



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	An assessment of natural methane fluxes simulated by the
13	CLASS-CTEM model
14	
15 16	Vivek K. Arora ¹ , Joe R. Melton ² , and David Plummer ¹ .
10	
17	Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis, Environment and Climate Change Canada,
18	University of Victoria, Victoria, B.C., V8W 2Y2, Canada
20	
20	² Climate Barrard Division Frazierana and Climate Charge Counds
21	Vistoria D.C. Canada
22	Victoria, B.C., Canada
24	
25	
26	





28 Abstract

29

27

30 Natural methane emissions from wetlands and fire, and soil uptake of methane, simulated 31 using the Canadian Land Surface Scheme and Canadian Terrestrial Ecosystem (CLASS-CTEM) modelling framework, over the historical 1850-2008 period, are assessed by using a 32 33 one box model of atmospheric methane burden. This one box model also requires 34 anthropogenic emissions and the methane sink in the atmosphere to simulate the historical evolution of global methane burden. For this purpose, global anthropogenic methane 35 emissions for the period 1850-2008 were reconstructed based on the harmonized 36 representative concentration pathway (RCP) and Emission Database for Global Atmospheric 37 38 Research (EDGAR) data sets. The methane sink in the atmosphere is represented using bias-39 corrected methane lifetimes from the Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model (CMAM). The resulting evolution of atmospheric methane concentration over the historical period compares 40 41 reasonably well with observation-based estimates. The modelled natural emissions are also 42 assessed using an inverse procedure where the methane lifetimes required to reproduce the 43 observed year-to-year increase in observed atmospheric methane burden are calculated based upon the global anthropogenic and modelled natural emissions that we have used here. These 44 45 calculated methane lifetimes over the historical period fall within the uncertainty range of observation-based estimates. The present-day (2000-2008) values of modelled methane 46 emissions from wetlands and fire, methane uptake by soil, and the budget terms associated 47 with overall anthropogenic and natural emissions are consistent with estimates reported in a 48 49 recent global methane budget that is based on top-down approaches constrained by observed 50 atmospheric methane burden. The modelled wetland emissions increase over the historical 51 period in response to both increase in precipitation and increase in atmospheric CO₂ 52 concentration. This increase in wetland emissions over the historical period yields evolution 53 of the atmospheric methane concentration that compares better with observation-based values 54 than the case when wetland emissions are held constant over the historical period.

55

56





58 59	Contents	
60	1. Introduction	4
61	2. Model, data and experimental set up	7
62	2.1 The CLASS-CTEM model and its forcing and evaluation data sets	7
63	2.1.1 The CLASS-CTEM model	7
64	2.1.2 Forcing data for the CLASS-CTEM model	15
65	2.1.3 Observation and model-based data for CLASS-CTEM evaluation	16
66	2.2 Atmospheric methane - one box model, anthropogenic emissions and lifetime	19
67	2.2.1 One-box model of atmospheric methane	19
68	2.2.2 Anthropogenic methane emissions	21
69	2.2.3 Lifetime of atmospheric methane	23
70	2.3 The experimental setup	27
71	2.3.1 Equilibrium pre-industrial simulation	27
72	2.3.2 Transient historical simulation	27
73	3. Results	28
74	3.1 Time evolution of simulated global natural methane fluxes	28
75	3.2 Evaluation of simulated global natural methane fluxes	30
76	3.3 Geographical distribution of wetland extent	33
77	3.4 Geographical distribution of simulated natural fluxes	34
78	3.5 Regional evaluation over West Siberia lowlands	35
79	3.6 Evaluation of present-day global methane budget	38
80	4. Discussion and conclusions	39
81		





83 1. Introduction

84

85 Earth system models (ESMs) represent physical climate system processes and their 86 interactions with biogeochemical processes focusing primarily on the carbon cycle in the context of carbon dioxide (CO_2) . These models are able to project how the atmospheric 87 88 concentration of carbon dioxide ([CO₂]) will change in response to changes in anthropogenic CO2 emissions or alternatively diagnose anthropogenic CO2 emissions required to achieve a 89 specific CO_2 concentration pathway (Jones et al., 2013). This capability is achieved by 90 91 modelling $[CO_2]$ as a prognostic variable which itself requires modelling of the surfaceatmosphere exchange of CO2 and hence the need for land and oceanic carbon cycle 92 93 components in ESMs (Arora et al. 2013; Friedlingstein et al. 2006; Friedlingstein et al. 2014). While most ESMs include the capability of modelling [CO₂] as a prognostic variable there 94 95 are only a handful of ESMs which are beginning to treat the atmospheric concentration of methane, ([CH₄]), as a fully prognostic variable (Collins et al., 2011; Shindell et al., 2013). 96

97

The [CH₄] has increased from 722 ± 25 ppb in 1750 to 1803 ± 2 ppb in 2011. The [CO₂] has 98 99 increased globally from 278 (276–280) ppm in 1750 to 390.5 (390.3–390.7) ppm in 2011. 100 The greater global warming potential of CH₄ compared to CO₂, has made methane the second 101 most radiatively important greenhouse gas (GHG) after carbon dioxide CO₂. The CO₂ radiative forcing for the period 1750-2011 is 1.82 W/m² (associated with ~112 ppm increase), 102 while the radiative forcing for CH₄ over the same period is 0.48 W/m^2 (associated with ~1081 103 104 ppb = 1.08 ppm increase) (Myhre et al., 2013). Since methane is a short-lived GHG with an atmospheric lifetime of around 9 years (compared to CO₂ which has an atmospheric lifetime 105 of around 100-200 years), mitigation of anthropogenic CH₄ emissions can lead to a decrease 106 107 in its atmospheric concentration within a timeframe of 10-20 years. As a result methane is





108 considered a short-lived climate forcer (SLCF) and therefore reduction in its anthropogenic 109 emissions offers an attractive and potentially viable target for short-term climate change 110 mitigation policies (Shindell et al., 2012). To be able to address climate benefits of reduction 111 in anthropogenic CH₄ emissions within the framework of comprehensive ESMs, however, it 112 is necessary to model $[CH_4]$ as a prognostic variable in these models.

113

114 Treatment of [CH₄] as a fully prognostic variable in ESMs is hindered by at least two factors. First, the global CH₄ budget is not as well understood as for CO₂. Our lack of ability to close 115 116 the present-day global CH₄ budget is illustrated in Saunois et al. (2016) who present a recent 117 synthesis of several studies and summarize the present-day global CH₄ budget. Saunois et al. (2016) show a large discrepancy between total CH_4 emissions, from both anthropogenic and 118 natural sources, for the 2003-2012 period as inferred from the top-down atmospheric 119 inversion-based approaches (558 Tg CH_4/yr) and those based on bottom-up modelling and 120 121 other approaches (736 Tg CH_4/yr). The primary reason for this discrepancy is that there are 122 multiple sources of both natural and anthropogenic CH_4 emissions so the bottom-up 123 approaches that add up all the individual sources inevitably give larger total emissions than top-down approaches that are constrained by the atmospheric CH_4 burden and its loss in the 124 125 atmosphere. Second, unlike CO₂, CH₄ has a sink in the atmosphere which requires representation of atmospheric chemistry in ESMs to properly account for the removal of CH₄ 126 and feedbacks of methane on chemistry. CH_4 is destroyed in the troposphere and stratosphere 127 128 due to its reaction with OH radicals and chlorine. This is typically very computationally 129 expensive to represent. As an example, the model years per wall clock day simulated by 130 second generation Canadian Earth System Model (CanESM2; Arora et al., 2011) are reduced 131 by a factor of around six when atmospheric chemistry is turned on.





