from the TC. Analytical precision was estimated from repeat analysis of standard reference material B2178 (Medium Organic content standard from Elemental Microanalysis, UK) with C = 0.08 % and N = 0.02 % (n = 40).

3.3. *Fjord Specific Sedimentary Carbon Inventories*

Following the methodology of Smeaton et al. (2016), the geochemical and seismic geophysical data were combined to make first order estimates of the C held in the postglacial and glacial sediments of Loch Etive, Creran, Broom and Little Loch Broom. We then calculated how effectively the fjord stores C (C_{eff}) as a depth-integrated average value per km^2 for both the postglacial and glacial-derived sediments. Unlike Loch Sunart, where the sediment stratigraphy has robust chronological constraints (Cage and Austin, 2010; Smeaton et al., 2016), the four other fjords largely lack chronological evidence, with the exception of two cores from Loch Etive (Howe et al., 2002; Nørgaard-Pedersen et al., 2006). The lack of ^{14}C dating means we rely solely on the interpretation of the seismic geophysics to differentiate between the postglacial and glacial sediments. To ensure the consistency of this approach, previous seismic interpretations of Scottish fjordic sediments (Baltzer et al., 2010; Dix and Duck, 2000; Howe et al., 2002, Stoker and Bradwell, 2009, Stoker et al. 2010) were studied and a catalogue of different seismic facies compiled for use as a reference guide (Supplementary Material). Finally, we applied the framework set out in Smeaton et al. (2016) to reduce uncertainty in the interpretation of the seismic geophysics by testing seismic units against available dated sediment cores.

3.4. *Upscaling to a National Sedimentary Carbon Inventory*

Upscaling from individual to national coastal C estimates was key objective of this work. Two approaches were developed to upscale the five detailed sedimentary C inventories to a national scale stock assessment of C in the sediment of the 111 major Scottish fjords. Both approaches utilise the physical characteristics of the fjords to quantify the OC and IC held within the sediment. From these data we can also estimate the long-term average quantity of C buried each year. Currently the best estimate of when the west coast of Scotland was free of ice from the last glacial period is approximately 13.5 ka (Lambeck, 1993) though it could be argued that 15 ka or 11.5 ka BP would be more appropriate. Modelling of the retreat of the last ice sheet (Clark et al., 2012) suggests that a significant number of the fjords would have been ice free around 15 ka (Supplementary Material) and have the ability to start accumulating C. Alternatively 11.5 ka (Golledge, 2009) could be used as this date signifies the point the fjords became permanently ice free after the loss of ice associated with the Younger Dryas period. By dividing the total C held within the postglacial sediment in all the fjords by this range of dates we can calculate the long-term average quantity of C buried per year since the start of the postglacial period. Although the methodology is relatively crude and probably underestimates the quantity of C being buried each year, it does give a valuable first order insight into the long-term carbon sequestration service that fjords prove.

3.4.1. Fjord Classification Approach

The first stage of upscaling involves grouping the 111 fjords using the physical characteristics identified in ~~(~~Table. 1~~)~~, along with rainfall, tidal range and runoff data. Grouping was achieved by applying a k-means cluster analysis (1 x10^5 iterations) to all 111 fjords (Edwards and Sharples, 1986). This resulted in the delineation of four groups (Fig.2). Group 1 comprises mainly mainland fjords which are the most deeply glaciated and have highly restrictive submarine geomorphology (Gillibrand et al., 2005); Loch Sunart and Creran fall into this category. Group 2 contains fjords from the mainland and the Inner Hebrides which tend to be less deeply glaciated and more open systems; Loch Broom and Little Loch Broom are part of this group. Group 3 includes the fjords on Shetland and the Outer Hebrides; these fjords are shallower and their catchments tend to be smaller and noticeably less glaciated. Group 4 consists of Loch Etive and Loch Linnhe; these fjords are outliers from the other groups and both have extremely large catchments in comparison to the others and were major glacial conduits for ice draining the central Scottish ice field at the last glacial period. This analysis suggest the level to which the fjords are glaciated is a defining factor to how they are classified. When mapped the ice thickness at the last glacial maximum (Lambeck et al. 1993) largely correlates with the groupings produced by the k-means analysis (Supplementary Material) with Group 1 under the maximum amount of ice, which reduces in thickness for each subsequent group. Our case study fjords are thus representative of three of the fjordic groups that can be recognised at a national scale. Group specific postglacial and glacial OC_{eff} and IC_{eff} were calculated using the data from the detailed sedimentary C inventories available from our five sites. The Group specific OC_{eff} and IC_{eff} were applied to each fjord within a group, giving the total OC and IC stock for each fjord. Group 3 does not contain any of the five fjords for which there are detailed C stock estimations and Group 2 has therefore been chosen as a surrogate since the k-mean analysis indicate that Groups 2 and 3 have the greatest similarities.

