

Interactive comment on “Physico-chemical and biological factors influencing dinoflagellate cyst production in the Cariaco Basin” by Manuel Bringué et al.

B. Dale (Referee)

barrie.dale@geo.uio.no

Received and published: 14 December 2017

Dinoflagellate cysts are increasingly used for marine paleoenvironmental interpretations, based on “cyst signals” recognized from studies of cyst distributions in the present-day ocean. These include signals for dissolved nutrients, SST, salinity, and distance from shore, largely developed by comparing cyst assemblages in surface sediments with environmental conditions in correspondingly overlying surface waters. The accuracy of signals inferred from such comparisons is dependent on the level of our understanding of which cysts are formed where and when in the ecological system. There are obvious limitations to simply comparing cysts in bottom sediments

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



with overlying surface water: cysts may be transported significant distances from their point of origin in the plankton prior to being deposited in the sediment (especially in deep oceanic or otherwise turbulent waters), possibly obscuring evidence of where they were formed; the age of cysts in bottom sediments is dependent on rates of sedimentation, potentially obscuring when the cysts were formed. The hydrographic data available for comparison with the sediments are of varied quality. Sediment traps as deployed here can provide more plausible information, while also recognizing certain limitations to this approach, too, as the authors do. By choosing the upper trap of this array (at 275m), should reduce the possibility for long-transport of the cysts sampled, and the succession of cup- collections is expected to show the approximate time of cyst formations in the upper water mass. The series of hydrographic data collected at the same station and times should allow close cyst/environment comparisons. The results produced seem to confirm a by now well established nutrient signal with heterotrophic species dominating the cyst signal with increased nutrients from upwelling – with some interesting first suggestions of nuances involving different species with differing degrees of upwelling. This is excellent work that pushes our understanding a step further by suggesting when and where the reported cysts may have formed, allowing this to be correlated to the corresponding environmental parameters, in at least this one location. Furthermore, including data for other plankton groups has allowed some suggestions of possible links to the very complex marine food web. This work sets the bar high for the many more such studies that will be needed to increase our understanding of just how precise the cyst signals may be. As a reviewer, I could wish that more studies were as well designed, carried out and reported as this, and the authors are to be congratulated. The only possible improvements I could suggest for the paper would be to indicate any currents affecting the water entering the basin (possible cyst transport?), and to indicate if possible what proportion of the cysts trapped had “fresh” cell contents. Our experience with sediment traps is that cell contents may be good indicators of “newly-formed” cysts. The authors perhaps wisely avoid serious attempts to relate this evidence from one trap depth to the bottom sediments that form

the broader reason for carrying out such studies, but I hope they will continue to investigate the lower trap samples eventually to see how accurately the cyst signal they identify is translated into the sediment.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-497>, 2017.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Author Comments on “Physico-chemical and biological factors influencing dinoflagellate cyst production in the Cariaco Basin”, by Manuel Bringué et al. (bg-2017-497)

Response to Referee 1 (B. Dale)

The authors wish to deeply thank B. Dale for his very positive and constructive review of the manuscript. The referee raised three points that will be addressed below:

1- Suggestion ‘*to indicate any currents affecting the water entering the basin (possible cyst transport?)*’

We (the authors) agree that the manuscript would benefit from adding a statement about currents entering the basin that can potentially transport cysts. The following statement will be included in Section 2 of the revised manuscript: “Observations (and models) of horizontal velocities of currents in the basin indicate that horizontal transport is weak in the upper water column (U and V components $< 0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) and negligible below sill depth (Alvera-Azcárate et al. [2009], *Ocean Dynamics* 59:97–120; see their Fig. 6). The potential for horizontal transport is thus believed to be minimal at the CARIACO station.” In addition, as the referee mentioned, the use of Trap A samples (shallow trap at 275 m depth) also reduces the possibility for long cyst transport.

2- Suggestion ‘*to indicate if possible what proportion of the cysts trapped had “fresh” cell contents.*’

While we report the proportions of cysts with cell content in Fig. 5, it is true that the overall proportion of such cysts vs empty ones is not stated. The main reason is that this constitutes an aspect that is worth investigating in detail and will be done in a follow up study. Given the focus of the present study, we found limited relevance in discussing the proportion of cysts with cell content, because 1- the potential for cyst transport is minimal in this setting, and 2- the signal did not seem to show any particular seasonality for any given cyst taxon (i.e., the proportion of cysts with cell content varied by species, but for each taxon the signal was rather consistent throughout the time series). This will be added to Section 4.3 in the revised manuscript.

3- The referee hopes the authors ‘*will continue to investigate the lower trap samples eventually to see how accurately the cyst signal they identify is translated into the sediment*’

Our next study will focus on this specific issue, in the Cariaco Basin as well as in other coastal settings.

Interactive comment on “Physico-chemical and biological factors influencing dinoflagellate cyst production in the Cariaco Basin” by Manuel Bringué et al.

Dr. Ribeiro (Referee)

sri@geus.dk

Received and published: 11 March 2018

General comments

Dinoflagellates cysts are ubiquitous in coastal ecosystems worldwide, and have been increasingly used in palaeoenvironmental studies as indicators of past changes in e.g. sea surface temperature, salinity, nutrient status, and primary production.

While the sedimentary record of dinoflagellates is intrinsically fragmentary and integrated in time, the reliability of past reconstructions is dependent on our knowledge of ecological processes occurring at short time-scales that capture seasonal and inter-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



annual variability.

One of the most insightful approaches is the study of high-resolution sediment trap series coupled with hydrographic measurements. Such studies are rare, and often only possible in connection with long-term monitoring programmes and sustained collaborative efforts.

This manuscript reports on a detailed study of dinoflagellate cyst production over 2.5 years in the Cariaco Basin, strongly influenced by seasonal upwelling. The study has been well designed and executed. Comparing cyst production not only to environmental variables but also to biological indicators is novel and provides important insights into the trophic interactions of both individual taxa and groups. Mixotrophy is widespread in dinoflagellates, and the “classical” separation of dinoflagellates in two trophic groups that has been adopted by the paleo-community is clearly an oversimplification. This study clearly highlights this aspect, while also indicating different prey preferences within the heterotrophic group.

I congratulate the authors on providing detailed information for the cyst morphotypes encountered, as this will certainly be of use to future taxonomic work and sets a great example of good practice. Overall, this is a scientific contribution of excellent quality and importance, well within the scope of this journal, and I strongly recommend its publication.

Specific comments

Keywords: I suggest replacing the keyword “Harmful Algal Blooms” with “dinoflagellate cysts”, to be consistent with the main focus of the study.

Page 2, Line 10 and Page 3, line 23 - The authors refer to several studies addressing anoxia in the basin, but it is not clear from the text whether anoxia is episodic or permanent (i.e. how frequent is deep water renewal?). Please clarify.

Page 4, Line 32; Page 5, Line 4 – Four sediment traps have been deployed at the

BGD

[Interactive
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



CARIACO station, but only Trap A (275m) was studied in terms of dinoflagellate cyst production. Why was this depth chosen? If sediments are also available from all the other traps, it would be extremely useful to study those as well, or at least to compare the shallowest with the deepest trap, in order to understand the dynamics of vertical cyst transport into the sediments. I hope the authors will consider doing this in the future.

Moreover, since this trap clogged during one of the most interesting events of the entire record, it would be important to investigate whether the other traps may provide a continuous record.

Page 5, line 23 – The palynological processing method used is rather standard, and since it is described in detailed, I found it confusing to refer to Pospelova et al. references, because at least in Pospelova et al. 2005, warm HF was used. If there is something specific in the method used by Pospelova et al. that ensures “optimal recovery” that should be mentioned in the text.

Results: I recommend the consistent use of past tense throughout the Results, especially considering that this trap is from the 1990's. E.g.: “The most abundant taxa were XXX”, not are.

Page 12 – It is puzzling that as many as 24 extant taxa previously reported in the basin were not encountered in this study. This is mentioned, but not discussed. What are the possible explanations for this? Transport of cysts from elsewhere? Overwhelming dominance of *Brigantedinium* spp. masking the less dominant taxa (i.e. detection limit too low)? Or could it be that some species have cyst production cycles exceeding 2.5 years? I suggest discussing this intriguing observation further.

Page 13, Line 29 – This seems unlikely to me, because resting spores of *Chaetoceros* are, by definition, heavily silicified.

Page 15, Line 27 – I recommend referring to a more recent study, such as Jeong

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

et al. 2010. Jeong, H.J., Yoo, Y.D., Kim, J.S. et al. Ocean Sci. J. (2010) 45: 65.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12601-010-0007-2>

Conclusions

Again in the conclusions, the use of present tense gives the reader the impression that assemblages as recorded in the 1990's in the trap are similar to present-day assemblages. Is there any information available that supports this? Can we be sure that the dinoflagellate cyst community of the Basin has not changed significantly over the past nearly 20 years (last trap sample dates from 1999)? I recommend carefully addressing this aspect throughout the text.

Technical corrections Terminology: Some terms are used in an inconsistent way. Consider your choice of: - Biogenic/Biogenous - Primary production/ Primary productivity - Planktic/ Planktonic - Biogenic silica: to my best knowledge, the correct abbreviation is BSi, not bioSi

Point-by-point suggestions:

Page 2, Line 13 – delete “at the site”; Line 16 – replace “the site of “ by “under”; Line 21 – add “Here,” before “We present”

Page 3, Line 2 – replace “accuracy” by “reliability”.

I find it excessive to use 13 references here. It would be sufficient to refer to the first study ever, and then one study per main geographical area.

Page 4, Line 22 – The reader has already been introduced to station CARIACO, so this sentence can be simplified. Suggestion: “... as part of the Cariaco Ocean Time-Series Program, at station CARIACO, located in the eastern Basin. ...”, followed by “The programme has simultaneously produced oceanographic observations since 1995 (References).” The rest of the sentence is repeated elsewhere.

Page 5, Lines 2,3 – Consider changing to “... mounted on a carousel with a rotation

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



interval of 2 weeks”.

Page 7, Line 22 – Simply writing “. . . cyst taxa and both physico-chemical and biological parameters” would flow better.

Page 9, Line 4 – “a six month-long” not “an”; Line 8 – “observed during the warmest intervals”; Line 17 - “that caused the trap to clog in April and May. . .” would flow better.; “Fluxes of biogenic material show” instead of share.

Page 10, Line 15 – “Over this time series, . . .” for simplification; Line 19 – “of” missing before “Echinidinium”; Line 30 – “. . .towards the end”.

Page 12, Line 20 – Not all the studies referred to are sediment trap studies. I suggest changing it to “consistently with studies from other upwelling systems”

Page 15, Line 1 – “from site to site” instead

Page 16 – “. . .when diatoms dominate primary. . .”

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-497>, 2017.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Author Comments on “Physico-chemical and biological factors influencing dinoflagellate cyst production in the Cariaco Basin”, by Manuel Bringué et al. (bg-2017-497)

Response to Referee 2 (Dr. Ribeiro)

The authors are very grateful for Dr. Ribeiro’s positive and thoughtful comments, which validate the research featured in this manuscript while helping improve its content. The authors’ response to the referee’s specific comments, are detailed below in the order they were listed:

- *Keyword ‘Harmful Algal Blooms’*: The purpose of this keyword is to ensure that researchers interested in Harmful Algal Blooms are made aware of this study because of the record it provides on potentially harmful taxa, even though it is indeed a relatively minor component in the study. Since the suggested keyword ‘dinoflagellate cysts’ is already stated in the title, we think it is best to retain ‘Harmful Algal Blooms’ in the list of Keywords.

- *‘Episodic or permanent anoxia’*: We agree that this needs to be specified and ‘permanent’ will be added at both places in the text (Page 2, Line 10 and Page 3, Line 23). To be accurate, ventilation events that are discussed in the papers cited refer to intrusion of waters down to ~ 320 m depth (e.g., Astor et al. 2003, Continental Shelf Research 23, 125-144), leaving the rest of the water column (i.e., down to 1400 m) under fully anoxic conditions. Note that ‘permanent’ anoxic conditions refer only to the Holocene (as well as other interglacials), since there is evidence in the sedimentary record of the basin that bottom waters were oxygenated during the last glacial (e.g., Peterson et al., 1991, Paleoceanography 6, 99-119); however, given the time scale of the present study and for clarity, we will simply specify ‘permanent’ in the text.

- *Considering analyzing the sediment trap content in all traps from the deployment*: We are considering doing this work in the nearest future.

- *Using the record from other (deeper) traps to fill gaps in the Trap A record*: This is something the authors considered, as records from Trap A and B have been showed to yield comparable mass fluxes (e.g., Thunell et al. 2000, Limnology and Oceanography 45, 300-308) and were used interchangeably in the study of other microfossil groups at the site (e.g., Romero et al., 2009, Deep-Sea Research Part I- Oceanographic Research Papers 56, 571-581). Samples from deeper traps, however, typically yield very little material (Thunell et al., 2000, op. cit.) and may be affected by turbidity flows (Thunell et al., 1999, Nature 398, 233-236) and were thus were not deemed suitable for analyses. Unfortunately, for the duration of the time series of the present study, no sample from Trap B were available to complement the Trap A record. This will be clarified in the text.

- *Palynological processing method*: We respectfully disagree with the referee on the idea that the palynological processing method is ‘rather standard’. While there are large similarities between methods used in different labs (e.g., repeated wet sievings, use of HCl and HF), there are particular steps in the method that are not necessarily standardized, especially when it comes to processing sediment trap samples (for instance, timing of sonication, limited time the samples are exposed to HCl, etc.). It is worth noting that the wording of ‘warm’ HF in Pospelova et al. (2005) was meant to be read as ‘room temperature’ (as opposed to ‘refrigerator-cold’), and we will thus change the reference to Pospelova et al. (2010) to avoid any confusion.

- *Use of past tense in Results*: We agree that the use of past tense is more appropriate to relate changes that occurred at the time of sample collection. This will be addressed in the revised version of the manuscript.

- *About the 24 extant taxa previously reported in the basin that were not encountered in this study*: we believe that the most likely explanation for the absence of these 24 cyst taxa in our 2.5 year-long record is because these taxa were documented from sediments spanning the last ~ 73,000 years, as stated in the text (parenthesis before the enumeration of taxa). Most of these taxa are quite rare in the Cariaco Basin sedimentary record (see Gonzalez et al. 2008, *Paleoceanography* 23, and Mertens et al., 2009, *Boreas* 38, 647-662) and it is not surprising that they were not captured during the short window (2.5 years) of our survey.

- *Chaetoceros resting spores*: This comment refers to the statement: ‘(...) the large increases in diatom fluxes recorded in 1999 are mainly attributable to small and/or weakly silicified species, namely *Cyclotella litoralis* and resting spores of *Chaetoceros* (Romero et al., 2009), which may not result in elevated mass fluxes of bioSi.’ We recognize that the wording is confusing, and the statement will be changed in the text to: ‘(...) the large increases in diatom fluxes recorded in 1999 are mainly attributable to small (e.g., resting spores of *Chaetoceros*) and/or weakly silicified (*Cyclotella litoralis*) species (Romero et al., 2009), which may not result in elevated mass fluxes of bioSi.’

- *Reference to Jeong et al. 2010*: We thank the referee for bringing this to our attention. The reference to this review will be added here (Page 15 Line 27).

- *Use of past tense in the Conclusion*: We agree that using the past tense is more appropriate here as well; this should and will be corrected in the revised version of the manuscript.

- *Technical corrections (terminology)*:

1. *Biogenic/biogenous*. The word 'biogenic' will be used everywhere for consistency in the revised version of the manuscript.

2. *Primary production/productivity*. We thank the referee for bringing this point to our attention. However, what may appear as an inconsistency actually refers to different aspects, with 'production' generally used with quantities in mind (either masses or rates), and 'productivity' referring more to the process itself.

3. *Planktic/planktonic*. 'Planktonic' is already used everywhere in the text.

4. *Biogenic silica*: The use of 'bioSi' as an abbreviation for 'biogenic silica' (as opposed to 'BSi') is a matter of preference and both are routinely found in the literature. To the best of our knowledge, there is no formally accepted convention.

- *Point-by-point suggestions (Pages 2 and 3)*: All suggested changes will be implemented.

- *13 citations on Page 3, Lines 3-5*: We respectfully disagree with the referee on this point, as we find it important to acknowledge previous work that set the ground for this study and there are few redundancies in terms of geographical areas.

- *Point-by-point suggestions (Page 4)*: We thank the referee for bringing this to our attention; however, we prefer to keep the wording as it is, because it allows the first 2 sentences of the Material and Methods section to rapidly summarise the sampling program (despite the bit of repetition elsewhere), an important aspect for a reader who is interested into this aspect of the study.

- *Point-by-point suggestions (Pages 5, 7)*: The referee's suggestions should and will be implemented in the revised text.

- *Point-by-point suggestion (Pages 9, Line 4)*: 'An' will be retained since the symbol '~' stands for 'approximately'.

- *Point-by-point suggestions (Page 9, Lines 8, 17)*: The suggested wording is better and will be implemented. However, the use of 'share' will be retained (instead of 'show') as it indicates the common pattern of variation between biogenous material and total mass fluxes.

- *Point-by-point suggestions (Page 10, Line 15)*: We think that the original wording of 'Over the duration of the time series' should be kept for accuracy.

- *Point-by-point suggestions (Page 10, Lines 19, 30)*: The suggestions will be implemented, thank you for noticing.

- *Point-by-point suggestions (Pages 12, 15, 16)*: The suggestions will be implemented, many thanks!