Despite these two challenges there are ways forward to model [CH₄] as a fully prognostic 133 134 variable and be able to use comprehensive ESMs to ask questions that the climate modelling 135 community has asked so far in the context of CO₂. For example, how would future [CH₄] 136 change in response to changes in anthropogenic and natural CH₄ emissions, or alternatively 137 what should anthropogenic CH_4 emissions be to achieve a given CH_4 concentration pathway, 138 all while as anthropogenic CO_2 emissions continue to increase? In terms of emissions, since 139 the top-down estimates of CH₄ emissions from natural and anthropogenic sources are better constrained than the bottom-up estimates they are likely to provide more robust estimates for 140 141 evaluating ESMs and their CH₄ related components. The expensive atmospheric chemistry 142 modules can be replaced with simple first-order representations of chemical losses or, ignoring the spatial variations in CH₄ concentration, the global average concentration of 143 144 methane can be simulated with a box model using specified methane life times which are calculated a priori using full 3D chemistry-climate models. Although, of course, using 145 146 specified CH₄ losses implies that feedbacks of methane on methane loss rates and interactions 147 between atmospheric chemistry and climate can be neglected.

148

149 The CLASS-CTEM modelling framework serves as the land surface component in the family 150 of Canadian ESMs (CanESMs) (Arora et al., 2009, 2011; Arora and Scinocca, 2016) 151 developed by the Department of Environment and Climate Change, Government of Canada, and models the land-atmosphere fluxes of water, energy and CO₂. It consists of the Canadian 152 153 Land Surface Scheme (CLASS) and the Canadian Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (CTEM). In 154 preparation for modelling [CH₄] as a prognostic variable in future versions of CanESMs we 155 have included several CH₄ related processes in the CLASS-CTEM modelling framework. 156 These include representations of dynamic natural wetlands and their CH₄ emissions, CH₄ 157 emissions from fires, and uptake of CH₄ by soils. This paper evaluates the simulated spatial





distribution of wetlands as well as the magnitude of CH4 emissions from wetlands and fires, 158 159 and CH₄ uptake by soils against their respective present-day observation-based estimates. We also evaluate the simulated time evolution of the global sums of these fluxes for the 1850-160 161 2008 period by using a one-box model of atmospheric CH₄ burden. This one-box model 162 requires anthropogenic CH₄ emissions, emissions from other natural sources that aren't 163 modelled in the CLASS-CTEM framework, and a representation of atmospheric sinks. The 164 anthropogenic CH₄ emissions for the period 1850-2008 are obtained by harmonizing the RCP and EDGAR data sets, and natural emissions from sources that aren't modelled are specified. 165 166 Finally, the atmospheric sink of CH₄ is based on bias-corrected global atmospheric lifetime of 167 CH₄ as computed by the Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model (CMAM). The one-box model of atmospheric CH₄ burden is used to evaluate CLASS-CTEM simulated natural CH₄ fluxes 168 169 by comparing simulated evolution of global [CH₄] with their observation-based estimates as 170 well as by comparing the CH₄ lifetime required to reproduce the observed evolution of global 171 [CH₄] over the historical period with their observation-based estimates.

172

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. A brief description of the CLASS-CTEM modelling framework is presented in Section 2 along with the details of methane related processes that are implemented, the data sets used and the experimental protocol. Results are presented in Section 3 and finally discussion and conclusions are presented in Section 4.

177 2. Model, data and experimental set up

178 2.1 The CLASS-CTEM model and its forcing and evaluation data sets

179 2.1.1 The CLASS-CTEM model

180

181 The CLASS-CTEM modelling framework consists of the Canadian Land Surface Scheme

182 (CLASS) and the Canadian Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (CTEM) which are coupled to each





- other and which together simulate fluxes of energy, water, CO₂ and now CH₄ at the landatmosphere boundary. Together, CLASS and CTEM form the land surface component in
 Canadian Earth System Models CanESM1 (Arora et al., 2009), CanESM2 (Arora et al.,
 2011), and CanESM4.2 (Arora and Scinocca, 2016).
- 187

188 CLASS simulates atmosphere-land fluxes of energy and water and it prognostically calculates the liquid and frozen soil moisture contents, and soil temperature for its soil layers, 189 the liquid and frozen moisture contents and temperature of the single vegetation canopy layer 190 191 (if present) and the snow water equivalent and temperature of a single snow layer (if present). CLASS is described in detail in Verseghy (1991), Verseghy et al. (1993) and Verseghy 192 (2000). In the version 3.6 of CLASS used here, the thicknesses of the three permeable soil 193 layers are specified as 0.1, 0.25 and 3.75 m, although the model can be configured to use any 194 number of layers with specified thicknesses. The thicknesses of the permeable layers also 195 196 depend on the depth to the bedrock which is specified on the basis of the global data set of 197 (Zobler, 1986). For example, if the depth to bedrock is only 2 m, then the thicknesses of the 198 permeable soil layers are taken to be 0.1, 0.25 and 1.65 m. The energy and water balance calculations are performed for four plant functional types (PFTs) (needleleaf trees, broadleaf 199 200 trees, crops and grasses). CLASS operates at a sub-daily time step and a time step of 30 201 minutes is used here.

202

CTEM simulates the fluxes of CO₂ at the land-atmosphere boundary and in doing so models vegetation as a dynamic component of the climate system. It models photosynthesis, autotrophic respiratory fluxes from its three living vegetation components (leaves, stem and roots, denoted respectively by L, S and R) and heterotrophic respiratory fluxes from its two dead carbon components (litter and soil carbon, denoted respectively by D and H). The flow





208 of carbon through these five carbon pools is explicitly tracked which allows to calculate the 209 amount of carbon in these pools as prognostic variables. Disturbance through fire and land use change are also modelled. CTEM cannot operate without coupling to CLASS. Its 210 211 photosynthesis module operates at the same time step as CLASS and requires estimates of net 212 radiation and soil moisture from CLASS. In return, CTEM provides CLASS with 213 dynamically simulated structural attributes of vegetation which are functions of the driving 214 meteorological data. The amount of carbon in the leaves, stem and root components is used to estimate structural attributes of vegetation. The leaf area index (LAI) is calculated from leaf 215 biomass using PFT-dependent specific leaf area (SLA, m²/Kg C) which determines the area 216 of leaves that can be constructed per unit leaf carbon biomass (Arora and Boer, 2005a); 217 vegetation height is calculated based on stem biomass for tree PFTs and LAI for grass PFTs 218 219 (Arora and Boer, 2005a); and rooting depth is calculated based on root biomass (Arora and Boer, 2003). Other than photosynthesis, all terrestrial ecosystem processes in CTEM are 220 221 modelled at a daily time step. CTEM models its terrestrial ecosystem processes for nine PFTs 222 that map directly to the PFTs used by CLASS. Needleleaf trees in CTEM are divided into 223 deciduous and evergreen for which terrestrial ecosystem processes are modelled separately, broadleaf trees are divided into cold and drought deciduous and evergreen types, and crops 224 225 and grasses are divided into C_3 and C_4 versions based on their photosynthetic pathways. The 226 version 2.0 of the model is explained in detail in Melton and Arora (2016), while version 2.1 227 is used here which amongst other minor changes includes all methane related processes 228 discussed below.

229

The methane related processes implemented in CLASS-CTEM build on the model's existingcapabilities. Processes are implemented to be able to dynamically model the geographical





distribution of wetlands and their methane emissions, methane emissions from fire andmethane uptake by upland soils.

- 234 2.1.1.1 Wetland extent
- 235

236 The distribution of wetlands is based on a simple formulation which takes into account the topography in a grid cell and its simulated grid-averaged soil moisture content similar to 237 Kaplan (2002). The ETOPO1 digital elevation data (Amante and Eakins, 2009) are used to 238 calculate slopes at 1 arc minute (1/60th degree) resolution. Each 1 arc minute grid cell is 239 240 assigned a slope that is the average of eight slopes based on its elevation and the elevation of 241 its eight surrounding grid cells. The objective is to find what fraction of a grid cell, at some 242 given resolution, has slopes flatter than a given slope threshold. Figure 1 displays the fraction 243 of each 0.5 degree grid cell with slopes less than the threshold of 0.002 (i.e. 0.2% slope) 244 calculated using 1 arc minute slopes, hereafter referred to as the "flat" fraction of a grid cell 245 (f_s) . The flat fraction of grid cell is also shown at the current operational 2.81° resolution of 246 CanESM4.2, which is the spatial resolution we have used in this study. Figure 1 shows that 247 the approach is able to identify the flat regions of the world including the West Siberian and 248 Hudson Bay lowlands, parts of northern Africa and in South America the Pantanal and the 249 region bordering Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay.

