2	an extensive altitudinal gradient
3	
4	Wenqiang Zhao <sup>1</sup> , Peter B. Reich <sup>2</sup> , Qiannan Yu <sup>1,3</sup> , Ning Zhao <sup>4</sup> , Chunying Yin <sup>1</sup> ,
5	Chunzhang Zhao <sup>1</sup> , Dandan Li <sup>1</sup> , Jun Hu <sup>1</sup> , Ting Li <sup>1</sup> , Huajun Yin <sup>1</sup> and Qing Liu <sup>1</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Mountain Ecological Restoration and Bioresource Utilization
8	& Ecological Restoration Biodiversity Conservation Key Laboratory of Sichuan
9	Province, Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu
10	610041, China
11	<sup>2</sup> Department of Forest Resources and Institute on the Environment, University of
12	Minnesota, Minnesota 55108, USA
13	<sup>3</sup> Southwest Jiaotong University & Faculty of Geosciences and Environmental
14	Engineering, Chengdu 611756, China
15	<sup>4</sup> Cold and Arid Regions Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, Chinese
16	Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China
17	
18	Correspondence to: Qing Liu and Huajun Yin (liuqing@cib.ac.cn; yinhj@cib.ac.cn)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Shrub type dominates the vertical distribution of leaf C:N:P stoichiometry across

26 Abstract. Understanding the leaf stoichiometric patterns is crucial for improving 27 predictions on plant responses to environmental changes. Leaf stoichiometry of 28 terrestrial ecosystems has been widely investigated along latitudinal and longitudinal 29 gradients. Still, very little is known on the vertical distribution of leaf C:N:P and the relative effects of environmental parameters, especially for shrubs. Here, we analyzed 30 the shrub leaf C, N and P patterns in 125 mountainous sites over an extensive altitudinal 31 32 gradient (523-4685 m) on the Tibetan Plateau. Results showed that the shrub leaf C and C:N were 7.3%-47.5% higher than those of other regional and global flora, 33 34 whereas the leaf N and N:P were 10.2%-75.8% lower. Leaf C increased with rising altitude and decreasing temperature, supporting the physiological acclimation 35 mechanism that high leaf C (e.g., alpine or evergreen shrub) could balance the cell 36 37 osmotic pressure and resist freezing. The largest leaf N and high leaf P occurred in 38 valley region (altitude 1500 m), likely due to the large nutrient leaching from higher elevations, faster litter decomposition and nutrient resorption ability of deciduous 39 40 broadleaf shrub. Leaf N:P ratio further indicated increasing N limitation at higher 41 altitudes. Interestingly, the drought severity was the only climatic factor positively 42 correlated with leaf N and P, which was more appropriate for evaluating the impact of 43 water status than precipitation. Among the shrub ecosystem and functional types (alpine, 44 subalpine, montane, valley, evergreen, deciduous, broadleaf, and conifer), their leaf 45 element contents and responses to environments were remarkably different. Shrub type 46 was the largest contributor to the total variations in leaf stoichiometry, while climate indirectly affected the leaf C:N:P via its interactive effects on shrub type or soil. 47 48 Collectively, the large heterogeneity in shrub type was the most important factor explaining the overall leaf C:N:P variations, despite the broad climate gradient on the 49 plateau. Temperature- and drought-induced shift of shrub type distribution will 50

51 influence the nutrient accumulation in mountainous shrubs.

52 **Keywords.** leaf stoichiometry, mountainous shrub, altitudinal gradient, drought 53 severity, temperature, precipitation, soil nutrient

54

#### 55 **1 Introduction**

Ecological stoichiometry examines the interactions among organisms' element 56 57 composition and their environments, which provides an effective way to enhance our understanding of ecosystem function and nutrient cycling (Allen and Gillooly, 2009; 58 59 Venterink and Güsewell, 2010). Over the past decades, great attention has been paid to the leaf stoichiometry of terrestrial plants at regional (Townsend et al., 2007; Matzek 60 and Vitousek, 2009), national (Han et al., 2011; Sardans et al., 2016), and global scales 61 62 (Elser et al., 2000; Reich and Oleksyn, 2004). The leaf macroelements (carbon, nitrogen 63 and phosphorus) were widely explored to indicate nutrient limitation and its response to environmental change (Elser et al., 2010). Investigating the interactions among leaf 64 65 stoichiometry and the environment along geographic gradients is critical to understand the nutrient cycling process and the development of biogeochemical models. 66

Nowadays, it is increasingly rare to localize and work on extensive and natural 67 altitudinal gradient varying from low to high-altitude mountaintops (Nogués-Bravo et 68 69 al., 2008). A few studies have investigated the variations of leaf N and P at several 70 elevations (Soethe et al., 2008; van de Weg et al., 2009; Fisher et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 71 2014); however, the scientists reported different altitudinal trends for leaf N and P. For instance, Soethe et al. (2008) found that the foliar N and P concentrations of trees, herbs 72 73 and shrubs were largest at 1900 m than 2400 m and 3000 m in an Ecuadorian montane forest. van de Weg et al. (2009) observed that the foliar P along an altitudinal transect 74 (220, 1000, 1500, 1855, 2350, 2990 and 3600 m) from lowland to montane cloud forest 75

76 showed no altitudinal trend. Fisher et al. (2013) reported that leaf N and P firstly increased and then declined with increasing altitudes (200, 1000, 1500 and 3000 m) in 77 78 the Peruvian Andes. Zhao et al. (2014) observed that the leaf N and P of 175 plant 79 species decreased with elevation on the Changbai Mountain (540, 753, 1286, 1812, 2008 and 2357 m). This discrepancy of previous results indicates that the leaf N and P 80 can vary along different altitudinal ranges at regional scale. Moreover, much less 81 82 information was available on the vertical distribution of leaf C. Hence, the more general patterns of leaf C:N:P along an extensive altitudinal gradient need to be further 83 84 understood.

While comprehensive investigations of plant stoichiometry in forestland, grassland, 85 wetland, and macrophyte ecosystems have emerged (Güsewell and Koerselman, 2002; 86 87 He et al., 2006; Townsend et al., 2007; Sardans et al., 2012; Xia et al., 2014), much 88 fewer studies focused on mountainous shrubs. In China, shrubland is a widely distributed biome type, covering ~20% of the country. However, information on the 89 90 element concentrations of shrubs is very scarce (Piao et al., 2009). Thus, intensive 91 investigation of shrub stoichiometry can provide detailed information for the growing 92 global database of plant stoichiometry. As the earth's highest plateau, the Tibetan Plateau exhibits one of the very few extensive elevational vegetation gradients 93 94 remaining in the world (Chen et al., 2013b) (Fig. 1 and Appendix B: Fig. B1). Large 95 precipitation and temperature gradients along the steep mountains bordering the plateau 96 to the east lead to a heterogeneous environment. This plateau is also considered as the China's hotspot ecoregions of biodiversity (Tang et al., 2006). The shrubs here are also 97 98 very diverse and widely distributed along altitudinal gradients, which can endure extreme cold or drought environments. Some shrub species that are unique to this 99 region have emerged in cold plateau areas (e.g., Rhododendron telmateium and 100

101 *Quercus monimotricha*). Consequently, the Tibetan Plateau can provide a more general 102 representation of the stoichiometry of various shrub types, which is an ideal site for 103 examining the altitudinal patterns and environmental variables influencing shrub 104 stoichiometry.

105 The objectives of this study were to (I) analyze the leaf C:N:P stoichiometric patterns of various shrub types, and (II) clarify the significant factors affecting shrub 106 107 stoichiometry across an extensive altitudinal gradient. In this work, we measured the leaf C, N and P concentrations of 48 shrub species on the Tibetan Plateau. The 108 109 geographic, climatic and soil data of sampling sites were recorded. Given that the Tibetan Plateau encompasses a singular region of high spatial heterogeneity and 110 111 complex climatic conditions (Chen et al., 2013b) that may greatly affect shrub nutrient 112 accumulation, we hypothesized that (I) the overall leaf C:N:P variations would be 113 dominated by climate, and (II) the shrub leaf element contents would be different from other terrestrial ecosystems. In addition, plant types and species can greatly affect the 114 115 leaf element concentrations (McGroddy et al., 2004). To reveal this effect, all shrubs were classified into four ecosystem types that located in different vertical vegetation 116 belts (alpine, subalpine, montane and valley shrub), or three functional types based on 117 118 different leaf traits (evergreen broadleaf, evergreen conifer, and deciduous broadleaf 119 shrubs). Four dominant shrub species (Rhododendron telmateium - alpine, Quercus 120 monimotricha - subalpine, Coriaria sinica - montane, and Bauhinia brachycarpa -121 valley) were also chosen to assess the leaf patterns at species level.

122

## 123 2 Materials and Methods

## 124 **2.1 Description of the study area**

125 Shrub is defined as a small or medium-sized woody plant, which is distinguished from

126 a tree by its multiple stems and shorter height (below 5 m). Since shrub ecosystems are 127 mainly distributed in the southeastern margin of the plateau (Appendix B: Fig. B1), we chose 108 mountainous sites of this region to examine the leaf stoichiometry of shrubs 128 129 that included alpine, subalpine and valley areas. Additionally, the 17 neighbouring 130 mountainous sites on the east of the Tibetan Plateau were selected to provide a representation of low-altitude montane region. In these areas, shrub is one of the most 131 132 important growth forms. Evergreen broadleaf and deciduous broadleaf shrubs are the primary functional types. The mean annual temperature (MAT) and mean annual 133 134 precipitation (MAP) vary from -4.67 to 22.16 °C, and from 366.3 to 1696.3 mm, respectively. 135

Fig. 1 shows the distribution of shrub ecosystem types and sample sites. These sites 136 137 contain extensive vertical zonation of shrubs, including alpine (3091-4685 m), 138 subalpine (2000–4078 m), montane (523–3342 m) and valley shrubs (600–2350 m) (Appendix A: Table A1). The elevations of four ecosystem types are overlapping 139 140 because of the high spatial heterogeneity and diverse vegetation that adapted to 141 environments at different altitudes on the plateau. Alpine shrub is the main ecosystem 142 type located above the tree line, while subalpine shrub is distributed in the subalpine coniferous forest zone (Appendix B: Figs. B2 and B3) (Worboys and Good, 2011). 143 144 Montane shrub exists in the evergreen and deciduous broadleaf forests, and valley shrub 145 occurs in the valley region.

