Small spatial variability in methane emission measured from a wet patterned boreal bog

Aino Korrensalo¹, Elisa Männistö¹, Pavel Alekseychik², Ivan Mammarella², Janne Rinne³, Timo Vesala^{2,4}, Eeva-Stiina Tuittila¹

¹Peatland and soil ecology research group, School of Forest Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, PO Box 111, FIN-8010 Joensuu, Finland

²Dept. of Physics, PO Box 68, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

³Dept. of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Sölvegatan 12, S-223 62 Lund, Sweden

⁴Dept. of Forest Sciences, PO Box 27, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

Correspondense to: elisa.mannisto@uef.fi

Abstract

15

20

We measured methane fluxes of a patterned bog situated in Siikaneva in southern Finland from six different plant community types in three growing seasons 2012–2014 using the static chamber method with chamber exposure of 35 minutes. A mixed effects model was applied to quantify the effect of the controlling factors on the methane flux.

The plant community types differed from each other in their water level, species composition, total leaf area (LAI_{TOT}) and leaf area of aerenchymous plant species (LAI_{AER}). Methane emissions ranged from -309 to 1254 mg m⁻² d⁻¹. Although methane fluxes increased with increasing peat temperature, LAI_{TOT} and LAI_{AER}, they had no correlation with water table or with plant community type. The only exception were higher fluxes from hummocks and high lawns than from high hummocks and bare peat surfaces in 2013 and from bare peat surfaces than from high hummocks in 2014. Chamber fluxes measured with the eddy covariance (EC)

25 season were of the same magnitude as the fluxes measured with the eddy covariance (EC) technique. In 2012 and in August 2014 there was a good agreement between the two methods, in 2013 and in July 2014, the chamber fluxes were higher than the EC fluxes.

Net fluxes to soil, indicating higher methane oxidation than production, were detected every year and on all community types. Our results underline the importance of both LAI_{AER} and LAI_{TOT} in controlling methane fluxes and indicate need for automatized chambers to reliably

30 LAI_{TOT} in controlling methane fluxes and indicate need for automatized chambers to reliably capture localized events to support more robust EC method.

Keywords: leaf area index, peatland, peat temperature, plant community type, water table

35 **1 Introduction**

Mires or undrained peatlands are wetland ecosystems where partly undecomposed organic material is stored as peat in anoxic conditions under the water table. Therefore, these ecosystems act as important sinks for carbon dioxide (CO_2) , but on the other hand, they are 40 also the largest natural source of methane (CH₄), which is a potent climate warming greenhouse gas (IPCC, 2014). Methane flux rate of a peatland ecosystem depends on the balance between microbial methane production and consumption. In peatlands, methane is produced in wet and anoxic conditions below the water table by anaerobic microbes, methanogens (Archaea) (Hanson and Hanson, 1996). It is released from peat to the atmosphere via three transport routes: by diffusion in the peat matrix, through aerenchymous vascular plants and by ebullition 45 from water and bare peat surfaces (LeMer and Roger, 2001; Raghoebarsing et al., 2005). The consumption of methane is partly regulated by the proportions of these three routes. If the surface of peatland is not water-saturated, a part of the diffusing methane is oxidized in the upper aerobic peat layer or within Sphagnum mosses by methanotrophic bacteria (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; LeMer and Roger, 2001; Larmola et al., 2010), while the methane transported 50 by plants (Bhullar et al., 2013) or bubbles is emitted directly to the atmosphere. Although large part of methane can be oxidized also in plants, such as rice (Bosse and Rudolph, 1997), so far significant methane oxidation has not been detected in bog plants, such as Eriophorum angustifolium and E. vaginatum (Frenzel and Rudolph, 1998). The processes of methane production, consumption and transport are affected by several environmental and ecological 55 factors, such as water table (Dise et al., 1993), temperature (Dunfield et al., 1993), pH (Dunfield et al., 1993; Dedysh, 2002), quality and quantity of available substrate (Ström et al., 2003) as well as vegetation type and productivity (Bubier, 1995; Waddington et al., 1996; Joabsson et

60

al., 1999). Current models of global methane budget are still uncertain due to limited knowledge of the relative contribution of different environmental factors controlling methane fluxes (Riley et al., 2011). The largest source of uncertainty is the quantity of methane emissions from natural wetlands, such as peatlands (Riley et al., 2011; Melton et al., 2013). Peatland ecology is strongly controlled by typically high water level and its spatial variation

65

(Rydin and Jeglum, 2013). Importantly, water table determines the thickness of anaerobic and aerobic layers in peat, which may vary spatially within a peatland leading to different surface types along the water table position gradient. Bogs are peatland ecosystems receiving nutrients only through atmospheric deposition, and typically characterized by strong spatial variation in water table. This results from pronounced microtopography varying from open pools and wet bare peat surfaces and hollows to intermediate lawns and drier and higher hummocks. Just as the thickness of aerobic peat layer differs between the surface types, the species composition 70 of plant community types varies (Kotiaho et al., 2013). Sedges with aerenchymous tissue in their stems and roots, that allows transportation of oxygen to their roots, grow on the watersaturated surface types. Shrubs that lack aerenchymous tissue grow on higher surfaces with thicker aerobic layer. Together with plant community composition and environmental conditions, methane dynamics vary along the water table gradient as the amount of methane 75 transporting vegetation and the thickness of methane consuming aerobic layer change. It is generally considered that, the wetter the surface, the higher the methane emission (e.g. Bubier et al., 2005). However, recent studies based on spatial (Turetsky et al., 2014) and temporal

80 Vegetation has recently been included in the process models as a controlling factor of methane fluxes from peatlands (Li et al., 2016; Raivonen et al., 2017). However, these models do not yet take into account the impact of its spatial heterogeneity on methane fluxes.

variation (Rinne et al., 2017) indicate maximum fluxes at intermediate water table positions.

Although there exists a wealth of studies that quantify methane emissions from different peatlands (reviewed by Turetsky et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2016), most studies have been 85 focused on fens that receive additional nutrients from the surrounding mineral soil, and support higher amount of aerenchymous vegetation compared to bogs (Turetsky et al., 2014). Studies on the spatial variation of methane emissions in bogs with varying plant community types are scarce (see however Waddington and Roulet 1996; Frenzel and Karofeld, 2000, Laine et al., 2007). Climate change is expected to alter water table and consequently the abundance of different plant community types in peatlands, leading to changes in ecosystem functions. 90 During the last decade, atmospheric methane concentration has shown an increasingly strong rise, and although the underlying reasons remain poorly understood (Kirschke et al., 2013) this increase has been associated with the microbially produced methane (Nisbet et al., 2016). As atmospheric methane accelerates the global warming, it is crucial to be able to understand and 95 model the carbon dynamics of peatlands, which are the largest natural source of methane and

3

contain approximately one third of global soil carbon stock (Turunen 2002; Yu 2011). Better understanding on the microtopographical variation in the methane fluxes and their controlling factors enables better prediction of the effects of climate change on methane emissions from peatlands in the future.

