Reviewer 1

The effects of elevated precipitation, N deposition and warming on soil respiration was analyzed in a temperature desert based on 2-years data. Its valuable to promote the research on the response of soil respiration to climate change in dry land. But this manuscript needs major revision before publication.

Response: Thanks very much for your revision. We accept and have made the changes requested.

Detailed comments: 1. Fig 3 showed the diurnal variation of Rs during one sun day and one post-rain day, so the diurnal pattern of Rs in Fig 3 may can not represent the diurnal pattern of Rs across the whole year. If not, the measured Rs during 10:00-12:00 may show large difference with the daily average of Rs and further failed to show the effect of treatment on Rs for everyday in 2014-2016. It may be better to show the diurnal pattern of Rs at different seasons.

Response: Thank you for your comment. Diurnal variations of Rs were only measured from March to September in 2015, March, April and July in 2016 (Fig.1S.). Firstly, we have also corrected a 'wrong' description in Fig 3 in the original manuscript. Now the Fig 3a and b have shown the diurnal variation of Rs during extreme drought (continuous high temperature drought) rather than one normal sunny day, and the Fig 3c and d have shown the diurnal variation of Rs during an extreme wet day (with daily precipitation 33 mm) rather than one small post-rainy day. We found that the diurnal average of Rs were closed to the observed value during 10:00-12:00 from daily change observations in 2015 and 2016, except in July 2015, (Fig.1S. J). Therefore, this supported the effect of treatments. Please see lines 267-269. Thanks again.

2. All gas samples were taken at 10:00-12:00 in everyday, however, the warming effect on soil temperature is not obvious during this sampling time (the obvious warming effect on soil temperature occurred at midday and afternoon time, fig 3a). So the samples during 10:00-12:00 in this study may failed to catch the real warming effects on Rs.

Response: Yes, a varying effect on Rs was observed by warming in Fig 3. The data came from extreme precipitation and drought events mainly, which may overestimate the warming effect on Rs. The Rs can be inhibited at high temperature and low humidity, a common phenomenon in the summer; and warming can reinforce this effect in Fig 1S. j, l, n and t. However, our results could represent the warming effects on Rs in spring (e.g. April) and autumn although high temperature reduced Rs, because the observed values on the diurnal average of Rs in warming plots are close to the real values of Rs during 10:00-12:00, except some extreme precipitation and drought events that in summer. So the samples during 10:00-12:00 in this study could catch the mean warming effects on Rs as a whole. We also have made further discussion in the revised text. Please see lines 419-427.

Reviewer2

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 18 January 2018

This manuscript studied the effects of elevated precipitation, N deposition and warming on soil respiration in a temperate desert. This study was well designed, the manuscript was also well written and the results are interesting, which have important implications on the climate change feedback of soil respiration in the temperate desert. I recommend this manuscript to be accepted with minor revision. The major comments are as follows.

1. Line 134, what is the principle for the increased temperature caused by OTC? How much temperature can be increased by this OTC?

   Response: The principle of an OTC warming is to heat the air in an OTC system through solar radiation, and the OTC system has an effect of windshield, so the temperature in OTC was increased. The air temperature was increased by about 1 ^{0}C on average, and the average annual soil temperatures at 5 and 20 cm depth were significantly increased by 4.41 and 3.67 ^{0}C, respectively (Fig. 1a). An additional sentence was added in the revision. Please see lines 315-316.

2. Lines 141-144, did the Rs measured in this study also include the above-ground respiration of the plants? It seems that there were no measures to exclude the aboveground respiration.

   Response: Yes, R_s measured in this study also include parts of the above-ground respiration of the plants but only from April to May. Because ephemeral plants grow only during this period. In addition, the ephemeral plants are very sparse, and cover only 20-30% of total area, so the above-ground respiration of the plants was relatively weak.

3. Lines 166-167, how to calculate the interactive effects of precipitation, N deposition and warming on Rs?

Response: The interactive effects of precipitation, N deposition and warming on Rs were calculated by the treatments between W1N1T1 plots and W0N0 plots. However, there were lack of interactive effects of N deposition and warming, so the interactive effects of precipitation, N deposition and warming on Rs were not calculated by repeated measures of variance analysis.

4. Line 195, it seems soil moisture was mainly affected by the elevated precipitation other than the interaction of precipitation, N deposition and warming.

Response: Agreed and corrected, please see sentence in lines 318-319.

5. Fig.1 a and b, what were the seasonal variations for soil T and moisture?

Response: The Fig.1a and b showed that the diurnal variation for soil T and moisture. We have added the seasonal variations for soil T and moisture in Fig 2b. Please see lines 683-685 and 750.

6. Fig. 4f, why the data number in Fig.4f is less than other figures in Fig. 4?

Response: This is because soil pH in soil samples were only measured in several times.

7. Lines 232-239, did the thresholds be calculated using statistical method? Some minor comments:

Response: The thresholds were re-analyzed or calculated using Nonlinear Regression (3D, Gaussian and Plane) as in Fig 2S and Fig 4f. We found that Rs was inhibited at high temperature and low humidity (soil temperature > 26.5 ^{0}C and soil moisture < 4.2 %), and low temperature and high humidity (soil temperature < 2.7 ^{0}C and soil moisture >15.9 %). However, moderate soil temperature and moisture increased Rs (Fig. 2S). Therefore, it can be summarized as the response characteristics of Rs under different temperature and humidity ranges rather than the 'true' threshold. We have corrected a 'wrong' description on thresholds in the text, because of no particular accurate threshold by current statistical analysis. Please see lines 354-358.

8. Line 138, please use “the same as”.

Response: Agreed and corrected. Please see line 259.

9. Page 6, please give the exact year when the experiments were conducted.

Response: Agreed and done, please see line 234.

10. Lines 158-159, references for the MBC and MBN measurement should be given.

Response: A reference has been added. Please see lines 280-281 and 520.

11. Line 160, can soil pH be measured using potassium dichromate method? It must be a mistake.

Response: Thank you for correcting this mistake. We have corrected the wrong description. Please see lines 283-284.

12. Line 161, can’t find the reference of Yue et al. (2016) in the reference list.

Response: The reference of Yue et al. (2016) has been added in the reference list. Please see lines 637-638.

13. Fig.1 c and d, these figures should be enlarged. It’s hard to see.

Response: Agreed and done as suggested. Please see line 737.

Impact of elevated precipitation, nitrogen deposition and warming on soil respiration in a temperate desert

Ping Yue[1,2,3], Xiaoqing Cui[2], Yanming Gong[1], Kaihui Li[1], Keith Goulding[4], Xuejun Liu[2 *]

[1] State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China

[2] Key Laboratory of Plant-Soil Interactions of MOE, College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China

[3] University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China

[4] The Sustainable Soils and Grassland Systems Department, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden AL5 2JQ, UK

* Correspondence to: Xuejun Liu (liu310@cau.edu.cn; or ecology2100@sina.cn)

Abstract

Soil respiration (R_s) is the most important source of carbon dioxide emissions from soil to atmosphere. However, it is unclear what the interactive response of R_s would be to environmental changes such as elevated precipitation, nitrogen (N) deposition and warming, especially in unique temperate desert ecosystems. To investigate this an *in situ* field experiment was conducted in the Gurbantunggut Desert, northwest China, from September 2014 to October 2016. The results showed that precipitation and N deposition significantly increased R_s, but warming decreased R_s, except in extreme precipitation events, which was mainly through its impact on the variation of soil moisture at 5 cm depth. In addition, the interactive response of R_s to combinations of the factors was much less than that of any single-factor, and the main interaction being a positive effect, except interaction from increased precipitation and high N deposition (60 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}). Although R_s was found to be a unimodal change pattern with the variation of soil mositure, soil temperature and soil NH_4^+-N content, and it was signicantly postively correlated to soil dissloved organic carbon (DOC) and pH, but from a structural equation model found that soil temperature was the most important controlling factor. Those results indicated that R_s was mainly interactively controlled by the soil multi-environmental factors and soil nutrients, and was very sensitive to elevated precipitation, N deposition and warming. But the interactions of multiple factors largely reduced between-year variation of R_s more than any single-factor, suggesting that the carbon cycle in temperate deserts could be profoundly influenced by positive carbon-climate feedbacks.