146

## 147 **2.2 Field sampling**

During the growing seasons (from July to August) of 2011-2013, sample collection was performed in 125 mountainous sites, with shrub coverage more than 30%. At each site, three plots (5 m × 5 m) were randomly set up, and the distances among different 151 plots were 5–50 m. For each plot, mature leaves from dominant shrub species of 5–10 individuals were collected and mixed. After litter was removed from the soil surface, 152 nine 3-cm-diameter soil cores (0-10 cm layer) in each plot were collected and 153 combined to form one composite sample to account for any heterogeneity resulted from 154 position. After collection, the leaf samples were oven-dried at 65 °C, and ground to fine 155 powders using a ball mill for element analysis. The fresh soils were air-dried, with 156 157 visible roots, stones and organic residues removed. Soil samples were sieved through 2-mm meshes before analysis. 158

159

# 160 **2.3 Geographic and climatic parameters**

161 The geographic locations (altitude, latitude and longitude) of sample sites were 162 recorded using a global positioning system. MAT and MAP values were obtained from 163 the China Meteorological Forcing Dataset (Yang et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011). The temporal and spatial resolutions of this dataset were every 3 h and  $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$  in 164 165 longitude and latitude from 1981 to 2008. In meteorology, MAT (°C) is the mean air temperature calculated by averaging the 12 months of the calendar year. MAP (mm) is 166 the annual average value of the product of atmospheric water vapor that falls under 167 168 gravity.

Considering the mountainous areas exhibit various drought conditions (especially in valley region), we herein first investigate how leaf stoichiometry varies with drought index (Reconnaissance Drought Index, RDI). RDI has been widely used in meteorology to powerfully assess drought severity in arid and semiarid regions (Tsakiris and Vangelis, 2005). Compared to the other indices (e.g., the Palmer Drought Severity Index and the Standardized Precipitation Index), the advantages of RDI are its low data requirements, high resilience and sensitivity to drought events (Khalili et al., 2011).

176	The standardized form of $RDI$ ( $RDI_{st}$ ) can be calculated via the computation of potential
177	evapotranspiration (PET) based on the Thornthwaite method (Thornthwaite, 1948). The
178	detailed calculation process of RDI <sub>st</sub> for a hydrological year (12-month reference period)
179	was shown in the Appendix C. In this work, RDI is used to represent RDIst. Positive
180	RDI represent wet period of sample site, whereas negative values indicate dry period.
181	Using the RDI values, drought severity can be categorized as extreme ( $< -2.0$ ), severe
182	(-2.0 to -1.5), moderate (-1.5 to -1.0) or mild (-1.0 to -0.5) (Vangelis et al. 2013).

## 184 **2.4 Element measurements**

The total C and N concentrations of leaf and soil samples were measured by dry 185 combustion using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 II elemental analyzer (Perkin-Elmer, Inc., USA). 186 187 Total P concentrations were determined by the ammonium molybdate method using a 188 continuous-flow analyser (AutoAnalyzer3 Continuous-Flow Analyzer; Bran Luebbe, Germany) after H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-HClO<sub>4</sub> digestion for leaves and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-HF digestion for 189 soil (Kuo, 1996). The element concentrations are presented in units of mg  $g^{-1}$  dry 190 weight, and the element ratios are presented on a mass basis. Unfortunately, soil 191 192 available nutrient data were not determined, and we were unable to assess their correlations with leaf elements. 193

194

#### 195 **2.5 Data analysis**

The data were analyzed at two levels: (1) using all the shrub samples together (n = 125), and (2) dividing the shrub dataset into four ecosystem types and four dominant shrub species that located in different altitudinal belts, or three functional types classified by different leaf traits. The leaf mineral concentrations and ratios were averaged at the sitespecies level to control for pseudoreplication (Han et al., 2011). Because the leaf element concentrations and ratios were highly skewed (Appendix B: Fig. B4), we
calculated their geometric means, standard deviations and coefficients of variation (CV)
to compare the differences of leaf C:N:P among different shrubs. Besides, the arithmetic
means of shrub leaf stoichiometry were also calculated to compare with prior studies
that only showed arithmetic means.

After all the leaf C:N:P values were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed to improve the data normality, there was still no evidence for the test of homogeneity of variances. Therefore, we used Kruskal-Wallis test (nonparametric) followed by pairwise comparisons to examine the differences in leaf C:N:P among shrub types.

Partial correlation analysis allows one to distinguish the degree of the direct correlation between geography (e.g., altitude) and leaf element, with the effect of other controlling random variables removed (e.g., longitude and latitude). Linear and nonlinear regressions were utilized to show the variation trends of leaf C:N:P along climatic and soil gradients. Stepwise multiple regression (SMR) was applied to select the most influential environmental factors (MAT, MAP, RDI, soil C, soil N and soil P), and estimate their contributions to leaf stoichiometry.

To evaluate the relative effects of shrub type (ecosystem and functional types), soil (soil C, N and P) and climate (MAT, MAP, RDI), partial general linear model (GLM) analysis was applied. Partial GLM separates the total variance explained by different factors into the independent effect of each factor and their interactive effects (Heikkinen et al., 2005). The statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS v20 (SPSS Inc., USA), Origin 8.0 (OriginLab Co., USA) and R 2.15.2.

223

224 3 Results

## 225 **3.1 Variations of leaf C, N, P and C:N:P ratios**

Leaf C, N and P contents for all shrubs ranged from 358.2 to 539.8, 9.7 to 39.4 and 0.69 to 3.43 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Supplementary material). The element ratios varied greatly with a range of 11.7–46.9 for C:N, 113.8–646.5 for C:P, and 2.86–22.16 for N:P. The geometric means were 468.9 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for C, 18.6 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for N and 1.50 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for P, while those for C:N, C:P and N:P were 25.3, 312.0 and 12.3, respectively.

The geometric means of leaf C for alpine and subalpine shrubs were 481.7 and 231 477.6 mg  $g^{-1}$ , respectively, which were higher than those of montane and valley shrubs 232 (P < 0.001, Table 1 and Appendix A: Table A2). Leaf N of valley shrub was the highest 233 234 among the ecosystem types, while the leaf P contents of subalpine and montane shrubs were lower than alpine shrub (P < 0.001). Leaf elements also varied markedly across 235 different functional types. Deciduous broadleaf shrub showed the lowest leaf C, 236 237 whereas its leaf N was the largest (P < 0.01). Leaf P did not show significant difference among the three functional types (P = 0.323). For dominant shrub species, the leaf 238 C:N:P in Rhododendron telmateium (alpine), Quercus monimotricha (subalpine), 239 Coriaria sinica (montane), and Bauhinia brachycarpa (valley) followed similar trends 240 to those in corresponding shrub ecosystem types. 241

The relative variability of leaf nutrients can be demonstrated by the coefficient of variation (CV). Leaf P of all samples had the greatest variation (37.0%), followed by N (30.4%) and C (6.3%). The relative variability of leaf elements for each shrub type also showed the similar trends.

246

## 247 **3.2 Altitudinal patterns of leaf stoichiometry**

Using partial correlation analysis (Appendix A: Table A3), we found that leaf C increased with the increase of altitude (P < 0.001), while the leaf N and P did not show clear altitudinal trend (P = 0.287 and 0.154). The highest leaf N and P were distributed at altitude of about 1500 m which belonged to valley shrub (Fig. 2).

The relationships between the leaf stoichiometry of shrub types and elevations exhibited different patterns. For example, altitude was not correlated with the leaf C of alpine and subalpine shrubs. On the contrary, leaf N and P showed obvious altitudinal trends for subalpine and deciduous broadleaf shrubs (P < 0.01).

256

## **3.3 Climatic influence on leaf stoichiometry**

MAP was not linearly or nonlinearly correlated with most of the leaf C:N:P traits (Appendix B: Fig. B5). MAT was negatively correlated with leaf C, while the leaf P, C:P and N:P were quadratically correlated with MAT (P < 0.001). As RDI increased (i.e., wetter conditions), leaf N and P became significantly larger (P < 0.001). When the three climatic factors were analyzed by SMR, MAP was excluded from all the analysis (Table 2). MAT was negatively related with leaf C (P < 0.001), and only RDI was entered into the SMR equations for leaf N and P.

The climatic factors showed large heterogeneity across different shrub types and species (Appendix A: Tables A4–A6). For instance, the leaf N or P of alpine, valley shrubs and *Quercus monimotricha* were correlated with MAT or MAP (P < 0.05), while those of montane, evergreen conifer shrubs, *Rhododendron telmateium* and *Coriaria sinica* were not affected by climate (P > 0.05). It indicated that the specific shrub type or species exhibited diverse leaf C:N:P trends along climatic gradient and change greatly to adapt to different habitats.