- 100 In this study, we aimed to quantify spatial variation in methane fluxes and their controlling factors in a patterned boreal bog. We measured methane emissions in six different plant community types during three subsequent growing seasons. We compared methane flux, water table, peat temperature and leaf area of all vegetation (total LAI) and aerenchymous vegetation (aerenchymous LAI) between the plant community types for three growing seasons. Mixed
- 105 effect model was used for quantifying the effect of the controlling factors on the methane flux. Fluxes measured with chambers were compared with methane flux measured with eddy covariance (EC) technique. We hypothesized that the plant community types differ in terms of environmental controls and, consequently, in their methane emissions. We expected wetter plant community types with aerenchymous plant species to release more methane than drier

110 plant community types.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study site

- The study was conducted in the bog site of the oligotrophic peatland complex Siikaneva situated in southern Finland (61°50'N, 24°12'E), 160 m a.s.l., within the southern boreal vegetation zone (Ahti et al., 1968). The Siikaneva bog site is located 1.3 km north-west from Siikaneva fen site, studied before by e.g. Aurela et al. (2007), Rinne et al. (2007) and Riutta et al. (2007). According to the 30-year averages from the Juupajoki-Hyytiälä weather station that is located 6.3 km east from the bog site, annual rainfall of the area is 707 mm, the annual cumulative temperature is 1318 degree days, the average annual temperature is 4.2 °C and the average temperatures in January and July are -7.2 °C and 17.1 °C. The bog site has a well-
- pronounced microtopography represented by open water pools, bare peat surfaces, hollows and higher and drier lawns and hummocks. The vegetation is dominated by *Sphagnum* mosses,
 except in the ponds and bare peat surfaces. *Sphagnum fuscum* and *S. rubellum* grow on hummocks, where vascular plant vegetation is dominated by dwarf shrubs, such as *Andromeda polifolia*, *Calluna vulgaris* and *Empetrum nigrum*. *E. vaginatum* is also found on hummocks

and it is common on lawns, where the moss layer is dominated by Sphagnum magellanicum and S. rubellum. Sphagnum cuspidatum and S. majus, in turn, are dominating wet hollows

130

together with Carex limosa, Rhynchospora alba and Scheuchzeria palustris. R. alba is often the only plant growing in the bare peat surfaces.

2.2 Sampling

135

To cover the spatial variation in vegetation and environmental conditions, sample plots were established to represent six different plant community types or bog microforms characteristic to the site: high hummock (HHU), hummock (HU), high lawn (HL), lawn (L), hollow (HO) and bare peat surfaces (BP). They were placed within the study site in three clusters of six plots each (18 sample plots in total).

- The static chamber method (Alm et al., 2007) was used to measure the methane fluxes from the sample plots. Stainless steel collars of size 60 x 60 cm (surface area 3600 cm²) were 140 installed around each plot for the measurements. The depth of the collars varied from 10 cm to 30 cm; the deepest ones in sample plots with deepest water table. In order to minimize the peat disturbance during the measurements, boardwalks supported by stilts driven to mineral soil underneath the peat were built next to the sample plots. During each measurement, an opaque aluminum chamber was placed in the groove on top of the collar, and water was poured into 145 the groove to make it airtight during the measurement. The chamber was then sealed with a rubber plug having a 1 mm diameter plastic tube with a three-way stopcock attached to it. A fan inside the chamber was used to mix the air in the chamber headspace. Four air samples of
- 150 after the chamber was closed. The samples were placed in glass vials and kept in cold and dark until their methane concentration was analyzed with an Agilent Technologies 7890A gas chromatograph and Gilson GX-271 liquid handler. Air temperature inside the chamber as well as peat temperatures at the moss surface and at the depths of 5 cm, 15 cm and 30 cm were measured during each methane measurement. Water level of the sample plot was measured

20 ml were taken with a syringe from the headspace of the chamber at 5, 15, 25 and 35 minutes

relative to moss surface from a plastic tube installed into peat next to each sample plot. Each 155 tube had holes on their sides enabling water to settle inside them.

The chamber measurements were conducted seven times in 2012 (from 26 June to 16 October), nine times in 2013 (from 21 May to 9 September) and 16 times in 2014 (from 7 April to 24 September) over the growing season.

160 Methane flux during each measurement was calculated as the linear change in methane concentration in relation to time and taking into account the volume of and temperature in the chamber. Non-linear changes in methane concentration were considered to result from ebullition or leak in the chamber and excluded. In total, 10.4 % of the measurements were excluded as outliers. The resulting dataset consisted of 516 measurements in total.

165

2.3 Leaf area index

Leaf area of each sample plot was measured over the growing season following Wilson et al. (2007). An estimate for an average number of leaves per m² area for each vascular plant species was taken from leaf count conducted every third week from five sub-sample plots (8 x 8 cm)
within each sample plot. For leaf size, samples of corresponding species were taken around the study site on each leaf area measurement day and the leaf area of each species was measured with a scanner. Leaf area index of all the vascular plant species (LAI_{TOT}) was then calculated by multiplying average leaf size with leaf number. Leaf area index of aerenchymous plants (LAI_{AER}) for each sample plot was calculated based on the leaf area of the five aerenchymous

175 species growing on the site, *Carex limosa*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*, *Rhynchospora alba*, *Scheuchzeria palustris* and *Trichophorum cespitosum*.

2.4 Eddy covariance measurements

Eddy-covariance (EC) measurements were conducted at the site in 2012-2014, providing an
independent ecosystem-scale estimate of methane fluxes. The EC setup included an ultrasonic anemometer (USA-1, METEK GmbH, Germany) and an open-path methane concentration analyzer (LI-7700, LI-COR Biosciences, USA). The measurement height was 2.4 m above the peat surface. EddyUH software was used to process the raw data and produce the 30 min average fluxes of latent heat, sensible heat and methane (Mammarella et al., 2015). Standard
EC data quality control (e.g., Aubinet et al., 2012) was performed by the software or manually; the EC flux data during calm periods (friction velocity u* < 0.1 m s⁻¹) was excluded from the analysis.

The EC flux series missed a large fraction of data (65%) due to technical problems, flux quality filtering, or periods with insufficient turbulence. Therefore, gap-filling was necessary, which

190 was done in the following way. First, a function was fit to all three years of data,

$$F_{CH_4mod} = a \cdot \exp(b \cdot T_{p20})$$
 Eq. (1)

195

where F_{CH4mod} is the flux model (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) *a* and *b* the empirical parameters, and T_{p20} (°C) is the peat temperature at a 20 cm depth. T_{p20} was gapfilled with the equivalent data from the nearby fen station or using linear interpolation, and spline-smoothed to eliminate diurnal-scale variability. From that general fit, we established that b=0.167 (95% CI [0.163, 0.170]). Next, *a* was determined for each year individually by fitting Eq. (1), now with *b* fixed at 0.167. This yielded *a* = [0.0049, 0.0056, 0.0062] for 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. The methane flux model was then calculated using Eq. (1) and used to fill the gaps in the observed EC methane flux.