Interactive comment on “Physico-chemical and biological factors influencing dinoflagellate cyst production in the Cariaco Basin” by Manuel Bringué et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 14 March 2018

The manuscript by Bringué and colleagues focuses on a short (2.5 years) time series of dinoflagellate cysts in the Cariaco Basin, a site well known for its remarkable sedimentary climatic record, and the home of the late CARIACO time-series program. The objectives of the work were to document the seasonal changes in dinoflagellate cyst production in the basin, to relate this production to climatic changes (e.g. upwelling, stratification, etc), and to investigate the relationships between dinoflagellates and other major planktonic groups that could impact cyst production/competition for resources. The authors state that the importance of this work is that it provides new insights into the ecology of cyst-producing dinoflagellates, and will allow for more detailed interpretations of fossil assemblages extracted from sedimentary records in the

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



basin and elsewhere.

The manuscript is interesting and in general well written; it does have however some things that need to be addressed. Details are provided below.

Pg. 2

Line 7: Replace “southern Caribbean upwelling system” for Southeastern Caribbean Sea, so it's not as redundant

Line 9: Please add Rueda-Roa, 2012 as citation for the secondary upwelling. Pg. 3

Line 3-5: Please pick the most relevant citations; there are too many.

Line 8: Please add ‘subsequently’ between ‘has’ and ‘been’ (e.g. ...basin has subsequently been. . .) Pg 4

Citing figure 1 with location/map would have been helpful also in line 1 of pg 4, when reference is made to the CARIACO site.

Line 15-19: this has been stated in the introduction; I suggest removing/reducing the sentence in the introduction and leaving the longer description in the env. Setting section. Line 25: The CARIACO site is mentioned anew; I would suggest being more concise – the authors can choose whether to provide location in the setting section (top of pg. 4) or in the methods, but fragmented as it is now it's repetitive.

Pg. 8

Line 3-19: This does not seem to belong in the statistical analysis section; it should be moved to the beginning of the methods, to the 3.1 Sample collection and analyses part.

Results, section 4.1: Was there a reason why the authors decided to define their own upwelling/non-upwelling seasons, instead of following already defined ‘seasons’ from previously published literature? (e.g. Astor et al., 2013; Lorenzoni et al., 2011; Taylor

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



et al., 2012)? They mention their definition is consistent with others, then why not go with those?

Line 31: Please be quantitative; what does “higher temperatures in shallow waters” refer to? What is higher? What is shallow?

Pg 10

Line 19: Is there an ‘of’ missing between the words ‘contribution Echinidinium’? Line 28: ‘pulses’ should be singular (pulse)

Pg. 12

Line 9-8: is repetitive, as has already been stated in the introduction

Line 23-27: Revise sentence and perhaps break it up; as it is, it’s a bit confusing. Line 28: There is a ‘are’ missing at the end of the line, after ‘sites’

Line 27-31: revise sentence; it’s not clearly written and can be worded better Pg. 13

Line 14-15: Statistical significance is provided for correlations between fluxes and cyst, and the text suggests that the relationships are significant, though the provided suggests otherwise ($p = 0.000$). Generally, the p is set at 5% or 1%. The authors are advised to check their statistics and their interpretations.

The discussion in general presents many results which may be more appropriate to move to the ‘results’ section. For example, Pg. 16 has abundant results and references to figures which may be better moved to results, and the discussion section may then focus better on the actual discussion of results.

Pg. 17

Please revise correlation coefficients and p values – as they are it’s impossible to tell whether they are significant or not.

The reference to the ENSO impact in the Strait of Georgia is out of context – the

geographic location is farther north and not even in the same ocean. It is suggested that it be removed as it adds nothing to the discussion.

Pg 19

Line 1: The authors conclude that “On interannual time scales, dinoflagellate cyst production seems to be influenced by the strong 1997/98 El Niño event, with a one year lag”, though from their data and discussion it was apparent that they were not able to draw this conclusion?

It is also unclear how the “work expands our knowledge of cyst-producing dinoflagellate ecology, helping the interpretation of fossil assemblages from the basin’s sedimentary record and worldwide.” It would have been a benefit if the authors had included in the discussion a paragraph where they tied it all together and specifically explained how this work would help the interpretation of fossil assemblages from the basin’s sedimentary record. The authors stress the importance of the work in the introduction, but then limit themselves at characterizing the cysts and seasonality and don’t put the results in the context of why this is important.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-497>, 2017.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Author Comments on “Physico-chemical and biological factors influencing dinoflagellate cyst production in the Cariaco Basin”, by Manuel Bringué et al. (bg-2017-497)

Response to Referee 3 (Anonymous)

The authors wish to thank Referee 3 for her/his thoughtful critical review which will help improve the manuscript. Our response to each point raised by the referee is detailed below:

- *Pg. 2 Line 7: Replace “southern Caribbean upwelling system” for Southeastern Caribbean Sea, so it’s not as redundant:* This will be implemented in the revised version of the manuscript.

- *Pg. 2 Line 9: Please add Rueda-Roa, 2012 as citation for the secondary upwelling:* This will be implemented, even though this references to an unpublished or peer-reviewed - PhD thesis. Thank you for providing this reference.

- *Pg. 3 Line 3-5: Please pick the most relevant citations; there are too many:* We respectfully disagree with the referee on this, as we find it important to acknowledge previous work that set the ground for this study.

- *Pg. 3 Line 8: Please add ‘subsequently’ between ‘has’ and ‘been’:* This will be implemented.

- *Pg. 4 Citing figure 1 with location/map would have been helpful also in line 1 of pg 4, when reference is made to the CARIACO site:* The reference to Fig. 1 will be added.

- *Pg. 4 Line 15-19: this has been stated in the introduction; I suggest removing/reducing the sentence in the introduction and leaving the longer description in the Env. Setting section:* Thank you for bringing this to our attention; however, we think it is appropriate to mention the presence of laminated sediments in the basin both in the Introduction (where it highlights the relevance of this calibration effort given the basin’s potential for paleoreconstructions) and the Environmental Setting section (where the question of how surface processes affect the nature of the sediments should be detailed).

- *Pg. 4 Line 25: The CARIACO site is mentioned anew; I would suggest being more concise – the authors can choose whether to provide location in the setting section (top of pg. 4) or in the methods, but fragmented as it is now it’s repetitive:* We respectfully disagree with the referee on this point, as we think station CARIACO needs to be mentioned in both sections. The mention in the Environmental Setting section is necessary because we need to be specific about the location of measured parameters (e.g., primary productivity) mentioned in the text, and it is also necessary in the Material and Methods section for the specific location (with coordinates) of the sediment trap mooring and water column sampling site.

- Pg. 8 Line 3-19: *This does not seem to belong in the statistical analysis section; it should be moved to the beginning of the methods, to the 3.1 Sample collection and analyses part:* The physico-chemical and biological parameters mentioned in Lines 3-19 were mostly extracted from previously published literature (thus not new in this study) and were used exclusively in multivariate statistical analyses. Thus, we find it more appropriate to retain the text in Section 3.4.

- *Results, section 4.1: Was there a reason why the authors decided to define their own upwelling/non-upwelling seasons, instead of following already defined ‘seasons’ from previously published literature? (e.g. Astor et al., 2013; Lorenzoni et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2012)? They mention their definition is consistent with others, then why not go with those?* This is an excellent point which was discussed extensively within co-authors and with other colleagues who are involved in the Cariaco Basin Ocean Time Series and/or familiar with the hydrography of the basin. The ‘already defined seasons’ in the papers mentioned by the referee relate to general trends (e.g., upwelling season from January – May, non-upwelling from June – November, plus secondary upwelling events) and failed to capture the detailed dynamics of the system at the time scale of interest (and resolution) for this study. All the papers mentioned above also acknowledge that upwelling varies greatly between years. The upwelling index provided in Astor et al. (2013; their Fig. 2C) provided a much more detailed picture of upwelling dynamics but was derived entirely from wind data. Consensus was reached upon adopting this definition of upwelling because it relies on in-situ water column measurements and it captures the timing of upwelling events in much more detail, which was paramount for the interpretation of dinoflagellate cyst data. It was also agreed that providing a lengthy discussion on this particular point in the manuscript was unnecessary, as the definitions of respective upwelling intervals are clearly defined.

- *Line 31: Please be quantitative; what does “higher temperatures in shallow waters” refer to? What is higher? What is shallow?* This sentence is meant to give a general overview of variations in temperatures in the upper 100 m of the water column. The specific values of SST are stated (‘between ~ 19 and 28 °C’). The words ‘in shallow waters’ will be replaced by ‘close to the surface’ to avoid confusion.

- *Pg 10 Line 19: Is there an ‘of’ missing between the words ‘contribution Echinidinium’?* This will be addressed, thanks for noticing.

- *Pg 10 Line 28: ‘pulses’ should be singular (pulse):* Indeed, this will be changed.

- *Pg. 12 Line 9-8: is repetitive, as has already been stated in the introduction:* It is actually necessary to mention these studies here again as the paragraph is about comparing the cyst record of the trap time series (this study) with previously reported taxa from the basin’s sedimentary record.

- Pg. 12 Line 23-27: *Revise sentence and perhaps break it up; as it is, it's a bit confusing*: Thanks for pointing this out, it will be addressed in the manuscript by rewording and splitting the sentence.

- Pg. 12 Line 28: *There is a 'are' missing at the end of the line, after 'sites'*: This section of the sentence between commas is part of an enumeration of characteristics shared by the Cariaco and Santa Barbara basins that does not need a verb - the actual conjugated verb in this sentence is found later at Line 30 ('...cyst fluxes *are* about five times lower...').

- Pg. 12 Line 27-31: *revise sentence; it's not clearly written and can be worded better*: We acknowledge that the sentence is rather long but we believe it is the most effective way to provide a comparison between sites (Santa Barbara and Cariaco basins) and cyst records, without making the text heavy.

- Pg. 13 Line 14-15: *Statistical significance is provided for correlations between fluxes and cyst, and the text suggests that the relationships are significant, though the provided suggests otherwise ($p = 0.000$). Generally, the p is set at 5% or 1%. The authors are advised to check their statistics and their interpretations*: The notation ' $p = 0.000$ ' actually means that p is well below the typical thresholds of either 5% ($= 0.05$) or 1 % ($= 0.01$). In statistics, ' p ' refers to the probability determined by the test, which is then compared to a threshold value (usually 5% or 1%); if p is inferior to the threshold value, one can safely reject the null hypothesis (H_0), and safely accept the alternative hypothesis (H_1). We thank the referee for ensuring the statistics are sound, but we find nothing to change. We will change ' $p = 0.000$ ' to ' $p < 0.001$ ' to avoid confusion.

- *The discussion in general presents many results which may be more appropriate to move to the 'results' section. For example, Pg. 16 has abundant results and references to figures which may be better moved to results, and the discussion section may then focus better on the actual discussion of results*: We thank the referee for her/his suggestion, but we find it appropriate to retain the current organisation. While some statements in Section 5.2 appear to present information not explicitly detailed in the Results, they are necessary to discuss the interactions between dinoflagellates and other major planktonic groups and are only relevant in this section. We have considered moving some of the content to Results, but found it more appropriate in the Discussion. Note that this difference in style may arise from different practices observed in varying fields of study.

- Pg. 17 *Please revise correlation coefficients and p values – as they are it's impossible to tell whether they are significant or not*. All p -values mentioned being smaller than 0.05, all correlation coefficients stated in the text are statistically significant. We have considered changing the text to something like 'SST \times NAO: Pearson's $r = -0.33$, $p = 0.011$; SST \times MEI: $r = -0.28$, $p = 0.031$ ' but found it to be more

confusing. The sentence of Pg. 17 Lines 15-17 will be reworded to specify that each correlation is significant.

- *The reference to the ENSO impact in the Strait of Georgia is out of context – the geographic location is farther north and not even in the same ocean. It is suggested that it be removed as it adds nothing to the discussion.* We respectfully disagree with the referee on this point, as the Strait of Georgia record is the ONLY other record of a possible influence of ENSO on dinoflagellate cyst production in the world. This absolutely needs to be discussed here, despite the relatively distant geographical locations.

- *Pg 19 Line 1: The authors conclude that “On interannual time scales, dinoflagellate cyst production seems to be influenced by the strong 1997/98 El Niño event, with a one year lag”, though from their data and discussion it was apparent that they were not able to draw this conclusion?* The study makes a case that clear impacts of ENSO on dinoflagellate cyst production are not detected when no time-lag is applied. However, considering a one year lag (as suggested in Enfield and Mayer, 1997; Giannini et al., 2001), the data strongly suggest that cyst production is negatively impacted by the strong 1997/98 El Niño event, a response that is compared with other records of such impacts on the lower trophic levels from various locations in Section 5.3. The only limitation is that due to the relatively short duration of the sediment trap time series (2.5 years), there are not enough data points to compute robust and meaningful correlations with the ENSO index. The statement (Pg. 19 Line 1) is carefully worded and it is also clearly stated that longer time series are needed to properly investigate ENSO effects’ (Pg. 18 Line 7).

- *It is also unclear how the “work expands our knowledge of cyst-producing dinoflagellate ecology, helping the interpretation of fossil assemblages from the basin’s sedimentary record and worldwide.” It would have been a benefit if the authors had included in the discussion a paragraph where they tied it all together and specifically explained how this work would help the interpretation of fossil assemblages from the basin’s sedimentary record. The authors stress the importance of the work in the introduction, but then limit themselves at characterizing the cysts and seasonality and don’t put the results in the context of why this is important.* We appreciate the referee’s comment which reflects her/his care to ensure the authors keep a larger perspective in mind. While we acknowledge that someone outside the field might expect more on the interpretation of fossil assemblages, such a discussion would be out of the scope of this study. The introduction clearly makes a case that such sediment trap studies constitute a ‘calibration’ effort, which in turn help in the interpretation of fossil assemblages. It is the intention of the authors to continue investigating the dinoflagellate cyst sedimentary record in the basin (and elsewhere), and the findings of the present study will be extremely valuable at that time.

Physico-chemical and biological factors influencing dinoflagellate cyst production in the Cariaco Basin

Manuel Bringue^{1,2,*}, Robert C. Thunell¹, Vera Pospelova², James L. Pinckney^{1,3}, Oscar E. Romero⁴, Eric J. Tappa¹

¹ School of the Earth, Ocean and Environment, University of South Carolina, 701 Sumter Street, EWS 617, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

² School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of Victoria, PO Box 1700, STN CSC, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 2Y2

³ Belle W. Baruch Institute for Marine and Coastal Sciences, University of South Carolina, 700 Sumter Street, EWS 604, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

⁴ MARUM, Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, University Bremen, Leobenerstraße, 28359 Bremen, Germany

* Now at Geological Survey of Canada, 3303 33rd Street NW, Calgary, AB, Canada T2L 2A7

Correspondence to: Manuel Bringue (mbringue@geol.sc.edu)(manuel.bringue@canada.ca)

Abstract. We present a 2.5 year-long sediment trap record of dinoflagellate cyst production in the Cariaco Basin, off Venezuela (southern Caribbean Sea). The site lies under the influence of wind-driven, seasonal upwelling which promotes high levels of primary productivity during boreal winter and spring. Changes in dinoflagellate cyst production is documented between November 1996 and May 1999 at ~ 14 day intervals and interpreted in the context of *in situ* observations of physico-chemical and biological parameters measured at the mooring site.

Dinoflagellate cyst assemblages are diverse (57 taxa) and dominated by cyst taxa of heterotrophic affinity, primarily *Brigantedinium* spp. (51% of the total trap assemblage). Average cyst fluxes to the trap are high (17.1×10^3 cysts $m^{-2} day^{-1}$) and show great seasonal and interannual variability. On seasonal time scales, dinoflagellate cyst production responds closely to variations in upwelling strength, with increases in cyst fluxes of several protoperidinioid taxa observed during active upwelling intervals, predominantly *Brigantedinium* spp. Cyst taxa produced by autotrophic dinoflagellates, in particular *Bitectadinium spongium*, also respond positively to upwelling. Several ‘spiny brown’ cysts contribute substantially to the assemblages, including *Echinidinium delicatum* (9.7%) and *Echinidinium granulatum* (7.3%), and show a closer affinity to weaker upwelling conditions. The strong El Niño event of 1997/98 appears to have negatively impacted cyst production in the basin with a one year lag, and may have contributed to the unusually high fluxes of cysts type ‘Cp’ (possibly the cysts of the toxic dinoflagellate *Cochlodinium polykrikoides* sensu Li et al. 2015), with cyst type Cp fluxes up to 11.8×10^3 cysts $m^{-2} day^{-1}$ observed during the weak upwelling event of Feb.-May 1999.

Possible trophic interactions between dinoflagellates and other major planktonic groups are also investigated by comparing the timing and magnitude of cyst production with proxies for phytoplanktonic communities (from photopigment data) and micro- to macrozooplankton abundance indicators (from palynological data) at the site. This work provides new, detailed insights into the ecology of cyst-producing dinoflagellates and will allow for more detailed interpretations of fossil assemblages extracted from sedimentary records in the basin and elsewhere.

