

Interactive comment

# Interactive comment on "Carbon dioxide emissions from the flat bottom and shallow Nam Theun 2 Reservoir: drawdown area as a neglected pathway to the atmosphere" by Chandrashekhar Deshmukh et al.

**NSS Sarma (Referee)** 

nssarma@rediffmail.com

Received and published: 7 November 2017

General: The contribution of global freshwater reservoirs to the atmospheric CO2 is an important problem. Although the storage bodies, the reservoirs proper have been examined in reasonable detail, emissions in the downstream regions adjacent to the dams in the flow paths have not been addressed sufficiently. In this background, the present paper is welcome. The authors previously published in the same journal (Biogeosciences) on CH4 emissions, as 2 papers, the first one dealing with downstream stations (Deshmukh et al., 2016) and the second dealing with the reservoir proper

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Na+, K+ etc whether derived naturally or anthropogenically. In addition to CO2 (aq), authors measured TIC, but they did not explore CO2 emission in relation to the TIC-

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



critically their results focusing on (i) similarities and (ii) differences with other similar reservoirs. In the Discussion section, attention may be paid to spatial differences and

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



2. it would be better if the data are provided for the area classification followed in Fig.

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



assumption is reinforced L. 475: hot moments: When were those hot moments and

why? L. 477: the higher concentrations were observed: Pl. remove the definite article. Also, explain why. L. 481: Pl. change the first of to a L. 482: change was observed

nutrient concentrations: Correct to change was observed in nutrient concentrations. L. 487-489: No. the quantity of autochthonous OM is not greater than phytoplankton

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



own seasons when emissions peak - WD and the initial part of WW seasons in the

former and the later part of WW season and CD season in the case of the latter. Pl. explain clearly under Discussion the result and why it is so. L. 718-720: Although the % emissions from the drawdown area is 75% of total, in absolute terms, the emission (quantity) is same or perhaps less in 2013, as prior to commissioning. L. 729: footprint of the reservoir: What is footprint? Not discussed earlier under Discussion.

I am of the opinion that the paper requires major revision.

Please also note the supplement to this comment: https://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/bg-2017-380/bg-2017-380-RC2-supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-380, 2017.

# **BGD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