3.4.2. Physical Attribute Approach

The physical characteristics of fjords (Table 1) have primarily governed the input of C into the fjord since the end of the last glaciation (McIntyre and Howe. 2010), when the majority of fjords became ice-free. We might therefore expect a relationship between the physical features of a given fjord and its accompanying catchment, and the C stored in its sediments. We use detailed sedimentary C stock estimations in conjunction with the physical characteristics (Edwards and Sharples, 1986) to determine which physical feature best correlates with the quantity of OC and IC held in the sediment. A statistical scoping exercise was therefore undertaken to determine which physical characteristics are best suited to the upscaling process (Supplementary Material). The results indicate that there are strong linear relationships between OC_{eff} and tidal range (p = 0.012, R^2 = 0.909), precipitation (p = 0.003, R^2 = 0.961), catchment area (p = 0.023, R^2= 0.860) and runoff (p = 0.019, R^2 = 0.877). The correlation between these physical features and OC content fits well with our understanding of fjord processes, since tidal range is a proxy for the geomorphological restrictiveness of the fjord, while catchment size, precipitation and runoff govern the input of terrestrially-derived OC (Cui et al., 2016) into the fjord. The relationship between the IC stored in the sediment and a fjord's physical characteristics is less well-defined, with strong correlations identified between IC and the area of the fjord (p = 0.009, R^2 = 0.925) and the length of the fjord (p = 0.016, R^2 = 0.892). Again, this fits with what we would expect: the larger/longer the fjord, the greater the opportunity for in-situ IC production (Atamanchuk et al., 2015) and remineralisation of OC (Bianchi et al., 2016) . Each of these relationships were used to calculate the OC and IC stored in the postglacial sediment of each of the 111 fjords. The input of glacially-derived OC during the retreat of the ice sheet at approximately 13.5 ka -17 ka(Clark et al., 2012) is controlled by a more sporadic mechanisms (Brazier et al. 1988) governed by complex advance-retreat ice margin dynamics during the deglaciation. This approach is therefore not suitable for estimating the C stored in the glacial sediment of the fjords

3.4.3. Constraining Estimates and Uncertainty

To determine the accuracy of both upscaling methodologies, we compared the total quantity of sedimentary OC and IC calculated for Lochs Sunart, Etive, Creran, Broom and Little Loch Broom by both upscaling approaches alongside detailed estimates of C held within the sediment of each of the five fjords. Although there are insufficient data to create additional detailed sedimentary C stock estimates at a national scale, there are enough data from some fjords to make broad estimations (Supplementary Data). Seismic geophysical data from Lochs Hourn (57.125683, -5.589578), Eriboll (58.497543, -4.685106), Fyne (55.882882, -5.381012), Nevis (57.007023, -5.693133) and Lower Loch Linnhe (56.591510, -5.456910) allow us to estimate the minimum and maximum depth of postglacial sediment, while surface sample data from each loch enables us to estimate C content of the sediment. Using these data we can calculate basic estimates of postglacial OC and IC held within the sediment of these fjords as an additional check on the accuracy of the upscaling methodology.

Two metrics of uncertainty were employed: arithmetic and a confidence-driven approach. The arithmetic method follows the approach of Smeaton et al. (2016), whereby any known arithmetic uncertainty is propagated through all the calculations. However, as recognised by Smeaton et al. (2016), there are 'known unknowns' which we cannot reliably quantify. Therefore we have further employed a confidence-driven approach to assess the final C stock estimations for each fjord. Using a modified confidence matrix (Fig.3) following the protocols adopted in the IPPC 5th Assessment (Mastrandrea et al., 2010), we have semi-quantitatively assigned a level of confidence to the C estimates from each fjord. The matrix uses the results from the k-means analysis and the availability of secondary data (Supplemental Material) to assign a confidence level. For example, as described above (3.4.1) a fjord in the Outer Hebrides would fall into Group 3. As discussed, this group is without a detailed sedimentary carbon inventory and no other data are available to test the calculated C inventory. In this case, the C stock estimation for that fjord would be assigned a very low confidence level. In contrast, if the fjord fell into to Group 1, where there are similar fjords with detailed C stock estimations and further C and partial geophysical data were available to test the calculated C inventory, then a high confidence level is assigned. The five fjords with detailed sedimentary C inventories are the only sites, which have been assigned a confidence level of very high.

4. Interpretation and Discussion

4.1. Fjord Specific Sedimentary Carbon Inventories

Sedimentary analyses showed a broad similarity in dry bulk density values from the postglacial sediment of the five fjords, while the variability between the fjords is more clearly illustrated by the carbon ~~data~~ concentrations (Fig.4). Lochs Broom, Sunart and Little Loch Broom are characterised by similar quantities of OC and IC. Although the TC content of the sediment in Loch Creran is comparable to the other fjords, the relative contribution of OC is higher, with a correspondingly lower quantity of IC in the sediment. Of the five lochs surveyed, the C content of Loch Etive’s sediment is significantly different from the other sites. It has the highest TC content due to high quantities of OC found in the sediment. This is a possible consequence of hypoxic conditions in the inner basin, as discussed below. As expected, the highest dry bulk density values and lowest quantity of OC and IC occur in the glacial sediments at all sites.