250

The flat fraction is the maximum fraction of a grid cell that can potentially become a wetland, if soils are sufficiently wet, and thus a source of CH₄ emissions. As the grid-averaged simulated soil wetness (*w*) of the top soil layer increases, above a given lower threshold (w_{low}) in a grid cell, its wetland fraction (f_w) is assumed to increase linearly until some specified higher soil wetness threshold (w_{high}) up to a maximum value equal to the flat fraction (f_s) in a grid cell.





$$f_w = \max\left(0, \min\left(f_s, \left(\frac{w - w_{low}}{w_{high} - w_{low}}\right)f_s\right)\right) \tag{1}$$

258

257

Soil wetness $(w = \frac{\theta_l}{\theta_p})$ itself is defined as the ratio of volumetric liquid soil moisture content (θ_l) to the soil porosity (θ_p) for the top soil layer. The remaining fraction of the grid cell ($1 - f_w$) is considered as the upland fraction. As simulated liquid soil moisture in the top soil layer responds to changes in environmental conditions the dynamic wetlands expand and contract. The upper and lower soil wetness thresholds are summarized in Table 1 and adapted to yield realistic geographical and latitudinal distribution of wetland extent compared to observation-based estimates.

266 2.1.1.2 Wetland methane emissions

267

The dominant controls on methane emissions in nature are considered to be 1) the position of 268 269 the water table below which methane is produced due to anoxic decomposition of available organic matter and above which methane is oxidized, 2) the soil temperature which 270 271 determines the rate of decomposition of organic matter, 3) the availability of organic matter 272 itself, and 4) the pathway through which methane is transferred to the atmosphere (through 273 soil via molecular diffusion, through stems of the vascular plants and through ebullition if the 274 water table is above the soil surface). These factors are not completely independent and their 275 relative importance changes as environmental conditions change (Walter and Heimann, 276 2000). The explicit consideration of these factors becomes more important as the spatial scale at which CH₄ emissions are being modelled reduces. For example, Zhu et al. (2014b) 277 show that as the modelling spatial scale reduces from 100 to 5 km, the dominant control on 278 279 simulated wetland CH₄ emissions switches from soil temperature to water table depth.





At the current operational resolution of around 2.81° of CanESM (equal to about 310 km at 281 282 the equator) we expect dominant controls on methane emissions to be soil moisture, soil temperature and the availability of organic matter and this allows us to use the simple 283 284 approach that we have used here. CH4 emissions are simulated to occur over the wetland 285 fraction of the grid cell. The simulated CH₄ emissions from wetlands are calculated by 286 scaling the heterotrophic respiratory flux (R_h) from model's litter (D) and soil (H) carbon pools which itself depends on soil temperature, soil moisture, and the available organic 287 matter. Heterotrophic respiration from the litter and soil carbon pools takes the following 288 289 basic form, with the formulation explained in detail in Melton and Arora (2016)

290

$$R_{h,i} = 2.64 \times 10^{-6} \varsigma_i C_i f_i(Q_{10}) f_i(\Psi), i = D, H$$
$$R_h = R_{h,D} + R_{h,H}$$
(2)

292

291

where ς_i represents the base respiration rate (kg C (kg C)⁻¹ year⁻¹) at 15°C, C_i is the amount of carbon in model's litter or soil carbon pool (kg C m⁻²), $f_i(Q_{10}) = Q_{10}^{0.1(T_i-15)}$ is a Q₁₀ function that models the effect of temperature, T_i is the temperature of litter or soil carbon pool (°C) and $f_i(\Psi)$ is the function that reduces heterotrophic respiration when soils are too dry and too wet using the soil matric potential (Ψ) (Melton et al., 2015). The constant 2.64 ×10⁻⁶ converts units from kg C m⁻² yr⁻¹ to mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹.

299

300 Modelled CH_4 emissions from wetlands, per unit area of a grid cell (mol CH_4 m⁻² s⁻¹), are 301 calculated as

302

$$E_w = R_h f_w \alpha_w \delta_s \tag{3}$$





305 where f_w is the wetland fraction in a grid cell mentioned above, α_w is the ratio of wetland to 306 upland heterotrophic respiratory flux, and δ_s converts flux from CO₂ to CH₄ units but also 307 takes into account that some of the CH₄ flux is oxidized in the soil column before reaching 308 the atmosphere. A value of 0.45 is used for α_w since heterotrophic CO₂ respiratory flux over 309 lowlands is typically lower than over uplands due to limitation by increased soil moisture including a high water table level. While the $f_i(\Psi)$ function in equation (1) does reduce 310 311 heterotrophic respiration when soils are wet it does so using only the grid averaged soil 312 moisture content. Wania et al. (2010) use a preferred value of δ_s equal to 0.1 and Zhu et al. (2014a) found δ_s varies between 0.1 and 0.7 with a mean value of 0.23 when calibrating 313 314 their model against data from 19 sites. A value of 0.135 is used for δ_s in this study. The product $\alpha_w \delta_s$ thus equals 0.061 which implies that for each mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ of heterotrophic 315 respiratory flux 0.061 mol $CH_4 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ is generated over unit area that is deemed wetland. At 316 317 large spatial scales CH₄ and CO₂ heterotrophic respiratory fluxes are expected to be highly correlated since to the first order they are both governed by temperature and the amount of 318 319 organic matter available for decomposition (Dalva et al., 2001; Zhu et al., 2014a). In addition, 320 as the spatial scale increases it is possible to ignore the effect of water table depth as Zhu et 321 al. (2014b) illustrate.

322 **2.1.1.3 Fire methane emissions**

323

Fire in CLASS-CTEM is modelled using an intermediate complexity scheme, which represents both natural and human-caused fires, and accounts for all elements of the fire triangle: fuel load, combustibility of fuel, and availability of ignition sources. The fire module accounts for both natural fires caused by lightning and anthropogenic fires which are the result of ignitions caused by humans expressed as a function of population density. Increasing





population density increases human-caused fire ignitions but also increases suppression of 329 330 fire. The suppression of fire represents fire-fighting efforts, landscape fragmentation and other processes which leads to a reduction in area burned and is also modelled as a function 331 332 of population density. The original fire parametrization is described in Arora and Boer 333 (2005b) which has since been adapted and used in several other DGVMs (Kloster et al., 334 2010; Li et al., 2012; Migliavacca et al., 2013). The fire module in CTEM v. 2.1 incorporates changes suggested in these studies as well as several new improvements which are 335 summarized in detail in Melton and Arora (2016). The two primary outputs from the fire 336 337 module are fraction of area burned per grid cell and dry organic biomass burned per unit area (gC m⁻²). The dry organic matter burned is then multiplied by corresponding emissions 338 factors to obtained emissions (g species m⁻²) for several species of trace gases and aerosols 339 including methane (CO2, CO, CH4, H2, NHMC, NOx, N2O, total particulate matter, 340 341 particulate matter less than 2.5 µm in diameter, and black and organic carbon). These 342 emissions factors are based on an updated set by Andreae and Merlet (2001) listed in Tables 343 3 and 4 of Li et al. (2012).

344 2.1.1.4 Soil uptake of methane

345

2.1.1.4 Son uptake of methane

346 The methane uptake over soil occurs over the unsaturated (upland) fraction of a grid cell that 347 is not deemed wetland i.e. $(1-f_w)$. The parameterization is based on an exact solution of the one-dimensional diffusion-reaction equation in the near-surface (top) soil layer and described 348 349 in detail in Curry (2007). Briefly, the methane uptake by soil is a function of diffusion of 350 methane into soil (which depends on atmospheric methane concentration) and its subsequent 351 oxidation by microbes. The diffusion of methane into the soil depends primarily on air filled 352 porosity of the soil and increases as the pore volume filled by liquid and frozen moisture 353 decreases. The oxidation of methane by microbes is a function of both soil moisture and





temperature. Oxidation preferably occurs when soils are neither too dry (when microbial activity is limited by low soil moisture) and nor too wet (when microbes are deprived of oxygen). Warmer temperatures favour oxidation of methane in soil and oxidation increases by about 4 times as soil temperature increases from 0 °C to 27.5 °C. Finally, the inhibition of methane uptake in cultivated soils is accounted for by a linear factor that reduces oxidation as crop fraction in a grid cell increases.