Keywords

Upwelling, primary productivity, sediment trap, Harmful Algal Blooms, southern Caribbean Sea

1 Introduction

5 The Cariaco Basin, located off the coast of Venezuela, harbors one of the most productive ecosystems in the Caribbean Sea (e.g., Müller-Karger and Castro, 1994; Antoine et al., 1996; Rueda-Roa and Müller-Karger, 2013). Seasonal, trade wind-driven upwelling promotes high levels of primary productivity in the southern Caribbean upwelling system, typically during boreal winter and spring, with secondary upwelling events often observed in the basin in July and August (e.g., Müller-Karger and Castro, 1994; Astor et al., 2003; [Rueda-Roa, 2012](#)). Microbial respiration of sinking organic matter, together
10 with restricted bottom water ventilation in the basin, have led to the formation of [permanent](#) anoxic conditions below ~ 275 m (Richards and Vaccaro, 1956; Deuser, 1973; Astor et al., 2003). The succession of high fluxes of ~~biogenous-biogenic~~ components (carbonate, opal and organic matter) during active upwelling intervals and dominantly lithogenous material during the rainy season (Thunell et al., 2000; Goñi et al., 2003) is well reflected in the sediments ~~at the site~~ (Peterson et al., 1991; Huguen et al., 1996). In turn, the sedimentary record of the basin is widely used as a repository of past climate and
15 environmental change in the region (e.g., Huguen et al., 1996, 2000; Black et al., 1999, 2007; Peterson et al., 1991, 2000). Station CARIACO has been ~~the site of~~[under](#) high frequency hydrographic and biogeochemical monitoring since 1995, with monthly water column sampling and continuous deployment of sediment traps conducted as part of the Cariaco Ocean Time-Series Program (Thunell et al., 2000; Müller-Karger et al., 2001). This United States–Venezuelan research effort constitutes a unique opportunity to investigate biogeochemical and ecological processes that take place in the water column, their
20 temporal evolution, and how these processes are encoded in the settling flux of particles (e.g., Ho et al., 2002; Astor et al., 2003; Goñi et al., 2003; Taylor et al., 2012; Marshall et al., 2013; Montes et al., 2013; Calvert et al., 2015). [Here, w](#)~~We~~ present the first study to focus on dinoflagellate cyst production in the Caribbean Sea, using sediment trap samples collected over a 2.5 year period at station CARIACO.

Dinoflagellates are aquatic protists that form an important component of marine plankton in terms of diversity and biomass,
25 both as primary producers and as heterotrophic grazers on a wide range of phyto- and zooplanktonic organisms (e.g., Taylor, 1987; Jacobson and Anderson, 1996; Jeong, 1999; Calbet, 2008). In addition to their key role in coastal marine food webs, dinoflagellates are a valuable tool to investigate the history of changes in sea-surface conditions and planktonic communities (e.g., Dale, 1996; de Vernal et al., 2001; Harland et al., 2013; Bringué et al., 2014; Pospelova et al., 2015; Ellegaard et al., 2017), since many dinoflagellates produce resting cysts as part of their life cycle that are well preserved in fine-grained
30 sediments over geological times. Establishing the detailed environmental preferences and controls over cyst-producing dinoflagellates has been the focus of many studies over the last few decades, and has traditionally been tackled by studying the distribution of cysts in ‘surface’ sediments in relation to (multi-)annually averaged sea-surface conditions (e.g., Rochon

et al., 1999; Zonneveld et al., 2013, and references therein). Alternatively, sediment trap records can resolve the *seasonality* in cyst production and thus considerably improve the ~~accuracy-reliability~~ of environmental signals associated with each dinoflagellate species (e.g., Dale, 1992; Dale and Dale, 1992; Morquecho and Lechuga-Deveze, 2004; Susek et al., 2005; Fujii and Matsuoka, 2006; Pitcher and Joyce, 2009; Howe et al., 2010; Pospelova et al., 2010, in press; Zonneveld et al., 2010; Price and Pospelova, 2011; Bringué et al., 2013; Prebble et al., 2013; Heikkilä et al., 2016).

Since the early work of Wall (1967) on five sub-samples of a core from the Cariaco ‘Trench’, the dinoflagellate cyst sedimentary record of the basin has subsequently been studied by González et al. (2008; ~73 to 30 ka interval) and Mertens et al. (2009a; last 30 ka) at multi-centennial to millennial resolution. This study focusses on seasonal cyst production as a calibration effort for the interpretation of fossil assemblages from the basin’s pristine sedimentary record and elsewhere.

Our objectives are: 1- to document the seasonal changes in dinoflagellate cyst production in the basin; 2- to relate cyst production to physico-chemical parameters (e.g., temperature, salinity, nutrients); and 3- to investigate the relationships between dinoflagellate species and other major planktonic groups (e.g., diatoms, haptophytes, copepods) based on *in situ* observations.

2 Environmental setting

The Cariaco Basin is a 1400 m deep depression on the continental shelf off Venezuela in the southern Caribbean Sea (Fig. 1). The western and eastern sub-basins, separated by an ~ 900 m deep saddle, are partially isolated from the Caribbean and Atlantic waters by a shallow (~100 m) ridge on its northern flank that is only cut by two small channels: Canal Centinela (146 m) and Canal de la Tortuga (135 m).

Surface waters originate in the North Equatorial and Guyana Currents and enter the Caribbean Sea from the southeast (Gordon, 1967; Richards, 1975). Waters from the Amazon and Orinoco Rivers that may be transported into the Caribbean have little influence on the hydrography of the basin (Müller-Karger et al., 1989). Below sill depth, the combination of restricted water circulation, a steep density gradient over the upper 150 m and a high flux of organic matter settling in the basin results in slow renewal of deep waters and permanent anoxic conditions below ~275 m (Richards and Vaccaro, 1956; Deuser, 1973; Thunell et al., 2000; Astor et al., 2003). Observations and models of water masses horizontal velocities in the basin indicate that horizontal transport is weak in the upper water column (U and V components < 0.2 m s⁻¹) and negligible below sill depth (Alvera-Azcárate et al., 2009), indicating a minimal potential for horizontal cyst transport at station CARIACO.

Primary production in the basin is high (> 300 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) and shows great seasonal and interannual variability (e.g., Müller-Karger et al., 2001, 2004). Seasonal upwelling of Subtropical Underwater (SUW), a water mass characterized by a salinity of 36.9 and a nitrate concentration of 5–10 µM (Morrison and Smith, 1990), is controlled by the position of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). When the ITCZ lies south of the equator (typically from December to April), strong E–NE trade winds (> 6 m s⁻¹) induce wind-driven upwelling along the coastline, bringing nutrients to the surface and

fostering high levels of primary productivity (e.g., Richards, 1975; Müller-Karger et al., 2001). During the summer/fall rainy season, the ITCZ migrates to its northern position, winds weaken and upwelling ceases, although shorter, secondary upwelling events are often observed in July and August (e.g., Astor et al., 2003; Goñi et al., 2003). Interannual variability in primary productivity at the CARIACO station (eastern basin; [Fig. 1](#)) is also pronounced, with annually-integrated measurements between 1996 and 2001 ranging from ~370 to 650 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Müller-Karger et al., 2004). Surface salinity at the CARIACO station varies from > 36.8 in January–July to < 36.6 during the rainy season (Astor et al., 2003). The depth of the euphotic zone (defined as the depth of 1% photosynthetic active radiation level), measured between 1995 and 2005, was usually shallower under active upwelling conditions (36.7 ± 12.3 m) than during the rainy season (47.9 ± 13.5 m; Lorenzoni et al., 2011).

Phases of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), defined as the difference in sea level pressure anomalies between the Azores High and the Icelandic Low, are known to modulate precipitation in the Caribbean (Giannini et al., 2001) but appear to have limited impact on SST patterns and hydrography of the basin (Taylor et al., 2012; Astor et al., 2013). The El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenon, which affects a major portion of the tropical Pacific, the Americas and the Caribbean through atmospheric teleconnections, has been shown to influence precipitation, SST and productivity patterns at the CARIACO station with a one year lag (Enfield and Mayer, 1997; Giannini et al., 2001; Taylor et al., 2012; Astor et al., 2013), and may cause shifts in phytoplankton communities in the basin (Goñi et al., 2003; Romero et al., 2009).

The high levels of primary productivity result in the export of large fluxes of particulate organic matter to the depths (Thunell et al., 2000, 2007), while local rivers (Tuy, Unare, and Neveri) deliver the bulk of terrigenous sediments to the basin (e.g., Elmore et al., 2009; Bout-Roumaziilles et al., 2013). The succession of intervals of high surface productivity during active upwelling (and associated flux of ~~biogenous~~ [biogenic](#) material), and periods of low productivity and rainy conditions when sedimentation is dominated by lithogenous particles, is reflected in the laminated sediments that accumulate under anoxic conditions in the basin (e.g., Peterson et al., 1991; Hughen et al., 1996; Thunell et al., 2000; Goñi et al., 2003).

3 Material and methods

3.1 Sample collection and analyses

All samples used in this study were collected as part of the Cariaco Ocean Time-Series Program at a station located in the eastern basin (10°30' N, 64°40' W; [Fig. 1](#)). The program has been collecting oceanographic observations since 1995, with monthly water column sampling and nearly continuous deployment of sediment traps at the CARIACO station (Thunell et al., 2000; Müller-Karger et al., 2001). Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) casts (providing SST, SSS and σ_T profiles) and water column sampling at discrete depths using a rosette equipped with 12 Teflon-coated Niskin bottles (for measurements of various parameters including dissolved oxygen, pH, alkalinity, chlorophyll *a* concentrations, rates of primary productivity, suspended particulate organic carbon and nitrogen, phaeopigments and nutrients) were performed on a

monthly basis. An overview of the program and methods is provided in Müller-Karger et al. (2001), and sampling, analytical procedures and data can be found at www.imars.usf.edu/cariaco.

Four Mark-VII automated sediment traps (Honjo and Doherty, 1988) were deployed on a single mooring at depths of 275 m (Trap A), 455 m (B), 930 m (C) and 1255 m (D) at the CARIACO station, as described in Thunell et al. (2000). The conical traps have an aperture of 0.5 m² and are equipped with a baffle to reduce turbulence over the collection area. Thirteen cups filled with a buffered formalin solution (as a preservative for the organic matter) and mounted on a ~~rotating~~ carousel with a rotating frequency of two weeks~~collected samples at 2-week intervals~~, except for a few cups that collected sediments for 7–12 days. The mooring was recovered and redeployed every 6 months. The present study focusses on samples from Trap A (275 m) collected during deployments 3 to 7, i.e., between November 8, 1996 and May 3, 1999.

Upon recovery, sediment trap samples were stored in sealed containers and kept refrigerated in the dark. Whole trap samples were split using a precision rotary splitter and swimmers that are not part of the particle flux were removed before analyses. Quarter splits were thoroughly rinsed, oven- (50°C) or freeze-dried, weighed and used for geochemical analyses. Contents of particulate organic carbon (thereafter C_{org}) and particulate organic nitrogen (N) were measured using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 elemental analyzer; biogenic silica (bioSi) was analysed following the method of Mortlock and Froelich (1989) and carbonate (CaCO₃) content was determined using the method described in Osterman et al. (1990) (Thunell et al., 2000, 2007). Sixteenth (1/16) splits of whole trap samples were used for palynological analyses, as described below (Sect. 3.2).

This study uses some previously published data, for means of interpretation of dinoflagellate cyst data in the context of other important planktonic groups in the basin. In particular, diatom and silicoflagellate census data, determined from different splits of the same sediment trap samples, were obtained from Romero et al. (2009), and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) measurements of photopigments, analysed from monthly water column sampling at the CARIACO station, were obtained from Pinckney et al. (2015).

3.2 Palynological preparation

The 62 sediment trap samples, representing 1/16 splits of all Trap A (275 m) cups from deployments 3 through 7, were processed in the Paleoenvironmental/Marine Palynology Laboratory at the University of Victoria (BC, Canada).

Dinoflagellate cysts and other palynomorphs (including pollen grains and spores, microforaminiferal organic linings, copepod eggs and dinoflagellate thecae) were extracted using the palynological processing technique described by Pospelova et al. (~~2005~~, 2010) to ensure optimal recovery of all palynomorphs. Sediment trap samples were rinsed 3 times to remove salt residue, oven dried at 40 °C and weighed with an analytical balance. One calibrated tablet of *Lycopodium clavatum* (batch no. 3862, produced and distributed by the Department of Quaternary Geology, University of Lund, Sweden) was added to each sample in order to estimate palynomorph concentrations (Stockmarr, 1971; Mertens et al., 2009b, 2012). Samples were treated with room temperature 10% HCl to remove carbonates. Particles finer than 15 µm and coarser than 120 µm were eliminated by wet sieving through Nitex nylon meshes. Samples were then exposed to room temperature 48% HF for 2–3 days to remove silicates, followed by a second 10% HCl treatment to eliminate precipitated fluorosilicates. After each step,

samples were rinsed with reversed osmosis water and centrifuged at 3600 rpm for six minutes. Samples were gently sonicated for up to a minute (Price et al., 2016) and collected on a 15 µm mesh. One or two drops of residue were mounted in glycerine jelly between a slide and cover slip.

Dinoflagellate cysts and other palynomorphs were identified and counted using Nikon Eclipse transmitting light microscopes (models E200 and 80i) at 500×, 600× and 1000× magnifications. A minimum of 300 cysts per sample were counted, except in 6 cases where the splits did not contain enough cysts or when that number could not be reached after counting two full slides. In two instances, pairs of adjacent samples representing only 7 days of sediment collection each (and yielding very little material) were combined together, and each couplet was treated as one sample of 14 days, with flux data for individual taxa calculated as an average of the fluxes in the two 7-day samples (Table S1). Overall, between 126 and 460 cysts were counted per sample, with an average of 316. Palynomorph fluxes are expressed as specimens per square meter per day.

Error on cyst fluxes was estimated as in Bringué et al. (2014), by adding the systematic error in flux estimates associated with the *L. clavatum* tablets (9.82%; Maher, 1981), and the statistical error in counts (10.1%, considering both *L. clavatum* and dinoflagellate cyst counts) in quadrature, resulting in a total error on cyst fluxes estimates of 14.1%. All samples and slides are stored at the paleoenvironmental/Marine Palynology Laboratory, School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of Victoria, Canada.

3.3 Dinoflagellate cyst nomenclature

The dinoflagellate cyst nomenclature follows the paleontological taxonomy system provided in Lentin and Williams (1993) (recently updated in Williams et al., 2017) and conforms to taxonomic descriptions provided by Margalef (1961), Wall and Dale (1966, 1968, 1969), Reid (1977), Matsuoka et al. (1990, 2006, 2009), Zonneveld (1997), Ellegaard and Moestrup (1999), Head (1996, 2002), Rochon et al. (1999), Zonneveld and Jurkschat (1999), Verleye et al. (2011), Mertens et al. (2013) and Liu et al. (2015), with most cyst description summaries and corresponding motile dinoflagellate names provided in Zonneveld and Pospelova (2015).

The cysts of cf. *Biecheleria* sp., *Echinidinium* cf. *delicatum* and cyst type M1 were identified as in Bringué et al. (2014, 2016). The cysts of cf. *Ensiculifera carinata* (identified after dissolution of the calcareous outer layer by HCl treatment) differs from the description provided by Matsuoka et al. (1990) by the brown color of the inner organic layer. The cysts of *Diplopelta* cf. *symmetrica* conform to the description of Dale et al. 1993 except for the central body diameter that can be as big as 57 µm in some specimens. The cysts of cf. *Diplopelta* sp. are spherical (30–37 µm in diameter), brown cysts that bear numerous, hair-like, hollow processes between 2 and 5.6 µm in length. *Dubridinium* cf. *ulsterum* corresponds to the description provided by Reid (1977) but is only slightly smaller in size (max. diameter of 44 µm). ‘Cyst type Cp’, labelled ‘Cp’ due to their resemblance with the cysts of *Cochlodinium polykrikoides* sensu Li et al. 2015, are large, subspherical to elongated cysts (max. height of up to 55 µm) with a thin, light brown cyst wall bearing ~ 2 µm-high reticulate ornaments of a slightly darker brown shade. Cysts type Cp are larger and more elongated than *C. polykrikoides* cysts sensu Li et al. 2015. ‘Spiny brown type A’ cysts (hereafter SBA) are relatively small, spherical (25–30 µm diameter) chorate cysts with smooth to

microgranulated wall and numerous, long (7–11 µm), apiculocavate, capitate processes. SBA may correspond to the cysts of *Archaeoperidinium bailongense* reported from Pacific waters (Liu et al., 2015) but has a smaller central body diameter and a higher process length to cyst body diameter ratio. ‘Spiny brown type C’ (hereafter SBC) has a spherical central body (25–34 µm diameter) with clearly visible microgranulations on the cyst wall; processes are numerous, 5 to 8 µm in length, hollow, randomly distributed, and taper from a relatively large base (up to 2.5 µm) to an acuminate tip. SBC may be a morphotype of *Echinidinium granulatum* but was counted and analysed separately because of differences in process shape and trends in the timing of cyst production. ‘Spiny brown type D’ (SBD) is a small (23–27 µm diameter), light brown cyst with a smooth wall and sparse, thin, usually erect but often bent, hollow and acuminate processes 5–8 µm in length. Cysts of *Protoperidinium* sp. C are spherical, pale brown cysts with a loose, thin periphragm of variable height (max. of 4–7 µm) surrounding the central body, and attached to the endophragm by numerous folds arranged in meandering lines. Cysts of *Protoperidinium* sp. C resemble the cysts of *Protoperidinium parthenopes* (Kawami and Matsuoka, 2009) but have a larger central body diameter (34–45 µm), and thinner and more numerous folds connecting the two wall layers.