The total C held within each of the five fjords (Table 2) was calculated by combining the bulk density data, % C and sediment volume models (Supplementary Material). Loch Sunart (26.9 ± 0.5 Mt C) contains the largest sedimentary C store of the five fjords, closely followed by Loch Etive (21.1 ± 0.3 Mt C). In comparison, Lochs Creran, Broom and Little Loch Broom hold significantly less C. As indicated above, the postglacial sediments of Loch Etive hold the greatest quantity of OC (11.5 ± 0.4 Mt) with 7.76 Mt of that OC held in the upper hypoxic basin resulting in Loch Etive being the most effective store of OC (0.455 Mt OC km^{-2}). These results suggest that low oxygen conditions inhibit reworking and remineralisation of organics and the production of carbonate fauna (Woulds et al. 2016). Loch Sunart has large sills (Smeaton et al. 2016) and is one of the largest fjords in Scotland; these features favour the capture of terrestrial OC (Smeaton and Austin, 2017) and storage of large quantities of post-glacial OC (9.4 ± 0.2 Mt) and IC (10.1 ± 0.2 Mt). The quantities of C stored in the sediment of the smaller fjords are strongly linked to how restrictive the geomorphology of the fjord is. For example, the smallest quantity of IC is held within Loch Creran. This is in part be due to the shallow and narrow central sill which results in a terrestrially dominated system with high sedimentation rates (Loh et al. 2008) which increases the OC storage effectiveness (0.195 Mt OC km^{-2}) but reduces the IC storage effectiveness (0.068 Mt IC km^{-2}) as increased humic acid input from terrestrial sources (Bauer and Bianchi. 2011) results in lower pH which in turn reduces the suitability of the fjord for calcifying organisms (Khanna et al. 2013). In contrast, the relatively unrestricted geomorphology of Loch Broom results in the fjord being governed by marine processes. ~~-~~The greater marine influence results in this ecosystem being capable of supporting a greater range and abundance of calcifying organisms (e.g. foraminifera) ~~which creates~~ which inturn make the sediments a highly effective store of IC 0.232 Mt IC km^{-2}. ~~but in turn means~~In contrast these open systems are comparatively poor at capturing OC as illustrated b~~m~~y Little loch Broom (1.6 Mt OC). The glacial material contains less C than the postglacial sediments. The effective storage of C in the glacially-derived sediments of the five fjords is very similar, with the OC_{eff} ranging between 0.030 to 0.093 Mt OC km^{-2} and an IC_{eff} varying between 0.068 and 0.104 Mt IC km^{-2} (Table 2). The similarity of these results may be because the mechanisms governing the deposition of glacial sediment during the retreat of the British Ice Sheet (Brazier et al. 1988) were similar across the geographic range of the fjords, but it may also be a product of limited data availability for the glacial sediment.

4.2 A National Fjordic Sedimentary C Inventory

The results of the upscaling process (Table 3) suggest overall an estimated 640.7 ± 46 Mt C are stored in fjordic sediments of Scotland, comprising 295.6 ± 52 Mt OC and 345.1 ± 39 Mt IC. The postglacial sediments are the main repository for much of this C, with almost equal amounts of OC and IC indicated by a OC:IC ratio of 1.17:1. In contrast, the glacial sediments are dominated by IC, with an OC:IC ratio of 0.33:1. This is most likely due to the glacial source material originating from scoured bedrock, and the absence of organic-rich soils and vegetation (Edwards and Whittington. 2010.). The storage of C is unevenly distributed between the 111 fjords; a small number of systems disproportionately contribute to the national sedimentary C total (Fig.5). The sediment of fourteen large fjords hold 65 % of the total C held Scotland’s fjords (Table.4). Estimated C stocks for individual fjords can be found in the supplementary material.

In addition to quantifying the total C stored in these fjords, we also calculated the accuracy of the upscaling process (Supplementary Material) and assigned a confidence level to each of the sedimentary C estimates~~)~~ using the confidence matrix (Fig. 3). The availability of data for the postglacial sediment means that we have medium to very high confidence in our estimates of the quantity of OC and IC stored in 74 of the 111 fjords. The remaining 37 fjords have been assigned a low confidence level~~ of low~~, with most originating from Group 3 of the k-means analysis where we recognise a shortage of data needed to constrain C stock estimates. The lack of data for glacially-derived sediment results in all except the five case study lochs being assigned a confidence level of very low to medium. Using these checks we believe that our first order estimate of the C stored in the sediment of Scotland’s fjords and the associated uncertainties are realistic and robust. However, we acknowledge that there is further scope to refine such estimates using multiple physical parameters that may, when tested with adequate ground-truthing data, yield improved C inventory estimates. The confidence level assigned to each fjordic C estimate stock can be found in the supplementary material.

4.2.1 National Estimates of C Burial

Annually an estimated 31139- 40615 t of C is buried in the sediment of the 111 fjords, with OC contributing 16828 - 21949 t yr^{-1} and IC supplying 14311 -18666 t yr^{-1}. This annual burial of C has been suggested to provide a climate regulating service through C sequestration (Smith et al., 2015), yet efforts to fully quantify this mechanism have remained elusive. The results from this study indicate that fjords have been capturing OC since the retreat of the last ice sheet some of which that would have otherwise been lost to the open ocean, where it would be more readily remineralized (Middelburg et al. 1993, Bianchi. 2011). Although the results do little to resolve the mechanisms that govern this climate regulating service, they clearly show that fjords have been providing this service since the retreat of the last ice sheet and throughout the Holocene. This suggests that these systems have the capacity to adapt to future ~~changing~~ environmental ~~conditions~~change. Intriguingly, there is also the possibility that this process may have aided the capture of terrestrial C during the late Holocene and recent past (Smeaton and Austin, 2017~~, submitted~~).

