

Interactive comment on “Impact of elevated precipitation, nitrogen deposition and warming on soil respiration in a temperate desert” by Ping Yue et al.

Ping Yue et al.

ecology2100@sina.cn

Received and published: 6 February 2018

Reviewer 1 The effects of elevated precipitation, N deposition and warming on soil respiration was analyzed in a temperature desert based on 2-years data. Its valuable to promote the research on the response of soil respiration to climate change in dry land. But this manuscript needs major revision before publication. Response: Thanks very much for your revision. We accept and have made the changes requested.

Detailed comments: 1. Fig 3 showed the diurnal variation of R_s during one sun day and one post-rain day, so the diurnal pattern of R_s in Fig 3 may can not represent the diurnal pattern of R_s across the whole year. If not, the measured R_s during 10:00-

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



12:00 may show large difference with the daily average of R_s and further failed to show the effect of treatment on R_s for everyday in 2014-2016. It may be better to show the diurnal pattern of R_s at different seasons. Response: Thank you for your comment. Diurnal variations of R_s were only measured from March to September in 2015, March, April and July in 2016 (Fig.1S.). Firstly, we have also corrected a 'wrong' description in Fig 3 in the original manuscript. Now the Fig 3a and b have shown the diurnal variation of R_s during extreme drought (continuous high temperature drought) rather than one normal sunny day, and the Fig 3c and d have shown the diurnal variation of R_s during an extreme wet day (with daily precipitation 33 mm) rather than one small post-rainy day. We found that the diurnal average of R_s were closed to the observed value during 10:00-12:00 from daily change observations in 2015 and 2016, except in July 2015, (Fig.1S. J). Therefore, this supported the effect of treatments. Please see lines 147-149. Thanks again.

2. All gas samples were taken at 10:00-12:00 in everyday, however, the warming effect on soil temperature is not obvious during this sampling time (the obvious warming effect on soil temperature occurred at midday and afternoon time, fig 3a). So the samples during 10:00-12:00 in this study may failed to catch the real warming effects on R_s . Response: Yes, a varying effect on R_s was observed by warming in Fig 3. The data came from extreme precipitation and drought events mainly, which may overestimate the warming effect on R_s . The R_s can be inhibited at high temperature and low humidity, a common phenomenon in the summer; and warming can reinforce this effect in Fig 1S. j, l, n and t. However, our results could represent the warming effects on R_s in spring (e.g. April) and autumn although high temperature reduced R_s , because the observed values on the diurnal average of R_s in warming plots are close to the real values of R_s during 10:00-12:00, except some extreme precipitation and drought events that in summer. So the samples during 10:00-12:00 in this study could catch the mean warming effects on R_s as a whole. We also have made further discussion in the revised text. Please see lines 299-307.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

Reviewer2 Anonymous Referee #2 Received and published: 18 January 2018 This manuscript studied the effects of elevated precipitation, N deposition and warming on soil respiration in a temperate desert. This study was well designed, the manuscript was also well written and the results are interesting, which have important implications on the climate change feedback of soil respiration in the temperate desert. I recommend this manuscript to be accepted with minor revision. The major comments are as follows. 1. Line 134, what is the principle for the increased temperature caused by OTC? How much temperature can be increased by this OTC? Response: The principle of an OTC warming is to heat the air in an OTC system through solar radiation, and the OTC system has an effect of windshield, so the temperature in OTC was increased. The air temperature was increased by about 1 °C on average, and the average annual soil temperatures at 5 and 20 cm depth were significantly increased by 4.41 and 3.67 °C, respectively (Fig. 1a). An additional sentence was added in the revision. Please see lines 195-196. 2. Lines 141-144, did the R_s measured in this study also include the above-ground respiration of the plants? It seems that there were no measures to exclude the aboveground respiration. Response: Yes, R_s measured in this study also include parts of the above-ground respiration of the plants but only from April to May. Because ephemeral plants grow only during this period. In addition, the ephemeral plants are very sparse, and cover only 20-30% of total area, so the above-ground respiration of the plants was relatively weak.

3. Lines 166-167, how to calculate the interactive effects of precipitation, N deposition and warming on R_s ? Response: The interactive effects of precipitation, N deposition and warming on R_s were calculated by the treatments between W1N1T1 plots and W0N0 plots. However, there were lack of interactive effects of N deposition and warming, so the interactive effects of precipitation, N deposition and warming on R_s were not calculated by repeated measures of variance analysis.

4. Line 195, it seems soil moisture was mainly affected by the elevated precipitation other than the interaction of precipitation, N deposition and warming. Response:

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

Agreed and corrected, please see sentence in lines 198-199.

5. Fig.1 a and b, what were the seasonal variations for soil T and moisture? Response: The Fig.1a and b showed that the diurnal variation for soil T and moisture. We have added the seasonal variations for soil T and moisture in Fig 2b.

6. Fig. 4f, why the data number in Fig.4f is less than other figures in Fig. 4? Response: This is because soil pH in soil samples were only measured in several times.

7. Lines 232-239, did the thresholds be calculated using statistical method? Some minor comments: Response: The thresholds were re-analyzed or calculated using Nonlinear Regression (3D, Gaussian and Plane) as in Fig 2S and Fig 4f. We found that Rs was inhibited at high temperature and low humidity (soil temperature > 26.5 0C and soil moisture < 4.2 %), and low temperature and high humidity (soil temperature <2.7 0C and soil moisture >15.9 %). However, moderate soil temperature and moisture increased Rs (Fig. 2S). Therefore, it can be summarized as the response characteristics of Rs under different temperature and humidity ranges rather than the 'true' threshold. We have corrected a 'wrong' description on thresholds in the text, because of no particular accurate threshold by current statistical analysis. Please see lines 234-238.

8. Line 138, please use "the same as". Response: Agreed and corrected. Please see lines 139-140.

9. Page 6, please give the exact year when the experiments were conducted. Response: Agreed and done, please see line 114.

10. Lines 158-159, references for the MBC and MBN measurement should be given. Response: A reference has been added (line 400). Please see lines 160-161.

11. Line 160, can soil pH be measured using potassium dichromate method? It must be a mistake. Response: Thank you for correcting this mistake. We have corrected the wrong description. Please see lines 163-164.

12. Line 161, can't find the reference of Yue et al. (2016) in the reference list. Re-

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



sponse: The reference of Yue et al. (2016) has been added in the reference list. Please see lines 517-518.

13. Fig.1 c and d, these figures should be enlarged. It's hard to see. Response: Agreed and done as suggested. 9-307.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/bg-2017-465/bg-2017-465-AC2-supplement.zip>

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-465>, 2017.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper






Fig. 1.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper






Fig. 2.