272

## 273 **3.4 Soil influence on leaf stoichiometry**

274 Plants take up most of the nutrients directly from soils. As usually observed elsewhere,

275 our results revealed positive correlations between the leaf and soil stoichiometry for C,

276 P, C:P and N:P (P < 0.05) (Appendix B: Fig. B6, Table 2). The leaf N and C:N of all shrubs were not correlated with those of 0-10 cm soil layer, probably owing to 277 confounding effects of other variables along geographic gradients. Interestingly, the 278 leaf N, P, C:N, C:P and N:P of evergreen broadleaf shrub were only correlated with soil 279 variables (Appendix A: Table A5), indicating the leaf nutrients in evergreen broadleaf 280 shrub were mainly affected by root uptake from soils. By contrast, the soil elements 281 282 were not limiting factors for the leaf element levels in montane shrub and Coriaria sinica (Appendix A: Tables A4 and A6). 283

284

# 285 **3.5 Relative effects of shrub type, soil and climate**

The three factors (shrub type, soil and climate) together accounted for 45.2%-54.5% 286 287 of the six leaf C:N:P traits (Fig. 3). The total effect of shrub type (t+ct+st+cst) showed 288 the largest contribution to the variations in leaf stoichiometry (37.9%-53.9%). The independent effect of shrub type (t, 19.2%–44.7%) was also greater than those of soil 289 290 (s, 0%-34.7%) and climate (c, 0%-0.4%). Soil exhibited the largest independent contribution (s, 34.7%) to the variation of leaf P. Climate (c+ct+cs+cst, 0%-16.1%) 291 292 contributed to leaf stoichiometry mainly via the interactive effects between climate and shrub type (ct) or among the three factors (cst). The negative value (e.g., cs = -27.1%293 294 for leaf P) indicated suppressive interactive effects of climate and soil.

295

# 296 **4 Discussion**

A few studies have found that the leaf elements of plants varied largely with altitudes in different mountainous regions (Soethe et al., 2008; van de Weg et al., 2009; Fisher et al., 2013). However, the relative effects of shrub types and environmental variables on leaf elements have not yet been addressed. The statistical analysis proved that shrub 301 type explained the largest fraction of the leaf C:N:P variations, and the leaf element 302 levels differed from other terrestrial ecosystems. This work provides important 303 information on the specific leaf patterns of various shrub types and species over a large 304 altitudinal gradient.

305

# **306 4.1 Different leaf C:N:P levels of shrubs on the plateau**

307 The leaf C, N and P of shrubs on the Tibetan Plateau confirmed our hypothesis (II) that they were different from those at regional, national and global levels (Table 3), 308 309 suggesting mountainous shrubs had different ways in allocating nutrients. The arithmetic means of shrub leaf C and C:N were 7.3%–47.5% greater than those of other 310 regional and global flora (P < 0.05), whereas the mean leaf N and N:P were 10.2%-75.8% 311 312 lower (P < 0.05, except herbaceous species in central England) (Thompson et al., 1997; 313 Elser et al., 2000; Campo and Dirzo, 2003; Reich and Oleksyn, 2004; Han et al., 2005; Tibbets and Molles, 2005; He et al., 2006; Townsend et al., 2007; Zheng and Shangguan, 314 2007; Chen et al., 2013a). The arithmetic mean of shrub leaf P (1.60 mg  $g^{-1}$ ) were within 315 the range of those reported in other regions  $(0.82-2.70 \text{ mg g}^{-1})$ . In this study, the 316 altitudes (523-4685 m) were much wider and higher than those investigated in other 317 terrestrial ecosystems (Soethe et al., 2008; van de Weg et al., 2009; Fisher et al., 2013). 318 319 Two classical hypotheses may account for this phenomenon. On the one hand, based 320 on the plant physiological acclimation mechanism, it is likely that more non-structural 321 C (e.g., starch, low molecular weight sugars and storage lipid) may accumulate in leaf (e.g., alpine shrub) to balance the osmotic pressure of cells and resist freezing (Hoch et 322 323 al., 2002; Hoch and Körner, 2012). On the other hand, according to the Biogeochemical Hypothesis, low temperatures in these areas could limit soil microbe activity (Reich 324 and Oleksyn, 2004). It may lead to slower decomposition of soil organic matter, and 325

326 probably depress available N uptake by roots.

Among various mineral elements, N and P are considered the major growth-327 constraining nutrients (Koerselman and Meuleman, 1996). The shrub growth was 328 relatively limited by N (mean leaf N:P = 12.8). The decreased leaf N:P with lower 329 temperatures (Table 2) further suggested that the growth of shrubs at higher altitudes 330 are more limited by N. However, Han et al. (2005) reported that the 547 plant species 331 332 in China were strongly constrained by P, with mean leaf N:P (16.3) significantly higher than those in global flora and shrubs in this work (P < 0.05, Table 3). It indicated that 333 334 the assessment of nutrient limitation at large scale could not reflect the pattern in mountainous areas. 335

The CV patterns among leaf elements are consistent with the Stability of Limiting 336 337 Elements Hypothesis (Sterner and Elser, 2002). It is known that plant nutrient (e.g., C) 338 that required at a high concentration should show a small variation and lower sensitivity to the environment. Leaf C was less variable than leaf N and P, suggesting leaf C had 339 stronger stoichiometric homeostasis. The CV value of shrub leaf C (6.3%) was smaller 340 than those of trees, herbs and shrubs (6.9%-28.0%) in other regions, whereas those of 341 shrub leaf N (30.4%) and P (37.0%) were within the range of other ecosystems (N: 342 11.0%-50.5%; P: 13.0%-44.0%) (Tibbets and Molles, 2005; He et al., 2006; Zheng 343 344 and Shangguan, 2007; Ladanai et al., 2010). Consequently, the high C accumulation 345 capacity of shrub is less sensitive to the complex climate conditions on the plateau.

346

### 347 **4.2 Relative influences of the environment and shrub type**

Precipitation, temperature and soil can affect leaf elements via changing element allocation among plant organs, altering plant metabolism or influencing nutrient uptake by roots (Ordoñez et al., 2009). In addition to MAP, MAT and soil nutrient, we first

351 added RDI to examine the effect of local drought extent. Among the four environmental parameters, it is interesting to note that RDI was positively correlated with leaf N and 352 353 P (Table 2). By contrast, MAP was not correlated with all the leaf C:N:P traits. This was inconsistent with previous reports that MAP played an important role for the leaf 354 elements of different vegetation types (Santiago et al., 2004; Han et al., 2011). Firstly, 355 among the 125 sampling sites, only 7 sites belonged to the severe and extreme drought 356 357 regions (RDI  $\leq -1.5$ ). The water conditions of other sites were mild, slight drought, or wet (-1.0 < RDI < 2), which may be suitable for shrub growth and could not become a 358 359 limiting factor. Secondly, it is proposed that MAP could not accurately reflect the real water situation due to different temperatures and evapotranspiration rates. RDI may be 360 more appropriate for evaluating the impact of water status. The wetter climatic 361 362 conditions (i.e., larger RDI) could provide more soluble N and P in soil and enhance 363 the nutrient transportation of shrub.

Increased MAT was found to be related with the decrease of leaf C in the SMR 364 analysis. This result was in agreement with a meta-analysis of C stores conducted in 13 365 different global mountains (Hoch and Körner, 2012). The large MAT gradient (-4.67 366 °C to 22.16 °C) on the plateau could strongly affect the shrub photosynthesis process. 367 Shrub species at higher elevations probably need to protect themselves against low 368 369 temperatures and make osmotic adjustments via increasing leaf C contents (Millard et 370 al., 2007). By contrast, MAT could not account for the leaf N in shrubs, which was 371 inconsistent with the opinion that leaf N contents are usually affected by temperature (Wright et al., 2005). This unexpected phenomenon may result from the large 372 373 heterogeneity in N uptake capacities of different shrub species along the climatic gradients (CV of leaf N reaches up to 30.4%). Moreover, the drought severity was so 374 dominant in leaf N that it may override any possible underlying temperature effect. 375

Soil nutrient was the most significant environmental factor for leaf P, C:P and N:P. Appendix B: Fig. B6 also exhibits the closest relationship between soil P and leaf P (P< 0.001). It was known that P mainly originates from the soil via rock weathering (Walbridge et al., 1991). Moreover, all the soil C:P ratios were less than 200 (implies net mineralization in soil), confirming the soil may provide sufficient soluble P (Bui and Henderson, 2013).

382 Climate, soil nutrient and vegetation type can together influence plant mineral biogeography in complex ways, while significant collinearities among these factors 383 384 may potentially obscure their true impacts (Han et al., 2011). Here we used partial GLM regressions to separate the total variance into the independent effect of each factor and 385 their interactive effects (Heikkinen et al., 2005). We found that the independent effect 386 387 (t) of shrub type was the largest contributor to explain the leaf element variations (Fig. 388 3). For climate, however, the result disagreed with our hypothesis (I) that the leaf C:N:P variations would be dominated by climate. The independent effect of climate (c) was 389 390 small, and climate mainly affected the leaf C:N:P via its interactive effects on shrub 391 type (ct) or among the three factors (cst). Combined with SMR analysis (Table 2), this 392 finding suggests that climate-induced (e.g., temperature and drought) changes of shrub distribution may affect the leaf nutrient contents. Soil nutrient (s) accounted for large 393 394 parts of the variations in leaf P and C:P ratio, which was ascribed to the coupled 395 relationships between soil P and plant P (Walbridge et al., 1991).

396

### 397 4.3 Large heterogeneity in leaf C:N:P patterns among various shrubs

To our knowledge, the leaf C:N:P patterns among different shrub types and species have not been sufficiently evaluated before. Our analysis suggests the leaf element contents and their responds to environments were highly heterogeneous among shrub types and species, providing further evidence that the large heterogeneity in shrub nutrient uptake
capacities and physiological adaptation to environments governed the leaf nutrient
variations.