200

2.5 Analyses

To address spatial variability, we used linear mixed-effects models to test whether the measured environmental variables (water table, LAITOT, LAIAER and peat temperature) differed between plant community types or years. These models were constructed with the whole 205 dataset, and each environmental variable was explained by potential fixed predictors of year and surface type as well as their interaction and by the random effects of measurement plot and plot cluster. To test, whether the seasonal pattern of the environmental variables differed among the plant community types, the models were then constructed separately for each year with potential fixed predictors of measurement day, plant community type and their interaction and 210 with random effect of measurement plot cluster. Variation in methane flux was analyzed by adding potential fixed predictors plant community type, year and their interaction, peat temperature at different depths, air temperature, LAI_{TOT}, LAI_{AER} and water table to the model one by one. AIC-value (Akaike information criterion) and conditional F-test were used to evaluate whether an addition of a fixed predictor resulted in a significantly better model than the simpler one. Peat temperature can be expected to have a nonlinear effect on methane flux, 215 and therefore we mimicked the often-used exponential relation of methane flux to temperature by assumed peat temperature effect first to be constant until 10 °C degrees and then follow second degree polynomial. The 10 °C degrees' threshold was selected based on visual inspection. According to AIC-value this response shape explained the variation in the data 220 better than a liner form. We tested also, which of the three peat temperature variables with the selected response form explained the variation in methane fluxes better. The measurement plot and plot cluster were included as random effects in all of the models. The data was analyzed

with the function lme of the package nlme of R software (version 3.3.2). The residuals were normally distributed around mean of zero in all of the models. The fixed part of the model are reported in Appendix.

230

235

3 Results

3.1 Variation in environmental variables

Year 2012 was the coolest, wettest and cloudiest of the three years studied, whereas year 2013 was the warmest. Year 2014 was intermediate in temperature and irradiation, but the driest of the three years (Table 1).

Reflecting our sampling strategy, there was a clear water table position gradient among the six plant community types that water tables (WT) divided into three statistically different groups (degrees of freedom (DF)=5, 10; p<0.0001) (Fig 1). 1) High hummocks had the lowest WT, with the mean -25 cm, followed by 2) hummocks and high lawns, with mean the WT of -12 cm. 3) Lawns, hollows and bare peat surfaces had the highest WT with means close to the soil surface (Fig 1). The WT gradient was similar during all the three years, but the overall WT differed between the years. The year 2012 with the highest precipitation had a significantly higher WT than 2013 or 2014 (Fig 1). The warmest year 2013 with intermediate precipitation

240 and the lowest WT did not differ significantly from the year 2014 with the lowest precipitation and intermediate WT. There were no differences between the plant community types in the rhythm of the WT over the growing seasons.

LAI_{TOT} varied between the six plant community types (DF=5, 10; p<0.0001) (Fig. 1) forming four groups. LAI_{TOT} was 1) highest on high hummocks, followed by 2) hummocks and high lawns, 3) hollows and 4) lawns and bare peat surfaces. The differences between the plant community types stayed similar over the three years. The summer maximum of LAI_{TOT} was lowest in the coolest and wettest year 2012 and highest in the warmest year 2013 (Fig. 1).

LAI_{AER} also varied between the six plant community types (DF=5, 10; p=0.0060) forming four groups (Fig. 1). In contrast to LAI_{TOT}, 1) hollows had the highest LAI_{AER}. 2) High lawns and

250 lawns had slightly higher LAI_{AER} than 3) bare peat surfaces, while 4) high hummocks and hummocks had the lowest LAI_{AER}. LAI_{AER} differed significantly between years (DF=2, 402; p<0.0001) (Fig 1). All the six plant community types had the highest LAI_{AER} in the warmest

year 2013 and lowest LAI_{AER} in the coolest and wettest year 2012. Similar to LAI_{TOT}, the differences between the plant community types were similar in each year.

- Peat temperature did not differ between the plant community types in the warmest year 2013. In the coolest and wettest year 2012, hollows and bare peat surfaces were significantly warmer than the other types (HO: 1.38 ± 0.33, DF=98, p=0.0001 and BP: 0.85 ± 0.32, DF=98, p=0.009). In the driest year 2014, bare peat surfaces were significantly warmer than hummocks (0.61 ±0.31, DF=235, p=0.0485) and high lawns (0.77 ±0.31, DF=235, p=0.0154). Similarly to air
- temperature, the three years had significantly different peat temperatures (DF=2, 483; p<0.0001) with the means of 14.1 °C, 16.5 °C and 12.6 °C in 2012, 2013 and 2014.

3.2. Variation in methane fluxes

Methane fluxes measured with chambers ranged from -90 to 387 mg m⁻²d⁻¹, from -87 to 1254
mg m⁻²d⁻¹ and from -309 to 910 mg m⁻²d⁻¹ in 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively (Fig 2). Methane fluxes were each year generally higher in the middle of the growing season than in spring or in autumn (Fig 3).

The variation in the methane fluxes did not show any clear pattern between the plant community types (Fig. 2) and the classification did not explain the variation in the methane
fluxes when plant community type was first used in the model as the only explanatory variable. The model where plant community type, year and their interaction were used as explanatory variables indicated a significant difference between the years, but contrary to expectations, no spatial variation related to plant community types common for the three growing seasons was found. However, a significant interaction term between the plant community type and year was detected (DF=10, 483; p=0.0004), as there were higher methane fluxes from hummocks and high lawns than from high hummocks and bare peat surfaces in 2013, as well as higher fluxes from bare peat surfaces than from high hummocks in 2014. This result in 2013 was surprising, but the differences between the plant community types were small.

Methane emission increased with increasing peat temperature. The peat temperature at the depth of 5 cm explained the variation in methane fluxes better than temperature in the depths of 15 and 30 cm. After the peat temperature in the depth of 5 cm was included in the model, the two other peat temperature variables and the chamber temperature made no effect on prediction. Methane flux was found to increase linearly with aerenchymous LAI, but also with the total LAI, even after the aerenchymous LAI was included in the model (Fig 4). WT did not explain variation in methane fluxes, as was found in residual inspection and from the finding that WT was not able to improve the model. Therefore, WT was not included as a fixed

predictor in the final model (Table 1). Standard deviation of the constant for the random effect
'plot' was 0.05. For the random effect 'plot cluster', standard deviation of the constant was
36.44 and standard deviation of residuals was 95.63 showing that the variation between clusters
was smaller than the variation within clusters.