Key words: precipitation; nirogen deposition; warming; soil respiration; temperate desert

Highlights

1. Impacts of rainfall, N addition and warming on R_s were studied in a temperate desert.
2. Rainfall and N deposition significantly increased R_s, but warming reduced it.
3. The interactive response of R_s was much lower than any single-factor.
4. Soil temperature was the most important controlling factor for R_s.

1. Introduction

Global climate warming, changes in precipitation patterns and increased atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition have all occurred since the industrial revolution, especially in temperate regions (IPCC, 2013), which will be expected to significantly change soil respiration (R_s) that is the most important source of carbon dioxide (CO_2) from soil to atmosphere (Wu et al., 2011): the annual CO_2 flux from R_s was ten-fold that of fossil fuel emissions (Eswaranet al., 1993; Batjes, 1996; Gougoulias et al., 2014). Therefore, even a small change in R_s will profoundly affect greenhouse gas balance and climate (Heimann and Reichstein, 2008). Although a number of experiments of the effects of warming, precipitation, and N deposition on R_s have been conducted in alpine grassland, tundra regions, peatlands and temperate forest (Lafleur and Humphreys, 2008; Strong et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2017), studies in temperate desert ecosystems are scarce, especially the impact on R_s of the interactions of these changes. A field study of multi-factor interactive effects on R_s was therefore conducted in a temperate desert ecosystem to help in understanding the response of R_s to climate change and N deposition in future and highlight the main driving factors.

R_s includes autotrophic respiration (R_A), which is mainly from plant roots and mycorrhizal activities; and heterotrophic respiration (R_H), which is mainly from the activities of microorganisms (Hanson et al., 2000). Soil moisture is an critical limiting factor for plant roots and microbial activities in desert ecosystems (Huang et al., 2015a): R_s was significantly increased by 47-70% in a degraded steppe in Inner Mongolia, China, by increasing precipitation (Chen et al., 2013), with the effect especially strong in summer (Zhang et al., 2017). In addition, in arid ecosystems, increasing precipitation significantly stimulated plant growth, enhanced soil microbial activity and abundance (Huang et al., 2015a), and changed soil nutrient and substrate concentration, such as dissloved organic carbon (DOC), inorganic nitrogen content, moisture and temperature (Huang et al., 2015b).

Warming significantly increased soil temperature, another important controlling factor for plants growth and microbial activity (Sheiket al., 2011; Huang et al., 2015a). R_s rates were significantly increased in a forest soil and Tibetan Plateau grassland by warming (Chen et al., 2017a), reducing R_s with decreasing soil moisture in the growing season, but increasing R_s in the non-growing season (Fang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017); no significant impact was observed from warming (Liu et al., 2016a). Therefore, how R_s is affected by warming induced variations in the soil environment is still unclear. In addition, low and short-term N deposition enhanced R_s, while higher and long-term N deposition inhibited R_s due to changes in plant growth and microbial activity (Zhu et al., 2017), but no impacts have also been reported (Luo et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). A meta-analysis showed that the effects of N enrichment on soil CO_2 fluxes depended on temperature and soil properties (Zhong et al., 2016); desert soils may be even more senstive to its variation.

A nation-wide analysis showed that warming, elevated N deposition and precipitation significantly increased R_s in China (Feng et al., 2017). Some studies have shown that the warming effect on R_s mainly depended on the variation of soil moisture in a dry forest soil (Li et al., 2017). Luo et al. (2008), using a modeling analysis, found that interactive effects became increasingly weaker with increasing intensity of the factors, but a recent meta-analysis showed that interactive effects were much greater than single factors (Zhou et al., 2016a). Thus how multi-factor interactions impact R_s is still unclear. Therefore, an *in stiu* experiment was carried out in the Gurbantunggut Desert to (1) investigate the single-factor and interactive responses of R_s to warming, precipitation and N deposition, and (2) identify the main controlling factors on R_s.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site

A field experiment was carried out at the southern edge of the Gurbantunggut Desert (44º26’ N, 87º54’E and 436.8 m a.s.l.), northwest China, from September 2014 to October 2016. This is the largest fixed/semi-fixed temperate desert in China. The mean annual temperature and precipitation are 7.1°C and 215.6 mm, respectively (Cui et al., 2017), and annual potential evaporation exceeds 2000 mm. From late November to mid-March of the following year, a 20–35 cm depth of snow cover the whole desert (equivalent to 38–64 mm rainfall；Huang et al., 2015c). The growing season is from April to October. This desert soil is of extremely low fertility and high alkaline (Cui et al., 2017). Soil organic carbon, total N content, soil NO_3^--N, NH_4^+-N contents and C:N ratio are 2.21 ±0.71 g kg^{-1}, 0.08 ± 0.003 g kg^{-1}, 4.49 ± 0.71 mg kg^{-1}, 1.38 ± 0.74 mg kg^{-1} and 21.39 ± 1.84, respectively (Table 1; Cui et al., 2017). Plant species are dominated by *Haloxylon ammodendron* and *Haloxylon persicum*, and the vegetation was extremely sparse, with only 30% coverage, with some spring ephemeral plants (May–June), some annuals, and perennials herbaceous plants (July–August; Liu et al., 2016). Spring ephemerals account for > 60% of the community cover and 85% of the biomass. Summer ephemerals, annuals and perennials usually account for only a small proportion of the community biomass before June, but dominate the community after the die-back of the spring annuals (Huang et al., 2015c).

2.2. Experimental treatments

A striking N deposition rate (35.2 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) has occurred in the Gurbantunggut Desert due to the rapid development of agriculture and industry with main form of ammonium nitrate (NH_4NO_3), and wet (19.6 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) and dry (15.6 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) deposition are almost half (Song et al., 2015). In addition, according to the forecast of Galloway et al. (2008) that atmospheric N deposition will double from the early 1990s to 2050, and the predictions of Liu et al. (2010) that precipitation in this region would be increased by 30% in next 30 years. In September 2014 to August 2016, an *in situ* complete block interactive experiment was therefore conducted to study the impact of N deposition and increased precipitation on R_s (Experiment 1). The three levels of N deposition (0 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} (control, N0), 30 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} (low, N1) and 60 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} (high, N2)) and two levels of precipitation ('natural' precipitation (W0) and an increase of 30% (an extra 60 mm precipitation annually (W1)) were applied (Cui et al., 2017). Therefore there were six treatments (W0N0, W0N1, W0N2, W1N0, W1N1 and W1N2) with four replicates of each treatment; each replicate plot was 10 m × 10 m with a 5-m wide buffer zone. The additional precipitation and N deposition (NH_4NO_3) were added twelve times in April, July and September, equivalent to 5 mm precipitation and 2.5 or 5 kg N ha^{-1} per application over a week. The NH_4NO_3 was diluted in 50 L water (equal to 0.5 mm precipitation), and evenly applied following the simulated precipitation. The same amount of water was applied to the control plots (W0N0).