Several cyst taxa were grouped due to morphological similarities. In particular, *Spiniferites bulloideus* is grouped with *Spiniferites ramosus*, and *Spiniferites hyperacanthus* is grouped with *Spiniferites mirabilis*. *Dubridinium caperatum* and *D. cf. ulsterum* are grouped with *Dubridinium* spp., *Echinidinium cf. delicatum* is grouped with *Echinidinium delicatum*, the cysts of *Protoperidinium nudum* are grouped with *Selenopemphix quanta*. Both chordate and rhombic morphotypes of the cysts of *Protoperidinium oblongum*, together with *Votadinium calvum*, were grouped as the cysts of *P. oblongum*. *Brigantadinium* spp. include *B. cariacense*, *B. irregulare*, *B. majusculum* and *B. simplex* as well as other smooth round brown cysts since archeopyles were not always visible due to unfavourable orientations or folding. *Operculodinium centrocarpum* sensu Wall and Dale 1966 includes both the morphotype described by Wall and Dale (1966) and the morphotype with “reduced processes”. All dinoflagellate cysts identified in this study are listed in Table 1, along with their motile equivalents. Note that the expressions “autotrophic cyst” and “heterotrophic cyst” in the text refer to cysts produced by autotrophic and heterotrophic dinoflagellates, respectively.

3.4 Statistical analyses

Multivariate analyses were used to investigate the relationships between individual dinoflagellate cyst taxa and ~~1~~both physico-chemical; and ~~2~~ biological parameters. Detrended canonical correspondence analyses (DCCA) and Redundancy Analyses (RDA) were performed on dinoflagellate cyst fluxes using CANOCO 4.5 for Windows (ter Braak and Šmilauer, 2002). Both types are direct gradient analyses, meaning that the ordination of ‘species’ data (individual cyst taxa) in the multivariate space is further constrained to be a linear combination of the environmental (physico-chemical or biological) variables. Cyst taxa that never contributed more than 1.5% to the assemblages were excluded from the multivariate analyses to reduce noise.

DCCA were used first to determine the character of variability within the ‘species’ data (cyst assemblages). A first DCCA of untransformed cyst data with physico-chemical parameters indicated a length of the first gradient of 2.34 standard deviations

(sd). A second DCCA of square-root transformed cyst data with biological parameters resulted in a length of the first gradient of 1.76 sd. Being far smaller than 4 sd in both cases, the gradients indicate a linear variation in the cyst data and justify the further use of RDA (Lepš and Šmilauer, 2003). Statistical significance of each environmental variable and ordination axis was determined using Monte Carlo tests based on 9999 permutations restricted for a time series data structure (Lepš and Šmilauer, 2003).

Physico-chemical variables were obtained primarily from the CARIACO program repository (www.imars.usf.edu/cariaco). Measurement of water column parameters at 25 m depth (SST, SSS, σ_T , concentrations of PO_4 , $Si(OH)_4$ and phaeopigments, C/N ratio of particulate organic matter), from monthly CTD casts and rosette sampling at the mooring site, were selected for the analyses. Chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) measurements determined from rosette casts were integrated over the upper 100 m of the water column to better reflect primary production at the site. The mixed layer depth (MLD) is defined as the depth where the change in density $> 0.125 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ (as in Pinckney et al., 2015; C. Benitez-Nelson, pers. comm.). Contents of bioSi, C_{org} , N and $CaCO_3$ refer to the parameters measured directly on sediment trap samples. Daily precipitation data were acquired from the GPCP Version 1.2 One-Degree Daily Precipitation Data Set (data set ds728.3, available from <https://rda.ucar.edu>; Huffman et al., 2016) and consist in daily precipitation estimates for two $1^\circ \text{ latitude} \times 1^\circ \text{ longitude}$ boxes centered on $10.5^\circ N/65.5^\circ W$ and $10.5^\circ N/64.5^\circ W$ (therefore covering all the Cariaco Basin area).

Biological variables, representing estimates for abundances of various planktonic groups, were determined from palynological data (this study), diatom and silicoflagellate census data from Romero et al. (2009), and HPLC photopigment data from Pinckney et al. (2015). In particular, fluxes of invertebrate mandibles, copepod eggs, ciliates and microforaminiferal organic linings are derived from our palynological analyses. All other biological variables used in RDA (namely prasinophytes, cyanobacteria, cryptophytes, haptophytes, chlorophytes, diatoms and ‘autotrophic dinoflagellates’) are derived from HPLC pigment data as determined in Pinckney et al. (2015) and were integrated over the upper 100 m. All physico-chemical and biological variables used in multivariate analyses are provided in Supplementary material (Table S2).

4 Results

4.1 Evolution of sea-surface parameters during the study period

The seasonal cycle of upwelling that brings colder, nutrient rich waters to the surface and promotes primary productivity ~~is~~ was well illustrated in the records of SST, σ_T , PO_4 and Chl *a* during the study period (Fig. 2). In order to better characterise the variability in upwelling state and associated biological response, we define intervals of active (weak, moderate and strong) and relaxed upwelling based on which isotherm ~~reaches—reached~~ a depth of 50 m, determined from SST measurements at the study site (Fig. 2A). Conditions of ‘weak’, ‘moderate’ and ‘strong’ upwelling correspond to intervals when the 22, 21 and $20^\circ C$ isotherms reached 50 m depth, respectively. All other intervals (when SSTs at 50 m are $> 22^\circ C$) are considered to represent ‘relaxed’ upwelling conditions. This practical definition is supported by previous observations in the basin (e.g., Müller-Karger et al., 2001; Astor et al., 2003; Goñi et al., 2003; Taylor et al., 2012).

During the 2.5 years of the time series, SSTs in the upper 100 m of the water column varied between ~19 and 28°C, with lower temperatures at depth and generally during the upwelling season, and higher temperatures ~~in shallow waters close to the surface~~ during upwelling relaxation (Fig. 2A). The time series started with the end of upwelling relaxation of 1996, followed by a long interval of active upwelling conditions (January to May 1997) and a secondary upwelling event in July–August 1997. The upwelling was strongest in March 1997, leading to high levels of Chl *a* sustained through May of that year (Fig. 2D). The following upwelling cycle started at the end of November 1997 and is more easily described as two ‘pulses’ of weak to moderate upwelling ending in April 1998, with an additional, shorter weak upwelling interval in July 1998. After an ~ six month-long upwelling relaxation, weak upwelling conditions were observed again from mid-January to April 1999. With sea surface salinity (SSS) values varying only slightly (range of 36.5 to 37.0 over the top 100 m; not shown), temperature was the primary driver of density change (Fig. 2B), with the lowest σ_T values ($< 24.6 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$) at the surface and strongest stratification (compressed isopycnals) observed ~~along during~~ the warmest intervals in the record (November–December 1996, September–November 1997 and August–December 1998).

When nutrients ~~are were~~ available above the mixed layer depth (see the example of phosphates in Fig. 2C), primary productivity ~~is was~~ stimulated and elevated concentrations of Chl *a* ~~are were~~ observed (Fig. 2D). This ~~is was~~ the case during most active upwelling intervals, with the exceptions of the July–August 1997 upwelling event that apparently yielded very little Chl *a* increase, and a few deep chlorophyll maxima, notably in June 1998 and April 1999.

4.2 Main fluxes to the trap

The main biogenic components of the sediment flux to the trap are shown in Fig. 3. Our time series spans ~2.5 years and ~~is was~~ only interrupted by small gaps due to short lags between trap recovery and redeployments, and high primary productivity rates that caused ~~Traps A and B to clog the clogging of the trap~~ in April and May 1997 (Goñi et al., 2003). Fluxes of biogenic material shared the general pattern of total mass flux (Fig. 3A) but followed more closely the upwelling cycle than the total mass flux, which ~~is was~~ also supplied by terrigenous material during the rainy (summer/fall) seasons. Mass fluxes of bioSi, CaCO_3 and C_{org} generally increased under active upwelling conditions, with the highest values recorded during the upwelling events of 1997 and 1998 (up to $0.32 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ for bioSi and CaCO_3 , and $0.18 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ for C_{org}). However, the weak upwelling of January–April 1999 constitutes a notable exception to this pattern, with some of the lowest fluxes of biogenic material in our record (Fig. 3A).

Diatom and silicoflagellate fluxes (data from Romero et al., 2009; Fig. 3B) showed very low abundances during upwelling relaxation. The record also ~~shows showed~~ only modest contributions during active upwelling intervals of 1996, 1997 and 1998. In contrast, the weak upwelling event of 1999 ~~is was~~ marked by the highest fluxes of diatom valves and silicoflagellate skeletons of the record (up to 21.5 and 2.4×10^6 individuals $\text{m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$, respectively).

The most abundant palynomorphs in the time series ~~are were~~ ciliates, dinoflagellate cysts, invertebrate mandibles, motile dinoflagellates, copepod eggs, microforaminiferal organic linings and pollen & spores, with average fluxes of 18.6, 17.1, 14.1, 13.5, 3.4, 1.8 and 0.5×10^3 specimens $\text{m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 3C). Abundances of dinoflagellate cysts,

overwhelmingly of heterotrophic affinity, ~~vary-varied~~ between 1.6×10^3 cysts $\text{m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$ during upwelling relaxation, and 69.2×10^3 cysts $\text{m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$ under conditions of active upwelling. In fact, variations in cyst fluxes ~~are-were~~ tightly coupled with the state of upwelling, as described in more detail below (Sect. 4.3). Abundant motile dinoflagellates were also recovered in the sediment trap samples, and are reported in Fig. 3C at the genus or family level. Our record indicates that

5 *Dinophysis/Phalacroma* species consistently occur~~ed~~ under active upwelling conditions, and even more prominently, *Prorocentrum* species (primarily *P. compressum*, *P. micans* and *P. gracile*) can form very large blooms, as observed in January-February 1998 (up to 183.4×10^3 cysts $\text{m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$).

Mandibles and copepod eggs, both indicators of zooplankton abundance, show~~ed~~ generally higher fluxes during active upwelling intervals, with peak values recorded following moderate to strong upwelling events (Fig. 3C). Ciliates also

10 seem~~ed~~ to respond positively to upwelling but show~~ed~~ markedly increased abundances during upwelling relaxation as well, especially in the summer and fall of 1998. Fluxes of microforaminiferal organic linings ~~are-were~~ generally under 2×10^3 linings $\text{m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$, except for three 2–4 month-long intervals, two of which occurred under relaxed upwelling conditions (summer/fall of 1997 and 1998). Pollen grains and spores, although routinely observed, rarely exceed~~ed~~ 1×10^3 grains $\text{m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$ and thus ~~from-formed~~ only a minor component of the biogenic flux, which is consistent with previous studies that

15 showed that the organic matter delivered to the shallow traps at the CARIACO station is overwhelmingly marine (e.g., Thunell et al., 2000; Goñi et al., 2003).

4.3 Dinoflagellate cyst assemblages and fluxes

Over the duration of the time series, the total trap assemblage is dominated by *Brigantedinium* spp. (51.0%), accompanied by *Echinidinium delicatum* (9.7%), *Echinidinium granulatum* (7.3%), SBC (5.7%), cyst type Cp (4.6%) and SBA (3.7%). All

20 other cyst taxa account for less than 3% of the total assemblage (Fig. 4A).

While *Brigantedinium* spp. dominate most assemblages in the time series (Fig. 4C), some intervals show a greater contribution ~~of~~ *Echinidinium* species and other spiny brown cysts (especially from Jun. 1997 to Jan. 1998), the cysts of cf. *Ensiculifera carinata* (mainly in Jun.–Oct. 1997) and gymnodiniales (primarily cysts type Cp in Feb.–May 1999). Cysts with cell content constituted on average 51.5 % of the assemblages in each trap sample, with no particular change across seasons

25 observed in individual cyst taxa records (Fig. 5).

Seasonal variations in dinoflagellate cyst production are illustrated in Fig. 5. Overall, most cyst taxa responded~~ed~~ positively to upwelling strength, but some taxa in particular clearly show~~ed~~ increased fluxes during active upwelling intervals. Of those, *Brigantedinium* spp. show~~ed~~ the closest and more consistent response to upwelling strength, with higher fluxes (up to 35.2×10^3 cysts $\text{m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) recorded during all active upwelling intervals. The upwelling event of Jan.–May 1997, the strongest in

30 our record, resulted in the most pronounced increases in *Brigantedinium* spp., SBC, cysts of cf. *Diplopelta* sp., *Dubridinium* spp., *Quinquecuspis concreta*, *Echinidinium* spp., *Selenopemphix quanta* and *Selenopemphix nephroides* (Fig. 5). The latter two taxa (*S. quanta* and *S. nephroides*) also show~~ed~~ high abundances during the secondary upwelling events of 1997 and 1998. *Echinidinium delicatum* and SBD show~~ed~~ increased fluxes during active upwelling intervals, particularly in the

‘pulses’ of 1998. *Spiniferites ramosus* and *Bitectatodinium spongium*, both species produced by autotrophic dinoflagellates, generally responded positively to upwelling strength as well. Cysts type Cp ~~are-were~~ particularly abundant towards ~~to-the~~ end of the time series, during the weak upwelling event of 1999.

Some cyst taxa appeared to be associated with secondary upwelling events only. *Echinidinium granulatum* and the cysts of cf. *E. carinata* showed pronounced flux increases in Jul.-Aug. 1997, and fluxes of the cysts of *Protoperidinium fukuyoi* and *Gymnodinium nolleri* markedly increased in Jun.-Jul. 1998.

Another group of generally less abundant cyst taxa showed higher fluxes at the onset of active upwelling intervals; these include *Echinidinium aculeatum*, *Stelladinium robustum* and SBA (Fig. 5). Notably, increases of cysts of *Protoperidinium stellatum* fluxes preceding active upwelling intervals by up to ~1 month ~~are-were~~ recorded three times over the time series, although its maximum abundance ~~occurs-occurred~~ at the end of the strong upwelling event of 1997.

4.4 Cyst production vs measured physico-chemical parameters (RDA_{envi})

The seasonality of dinoflagellate cyst production ~~is-was~~ analysed in relation to physico-chemical parameters in the RDA (henceforth, ‘RDA_{envi}’) presented in Fig. 6. The ordination is significant as a whole ($p = 0.007$), with the first two RDA axes capturing 41.9 and 9.2% of the variance in species data (Fig. 6). The most important physico-chemical variables (significantly related to the dinoflagellate cyst data) are SST, bioSi, PO₄, and CaCO₃. The dominant gradient illustrated in the biplots is most easily described with regard to the state of upwelling. The lower left quadrant represents conditions of upwelling relaxation (with higher SST, lower nutrients and bioSi), whereas the upper right quadrant (lower SST, higher nutrients and bioSi) corresponds to conditions of active upwelling. This interpretation is supported by the ordination of samples (Fig. 6B), which shows a clear distribution of samples along this gradient depending on the time of sediment collection.

The ordination of dinoflagellate cyst taxa along this gradient provides further evidence that *Brigantedinium* spp., *S. quanta*, SBC, *Q. concreta*, *Echinidinium* spp. and *Dubridinium* spp. are associated with conditions of active (strong) upwelling. Cyst taxa that showed higher abundances in active upwelling intervals other than the strong upwelling of 1997, including secondary upwelling events, tend to be ordinated closer to the centroid. However, three cyst taxa have strong loadings along RDA_{envi} axis 2: *E. granulatum* and the cyst of cf. *E. carinata* (with strong positive scores) and cyst type Cp (strong negative score). Even though RDA axis 2 is not statistically significant (Fig. 6C), the ordination suggests an ecological niche that may be different, that is, less related to the strength of upwelling, for these three taxa.

4.5 Cyst production vs biological parameters (RDA_{bio})

Dinoflagellate cyst production was further investigated in the context of biological variables measured from water column and sediment trap sampling at the CARIACO station (Fig. 7). This RDA (‘RDA_{bio}’) was performed on square-root-transformed dinoflagellate cyst fluxes in order to give more weight to less abundant cyst taxa. RDA_{bio} yields an ordination pattern of cyst taxa and significance levels similar to RDA_{envi}, with the first two axes capturing 25.6 and 9.2% of the variance

in the species data. Here too, both the first axis and the ordination as a whole are significant ($p = 0.007$), and the same underlying gradient of upwelling strength (bottom-left to top right: relaxed to active upwelling) is implied. Dinoflagellate cyst taxa previously found to be associated with active upwelling conditions (e.g., *Brigantidium* spp., *S. quanta* and SBC) are ordinated close to indicators of diatom and zooplankton (mandibles and copepod eggs) abundance. Interestingly, the ordination along RDA axis 2 separates taxa such as *E. granulatum*, *S. mirabilis* and SBC on the positive side (positively correlated with prasinophytes), and the cysts of *G. nolleri*, *P. fukuyoi*, *D. cf. symmetrica* and cyst type Cp on the negative side, closer to chlorophytes, haptophytes and cryptophytes (Fig. 7).

5 Discussion

This study presents the first record of dinoflagellate cyst production and seasonality in the Caribbean Sea, and sheds new light on dinoflagellate ecology in the context of their physico-chemical and biological environment. The dinoflagellate cyst sedimentary record of the basin has previously been studied by Wall (1967), González et al. (2008) and Mertens et al. (2009a) at multi-centennial to millennial resolution. While many dinoflagellate cyst taxa identified in our sediment trap time series were previously reported from those studies, many others (total of 28, including types) are reported here for the first time (Table 1). Most of these ‘new’ taxa are rare, lack clear taxonomic characterization (types) or were simply described after the abovementioned studies were published. Conversely, a number of taxa previously reported in the basin (from sedimentary sequences that extend back to ~73 ka) were not encountered in the time series, namely *Achomosphaera* sp., *Ataxiodinium choane*, *Bitectatodinium tepikiense*, *Echinidinium euaxum*, *Echinidinium transparentum*, several *Impagidinium* species (*I. aculeatum*, *I. paradoxum*, *I. patulum*, *I. plicatum*, *I. sphaericum* and *I. striatum*), *Islandinium minutum*, *Leipokatium invisitatum*, *Melitasphaeridium choanophorum*, *Nematosphaeropsis labyrinthus*, *Operculodinium israelianum*, *Selenopemphix selenoides*, some *Spiniferites* species (*S. elongatus*, *S. lazus*, *S. membranaceus*, *S. pachydermus* and *S. scabratus*), *Tectatodinium pellitum* and *Tuberculodinium vancampoeae*.