360 2.1.2 Forcing data for the CLASS-CTEM model

361

The CLASS-CTEM model is driven with meteorological data and atmospheric CO2 and CH4 362 concentrations. The model also requires geophysical fields for the fractional coverage of nine 363 364 CTEM PFTs, soil texture and depth to bedrock. The meteorological data are based on version 7 of the Climate Research Unit – National Centre for Environmental Prediction (CRU-NCEP) 365 366 reanalysis dataset (Viovy, 2012). The meteorological variables (surface temperature, 367 pressure, precipitation, wind, specific humidity, and incident short-wave and long-wave radiation fluxes) are available at a spatial resolution of $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ and at a six hourly time 368 interval for the period 1901-2015. These data are regridded to a spatial resolution of 2.81° 369 370 and temporally to a half-hour time step to drive the CLASS-CTEM model. Temperature, 371 pressure, wind, specific humidity, and long-wave radiation are linearly interpolated in time 372 while short-wave radiation is assumed to change with the solar zenith angle with maximum radiation occurring at solar noon. Following Arora (1997) the six-hourly precipitation amount 373 374 (P, mm/6-hour) is used to estimate the number of wet half-hours in a given six-hour period 375 and the six- hourly precipitation amount is randomly distributed over these wet half hours. 376 Figure 2 shows the annual land-averaged temperature and precipitation (excluding Antarctica) as derived from the CRU-NCEP data. Both temperature and precipitation show 377





- an overall increase over the 20^{th} century, that continues into the 21^{st} century, associated with
- 379 the changing climate.
- 380

381 The land cover data are used by the model to specify the fractional coverage of CTEM's nine 382 PFTs in each grid cell. These data are based on a geographical reconstruction of the historical 383 land cover driven by the increase in crop area (Arora and Boer, 2010) but using the crop area 384 data based on the LUH2 v1h version of the Hurtt et al (2006) land cover product. The final 385 data set consists of the fractional coverage of CTEM's nine PFTs for the period 1850-2015 at 386 the global scale and at 2.81° spatial resolution. The increase in crop area over the historical period leads to decrease in area of natural vegetation thus leading to deforestation. A fraction 387 388 of deforested vegetation is burned but deforested biomass is also converted to paper and 389 wood products which decompose over time leading to land use change emissions. These 390 processes are described in detail in Arora and Boer (2010). In context of terrestrial methane 391 budget, an increase in crop area leads to lower methane uptake by soil over the cultivated 392 fraction of a grid cell.

393

The globally averaged atmospheric CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations used to drive the model are obtained from the data sets put together for the sixth phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6) and available from input4MIPs web site (https://esgfnode.llnl.gov/projects/input4mips/). These data are shown in Figure 3.

398 2.1.3 Observation and model-based data for CLASS-CTEM evaluation

399

400 In addition to evaluating the CLASS-CTEM simulated methane emissions from wetlands, fire 401 and methane uptake by soils in the context of the one-box atmospheric CH_4 model as





- 402 mentioned in section 2.2 below, we also evaluate simulated present-day wetland extent and
- 403 all modelled methane fluxes directly against other model and observation-based estimates.
- 404

405 The CLASS-CTEM simulated wetland extent is compared against two data sets: the wetland 406 data from the Global Lakes and Wetlands Database (GLWD; Lehner and Döll, 2004) and a 407 new product that is formed by merging remote sensing based observations of daily surface 408 inundation from the Surface Water Microwave Product Series (SWAMPS; Schroeder et al., 2015) with the static inventory of wetland area from the GLWD. The derivation of the second 409 410 product is explained in detail in Poulter et al. (2017). SWAMPS provides estimates of 411 fractional surface water based on data from multiple passive and active microwave satellite missions. While open water (e.g. rivers, lakes and ocean) and inundated wetlands comprising 412 413 of open plant canopies are mapped by satellites, inundation beneath closed forest canopies, 414 and exposed wetlands with water table below the surface cannot be mapped. However, 415 satellite data are able to provide the seasonal cycle which static data sets like GLWD cannot. 416 The merged SWAMPS-GLWD product attempts to overcome limitations of both individual 417 data sets.

418

The simulated present-day methane emissions from wetlands and fire, and methane uptake by soil, are compared to top-down estimates compiled by Saunois et al. (2016). We also compare the anthropogenic emissions we have used within the framework of one-box atmospheric methane model (Section 2.2) with estimates from Saunois et al. (2016).

423

Finally, we also evaluate the model regionally over the West Siberian Lowlands (WSL). This region is chosen because inversion-based methane fluxes are readily accessible over the region which were compiled and documented for the WETCHIMP-WSL Intercomparison





project (Bohn et al., 2015). We compare simulated wetland extent and wetland methane 427 428 emissions with observation- and inversion-based results from Bousquet et al. (2011), Kim et al. (2011), and Winderlich (2012) and participating models in the Wetland and Wetland CH_4 429 430 Intercomparison of Models Project (WETCHIMP, Melton et al. (2013)) focused on the West 431 Siberian Lowlands region (WETCHIMP-WSL) (Bohn et al., 2015). Of these the Kim et al. 432 (2011) and Winderlich (2012) are regional inversions. Kim et al. (2011) used wetland methane emissions from Glagolev et al. (2010) at 1° spatial resolution as their prior and used 433 the NIES-TM atmospheric transport model for the period 2002-2007. They derived 434 435 climatological monthly wetland emissions optimized to match atmospheric methane concentrations obtained by aircraft sampling. Winderlich (2012) used the Kaplan (2002) 436 wetland inventory for prior wetland emissions with the TM3-STILT global inversion system 437 438 for year 2009. Their posterior monthly wetland emissions were uniquely determined for each grid cell within their domain at 1° spatial resolution and optimized to match atmospheric 439 440 methane concentrations measured at four tower observation sites located between 58°N and 441 63 °N. The Bousquet et al. (2011) is a global inversion but uses two priors – the first one based on the Matthews and Fung (1987) emissions inventory and the second based on Kaplan 442 (2002). Bousquet et al. (2011) inversion used the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique 443 general circulation model (LMDZ) atmospheric transport model at a $3.75^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ grid and 444 estimated monthly methane emissions at a 1° spatial resolution for the period 1993–2009. 445 446 Being a global inversion they optimized atmospheric concentrations relative to global surface 447 observations at several flask stations for methane but also other trace gases. For wetland extent, we compare the CLASS-CTEM simulated wetland extent over the WSL region with 448 models participating in the WETCHIMP-WSL intercomparison, and GLWD and 449 SWAMPS+GLWD products mentioned above but also the Global Inundation Extent from 450 Multi-Satellites (GIEMS; Papa et al., 2010; Prigent et al., 2007) derived from visible and 451





452 near-infrared and active and passive microwave sensors for the period 1993–2004. In 453 addition, we also use the estimate from Peregon et al., (2009) who used a regional wetland 454 typology map further refined by satellite image classifications to calculate the wetland extent 455 in the WSL region.

456 2.2 Atmospheric methane – one box model, anthropogenic emissions and lifetime

- 457 2.2.1 One-box model of atmospheric methane
- 458

459 A one-box model of atmospheric CH_4 is used to evaluate the time evolution of simulated 460 methane emissions from wetlands (E_w) , methane emissions from fire (E_f) and the soil uptake 461 of methane (S_{soil}) over the period 1850-2008. The model describes the changes in burden of 462 atmospheric CH_4 (*B*) as a balance of surface emissions (consisting of natural, E_N , and 463 anthropogenic emissions, E_A) and the atmospheric (S_{atmos}) and surface soil sinks (S_{soil}) .