4.2.2 Global Outlook

Given similarities between the mid-latitude fjords and coastal environments of New Zealand, Chile, Norway and Canada (Syvitski and Shaw, 1995), it is reasonable to suggest that our findings are relevant throughout these systems. The sediments within fjordic environments around the world potentially hold significant quantities of both OC and IC which have been overlooked in national and global carbon budgets. The joint geophysical and geochemical methodology used to quantify sedimentary C stocks coupled to the upscaling approach taken in this study is capable of providing nations around the world with the ability to quantify of their coastal sedimentary C stocks and reassess their nation's natural capital.

4.3. Comparison to Other Mid-Latitude Carbon Stocks: significance and vulnerability

The 640.7 ± 46 Mt of carbon held within the sediment of the fjords is one of the largest stores in Scotland (Fig.6). The fjordic sedimentary store is the largest of Scotland's coastal carbon stores (Burrows et al., 2014), exceeding both maerl and biogenic reefs which have been shown to be highly effective stores of both OC and IC (Van Der Heijden and Kamenos, 2015). In addition, fjord sediments hold a greater quantity of C than all the living vegetation in Scotland (Forestry Commission, 2015, Henrys et al., 2016, Vanguelova et al., 2013). While Scotland's soils (Aitkenhead and Coull, 2016) and in particular the peatlands (Chapman et al., 2009) contain a greater quantity of OC than the fjords, it must be remembered that the fjord sediments also hold IC and the areal extent of these stores differs greatly. When normalised by area (Fig.6), fjordic sediments emerge as a far more effective store of OC and IC than other Scottish C stores, on land or at sea.

Globally, there are no direct comparisons as this is the first national C inventory of marine sediments. Recent work in Denmark suggested that the Thurøbund seagrass meadow was one of the most effective stores of C in the world, storing 0.027 Mt C km^{-2} (Röhr et al., 2016). On an aerial basis, however, these seagrass meadows are significantly less effective than fjord sediments, which hold 0.219 Mt OC km^{-2} and 0.256 Mt IC km^{-2}. This disparity emerges because Röhr et al. (2016) only consider the top 0.25 m of seagrass sediment, while our study encompassed the full depth of sediment. In Loch Sunart, for example, sediment depths of 70 m have been recorded (Baltzer et al. 2010). When compared like for like (i.e. the top 0.25 m) the Thurøbund seagrass meadow is more effective at accumulating C, although questions remain over the stability and longevity of these stores in comparison with the fjord sediments. This is a key concern when comparing C stores.

Radiocarbon dating (Nørgaard-Pedersen et al. 2006, Baltzer et al. 2010, Smeaton et al. 2016) shows that the fjords have been collecting sediment since the retreat of the last ice sheet (Clark et al. 2012), which results in these C stores likely being some of the oldest and most persistent in the UK. Of the terrestrial C stores, only soils and peatland have the potential to store C over similar timescales, but they are significantly more vulnerable to natural and anthropogenic disturbance than the fjordic sediments. Vegetation and soil C stores are at risk from rapid and long-term environmental change. These environments can lose significant quantities of C through soil erosion (Cummins et al. 2011), fire (Davies et al. 2013) and vegetation change (Jackson et al. 2002), disturbances which are increasing in regularity and severity with growing climatic and anthropogenic pressure. When we consider the marine sedimentary C stores through the same prism of environmental change, it is evident that the restricted geomorphology, water depth and relative remoteness of these stores affords them a level of protection not found in the terrestrial environment. However, this does not imply that coastal sedimentary C stores do not require careful management. For example, the remobilisation of C-rich sediments at the seafloor from direct physical disturbance poses an increased risk to these effective long-term C stores. The recognition of these coastal habitats for both their biodiversity and additional ecosystem functioning, including C sequestration and storage, represents an important emerging opportunity to designate and help create a new thinking in the establishment of marine protected areas. Taking into account the areal extent of fjords, their proximity to terrestrial sources and their longevity and stability, we suggest that fjordic sediments are the most effective systems for the long-term storage of OC in the UK and it is highly likely that fjords globally are just as effective as their mid-latitude equivalents at storing C.

5. Conclusion

The sediments of mid-latitude fjords hold a significant quantity of C which has largely been overlooked in global C budgets and which constitute a significant component of natural capital for Scotland and the UK. Our results indicate that the 640.7 ± 46 Mt C held within the sediments of these fjords is of similar, if not greater, magnitude than most terrestrial C stores. Fjords cover a small area in comparison with terrestrial C stores, but the stability and longevity of these coastal stores means that fjords are a highly effective long-term repository of C, surpassing the Scottish peatlands which have been the focus of intense research for decades. In contrast with their terrestrial equivalents, the magnitude of the fjord sedimentary C stores combined with their long-term stability emphasises the significant role that fjords and the coastal ocean, more generally, play in the burial and storage of C globally. This highlights the need for stronger international effort to quantify coastal sedimentary C stores and account for the C sequestration and associated climate regulating services which these subtidal environments provide.