The Cariaco Basin sediment trap record shows that heterotrophic cyst taxa, particularly of the family Protoperidiniaceae, dominate the dinoflagellate cyst assemblages, consistently with ~~sediment trap~~ studies from other upwelling systems (Zonneveld and Brummer, 2000; Susek et al., 2005; Ribeiro and Amorim, 2008; Pitcher and Joyce, 2009; Zonneveld et al., 2010; Bringué et al., 2013) or nutrient-rich regions without upwelling (e.g., Harland and Pudsey, 1999; Fujii and Matsuoka, 2006; Pospelova et al., 2010; Price and Pospelova, 2011). The Cariaco Basin is among the most productive systems in the world, with average cyst fluxes to the trap of $(17.1 \times 10^3 \text{ cysts m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$. Cyst fluxes reported here are most similar to fluxes recorded in ~~reported from~~ the NW Arabian Sea (Zonneveld and Brummer, 2000), about one order of magnitude higher than fluxes reported from ~~recorded at~~ a station located ~ 370 km off Cape Blanc (Susek et al., 2005; Zonneveld et al., 2010) and about two orders of magnitude lower than a record from a near-shore station off Cape Columbine in the southern Benguela upwelling system (Pitcher and Joyce, 2009). When comparing records from the Cariaco Basin (this study) and the Santa Barbara Basin (Bringué et al. 2013), both sites characterized by seasonal upwelling, located at about the same distance from

the nearest coast (~ 18 km) and using the same cyst extraction technique, cyst fluxes are about five times lower in the Cariaco Basin than in Santa Barbara Basin, where exceptionally high C_{org} fluxes are almost twice as high as in the Cariaco Basin (Thunell et al., 2007).

5 5.1 Seasonality in dinoflagellate cyst production in the Cariaco Basin

5.1.1 The upwelling signal

The upwelling signal is by far the clearest signal observed in the dinoflagellate cyst record. Most dinoflagellate cyst species show a marked, positive response to upwelling strength (Figs. 3 and 5), an observation that is supported by multivariate analyses (Fig. 6). Increased cyst fluxes during intervals of active upwelling are observed for taxa of both autotrophic and
10 heterotrophic affinities, suggesting that nutrient supply is the most limiting factor for both groups, whether the effect of nutrient limitation is expressed directly (for autotrophs) or indirectly (for heterotrophs).

Biogenic silica, most of which is precipitated by planktonic organisms in the surface layers, is commonly used as a proxy for diatom abundance in marine systems, even though silicoflagellates and radiolaria also contribute to the bioSi flux (e.g., Nelson et al., 1995; Ragueneau et al. 2000; Romero et al. 2009). Diatoms have been shown to dominate primary production
15 and the bioSi flux in the Cariaco Basin, particularly during upwelling events (e.g., Thunell et al., 2000, 2008; Romero et al. 2009; Taylor et al., 2012; Pinckney et al., 2015). In our record, dinoflagellate cyst fluxes of both autotrophic and heterotrophic affinities correlated positively and significantly with bioSi fluxes (Fig. 8A and B), although the relationship is much clearer for heterotrophs ($R^2 = 0.36$, $p = 0.000 < 0.001$), and even stronger when considering only *Brigantedinium* spp.
fluxes ($R^2 = 0.47$, $p = 0.000 < 0.001$; Fig. 8C). This is consistent with previous observations from other coastal marine
20 settings (e.g., Fujii and Matsuoka, 2006; Zonneveld et al., 2010; Price and Pospelova, 2011; Bringué et al., 2013; Heikkilä et al., 2014) and confirm that fluxes of cysts of heterotrophic affinity (*Brigantedinium* spp. in particular) can be used as indicators of primary (mostly diatom) productivity in the Cariaco Basin.

Diatom and silicoflagellate census data were also available for comparison with the cyst record, overlapping most of our time series (Romero et al., 2009). Even though diatom abundances tend to increase during active upwelling intervals, fluxes
25 of diatom frustules and silicoflagellate skeletons do not match the bioSi record, largely because of discrepancies observed during the weak upwelling event of 1999 (Fig. 3B). Several factors may explain this apparent mismatch. Firstly, the samples used to generate diatom and silicoflagellate data were extracted from Trap A (275 m, same as this study) until Nov. 1997, but from a deeper trap (Trap B, 455 m) afterwards (Fig. 3B). However, it is unlikely that a difference in sedimentation between traps (e.g., by lateral advection of sediment at depth, or differences in trapping efficiency) played a role here since
30 bioSi fluxes were also low in the Trap B record (Romero et al., 2009). Radiolaria fluxes were not quantified, and even modest numbers of those typically larger ($> 150 \mu m$) tests may also account for some discrepancies between the records. More importantly, the large increases in diatom fluxes recorded in 1999 are mainly attributable to small and/or weakly

silicified species, namely *Cyclotella litoralis* and resting spores of *Chaetoceros* (Romero et al., 2009), which may not result in elevated mass fluxes of bioSi.

The fact that fluxes of heterotrophic dinoflagellate cysts are strongly, positively correlated with bioSi but not with fluxes of diatom frustules suggests that heterotrophic dinoflagellates respond more to total diatom biomass than to the availability of any particular diatom species in the Cariaco Basin. It is also possible that particles ballasted with bioSi, together with CaCO₃-rich particles (also a significant variable in RDA_{envi}; Fig. 6) facilitated the export of dinoflagellate cysts to the depths (the ‘Ballast mineral’ hypothesis; e.g., Thunell et al., 2007).

5.1.2 Indicators of upwelling

While nearly all dinoflagellate cyst taxa respond positively to upwelling in the system, the detailed response of each species vary depending on upwelling strength. In addition to *Brigantedinium* spp., several cyst taxa from the family Protoperidiniaceae are clearly associated with active upwelling (with the highest fluxes recorded during moderate to strong upwelling events), including *S. quanta*, *Q. concreta* and *S. nephroides*, which are known to have a particularly good relationship with diatoms (e.g., Jacobson and Anderson, 1986; Dale et al., 2002; Radi and de Vernal, 2008; Pospelova et al., 2008, 2010; Limoges et al., 2010; Price and Pospelova, 2011; Bringué et al., 2013, 2014). Our SBC type shows a clear preference for moderate to strong upwelling conditions as well (Fig. 5).

Two members of the subfamily Diplopsalioideae (*Dubridinium* spp. and cyst of cf. *Diplopelta* sp.) also seem to favor active upwelling conditions, although they tend to appear rather sporadically in the record and not only during active upwelling intervals (Fig. 5). *Dubridinium caperatum*, the most abundant *Dubridinium* species in our record, is usually found in highest abundances in the vicinity of active upwelling cells (e.g., Zonneveld et al., 2013). Other *Dubridinium* species tend to be abundant in coastal areas where food sources are diverse (e.g., Naustvoll, 2000), including in eutrophic and heavily polluted embayments (e.g., Matsuoka, 1999; Pospelova et al., 2005; Dale, 2009; Pospelova and Kim, 2010; Krepakevich and Pospelova, 2010). Our record suggests that these two diplopsalid taxa reflect active upwelling conditions in the Cariaco Basin.

Several ‘spiny brown’ cysts (*E. aculeatum*, *E. delicatum*, *E. granulatum*, *Echinidinium* spp. and SBD) also show a strong association with active upwelling conditions, but they seem to respond more strongly to weaker upwelling conditions, with the highest fluxes recorded either at the onset or during weak or secondary upwelling events (Fig. 5). Many *Echinidinium* species are commonly found in upwelling regions worldwide (e.g., Zonneveld et al., 2013) and in a sediment trap study from the California Current upwelling system, fluxes of *E. aculeatum*, *E. delicatum* and *Echinidinium* spp. were highest when upwelling was active but not at its strongest (Bringué et al., 2013). *Echinidinium granulatum* was observed during active upwelling in the Arabian Sea (Zonneveld and Brummer, 2000), but no clear link was observed off Cape Blanc, where it remained nonetheless associated with elevated nitrate and C_{org} concentrations (Zonneveld et al., 2010). Therefore, in the Cariaco Basin, these spiny brown taxa may be good indicators of weak to moderate upwelling conditions.

Three taxa of autotrophic affinity (*B. spongium*, *S. ramosus* and cyst of cf. *E. carinata*) also show higher abundances during active upwelling intervals (Fig. 5.), likely as a direct response to nutrient loading. A common species in our record, *B. spongium* is known to thrive in warm (year-round SST > 20°C), nutrient-rich environments (e.g., Zonneveld and Jurkschat, 1999; Zonneveld et al., 2013) and was also documented to produce cysts during times of active upwelling in sediment trap studies from the Somali Basin (NW Arabian Sea; Zonneveld and Brummer, 2000) and off Cape Blanc (NW Africa; Susek et al., 2005; Zonneveld et al., 2010). The detailed response of *S. ramosus* and cysts of cf. *E. carinata* to upwelling is less clear. *Spiniferites ramosus* is a cosmopolitan species (Zonneveld et al., 2013) with complex seasonal patterns that vary ~~by-site~~from site to site (Pospelova et al., in press). In our record, *S. ramosus* shows no clear relationship to SST (Fig. 6) but responds to nutrient input, with modest increases in fluxes of cysts with cell content (i.e., recently produced and not yet excysted) recorded during almost all upwelling events, regardless of upwelling intensity or duration (Fig. 5). The single, large bloom of cf. *E. carinata* observed during the secondary upwelling event of 1997 (Fig. 5) occurred at a time when nutrients were available below a shallow MLD (< 20 m), during an upwelling event that yielded very little Chl *a* levels (Fig. 2). It has been suggested that environmental affinities of *E. carinata* are similar to those of *Scrippsiella trochoidea* with optimal SST range of 18-21°C (Shin et al., 2012), which would correspond to temperatures observed below 50 m during the Jul.-Aug. 1997 bloom. This may suggest a deeper habitat for cf. *E. carinata* in the Cariaco Basin, rather than a direct association with upwelling.

5.2 Interactions between dinoflagellates and other major planktonic groups

Marine food webs are complex trophic systems in which dinoflagellates interact with a variety of organisms, including components of the picoplankton, phytoplankton, and micro- to macrozooplankton. Autotrophic dinoflagellates, as primary producers, compete for resources (nutrients) with other phytoplankton groups (diatoms, haptophytes, chlorophytes and so forth). Diatoms typically dominate primary production in upwelling systems, including in the Cariaco Basin (e.g., Romero et al., 2009; Pinckney et al., 2015), while autotrophic dinoflagellates and haptophytes are generally thought to be more abundant during, or at the transition to, upwelling relaxation (e.g., Goñi et al., 2003; Smayda and Trainer, 2010; Bringué et al., 2013). In a study of the Si cycle and Si:C:N ratios of sinking particles in the Cariaco Basin, Thunell et al. (2008) demonstrated that silicic acid (a macronutrient essential for diatom growth but not used by dinoflagellates) is the limiting nutrient in the upper 50 m of the water column during active upwelling, whereas nitrates limit primary production in summer/fall. In our record, autotrophic dinoflagellates (cysts and motiles alike), do not appear to compete with diatom for resources, as both groups respond positively to upwelling (Figs. 3 & 5), and fluxes of autotrophic cysts and bioSi are positively correlated (Fig. 8). This is consistent with silicic acid limiting diatom production during active upwelling, and nitrate limitation affecting both diatoms and autotrophic dinoflagellates during upwelling relaxation.

Heterotrophic (and mixotrophic) dinoflagellates exert a significant, if not dominant, grazing impact in upwelling and other productive ecosystems (Jeong, 1999; Sherr and Sherr, 2007; Calbet, 2008). Besides their role as grazers on phytoplankton, they can also prey on copepod eggs and early naupliar stages, and serve as prey for meso- to macrozooplankton or for other

dinoflagellates (e.g., Jeong, 1999; [Jeong et al. 2010](#)). In a sediment trap study off Cape Blanc, Zonneveld et al. (2010) investigated the potential food sources of heterotrophic dinoflagellates using diatom census data and measurements of bioSi, C_{org} and CaCO₃. They observed a significant relationship between cyst and total diatom valves accumulation rates, including for *Brigantedinium* spp. and *S. quanta*, as well as several protoperidinioid cyst taxa possibly associated with haptophytes (suggested by a correlation with CaCO₃ fluxes), including *P. stellatum*. In this study, potential interactions between heterotrophic dinoflagellates and other important planktonic groups are investigated using RDA_{bio} (Fig. 7) and by comparing cyst fluxes with photopigment data of Pinckney et al. (2015). As discussed above, most of the abundant heterotrophic cyst taxa are directly associated with active upwelling conditions, when diatoms dominates primary production. Not surprisingly, indicators of zooplankton abundance (mandibles and copepod eggs) are ordinated close to the ‘active upwelling pole’ of the implied gradient in the RDA_{bio} biplot (upper right quadrant in Fig. 7), together with diatoms. However, this only suggests co-occurrence and we cannot comment further on causal (trophic) relationships.

Several other heterotrophic cyst taxa in the record show increased abundances that do not appear to be directly related to diatom availability. Cysts of *P. stellatum* show repeated increases in abundance during upwelling relaxation, generally within ~ one month of upwelling onset (Fig. 5). Interestingly, two of the pronounced increases in *P. stellatum* cysts occur at times when haptophytes (including coccolithophorids) were recorded in relatively large quantities in the water column (Pinckney et al., 2015). In a similar manner than in Zonneveld et al. (2010), *P. stellatum* is ordinated close to CaCO₃ in RDA_{envi} (Fig. 6), even though CaCO₃ is not clearly related to haptophyte productivity in the basin (Goñi et al., 2009). Despite the absence of increase in *P. stellatum* cyst flux during the May-Jul. 1998 subsurface bloom of coccolithophores (Pinckney et al., 2015), there is growing evidence that haptophytes may serve as a food source for *P. stellatum* (Zonneveld et al., 2010).

The only noticeable increases in fluxes of cysts of *P. fukuyoi* and *G. nolleri* took place during the short, secondary upwelling event of Jul. 1998 (Fig. 5). These increases follow a peak in ciliate fluxes (Fig. 3) and occurred at a time when coccolithophores, cryptophytes and chlorophytes were abundant below the MLD; prasinophytes were also present but in lower abundances than during most of the record (Pinckney et al., 2015). In RDA_{bio}, the cysts of *P. fukuyoi* and *G. nolleri* are ordinated in the same quadrant (lower left) as haptophytes, cryptophytes and chlorophytes (Fig. 7). Although these organisms were also abundant at other times in our record, their co-occurrence suggests possible trophic relationships, and the fact that all those potential food sources were present only below the MLD may suggest that *P. fukuyoi* and *G. nolleri* may dwell in, or access, deeper waters. Interestingly, higher fluxes of cysts of *P. fukuyoi* were also recorded in the Santa Barbara Basin under similar circumstances, when a short, secondary upwelling pulse interrupted relaxed upwelling conditions (Bringué et al., 2013). Other sediment trap records of *P. fukuyoi* cyst production from the Strait of Georgia (Pospelova et al., 2010) and Saanich Inlet (Price and Pospelova, 2011) in the productive waters of coastal southwestern Canada also indicate higher fluxes during the warmest months of the year (Mertens et al., 2013).

Echinidinium granulatum also showed a single interval with marked increased abundances, during the secondary upwelling event of Jul.-Aug. 1997 (Fig. 5). Of the available data, the only planktonic groups that were noticeably abundant at this time were diatoms (mostly *C. litoralis*; Romero et al., 2009) and prasinophytes, which may act as food sources for *E. granulatum*. Cysts type Cp (possibly the cysts of *Cochlodinium polykrikoides* sensu Li et al. 2015) have a strong, negative loading along RDA_{bio} axis 2 with no obvious link with other planktonic groups (Fig. 7). This taxon was observed almost exclusively at the end of the time series, during the weak upwelling event of 1999 which resulted in modestly elevated Chl *a* concentrations (Fig. 2) and large fluxes of (mostly small or weakly silicified) diatom frustules and silicoflagellates that were, however, not reflected in the bioSi record (Fig. 3). One of the major species responsible for harmful algal blooms, *C. polykrikoides* exhibits a wide range of temperatures over which it can survive, and SST conditions in the Cariaco Basin fall within its optimal range (e.g., Matsuoka and Iwataki, 2004; Kudela and Gobler, 2012). Increases in cysts type Cp fluxes during that event is similar in timing and magnitude to that of *Brigantedinium* spp., the dominant cyst taxa in our record, but the exact factor(s) behind such a large increase in cyst type Cp abundances remains unclear.