464
$$\frac{dB}{dt} = E_N(t) + E_A(t) - S_{atmos}(t) - S_{soil}(t)$$
(4)

where t is the time and equation (4) is applied at an annual time step. The atmospheric CH_4 465 466 burden (B, Tg CH₄) equals 2.78 times $[CH_4]$ (represented in units of parts per billion, ppb) 467 (Denman et al., 2007). The distinction between natural and anthropogenic emissions is not straight-forward for fire which contains emissions due to both natural and human-caused 468 469 fires. For comparison with Saunois et al. (2016) global CH₄ budget (as shown later in Section 470 3) we consider all emissions from fire as anthropogenic, although the CLASS-CTEM model 471 calculates fire emissions due both to lightning and human-caused ignitions. Natural emissions 472 $(E_N = E_w + E_o)$ consist of modelled wetlands emissions (E_w) and emissions from other natural sources (E_o) (including termites, geological sources, wild animals and freshwater) 473 474 which we specify at 30 Tg CH_4 /yr (consistent with but towards the lower end of the range





are explicitly model (E_f) . Estimation of anthropogenic emissions excluding those from fire and biomass burning $(E_A \exp l \operatorname{fire})$ data are explained in section 2.2.2.

481

The atmospheric sink S is calculated as a first-order loss process from methane's lifetime τ_{chem} in the atmosphere as $S_{atmos}(t) = B(t)[1 - \exp(-1/\tau_{chem}(t))]$. An estimate of τ_{chem} is obtained from the Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model (CMAM) with chemistry and compared to an observation-based estimate from Prather et al. (2012) as later shown in Section 2.2.3. With S_{atmos} represented in terms of τ_{chem} equation (4) can be rewritten as

487
$$B(t + \Delta t) = B(t) - B(t)[1 - \exp(-1/\tau_{chem}(t))] + (E_N(t) + E_A(t) - S_{soil}(t))\Delta t$$
$$= B(t)[\exp(-1/\tau_{chem}(t))] + (E_N(t) + E_A(t) - S_{soil}(t))\Delta t$$
(5)

where $\Delta t = 1$ year. Equation (5) can be used to evaluate simulated natural methane emissions E_N in two ways. First, when all the terms on the right hand side of equation (5), including an initial value of B(t), are known then the time evolution of B can be calculated and compared to its observation-based estimate. Second, if the time evolution of B is specified based on observations of methane concentration in the atmosphere then the value of τ_{chem} required to satisfy equation (5) can be calculated (see equation 6) and compared its observation-based estimate e.g. from Prather et al. (2012).

495
$$\tau_{chem}(t) = -\frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{B(t+\Delta t) - \left(E_N(t) + E_A(t) - S_{soil}(t)\right)\Delta t}{B(t)}\right)}$$
(6)





497 In section 3, we have used both these methodologies to assess CLASS-CTEM simulated 498 methane emissions from wetlands (E_w), methane emissions from fire (E_f) and the soil uptake 499 of methane (S_{soil}). Note that equation (5) does not include any term for oceanic methane 500 emissions. Saunois et al. (2016) report a range of 0–5 Tg CH₄/yr, with a mean value of 2 Tg 501 CH₄/yr for oceanic methane emissions. Given the large uncertainty in other components of 502 the global methane budget, and the small magnitude of oceanic methane emissions, we have 503 ignored this term.

504 2.2.2 Anthropogenic methane emissions

505

506 The time evolution of global E_A (used in equation 5) for the 1850-2008 period is based on 507 two data sets. The first data set is the decadal representative concentration pathway (RCP) anthropogenic methane emissions data set (version 2.0.5) available at 0.5° spatial resolution 508 509 for the period 1850-2000 and provided for the fifth phase of the coupled model 510 Intercomparison project (CMIP5) by the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis 511 (IIASA) (http://www.iiasa.ac.at/web-apps/tnt/RcpDb). The value for the first year of each decade is assumed to correspond to the rest of the decade. So the 1850 value corresponds to 512 513 the 1850-1859 decade, the 1860 value corresponds to the 1860-69 decade and so on. The second anthropogenic methane emissions data set is part of version 4.2 of the Emission 514 515 Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR, 516 http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/overview.php?v=42) available at 0.1° spatial resolution and available for the period 1970-2008. These data sets were selected because the RCP data set 517 518 provides the anthropogenic methane emissions going back to 1850 and the EDGAR data set 519 provides the anthropogenic methane emissions for more recent years since 1970. The 520 EDGAR data set was also chosen because amongst the recent anthropogenic data sets this is





- 521 the only data set which provides gridded anthropogenic methane emissions at an annual time
- scale (see Table 1 of Saunois et al (2016)).

523

524 These two data sets are blended (or harmonized) to obtain a consistent time series of annual 525 global anthropogenic methane emissions for the period 1850-2008. First the RCP and 526 EDGAR data are regridded from their 0.5° and 0.1° spatial resolutions, respectively, to the 2.81° resolution at which the model is applied. Next all non-biomass burning emission 527 categories are added separately in both data sets to obtain total anthropogenic emissions for 528 529 each data set. The emissions categories in both data sets are somewhat different as shown in 530 Table 2. Emissions from fire and biomass burning are excluded because CLASS-CTEM simulates CH₄ emissions from fire explicitly. Since our framework requires only total 531 532 anthropogenic methane emissions (excluding biomass burning) the different emissions 533 categories in the two data sets do not matter. Equation (7) summarizes this harmonization 534 methodology for a given grid cell.

535

536
$$E_{A,\text{RCP adjusted}}(t) = E_{A,\text{RCP}}(t) + \frac{t - 1850}{1970 - 1850} \left(E_{A,\text{EDGAR}}(1970) - E_{A,\text{RCP}}(1970) \right)$$
(7)

537

538 where $E_{A,RCP}$ and $E_{A,EDGAR}$ represent annual anthropogenic methane emissions (excluding biomass buring) in the RCP and EDGAR data sets, respectively, $E_{A,RCP adjusted}$ represent the 539 adjusted RCP emissions and t is the time in years from 1850 to 1970. The harmonization 540 algorithm adjusts the annual total anthropogenic methane emissions in the RCP data, for each 541 2.81° grid cell, from 1850 to 1970 such that by the time RCP emissions reach 1970 they are 542 543 the same as the EDGAR's total emissions excluding biomass burning. As a result of this harmonization, the largest change is made to RCP emissions for year 1970 and the smallest 544 545 change is made for year 1851. The RCP emissions for year 1850 are not changed. The final





- harmonized time series for E_A is obtained by concatenating $E_{A,RCP adjusted}$ for the period 1850
- to 1970 and $E_{A,EDGAR}$ for the period from 1971 to 2008.
- 548

549 Figure 4a shows the harmonized time series of global anthropogenic methane emissions along with the decadal RCP and annual EDGAR emissions (excluding biomass burning). The 550 RCP and EDGAR emissions are fairly similar for the period 1970-1990 but are different after 551 1990. Figures 4b and 4c illustrate how the harmonization works for two selected grid cells 552 553 based on equation (7). In Figure 4b anthropogenic methane emissions are shown for a land grid cell where emissions first increase and then decrease. In Figure 4c anthropogenic 554 555 methane emissions are shown for an ocean grid cell, with six orders of magnitude lower 556 emissions than the land grid cell, where emissions more or less continuously increase. In both case, the harmonization ensures that by 1970 the adjusted RCP emissions are same as the 557 558 EDGAR emissions. Although for the purpose of using E_A in equation (5) only its global 559 values are required, the methodology described here yields a continuous consistent gridded data set of anthropogenic methane emissions for the period of our analysis with no abrupt 560 561 jumps.

562

563 2.2.3 Lifetime of atmospheric methane

564

To use equation (5), for evaluation of CLASS-CTEM simulated annual values of E_w , E_f and S_{soil} over the historical period, time-evolving annual values of τ_{chem} are required. We obtain values of τ_{chem} simulated by the Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model (CMAM). The CMAM is a fully interactive chemistry-climate model (CCM) that is based on a vertically extended version of the third generation Canadian Atmospheric General Circulation Model with a model lid at 95 km (Scinocca et al., 2008). The model contains a description of the





571 important physical and chemical processes of the stratosphere/mesosphere and has been 572 extensively assessed against observations through participation in two phases of the Chemistry-Climate Model Validation (CCMVal) activity (Eyring et al., 2006; SPARC 573 574 CCMVal, 2010). Of more importance for methane, the chemistry has been extended throughout the troposphere by including cloud corrections on clear-sky photolysis rates, 575 576 emissions of ozone precursors CO and NO_x (NO + NO₂) including emissions of NO_x from lightning, hydrolysis on specified tropospheric sulphate aerosols and interactive wet and dry 577 deposition. Note that the chemical mechanism currently used in CMAM has not yet been 578 579 extended to include the chemistry of non-methane hydrocarbons important in the 580 troposphere; only methane chemistry is considered.