Author Contribution

Craig Smeaton and William E. N. Austin conceived the research and wrote the manuscript, to which all co-authors contributed data or provided input. Craig Smeaton conducted the research as part of his PhD at the University of St. Andrews, supervised by William E. N. Austin, Althea L. Davies and John A. Howe.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Natural Environment Research Council (grant number: NE/L501852/1) with additional support from the NERC Radiocarbon Facility (Allocation 1934.1015). We thank, the British Geological Survey (Edinburgh and Keyworth) for providing access to seismic profiles and access to sediment samples (Loan Number: 237389). The crew and captain of RV Calanus for assisting in sample collection finically supported by the EU Framework V HOLSMEER project (EVK2-CT-2000-00060) and the EU FPVI Millennium project (contract number 017008). Further seismic profiles and the CALYPSO long core were acquired within the frame of the French ECLIPSE programme with additional financial support from NERC, SAMS and the University of St Andrews. The authors would like to thank Marion Dufresne's Captain J.-M. Lefevre, the Chief Operator Y. Balut (from IPEV). Additionally, we would like to thank Colin Abernethy and Richard Abel (Scottish Association of Marine Science) for laboratory support. Finally we would like to thank two anonymous reviewers whose insightful comments improved this paper.

References

Aitkenhead, M. J. and Coull, M. C.: Mapping soil carbon stocks across Scotland using a neural network model, Geoderma, 262, 187–198, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.08.034, 2016.

Atamanchuk, D., Kononets, M., Thomas, P. J., Hovdenes, J., Tengberg, A. and Hall, P. O. J.: Continuous long-term observations of the carbonate system dynamics in the water column of a temperate fjord, J. Mar. Syst., 148, 272–284, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.03.002, 2015.

Baltzer, A., Bates, R., Mokeddem, Z., Clet-Pellerin, M., Walter-Simonnet, a.-V., Bonnot-Courtois, C. and Austin, W. E. N.: Using seismic facies and pollen analyses to evaluate climatically driven change in a Scottish sea loch (fjord) over the last 20 ka, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 344(1), 355–369, doi:10.1144/SP344.24, 2010.

Bauer, J. E. and Bianchi, T. S.: Dissolved Organic Carbon Cycling and Transformation, Elsevier Inc., Treatise on Estuarine and Coastal Science, 5, 7-762012.

Bianchi, T. S.: The role of terrestrially derived organic carbon in the coastal ocean: a changing paradigm and the priming effect., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 108(49), 19473–81, doi:10.1073/pnas.1017982108, 2011.

Bianchi, T. S., Schreiner, K. M., Smith, R. W., Burdige, D. J., Woodard, S. and Conley, D. J.: Redox Effects on Organic Matter Storage in Coastal Sediments During the Holocene : A Biomarker / Proxy Perspective, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 44, 295–319, doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-060614-105417, 2016.

Brazier, V., Whittington, G., Ballantyne, C.K,.: Holocene debris cone evolution in glen etive Western Grampian Hhghlands, Scotland, Earth Surface Processes and Lanscapes,13, 525-531, 1988

Burrows, M., Kamenos, N. and Hughes, D.: Assessment of carbon budgets and potential blue carbon stores in Scotland’s coastal and marine environment, , (7) [online] Available from: http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/96572/, 2014.

Cage, A. G. and Austin, W. E. N.: Marine climate variability during the last millennium: The

Loch Sunart record, Scotland, UK, Quat. Sci. Rev., 29(13-14), 1633–1647, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.01.014, 2010.

Clark, C. D., Hughes, A. L. C., Greenwood, S. L., Jordan, C., and Petter, H.: Pattern and timing of retreat of the last British-Irish Ice Sheet, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 44, 112–146, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.07.019, 2012.

Chapman, S. J., Bell, J., Donnelly, D. and Lilly, a.: Carbon stocks in Scottish peatlands, Soil Use Manag., 25(2), 105–112, doi:10.1111/j.1475-2743.2009.00219.x, 2009.

Cronin,J.R.& Tyler, I. D.: Organic carbon in a Scottish sea loch. In: Albaiges, J. (ed.) Analytical Techniques in Environmental Chemistry. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 419–426, 1980

Cui, X., Bianchi, T. S., Savage, C. and Smith, R. W.: Organic carbon burial in fjords: Terrestrial versus marine inputs, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 451, 41–50, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.07.003, 2016.

Cummins, R., Donnelly, D., Nolan, A., Towers, W., Chapman, S., Grieve, I., and Birnie, R. V.: Peat erosion and the management of peatland habitats. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 410, 2011.

Dadey, K. A., Janecek, T., and Klaus, A.: Dry bulk density: its use and determination, Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results, 126, 551–554, 1992.

Davies, G. M., Gray, A., Rein, G., and Legg, C. J.: Peat consumption and carbon loss due to smouldering wildfire in a temperate peatland, For. Ecol. Manage., 308, 169–177, 2013.

Dix, J. K. and Duck, R. W.: A high-resolution seismic stratigraphy from a Scottish sea loch and its implications for Loch Lomond Stadial deglaciation, , 15, 645–656, 2000.

Duarte, C. M., Middelburg, J. J. and Caraco, N.: Major role of marine vegetation on the oceanic carbon cycle, Biogeosciences, 2, 1–8, doi:10.5194/bgd-1-659-2004, 2005.

Edwards, A. and Sharples, F.: Scottish Sea Lochs: A Catalogue. Scottish Marine Biological Association/Nature Conservancy Council, Oban, 1986.