5.3 Effect of NAO and ENSO on dinoflagellates

The NAO and ENSO phenomena have been documented to modulate precipitation in the Caribbean, and El Niño events may influence the basin's hydrography with a one year lag (e.g., Enfield and Mayer, 1997; Giannini et al., 2001; Astor et al., 2013). Summarizing observations at the CARIACO station over 14 years, Taylor et al. (2012) found only modest correlations between, on one hand, NAO and ENSO (with one year lag) indices, and hydrographical and biological measurements in the basin. Romero et al. (2009) reported a major change in the composition of diatom assemblages coeval with the 1997/98 ENSO event, with lower diatom production compared to the previous year and an increase in the relative contribution of pelagic species more commonly found in Caribbean surface waters.

Over the duration of our time series, direct comparison (i.e., with no time lag applied) of NAO index and Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI) to SST measurements yielded significant but weak, negative correlations (Pearson's $r = -0.33$, $p = 0.011$ for ~~NOANAO~~; $r = -0.28$, $p = 0.031$ for MEI). Visual examination of variations in dinoflagellate cyst production does not reveal any apparent link with NAO and ENSO (Fig. 9). Numerically, total dinoflagellate cyst fluxes and total heterotrophic cyst fluxes ~~show are significant but~~ weakly, positively ~~correlated correlations~~ with the NAO index ($r = 0.33$, $p = 0.010$ and $r = 0.34$, $p = 0.008$, respectively), while total autotrophic cyst fluxes ~~are significantly show a but~~ weakly, ~~positive~~ correlated ~~ion~~ with MEI ($r = 0.40$, $p = 0.002$). Thus, there is little evidence for any 'direct' (with no time lag) influence of NAO or ENSO on dinoflagellate cyst production in the basin.

However, our record suggests that the 1997/98 El Niño event was rather expressed with a one year lag in the basin, with total cyst fluxes of the year 1999 being the lowest recorded between 1996 and 2009 (Fig. 9; Bringué, Thunell and Pospelova, unpublished data). A one year delay in ENSO effects has been observed for precipitation and SST patterns in the Caribbean (with dryer summers on the year of an El Niño event and wetter conditions the following summer; Enfield and Mayer, 1997; Giannini et al., 2001; Fig. 9) and consistent with observations at the CARIACO station (e.g., Müller-Karger, 2004; Taylor et

al., 2012). A similar ‘damping’ effect was observed in the Santa Barbara Basin, where the strong 1997/98 El Niño event hampered upwelling and resulted in greatly reduced primary production (e.g., Lange et al., 2000; Shipe et al., 2002).

The dinoflagellate cyst response to the 1997/98 El Niño event was also documented in the Strait of Georgia, (BC, Canada) by Pospelova et al. (2010). At this location, positive SST anomalies in the region and low snow accumulation over the

5 Frasier River watershed associated with the 1997/98 El Niño winter (e.g., Foreman et al., 2001) resulted in higher SST and SSS conditions in the Strait in the spring of 1998, and fluxes of cysts produced by some autotrophic taxa (including *Spiniferites* spp. and the cysts of *P. dalei*) and several heterotrophic taxa (*E. delicatum*, *Echinidinium* spp., *S. nephroides*, *S. quanta* and the cysts of *P. fukuyoi* – as ‘cyst type A’), benefitting from an early start of their production season, were higher than in 1996 and 1997 (Pospelova et al., 2010). Apart from the fact that the time lag between ENSO events and their
10 influence on the Cariaco Basin may be longer (about a year; e.g., Enfield and Mayer, 1997; Giannini et al., 2001) than on the Strait of Georgia (likely between ~ 4 and 10 months; e.g., Bograd and Lynn, 2001; Royer, 2005), the contrasting environmental settings between the two sites likely explains the very different response in dinoflagellate cyst production to the strong 1997/98 El Niño event. While cyst production of many dinoflagellates appear to have been promoted in the Strait of Georgia by a longer production season, weaker upwelling in the Cariaco Basin in 1998 and 1999 (compared to 1997)
15 resulted in lower total cyst fluxes (Fig. 9). However, the unusually high production of cysts type Cp in Feb.-May 1999 may be related to delayed effects of this strong 1997/98 El Niño event, although the exact mechanism remains unknown. Clearly, longer time series are needed to properly investigate ENSO effects in the basin and interannual variability in general.

6 Conclusions

This study documents dinoflagellate cyst production in the Cariaco Basin over a period of ~2.5 years. The sediment trap
20 record shows that the basin ~~harbors~~harbored very diverse and abundant communities of cyst-producing dinoflagellates, with over 60 morphotypes identified (including ~30 reported in the basin for the first time) and cyst fluxes averaging 17.1×10^3 cysts $m^{-2} day^{-1}$, and up to 69.2×10^3 cysts $m^{-2} day^{-1}$ under active upwelling conditions.

In the basin, dinoflagellate cyst associations ~~are~~were dominated by cysts of heterotrophic affinity, particularly *Brigantedinium* spp. which alone accounteded for over half the total trap assemblage. The seasonality in dinoflagellate cyst
25 production strongly reflects variations in upwelling strength, with increases in cyst fluxes observed during active upwelling intervals. Fluxes of heterotrophic dinoflagellate cysts showeded a strong, positive correlation with biogenic silica but not with fluxes of diatom frustules, indicating that heterotrophic dinoflagellates respondeded more to total diatom biomass than to the availability of any particular species.

Dinoflagellate cyst taxa clearly associated with active upwelling conditions include *Brigantedinium* spp., *Selenopemphix*
30 *quanta*, *Selenopemphix nephroides*, *Quinquecuspidata concreta* and *Dubridinium* spp. Cyst taxa of autotrophic affinity also respondeded positively to nutrient loading during active upwelling, with *Bitectatodinium spongium* showing the clearest

response. Several ‘spiny brown’ cysts, including *E. aculeatum*, *E. delicatum*, *E. granulatum* and *Echinidinium* spp. showed a closer affinity to weaker upwelling conditions.

New insights on possible trophic interactions are provided by comparing dinoflagellate cyst production with abundances of other major components of the planktonic food web at the CARIACO station. Autotrophic dinoflagellates ~~do~~ did not appear to compete for resources (nutrients) with diatoms in the basin, as silicic acid limits diatom production during active upwelling intervals. Zooplankton abundance indicators (mandibles and copepod eggs) co-occurred with cyst taxa associated with active upwelling conditions (mostly *Protoperidiniaceae*), when diatom productivity ~~is~~ was high. In particular, the production of *Protoperidinium stellatum* cysts may be associated with haptophytes and/or CaCO₃ fluxes. The bulk of *Protoperidinium fukuyoi* and *Gymnodinium nolleri* cyst production took place during a short, secondary upwelling event that was marked by high abundances of coccolithophores, cryptophytes and chlorophytes, suggesting that these groups may serve as food sources for *P. fukuyoi* and *G. nolleri*.

On interannual time scales, dinoflagellate cyst production ~~seems~~ seemed to be influenced by the strong 1997/98 El Niño event, with a one year lag. While seasonal variations associated with upwelling constitutes the dominant signal in the cyst record, interannual variability is also considerable and longer time series are needed in order to fully understand the environmental controls on dinoflagellate cyst production. Nevertheless, this work expands our knowledge of cyst-producing dinoflagellate ecology, helping the interpretation of fossil assemblages from the basin’s sedimentary record and worldwide.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Postdoctoral Fellowship (PDF) and an Advanced Support Program for Innovative Research Excellence (ASPIRE I – Track IIb) from the U. of South Carolina to MB, and an NSERC Discovery grant to VP. The CARIACO sediment trapping program was supported by National Science Foundation (NSF; grants OCE 9401537, OCE 9729697 and OCE 1258991). The authors wish to thank Claudia Benitez-Nelson (U. of South Carolina) for providing mixed layer depth data and for helpful discussions on the hydrology of the basin. Kenneth Mertens (IFREMER – Concarneau) is kindly thanked for his help in dinoflagellate cyst identification. The crew of the R/V Hermano Gines is also gratefully acknowledged for all operations at sea. The authors are grateful to Drs B. Dale (U. of Oslo), S. Ribeiro (Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland) and third, anonymous reviewer for their helpful and constructive comments that helped improve the manuscript.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material provided with this article includes total dinoflagellate cyst fluxes and cyst counts (Table S1), as well as all physico-chemical and biological variables used in analyses (Table S2).

Author contribution

MB, RCT and VP designed the project. RCT and ET organized and performed sediment trap deployments, and generated geochemical data on trap samples. VP provided lab (equipment and consumables) for the palynological treatment of samples. JLP and OER provided photopigment and siliceous microfossil data, respectively. MB selected, split, processed and counted all samples, analysed the data, generated all figures and wrote the manuscript. VP, RCT, OER and JLP edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- 10 Antoine, D., André, J. M., and Morel, A.: Oceanic primary production. 2. Estimation at global scale from satellite (coastal zone color scanner) chlorophyll, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 10, 57-69, doi:10.1029/95gb02832, 1996.
- Astor, Y., Müller-Karger, F., and Scranton, M. I.: Seasonal and interannual variation in the hydrography of the Cariaco Basin: Implications for basin ventilation, *Continental Shelf Research*, 23, 125-144, doi:10.1016/s0278-4343(02)00130-9, 2003.
- 15 Astor, Y. M., Lorenzoni, L., Thunell, R., Varela, R., Müller-Karger, F., Troccoli, L., Taylor, G. T., Scranton, M. I., Tappa, E., and Rueda, D.: Interannual variability in sea surface temperature and fCO₂ changes in the Cariaco Basin, *Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 93, 33-43, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.01.002, 2013.
- Black, D. E., Peterson, L. C., Overpeck, J. T., Kaplan, A., Evans, M. N., and Kashgarian, M.: Eight centuries of North Atlantic ocean atmosphere variability, *Science*, 286, 1709-1713, 10.1126/science.286.5445.1709, 1999.
- 20 Black, D. E., Abahazi, M. A., Thunell, R. C., Kaplan, A., Tappa, E. J., and Peterson, L. C.: An 8-century tropical Atlantic SST record from the Cariaco Basin: Baseline variability, twentieth-century warming, and Atlantic hurricane frequency, *Paleoceanography*, 22, PA4204, doi:10.1029/2007pa001427, 2007.
- Bograd, S. J., and Lynn, R. J.: Physical-biological coupling in the California Current during the 1997-99 El Niño-La Niña cycle, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28, 275-278, doi:10.1029/2000gl012047, 2001.
- 25 Bout-Roumazeilles, V., Riboulleau, A., du Chatelet, E. A., Lorenzoni, L., Tribovillard, N., Murray, R. W., Müller-Karger, F., and Astor, Y. M.: Clay mineralogy of surface sediments as a tool for deciphering river contributions to the Cariaco Basin (Venezuela), *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 118, 750-761, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20079, 2013.
- Bringué, M., Pospelova, V., and Pak, D.: Seasonal production of organic-walled dinoflagellate cysts in an upwelling system: A sediment trap study from the Santa Barbara Basin, California, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 100, 34-51, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2013.03.007, 2013.
- 30

- Bringué, M., Pospelova, V., and Field, D. B.: High resolution sedimentary record of dinoflagellate cysts reflects decadal variability and 20th century warming in the Santa Barbara Basin, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 105, 86-101, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.09.022, 2014.
- Bringué, M., Pospelova, V., Calvert, S. E., Enkin, R. J., Lacourse, T., and Ivanochko, T.: High resolution dinoflagellate cyst record of environmental change in Effingham Inlet (British Columbia, Canada) over the last millennium, *Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology*, 441, 787-810, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2015.10.026, 2016.
- Calbet, A.: The trophic roles of microzooplankton in marine systems, *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 65, 325-331, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsn013, 2008.
- Calvert, S. E., Piper, D. Z., Thunell, R. C., and Astor, Y.: Elemental settling and burial fluxes in the Cariaco Basin, *Marine Chemistry*, 177, 607-629, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2015.10.001, 2015.
- Dale, B.: Dinoflagellate contributions to the open ocean sediment flux, in: *Dinoflagellate Contributions to the Deep Sea*, edited by: Honjo, S., Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 1-32, 1992.
- Dale, B.: Dinoflagellate cyst ecology: Modeling and geological applications, in: *Palynology: Principles and Applications*, edited by: Jansonius, J., and McGregor, D. C., AASP Foundation, Salt Lake City, UT, 1249-1275, 1996.
- Dale, B.: Eutrophication signals in the sedimentary record of dinoflagellate cysts in coastal waters, *Journal of Sea Research*, 61, 103-113, doi:10.1016/j.seares.2008.06.007, 2009.
- Dale, A. L., and Dale, B.: Dinoflagellate contributions to the sediment flux of the Nordic seas, in: *Dinoflagellate Contributions to the Deep Sea*, edited by: Honjo, S., Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 45-75, 1992.
- Dale, B., Montresor, M., Zingone, A., and Zonneveld, K.: The cyst-motile stage relationships of the dinoflagellates *Diplopelta symmetrica* and *Diplopsalopsis latipeltata*, *European Journal of Phycology*, 28, 129-137, doi:10.1080/09670269300650211, 1993.
- Dale, B., Dale, A. L., and Jansen, J. H. F.: Dinoflagellate cysts as environmental indicators in surface sediments from the Congo deep-sea fan and adjacent regions, *Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology*, 185, 309-338, doi:10.1016/s0031-0182(02)00380-2, 2002.
- de Vernal, A., Henry, M., Matthiessen, J., Mudie, P. J., Rochon, A., Boessenkool, K. P., Eynaud, F., Grøsfjeld, K., Guiot, J., Hamel, D., Harland, R., Head, M. J., Kunz-Pirrung, M., Levac, E., Loucheur, V., Peyron, O., Pospelova, V., Radi, T., Turon, J. L., and Voronina, E.: Dinoflagellate cyst assemblages as tracers of sea-surface conditions in the northern North Atlantic, Arctic and sub-Arctic seas: The new 'n=677' data base and its application for quantitative palaeoceanographic reconstruction, *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 16, 681-698, 2001.
- Deuser, W. G.: Cariaco Trench - Oxidation of organic matter and residence time of anoxic water, *Nature*, 242, 601-603, doi:10.1038/242601b0, 1973.
- Ellegaard, M., and Moestrup, O.: Fine structure of the flagellar apparatus and morphological details of *Gymnodinium nolleri* sp. nov. (Dinophyceae), an unarmored dinoflagellate producing a microreticulate cyst, *Phycologia*, 38, 289-300, doi:10.2216/i0031-8884-38-4-289.1, 1999.

- Ellegaard, M., Dale, B., Mertens, K. N., Pospelova, V., and Ribeiro, S.: Dinoflagellate cysts as proxies for Holocene and recent environmental change in estuaries: Diversity, abundance and morphology, in: *Applications of Paleoenvironmental Techniques in Estuarine Studies, Developments in Paleoenvironmental Research 20*, edited by: Weckström, K. et al., Springer, Berlin, Germany, 295-312, 2017.
- 5 Elmore, A. C., Thunell, R. C., Styles, R., Black, D., Murray, R. W., Martinez, N., and Astor, Y.: Quantifying the seasonal variations in fluvial and eolian sources of terrigenous material to Cariaco Basin, Venezuela, *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 27, 197-210, doi:10.1016/j.jsames.2008.11.002, 2009.
- Enfield, D. B., and Mayer, D. A.: Tropical Atlantic sea surface temperature variability and its relation to El Niño-Southern Oscillation, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 102, 929-945, doi:10.1029/96jc03296, 1997.
- 10 Foreman, M. G. G., Lee, D. K., Morrison, J., Macdonald, S., Barnes, D., and Williams, I. V.: Simulations and retrospective analyses of Fraser watershed flows and temperatures, *Atmosphere-Ocean*, 39, 89-105, 2001.
- Fujii, R., and Matsuoka, K.: Seasonal change of dinoflagellates cyst flux collected in a sediment trap in Omura Bay, West Japan, *Journal of Plankton Research*, 28, 131-147, doi:10.1093/plankt/fbil06, 2006.
- Giannini, A., Cane, M. A., and Kushnir, Y.: Interdecadal changes in the ENSO teleconnection to the Caribbean region and the North Atlantic Oscillation, *Journal of Climate*, 14, 2867-2879, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<2867:icitet>2.0.co;2, 2001.
- 15 Goñi, M. A., Aceves, H. L., Thunell, R. C., Tappa, E., Black, D., Astor, Y., Varela, R., and Müller-Karger, F.: Biogenic fluxes in the Cariaco Basin: A combined study of sinking particulates and underlying sediments, *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 50, 781-807, doi:10.1016/s0967-0637(03)00060-8, 2003.
- 20 Goñi, M. A., Aceves, H., Benitez-Nelson, B., Tappa, E., Thunell, R., Black, D. E., Müller-Karger, F., Astor, Y., and Varela, R.: Oceanographic and climatologic controls on the compositions and fluxes of biogenic materials in the water column and sediments of the Cariaco Basin over the late Holocene, *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 56, 614-640, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2008.11.010, 2009.
- González, C., Dupont, L. M., Mertens, K., and Wefer, G.: Reconstructing marine productivity of the Cariaco Basin during Marine Isotope Stages 3 and 4 using organic-walled dinoflagellate cysts, *Paleoceanography*, 23, PA3215, doi:10.1029/2008pa001602, 2008.
- 25 Gordon, A. L.: Circulation in the Caribbean Sea, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 72, 6207-6223, doi:10.1029/JZ072i024p06207, 1967.
- Harland, R., and Pudsey, C. J.: Dinoflagellate cysts from sediment traps deployed in the Bellingshausen, Weddell and Scotia seas, Antarctica, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 37, 77-99, doi:10.1016/s0377-8398(99)00016-x, 1999.
- 30 Harland, R., Nordberg, K., and Robijn, A.: Latest Holocene dinoflagellate cyst records from the west coast of Sweden and their impact on the interpretation of environmental change, *Biological and Geological Perspectives of Dinoflagellates*, edited by: Lewis, J. M., Marret, F., and Bradley, L. R., 43-54 pp., 2013.