Although Siikaneva bog is a wet site with a high WT (see e.g. Moore et al., 2011) (Fig 1), negative flux values were detected every year across the WT gradient. In 2013, negative fluxes were measured only on high hummocks, whereas in the other years those occurred on all the plant community types, except on lawns (Fig 2). Fluxes from the atmosphere to the soil ranged from ca. 4 to 309 mg m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig 2). The highest net methane fluxes towards the soil were

measured on bare peat surfaces in 2014 (185 and 309 mg $m^{-2} d^{-1}$).

As the chamber measurement periods differed between the years, we compared the warmest period with highest fluxes, namely July and August, on an ecosystem level. As the measured fluxes were similar between the different plant community types, methane flux was interpolated to ecosystem level flux as a mean of all the 18 sample plots. The upscaled monthly methane emissions for the whole ecosystem in July and August were 1.7 and 2.5 g m⁻² mo⁻¹ in 2012, 5.4 and 3.1 g m⁻² mo⁻¹ in 2013 and 4.9 and 3.5 g m⁻² mo⁻¹ in 2014. Cumulative EC methane fluxes for July and August amounted to 2.3 and 2.8 g m⁻² mo⁻¹ in 2012, 2.9 and 2.5 g m⁻² mo⁻¹ in 2013, and 3.4 and 3.7 g m⁻² mo⁻¹ in 2014, respectively. Methane emission peaks seen in EC fluxes over the three growing seasons were also found in upscaled chamber fluxes (Fig 5). The ecosystem level fluxes followed the seasonal pattern of peat temperature and LAI increasing in spring, having the highest peak in the middle of summer and decreasing towards autumn (Fig 5).

310 4 Discussion

285

295

The methane fluxes measured in this study ranged from -309 to 1254 mg m⁻² d⁻¹. When the lowest and the highest 2.5 % of all the fluxes are excluded, the methane fluxes (95 % CI around the median) measured in this study range from -7 to 387 mg m⁻² d⁻¹. They are, on average, of same magnitude as methane fluxes reported in previous studies of bog ecosystems (Crill et al.,

1988, Waddington and Roulet, 1996, MacDonald et al., 1998, Laine et al., 2007). Turetsky et

al. (2014) presented the mean methane flux of 15 bog sites as $96 \pm 6 \text{ mg m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, which is a little higher than the mean fluxes in 2012 and 2014 (57 ± 6 and 77 $\pm 7 \text{ mg m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) but lower than the mean flux in the warmest year 2013 (131 $\pm 12 \text{ mg m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) in this study. Similarly, another review (Wilson et al., 2016) that included wintertime fluxes calculated lower mean methane flux for boreal nutrient poor sites, 4 100 mg m⁻² yr⁻¹ with 95 % CI from 50 to 24 600 mg m⁻² yr⁻¹.

320

Contrary to our hypothesis, the measured methane fluxes showed very little spatial variation in a highly heterogenous environment. We expected to find higher methane fluxes from wetter plant community types that have more aerenchymous vegetation, as high WT reduces the thickness of aerobic peat layer and consequently methane consumption, while transport 325 through aerenchymous plants facilitates methane emission from peat to the atmosphere. However, even though the plant community types differed in their WT, LAI_{TOT} and LAI_{AER}, they generally had similar methane fluxes. This observation holds for each of the three growing seasons studied, which indicates that the spatial homogeneity of methane fluxes is not an artifact but a characteristic property of the studied bog. The same site has been previously 330 shown to have also spatially homogeneous biomass production and net ecosystem exchange rates, except on bare peat surfaces with little vegetation (Karofeld, 2004; Korrensalo, 2017). We found only small spatial variation, as hummocks and high lawns had higher methane flux than high hummocks and bare peat surfaces in 2013, and bare peat surfaces had higher methane 335 flux than high hummocks in 2014. This result found in 2013 was opposite to previous studies that have found lower methane flux from hummocks than from hollows and lawns (Bubier et al., 1993, Waddington and Roulet, 1996, Saarnio et al., 1997, MacDonald et al., 1998, Frenzel and Karofeld, 2000, Laine et al., 2007). Correspondingly, it is likely that the similarity of the methane fluxes between the plant community types results from underlying microbial 340 processes of methane production and consumption. Methane oxidation partly regulates methane emissions, as potential methane oxidation is usually greater than potential methane production (Segers, 1998). Juottonen et al. (2015) showed that both methane producing and consuming microbe communities may have strong variation depending on site in boreal bogs. In addition, the effect of plant community type on activity of the microbe communities is not consistent and varies between bogs (Juottonen et al., 2015). Studying the microbial 345

communities and their methane production and oxidation potentials in Siikaneva bog would be the next step to understand why methane fluxes are so similar over the different plant community types in the site. This could also clarify to what extent the high negative net fluxes are explained by microbial methane oxidation.

- As commonly found for biological processes, measured methane emissions increased with increasing peat temperature, similarly to previous studies (Kettunen et al., 1996, Daulat and Clymo, 1998, Frenzel and Karofeld, 2000; Laine et al., 2007). As temperature affects the activity of the methane producing microbes, rising temperature increases methane production until reaching the temperature optimum of the microbes around 20–30 °C (Dunfield et al.,
- 355 1993). Increasing temperature may also enhance the methane transport through aerenchymous plants (Große, 1996). For example, plant conductance for methane has been shown to correlate positively with soil temperature at the depth of 5 cm in rice plants (Hosono and Nouchi, 1997). As global warming will increase peat temperatures and prolong the growing season in boreal peatlands, more methane can be emitted through aerenchymous plants. Methane producing
- 360 microbial activity may also increase as long as there are anoxic conditions and available substrates. However, in boreal climates warming is predicted to lower the WT leading to thicker aerobic peat, which potentially creates a higher methane consumption rate layer (Yrjälä et al., 2011). Thus, changes in WT may compensate the effect of rising temperature under a warmer climate.
- 365 As expected, methane flux increased with higher LAI_{AER}. Plants with aerenchymous tissues facilitate methane emissions by serving as conduits for methane from peat to the atmosphere that avoids the methane oxidation in aerobic peat layer. Frenzel and Karofeld (2000) measured highest methane fluxes from plots with *E. vaginatum* and *S. palustris* and showed that methane emission ceased when *S. palustris* was clipped below the WT. Interestingly, we found that
- 370 methane flux increased also with LAI_{TOT}, even when LAI_{AER} was already taken into account. The effect of LAI_{TOT} on methane flux was about one third higher than the effect of LAI_{AER}. Previously, Marushchak et al. (2016) have found a positive correlation between LAI of vascular plants and methane emissions that explained most of the differences in methane fluxes among the fens and willow stands they measured. The positive effect of LAI_{TOT} on methane flux can
- 375 be explained by that it provides organic substrate for methanogenesis (Chanton et al., 1995). Although higher and drier plant community types had lower LAI_{AER} compared to wet plant community types, they had higher LAI_{TOT} that provides more substrate material than some wetter plant community types. This can partly explain our result that methane fluxes from drier plant community types were similar to the fluxes measured from wetter plant community type.

