

Interactive comment on "CO₂ partial pressure and CO₂ emissions from the lower Red River (Vietnam)" by Thi Phuong Quynh Le et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 13 February 2018

General Comments:

The manuscript entitled "CO2 partial pressure and CO2 emissions from the lower Red River (Vietnam)" by Le et al provides new and important data on CO2 in the Lower Red River. The results are a good contribution for the understanding of the role of Asian rivers for the global carbon evasion from freshwater ecosystems. However, there are many technical problems that need to be address to increase the quality of the paper. I agree with the other reviewers that the estimate of the CO2 evasion is the main problem in the paper and must be reworked. The figures and tables are clear and well designed. However, I suggested some changes in the number of figures and tables. In summary, the authors should review the flux calculation (see specific comments below); consider k600 calculations based on turbulence generated by water flow and;

C1

reorganize Results and Discussion sections. Specific comments and suggestions are below.

Specific comments:

66 – This sentence suggests that there is a "limited knowledge" about carbon flux. Does it mean that there are published information about carbon flux in the Red River, or not?

79 – In the figure 1, the Ba Lat station does not seem to be located about 50 km from the sea. Please clarify.

Some references in the introduction are missing (e.g. lines 48, 56)

- 86 I suggest removing the sentence "see below for the detail river discharge in 2014" and insert the correct reference figure, table etc.
- 109 The sentence "All solutions used for..." is unnecessary.
- 151 What is the "regulator"? You mean pump or a flux regulator? Please, clarify.
- 162 By convention k600 is the gas transfer velocity normalized to a Schmidt number of 600. And k is the gas transfer velocity. "Sc" should not be the "Schmidt number, normalized to Schmidt number of 600". "Sc" should be the Schmidt number of a given gas (CO2 in this case) at a given temperature. Please, clarify the calculation and check if the calculation is correct.
- 166 I wonder why the authors did not considered the temperature variation measured by HOBO sensor to calculate "a"? Were temperature records not made at the same time? In addition, conductivity is much higher in Ba Lat station suggesting differences in salinity among stations. If "a" is a constant (line 167), the salinity variation seems not to be considered in the calculation.
- 170 Please, check the units of each element of the equation. The flux was calculated in μ mol m-2 s-1 but the k, a and pCO2 air is cm h-1, mol L-1 atm-1 and ppm,

respectively. Please reorganize the section 2.4.1 for clarification.

- 183 If k600 values are closely related to flow velocity and channel gradient, why author used k600 values calculated from wind speed? The authors should present a convincing explanation or review the calculation and values.
- 220 The same results are presented in Table 2 and Figure 2. Please, remove the table or the figure.
- 223 I suggest add Alkalinity values in the table or figure 2.
- 257 Change "monsoon" to "wet".
- 263-269 Abril et al., 2015 discuss overestimation of pCO2 using total alkalinity in acidic, organic-rich freshwaters. However, if this water condition is not the case of Red River, the find of Abril is not an explanation for the opposite (lower calculate values when compared with the measured values). These values should be similar or, please, provide a clear and convincing explanation.
- 276 Discussion inside the Results section. Results and Discussion are mixed in several parts of these sections. I suggest re-write and reorganize these sections.
- Table 1 Please double check the station location. I plot the station locations and there are some inconsistences (e.g. Ba Lat is located in the ocean and not in the Red River).
- Table 2 and Figure 2 Why the values after +/- in table 2 and the error bar in Figure 2 are different? What does they mean?
- Figure 6 What the gray and dark circles mean. Dry and wet season? Insert these information in the figure caption.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-505, 2017.