581

582 Results from CMAM with tropospheric chemistry have been submitted to the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) (Lamarque et al., 2013). 583 584 The experimental design for ACCMIP involved timeslice simulations at various points in 585 time between 1850 and 2100, with simulations for year 2000 conditions used for assessing 586 the model chemical climate against available present-day observations. The ACCMIP intercomparison found that the CMAM produced estimates of tropospheric chemical 587 588 quantities that fell well within the range of current generation CCMs. For example, the present-day tropospheric ozone burden from CMAM was 323 Tg, versus a multi-model mean 589 of 337 ± 23 Tg, where the range is given as one standard deviation across the 15 participating 590 591 models (Young et al., 2013). The present-day methane lifetime to reaction with OH in the 592 troposphere was found to be 9.4 years, again well within the range of ACCMIP models of 9.7 593 \pm 1.5 years (Naik et al., 2013). However, like the majority of ACCMIP models, the CMAM 594 predicts a too fast removal of CH₄ by OH as compared with our best-estimate from methyl-595 chloroform decay of 11.2 ± 1.3 years (Prather et al., 2012). As described further below, the





- 596 calculated CH₄ lifetime to chemical loss from CMAM is therefore scaled to agree with
- 597 observationally-based estimates before being used in the one box model.
- 598
- 599 Time-dependent values of τ_{chem} are derived from a simulation over the 1850-2014 period 600 that uses specified sea-surface temperatures and sea-ice from one member of the five-member 601 historical ensemble performed by CanESM2 for the CMIP5. Data for 2006-2014 was taken 602 from a continuation of that simulation for the RCP 6.0 scenario. Specified anthropogenic and 603 biomass burning emissions of CO and NO_x were taken from the CMIP5 historical database 604 (Lamarque et al., 2010) up to 2000 and for the RCP 6.0 scenario to 2014. Specified concentrations of long-lived greenhouse gases were from Meinshausen et al. (2011), 605 following RCP 6.0 from 2006-2014. Specified stratospheric aerosol surface area density 606 607 fields, used to account for the effects of large volcanic eruptions, was based on the 1960-2010 database created for the Chemistry Climate Model Initiative (CCMI) extended back to 1850 608 609 following the approach described in Neely III et al. (2016). Solar variability was included by 610 calculating the wavelength-resolved daily variability relative to the long-term average (June 611 1976 – January 2007) from the recommended CMIP6 database (Matthes et al., 2017).
- 612

613 The observation-based estimate of τ_{chem} is obtained from Prather et al. (2012) who calculate 614 τ_{CH_4} based on equation (8) as

615
$$\frac{1}{\tau_{CH_4}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{OH}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{strat}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{trop-Cl}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{soil}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{chem}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{soil}}$$
(8)

616 where τ_{OH} (present day value of 11.2 years), τ_{strat} (120 years), $\tau_{trop-Cl}$ (200 years) and τ_{soil} 617 (150 years) are the lifetimes associated with the destruction of CH₄ by tropospheric OH 618 radicals, loss in the stratosphere, reaction with tropospheric chlorine and uptake by soils, 619 respectively, which yields a present day (corresponding to year 2010) value of τ_{CH_4} as





620 9.1±0.9 years. τ_{chem} is the methane life time associated with chemical processes in the 621 atmosphere. For the pre-industrial period (corresponding to year 1750), Prather et al. (2012) estimate $\tau_{\rm CH_4}$ as 9.5±1.3 years assuming $\tau_{\rm OH}$ to be equal to 11.76 years (based on 622 Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) results 623 624 (Voulgarakis et al., 2013)) and lifetimes associated with other processes are assumed to stay 625 the same. In our study, the methane soil sink is explicitly simulated in the CLASS-CTEM framework and this corresponds to the term $\frac{1}{\tau_{soil}}$ in equation (8). The remaining terms in 626 equation (8) all correspond to atmospheric processes. Removing the $\frac{1}{\tau_{soil}}$ term in equation (8) 627 628 gives us an observation-based estimate of τ_{chem} making it consistent with CMAM's methane lifetime corresponding only to atmospheric processes and increases the observation-based 629 estimates of pre-industrial and present-day atmospheric CH₄ lifetimes to 10.15±1.45 years 630 631 and 9.7±1.0 years, respectively.

632

633 Figure 5 compares the τ_{chem} values from CMAM with its observation-based estimate from 634 Prather et al. (2012) and shows that CMAM based estimates are biased low and outside the 635 uncertainty range of observation-based estimates, as mentioned earlier. When used in the one-box model of atmospheric methane, the lower than observed τ_{chem} values will 636 637 inevitably lead to a higher than observed atmospheric sink (S_{atmos}) and thus lower than observed atmospheric methane concentration even if all the other flux terms (E_N , E_A , and 638 639 S_{soil}) in equation (5) are realistic. We therefore adjust the CMAM derived values of τ_{chem} 640 upwards by 15% so that they lie within the uncertainty range of observation-based estimates 641 of the τ_{chem} as derived by Prather et al. (2012). These adjusted values of τ_{chem} are also shown in Figure 5. 642





- 644 2.3 The experimental setup
- 645
- 646 2.3.1 Equilibrium pre-industrial simulation
- 647

648 The equilibrium pre-industrial simulation was initialized from zero biomass for all PFTs. The 649 fractional coverages of CTEM's nine PFTs for the pre-industrial simulation are based on the 650 land cover product described in section 2.1.2 for year 1850. The model was then driven with 651 1901-1925 CRU-NCEP climate data cycled repeatedly until the model pools reach equilibrium. The early part of the 20th century does not show any significant trends compared 652 to the later part of the 20th century, as seen in Figure 2a, so using the 1901-1925 data to spin 653 654 up the model to equilibrium for 1850 conditions is reasonable. Atmospheric CO₂ and CH₄ concentration levels were set to 285 ppm and 791 ppb, respectively, corresponding to their 655 pre-industrial 1850 levels. This pre-industrial equilibrium simulation yields initial conditions 656 657 for all CLASS-CTEM prognostic variables for the transient 1851-2015 simulation.

658

659 2.3.2 Transient historical simulation

660

661 The transient historical simulation is performed for the period 1851-2015 and its prognostic 662 variables are initialized from the equilibrium pre-industrial simulation as mentioned above. 663 For the period 1851 to 1900 of this simulation the model is driven with meteorological data 664 from 1901-1925 twice similar to what we do for spinning up the model for the pre-industrial 665 simulation. For the period 1901-2015 the meteorological data corresponding to each year are 666 used. Time varying concentrations of atmospheric CO_2 and CH_4 are specified for the period 667 1851-2015. The annual time-varying fractional coverages of C_3 and C_4 crop PFTs in each 668 grid cell are based on LUH2 v1h version of the Hurtt et al (2006) land cover product.





669

670	In this transient simulation, 1) wetland extent and its methane emissions respond to changes
671	in climate but also increases in atmospheric CO_2 concentration (which increases net primary
672	productivity and thus heterotrophic respiration), 2) methane emissions from fire respond to
673	changes in climate, atmospheric CO ₂ concentration, population density and land use change,
674	and 3) methane uptake by soil responds to climate, changes in atmospheric CH_4 concentration
675	and changes in crop fraction.

676

677 3. Results

678

We first show the results from the transient 1851-2015 simulation and evaluate the timeevolution of CLASS-CTEM simulated global natural methane fluxes over the historical period using the one-box model of atmospheric methane described in section 2.2. This is followed by evaluation of model fluxes for the present day against observation-based estimates and for the WSL region using results from other models.