- Both LAI_{TOT} and LAI_{AER} increased in the beginning of the growing season before reaching the maximum around July and subsequent decrease. A similar pattern could be seen in the measured methane fluxes that were generally higher in the middle of the growing season and had their peak around late July. This indicates that methane fluxes have a seasonal variation following LAI_{TOT} and LAI_{AER}. As climate change is predicted to alter WT in peatlands, also
 their vegetation composition will change, potentially affecting the methane dynamics. Decreasing WT and increasing thickness of aerobic peat layer will enable non-aerenchymous plant species, such as shrubs, to grow on previously wetter sites. Because plant-mediated methane transport forms a significant part of the total methane flux (Bhullar et al., 2013), the flux rate can be straightly affected by a change in the abundance of aerenchymous plant species.
 At the same time, a longer growing season and increasing primary production and substrate
- availability are able to increase methane emission. Our results show that it is important to take into account both LAI_{TOT} and LAI_{AER} in future models of peatland methane dynamics.

Negative fluxes, i.e., net fluxes from the atmosphere to soil took place on both dry and wet plant community types, and the highest fluxes towards ecosystem were in fact measured from 395 bare peat surfaces. In 2013, negative net flux was measured twice from one sample plot on a high hummock. This high hummock was the only sample plot that showed negative net fluxes each year. In 2012, negative fluxes were recorded from all plant community types except high lawns and lawns, and in 2013 from all plant community types but lawns, respectively. Generally, negative fluxes have been associated with higher methane oxidation by 400 methanotrophic microbes than methane production by archaea. Since methanotrophic microbes are aerobic, methane oxidation capacity is higher in drier plant community types that have a thicker aerobic peat layer (Sundh et al., 1995). This is typical for hummocks that can even serve as a sink for atmospheric methane (Frenzel and Karofeld, 2000). Methane oxidation activity is usually the highest near average WT, where methanotrophs have an optimal availability of both methane and oxygen (Sundh et al., 1995; Dedysh, 2002). Therefore, methane consumption 405 takes place also in wetter plant community types that have WT close to the soil surface when they are not waterlogged. In this study, hollows and bare peat surfaces had WT mainly below the soil surface at the time they showed negative net fluxes. For example, the two highest negative fluxes (-309 and -185 mg $m^{-2} d^{-1}$) were measured from the same bare peat surface of the first plot cluster in spring 2014, while its WT was below the soil surface and partly frozen. 410

These fluxes are high compared to the highest negative fluxes measured previously from a boreal peatland (-48.5 mg m⁻² d⁻¹), from a bog ecosystem (-19.5 mg m⁻² d⁻¹), from drying

peatlands (-15.7 mg m⁻² d⁻¹) (Turetsky et al., 2014) and from mineral soil (ca. -4 mg m⁻² d⁻¹) (Smith et al., 2000). Negative net fluxes were also measured twice on waterlogged plant
community types (HO and BP). This could be explained by plants with aerenchymous tissues that are typical for these community types and can transport oxygen to their rhizosphere enabling methane consumption. It is also possible that part of the methane oxidation has been anaerobic (Smemo and Yavitt, 2007).

Methane fluxes measured with the chamber technique (chamber fluxes) and upscaled to an ecosystem level for July and August were of the same magnitude as the corresponding monthly 420 fluxes measured with the EC technique (EC fluxes). In the studied bog site, the source area (footprint) of EC measurements includes open pools and thus, the EC flux includes methane emitted via ebullition that is excluded from the chamber measurements. Therefore, the EC flux would be expected to be higher than the upscaled chamber flux. However, this was rarely the 425 case, and chamber fluxes were occasionally higher than the EC fluxes. Higher chamber flux than EC flux could be explained by shifting of the EC footprint as it is affected by many factors, such as wind direction (Kormann and Meixner, 2001). While chamber measurements are always conducted on the same fixed sample plots, EC measurement footprint changes and thus its area of open pools that do not have vegetation serving as conduit for methane varies also. 430 Overall, upscaling the chamber fluxes to ecosystem level appeared to be successful as it showed the same methane emission peaks that were detected with EC measurements over the three growing seasons. This was seen even in 2012 when only few chamber measurement campaigns were conducted. In the future, regular measurements with automatic chambers through the

growing season would make the upscaling of chamber fluxes more accurate and improve thecomparison of the two methods as well as reveal the commonness of abnormalities measured only with chambers.

Conclusions

440

Highly different plant community types had generally similar methane flux rates over the three studied growing seasons. Methane fluxes increased with increasing peat temperature, LAI_{TOT} and LAI_{AER}, but were not affected by WT. Therefore, while the relation to LAI_{AER} shows the importance of plant-mediated methane transport from soil to the atmosphere, LAI_{TOT} further explains the methane flux rates, likely by indicating substrate availability for methanogenesis. However, LAI_{AER} and LAI_{TOT} explain only partly the lack of spatial variation in methane fluxes

- in the studied bog, which likely results from underlying microbial processes. We also found that negative net fluxes took place occasionally every year and it was detected on both dry and wet plant community types. As both methane producing and oxidizing microbe communities have been shown to vary depending on the bog, studies of the microbial communities and their methane production and oxidation potentials in Siikaneva bog are needed to fully understand
- 450 the methane dynamics of the site. Finally, the chamber fluxes were upscaled to ecosystem level and compared to the fluxes measured with EC technique. Upscaling appeared to be successful as the chamber fluxes and the EC fluxes were of the same magnitude, and as the same methane emission peaks could be seen in both fluxes in each growing season. However, upscaled chamber fluxes were often higher than EC fluxes, although they do not include methane ebullition from open pools as EC fluxes do. Regular measurements with automatic chambers would help to explain the differences and improve the comparison of the two
 - methods in the future.

Data availability

Data is available upon request from the corresponding author.

460 Author contribution

EST came up with the idea and design. AK conducted the chamber measurements and processed the chamber and LAI data. Eddy covariance data was collected and analyzed by PA, TV, IM and JR. AK and EM fitted the mixed-effects models. The manuscript was written by EM, AK and EST and commented by all the other authors.

465 **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by faculty of Science and Forestry, University of Eastern Finland, Academy of Finland (Project codes: 287039 and CARB-ARC 285630), the Academy of

Finland Centre of Excellence (118780), Academy Professor projects (1284701 and 1282842),
ICOS-Finland (281255) and the Finnish Cultural Foundation. We would like to thank
Hyytiälä Forest Research Station and its staff for research facilities and Salli Uljas and Janne
Sormunen for the help with the measurements. We want also thank Olli Peltola for the help
with eddy covariance data analysis.

475 **References**

480

Ahti, T., Hämet-Ahti, L. and Jalas, J.: Vegetation zones and their sections in northwestern Europe, Ann. Bot. Fenn., 5, 169–211, 1968.

Alm, J., Shurpali, N. J., Tuittila, E-S., Laurila, T., Maljanen, M., Saarnio, S. and Minkkinen,
K.: Methods for determining emission factors for the use of peat and peatlands –flux
measurements and modelling, Boreal Environ. Res., 12, 85–100, 2007.