Rapidly warming (0.6 °C per decade), increasing precipitation (3-5 mm yr^{-1} since 1979) and receiving high N deposition (3 kg N ha^{-1} since 1980) are affecting the Gurbantunggut Desert (Liu et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015), which would be excepted to affect rate of R_s. Therefore, another interactive experiment was established at the same time, simulating the three most likely climate scenarios in the future: (1) warming only (W0N0T1); (2) increased precipitation and N deposition without warming (W1N1T0); (3) the interaction of increasing precipitation, N deposition and warming (W1N1T1); all compared with the current climate (W0N0T0). Therefore, there were four treatments (W0N0T1, W1N1T0, W1N1T1, W0N0T0) with four replicates (plots) of each treatment. Open-top chambers (OTCs) were used to simulate warming. The OTCs were designed with 5 mm transparent tempered glass and stainless steel angle iron to the ITEX standard (Marion et al., 1997). They were 2 m high and 4 m in diameter, with each OTC area being 12 m^2. However, the design was improved such that the top and bottom OTC areas were the same so that precipitation and snowfall were the same as that to the surrounding environment; this also avoids overheating inside the OTCs. The timings of applications of water and N were the same as in Experiment 1.

2.3. Measurements

R_s in all plots were measured twice or thrice a week (continuous measurements over 3 days were made following simulated precipitation and N deposition) using gas chromatography and static chambers (50 cm×50 cm×10 cm) at locations where grow only spring ephemeral plants without any annuals and perennials in order to minimize the between-treatment spatial heterogeneity due to sparse annuals, and perennials (Liu et al., 2012). Gas samples were collected between 10:00-12:00 (GMT + 8) throughout the experimental period, which was detected in this period were close to the diurnal averages (Fig.3b and 3d, Fig. 1S). Gas samples were collected from the headspace of each chamber 0, 10, 20 and 30 min after closing the chamber per time. The gas samples analyzed within three days using a gas chromatograph (GC; Agilent 7890A, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector for quantitative R_s (Liu et al., 2012). R_s rates were calculated from four concentrations of the gas sample based on a first order differential linear or non-linear equation and were temperature- and pressure-corrected (Liu et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014). Soil samples were taken monthly from around the static chambers to a depth of 10 cm using an auger (3.5 cm in diameter). Fine roots and small stones were separated out using a 2 mm sieve. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was extracted with deionized water (soil: water ratio = 1:10) by shaking on an orbital shaker at 10000 rpm for 5 min and analyzed using a TOC analyzer (multi N/C 3100, Jena, Germany; Jones and Willett, 2006). Brookes' (1985), Chloroform fumigation extraction was used to measure microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN). Soil organic carbon (SOC) were measured using the potassium dichromate method (Jiang et al., 2014), and soil NO_3^--N and NH_4^+-N analyzed as per Yue et al. (2016). Soil pH was measured on a 1:5 soil: deionized water suspension using a pH Meter (Seven Easy, Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland). Caipos Soil and Environment Monitoring Systems (Caipos GmbH, Austria) were used to monitor soil moisture/temperature at 5 and 20 cm depth every hour.

2.4. Effects of each treatment on R_s

The each treatment effect was analyzed using the following formula to better evaluating the effect of precipitation, warming and N deposition on R_s (Yue et al., 2016).

$$\text{The treatment effect} = (TR_s - CR_s) / CR_s \times 100\%,$$

Where the treatment effect is W0N1, W0N2, W1N0, W1N1, W1N2, W1N1T1 or W0N0T1 effect on R_s (a positive value shows that the treatment has increased R_s and a negative value shows decrease of R_s), corresponding TR_s represents R_s from the W0N1, W0N2, W1N0, W1N1, W1N2, W1N1T1 or W0N0T1 plots (mg C m^{-2} h^{-1}) and CR_s indicates the R_s from the control plots (W0N0, mg C m^{-2} h^{-1}).

2.5. Statistical analyses

Treatments effect on soil organic carbon (SOC), NO_3^--N, NH_4^+-N content, pH, DOC, MBC and MBN were examined in each treatment by least significant difference LSD ($p<0.05$). The single-factor and interaction effects of precipitation, warming and N deposition on R_s were detected by multi-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the accumulated effect of precipitation, warming and N deposition on R_s were tested by repeated measures ANOVA. In addition, the relationships of R_s and DOC, MBC, MBN, soil temperature, soil moisture, NH_4^+-N content, soil NO_3^-- N, and pH were described using a linear or non-linear regression model. The factors of key controls on R_s were used to analyze by structural equation models (SEMs). SPSS software (version 20.0) was used to conduct all statistical analyses, and statistical significant differences were set with $P<0.05$. All Figures were created using the Sigmaplot software package (version 10.0), but SEMs analyses were carried out using AMOS 22.0 (Amos Development Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Treatments effects on soil environmental and properties

Soil temperatures at 5 depth were mostly increased between 11:00 and 22:00 every day by warming; the average annual soil temperatures at 5 and 20 cm depth were significantly increased by 4.41 and 3.67 ^{0}C, respectively (Fig. 1a). Soil moisture at 5 cm depth was decreased by warming by only 0.61v/v% (Fig. 1b), and a very small decrease of 0.01v/v% in soil moisture at 20 cm depth was observed (Fig.1b). Soil moisture at 5 and 20 cm depth were largely increased by the increased precipitation (Fig.1b). N deposition and warming significant increased soil NH_4^+-N and NO_3^--N contents (Fig. 1c), but no significant change was found from increased precipitation. Soil MBC and MBN were greatly increased by N deposition, but significant negative effects on soil MBC and MBN were observed by warming and the interaction of precipitation and N deposition (Fig. 1d). No significant change in SOC and DOC was observed in any treatment (Fig. 1c and 1d).

3.2. Precipitation, warming and N deposition effects on R_s

In our study, a weak R_s emission rate (-2.46 to 50. 26 mg C m^{-2} h^{-1}) was observed at control plots with an average emission rate of 12.18 mg C m^{-2} h^{-1} from September 2014 to October 2016 (Fig. 2c). Annual cumulative rate of R_s was 1090.11±450.78 kg C ha^{-1}, with non-growing season account for 20.7% of the annual emission (Table 1). R_s was significantly enhanced by increasing 5-mm precipitation and N deposition from 12.18 to 16.23 and 14.97 mg C m^{-2} h^{-1} (average), respectively ($P< 0.001$; Fig. 2c and 2d; Table 2), with annual R_s increased by 33.1% and 19.2-22.8%, respectively (Table 1). And the low N deposition effect on R_s was much higher than that high N deposition (Fig. 2c and 2d). However, R_s was reduced mostly by warming, although not significant ($P=0.084$; Table 2). And high temperatures and low humidity at times of peak sunshine during the diurnal variation significantly inhibited its emission rate (Fig 3a and 3b, Fig.2S), but it was also significantly increased by warming following extremely rainfall events that increased soil moisture (Fig. 3c and 3d). The diurnal trend in R_s was consistent with that of soil temperature at 5 cm depth (Fig. 3). In addition, the interactive responses of R_s to increasing precipitation, warming and N deposition were much lower than that from any single-factor (Table 1), and with the interaction of 60 kg ha^{-1} N and extra precipitation decreasing R_s by 4.25% (Table 1). Overall, annual R_s rates were significantly impacted by precipitation, N deposition, and their interaction (Table 2), but no significant net change was caused by warming (Table 2), although R_s rates were decreased by 9.99% (Table 1).