684

685 3.1 Time evolution of simulated global natural methane fluxes

686

Figure 6 shows the time evolution of simulated annual maximum wetland extent, methane emissions from wetlands and fire, and soil uptake by methane from the 1851-2015 transient historical simulation. In Figure 6: 1) the simulated wetland methane emissions increase by 30% over the historical period from about 130 to 169 Tg CH₄/yr from 1850s to the present day (2000-2008) partly due to increase in wetland extent which increases by 8% from 7.5 to 8.1 million km² from 1850s to the present day, 2) the simulated fire methane emissions





- decrease from their 1850s value by 20% from about 34 to 27 Tg CH_4/yr for the present day and 3) the soil methane uptake more than doubles from its 1850s value of about 14 to 29 Tg CH_4/yr for the present day.
- 696

697 The increase in wetland methane emissions over the historical period is due to an increase in 698 the wetland area, driven by increase in precipitation seen in Figure 2b, but also higher 699 methane fluxes per unit area. The higher methane fluxes per unit area are caused by increase 700 in atmospheric CO_2 concentration which increases both net primary productivity and 701 heterotrophic respiration over the historical period as shown in Figure 7. Since wetland 702 methane emissions are proportional to heterotrophic respiration (R_h) in equation (3) an 703 increase in R_h also increases methane emissions from wetlands. The decrease in methane 704 emissions from wildfires is driven by a decrease in area burned which itself is driven by an 705 increase in crop area and population density over the historical period. The increase in 706 cropland area decreases area burned by wildfires in the model since croplands are not allowed to burn. In the real world cropland area also fragments the landscape which affects the spread 707 of fire. Direct anthropogenic influences on wildfires are more complex since accidental, as 708 709 well as intentional, human-caused ignitions enhance wildfires while anthropogenic 710 suppression of wildfires decreases area burned and fire related emissions.

711

Figure 8 shows that the overall effect of increase in crop area and population density in the model is that area burned increases slightly up to about 1920, and then starts decreasing thereafter and this area burned pattern compares reasonably with the decadal charcoal index from the Global Charcoal Database version 3 (Marlon et al., 2008) for the full length of the historical simulation. Winds and smoke carry charcoal from fires and deposit it onto aquatic sediments and this forms the basis of sediment charcoal indices. The caveat with the





718 comparison with sediment charcoal records is that they provide only a proxy for fire activity 719 and indicate if fire activity is higher or lower relative to a point in time. Figure 8 also 720 compares area burned with estimates from version 4.1s of the Global Fire Emissions 721 Database (Giglio et al., 2013; Randerson et al., 2012) that are based on the satellite record 722 and available only for the short 1997-2014 period. Model and observation-based average burned area over this 1997-2014 period are 483.4 and 485.5 million hectares year⁻¹ and their 723 trends are -5.57 ± 1.25 and -3.43 ± 1.05 million hectares year⁻², respectively. The negative 724 725 trends indicate burned area has been decreasing. Finally, the increase in methane uptake by 726 soils is primarily the response to an increase in the atmospheric concentration of methane. Diffusion of methane into the soil is directly proportional to its atmospheric concentration 727 (Curry, 2007) which more than doubles from around 790 ppb in 1850 to around 1830 ppb in 728 729 2015 (Figure 3b).

730

731 **3.2** Evaluation of simulated global natural methane fluxes

732

733 We first evaluate the CLASS-CTEM simulated global natural methane fluxes in a forward 734 simulation where all the right hand side terms of equation (5) are specified at an annual time step and the change in atmospheric methane burden $\frac{dB}{dt}$ is calculated every year. Although 735 the CRU-NCEP meteorological data are available to 2015 allowing us to perform offline 736 CLASS-CTEM simulations up until 2015, the last year for which harmonized RCP-EDGAR 737 738 emissions are available is 2008. We therefore simulate the time evolution of atmospheric methane concentration for the period 1851-2008. In this forward calculation of atmospheric 739 740 methane burden the one box model may be initialized using the observed 1850 methane 741 concentration or using the 1850 concentration that is in equilibrium with 1850 sinks and





sources. The latter is calculated by assuming $\frac{dB}{dt} = 0$ in equation (5) and finding the value of 742 743 B for all other terms corresponding to their 1850 values. This yields an equilibrium concentration in 1850 of 708 ppb compared to its observation-based value of 791 ppb. An 744 equilibrium methane concentration in 1850 that is lower than observed implies that the 1850 745 emissions are lower and/or the atmospheric methane sink is higher (associated with lower 746 747 atmospheric methane lifetime in 1850). Regardless, since the lifetime of methane in the 748 atmosphere is only around 10 years the effect of initial conditions disappears by around 1870. 749 This is shown in Figure 9a which compares the simulated evolution of atmospheric methane 750 burden with its observations. Figure 9a shows that overall the simulated increase in methane 751 concentration over the historical period is reasonable compared to observation-based 752 estimates despite the various specified and modelled sources and sinks that contribute to the 753 time evolution of atmospheric methane burden. The simulated values are somewhat 754 overestimated from 1885 to 1980 and underestimated from 1980 to 2005. The increase in 755 observed methane concentration over the 1850 to 2008 period is 998 ppb, while the one box 756 model yields an increase of 1024 ppb when initialized from observed 1850 methane 757 concentration. The year 2008 concentration is calculated to be 1815 ppb compared to the 758 observation-based value of 1790 ppb.

759

An alternative approach to evaluate the modelled natural sinks and sources is to specify the rate of increase of atmospheric methane burden according to its observations and calculate the required atmospheric lifetime of methane (excluding the soil sink), given modelled natural sinks and sources, following equation (6) as discussed in section 2.2. These results are shown in Figure 9b, which compares the methane lifetimes required to achieve the observed increase in methane concentration over the historical period (black line) to within \pm 5 ppb (shaded area between grey lines) with observation-based estimate of atmospheric methane





767 lifetime based on Prather et al. (2012) and the adjusted atmospheric methane lifetime from 768 CMAM (both of which were shown earlier in Figure 5). Figure 9b shows that for the most part, the calculated atmospheric methane lifetime stays within the uncertainty of observation-769 770 based estimates. More over the temporal trend after 1900 in calculated atmospheric methane 771 lifetime compares well to the trend in the atmospheric methane lifetime from the CMAM 772 model. Both anthropogenic emissions and methane concentration during the early part of the 773 1850-2008 historical period are more uncertain than during the later part and therefore the 774 differences between simulated and observation-based estimates of atmospheric methane 775 concentration (in Figure 9a) and methane lifetimes (in Figure 9b) for the period 1850-1900 776 are not unexpected.

777

While the results in Figures 9a and 9b provide some confidence that the magnitude and temporal evolution of simulated global natural methane sources and sinks over the historical period are reasonable they, of course, do not allow the evaluation of all of the simulated natural fluxes individually.

782

783 We also evaluate the role of increase in wetland methane emissions over the historical period 784 (as seen in Figure 6) on the historical methane budget. Instead of using wetland methane 785 emissions from the transient historical simulation in the one box model of atmospheric methane we use wetland methane emissions from the equilibrium pre-industrial simulation in 786 787 which 1901-1925 CRU-NCEP meteorological data are used repeatedly and CO_2 is held 788 constant at its pre-industrial level of 285 ppm. As a result wetland extent and methane 789 emissions do not respond to changes in climate and increasing CO₂, and do not increase over 790 the historical period (as seen in Figure 10a). Methane emissions from fire and soil uptake of 791 methane still respond to changes in climate and increasing CO₂. The result of using these





wetland methane emissions (shown in Figure 10a) in the framework of the one box model of atmospheric methane is shown in Figure 10b. In Figure 10b, although [CH₄] overall increases over the historical period in response to increase in anthropogenic emissions, the result of wetland methane emissions not increasing over the historical period is that the simulated atmospheric methane concentration in year 2008 is calculated to be 1685 ppm, which is 130 ppb lower than the 1815 ppb seen in Figure 9a.