Edwards, K.J and Whittington, G.: Lateglacial palaeoenvironmental investigations at Wester Cartmore Farm, Fife and their significance for patterns of vegetation and climate change in east-central Scotland. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 159: 14-34,2010

Forestry Commission.: Carbon in living woodland trees in Britain: A National Forestry Inventory Report, 2015. Available online at: http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/FCNFI113.pdf/$FILE/FCNFI113.pdf

Friedrich, J., Janssen, F., Aleynik, D., Bange, H. W., Boltacheva, N., Çagatay, M. N., Dale, A. W., Etiope, G., Erdem, Z., Geraga, M., Gilli, A., Gomoiu, M. T., Hall, P. O. J., Hansson, D., He, Y., Holtappels, M., Kirf, M. K., Kononets, M., Konovalov, S., Lichtschlag, A., Livingstone, D. M., Marinaro, G., Mazlumyan, S., Naeher, S., North, R. P., Papatheodorou, G., Pfannkuche, O., Prien, R., Rehder, G., Schubert, C. J., Soltwedel, T., Sommer, S., Stahl, H., Stanev, E. V., Teaca, A., Tengberg, A., Waldmann, C., Wehrli, B., and Wenzhöfer, F.: Investigating hypoxia in aquatic environments: Diverse approaches to addressing a complex phenomenon, Biogeo sciences, 11, 1215–1259, doi:10.5194/bg-11- 1215-2014, 2014.

Gillibrand, P. A., Cage, A. G. and Austin, W. E. N.: A preliminary investigation of basin water response to climate forcing in a Scottish fjord: evaluating the influence of the NAO, Cont. Shelf Res., 25(5-6), 571–587, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2004.10.011, 2005.

Gillibrand, P.A., Cromey, C.J., Black, K.D., Inall, M.E., Gontarek, S.J.: Identifying the risk of deoxygenation in Scottish sea lochs with isolated deep water, Scottish Aquaculture Research Forum, SARF 07 Report, 2006.

Golledge, N. R.: Glaciation of Scotland during the Younger Dryas stadial : a review, J. Quat. Sci., 25(4), 550–566, doi:10.1002/jqs.1319, 2010.

Van Der Heijden, L. H. and Kamenos, N. A.: Reviews and syntheses: Calculating the global contribution of coralline algae to total carbon burial, Biogeosciences, 12(21), 6429–6441, doi:10.5194/bg-12-6429-2015, 2015.

Harris, D., Horwáth, W.R., van Kessel, C.:, Acid fumigation of soils to remove carbonates prior to total organic carbon or carbon-13 isotopic analysis. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 65, 1853–1856, 2001.

Henrys, P.A.; Keith, A.; Wood, C.M. : Model estimates of aboveground carbon for Great Britain. NERC Environmental Information Data Centre, 2016. Available online at: https://doi.org/10.5285/9be652e7-d5ce-44c1-a5fc-8349f76f5f5c.

Howe, J. A., Shimmield, T., Austin, W. E. N. and Longva, O.: Post-glacial depositional environments in a mid-high latitude glacially-overdeepened sea loch , inner Loch Etive , western Scotland, , 185, 417–433, 2002.

Jackson, R.B., Banner, J.L., Jobbagy, E.G., Pockman, W.T. and Wall, D.H.: Ecosystem carbon loss with woody plant invasion of grasslands. Nature 418: 623-626, 2012.

Khanna, N., Godbold, J. A., Austin, W. E. N. and Paterson, D. M.: The impact of ocean acidification on the functional morphology of foraminifera, PLoS One, 8(12), 10–13, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083118, 2013.

Lambeck, K.: Glacial rebound of the British Isles II. A high resolution high precsion model, Geophys. J. Int.,115, 960-990, 1993.

Loh, P. S., Miller, A. E. J., Reeves, A. D., Harvey, S. M., Overnell, J. and Systems, E.: Assessing the biodegradability of terrestrially-derived organic matter in Scottish sea loch sediments, , 811–823, 2008.

Mastrandrea, M.D., Field,C.B., Stocker, T.F., Edenhofer, O., Ebi, K.L. , Frame, D.J. Held, H., Kriegler, E., Mach, K.J., Matschoss, P.R., Plattner, G.K, Yohe, G.W., and Zwiers, F.W.: Guidance Note for Lead Authors of the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report on Consistent Treatment of Uncertainties. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2010.

McIntyre, K. L. and Howe, J. A.:Scottish west coast fjords since the last glaciation: a review. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 344, 305-329, 2010.

Middelburg, J. J., Vlug, T., Jaco, F. and van der Nat, W. .: Organic matter mineralization in marine systems, Glob. Planet. Change, 8, 47–58, 1993.

Middelburg, J. J. and Levin, L. A.: Coastal hypoxia and sediment biogeochemistry, , 1273–1293, 2009.

Mokeddem, Z., Goubert, E., Lartaud, F. and Labourdette, N.: The " Turritella Layer ": A Potential Proxy of a Drastic Holocene Environmental Change on the North – East Atlantic Coast, , 3–21, doi:10.1007/978-94-017-9260-8, 2015.

Nørgaard-Pedersen, N., Austin, W. E. N., Howe, J. a. and Shimmield, T.: The Holocene record of Loch Etive, western Scotland: Influence of catchment and relative sea level changes, Mar. Geol., 228(1-4), 55–71, doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2006.01.001, 2006.

Röhr, M. E., Boström, C., Canal-Verges, P. and Holmer, M.: Blue carbon stocks in Baltic Sea eelgrass (Zostera marina) meadows, Biogeosciences, 13(22), 6139–6153, doi:10.5194/bg-13-6139-2016, 2016.

