

Interactive comment on “Biogeochemical contrast between different latitudes and the effect of human activity on spatio-temporal carbon cycle change in Asian river systems” by Tadanobu Nakayama

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 28 November 2017

The paper compares the fluxes of carbon (C) in the Ob, Yangtze, and Mekong Rivers, that were found to be very different due to differences in climate (latitude). This conclusion makes sense but was highly predictable since the fluxes of C from major World rivers has been known with certainty for several decades, going back to the papers of Meybeck in the 1980's, that were followed by an abundant literature.

Consequently, the paper is of marginal interest and does not add anything significantly new to the recent papers from the author (who is prolific), such as his two papers published in 2017 (JGR & Ecol. Model.) and 2016 (Ecohydrology and Hydrobiology)

C1

that dealt with C fluxes from World Rivers.

For instance, Figure A2 of the present ms (BGD) is exactly identical to Figure 3 of the JGR 2017 paper, and nearly identical (except one panel) to Figure 6 of the Ecohydrology and Hydrobiology 2016 paper. Figure 4 of the 2017 JGR paper is nearly identical to Figure 6 of the 2017 Ecol. Model. paper, that have been re-arranged slightly to produce Figure 6 of the present BGD ms (only a minor cosmetic re-arrangement of the figure, the actual content of the plots is identical).

More importantly, the paper as it stands does not actually address the topic of the special issue that deals with “Human impacts on carbon fluxes in Asian river systems”. However, the author has a modelling tool (NICE-BGC) that could be very useful to address this topic.

First, the author could compare a reference simulation (without dams) for all of the major Asian Rivers with a simulation including water diversion by dams. This would allow to estimate how dams have already affected the C fluxes in Asian Rivers (some of which are the largest in the World, such as the Three Gorges).

Second, in a similar fashion, the authors could estimate the future impact on C fluxes in Asian Rivers from the building of planned infrastructures. For instance, enormous dams are planned for construction in the Mekong River network that are expected to severely affect suspended matter load with potential problems in the Mekong delta (erosion).

Construction of dams will not only affect TSM and C fluxes but also the delivery of nutrients to the East China Sea, with potential impacts on its productivity.

Third, the author could use climate predictions for the next 100 yrs in the region and estimate how predicted changes in precipitation and temperature could affect the C and nutrient fluxes from Asian Rivers. This would allow to address the question whether building of dams or climate change would most affect the C fluxes in Asian Rivers.

C2

Addressing the above three points would be spot on the topic of the Special Issue, and would be a useful and interesting application of the NICE-BGC model.

If the author decides to modify the paper in this direction, then all of the parts of the paper regarding validation at global scale (comparison with Amazon, Yukon, etc . . .) should be removed. On the other hand, the author should focus on a careful validation of the Asian rivers, for which a large amount of data is available from literature. For instance, I would like to see how the NICE-BGC model can simulate seasonal variations of concentrations of DOC, POC, TSM, HCO₃⁻, CO₂, etc compared to seasonal changes of these quantities from observations in the different Asian Rivers (available from literature).

As it stands the validation of the model given in Fig. 5 is somewhat worrying. The modelled DOC flux from the Mekong is under-estimated by a factor of 10 compared to field data, while the modelled DIC flux is over-estimated by nearly a factor of 4. For Yangtze, similar large discrepancies are also observed, for instance the modelled POC flux is over-estimated by a factor of 3.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-447>, 2017.