3.3. Temporal variation and its control

The results of repeated measures ANOVA showed that significantly accumulated effects on R_s were found by N deposition and interaction between N deposition and precipitation or warming rather than alone increasing precipitation and warming (Table 2). A large between-year variation in R_s was observed with a coefficient of variation (CV) up to 41.4% (a much higher R_s rate was observed in 2016 than 2015), but variation was reduced by increasing precipitation, N deposition and warming and their interaction, except with an increase in N deposition of 30 kg ha^{-1} (Table 1). The results of regression analysis showed that R_s was significantly increased by increases in pH and DOC (Fig. 4e and 4f), but no significant relationships were found with MBC, MBN or NO_3^--N content (Fig. 4a, 4b and 4c). In addition, different response characteristics of R_s in the impacts of increased soil mositure, soil temperature and NH_4^+-N content were found. Soil mositure was the most important controlling factor when it was <4.2 % and soil temperature was >26.5^{0}C (Fig. 4g and 4h, Fig. 2S). Secondly, soil temperature was the most important limiting factor when soil moisture was >15.9 % and soil temperature <2.7 ^{0}C (Fig. 4g and 4h, 2S). Thirdly, there was no significant impact on R_s when soil NH_4^+-N content was <6.3 mg N / kg. A significant increase in R_s occurred when soil NH_4^+-N content was between 6.3 and 12.6 mg N / kg, but R_s was inhibited when soil NH_4^+-N content was between 12.6 and 31.6 mg N / kg (Fig. 4d).

4. Discussion

4.1. Single-factors impacts of precipitation, N deposition and warming on R_s

Annual R_s was 1090 kg C ha^{-1} in this temperate desert, with the non-growing season accounting for 20.7 % of the annual flux (Table 1). This is consistent with previous study in here (Zhou et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2015a) because SOC content was very low (Fig. 1c), and vegetation was very sparse in this desert (Liu et al., 2016b). Increasing precipitation significantly increased R_s (Fig. 2c). It is also consistent with the results of a meta-analysis and previous study in here (Huang et al., 2015a ; Liu et al., 2016c). This is because that the growth of desert plants and microbial activity are significantly activated by increasing precipitation (Huang et al., 2015a), and microbial biomass, mass-specific respiration, microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and nitrogen (MBN), and microbial PLFAs were consistently significantly enhanced by increased precipitation (Zhang et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015a). However, R_s in our study was much higher in moderate soil moisture conditions than with too little or too much soil moisture (Fig. 4g). This suggests that R_s is mainly R_H rather than R_A in this desert, namely from soil microorganism, because (1) too little or too much soil moisture could significantly inhibit microbial activity due to variation of soil temperature and soil properties (Ma et al., 2013), while moderate soil moisture could significantly enhance microbial activity (Skopp et al., 1990), and (2) the biomass of fine roots was no significantly enhanced at our sites by increased precipitation (Cui et al., 2017). This is consistent with results from a desert steppe in northern China where the contribution of R_H (78.1%) was significantly higher than that of R_A (21.9%) under increasing precipitation (Liu et al., 2016a).

N deposition also significantly increased R_s, especially in low N deposition (Fig. 2d). This is consistent with results from an alpine meadow and in the Loess Plateau (Fang et al., 2017; Zong et al., 2017), and with a meta-analysis showing that N deposition increased R_s by 8.8% (Zhou et al., 2016a). This is because N deposition, on the one hand, could increase fine root biomass, although this was not significant in our study (Cui et al., 2017); on the other hand, increases microbial activity and abundance by low N deposition (Huang et al., 2015b). But this was inconsistent with a young *Cunninghamialanceolata* forest (Wang et al., 2017), and beneath shrubs of *H. ammodendron*, soil high N content, has the opposite effect in our study site (Chen et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015b). What's more, the results of nonlinear regression analysis showed that higher R_s rates occurred at moderate soil NH_4^+-N contents (between 6.3 and 12.6 mg N / kg), while lower R_s occurred in much lower (<6.3 mg N / kg) or much higher (>12.6 mg N / kg) soil NH_4^+-N contents (Fig. 4d), but this effect of N deposition on R_s is not consistent with other ecosystems (Burton et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017b). This is because the desert soil is extremely limited than other ecosystem (Adams, 2003), so low N deposition enhanced plants growth and microbial activity, but high N inhibited microbial activity and community composition, reduced R_s (Zhou et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2015b). Overall, soil NH_4^+-N content was an important controlling factor for R_s because microbial activity, abundance and species diversity were regulated by soil NH_4^+-N content in this desert, and R_s was very sensitive to variation of N deposition.

Warming decreased R_s (Fig. 2f), although not significantly (P=0.084; Table 2), which was consistent with results from a semi-arid alfalfa-pasture of the Loess Plateau (Fang et al., 2017). In addition, a significant decrease in R_s was observed on an extreme drought or hot sunny day when soil moisture was reduced, and sharply reduced R_s when soil temperature reached 37 ^{0}C (Fig. 3a and 3b, 1S). This is because: (i) microbial activity is significantly inhibited by extreme temperatures and low soil moisture, may reduce population size by 50-80% (Sheik et al., 2011); (ii) fine root growth is inhibited in high temperature and low soil moisture. Others have noted this phenomenon as occurring at about 16:00 each day (Ma et al., 2013), but in our study the effect was advanced to 14:00 by warming, which may reduce carbon emission from soil to atmosphere. However, this is not consistent with results from a tundra ecosystem, subtropical forest or alpine regions where R_s was significantly increased by warming due to the limitation of soil temperature in these ecosystems, and no significant change in soil moisture (Noh et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016b). In addition, a significant increase in R_s was found following enhanced precipitation with warming (Fig. 3c and 3d), which indicates that soil moisture was the most important controlling factor for R_s under a warming climate. This is consistent with other studies (Chen et al., 2017a; Zhao et al., 2017). However, statistical analysis showed that no overall significant impact on R_s was found during the experimental period by warming, and it was reduced by 9.99%. This is because our gas samples were taken at 10:00 – 12:00 each day, when average soil temperatures were increased by about one degree. Thus mean annual R_s was not sensitive to temperature changes this small in contrast to the very significant effects of short-term diurnal changes in soil temperature, observed between 12:00 and 17:00 (Fig. 3a and 3c). However, gas samples during 10:00-12:00 in this study could catch the meanly warming effects on R_s, except some extremely precipitation and drought events in summer (Fig.1S), which will require further systematic evaluation. Those results indicated that R_s depends mainly on variations of soil moisture and temperature in the context of warming, and climate change is likely to have a very significant effect on temperate deserts.

