Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-466-RC1, 2017 © Author(s) 2017. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



BGD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Estimation of land-use change using a Bayesian data assimilation approach" by Peter Levy et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 8 December 2017

This is an interesting paper which tackles an important problem, and does so in an interesting way. I have some issues which I think need addressing, and one suggestion.

- 1. Notation. I do not like the use of upper-case bold letters for vectors, these are usually reserved for matrices, and I think that, in this paper where both vectors and matrices are used this distinction would help with clarity.
- 2. Notation (ii). Similarly, I do not like the use of upper case U to indicate a scalar value, usually an upper case letter denotes a random variable.
- 3. I have some concerns about the use of the Countryside Survey data. There is a reference to a bootstrapping procedure, but this is in an inaccessible internal



Discussion paper



report which, as far as we can tell, has not been peer-reviewed. What is the bootstrapping seeking to achieve, and how is the stratification which underlies the CS survey accounted for?

- 4. It is noted later in the paper that 'an increase in one land use has to be balanced by a decrease in one or more other land uses.' Given this I am not convinced by the implicit assumption of independence of errors in the likelihood functions where the densities are simply multiplied together over times and land uses (e.g. Equation 5). I would like to see an explicit defence of this assumption.
- 5. It would help the author's case if they could use their modelling framework to explore, independently of their data, the scope for variation in CO_2 fluxes associated with some fixed net land use change when gross land use changes are varying.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-466, 2017.