404 For instance, alpine and subalpine shrubs had higher leaf C than the other two ecosystem types (Table 1 and Appendix A: Table A2), and this trend was consistent with 405 the cold acclimation mechanism as discussed previously (Hoch and Körner, 2012). 406 407 Alpine shrub exhibited higher leaf N and P than subalpine and montane shrubs located in low-altitude regions (P < 0.001). This result agreed with the Temperature-Plant 408 409 Physiological Hypothesis (Weih and Karlsson, 2001; Zhang et al., 2017). In highaltitude area, the growing season was short, and accompanied by lower temperature. 410 411 Hence, shrubs might increase their nutrient absorption to compensate for lower enzyme 412 efficiency and metabolic rate. It should be also noted that the valley shrub possessed 413 the greatest leaf N and high leaf P, especially at altitude of about 1500 m. This result could be explained by the remarkably different landform of valley region from those of 414 415 montane and subalpine areas with overlapping elevations, which caused distinctive 416 local climate and shrub species in valley. On the one hand, the uplift and geological 417 evolution of the Tibetan Plateau induced steep canyons and longitudinal range-gorge regions, with towering mountains and deep valleys arranged vertically side by side 418 419 (Royden et al., 2008; Pan et al., 2012). The downvalley wind could result in foehn effect 420 that is characterized by an increase in evaporation rate and a decrease in relative 421 humidity (Hornsteiner, 2005; Li et al., 2007). Consequently, the MAT values of valley sites were higher than montane, subalpine and alpine sites (P < 0.05, Appendix A: Table 422 423 A1), indicating faster organic matter decomposition as predicted by the Biogeochemical Hypothesis (Aerts and Chapin, 1999). On the other hand, due to the special local 424 topography and climate, the shrubs in valley were mostly drought-tolerant plants (e.g., 425

Bauhinia brachycarpa and Cotinus coggygria), which belonged to short-lived, fastgrowing deciduous broadleaf functional type. These valley species exhibited faster litter
decomposition and nutrient resorption abilities than long-lived, slow-growing
evergreen types (Güsewell and Koerselman, 2002; Diehl et al., 2003).

430 Large differences in leaf elements also occurred across functional types (Table 1 and Appendix A: Table A2). Leaf C contents in evergreen broadleaf and evergreen 431 432 conifer shrubs were higher than deciduous broadleaf shrub, agreeing with the higher non-structural C accumulated in evergreen shrub leaves (average altitude: 3430 m) to 433 434 resist freezing than deciduous shrub (average altitude: 2343 m). On the contrary, leaf N was larger in deciduous broadleaf shrub than in every even shrub types (P < 0.01). This 435 result was ascribed to higher nutrient resorption in deciduous species than in evergreen 436 437 species (Güsewell and Koerselman, 2002). Moreover, lower leaf C:N and C:P ratios were observed in deciduous shrub than evergreen shrubs (P < 0.05), further indicating 438 faster litter decomposition process of deciduous shrub (Bui and Henderson, 2013). 439 440 These differences of element levels among functional types indicate the variations in 441 leaf nutrient acquisition abilities.

442 Plant nutrient acquisition strategy could also affect leaf nutrient levels of different shrub species. It is well known that most plants belonging to Ericales are able to 443 444 associate with soil fungi and form ericoid mycorrhiza (Perotto et al., 2002). This 445 happens especially in high-altitude environment where plant litter decomposes slowly, 446 leading to acidic soils rich in recalcitrant organic matter but low in available mineral nutrients (Cairney and Burke, 1998). Previous studies have reported that ericoid 447 mycorrhiza or arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) were associated with diverse 448 rhododendrons in southwestern China and central Himalayan (Chaurasia et al., 2005; 449 Tian et al., 2011). The alpine shrub species Rhododendron telmateium in similar regions 450

451 probably also formed mycorrhizal fungal structures to enhance its survival and growth 452 under stressed environments. Rhododendron telmateium may access unavailable organic N and P via the enzymatic degradation of soil organic polymers by mycorrhizal 453 454 fungi (Näsholm and Persson, 2001), resulting in higher leaf N and P contents compared to Coriaria sinica. In addition, the valley shrub Bauhinia brachycarpa in our study 455 exhibited relatively high leaf N and P levels (although insignificant), despite its low soil 456 457 total nutrients relative to alpine and subalpine shrub species (Appendix A: Table A1). This leguminous species possibly interacts symbiotically with soil microorganisms to 458 459 form fungal assemblages or nitrogen-fixing root nodules, improving its nutrient acquisition in infertile soil. It has been verified that a high level of AMF diversity 460 occurred in the rhizosphere of another dominant valley shrub species (Bauhinia faberi) 461 462 on the Tibetan Plateau (Chen et al., 2016).

463 The leaf traits of shrub ecosystem, functional types and species with respect to four environmental variables differed from each other (Appendix A: Tables A4-A6). 464 465 Specifically, the leaf N and P contents of montane, evergreen conifer shrubs and Rhododendron telmateium were not correlated with climate or soil, whereas those of 466 evergreen broadleaf, deciduous broadleaf and Bauhinia brachycarpa exhibited positive 467 relationships with soil or RDI. It revealed that the diverse shrubs showed great 468 469 heterogeneity in their responses to water status and soil nutrients. Interestingly, the leaf 470 N of evergreen broadleaf shrub had the closest correlation with soil N (P < 0.01). 471 Meanwhile, the evergreen broadleaf shrub was largely limited by N (mean leaf N:P =11.5) (Table 1), suggesting the growth of this N-limited shrub may be highly sensitive 472 473 to soil N contents.

474

#### 476 **5 Conclusions**

This work was the first field investigation of the leaf C:N:P stoichiometry of different 477 shrub types along an extensive altitudinal range, providing important data for future 478 479 research on global C, N and P cycling. Results highlight that different shrub leaf C:N:P 480 contents and ratios emerged compared to other terrestrial ecosystems, and the leaf 481 C:N:P variations were primarily explained by shrub type. This phenomenon is likely 482 due to the large heterogeneity in nutrient uptake and physiological adaptation to extreme environments across various shrubs on the plateau. However, the underlying 483 484 physiological mechanisms of specific shrub type or species require further examination. 485 Our findings also indicated that the drought severity was the key climatic factor correlated with leaf N and P, which should be integrated into future biogeochemical 486 487 models of element cycling. We should pay attention to the N shortage problem to 488 improve the growth of shrubs. Global changes in vegetation distribution, temperature and drought severity will strongly affect the spatial patterns of shrub nutrient pools and 489 490 ecosystem functioning.

491

492 *Data availability*. Raw data are available in the Supplementary material.

493

494 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

495

*Acknowledgements.* This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of
China (2017YFC0505000), the National Natural Science Foundation of China
(31500445, 31400424), the Frontier Science Key Research Programs of the Chinese
Academy of Sciences (QYZDB-SSW-SMC023), and the CAS "Light of West China"
Program (Y6C2051100). The authors would like to thank Prof. Shilong Piao and Ph.D.

student Hui Yang from Peking University for calculating MAP and MAT data. The
China Meteorological Forcing Dataset used in this study was developed by Data
Assimilation and Modeling Center for Tibetan Multi-spheres, Institute of Tibetan
Plateau Research.

505

### 506 **References**

Aerts, R., and Chapin III, F. S.: The mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: A reevaluation of processes and patterns, Adv. Ecol. Res., 30, 1–67, 1999.

509 Allen, A. P., and Gillooly, J. F.: Towards an integration of ecological stoichiometry and

510 the metabolic theory of ecology to better understand nutrient cycling, Ecol. Lett.,

511 12, 369–384, 2009.

- Bui, E. N., and Henderson, B. L.: C:N:P stoichiometry in Australian soils with respect
  to vegetation and environmental factors, Plant Soil, 373, 553–568, 2013.
- 514 Cairney, J. W. G., and Burke, R. M.: Extracellular enzyme activities of the ericoid
- 515 mycorrhizal endophyte *Hymenoscyphus ericae* (Read) Korf & Kernan: their likely

roles in decomposition of dead plant tissue in soil, Plant Soil, 205, 181–192, 1998.

- 517 Campo, J., and Dirzo, R.: Leaf quality and herbivory responses to soil nutrient addition
- in secondary tropical dry forests of Yucatán, Mexico, J. Trop. Ecol., 19, 525–530,
  2003.
- 520 Chaurasia, B., Pandey, A., and Palni, L. M. S.: Distribution, colonization and diversity
- of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with central Himalayan rhododendrons,
  Forest Ecol. Manag, 207, 315–324, 2005.
- 523 Chen, Y., Han, W., Tang, L., Tang, Z., and Fang, J.: Leaf nitrogen and phosphorus
  524 concentrations of woody plants differ in responses to climate, soil and plant growth
  525 form, Ecography, 36, 178–184, 2013a.

- 526 Chen, Y., Qu, L. Y., Ma, K. M., Yang, X. Y.: The community composition of arbuscular
- mycorrhizal fungi in the rhizosphere of *Bauhinia faberi* var. *microphylla* in the dry
  valley of Minjiang River, Mycosystema, 35, 39–51, 2016.
- 529 Chen, Y., Yang, K., He, J., Qin, J., Shi, J., Du, J., and He, Q.: Improving land surface
- temperature modeling for dry land of China, J. Geophys. Res., 116, 999–1010, 2011.
- 531 Chen, H., Zhu, Q., Peng, C., Wu, N., Wang, Y., Fang, X., Gao, Y., Zhu, D., Yang, G.,
- 532 Tian, J., Kang, X., Piao, S., Ouyang, H., Xiang, W., Luo, Z., Jiang, H., Song, X.,
- 533 Zhang, Y., Yu, G., Zhao, X., Gong, P., Yao, T., and Wu, J.: The impacts of climate
- change and human activities on biogeochemical cycles on the Qinghai-Tibetan
  Plateau, Glob. Change Biol., 19, 2940–2955, 2013b.
- Diehl, P., Mazzarino, M. J., Funes, F., Fontenla, S., Gobbi, M., and Ferrari, J.: Nutrient
  conservation strategies in native Andean-Patagonian forests, J. Veg. Sci., 14, 63–70,
- 538 2003.
- Elser, J. J., Fagan, W. F., Denno, R. F., Dobberfuhl, D. R., Folarin, A., Huberty, A.,
- 540 Interlandi, S., Kilham, S. S., McCauley, E., and Schulz, K. L.: Nutritional
- constraints in terrestrial and freshwater food webs, Nature, 408, 578–580, 2000.
- Elser, J. J., Fagan, W. F., Kerkhoff, A. J., Swenson, N. G., and Enquist, B. J.: Biological
  stoichiometry of plant production: metabolism, scaling and ecological response to
  global change, New Phytol., 186, 593–608, 2010.
- 545 Fisher, J. B., Malhi, Y., Torres, I. C., Metcalfe, D. B., van de Weg, M. J., Meir, P., Silva-
- 546 Espejo, J. E., and Huasco, W. H.: Nutrient limitation in rainforests and cloud forests
- along a 3,000-m elevation gradient in the Peruvian Andes, Oecologia, 172, 889–902,
- 548 2013.
- 549 Güsewell, S., and Koerselman, W.: Variation in nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations
- of wetland plants, Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst., 5, 37–61, 2002.