4.2. The interactive effects of precipitation, N deposition and warming on R_s

Interactive responses of R_s were much lower than those of any single-factor, but still increased R_s overall, except interaction between precipitation and high N deposition (Table 1). This is consistent with results in dry ecosystems (Morillas et al., 2015; Martins et al., 2016), but not with the results of a meta-analysis that precipitation and N deposition interactive experments were a greater extent positive effect on R_s (Zhou et al., 2016a). This can be explained in our study that soil MBC or MBN were much less in interactive treatments than that of single-factor (Fig. 1d), which showed that a number of microorganisms were much less in interactive treatments than that of single-factor due to much stronger N effect. As we found that R_s was reduced with increasing N deposition and precipitation by as much as 4.25% in W1N2 plots (Table 1), which showed that the inhibiting effect of soil NH_4^+-N content was much stronger when there was sufficient soil moisture (Fig. 2e). This is consistent with the results in a *Populuseuphratica* community in a desert ecosystem (He et al., 2015). This was because (i) microbial activity was inhibited by high or low soil moisture and high soil NH_4^+-N or NO_3^--N content (Burton et al., 2004); and (ii) high N tent to reduce extracellular enzyme activity and the fungal population (Maris et al., 2015). In addition, the interactive effect of the three factors on R_s in this desert was much lower than interaction of two factors of precipitation and N deposition (Table 1), and is consistent with the results of modeled interactive effects, which showed that three-factor interactions were rare while two-factor interactions were more common (Luo et al., 2008). Fortunately, the interactive effect of three factors or two factors (precipitation, N deposition and warming) in this desert could largely reduce between-year variation on R_s (Table 2), which may because (i) the limits of soil moisture, soil temperature and soil N content were relieved for key biological processes by increasing precipitation, N deposition and warming (Huang et al., 2015a; Liu et al., 2016b); (ii) various factors antagonistic to each other (Zhou et al., 2016a). However, the variation in the growing season on R_s can be increased by warming, elevated precipitation and N deposition because of their dominant effects on plant growth and microbial activity (Huang et al., 2015b), but it was the exact opposite in the non-growing season due to reduce the limit of temperature (Zeng et al., 2016). Those results showed that R_s would be reduced under interactive effect of increasing rainfall, temperature and N deposition in the future, and took place a positive carbon-climate feedbacks.

4.3. Temporal variation in treatments on R_s and controlling factors

Significantly accumulated effects on R_s were found by elevated N deposition rather than alone increasing precipitation and warming (Table 2). A previous study in here has showed that R_s was decreased to N addition with experimental duration (Zhou et al., 2014), which was inconsistent with our results (Fig. 2d) because in our study relatively lower rate of N addition than that Zhou et al. (2014), and the composition of microbial community and soil propertie were altered gradually by long-term and high N deposition (Fig. 1c and d; Huang et al., 2015b; Zong et al., 2017). In addition, significantly accumulated effects in the interaction between N deposition and precipitation or warming on R_s were also found (Table 2), and R_s was decreased by 4.25% by interaction between increasing precipitation and high N deposition (Table 1), which indicated that the response of R_s to N deposition largely dependent on soil moisture in desert soil. This may be attributed to the antagonistic interaction between elevated N deposition and precipitation on R_h (Zhou et al., 2016a). Those results indicated that N deposition produced strong accumulated effects on R_s in this desert, and was enhanced largely with increasing soil moisture, which would reduce carbon emission from soil to atmosphere.

Regression analysis shows that R_s exhibited a unimodal change pattern with variations of soil NH_4^+-N (Fig. 4d), mositure (Fig. 4g), and temperature (Fig. 4h), and R_s was signicantly postively correlated to soil dissloved organic carbon (DOC) and pH (Fig. 4e and 4f). However, structural equation modeling indicated that soil temperature was the most important controling factor than soil NH_4^+-N and soil moisture (Fig. 5), unsupported our hypothesis, but it is consistent with most research results (Wu et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016b; Chen et al., 2017a). In addition, large inter-annual variation was observed (CV = 41.4%) during our experiment (Table 1), while the variation of annual precipitation and air temperature were only 4.41% and 7.78%, respectively (Table 1), but close to the CV of spring root biomass of ephemeral plants (47.14%) with 24 times of aboveground biomass of spring ephemeral plants in 2016 than that in 2015 (Cui et al., 2017), which indicated that the increase of R_s in 2016 was mainly from the root respiration of ephemeral plants. This is consistent with previous study that ephemeral plants mediated inter-annual variation of carbon fluxes in this desert (Huang et al., 2015c; Liu et al., 2016). It is different from other ecosystems where inter-annual variations of R_s were mainly dependent on variations in annual precipitation and air temperature (Gerard et al., 1999; Asensio et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2012). Overall, our results indicate that annual variation in R_s in this temperate desert is mainly controlled by soil temperature, but between-year variation in R_s is mainly controlled by ephemeral plants.

5. Conclusion

Climate change and elevated N deposition play important roles in controlling R_s in temperate deserts. We found that increasing precipitation and N deposition significantly increased R_s in the Gurbantunggut Desert, but warming reduced R_s, mostly because of the variation of soil moisture. In addition, we found that the interactive responses of R_s was much lower to precipitation, N deposition and warming than that any single factors. What's more, R_s are mainly mediated by soil moisture, soil temperature and soil NH_4^+-N content, but soil temperature are the most important with between-year variation in R_s mainly controlled by ephemeral plants. Those results showed that R_s is very sensitive to increasing precipitation, N deposition and warming, and their interactive effects could reduce soil carbon emissions and so reduce the impacts of climate change.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Chinese National Basic Research Program (2014CB954202), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41603084, 41425007, 31421092) and the Ten-Thousand Talent Program (X.J. Liu). Prof. Keith Goulding was supported by the Sino-UK cooperative project on nitrogen - CINAg.

Inferences

Adams, M.B.: Ecological issues related to N deposition to natural ecosystems: research needs. Environ. Int. 29, 189-199. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(02)00179-4, 2003.

Asensio, D., Penuelas, J., Llusia, J., Ogaya, R., Filella, L: Interannual and interseasonal Soil CO_2 efflux and VOC exchange rates in a Mediterranean holm oak forest in response to experimental drought. Soil Biol Biochem 39, 2471-2484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.04.019, 2007

Batjes, N. H.: Total carbon and nitrogen in the soils of the world. Eur J Soil Sci 47, 151-163. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12114-2, 1996.

Brookes, P.C.: Microbial biomass and activity measurements in soil. J Sci Food Agric 36:269-270,1985.

Burton, A.J., Pregitzer, K.S., Crawford, J.N., Zogg, G.P., Zak, D.R.: Simulated chronic NO_3^- deposition reduces soil respiration in northern hardwood forests. Global Change Biol 10, 1080-1091. https://doi.org/ 0.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00737.x, 2004.

Chen, J., Zhou, X.H., Hruska, T., Cao, J.J., Zhang, B.C., Liu, C., Liu, M., Shelton, S., Guo, L., Wei, Y.L., Wang, J.F., Xiao, S., Wang, P.: Asymmetric diurnal and monthly responses of ecosystem carbon fluxes to experimental warming. Clean-Soil Air Water 45. https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.201600557, 2017a.

Chen, S.T., Huang, Y., Zou, J.W., Shi, Y.S., Lu, Y.Y., Zhang, W., Hu, Z.H., 2012. Interannual variability in soil respiration from terrestrial ecosystems in China and its response to climate change. Sci China Earth Sci 55, 2091-2098.

Chen, W.W., Zheng, X.H., Chen, Q., Wolf', B., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Bruggemann, N., Lin, S.: Effects of increasing precipitation and nitrogen deposition on CH_4 and N_2O fluxes and ecosystem respiration in a degraded steppe in Inner Mongolia, China. Geoderma 192, 335-340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.08.018, 2013.

Chen, Z.J., Setala, H., Geng, S.C., Han, S.J., Wang, S.Q., Dai, G.H., Zhang, J.H.,. Nitrogen addition impacts on the emissions of greenhouse gases depending on the forest type: a case study in Changbai Mountain, Northeast China. J Soil Sediment 17, 23-34, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-016-1481-7, 2017b.