Russell, M., Robinson, C.D., Webster, L., Walsham, P., Phillips, L., Dalgarno, E., Rose, M., Watson, D., Scurfield, J., Avery, D.J., Devalla, S., Gubbins, M., Davies, I.M., and Moffat, C.F.: Persistent organic pollutants and trace metals in sediments close to Scottish marine fish farm, Scottish marine and freshwater science volume 1 no 16, 2010, ISSN:2043-7722.

Smeaton, C., Austin, W. E. N., Davies, A. L., Baltzer, A. and Abell, R. E.: A Sedimentary Carbon Inventory for a Scottish Sea Loch (Fjord): An Integrated Geochemical and Geophysical, , (June), 1–37, doi:10.5194/bg-2016-245, 2016.

Smeaton, C. and Austin, W. E. N.: Sources, Sinks and Subsidies: Terrestrial Carbon Storage in the Coastal Ocean, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 2017.

~~Smeaton, C and Austin, W.E.N.: Sources, Sinks and Subsidies: Terrestrial Carbon Storage in the Coastal Ocean, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeociences, 2017 - In review~~

Smith, R. W., Bianchi, T. S., Allison, M., Savage, C. and Galy, V.: High rates of organic carbon burial in fjord sediments globally,Nature Geoscience , doi:10.1038/NGEO2421, 2015.

Stoker, M, and Bradwell,T, Neotectonic deformation in a scottish fjord, Loch Broom, NW Scotland, Scottish Journal of Geology, 45 (2), pp. 107-116, 2009.

Stoker, M., Wilson, C.R., Howe J.A., Bradwell, T., and Long, D. : Paraglacial slope instability in Scottish fjords: Examples from Little Loch Broom, NW Scotland, Geological Society Special Publications, 344, pp. 225-242, 2010

Syvitski, J. P. M. and Shaw, J.: Sedimentology and Geomorphology of Fjords, Geomorphology and Sedimentology of Estuaries, Dev. Sedimentology., 53, 113–178, 1995.

Syvitski, J. P. M., Burrell, D. C., and Skei, J. M.: Fjords, Processes and Products, Springer-Verlag New York, 1987.

Vanguelova, E. I., Nisbet, T. R., Moffat, A. J., Broadmeadow, S., Sanders, T. G. M. and Morison, J. I. L.: A new evaluation of carbon stocks in British forest soils, Soil Use Manag., 29(2), 169–181, doi:10.1111/sum.12025, 2013.

Verardo, D. J., Froelich, P. N., and McIntyre, A.: Determination of organic carbon and nitrogen in marine sediments using the Carlo Erba NA-1500 Analyzer, Deep-Sea Res., 37, 157–165, 1990.

Webster, L., Fryer, R. J., Megginson, C., Dalgarno, E. J., Mcintosh, A. D., Moffat, C. F., Road, V. and Ab, U. K.: The polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon and geochemical biomarker composition of sediments from sea lochs on the west coast of Scotland, , 219–228, 2004.

Woulds, C., Cowie, G. L., Levin, L. A., Andersson, J. H., Middelburg, J. J., Vandewiele, S., Lamont, P. A., Larkin, K. E., Gooday, A. J., Schumacher, S., Whitcraft, C. and Jeffreys, R. M.: Oxygen as a control on seafloor biological communities and their roles in sedimentary carbon cycling, , 52(4), 1–13, 2007.

Woulds, C., Bouillon, S., Cowie, G. L., Drake, E., Middelburg, J. J. and Witte, U.: Patterns of carbon processing at the seafloor: The role of faunal and microbial communities in moderating carbon flows, Biogeosciences, 13(15), 4343–4357, doi:10.5194/bg-13-4343-2016, 2016.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Map illustrating the location of Scotland's 111 fjords and the available data. Additionally, detailed maps present the sampling locations within (**D**) Loch Broom, (**E**) Little Loch Broom, (**F**) Loch Sunart (Smeaton et al., 2016), (**G**) Loch Creran (Loh et al., 2008) and (**H**) Loch Etive.

Figure 2. Output from the k-means analysis showing the spatial distribution of the four different groups of fjords.

Figure 3. Matrix depicting the relationship between data availability, similarity to modelled fjords and confidence level. Adapted from IPCC 5th Assessment Report (Mastrandrea et al. 2010).

Figure 4. Boxplots illustrating the (**A**) dry bulk density and (**B**) carbon content (%) compiled from the sediment cores extracted from the five fjords central to this research. Data for the glacially derived sediments collected from Loch Sunart (MD04-2833) are also presented.

Figure 5. Frequency distribution of sedimentary TC stock estimates for the Scotland's 111 fjords.

Figure 6. Comparison of the Scotland's national fjordic sedimentary C store to other national inventories of C. **(A)** Carbon stocks (Mt) **(B)** Area of store (km^2) **(C)** Effective carbon storage (Mt C km^{-2}) for the 111 fjords and~~.~~ **(D)** Effective carbon storage (Mt C km^{-2}) for the other (non-fjord) ~~national~~ C stores of Scotland.

Table 1. Key physical characteristics (Edwards and Sharples, 1986) of each of the five fjords selected to produce detailed estimates of sedimentary C stocks.