- Han, W., Fang, J., Guo, D., and Zhang, Y.: Leaf nitrogen and phosphorus stoichiometry
- across 753 terrestrial plant species in China, New Phytol., 168, 377–385, 2005.
- Han, W., Fang, J., Reich, P.B., Ian Woodward, F., and Wang, Z.: Biogeography and
  variability of eleven mineral elements in plant leaves across gradients of climate,
  soil and plant functional type in China, Ecol. Lett., 14, 788–796, 2011.
- He, J. S., Fang, J., Wang, Z., Guo, D., Flynn, D. F. B., and Geng, Z.: Stoichiometry and
- large-scale patterns of leaf carbon and nitrogen in the grassland biomes of China,
  Oecologia, 149, 115–122, 2006.
- Hedin, L. O., Vitousek, P. M., and Matson, P. A.: Nutrient losses over four million years
  of tropical forest development, Ecology, 84, 2231–2255, 2003.
- 561 Heikkinen, R. K., Luoto, M., Kuussaari, M., and Pöyry, J.: New insights into butterfly-
- 562 environment relationships using partitioning methods, P. Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci., 272,
  563 2203–2210, 2005.
- Hoch, G., and Körner, C.: Global patterns of mobile carbon stores in trees at the highelevation tree line, Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr., 21, 861–871, 2012.
- 566 Hoch, G., Popp, M., and Körner, C.: Altitudinal increase of mobile carbon pools in
- 567 *Pinus cembra* suggests sink limitation of growth at the Swiss treeline, Oikos, 98,
  568 361–374, 2002.
- Hornsteiner, M.: Local foehn effects in the upper Isar Valley, part 1: Observations,
  Meteorol. Atmos. Phys., 88, 175–192, 2005.
- 571 Khalili, D., Farnoud, T., Jamshidi, H., Kamgar-Haghighi, A. A., and Zand-Parsa, S.:
- 572 Comparability analyses of the SPI and RDI meteorological drought indices in 573 different climatic zones, Water Resour. Manag., 25, 1737–1757, 2011.
- 574 Koerselman, W., and Meuleman, A. F. M.: The vegetation N: P ratio: A new tool to
- detect the nature of nutrient limitation, J. Appl. Ecol., 33, 1441–1450, 1996.

576 Kuo, S.: Phosphorus. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3: Chemical methods. In D. L.

577 Sparks (Ed.), Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin, 1996.

- Ladanai, S., Ågren, G. I., and Olsson, B. A.: Relationships between tree and soil
  properties in Picea abies and Pinus sylvestris forests in Sweden, Ecosystems, 13,
  302–316, 2010.
- Li, Y., Liu, X., Zheng, S., Chen, H., Yue, Y., Mu, C., and Liu, J.: Drought-resistant
  physiological characteristics of four shrub species in arid valley of Minjiang River,
  China, Acta Ecologica Sinica, 27, 870–877, 2007.
- Macek, P., Klimeš, L., Adamec, L., Doležal, J., Chlumská, Z., de Bello, F., Dvorský,
  M., and Řeháková, K.: Plant nutrient content does not simply increase with
  elevation under the extreme environmental conditions of Ladakh, NW Himalaya,
  Arctic, Antarct Alpine Res., 44, 62–66, 2012.
- Matzek, V., and Vitousek, P. M.: N:P stoichiometry and protein:RNA ratios in vascular
  plants: an evaluation of the growth-rate hypothesis, Ecol. Lett., 12, 765–771, 2009.
- 590 Mcavaney, B., Covey, C., Joussaume, S., Kattsov, V., Kitoh, A., Ogana, W., and Zhao,
- 591 Z.: IPCC. Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Contribution of working group
- I to the third assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate,Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- McGroddy, M. E., Daufresne, T., and Hedin, L. O.: Scaling of C:N:P stoichiometry in
  forests worldwide: implications of terrestrial Redfield-type ratios, Ecology, 85,
  2390–2401, 2004.
- Millard, P., Sommerkorn, M., and Grelet, G. A.: Environmental change and carbon
  limitation in trees: a biochemical, ecophysiological and ecosystem appraisal, New
  Phytol., 175, 11–28, 2007.
- Näsholm, T., and Persson, J.: Plant acquisition of organic nitrogen in boreal forests,

- 601 Physiol. Plant, 111, 419–426, 2001.
- Nogués-Bravo, D., Araújo, M. B., Romdal, T., and Rahbek, C.: Scale effects and human
  impact on the elevational species richness gradients, Nature, 453, 216–219, 2008.
- 604 Ordoñez, J. C., Van Bodegom, P. M., Witte, J. P. M., Wright, I. J., Reich, P. B., and
- Aerts, R.: A global study of relationships between leaf traits, climate and soil measures of nutrient fertility, Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr., 18, 137–149, 2009.
- Pan, T., Wu, S., He, D., Dai, E., and Liu, Y.: Ecological effects of longitudinal rangegorge land surface pattern and its regional differentiation, Acta Geographica Sinica,
  609 67, 13–26, 2012.
- Perotto, S., Girlanda, M., and Martino, E.: Ericoid mycorrhizal fungi: some new
  perspectives on old acquaintances, Plant Soil, 244, 41–53, 2002.
- Piao, S., Fang, J., Ciais, P., Peylin, P., Huang, Y., Sitch, S., and Wang, T.: The carbon
  balance of terrestrial ecosystems in China, Nature, 458, 1009–1013, 2009.
- Reich, P. B., and Oleksyn, J.: Global patterns of plant leaf N and P in relation to
  temperature and latitude, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 101, 11001–11006, 2004.
- Royden, L. H., Burchfiel, B. C., and van der Hilst, R. D.: The geological evolution of
  the Tibetan Plateau, Science, 321, 1054–1058, 2008.
- Santiago, L. S., Kitajima, K., Wright, S. J., and Mulkey, S. S.: Coordinated changes in
  photosynthesis water relations and leaf nutritional traits of canopy trees along a
  precipitation gradient in lowland tropical forest, Oecologia, 139, 495–502, 2004.
- 621 Sardans, J., Alonso, R., Janssens, I. A., Carnicer, J., Vereseglou, S., Rillig, M. C.,
- 622 Fernández-Martínez, M., Sanders, T. G. M., and Peñuelas, J.: Foliar and soil
- 623 concentrations and stoichiometry of nitrogen and phosphorous across European
- 624 Pinus sylvestris forests: relationships with climate, N deposition and tree growth,
- 625 Funct. Ecol., 30, 676–689, 2016.

- 626 Sardans, J., Rivas-Ubach, A., and Peñuelas, J.: The C:N:P stoichiometry of organisms
- and ecosystems in a changing world: a review and perspectives, Perspect. Plant.
- 628 Ecol. Evol. Syst., 14, 33–47, 2012.
- Soethe, N., Lehmann, J., and Engels, C.: Nutrient availability at different altitudes in a
  tropical montane forest in Ecuador, J. Trop. Ecol., 24, 397–406, 2008.
- 631 Stern, R. W., and Elser, J. J.: Ecological stoichiometry: the biology of elements from
- molecules to the biosphere, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2002.
- Tang, Z., Wang, Z., Zheng, C., and Fang, J.: Biodiversity in China's mountains, Front.
- 634 Ecol. Environ., 4, 347–352, 2006.
- 635 Thompson, K., Parkinson, J. A., Band, S. R., and Spencer, R. E.: A comparative study
- of leaf nutrient concentrations in a regional herbaceous flora, New Phytol., 136,
  679–689, 1997.
- Thornthwaite, C. W.: An approach toward a rational classification of climate, Geogr.
  Rev., 38, 55–89, 1948.
- Tian, W., Zhang, C. Q., Qiao, P., and Milne, R.: Diversity of culturable ericoid
  mycorrhizal fungi of *Rhododendron decorum* in Yunnan, China, Mycologia, 103,
  703–709, 2011.
- Tibbets, T. M., and Molles, M. C.: C : N : P stoichiometry of dominant riparian trees
  and arthropods along the Middle Rio Grande, Freshwater Biol., 50, 1882–1894,
  2005.
- Townsend, A. R., Cleveland, C. C., Asner, G. P., and Bustamante, M. M.: Controls over
  foliar N:P ratios in tropical rain forests, Ecology, 88, 107–118, 2007.
- Tsakiris, G., and Vangelis, H.: Establishing a drought index incorporating
  evapotranspiration, Eur. Water, 9–10, 3–11, 2005.
- 650 Tsakiris, G., Pangalou, D., and Vangelis, H.: Regional drought assessment based on the

- Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI), Water Resour. Manag., 21, 821–833, 2007.
- van de Weg, M. J., Meir, P., Grace, J., and Atkin, O. K.: Altitudinal variation in leaf
- mass per unit area, leaf tissue density and foliar nitrogen and phosphorus content
- along an Amazon-Andes gradient in Peru, Plant Ecol. Divers., 2, 243–254, 2009.
- Vangelis, H., Tigkas, D., and Tsakiris, G.: The effect of PET method on Reconnaissance
  Drought Index (RDI) calculation, J. Arid Environ., 88, 130–140, 2013.
- Venterink, H. O., and Güsewell, S.: Competitive interactions between two meadow
  grasses under nitrogen and phosphorus limitation, Funct. Ecol., 24, 877–886, 2010.
- Walbridge, M. R., Richardson, C. J., and Swank, W. T.: Vertical distribution of
  biological and geochemical phosphorus subcycles in two southern Appalachian
  forest soils, Biogeochemistry, 13, 61–85, 1991.
- Weih, M., and Karlsson, P. S.: Growth response of mountain birch to air and soil
  temperature: is increasing leaf-nitrogen content an acclimation to lower air
  temperature? New Phytol., 150, 147–155, 2001.
- Worboys, G. L., and Good, R. B.: Caring for our Australian Alps catchments: summary
   report for policy makers. Department of Climate Change & Energy Efficiency,
- 667 Canberra, Australia, 2011.
- 668 Wright, I. J., Reich, P. B., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Falster, D. S., Garnier, E., Hikosaka,
- 669 K., Lamont, B. B., Lee, W., Oleksyn, J., Osada, N., Poorter, H., Villar, R., Warton,
- D. I., and Westoby, M.: Assessing the generality of global leaf trait relationships,
- 671 New Phytol., 166, 485–496, 2005.
- Kia, C., Yu, D., Wang, Z., and Xie, D.: Stoichiometry patterns of leaf carbon, nitrogen
- and phosphorous in aquatic macrophytes in eastern China, Ecol. Eng., 70, 406–413,
  2014.
- Yang, K., He, J., Tang, W., Qin, J., and Cheng, C. C. K.: On downward shortwave and

- 676 longwave radiations over high altitude regions: observation and modeling in the
- Tibetan Plateau, Agr. Forest. Meteorol., 150, 38–46, 2010.
- 78 Zhang, J., Zhao, N., Liu, C., Yang, H., Li, M., Yu, G., Wilcox, K., Yu, Q., and He, N.:
- 679 C:N:P stoichiometry in China's forests: From organs to ecosystems, Funct. Ecol.,
- 680 DOI: 10.1111/1365-2435.12979, 2017.
- Zhao, N., He, N., Wang, Q., Zhang, X., Wang, R., Xu, Z., and Yu, G.: The altitudinal
- 682 patterns of leaf C:N:P stoichiometry are regulated by plant growth form, climate
- and soil on Changbai Mountain, China, Plos One, 9, e95196, 2014.
- <sup>684</sup> Zheng, S., and Shangguan, Z.: Spatial patterns of leaf nutrient traits of the plants in the
- 685 Loess Plateau of China, Trees, 21, 357–370, 2007.

- 687
- 688
- 689
- 690
- 691 692
- 693
- 694
- 695
- 696
- 697
- 698
- ....
- 699
- 700



Figure 1. Locations of the southeastern Tibetan Plateau and 125 sample sites in
mountainous areas. The purple, blue, green, and brown areas stand for the distribution
of alpine, subalpine, montane and valley shrub types, respectively.



717 Figure 2. Vertical distribution of leaf C, N and P contents of mountainous shrubs on the Tibetan Plateau. Alpine shrub occurs at relatively higher



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>719</sup> broadleaf forest zone) and valley shrub (600–2350 m, valley region).



**Figure 3.** Summary of the partial general linear models (GLM) for the effects  $(R^2, \%)$ of climate, soil and shrub type on leaf stoichiometry. c, s, and t represent the independent effects of climate, soil, and shrub type, respectively; cs, ct, and st are the interactive effects between climate and soil, climate and shrub type, soil and shrub type, respectively; cst denotes the interactive effect among the three factors. Leaf element concentrations and ratios were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed before analysis. Insignificant climatic or soil variables were not included in the partial GLM analysis. Shrub type stands for the combination of ecosystem type and functional type (e.g., montane deciduous broad-leaf shrub). 

**Table 1.** Geometric means and standard deviations of leaf element concentrations and ratios for all shrub samples, and specific shrub type and species on the Tibetan Plateau. Ecosystem types include shrubs that located at different altitudinal belts. Functional types are classified based on different leaf traits. Coefficients of variation (CV, %) are in parentheses. *n* represents the number of shrub samples. Comparisons of geometric means of leaf C:N:P among shrub types and species (*P* values) were shown in Appendix A: Table A2.

		n	Leaf C (mg $g^{-1}$ )	Leaf N (mg $g^{-1}$ )	Leaf P (mg $g^{-1}$ )	Leaf C:N	Leaf C:P	Leaf N:P
All samples		125	$468.9\pm29.8\;(6.3\%)$	$18.6 \pm 5.9 \; (30.4\%)$	$1.50\pm 0.59~(37.0\%)$	$25.3\pm7.8\ (29.7\%)$	312.0 ± 113.5 (34.2%)	$12.3\pm 3.5\;(27.5\%)$
Ecosystem	Alpine shrub	58	$481.7\pm31.9\;(6.6\%)$	$19.7 \pm 5.8 \ (28.5\%)$	$1.75 \pm 0.56 \; (31.0\%)$	24.5 ± 8.1 (31.6%)	$276.0 \pm 83.1 \; (28.8\%)$	11.3 ± 2.5 (21.6%)
type	Subalpine shrub	20	$477.6 \pm 12.2 \; (2.6\%)$	$14.7\pm 3.9\;(25.9\%)$	$1.24\pm 0.42\;(32.6\%)$	$32.5\pm6.8\;(20.3\%)$	386.4 ± 114.2 (28.3%)	$11.9 \pm 2.1 \; (17.7\%)$
	Montane shrub	30	$448.3 \pm 18.8 \; (4.2\%)$	$17.6 \pm 3.5 \ (19.3\%)$	$1.23 \pm 0.49 \; (37.1\%)$	$25.4 \pm 5.1 \ (19.8\%)$	357.3 ± 117.7 (31.3%)	$14.1 \pm 4.0 \; (27.3\%)$
	Valley shrub	17	$452.9\pm24.9\;(5.5\%)$	$21.8\pm7.8\;(34.0\%)$	$1.56 \pm 0.71 \; (41.9\%)$	$20.8\pm7.6\;(34.8\%)$	$290.1 \pm 132.3 \ (42.0\%)$	$14.0 \pm 4.7 \; (31.0\%)$
Functional	Evergreen broadleaf	55	$485.3\pm29.9\;(6.2\%)$	$16.5 \pm 4.7 \ (27.5\%)$	$1.44 \pm 0.50 \; (33.1\%)$	$29.4 \pm 7.2 \; (23.6\%)$	$337.6 \pm 103.3 \ (29.2\%)$	$11.5 \pm 2.5 \ (21.3\%)$
type	Evergreen conifer	6	488.3 ± 16.3 (3.3%)	$13.7 \pm 3.9 \ (27.9\%)$	$1.34 \pm 0.35 \; (25.6\%)$	$35.6 \pm 8.4 \ (23.0\%)$	$363.5\pm77.4\ (20.8\%)$	$10.2 \pm 1.5 \; (14.6\%)$
	Deciduous broadleaf	64	$453.5\pm20.8\;(4.6\%)$	$21.1 \pm 5.9 \; (27.0\%)$	$1.58 \pm 0.67 \ (39.3\%)$	$21.5 \pm 5.5 \; (24.7\%)$	287.4 ± 121.1 (39.1%)	$13.4\pm 4.0\;(28.6\%)$
Dominant	Rhododendron telmateium	19	$500.4 \pm 12.7 \; (2.5\%)$	$19.0 \pm 4.3 \; (22.1\%)$	$1.63 \pm 0.37 \ (22.4\%)$	$26.3 \pm 5.7 \ (21.2\%)$	$306.9 \pm 68.7 \ (21.9\%)$	11.6 ± 1.6 (13.4%)
species	Quercus monimotricha	5	$464.7\pm 8.0\;(1.7\%)$	$14.9\pm 4.0\ (26.4\%)$	$1.33 \pm 0.42 \; (30.9\%)$	$31.1 \pm 8.9 \ (27.6\%)$	$350.5\pm93.5\;(25.9\%)$	$11.3 \pm 1.2 \ (10.5\%)$
	Coriaria sinica	6	$426.3 \pm 10.2 \; (2.4\%)$	$18.4\pm2.9\ (15.4\%)$	$1.09 \pm 0.21 \; (19.2\%)$	$23.2 \pm 3.2 \ (13.5\%)$	$391.5\pm74.1\;(18.7\%)$	$16.9 \pm 2.2 \; (13.1\%)$
	Bauhinia brachycarpa	3	$443.5 \pm 1.2 \ (0.3\%)$	$24.7 \pm 2.4 \ (9.6\%)$	$1.45 \pm 0.28 \ (19.5\%)$	18.0 ± 1.9 (10.3%)	$306.9\pm63.0\ (20.2\%)$	17.1 ± 1.8 (10.8%)

744 745	concentra RDI, soil	tions and ratio	s of all sh atio).	rub samples o	n climatic and	l soil v	variables (	MAT, M	AP,				
Leaf	Adj. $R^2$	Part	Partial regression coefficient						Contribution of predictor (%)				
element	Full mode	MAT	MAP	RDI	Soil	-	MAT	MAP	RDI	Soil			
С	0.181	-0.001***	_	_	0.001*		61.6	_	_	38.4			
Ν	0.097	_	_	0.037***	_		_	_	100	_			
Р	0.214	_	_	0.034**	0.138***		_	_	40.8	59.2			

54.8

\_

47.8

\_

45.2

52.2

Table 2. Model summary for the stepwise multiple regression (SMR) of leaf element

\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* denote significance at the 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 test level, respectively. For
partial regression coefficients, "+" indicates a positive correlation and "–" indicates a
negative correlation. MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation;
RDI, standardized form of Reconnaissance Drought Index. Soil represents
corresponding soil element or ratio relative to leaf element or ratio. Leaf element
concentrations and ratios were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed before analysis.