Cui, X., Yue, P., Gong, Y., Li, K., Tan, D., Goulding, K., Liu, X.: Impacts of water and nitrogen addition on nitrogen recovery in Haloxylon ammodendron dominated desert ecosystems. Sci Total Environ 601, 1280-1288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.202, 2017.

Eswaran, H., Van Den Berg, E., Reich, P.: Organic carbon in soils of the world. Soil Sci Soc Am J 57, 192-194. https://doi:10.2136/sssaj1993.03615995005700010034x, 1993.

Fang, C., Ye, J.S., Gong, Y.H., Pei, J.Y., Yuan, Z.Q., Xie, C., Zhu, Y.S., Yu, Y.Y.: Seasonal responses of soil respiration to warming and nitrogen addition in a semi-arid alfalfa-pasture of the Loess Plateau, China. Sci Total Environ 590, 729-738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.034, 2017.

Feng, J.G., Wang, J.S., Ding, L.B., Yao, P.P., Qiao, M.P., Yao, S.C.: Meta-analyses of the effects of major global change drivers on soil respiration across China. Atmos Environ 150, 181-186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.11.060, 2017.

Gerard, J.C., Nemry, B., Francois, L.M., Warnant, P.: The interannual change of atmospheric CO_2: contribution of subtropical ecosystems? Geophys Res Lett 26, 243-246. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1029/1998GL900269, 1999.

Gougoulias, C., Clark, J. M., Shaw, L. J.: The role of soil microbes in the global carbon cycle: tracking the below ‐ ground microbial processing of plant ‐ derived carbon for manipulating carbon dynamics in agricultural systems. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 94(12), 2362-2371. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/jsfa.6577, 2014.

Hanson, P.J., Edwards, N.T., Garten, C.T., Andrews, J.A.: Separating root and soil microbial contributions to soil respiration: A review of methods and observations. Biogeochemistry 48, 115-146. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006244819642, 2000.

Heimann, M., Reichstein, M.:Terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics and climate feedbacks. Nature 451, 289-292. https://doi.org/doi:10.1038/nature06591, 2008.

He, X., Lv, G., Qin, L., Chang, S., Yang, M., Yang, J., Yang, X.: Effects of simulated nitrogen deposition on soil respiration in a Populus euphratica community in the Ebinur Lake area, a desert ecosystem of northwestern China. PloS one 10, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137827, 2015.

Huang, G., Li, Y., Su, Y.G.: Effects of increasing precipitation on soil microbial community composition and soil respiration in a temperate desert, Northwestern China. Soil Biol Biochem 83, 52-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.01.007, 2015a..

Huang, G., Cao, Y.F., Wang, B., Li, Y.; Effects of nitrogen addition on soil microbes and their implications for soil C emission in the Gurbantunggut Desert, center of the Eurasian Continent. Sci Total Environ 515, 215-224, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.054, 2015b.

Huang, G., Li, Y., Padilla, F.M.: Ephemeral plants mediate responses of ecosystem carbon exchange to increased precipitation in a temperate desert. Agr Forest Meteorol 201, 141-152, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. agrformet. 2014.11.011, 2015c.

IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013: the Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp.

Jiang, X., Cao, L., Zhang, R.:. Changes of labile and recalcitrant carbon pools under nitrogen addition in a city lawn soil. J. Soils Sediments 14, 515–524. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-013-0822-z, 2014.

Lafleur, P.M., Humphreys, E.R.,: Spring warming and carbon dioxide exchange over low Arctic tundra in central Canada. Global Change Biol 14, 740-756, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01529.x, 2008.

Liu, C., Wang, K., Zheng, X.: Responses of N_2O and CH_4 fluxes to fertilizer nitrogen addition rates in an irrigated wheat-maize cropping system innorthern China. Biogeosciences 9, 839-850, https://doi.org/10.5194/ bg-9-839-2012, 2012.

Li, G., Kim, S., Han, S.H., Chang, H., Son, Y., 2017. Effect of soil moisture on the response of soil respiration to open-field experimental warming and precipitation manipulation. Forests 8.

Liu, L.T., Hu, C.S., Yang, P.P., Ju, Z.Q., Olesen, J.E., Tang, J.W.: Effects of experimental warming and nitrogen addition on soil respiration and CH_4 fluxes from crop rotations of winter wheat-soybean/fallow. Agr Forest Meteorol 207, 38-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.03.013, 2015.

Liu, T., Xu, Z.Z., Hou, Y.H., Zhou, G.S.: Effects of warming and changing precipitation rates on soil respiration over two years in a desert steppe of northern China. Plant Soil 400, 15-27. https://doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2705-0, 2016a.

Liu, R., Cieraad, E., Li, Y., Ma, J.: Precipitation pattern determines the inter-annual variation of herbaceous layer and carbon fluxes in a phreatophyte-dominated desert ecosystem. Ecosystems 19, 601-614. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-015-9954-x, 2016.

Liu, L.L., Wang, X., Lajeunesse, M.J., Miao, G.F., Piao, S.L., Wan, S.Q., Wu, Y.X., Wang, Z.H., Yang, S., Li, P., Deng, M.F.: A cross-biome synthesis of soil respiration and its determinants under simulated precipitation changes. Global Change Biol 22, 1394-1405. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/gcb.13156, 2016c.

Liu, Y., Li, X., Zhang, Q., Guo, Y., Gao, G., Wang, J.: Simulation of regional temperature and precipitation in the past 50 years and the next 30 years over China. Quatern Int 212, 57-63 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. quaint. 2009.01.007, 2010a.

Luo, C.Y., Wang, S.P., Zhao, L., Xu, S.X., Xu, B.R.B.Y., Zhang, Z.H., Yao, B.Q., Zhao, X.Q.: Effects of land use and nitrogen fertilizer on ecosystem respiration in alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau. J Soil Sediment 17, 1626-1634, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2009.01.007, 2017.

Luo, Y., Gerten, D., Le Maire, G., Parton, W.J., Weng, E., Zhou, X., Keough, C., Beier, C., Ciais, P., Cramer, W.: Modeled interactive effects of precipitation, temperature, and CO_2 on ecosystem carbon and water dynamics in different climatic zones. Global Change Biol 14, 1986-1999. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2486. 2008.01629.x, 2008.

Ma, J., Wang, Z.-Y., Stevenson, B.A., Zheng, X.-J., Li, Y.: An inorganic CO_2 diffusion and dissolution process explains negative CO_2 fluxes in saline/alkaline soils. Sci Rep-Uk 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02025, 2013.

Maris, S.C., Teira-Esmatges, M.R., Arbonés, A., Rufat, J.: Effect of irrigation, nitrogen application, and a nitrification inhibitor on nitrous oxide, carbon dioxide and methane emissions from an olive (Olea europaea L.) orchard. Sci. Total Environ. 538,966–978, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.040, 2015.

Martins, C.S.C., Macdonald, C.A., Anderson, I.C., Singh, B.K.: Feedback responses of soil greenhouse gas emissions to climate change are modulated by soil characteristics in dryland ecosystems. Soil Biol Biochem 100, 21-32, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.05.007,2016.

Morillas, L., Duran, J., Rodriguez, A., Roales, J., Gallardo, A., Lovett, G.M., Groffman, P.M.: Nitrogen supply modulates the effect of changes in drying-rewetting frequency on soil C and N cycling and greenhouse gas exchange. Global Change Biol 21, 3854-3863, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12956, 2015.