Fjord	**Length** (km)	**Area** (km^2)	**Mean Depth** (m)	**Max Depth** (m)	**Catchment Size** (km^2)	**Fresh/Tidal Ratio**
Loch Etive	29.5	27.7	33.9	139	1350	120.4
Loch Creran	12.8	13.3	13.4	49	164	12.5
Loch Broom	14.7	16.8	27.3	87	353	14
Little Loch Broom	12.7	20.4	41.7	110	167	5.5
Loch Sunart	30.7	47.3	38.9	124	299	5.3

Table 2. Detailed sedimentary C stocks presented as total carbon (TC), organic carbon (OC) and inorganic carbon (IC) held within postglacial (PG) and glacial (G) sediment of the fjords. Additionally, we list the C_{eff} for each fjord as a measure of how effectively the sediment stores C.

Fjord		TC (Mt)	OC (Mt)	IC (Mt)	C_{eff} (Mt C km^{-2})	OC_{eff} (Mt OC km^{-2})	IC_{eff} (Mt IC km^{-2})
Loch Etive		**21.1 ± 0.3**	**12.6 ± 0.3**	**8.6 ± 0.3**	**0.766**	**0.455**	**0.311**
	PG	17.7 ± 0.4	11.5 ± 0.4	6.2 ± 0.3	0.639	0.415	0.224
	G	3.5 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 0.2	0.127	0.040	0.087
Loch Creran		**4.8 ± 0.7**	**3 ± 0.5**	**1.8 ± 0.9**	**0.361**	**0.225**	**0.136**
	PG	3.5 ± 0.6	2.6 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 0.4	0.268	0.195	0.068
	G	1.3 ± 0.9	0.4 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 1.2	0.098	0.030	0.068
Loch Broom		**6.8 ± 0.4**	**2.9 ± 0.4**	**3.9 ± 0.4**	**0.405**	**0.173**	**0.232**
	PG	5.1 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.5	2.7 ± 0.4	0.304	0.143	0.161
	G	1.7 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.3	0.101	0.030	0.071
Little Loch Broom		**7 ± 0.5**	**3.5 ± 0.5**	**3.5 ± 0.6**	**0.344**	**0.171**	**0.173**
	PG	3 ± 0.7	1.6 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.8	0.148	0.078	0.070
	G	4 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.4	0.196	0.093	0.103
Loch Sunart		**26.9 ± 0.5**	**11.5 ± 0.2**	**15.0 ± 0.4**	**0.560**	**0.243**	**0.317**
	PG	19.9 ± 0.3	9.4 ± 0.2	10.1± 0.2	0.412	0.199	0.213
	G	7.0 ± 0.8	2.1 ± 0.3	4.9 ± 0.6	0.148	0.044	0.104

Table 3. Total C stored in the sediment of Scotland's 111 fjords further broken down into the quantities of OC and IC stored is the postglacial and glacial sediments.

	TC (Mt)	**OC** (Mt)	**IC** (Mt)
Postglacial	467.1 ± 65	252.4 ± 62	214.7 ± 85
Glacial	173.6 ± 18	43.2 ± 12	130.6 ± 22
Total	**640.7 ± 46**	**295.6 ± 52**	**345.1 ± 39**

Table.4. Details of the fourteen fjords that disproportionately contribute to Scotland's Fjordic Sedimentary C stock.

Fjord	**TC** (Mt)	**% of Scotland's Total of Fjordic Sedimentary C Stock**
Loch Fyne	99.70	15.56
Loch Linnhe (Lower)	92.28	14.40
Loch Torridon	30.82	4.81
Loch Linnhe (upper) and Eil	27.82	4.34
Loch Sunart	26.50	4.14
Loch Ewe	21.82	3.41
Loch Etive	21.11	3.29
Long Clyde	16.60	2.59
Loch Hourn	15.41	2.41
Loch Ryan	14.35	2.24
Loch na Keal	14.29	2.23
Loch Nevis	13.08	2.04
Loch Scridian	12.01	1.87
Loch Carron	10.52	1.64


A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
Data Availability
Sediment Samples
Partial Seismic Geophysical Data
Detailed Seismic Geophysical Data
Detailed C Inventory
No Data
0 10 20 40 60 80 km
0510 20 30 40 km
N
Broom
Little Loch Broom
Sunart
Creran
Etive


A
B
C
C
B
k-mean Clusters
Group 1
Group 2
Group 3
Group 4
C
N
0 5 10 20 30 40
km
N
0 10 20 40 60 80
km



High Similarity Limited Data	High Similarity Medium Data	High Similarity Robust Data
Medium Similarity Limited Data	Medium Similarity Medium Data	Medium Similarity Robust Data
Low Similarity Limited Data	Low Similarity Medium Data	Low Similarity Robust Data


A
n = 47
n = 30
n = 286
n = 15
n = 133
n= 10
Dry Bulk Density (g cm^{-3})
0.0
0.5
1.0
1.5
2.0
2.5
B
n= 78
n= 74
n= 237
n= 25
n= 133
n= 10
TC
OC
IC
% Carbon
0
2
4
6
8
Loch Broom
Little Loch Broom
Loch Sunart
Loch Creran
Loch Etive
Glacial Material


Mean = 5.78 Mt
σ = 13.6 Mt
Median = 1.4 Mt
n = 111
Number of Fjords
0
10
20
30
40
50
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
>10
Sedimentary TC Storage (Mt)



Field Code Changed