-0.036\*\*

-0.043\*\*\*

0.001\*\*

0.015\*

752

743

C:N

C:P

N:P

0.085

0.141

0.060

0.004\*

753

754

755

- 756
- 757

758

759

760

761

Study area	Leaf C $(mg g^{-1})$	Leaf N $(mg g^{-1})$	Leaf P $(mg g^{-1})$	Leaf C:N	Leaf C:P	Leaf N:P	Reference
Shrubs on the Tibetan Plateau, southwestern China	469.8 (29.8)	19.4 (5.9)	1.60 (0.59)	26.4 (7.8)	331.7 (113.5)	12.8 (3.5)	This study
Shrubs in the Loess Plateau, central and northern China	437.0 (36.0)*	24.7 (8.2)*	1.55 (0.44)	19.7 (6.8)*	302.0 (84.0)*	16.1 (4.1)*	Zheng and Shangguan, 2007
Woody plants, eastern China	-	23.2 (7.2)*	1.59 (0.84)	_	_	17.6 (7.2)*	Chen et al., 2013a
Grassland biomes, China	438.0 (30.2)*	27.6 (8.6)*	_	17.9 (5.7)*	_	_	He et al., 2006
Chinese flora	_	20.2 (8.4)	1.46 (0.99)	_	_	16.3 (9.3)*	Han et al., 2005
Tropical dry forests, Mexico	-	21.3 (4.5)	1.15 (0.46)	_	-	22.2 (11.4)*	Campo and Dirzo, 2003
Tropical rain forests, Brazil, Costa Rica	-	21.6 (5.6)*	0.82 (0.34)*	_	-	28.6 (8.6)*	Townsend et al., 2007
Herbaceous species, central England	_	27.8 (9.9)*	2.70 (1.52)*	_	_	10.7 (2.8)*	Thompson et al., 1997
Dominant riparian trees along the Middle Rio Grande, USA	463.0 (0.8)	31.0 (8.0)*	1.50 (4.10)	18.4 (4.2)*	1010 (560)*	53.0 (21.0)*	Tibbets and Molles, 2005
Global flora	-	20.1 (8.7)	1.77 (1.12)	_	-	13.8 (9.5)	Reich and Oleksyn, 2004
Global flora	464.0 (32.1)	20.6 (12.2)	1.99 (1.49)*	22.5 (10.6)*	232.0 (145.0)*	12.7 (6.8)	Elser et al., 2000

Table 3. Comparison of arithmetic means of leaf C, N, P and C:N:P ratios between the shrubs on the Tibetan Plateau and other regional or global

763 plants using Kruskal-Wallis test followed by pairwise comparisons. Standard deviations are in parentheses.

<sup>764</sup> \* denote significant difference in leaf element traits between the shrubs on the Tibetan Plateau and other regional or global plants at the 0.05 level.

765

# 766 Appendix A: Additional tables

767	Table A1. Geographical,	climatic and soil n	utrient information	of different sh	rub types and	representative	dominant shrul	o species on the	Tibetan Plateau.
					• •	-		-	

Shrub type	Altitude (m)	MAP (mm)	MAT (°C)	RDI	Soil C (mg $g^{-1}$ )	Soil N (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil P (mg $g^{-1}$ )
Ecosystem type							
Alpine shrub	3091~4685	366.3~1013.4	-4.25~13.88	-1.36~2.02	19.0~167.1	1.41~11.95	0.58~2.05
Subalpine shrub	2000~4078	459.8~1008.5	-4.67~11.98	-1.71~-0.08	17.2~106.2	1.16~8.11	0.26~2.01
Montane shrub	523~3342	490.0~1555.4	0.11~16.97	-1.64~1.75	3.5~92.2	0.65~8.51	0.18~1.77
Valley shrub	600~2350	373.5~1696.3	2.35~22.16	-1.64~1.38	6.1~89.2	0.58~7.69	0.14~1.51
Functional type							
Evergreen broadleaf	627~4685	366.3~1555.4	-4.67~22.16	-1.71~1.76	8.9~161.8	1.00~11.95	0.23~2.01
Evergreen conifer	2145~4378	471.8~761.8	0.12~10.73	-1.33~1.54	23.0~110.3	2.23~8.32	0.46~1.64
Deciduous broadleaf	523~4212	373.5~1696.3	-4.25~21.51	-1.64~2.02	3.5~167.1	0.58~11.02	0.14~2.05
Representative species							
Rhododendron telmateium	3624~4685	366.3~993.8	-4.25~13.88	-1.36~1.54	26.7~101.2	2.07~8.13	0.61~1.60
Quercus monimotricha	2000~3325	646.5~1008.5	0.27~7.81	-1.53~-0.86	36.1~86.7	2.75~5.24	0.69~1.55
Coriaria sinica	540~3156	720.7~1435.7	6.74~15.31	-1.42~0.43	3.5~46.2	0.76~2.17	0.39~0.88
Bauhinia brachycarpa	1621~2236	688.1~918.7	4.43~11.09	-1.56~1.38	17.7~28.0	1.46~2.58	0.55~1.00

768 MAP, MAT and RDI indicate mean annual precipitation, mean annual temperature and Reconnaissance Drought Index, respectively.

Comparison pair	LeafC	LeafN	LeafP	Leaf C·N	Leaf C·P	Leaf N·P
Ecosystem type						Loui I III
Alpine vs Subalpine	P = 1.000	<i>P</i> < 0.001	P < 0.001	<i>P</i> < 0.01	<i>P</i> < 0.01	P = 1.000
Alpine vs Montane	<i>P</i> < 0.001	P = 0.668	<i>P</i> < 0.001	P = 1.000	<i>P</i> < 0.01	<i>P</i> < 0.01
Alpine vs Valley	<i>P</i> < 0.001	P = 1.000	P = 1.000	P = 0.612	P = 1.000	<i>P</i> < 0.01
Subalpine vs Montane	<i>P</i> < 0.001	<i>P</i> = 0.118	<i>P</i> = 1.000	<i>P</i> < 0.05	P = 1.000	<i>P</i> = 0.226
Subalpine vs Valley	<i>P</i> < 0.05	<i>P</i> < 0.001	<i>P</i> = 0.229	<i>P</i> < 0.001	P = 0.096	<i>P</i> = 0.113
Montane vs Valley	P = 1.000	P = 0.168	P = 0.210	P = 0.335	P = 0.396	P = 1.000
Functional type						
Evergreen broadleaf vs Evergreen conifer	P = 1.000	P = 0.434	<i>P</i> > 0.05	P = 0.484	P = 1.000	P = 0.469
Evergreen broadleaf vs Deciduous broad-leaf	<i>P</i> < 0.001	<i>P</i> < 0.001	P > 0.05	<i>P</i> < 0.001	P < 0.05	P < 0.05
Evergreen conifer vs Deciduous broad-leaf	<i>P</i> < 0.01	P < 0.01	P > 0.05	P < 0.001	P = 0.299	P < 0.05
Dominant species						
Rhododendron telmateium vs Quercus monimotricha	<i>P</i> = 0.081	P > 0.05	P = 0.435	P = 1.000	P > 0.05	P = 1.000
Rhododendron telmateium vs Coriaria sinica	<i>P</i> < 0.001	P > 0.05	P < 0.01	P = 1.000	P > 0.05	<i>P</i> < 0.01
Rhododendron telmateium vs Bauhinia brachycarpa	P < 0.05	P > 0.05	P = 1.000	P = 0.061	P > 0.05	P < 0.05
Quercus monimotricha vs Coriaria sinica	P = 0.880	P > 0.05	P = 1.000	P = 0.366	P > 0.05	P < 0.05
Quercus monimotricha vs Bauhinia brachycarpa	P = 1.000	P > 0.05	<i>P</i> = 1.000	<i>P</i> < 0.05	P > 0.05	P = 0.076
Coriaria sinica vs Bauhinia brachycarpa	P = 1.000	P > 0.05	P = 0.841	P = 0.784	P > 0.05	P = 1.000

**Table A2.** Comparisons of geometric means of leaf stoichiometry using Kruskal-Wallis test followed by pairwise comparisons.

770 Differences were statistically significant at the 0.05 level. Leaf element concentrations and ratios were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed before analysis.

772 stoic	hiometry of a	ll shrubs and	l different shi	rub types.			
	Variable	Leaf C	Leaf N	Leaf P	Leaf C:N	Leaf C:P	Leaf N:P
All shrubs	Altitude	0.328***	-0.097	0.129	0.163	-0.066	-0.252**
	Longitude	0.028	-0.173	-0.088	0.174	0.089	-0.069
	Latitude	-0.088	0.350***	0.097	-0.356***	-0.107	0.252**
Ecosystem type							
Alpine	Altitude	0.104	-0.238	-0.224	0.258	0.232	-0.026
	Longitude	-0.107	-0.173	0.071	0.146	-0.093	-0.287*
	Latitude	-0.164	0.319*	0.181	-0.351**	-0.207	0.190
Subalpine	Altitude	-0.083	0.485*	0.326	-0.483*	-0.319	0.004
	Longitude	-0.532*	0.580*	0.342	-0.612**	-0.369	0.128
	Latitude	-0.052	0.192	0.099	-0.191	-0.099	0.035
Montane	Altitude	-0.306	-0.107	-0.264	0.035	0.223	0.233
	Longitude	-0.221	-0.239	-0.309	0.188	0.282	0.198
	Latitude	-0.137	-0.053	-0.338	0.020	0.320	0.351
Valley	Altitude	0.216	0.429	0.322	-0.404	-0.269	0.173
	Longitude	0.165	0.258	0.408	-0.234	-0.364	-0.109
	Latitude	0.047	-0.128	-0.714**	0.137	0.697**	0.580*
Functional type							
Evergreen broadleaf	Altitude	0.212	0.012	-0.137	0.038	0.165	0.169
	Longitude	-0.175	-0.144	-0.214	0.108	0.175	0.118
	Latitude	0.103	0.315*	0.228	-0.302*	-0.200	0.040
Evergreen conifer	Altitude	-0.870	0.440	0.641	-0.512	-0.689	-0.970*
	Longitude	-0.917	0.455	0.540	-0.542	-0.613	-0.853
	Latitude	-0.379	-0.115	0.031	0.102	-0.048	-0.843
Deciduous broadleaf	Altitude	-0.016	0.270*	0.491***	-0.279*	-0.498***	-0.369**
	Longitude	-0.047	0.049	0.138	-0.059	-0.146	-0.114
	Latitude	0.148	-0.078	-0.236	0.110	0.260*	0.204

771 Table A3. Partial correlation coefficients between the geographic variables and leaf

773 \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* denote significance at the 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 test level, respectively. For partial

correlation coefficients, "+" indicates a positive correlation and "-" indicates a negative correlation.