Noh, N.J., Kuribayashi, M., Saitoh, T.M., Nakaji, T., Nakamura, M., Hiura, T., Muraoka, H.: Responses of soil, heterotrophic, and autotrophic respiration to experimental open-field soil warming in a cool-temperate deciduous forest. Ecosystems 19, 504-520, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-015-9948-8, 2016.

Sheik, C.S., Beasley, W.H., Elshahed, M.S., Zhou, X., Luo, Y., Krumholz, L.R.: Effect of warming and drought on grassland microbial communities. The ISME Journal 5, 1692-1700, https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ismej.2011.32， 2011.

Skopp, J., Jawson, M. D., Doran, J. W.: Steady-state aerobic microbial activity as a functionof soil watercontent. Soil Sci Soc Am J54, 1619-1625, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1990.03615995005400060018x, 1990.

Strong, A.L., Johnson, T.P., Chiariello, N.R., Field, C.B.: Experimental fire increases soil carbon dioxide efflux in a grassland long-term multifactor global change experiment. Global Change Biol 23, 1975-1987. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb. 13525, 2017.

Wang, Q.K., Zhang, W.D., Sun, T., Chen, L.C., Pang, X.Y., Wang, Y.P., Xiao, F.M.: N and P fertilization reduced soil autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration in a young Cunninghamia lanceolata forest. Agr Forest Meteorol 232, 66-73, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.08.007, 2017.

Wu, C.S., Liang, N.S., Sha, L.Q., Xu, X.L., Zhang, Y.P., Lu, H.Z., Song, L., Song, Q.H., Xie, Y.N., 2016. Heterotrophic respiration does not acclimate to continuous warming in a subtropical forest. Sci Rep-Uk 6.

Wu, Z.T., Dijkstra, P., Koch, G.W., Penuelas, J., Hungate, B.A.: Responses of terrestrial ecosystems to temperature and precipitation change: a meta-analysis of experimental manipulation. Global Change Biol 17, 927-942, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02302.x, 2011.

Yang, G., Wang, M., Chen, H., Liu, L.F., Wu, N., Zhu, D., Tian, J.Q., Peng, C.H., Zhu, Q.A., He, Y.X.: Responses of CO_2 emission and pore water DOC concentration to soil warming and water table drawdown in Zoige Peatlands. Atmos Environ 152, 323-329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.12.051, 2017.

Yue, P., Li, K., Gong, Y., Hu, Y., Mohammat, A., Christie, P., Liu, X.: A five-year study of the impact of nitrogen addition on methane uptake in alpine grassland. Sci Rep-UK, 6. doi:10.1038/srep32064, 2016.

Zeng, X.H., Song, Y.G., Zeng, C.M., Zhang, W.J., He, S.B.: Partitioning soil respiration in two typical forests in semi-arid regions, North China. Catena 147, 536-544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2016.08.009, 2016.

Zhang, N., Liu, W., Yang, H., Yu, X., Gutknecht, J.L.M., Zhang, Z., Wan, S., Ma, K.: Soil microbial responses to warming and increased precipitation and their implications for ecosystem C cycling. Oecologia 173, 1125-1142. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00442-013-2685-9, 2013.

Zhang, X.L., Tan, Y.L., Zhang, B.W., Li, A., Daryanto, S., Wang, L.X., Huang, J.H.: The impacts of precipitation increase and nitrogen addition on soil respiration in a semiarid temperate steppe. Ecosphere 8. https: //doi.org/ 10.1002/ecs2.1655, 2017.

Zhang, W. Liu,C.Y., Zheng, X.H., Fu, Y.F., Hu, X,X., Cao,G.M., Klaus Butterbach-Bahl.: The increasing distribution area of zokor mounds weaken greenhouse gas uptakes by alpine meadows in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Soil Biol Biochem, 71: 105-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.01.005, 2014.

Zhao, Z.Z., Dong, S.K., Jiang, X.M., Liu, S.L., Ji, H.Z., Li, Y., Han, Y.H., Sha, W.: Effects of warming and nitrogen deposition on CH_4, CO_2 and N_2O emissions in alpine grassland ecosystems of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Sci Total Environ 592, 565-572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.082, 2017.

Zhong, Y.Q.W., Yan, W.M., Shangguan, Z.P.: The effects of nitrogen enrichment on soil CO_2 fluxes depending on temperature and soil properties. Global Ecol Biogeogr 25, 475-488. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12430, 2016.

Zhou, L.Y., Zhou, X.H., Shao, J.J., Nie, Y.Y., He, Y.H., Jiang, L.L., Wu, Z.T., Bai, S.H.: Interactive effects of global change factors on soil respiration and its components: a meta-analysis. Global Change Biol 22, 3157-3169. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/gcb.13253, 2016a.

Zhou, X.B. and Zhang, Y.M.: Seasonal pattern of soil respiration and gradual changing effects of nitrogen addition in a soil of the Gurbantunggut Desert, northwestern China. Atmos Environ, 85: 187-194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.12.024, 2014.

Zhou, Y.M., Hagedorn, F., Zhou, C.L., Jiang, X.J., Wang, X.X., Li, M.H.: Experimental warming of a mountain tundra increases soil CO_2 effluxes and enhances CH_4 and N_2O uptake at Changbai Mountain, China. Sci Rep-Uk 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21108, 2016b.

Zhu, J., Kang, F.F., Chen, J., Cheng, X.Q., Han, H.R.: Effect of nitrogen addition on soil respiration in a Larch Plantation. Pol J Environ Stud 26, 1403-1412. https://doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/67687, 2017.

Zong, N., Shi, P.L., Chai, X., Jiang, J., Zhang, X.Z., Song, M.H.: Responses of ecosystem respiration to nitrogen enrichment and clipping mediated by soil acidification in an alpine meadow. Pedobiologia 60, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2016.11.001, 2017.

Fig. 1 Comparative effects of warming by Open Topped Chambers, increased precipitation, and N deposition on soil temperature (a), soil moisture (b) at 5 and 20 cm depth; soil organic carbon (SOC), NH_4^+-N content, NO_3^--N content and pH (c), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN, d). The data are mean ± SE, n = 4 in c and d, different letter indicate significant effect at $P < 0.05$.

Fig. 2 Variation in rainfall (mm, a), and air temperature (°C, a) from September 2014 to October 2016 at the Gurbantunggut Desert, and the soil moisture and temperature to increasing precipitation and warming (b), and the response of R_s (mean, n = 4) to precipitation (c), N deposition (d-e) and warming (f). W0 and W1 indicate under ambient precipitation (without water addition) and 60 mm yr^{-1} precipitation addition; N0, N1, and N2 indicate 0, 30 and 60 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} nitrogen addition; while W1N0, W1N1, and W1N2 indicate 0, 30 and 60 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} nitrogen addition under 60 mm yr^{-1} precipitation addition; W1N1T1, W0N0T1 and W0N0T0 indicate the interaction between increasing precipitation (60 mm yr^{-1}), N deposition (30 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) and warming by OTCs, warming alone (without increasing precipitation and N deposition) and control plots, respectively. Black arrows indicate simulated precipitation (5 mm per time) and N deposition (0.25 or 0.5 g N m^{-2} per time). Each point represents the mean of four replications (chambers). Standard deviations for R_s are not showed for figure clarity.