- Head, M. J.: Modern dinoflagellate cysts and their biological affinities, in: *Palynology: Principles and Applications*, edited by: Jansonius, J., and McGregor, D. C., AASP Foundation, Salt Lake City, UT, 1197-1248, 1996.
- Head, M. J.: *Echinidinium zonneveldiae* sp. nov., a dinoflagellate cyst from the late Pleistocene of the Baltic sea, northern Europe, *Journal of Micropalaeontology*, 21, 169-173, 2002.
- 5 Heikkilä, M., Pospelova, V., Hochheim, K. P., Kuzyk, Z. Z. A., Stern, G. A., Barber, D. G., and Macdonald, R. W.: Surface sediment dinoflagellate cysts from the Hudson Bay system and their relation to freshwater and nutrient cycling, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 106, 79-109, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2013.12.002, 2014.
- Heikkilä, M., Pospelova, V., Forest, A., Stern, G. A., Fortier, L., and Macdonald, R. W.: Dinoflagellate cyst production over an annual cycle in seasonally ice-covered Hudson Bay, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 125, 1-24, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2016.02.005, 2016.
- 10 Ho, T. Y., Scranton, M. I., Taylor, G. T., Varela, R., Thunell, R. C., and Müller-Karger, F.: Acetate cycling in the water column of the Cariaco Basin: Seasonal and vertical variability and implication for carbon cycling, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 47, 1119-1128, 2002.
- Honjo, S., and Doherty, K. W.: Large aperture time-series sediment traps - Design objectives, construction and application, *Deep-Sea Research Part A-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 35, 133-149, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(88)90062-3, 1988.
- 15 Howe, J. A., Harland, R., Cottier, F. R., Brand, T., Willis, K. J., Berge, J. R., Grøsfjeld, K., and Eriksson, A.: Dinoflagellate cysts as proxies for palaeoceanographic conditions in Arctic fjords, *Fjord Systems and Archives*, 344, 61-74, doi:10.1144/sp344.6, 2010.
- Huffman, G. J., Bolvin, D. T., and Adler, R. F.: GPCP Version 1.2 One-Degree Daily Precipitation Data Set, in, *Research Data Archive at the National Center for Atmospheric Research, Computational and Information Systems Laboratory*, Boulder, CO, 2016.
- 20 Huguen, K. A., Overpeck, J. T., Peterson, L. C., and Trumbore, S.: Rapid climate changes in the tropical Atlantic region during the last deglaciation, *Nature*, 380, 51-54, doi:10.1038/380051a0, 1996.
- Huguen, K. A., Southon, J. R., Lehman, S. J., and Overpeck, J. T.: Synchronous radiocarbon and climate shifts during the last deglaciation, *Science*, 290, 1951-1954, doi:10.1126/science.290.5498.1951, 2000.
- 25 Jacobson, D. M., and Anderson, D. M.: Widespread phagocytosis of ciliates and other protists by marine mixotrophic and heterotrophic thecate dinoflagellates, *Journal of Phycology*, 32, 279-285, doi:10.1111/j.0022-3646.1996.00279.x, 1996.
- Jeong, H. J.: The ecological roles of heterotrophic dinoflagellates in marine planktonic community, *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 46, 390-396, doi:10.1111/j.1550-7408.1999.tb04618.x, 1999.
- 30 [Jeong, H.J., Yoo, Y.D., Kim, J.S., Seong, K.A., Kang, N.S., and Kim, T.H.: Growth, feeding and ecological roles of the mixotrophic and heterotrophic dinoflagellates in marine planktonic food webs. *Ocean Science Journal* 45, 65-91, 2010.](#)
- Kawami, H., and Matsuoka, K.: A new cyst-theca relationship for *Protoperidinium parthenopes* Zingone & Montresor 1988 (Peridinales, Dinophyceae), *Palynology*, 33, 11-18, 2009.

- Krepakevich, A., and Pospelova, V.: Tracing the influence of sewage discharge on coastal bays of southern Vancouver Island (BC, Canada) using sedimentary records of phytoplankton, *Continental Shelf Research*, 30, 1924-1940, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2010.09.002, 2010.
- Kudela, R. M., and Gobler, C. J.: Harmful dinoflagellate blooms caused by *Cochlodinium* sp.: Global expansion and ecological strategies facilitating bloom formation, *Harmful Algae*, 14, 71-86, doi:10.1016/j.hal.2011.10.015, 2012.
- Lange, C. B., Weinheimer, A. L., Reid, F. M. H., Tappa, E., and Thunell, R. C.: Response of siliceous microplankton from the Santa Barbara Basin to the 1997-98 El Niño event, *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Reports*, 41, 186-193, 2000.
- Lentin, J. K., and Williams, G. L.: Fossil dinoflagellates: Index to genera and species, AASP Contribution Series, Dallas, TX, 856 pp., 1993.
- Lepš, J., and Šmilauer, P.: Multivariate analysis of ecological data using Canoco™, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, p. 283, 2003.
- Li, Z., Han, M.-S., Matsuoka, K., Kim, S.-Y., and Shin, H. H.: Identification of the resting cyst of *Cochlodinium polykrikoides* Margalef (Dinophyceae, Gymnodiniales) in Korean coastal sediments, *Journal of Phycology*, 51, 204-210, doi:10.1111/jpy.12252, 2015.
- Limoges, A., Kieft, J. F., Radi, T., Ruiz-Fernandez, A. C., and de Vernal, A.: Dinoflagellate cyst distribution in surface sediments along the south-western Mexican coast (14.76° N to 24.75° N), *Marine Micropaleontology*, 76, 104-123, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2010.06.003, 2010.
- Liu, T. T., Mertens, K. N., Ribeiro, S., Ellegaard, M., Matsuoka, K., and Gu, H. F.: Cyst-theca relationships and phylogenetic positions of Peridinales (Dinophyceae) with two anterior intercalary plates, with description of *Archaeoperidinium bailongense* sp. nov. and *Protoperidinium fuzhouense* sp. nov., *Phycological Research*, 63, 134-151, doi:10.1111/pre.12081, 2015.
- Lorenzoni, L., Hu, C. M., Varela, R., Arias, G., Guzman, L., and Müller-Karger, F.: Bio-optical characteristics of Cariaco Basin (Caribbean Sea) waters, *Continental Shelf Research*, 31, 582-593, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2010.12.013, 2011.
- Maher, L. J.: Statistics for microfossil concentration measurements employing samples spiked with marker grains, *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 32, 153-191, doi:10.1016/0034-6667(81)90002-6, 1981.
- Margalef, R.: Hidrografía y fitoplancton de un área marina de la costa meridional de Puerto Rico, *Investigación Perquera*, 18, 33-96, 1961.
- Marshall, B. J., Thunell, R. C., Henahan, M. J., Astor, Y., and Wejnert, K. E.: Planktonic foraminiferal area density as a proxy for carbonate ion concentration: A calibration study using the Cariaco Basin ocean time series, *Paleoceanography*, 28, 363-376, doi:10.1002/palo.20034, 2013.
- Matsuoka, K.: Eutrophication process recorded in dinoflagellate cyst assemblages - A case of Yokohama port, Tokyo Bay, Japan, *Science of the Total Environment*, 231, 17-35, doi:10.1016/s0048-9697(99)00087-x, 1999.

- Matsuoka, K., and Iwataki, M.: Present status in study on a harmful unarmored dinoflagellate *Cochlodinium polykrikoides* Margalef, Bulletin of the Plankton Society of Japan, 51, 38-45, 2004.
- Matsuoka, K., Kobayashi, S., and Gains, G.: A new species of the genus *Ensiculifera* (Dinophyceae); its cyst and motile forms, Bulletin of Plankton Society of Japan, 37, 127-144, 1990.
- 5 Matsuoka, K., Kawami, H., Fujii, R., and Iwataki, M.: Further examination of the cyst-theca relationship of *Protoperidinium thulesense* (Peridinales, Dinophyceae) and the phylogenetic significance of round brown cysts, Phycologia, 45, 632-641, doi:10.2216/05-42.1, 2006.
- Matsuoka, K., Kawami, H., Nagai, S., Iwataki, M., and Takayama, H.: Re-examination of cyst-motile relationships of *Polykrikos kofoidii* Chatton and *Polykrikos schwartzii* Butschli (Gymnodinales, Dinophyceae), Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, 154, 79-90, doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2008.12.013, 2009.
- 10 Mertens, K. N., González, C., Delusina, I., and Louwye, S.: 30 000 years of productivity and salinity variations in the Late Quaternary Cariaco Basin revealed by dinoflagellate cysts, Boreas, 38, 647-662, doi:10.1111/j.1502-3885.2009.00095.x, 2009a.
- Mertens, K. N., Verhoeven, K., Verleye, T., Louwye, S., Amorim, A., Ribeiro, S., Deaf, A. S., Harding, I. C., De Schepper, S., Gonzalez, C., Kodrans-Nsiah, M., De Vernal, A., Henry, M., Radi, T., Dybkjaer, K., Poulsen, N. E., Feist-Burkhardt, S., Chitolie, J., Heilmann-Clausen, C., Londeix, L., Turon, J. L., Marret, F., Matthiessen, J., McCarthy, F. M. G., Prasad, V., Pospelova, V., Hughes, J. E. K., Riding, J. B., Rochon, A., Sangiorgi, F., Welters, N., Sinclair, N., Thun, C., Soliman, A., Van Nieuwenhove, N., Vink, A., and Young, M.: Determining the absolute abundance of dinoflagellate cysts in recent marine sediments: The *Lycopodium* marker-grain method put to the test, Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, 157, 238-252, doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2009.05.004, 2009b.
- 20 Mertens, K. N., Price, A. M., and Pospelova, V.: Determining the absolute abundance of dinoflagellate cysts in recent marine sediments II: Further tests of the *Lycopodium* marker-grain method, Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, 184, 74-81, doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2012.06.012, 2012.
- Mertens, K. N., Yamaguchi, A., Takano, Y., Pospelova, V., Head, M. J., Radi, T., Pieńkowski, A. J., de Vernal, A., Kawami, H., and Matsuoka, K.: A new heterotrophic dinoflagellate from the Northeastern Pacific, *Protoperidinium fukuyoi*: Cyst-theca relationship, phylogeny, distribution and ecology, Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology, 0, 1-19, 2013.
- 25 Montes, E., Thunell, R., Müller-Karger, F. E., Lorenzoni, L., Tappa, E., Troccoli, L., Astor, Y., and Varela, R.: Sources of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ variability in sinking particulate nitrogen in the Cariaco Basin, Venezuela, Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography, 93, 96-107, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.01.006, 2013.
- 30 Morquecho, L., and Lechuga-Devéze, C. H.: Seasonal occurrence of planktonic dinoflagellates and cyst production in relationship to environmental variables in subtropical Bahia Concepción, Gulf of California, Botanica Marina, 47, 313-322, doi:10.1515/bot.2004.037, 2004.
- Morrison, J. M., and Smith, O. P.: Geostrophic transport variability along the Aves Ridge in the eastern Caribbean Sea during 1985-1986, Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, 95, 699-710, doi:10.1029/JC095iC01p00699, 1990.

- Mortlock, R. A., and Froelich, P. N.: A simple method for the rapid determination of biogenic opal in pelagic marine sediments, *Deep-Sea Research Part A-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 36, 1415-1426, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(89)90092-7, 1989.
- Müller-Karger, F., and Castro, R. A.: Mesoscale processes affecting phytoplankton abundance in the southern Caribbean Sea, *Continental Shelf Research*, 14, 199-221, 1994.
- Müller-Karger, F. E., McClain, C. R., Fisher, T. R., Esaias, W. E., and Varela, R.: Pigment distribution in the Caribbean Sea: Observations from space, *Progress in Oceanography*, 23, 23-64, doi:10.1016/0079-6611(89)90024-4, 1989.
- Müller-Karger, F., Varela, R., Thunell, R., Scranton, M., Bohrer, R., Taylor, G., Capelo, J., Astor, Y., Tappa, E., Ho, T. Y., and Walsh, J. J.: Annual cycle of primary production in the Cariaco Basin: Response to upwelling and implications for vertical export, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 106, 4527-4542, doi:10.1029/1999jc000291, 2001.
- Müller-Karger, F., Varela, R., Thunell, R., Astor, Y., Zhang, H. Y., Luerssen, R., and Hu, C. M.: Processes of coastal upwelling and carbon flux in the Cariaco Basin, *Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 51, 927-943, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2003.10.010, 2004.
- Nelson, D. M., Tréguer, P., Brzezinski, M. A., Leynaert, A., and Quéguiner, B.: Production and dissolution of biogenic silica in the ocean - Revised global estimates, comparison with regional data and relationship to biogenic sedimentation, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 9, 359-372, doi:10.1029/95gb01070, 1995.
- Osterman, D., Karbott, D., and Curry, W.: Automated system to measure the carbonate concentration of sediments, Woods Hole Oceanogr. Inst., Woods Hole, Mass., 1990.
- Peterson, L. C., Overpeck, J. T., Kipp, N. G., and Imbrie, J.: A high-resolution Late Quaternary upwelling record from the anoxic Cariaco Basin, Venezuela, *Paleoceanography*, 6, 99-119, doi:10.1029/90pa02497, 1991.
- Peterson, L. C., Haug, G. H., Hughen, K. A., and Rohl, U.: Rapid changes in the hydrologic cycle of the tropical Atlantic during the last glacial, *Science*, 290, 1947-1951, doi:10.1126/science.290.5498.1947, 2000.
- Pinckney, J. L., Benitez-Nelson, C. R., Thunell, R. C., Müller-Karger, F., Lorenzoni, L., Troccoli, L., and Varela, R.: Phytoplankton community structure and depth distribution changes in the Cariaco Basin between 1996 and 2010, *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 101, 27-37, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2015.03.004, 2015.
- Pitcher, G. C., and Joyce, L. B.: Dinoflagellate cyst production on the southern Namaqua shelf of the Benguela upwelling system, *Journal of Plankton Research*, 31, 865-875, doi:10.1093/plankt/fbp040, 2009.
- Pospelova, V., and Kim, S. J.: Dinoflagellate cysts in recent estuarine sediments from aquaculture sites of southern South Korea, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 76, 37-51, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2010.04.003, 2010.
- Pospelova, V., Chmura, G. L., Boothman, W. S., and Latimer, J. S.: Spatial distribution of modern dinoflagellate cysts in polluted estuarine sediments from Buzzards Bay (Massachusetts, USA) embayments, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 292, 23-40, doi:10.3354/meps292023, 2005.

- Pospelova, V., de Vernal, A., and Pedersen, T. F.: Distribution of dinoflagellate cysts in surface sediments from the northeastern Pacific Ocean (43-25° N) in relation to sea-surface temperature, salinity, productivity and coastal upwelling, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 68, 21-48, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2008.01.008, 2008.
- Pospelova, V., Esenkulova, S., Johannessen, S. C., O'Brien, M. C., and Macdonald, R. W.: Organic-walled dinoflagellate cyst production, composition and flux from 1996 to 1998 in the central Strait of Georgia (BC, Canada): A sediment trap study, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 75, 17-37, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2010.02.003, 2010.
- Pospelova, V., Price, A. M., and Pedersen, T. F.: Palynological evidence for late quaternary climate and marine primary productivity changes along the California margin, *Paleoceanography*, 30, 877-894, doi:10.1002/2014pa002728, 2015.
- Pospelova, V., Zonneveld, K.A.F., Heikkilä, M., Bringué, M., Price A.M. and Matsuoka, K.: Seasonality in *Spiniferites* cyst production: A review of sediment trap studies. *Palynology*, in press.
- Prebble, J. G., Crouch, E. M., Carter, L., Cortese, G., and Nodder, S. D.: Dinoflagellate cysts from two sediment traps east of New Zealand, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 104, 25-37, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2013.08.003, 2013.
- Price, A. M., and Pospelova, V.: High-resolution sediment trap study of organic-walled dinoflagellate cyst production and biogenic silica flux in Saanich Inlet (BC, Canada), *Marine Micropaleontology*, 80, 18-43, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2011.03.003, 2011.
- Price, A. M., Gurdebeke, P. R., Mertens, K. N., and Pospelova, V.: Determining the absolute abundance of dinoflagellate cysts in recent marine sediments III: Identifying the source of *Lycopodium* loss during palynological processing and further testing of the *Lycopodium* marker-grain method, *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 226, 78-90, doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2015.12.009, 2016.
- Radi, T., and de Vernal, A.: Dinocysts as proxy of primary productivity in mid-high latitudes of the northern hemisphere, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 68, 84-114, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2008.01.012, 2008.
- Ragueneau, O., Treguer, P., Leynaert, A., Anderson, R. F., Brzezinski, M. A., DeMaster, D. J., Dugdale, R. C., Dymond, J., Fischer, G., Francois, R., Heinze, C., Maier-Reimer, E., Martin-Jezequel, V., Nelson, D. M., and Queguiner, B.: A review of the Si cycle in the modern ocean: Recent progress and missing gaps in the application of biogenic opal as a paleoproductivity proxy, *Global and Planetary Change*, 26, 317-365, doi:10.1016/s0921-8181(00)00052-7, 2000.
- Reid, P. C.: Peridiniacean and Glenodiniacean dinoflagellate cysts from the British isles, *Nova Hedwigia*, 29, 429-463, 1977.
- Ribeiro, S., and Amorim, A.: Environmental drivers of temporal succession in recent dinoflagellate cyst assemblages from a coastal site in the North-East Atlantic (Lisbon Bay, Portugal), *Marine Micropaleontology*, 68, 156-178, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2008.01.013, 2008.
- Richards, F. A.: The Cariaco Basin (Trench), *Oceanography and Marine Biology - An Annual Review*, 13, 11-67, 1975.
- Richards, F. A., and Vaccaro, R. F.: The Cariaco Trench, an anaerobic basin in the Caribbean Sea, *Deep-Sea Research*, 3, 214-228, doi:10.1016/0146-6313(56)90005-3, 1956.