Aurela, M., Riutta, T., Laurila, T., Tuovinen, J-P., Vesala, T., Tuittila, E-S., Rinne, J., Haapanala, S. and Laine, J.: CO₂ exchange of a sedge fen in southern Finland –the impact of a drought period, Tellus, 59B, 826–837, 2007.

Bhullar, G. S., Edwards, P. E. and Venteriink, H. O.: Variation in the plant-mediated methane
transport and its importance for methane emission from intact wetland peat mesocosm, J.
Plant Ecol., doi: 10.1093/jpe/rts045, 2013.

Bosse, U. and Frenzel, P.: Activity and Distribution of Methane-Oxidizing Bacteria in Flooded Rice Soil Microcosms and in Rice Plants (*Oryza sativa*), Appl. Environ. Microb., 63, 1199–1207, 1997.

490 Bubier, J., Costello, A., Moore, T. R., Roulet, N. T. and Savage, K.: Microtopography and methane flux in boreal peatlands, northern Ontario, Canada, Can. J. Botany, 71, 1056–1063, 1993.

Bubier, J.: The relationship of vegetation to methane emission and hydrochemical gradients in northern peatlands, J. Ecol., 83, 403–420, 1995.

495 Chanton, J. P., Bauer, J. E., Glaser, P. A., Siegel, D. I., Kelley, C. A., Tyler, S. C., Romanowicz, E. H. and Lazrus, A.: Radiocarbon evidence for the substrates supporting methane formation within northern Minnesota peatlands, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 59, 3663–3668, 1995.

Crill, P. M., Bartlett, K. B., Harris, R. C., Gorham, E., Verry, E. S., Sebacher, D. I., Madar, L.
and Saner, W.: Methane flux from Minnesota peatlands, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 2, 371– 384, 1988.

Daulat, W. E. and Clymo, R. S.: Effects of temperature and water table on the efflux of methane from peatland surface cores, Atmos. Environ., 32, 3207–3218, 1998.

Dedysh, S. N.: Methanotrophic bacteria of acidic Sphagnum peat bogs, Microbiology, 71,

510

Dise, N. B., Gorham, E. and Verry, E. S.: Environmental factors controlling methane emissions from peatlands in northern Minnesota, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 10 583-10 594, 1993.

Dunfield, P., Knowles, R., Dumont, R. and Moore, T. R.: Methane production and consumption in temperate and subarctic peat soils: Response to temperature and pH, Soil Biol. Biochem., 25, 321–326, 1993.

Frenzel, P. and Karofeld, E.: CH₄ emission from a hollow-ridge complex in a raised bog: The role on CH₄ production and oxidation, Biogeochemistry, 51, 91–112, 2000.

Frenzel, P. and Rudolph, J.: Methane emission from a wetland plant: the role of CH₄ oxidation in *Eriophorum*, Plant Soil, 202, 27–32, 1998.

515 Große, W.: The mechanism of thermal transpiration (=thermal osmosis), Aquat. Bot., 54, 101–110, 1996.

Hanson, R. S. and Hanson, T. E.: Methanotrophic Bacteria, Microbiol. Rev., 60, 439–471, 1996.

Hosono, T. and Nouchi, I.: The dependence of methane transport in rice plants on the rootzone temperature, Plant Soil, 191, 233–240, 1997.

IPCC, Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report, Contribution of Working Groups I, and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change[Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp., 2014.

Joabsson, A., Christensen, T. R. and Wallén, B.: Vascular plant controls on methane emissions from northern peatforming wetlands, Trends Ecol. Evol., 14, 385–388, 1999.
Juottonen, H., Kotiaho, M., Robinson, D., Merilä, P., Fritze, H. and Tuittila, E-S.: Microform-related community patterns of methane-cycling microbes in boreal Sphagnum bogs are site specific, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 91, doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiv094, 2015.

Karofeld, E.: Mud-bottom hollows: exceptional features in carbon-accumulation bogs? The Holocene, 14, 119–124, 2004.

Kettunen, A., Kaitala, V., Alm, J., Silvola, J., Nykänen, H. and Martiakinen, P. J.: Crosscorrelation analysis of the dynamics of methane emissions from a boreal peatland, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 10, 457–471, 1996.

555

- Kirschke, S., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Saunois, M., Canadell, J. G., Dlugokencky, E. J.,
 Bergamaschi, P., Bergmann, D., Blake, D. R., Bruhwiler, L., Cameron-Smith, P., Castaldi, S.,
 Chevallier, F., Feng, L., Fraser, A., Heimann, M., Hodson, E. L., Houweling, S., Josse, B.,
 Fraser, P. J., Krummel, P. B., Lamarque, J.-F., Langenfelds, R. L., Le Quéré, C., Naik, V.,
 O'Doherty, S., Palmer, P. I., Pison, I., Plummer, D., Poulter, B., Prinn, R. G., Rigby, M.,
- Ringeval, B., Santini, M., Schmidt, M., Shindell, D. T., Simpson, I. J., Spahni, R., Steele, L.
 P., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., van der Werf, G. R., Voulgarakis, A., van Weele, M.,
 Weiss, R. F., Williams, J. E. and Zeng, G.: Three decades of global methane sources and sinks, Nature Geoscience, 6, 813–823, doi:10.1038/ngeo1955, 2013.
- Korrensalo, A.: Behind the stability of boreal bog carbon sink: Compositional and functional
 variation of vegetation across temporal and spatial scales, Ph.D. thesis, School of Forest
 Sciences, University of eastern Finland, Finland, 2017.

Kormman, R. and Meixner, F. X.: An analytical footprint model for non-neutral stratification, Bound-Lay. Meteorol., DOI: 10.1023/A:1018991015119, 2001.

Kotiaho, M., Fritze, H., Merilä, P., Tuomivirta, T., Väliranta, M., Korhola, A., Karofeld, E.

- 550 and Tuittila, E-S.: Actinobacteria community structure in the peat profile of boreal bogs follows a variation in the microtopographical gradient similar to vegetation, Plant Soil, 369, 103–114, 2013.
 - Larmola, T., Tuittla, E-S., Tiirola, M., Nykänen, H., Martikainen, P. J., Yrjälä, K., Tuomivirta, T. and Fritze, H.: The role of Sphanum mosses in the methane cycling of a boreal mire, Ecology, 91, 2356–2365, 2010.
 - Laine, A., Wilson, D., Kiely, G. and Byrne, K. A.: Methane flux dynamics in an Irish lowland blanket bog, Plant. Soil., 299, 181–193, DOI 10.1007/s11104-007-9374-6, 2007.

LeMer, J. and Roger, P.: Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by soils: A review, Eur. J. Soil Biol., 37, 25–50, 2001.