Fig. 3 Post-rainfall diurnal variation in R_s (mean ± SE, n = 4, b) with variation in soil temperature and soil moisture (a), and a sunny day variation in R_s (mean ± SE, n = 4, d) with variation in soil temperature (T5, T20, c) and soil moisture at 5 (W5) or 20 (W20) cm depth caused by warming in open topped chambers (OTCs). Positive values indicate increment by warming, and negative values indicate decline. A red straight line indicates the average value of R_s inside the OTCs in (b) and (d), and a green straight line represents the average value of R_s out of OTCs in (b) and (d). Red *, ** and *** indicate significant effect at $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, and $P < 0.001$, respectively.

Fig. 4 The relationship of soil respiration with microbial biomass carbon (MBC, a); microbial biomass carbon (MBN, b); soil NO_3-N (c); NH_4^+-N content (x, d); soil dissolved organic carbon (DOC, e); pH (f); soil moisture (g) and soil temperature (h).

Fig. 5 Structure equation modeling (SEM) test the multivariate (soil moisture, soil temperature, soil NH_4^+-N content, DOC and pH) effects on R_s (n=34). Single-headed arrows show that the effect of different key controls on R_s were analyzed. The green arrows indicated positive effects, and red arrows showed negative effects. And the width of the arrows indicate the strength of the relationship. The numbers are standardized path coefficients, which can show the importance of the variables in the model. Goodness-of-fit statistics for the model are shown below the model. * indicate significant effect at $P < 0.05$.

Fig. 1


(a)
WNT-5
WNT-20
T-5
T-20
CK-5
CK-20
Soil temperature (^{0}C)
20
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
23:00
03:00
07:00
11:00
15:00
19:00
23:00
2015.01.01-2015.12.31
(b)
Soil moisture (Vov %)
20
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
23:00
03:00
07:00
11:00
15:00
19:00
23:00
2015.01.01-2015.12.31
(c)
(g C/ kg, mg N/ kg)
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
a
b
a
b
b
a
a
b
a
a
a
b
b
aa
SOC
NO3-N
NH4-N
pH
Soil nutrients and pH
(d)
W0N0
N1
N2
W1
W1N1
W1N2
T1
W1NIT1
(mg C/ kg, mg N/ kg)
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
a
b
b
a
b
b
DOC
MBC
MBN
Soil nutrients

Fig. 2


(a)
Precipitation
Air temperature
Precipitation (mm)
Air temperature
(b)
W0N1T1-SM5
W0N0T1-SM5
W1N1T0-SM5
CK-SM5
W1N1T1-ST5
W0N0T1-ST5
W1N1T0-ST5
CK-ST5
Soil volumetric moisture (%)
Soil temperature (^{0}C)
(c)
W0
W1
(d)
N0
N1
N2
(e)
W1N0
W1N1
W1N2
(f)
W1N1T1
W0N0T1
CK
Soil respiration rates (mg C m^{-2} h^{-1})
9 10 11 12 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2014
2015
2016

Fig. 3


(a)
W5
T5
W20
T20
The increased rate ST and SM by OTCs
20
15
10
5
0
-5
(b)
Warming
CK
Warming-Mean
CK-Mean
Soil respiration (mg C m^{-2} h^{-1})
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
***
*
**
**
***
**
08:00
12:00
16:00
20:00
00:00
04:00
08:00
Diurnal variation in extreme drought
(c)
3.5
3.0
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
.5
45
(d)
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
***
***
*
*
01:00
05:00
09:00
13:00
17:00
21:00
Diurnal variation after extreme rainfall

Fig. 4


(a)
R²=0.01
P>0.05
Log(MBC)
(b)
R²=0.06
P>0.05
Log(MBN)
(e)
R²=0.27
P<0.01
Soil DOC content
(c)
R²=0.01
P>0.05
Log (NO3⁻-N)
(d)
x<6.3 mg kg⁻¹
R²=0.01 p=0.23
x > 6.3 mg kg⁻¹
R²=0.30 p<0.001
log (NH4⁺-N)
(f)
R²=0.17
P<0.05
pH
(g)
R²=0.19
P<0.0001
Soil moisture (%)
(h)
R²=0.20
P<0.0001
Soil temperature (⁰C)
Soil respiration (mg C m⁻² h⁻¹)

Fig. 5


Soil moisture
NH4+-N
Soil temperature
DOC
PH
RS
R2=0.20
-0.08
-0.11
0.18
-0.27
-0.39*
0.01
0.05
0.11
-0.08
0.07
0.06
0.25
0.21
0.32*
χ2=3.036, p=0.081, df=1,
RMSEA = 0.00, AIC = 54.0

Table 1 The annual, growing season (GS), non-growing season (NGS), and between-year fluxes and variation of soil respiration (R_s) in September 2014 to September 2016 (mean ±SE), including the contribution of GS and NGS, and the treatment effect. The positive values stand for increase R_s, and the negative value stand for reduced R_s.

	Prec.	temp.	R_s rate (kg C ha^{-1})							
Treatments	mm	^{0}C	W0N0	W0N1	W0N2	W1N0	W1N1	W1N2	W1N1T1	T1
Annual	175.75 ±7.75	4.63 ±0.36	1090.11 ±450.78	1338.26 ±599.12	1299.41 ±537	1450.78 ±543.70	1304.77 ±383.29	1043.77 ±233.23	1196.84 ±334.31	981.19 ±371.34
CV (%)	4.41	7.78	41.35	44.77	41.33	37.48	29.37	22.34	27.93	37.85
Treatments effect (%)	---	---	---	22.76	19.20	33.09	19.69	-4.25	9.79	-9.99
Growing season										
2014.9-2015.8	120.5	14.67	508.30	561.95	570.38	650.66	669.93	562.04	561.10	425.35
2015.9-2016.8	114.5	21.18	1220.27	1546.62	1506.88	1641.62	1274.93	1052.22	1183.86	1089.51
CV (%)	3.61	25.68	46.18	52.03	50.96	48.30	32.79	33.21	50.47	62.00
Non-Growing season										
2014.9-2015.8	47.5	-6.13	131.03	177.09	192.03	256.43	251.55	248.50	301.43	184.49
2015.9-2016.8	69	-11.2	320.62	390.84	329.54	352.85	413.12	224.79	347.29	262.02
CV (%)	26.10	41.37	59.37	53.23	37.29	22.38	34.38	7.09	10.00	24.82
NGS Contribution	---	---	20.65	22.07	21.57	22.98	25.89	24.13	27.10	22.80

Table 2 Tests of significance of year (Y), warming (T), precipitation (W) and nitrogen addition (N) on soil respiration (R_s) by multivariate ANOVA (F and P values). The accumulated effect of precipitation, N deposition and warming on R_s in 2015 and 2016 (F and P values) as assessed by repeated measures ANOVA. *, ** and *** indicate significant effects at P < 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively.

Three-way ANOVA	n	F	P
Y	2	26.171	<0.001***
N	424	7.709	<0.001***
W	565	17.124	<0.001***
W×N	424	9.392	<0.001***
W×Y	424	6.899	<0.001***
N×Y	424	5.561	0.004**
Y×W×N	424	5.963	0.003**
T	424	2.320	0.084
T×Y	424	0.536	0.464
Repeated measures ANOVA			
Y	2	30.487	<0.000***
N	383	12.887	<0. 000***
W	281	2.934	0.087
T	142	0.965	0.326
W×N	281	12.755	<0.000***
T×W×N	281	39.927	<0.000***