TT5 Leaf element concentrations and ratios were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed before analysis.

776	Table A4. Mode	el summary f	for the step	owise multip	ple regression	on (SMR	) of leaf	element
		2				\     \	/	

777	concentrations of different	ecosystem types on t	the climatic and	soil variables (	(MAT,
		2 21			/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /

Leaf	Adj. R <sup>2</sup>		Partial regress	ion coefficient		Cont	ribution c	of predi	ctor (%)
element	Full mode	MAT	MAP	RDI	Soil	MAT	MAP	RDI	Soil
Alpine									
С	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Ν	_	_	_	-	-	—	—	_	_
Р	0.059	_	0.001*	_	_	_	100	_	_
C:N	0.074	_	_	-0.037*	-	—	—	100	_
C:P	0.066	_	_	-0.036*	-	—	—	100	_
N:P	0.107	_	_	-	0.016**	—	—	_	100
Subalpine									
С	0.217	_	-0.00004*	-	-	—	100	_	_
Ν	0.190	-0.010*	-	-	_	100	—	_	_
Р	0.212	_	_	_	0.132*	—	_	_	100
C:N	0.201	0.010*	-	-	_	100	—	_	_
C:P	0.212	_	0.001*	_	_	_	100	—	_
N:P	0.554	_	_	-	0.036***	—	—	—	100
Montane									
С	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_
Ν	_	_	_	-	-	_	—	_	_
Р	_	_	_	-	-	—	—	—	_
C:N	_	_	_	-	-	—	—	—	_
C:P	_	_	_	-	-	_	—	_	_
N:P	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_
Valley									
С	_	_	_	-	-	_	—	_	_
Ν	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_
Р	0.249	0.016*	_	-	_	100	-	_	_
C:N	-	_	_	-	_	—	-	_	_
C:P	0.425	-0.020**	_	_	0.003*	60.6	-	_	39.4
N:P	0.256	-0.019*	_	_	_	100	-	_	_

778	MAP,	RDI,	soil	element	and	ratio)	).
-----	------	------	------	---------	-----	--------	----

\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* denote significance at the 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 test level, respectively. For partial
regression coefficients, "+" indicates a positive correlation and "–" indicates a negative correlation.

781 Soil represents corresponding soil element or ratio relative to leaf element or ratio. Leaf element

782 concentrations and ratios were  $log_{10}$ -transformed before analysis.

**Table A5.** Model summary for the stepwise multiple regression (SMR) of leaf element

784 concentrations of different functional types on the climatic and soil variables (MAT,

Leaf	Adj. <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	Partial regression coef				Contr	Contribution of predictor (%)			
element	Full mode	MAT	MAP	RDI	Soil	MAT	MAP	RDI	Soil	
Evergreen broadleaf										
С	0.231	0.002***	-	—	—	100	_	_	—	
Ν	0.176	-	_	_	0.022**	_	—	_	100	
Р	0.188	_	_	_	0.144**	-	_	_	100	
C:N	0.097	_	_	_	0.017*	_	_	_	100	
C:P	0.094	_	_	_	0.002*	_	_	_	100	
N:P	0.259	_	_	_	0.030***	—	_	-	100	
Evergreen conifer										
С	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Ν	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	—	
Р	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	
C:N	_	—	_	—	-	—	-	_	_	
C:P	_	-	_	_	—	_	—	_	—	
N:P	0.853	0.012**	_	_	—	100	—	_	—	
Deciduous broadleaf										
С	_	-	_	-	_	—	—	_	—	
Ν	0.075	_	_	0.031*	_	_	-	100	_	
Р	0.251	_	_	0.043*	0.159**	_	_	43.9	56.1	
C:N	0.069	_	_	-0.029*	_	_	-	100	—	
C:P	0.144	_	_	-0.061*	_	—	—	100	—	
N:P	0.093	0.007**	_	_	-	100	_	_	_	

785 MAP, RDI, soil element and ratio).

regression coefficients, "+" indicates a positive correlation and "-" indicates a negative correlation.
MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation; RDI, standardized form of
Reconnaissance Drought Index. Soil represents corresponding soil element or ratio relative to leaf
element or ratio. Leaf element concentrations and ratios were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed before analysis.

794	Table A6. Mod	lel summary for	the stepwise	multiple regressi	on (SMR)	) of leaf element
-----	---------------	-----------------	--------------	-------------------	----------	-------------------

795 concentrations of dominant shrub species on the climatic and soil variables (MAT, MAP,

Leaf	Adj. <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	Partial regression coefficient				Contribution of predictor (%)			
element	Full mode	MAT	MAP	RDI	Soil	MAT	MAP	RDI	Soil
Rhododendron telmateium									
С	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Ν	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Р	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
C:N	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
C:P	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
N:P	0.162	_	_	_	0.013*	_	_	_	100
Quercus monimotricha									
С	0.732	_	_	0.021*	_	_	_	100	_
Ν	0.700	_	_	-0.318*	_	_	_	100	_
Р	0.904	-0.037**	_	_	_	100	_	_	_
C:N	0.924	_	_	_	0.051**	_	_	_	100
C:P	0.919	0.039**	_	_	_	100	_	_	_
N:P	0.983	_	0.001*	_	0.070**	_	32.1	_	67.9
Coriaria sinica									
С	0.885	_	_	0.015**	_	_	_	100	_
Ν	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Р	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
C:N	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
C:P	0.611	_	< 0.001*	_	_	_	100	_	_
N:P	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Bauhinia brachycarpa									
С	0.991	_	0.001*	_	-0.215*	_	7.0	_	93.0
Ν	0.997	_	0.018*	_	0.169*	-	95.7	_	4.3
Р	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
C:N	0.991	-0.373*	-0.026*	_	_	30.1	69.9	_	_
C:P	0.990	_	0.135*	_	-7.257*	_	17.9	_	82.1
N:P	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

796 RDI, soil element and ratio).

\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* denote significance at the 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 test level, respectively. For partial
regression coefficients, "+" indicates a positive correlation and "-" indicates a negative correlation.
MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation; RDI, standardized form of
Reconnaissance Drought Index. Soil represents corresponding soil element or ratio relative to leaf
element or ratio. Leaf element concentrations and ratios were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed before analysis.

## 802 Appendix B: Additional figures



**Figure B1.** Distribution of vegetation types on the Tibetan Plateau, which is regarded as the "third pole" of the Earth. The complex climate conditions cause a diverse vegetation pattern, resulting in the local plant communities highly sensitive to global climate change. The southeastern margin of the plateau is dominated by shrubs and forests. This figure was originated from reference (Chen et al., 2013).



Figure B2. Diagram illustrating the delineation of montane, subalpine, alpine, and nival altitudinal belts relative to the location of shrubland and grassland ecosystems. Various shrub species are able to live in these altitudinal belts at the life form limit for shrubs, and could not be found in the nival belt. This figure was obtained from references (Mcavaney et al., 2001; Worboys and Good, 2011).



- Figure B3. Representative photos of (A) alpine, (B) subalpine, (C) montane, and (D)
- 835 valley shrubs on the Tibetan Plateau.





849 Plateau.





Figure B5. Variation trends of leaf C:N:P stoichiometry as a function of climatic factors. Data points indicate every observation of shrub stoichiometry within the sampling sites (n = 125). Lines are plotted if regressions were significant at P < 0.05. Leaf element concentrations and ratios were  $\log_{10}$ -transformed before analysis.





Figure B6. Variation trends of leaf C:N:P stoichiometry as a function of soil C:N:P. Data points indicate every observation of shrub stoichiometry within the sampling sites (n = 125). Lines are plotted if regressions were significant at P < 0.05. Leaf element concentrations and ratios were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed before analysis.

#### 887 Appendix C: Additional methods

### 888 Calculation of the Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDIst)

Big Drought severity can be evaluated via the computation of the  $RDI_{st}$ . RDI was proposed by Tsakiris and Vangelis (2005), utilizing the ratios of precipitation over potential evapotranspiration (PET) for different time scales, to be representative of the region of interest (Khalili et al., 2011). The initial value of RDI ( $RDI_{\alpha}$ ) is usually calculated for the *i*-th year in a time basis of 12 consecutive months as follows:

894 
$$\operatorname{RDI}_{\alpha}^{(i)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{12} P_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{12} \operatorname{PET}_{ij}}, i = 1(1)\operatorname{N} \text{ and } j = 1(1)12$$
 (1)

where  $P_{ij}$  and  $PET_{ij}$  are the precipitation and potential evapotranspiration of the *j*-th month of the *i*-th year, respectively, and *N* is the total number of years of the available data (N = 25 in this study). PET was calculated using the Thornthwaite method (Thornthwaite, 1948).

As the next step, RDI<sub>st</sub> for a hydrological year (12-month reference period) is computed based on the following equation:

901 
$$\operatorname{RDI}_{\mathrm{st}}^{(i)} = \frac{\gamma^{(i)} - \overline{\gamma}}{\sigma_{\gamma}}$$
 (2)

where  $\gamma^{(i)}$  is the ln(RDI<sub> $\alpha$ </sub><sup>(i)</sup>),  $\overline{\gamma}$  is the arithmetic mean and  $\sigma_{\gamma}$  is the standard deviation of ln(RDI<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>). The RDI<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> values are assumed to follow the lognormal distribution, which has been found to be the most appropriate (Tsakiris et al., 2007; Vangelis et al., 2013). The calculation process was conducted by using DrinC software.