Li, T., Raivonen, M., Alekseychik, P., Aurela, M., Lohila, A., Zheng, X., Zhang, Q., Wang,
 G., Mammarella, I., Rinne, J., Yu, L., Xie, B., Vesala, T. and Zhang, W.: Importance of
 vegetation classes in modelling CH₄ emissions from boreal and subarctic wetlands in Finland,
 Sci Total Environ., 572, 1111–1122, 2016.

MacDonald, J.A., Fowler, D., Harraves, K.J., Skiba, U., Leith, I.D. and Murray, B.: Methane
emission rates from a northern wetland; response to temperature, water table and transport,
Atmospheric Environment, 32, 3219–3227, 1998.

Mammarella, I., Peltola, O., Nordbo, A., Järvi, L., and Rannik, Ü.: Quantifying the uncertainty of eddy covariance fluxes due to the use of different software packages and combinations of processing steps in two contrasting ecosystems, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 9, 4915-4933, doi:10.5194/amt-9-4915-2016, 2016.

570

Marushchak, M. E., Friborg, T., Biasi, C., Herbst, M., Johansson, T., Kiepe, I., Liimatainen,
M., Lind, S. E., Martikainen, P. J., Virtanen, T., Soegaard, H. and Shurpali, N. J.: Methane
dynamics in the subarctic tundra: combining stable isotope analyses, plot- and ecosystemscale flux measurements, Biogeosciences, 13, 597–608, 2016.

Melton, J. R., Wania, R., Hodson, E. L., Poulter, B., Ringeval, B., Spahni, R., Bohn, T., Avis, C. A., Beerling, D. J., Chen, G., Eliseev, A., Denisov, S. N., Hopcroft, P. O., Lettenmaier, D. P., Riley, W. J., Singarayer, J. S., Subin, Z. M., Tian, H., Zürcher, S., Brovkin, V., van Bodegom, P. M., Kleinen, T., Yu, Z. C. and Kaplan, J. O.: Present state of global wetland extent and wetland methane modelling: conclusions from a model inter-comparison project (WETCHIMP), Biogeosciences, 10, 753–788, 2013.

Moore, T. R., De Young, A., Bubier, J. L., Humphreys, E. R., Lafleur, P. M. and Roulet, N. T.: A multi-year record of methane flux at the Mer Bleue bog, southern Canada, Ecosystems, 14, 646–657, DOI: 10.1007/s10021-011-9435-9, 2011.

Nisbet, E. G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Manning, M. R., Lowry, D., Fisher, R. E., France, J. L.,

- Michel, S. E., Miller, J. B., White, J. W. C., Vaughn, B., Bousquet, P., Pyle, J. A., Warwick, N. J., Cain, M., Brownlow, R., Zazzeri, G., Lanoisellé, M., Manning, A. C., Glooe, E., Worthy, D. E. J., Brunkle, E-G., Labuschagne, C., Wolff, E. W. and Ganesan, A. L.: Rising atmospheric methane: 2007–2014 growth and isotopic shift, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 30, 1356–1370, doi:10.1002/2016GB005406, 2016.
- Raghoebarsing, A. A., Smolders, A. J., Schmid, M. C., Rijpstra, W. I. C., Wolters-Arts,
 M., Derksen, J., Jetten, M.S., Schouten, S., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Lamers, L.P., Roelofs,
 J.G., Op den Camp, H.J., and Strous, M.: Methanotrophic symbionts provide carbon for
 photosynthesis in peat bogs, Nature, 436, 1153–1156, 2005.

Raivonen, M., Smolander, S., Backman, L., Susiluoto, J., Aalto, T., Markkanen, T., Mäkelä,

- J., Rinne, J., Peltola, O., Aurela, M., Tomasic, M., Li, X., Larmola, T., Juutinen, S., Tuittila, E-S., Heimann, M., Sevanto, S., Kleinen, T., Brovkin, V. and Vesala, T.: HIMMELI v1.0: HelsinkI Model of MEthane buiLd-up and emIssion for peatlands, Geosci. Model. Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2017-52, 2017. Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Model Dev.
- 600 Riley, W. J., Subin, Z. M., Lawrence, D. M., Swenson, S. C., Torn, M. S., Meng, L., Mahowald, N. M. and Hess, P.: Barriers to predicting changes in global terrestrial methane fluxes: analyses using CLM4Me, a methane biogeochemistry model integrated in CESM, Biogeosciences, 8, 1925–1953, 2011.
- Rinne, J., Riutta, T., Pihlatie, M., Aurela., M., Haapanala, S., Tuovinen, J-P., Tuittila, E-S. and
 Vesala., T.: Annual cycle of methane emission from a boreal fen measured by the eddy covariance technique, Tellus, 59B, 449–457, 2007.
 - Rinne, J., Tuittila, E-S., Peltola, O., Li, X., Raivonen, M., Alekseychik, P., Haapanala, S., Pihlatie, M., Aurela, M., Mammarella, I. and Vesala, T.: Temporal variation of ecosystem scale methane emission from a boreal fen in relation to temperature, water table position, and carbon dioxide fluxes, manuscript submitted to Global Biogeochem. Cy., 2017.
- Riutta, T., Laine, J., Aurela, M., Rinne, J., Vesala, T., Laurila, T., Haapanala, S., Pihlatie, M. and Tuittila, E-S.: Spatal variation in plant community functions regulates carbon gas dynamics in a boreal fen ecosystem, Tellus, 59B, 838–852, 2007.

610

615

620

Rydin, H. and Jeglum, J.: The biology of peatlands, New York, NY: Oxford University Press Inc., 2006.

Saarnio, S., Alm, J., Silvola, J., Lohila, A., Nykänen, H. and Martikainen, P. J.: Seasonal variation in CH₄ emissions and production and oxidation potentials at microsites on an oligotrophic pine fen, Oecologia, 110, 414–422, 1997.

Segers, R.: Methane production and methane consumption: a review of processes underlying wetland methane fluxes, Biogeochemistry, 41, 23–51, 1998.

Smemo, K. A. and Yavitt, J. B.: Evidence for anaerobic CH₄ oxidation in freshwater peatlands, Geomicrobiol. J., 24, 583–597, 2007.

Smith, K. A., Dobbie, K. E., Ball, B. C., Bakken, L. R., Sitaula, B. K., Hansen, S., Brumme, R., Borken, W., Christensen, S., Priemé, A., Fowler, D., MacDonald, J. A., Skiba, U.,

625 Klemedtsson, L., Kasimir-Klemedtsson, A., Degórska, A. and Orlanski, P.: Oxidation of atmospheric methane in Northern European soils, comparison with other ecosystems, and uncertainties in the gobal terrestrial sink, Glob. Change Biol., 6, 791–803, 2000.

Ström, L., Ekberg, A., Mastepanov, M. and Christensen, T. R.: The effect of vascular plants on carbon turnover and methane emissions from tundra wetland, Glob. Change Biol., 9, 1185-1192, 2003.