- Rochon, A., de Vernal, A., Turon, J. L., Matthiessen, J., and Head, M. J.: Distribution of recent dinoflagellate cysts in surface sediments from the North Atlantic Ocean and adjacent seas in relation to sea-surface parameters. Contribution series no. 35, American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Foundation, Dallas, TX, 152 pp., 1999.
- Romero, O. E., Thunell, R. C., Astor, Y., and Varela, R.: Seasonal and interannual dynamics in diatom production in the Cariaco Basin, Venezuela, Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers, 56, 571-581, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2008.12.005, 2009.
- Royer, T. C.: Hydrographic responses at a coastal site in the northern Gulf of Alaska to seasonal and interannual forcing, Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography, 52, 267-288, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2004.09.022, 2005.
- Rueda-Roa, D.T., 2012. On the spatial and temporal variability of upwelling in the southern Caribbean Sea and its influence on the ecology of phytoplankton and of the Spanish sardine (*Sardinella aurita*), PhD. Marine Science. University of South Florida, p. 167.
- Rueda-Roa, D. T., and Müller-Karger, F. E.: The southern Caribbean upwelling system: Sea surface temperature, wind forcing and chlorophyll concentration patterns, Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers, 78, 102-114, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2013.04.008, 2013.
- Sherr, E. B., and Sherr, B. F.: Heterotrophic dinoflagellates: A significant component of microzooplankton biomass and major grazers of diatoms in the sea, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 352, 187-197, doi:10.3354/meps07161, 2007.
- Shin, H. H., Park, J. S., Kim, Y. O., Baek, S. H., Lim, D., and Yoon, Y. H.: Dinoflagellate cyst production and flux in Gamak Bay, Korea: A sediment trap study, Marine Micropaleontology, 94-95, 72-79, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2012.06.005, 2012.
- Shipe, R. F., Passow, U., Brzezinski, M. A., Graham, W. M., Pak, D. K., Siegel, D. A., and Alldredge, A. L.: Effects of the 1997-98 El Niño on seasonal variations in suspended and sinking particles in the Santa Barbara Basin, Progress in Oceanography, 54, 105-127, doi:10.1016/s0079-6611(02)00045-9, 2002.
- Smayda, T. J., and Trainer, V. L.: Dinoflagellate blooms in upwelling systems: Seeding, variability, and contrasts with diatom bloom behaviour, Progress in Oceanography, 85, 92-107, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2010.02.006, 2010.
- Stockmarr, J.: Tablets with spores used in absolute pollen analysis, Pollen et Spores, 13, 616-621, 1971.
- Susek, E., Zonneveld, K. A. F., Fischer, G., Versteegh, G. J. M., and Willems, H.: Organic-walled dinoflagellate cyst production in relation to upwelling intensity and lithogenic influx in the Cape Blanc region (off north-west Africa), Phycological Research, 53, 97-112, doi:10.1111/j.1440-1835.2005.tb00362.x, 2005.
- Taylor, F. J. R.: The biology of dinoflagellates, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, p. 785, 785 pp., 1987.
- Taylor, G. T., Müller-Karger, F. E., Thunell, R. C., Scranton, M. I., Astor, Y., Varela, R., Ghinaglia, L. T., Lorenzoni, L., Fanning, K. A., Hameed, S., and Doherty, O.: Ecosystem responses in the southern Caribbean Sea to global climate change, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109, 19315-19320, doi:10.1073/pnas.1207514109, 2012.

- ter Braak, C. J. F., and Šmilauer, P.: CANOCO reference manual and CanoDraw for windows user's guide. Software for canonical community ordination (version 4.5). Microcomputer power, Ithaca, NY, p. 500, 500 pp., 2002.
- Thunell, R. C., Varela, R., Llano, M., Collister, J., Müller-Karger, F., and Bohrer, R.: Organic carbon fluxes, degradation, and accumulation in an anoxic basin: Sediment trap results from the Cariaco Basin, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 45, 300-308, 2000.
- Thunell, R., Benitez-Nelson, C., Varela, R., Astor, Y., and Müller-Karger, F.: Particulate organic carbon fluxes along upwelling-dominated continental margins: Rates and mechanisms, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21, GB1022, doi:10.1029/2006gb002793, 2007.
- Thunell, R., Benitez-Nelson, C., Müller-Karger, F., Lorenzoni, L., Fanning, K., Scranton, M., Varela, R., and Astor, Y.: Si cycle in the Cariaco Basin, Venezuela: Seasonal variability in silicate availability and the Si : C : N composition of sinking particles, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 22, GB4001, doi:10.1029/2007gb003096, 2008.
- Verleye, T. J., Pospelova, V., Mertens, K. N., and Louwye, S.: The geographical distribution and (palaeo)ecology of *Selenopemphix undulata* sp. nov., a new late Quaternary dinoflagellate cyst from the pacific ocean, *Marine Micropaleontology*, 78, 65-83, doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2010.10.001, 2011.
- Wall, D.: Fossil microplankton in deep-sea cores from the Caribbean Sea., *Paleontology*, 10, 95-123, 1967.
- Wall, D., and Dale, B.: "Living fossils" in western Atlantic plankton, *Nature*, 211, 1025-1026, doi:10.1038/2111025a0, 1966.
- Wall, D., and Dale, B.: Modern dinoflagellate cysts and evolution of the Peridinales, *Micropaleontology*, 14, 265-304, doi:10.2307/1484690, 1968.
- Wall, D., and Dale, B.: The 'hystricosphaerid' resting spore of the dinoflagellate *Pyrodinium bahamense*, plate, 1906, *Journal of Phycology*, 5, 140-149, 1969.
- Williams, G. L., Fensome, R. A., and MacRae, R. A.: The Lentin and Williams index of fossil dinoflagellates 2017 edition, American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Contributions Series, 48, 2017.
- Zonneveld, K. A. F.: New species of organic walled dinoflagellate cysts from modern sediments of the Arabian Sea (Indian Ocean), *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 97, 319-337, doi:10.1016/S0034-6667(97)00002-X, 1997.
- Zonneveld, K. A. F., and Brummer, G. J. A.: (Palaeo-)ecological significance, transport and preservation of organic-walled dinoflagellate cysts in the Somali Basin, NW Arabian Sea, *Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 47, 2229-2256, doi:10.1016/s0967-0645(00)00023-0, 2000.
- Zonneveld, K. A. F., and Jurkschat, T.: *Bitectatodinium spongium* (Zonneveld, 1997) Zonneveld et Jurkschat, comb. nov. from modern sediments and sediment trap samples of the Arabian sea (northwestern Indian Ocean): Taxonomy and ecological affinity, *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 106, 153-169, doi:10.1016/s0034-6667(99)00007-x, 1999.
- Zonneveld, K. A. F., and Pospelova, V.: A determination key for modern dinoflagellate cysts, *Palynology*, 39, 387-409, doi:10.1080/01916122.2014.990115, 2015.

Zonneveld, K. A. F., Susek, E., and Fischer, G.: Seasonal variability of the organic-walled dinoflagellate cyst production in the coastal upwelling region off Cape Blanc (Mauritania): A five-year survey, *Journal of Phycology*, 46, 202-215, doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2009.00799.x, 2010.

- 5 Zonneveld, K. A. F., Marret, F., Versteegh, G. J. M., Bogus, K., Bonnet, S., Bouimetarhan, I., Crouch, E., de Vernal, A., Elshanawany, R., Edwards, L., Esper, O., Forke, S., Grøsfjeld, K., Henry, M., Holzwarth, U., Kieft, J. F., Kim, S. Y., Ladouceur, S., Ledu, D., Chen, L., Limoges, A., Londeix, L., Lu, S. H., Mahmoud, M. S., Marino, G., Matsuoka, K., Matthiessen, J., Mildenhall, D. C., Mudie, P., Neil, H. L., Pospelova, V., Qi, Y. Z., Radi, T., Richerol, T., Rochon, A., Sangiorgi, F., Solignac, S., Turon, J. L., Verleye, T., Wang, Y., Wang, Z. H., and Young, M.: Atlas of modern dinoflagellate cyst distribution based on 2405 data points, *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 191, 1-197, doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2012.08.003, 2013.
- 10

Table 1. List of dinoflagellate cyst taxa identified in the Cariaco sediment trap time series, and their motile equivalents (e.g., Zonneveld and Pospelova, 2015).

Dinoflagellate cyst (Paleontological name)	Motile dinoflagellate (Biological name)
-	<i>Archaeoperidinium constrictum</i> ^{a,*}
-	cf. <i>Biecheleria</i> sp. ^{a,b}
<i>Bitectatodinium spongium</i>	Gonyaulacoid group
<i>Brigantedinium cariacense</i>	<i>Protoperidinium avellanum</i>
<i>Brigantedinium irregulare</i> ^a	<i>Protoperidinium denticulatum</i>
<i>Brigantedinium majusculum</i> ^a	<i>Protoperidinium</i> sp. indet.
<i>Brigantedinium simplex</i>	<i>Protoperidinium conicoides</i>
<i>Brigantedinium</i> spp.	? <i>Protoperidinium</i> spp.
-	cf. <i>Diplopelta</i> sp. ^a
-	<i>Diplopelta</i> cf. <i>symmetrica</i> ^a
<i>Dubridinium caperatum</i> ^a	<i>Preperidinium meunieri</i>
<i>Dubridinium</i> cf. <i>ulsterum</i> ^a	Diplopsalid group
<i>Dubridinium</i> spp. ^{a,*}	Diplopsalid group
<i>Echinidinium aculeatum</i>	Diplopsalid or Protoperidinoid group
<i>Echinidinium delicatum</i>	Diplopsalid or Protoperidinoid group
<i>Echinidinium granulatum</i>	Diplopsalid or Protoperidinoid group
<i>Echinidinium zonneveldiae</i> ^a	Diplopsalid or Protoperidinoid group
<i>Echinidinium</i> cf. <i>delicatum</i> ^a	Diplopsalid or Protoperidinoid group
<i>Echinidinium</i> spp. [*]	Diplopsalid or Protoperidinoid group
-	cf. <i>Ensiculifera carinata</i>
-	<i>Gymnodinium nolleri</i>
<i>Lejeunecysta marieae</i> [*]	Protoperidinoid group
<i>Lejeunecysta oliva</i> [*]	Protoperidinoid group
? <i>Lejeunecysta</i> sp.	Protoperidinoid group
<i>Lingulodinium machaerophorum</i> [*]	<i>Lingulodinium polyedrum</i>
<i>Operculodinium centrocarpum</i> sensu Wall & Dale 1966 [*]	<i>Protoceratium reticulatum</i>
<i>Operculodinium centrocarpum</i> reduced processes [*]	<i>Protoceratium reticulatum</i>
-	<i>Pentapharsodinium dalei</i> [*]
-	<i>Polykrikos kofoidii</i> sensu Matsuoka et al. 2009 ^{a,*}
<i>Polysphaeridium zoharyi</i> [*]	<i>Pyrodinium bahamense</i> var. <i>bahamense</i>
-	<i>Protoperidinium americanum</i> ^{a,*}
-	<i>Protoperidinium fukuyoi</i> ^a
-	<i>Protoperidinium nudum</i> ^a
-	<i>Protoperidinium oblongum</i> (cordate cyst type sensu Wall & Dale 1968) [*]
-	<i>Protoperidinium oblongum</i> (rhombic cyst type sensu Wall & Dale 1968) [*]
-	<i>Protoperidinium stellatum</i>
-	<i>Protoperidinium thulesense</i> ^a
-	<i>Protoperidinium</i> sp. C ^{a,*}
<i>Quinquecupis concreta</i> [*]	<i>Protoperidinium leonis</i>
<i>Selenopemphix nephroides</i>	<i>Protoperidinium subinermis</i>
<i>Selenopemphix quanta</i>	<i>Protoperidinium conicum</i>
<i>Selenopemphix undulata</i> ^a	<i>Protoperidinium</i> sp. indet.
<i>Spiniferites belerius</i> ^a	<i>Gonyaulax scrippsiae</i>
<i>Spiniferites bentorii</i>	<i>Gonyaulax spinifera</i> complex
<i>Spiniferites bulloideus</i>	<i>Gonyaulax spinifera</i> complex
<i>Spiniferites hyperacanthus</i>	<i>Gonyaulax spinifera</i> complex
<i>Spiniferites mirabilis</i>	<i>Gonyaulax spinifera</i> complex
<i>Spiniferites ramosus</i>	<i>Gonyaulax spinifera</i> complex
<i>Spiniferites</i> spp. [*]	<i>Gonyaulax</i> spp.
<i>Stelladinium robustum</i> ^a	<i>Protoperidinium</i> sp. indet.
<i>Votadinium calvum</i> [*]	<i>Protoperidinium oblongum</i>
<i>Votadinium spinosum</i> ^{a,*}	<i>Protoperidinium claudicans</i>
Cyst type Cp ^a	(<i>Cochlodinium polykrikoides</i> ?)
Cyst type M1 ^{a,*}	<i>Protoperidinium</i> sp. indet.
Spiny brown type A ^a	(<i>Archaeoperidinium bailongense</i> ?)
Spiny brown type C ^a	? <i>Protoperidinium</i> sp. indet.
Spiny brown type D ^a	? <i>Protoperidinium</i> sp. indet.
Spiny browns (other) [*]	?Protoperidinoid group
Protoperidinoids [*]	? <i>Protoperidinium</i> spp. indet.
Unknown cysts (heterotrophs) [*]	-
Unknown cysts (autotrophs) [*]	-
Organic linings of calcareous dinoflagellate ^b	-

^a Taxa reported in the Cariaco Basin for the first time

^b Taxa not included in cyst counts

^{*} Taxa never exceeding 1.5% of cyst assemblages




Fig. 1. A. Location of the Cariaco Basin in the southern Caribbean Sea, with boreal winter and summer positions of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) indicated as dashed lines. B. Map showing the main bathymetric features, local rivers emptying in the basin and the location of CARIACO station in the eastern sub-basin.




Fig. 2. Contour plots of SST (A), σ_T (B), PO_4 (C) and Chl *a* (D) over the upper 100 m from monthly water column sampling at the CARIACO station. The grey (in A) or white (B–D) line indicates the mixed layer depth (MLD).




Fig. 3. Main fluxes to the trap. **A.** Fluxes of total sediment mass, biogenic silica, calcium carbonate and organic carbon. **B.** Diatom and silicoflagellate fluxes (from Romero et al., 2009). **C.** Fluxes of the dominant groups of palynomorphs. Vertical, blue shaded areas highlight intervals of active upwelling. Breaks in the horizontal axes indicate gaps in the time series.




Fig. 4. Dinoflagellate cyst assemblages in the Cariaco Basin over the ~ 2.5 years of the sediment trap time series. **A.** Total trap assemblage. **B.** Cyst counts per sample, indicating the few samples < 300 which should be interpreted with caution. **C.** Contributions from the main groups of dinoflagellate cysts. Blue shaded areas indicate intervals of active upwelling, as in Fig. 3.




Fig. 5. Fluxes of selected dinoflagellate cyst taxa in the sediment trap samples. Cyst taxa are organized in groups (active upwelling, secondary upwelling, edge (onset) of upwelling and rare/unclear) based on their abundance patterns relative to upwelling intervals (indicated as blue, shaded areas). Cyst taxa of autotrophic (heterotrophic) affinity are shown in green (brown), with darker shades indicating cysts with cell content.




Fig. 6. Redundancy Analysis (RDA) performed on dinoflagellate cyst fluxes, using physico-chemical parameters as environmental variables (RDA_{envi}). **A.** Ordination of dinoflagellate cyst taxa and environmental variables. **B.** Ordination of samples. **C.** Marginal effects, conditional effects and summary of axes statistics. Statistically significant variables and axes are shown as solid arrows and bold labels.




Fig. 7. RDA of square-root-transformed dinoflagellate cyst fluxes, in the context of other major groups of the planktonic food web (RDA_{bio}). **A.** Ordination of cyst taxa and biological variables, obtained from palynological data (this study) and photopigment data (including ‘autotrophic dinoflagellates’ and ‘diatoms’, from Pinckney et al., 2015). **B.** Marginal effects, conditional effects and summary of RDA axes statistics. ‘Mandibles’, the only statistically significant variable, is shown as a solid arrows with bold label.




Fig. 8. Relationships between biogenic silica flux and fluxes of autotrophic taxa (A), heterotrophic taxa (B) and *Brigantedinium* spp. (C). All fluxes are log-transformed and coefficients of determination (R^2) are calculated after linear regressions.

5




Fig. 9. Comparison of dinoflagellate cyst fluxes to the trap with the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index, the Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI) and weekly precipitation over the Cariaco Basin. NAO index is shown as daily values (thin grey line) and monthly averages (thick, black line), while MEI is drawn from monthly values. Blue shaded areas highlight active upwelling intervals, as in previous figures.

10

40