630

650

Sundh, I., Mikkelä, C., Nilsson, M. and Svensson, B. H.: Potential aerobic methane oxidation in a Spahgnum-dominated peatland -controlling factors and relation to methane emission, Soil Biol. Biochem., 27, 829-837, 1995.

Turetsky, M. R., Kotowska, A., Bubier, J., Dise, N. B., Crill, P., Hornibrook, E. R. C.,

- Minkkinen, K., Moore, T. R., Myers-Smith, I. H., Nykänen, H., Olefeldt, D. Rinne, J., 635 Saarnio, S, Shurpali, N., Tuittila, E-S., Waddington, J. M., White, J. R., Wickland, K. P. and Wilmking, M.: A synthesis of methane emissions from 71 northern, temperate, and subtropical wetlands, Global Change Biol., doi: 10.1111, gcb.12580, 2014.
- Turunen, J., Tomppo, E., Tolonen, K. and Reinikainen, A.: Estimating carbon accumulation 640 rates of undrained mires in Finland –application to boreal and subarctic regions, Holocene, 12, 69–80, 2002.

Waddington, J. M. and Roulet, N. T.: Atmosphere-wetland carbon exchanges: Scale dependency of CO₂ and CH₄ exchange on the developmental topography of a peatland, Gobal Biogeochem. Cy., 10, 233–245, 1996.

Waddington, J. M., Roulet, N. T. and Swanson, R. V.: Water table control of CH₄ emission 645 enhancement by vascular plants in boreal peatlands, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 22 775-22 785, 1996.

Wilson, D., Alm, J., Riutta, T., Laine, J., Byrne, K. A., Farrell, E. P. and Tuittila, E-S.: A high resolution green area index for modelling the seasonal dynamics of CO₂ exchange in peatland vasvular plant communities, Plant Ecol., 190, 37-51, 2007.

Wilson D., Blain D., Couwenberg J., Evans C. D., Murdiyarso D., Page S. E., Renou-Wilson F., Rieley J. O., Sirin A., Strack M. and Tuittila, E.-S.: Greenhouse gas emission factors associated with rewetting of organic soils, Mires Peat, 17, 1–28, 2016.

Yrjälä, K., Tuomivirta, T., Juottonen, H., Putkinen, A., Lappi, K., Tuittila, E-S., Penttilä, T.,

655 Minkkinen, K., Laine, J., Peltoniemi, K. and Fritze, H.: CH₄ production and oxidation processes in a boreal fen ecosystem after long-term water table drawdown, Global Change Biol., doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02290.x, 2011.

Yu, Z.: Holocene carbon flux histories of the world's peatlands: Global carbon-cycle implications, Holocene, 21, 761–774, 2011.

660

Tables

Table 1. Effective temperature sum of the growing season, annual rainfall and the amount of
photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) in the three studied years 2012–2014.

Year	Temp. sum degree days	Annual rainfall mm	PAR µmol m ⁻²
2012	1172	907	68 296
2013	1408	615	72 946
2014	1349	579	70 800

665 Figures

Figure 1. a) Mean water table and the mean of summer maximum of b) total leaf area (LAI_{TOT}) and c) leaf area of aerenchymous plant species (LAI_{AER}) in six plant community types: high hummock (HHU), hummock (HU), high lawn (HL), lawn (L), hollow (HO) and

670 bare peat surface (BP) in three subsequent years. The error bars show the standard error of the mean. The different letters (a-d) denote significant differences between the plant community types. Same letter above bars indicates that those plant community types do not differ statistically from each other. Note: statistical analyses for LAI have been conducted with mean LAI_{TOT} and LAI_{AER} instead of summer maximum.

675

Figure 2. Methane fluxes in Siikaneva bog in three subsequent years a) 2012, b) 2013 and c) 2014 from six different plant community types: high hummock (HHU), hummock (HU), high lawn (HL), lawn (L), hollow (HO) and bare peat surfaces (BP).

Figure 3. The measured methane fluxes in three subsequent years.

Figure 4. Response of methane flux to a) peat temperature in the depth of 5 cm, b) total leaf area (LAI_{TOT}) and c) leaf area of aerenchymous plant species (LAI_{AER}). Methane fluxes measured in 2012-2014 were adjusted to a) mean LAI_{TOT} (0.2661) and LAI_{AER} (0.1016), b) mean peat temperature in the depth of 5 cm (14 °C) and mean LAI_{AER} (0.1016) and c) mean peat temperature in the depth of 5 cm (14 °C) and mean LAI_{TOT} (0.2661).

Figure 5. Ecosystem level methane fluxes measured with the eddy covariance (EC) technique and upscaled from chamber measurements (chamber flux) (left panel), and maximum leaf area index of aerenchymous plant species (LAI_{AER} max) and peat temperature in the depth of 5 cm (T₅) (right panel) over the growing seasons a-b) 2012, c-d) 2013 and e-f) 2014.

Appendix A.

695

Table A1. Parameter estimates of the linear mixed-effects model for methane flux. Estimate value, standard error (SE), degrees of freedom (DF), and test statistics t- and p-values are given to the fixed predictors of the model as compared to high hummocks in 2012 (intercept). Fixed predictors are: plant community type divided into high hummocks, hummocks (HU), high lawns (HL), lawns (L), hollows (HO) and bare peat surfaces (BP), measurement year (2012–2014), interaction of plant community type and year (e.g. HU x 2013), peat temperature in the depth of 5 cm, leaf area index of all vegetation (LAI_{TOT}) and leaf area index of aerenchymous plant species (LAI_{AER}).

Parameter	Value	SE	DF	t	р
(Intercept)	-14.79	38.88	483	-0.380	0.7038
HU	38.94	43.90	10	0.887	0.3959
HL	24.77	44.97	10	0.551	0.5938
L	29.22	47.74	10	0.612	0.5541
НО	10.57	46.55	10	0.227	0.8250
BP	11.21	48.57	10	0.231	0.8222
2013	-2.49	30.25	483	-0.082	0.9343
2014	-14.99	27.66	483	-0.542	0.5881
Peat temperature	0.78	0.10	483	7.686	0.0000
LAI _{TOT}	91.59	49.04	483	1.868	0.0624
LAIAER	67.62	70.71	483	0.956	0.3395
$HU \times 2013$	88.09	42.02	483	2.096	0.0366
$HL \times 2013$	109.75	41.84	483	2.623	0.009
$L \times 2013$	20.97	42.48	483	0.494	0.6218
$\rm HO \times 2013$	43.09	45.46	483	0.948	0.3437
$BP \times 2013$	13.33	42.02	483	0.317	0.7511
$HU \times 2014$	-5.35	36.96	483	-0.145	0.8849
$HL \times 2014$	49.81	37.51	483	1.328	0.1848
L imes 2014	26.15	37.66	483	0.694	0.4878
$\mathrm{HO} imes 2014$	65.10	38.48	483	1.692	0.0913
$BP \times 2014$	84.55	38.34	483	2.205	0.0279

700