798

799 3.3 Geographical distribution of wetland extent

800

801 Figure 11 compares the zonally-averaged maximum wetland fraction over land with 802 observation-based estimates based on the Global Lakes and Wetland (GLWD; Lehner and 803 Döll, 2004) and the new product formed by merging remote sensing based observations of 804 daily surface inundation from the Surface Water Microwave Product Series (SWAMPS; Schroeder et al., 2015) with the static inventory of wetland area from the GLWD from 805 806 Poulter et al. (2017), as mentioned earlier in section 2.1.3. Maximum wetland fraction from 807 the model and SWAMPS+GLWD product is calculated as the maximum of 12 mean monthly 808 values from the 13 years spanning the 2000-2012 period. Figure 11 shows that overall the 809 model is able to capture the broad latitudinal distribution of wetlands with higher wetland fraction at northern high-latitudes and in the tropics. The model yields higher wetland 810 fraction in the tropics than both observation-based estimates and this is due to higher wetland 811 812 fraction simulated in the Amazonian region. The Amazonian region is densely forested and 813 the SWAMPS product is unable to map wetlands beneath closed forest canopies. Biases also 814 likely exist in the GLWD data set since parts of the Amazonian region are fairly remote. This is shown in Figure 12 which compares the geographical distribution of simulated maximum 815 816 wetland fraction with that from the GLWD and SWAMPS+GLWD products. The model





successfully captures wetlands in the Hudson Bay lowlands, the West Siberian lowlands, the 817 818 Pantanal and the region bordering Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay in South America, Indonesia and the low lying region around Bangladesh. In terms of differences from these 819 820 observation-based data sets the model most notably overestimates wetland extent in Europe. 821 One possible reason for this is that wetlands in Europe have been drained for agriculture and 822 our wetland parameterization does not take this into account. About two-thirds of the European wetlands that existed 100 years ago have been lost (European Commission, 1995) 823 leading to a substantial decrease in the number and size of large bogs and marshes, and small 824 825 or shallow lakes.

826

827 3.4 Geographical distribution of simulated natural fluxes

828

Figure 13 shows the geographical distribution of methane emissions from dynamic wetlands 829 830 (panel a) and fire (panel b) and the soil uptake of methane (panel c) simulated by the CLASS-CTEM model. The figures also show the global total of these fluxes averaged over the 2000-831 832 2008 period for later comparison with estimates from Saunois et al. (2016). Methane 833 emissions from wetlands (168.9 Tg CH_4/yr) are the largest of natural fluxes, as is well 834 known, while emissions from fire (26.8 Tg CH_4/yr) and methane uptake by soil (28.7 Tg 835 CH₄/yr) are an order of magnitude lower. As expected, the geographical distribution of methane emissions from wetlands (Figure 13a) corresponds well to the geographical 836 837 distribution of wetlands themselves (Figure 12a) although per unit wetland area methane 838 emissions are higher in tropics and milder temperate regions than in high-latitude regions. This is because warmer temperatures and longer growing season in the tropical and milder 839 840 temperate regions imply that wetlands can emit more methane per unit wetland area and for a 841 longer period of time than the colder high-latitude regions with a shorter growing season. In





Figure 13b the geographical distribution of methane fire emissions shows higher values in 842 843 seasonally-dry tropical regions and order of magnitudes lower values in mid-high latitude 844 regions. These results are consistent with area burned (not shown) which shows a similar 845 pattern. Finally, the geographical distribution of methane uptake by soils in Figure 13c shows 846 higher methane uptake by soils in parts of dry regions (including the Sahara and the 847 Australian outback) where soil moisture doesn't get too dry (so as to not excessively limit 848 soil microbial activity) but otherwise fairly uniform uptake in the tropics and lower values in 849 mid-high latitude regions where lower temperatures and higher soil moisture limit methane 850 uptake by soils.

851

852 3.5 Regional evaluation over West Siberia lowlands

853

854 While evaluation of simulated global wetland extent and wetland methane emissions, and 855 their geographical distribution, provides confidence in model results, we further evaluate the 856 model at a regional scale over the West Siberia lowlands. The model results are sampled for 857 the region lying between 50 to 75 °N and 60 to 95°E for comparison with observation- and 858 inversion-based estimates (mentioned earlier in section 2.1.3). Figure 14a compares CLASS-859 CTEM simulated wetland extent with those from models participating in the WETCHIMP-WSL intercomparison, and the GIEMS, SWAMPS and merged SWAMPS and GLWD 860 861 products mentioned in section 2.1.3. Table 3 compares the simulated annual maximum 862 wetland extent with models participating in the WETCHIMP-WSL intercomparison and these observation-based products. All values are reported as average for the period 1993-2004 863 864 except for the merged SWAMPS and GLWD product whose average is for the 2002-2012 period, and the Peregon et al. (2009) estimate that is based on wetland typology map from a 865 1977 publication and a more recent satellite land cover product. CLASS-CTEM simulated 866 867 monthly wetland extent in Figure 14a compares best with the merged SWAMPS and GLWD





product, while both the satellite-based inundation products by themselves (SWAMPS and GIEMS) show much lower values. Satellite-based products that remotely sense inundated areas can only do so when water table is above the ground and therefore wetland areas inferred from these products are expected to be lower in magnitude than products which also take into account land cover as is the case for the merged SWAMPS and GLWD product.

873

In Table 3, the annual maximum wetland extent is quite similar for the merged SWAMPS 874 and GLWD product (0.55 million km²) and CLASS-CTEM simulated values (0.53 million 875 km²) but the maximum occurs in different months. In Figure 14b, for the merged SWAMPS 876 and GLWD product the maximum wetland extent occurs in June while CLASS-CTEM 877 simulated values show peak both in June and September. The participating models from the 878 WETCHIMP-WSL intercomparison show a range of values for the monthly wetland extent in 879 the WSL region (Figure 14a). Models range from those which specify constant values with 880 no seasonality for the wetland extent to models which dynamically model wetland extent two 881 of which show maximum wetland extent of greater than 1 million km². The average annual 882 maximum wetland extent across the participating models in the WETCHIMP-WSL is 883 0.70 ± 0.15 million km² (mean ± standard error). Finally, the Peregon et al. (2009) estimate is 884 0.68 million km² which is somewhat higher than the CLASS-CTEM simulated value (0.53 885 million km²) and the merged SWAMPS and GLWD product (0.55 million km²). 886

887

Figure 14b compares CLASS-CTEM simulated monthly wetland methane emissions with those from models participating in the WETCHIMP-WSL intercomparison and four inversion-based estimates mentioned in section 2.1.3. The two Bousquet et al. (2011) inversions shown in Figure 14b correspond to ones using the reference Matthews and Fung (1987) emissions inventory (Bousquet 2001 R) and the emissions inventory based on Kaplan





(2002) (Bousquet 2001 K). All values are reported as average for the period 1993-2004
except for the Kim et al. (2011) inversion which reports fluxes for year 2005 and the
Winderlich (2012) inversion which corresponds to year 2009.

896

897 Table 3 compares the simulated annual wetland methane emissions from CLASS CTEM 898 with those from models participating in the WETCHIMP-WSL intercomparison and the four 899 inversion-based estimates. In Table 3, the inversion-based annual wetland methane emissions vary from 3.08 to 9.80 Tg CH_4/yr . The highest annual emissions in the Winderlich (2012) 900 901 inversion are due to higher emissions in the shoulder months of spring and fall compared to 902 other inversions but also non-zero emissions during winter months (December to February) as seen in Figure 14b. The CLASS-CTEM model calculates annual wetland methane 903 904 emissions of 7.76 Tg CH₄/yr and the average for models participating in the WETCHIMP-WSL intercomparison is 5.34 ± 0.54 Tg CH₄/yr (mean \pm standard error). Of all the models and 905 906 inversions only the Winderlich (2012) inversion shows substantial methane emissions for the 907 November to April period. In CLASS-CTEM as the liquid soil moisture in the top soil layer 908 freezes wetland extent contracts to zero (Figure 14a) and methane emissions are shut off during the winter months. Bohn et al. (2015) note that Winderlich (2012) inversion-based 909 910 estimates may have been influenced by emissions from fossil fuel extraction and biomass 911 burning, although the seasonality of Winderlich (2012) fluxes, with non-zero emissions even in winter, is plausible. Based on year-round eddy flux measurements of methane emissions 912 913 from an Alaskan Arctic tundra sites Zona et al. (2016) find that cold season (September to 914 May) emissions account for \geq 50% of the annual methane flux, with the highest emissions 915 from non-inundated upland tundra. They find a major fraction of cold season emissions occur 916 during the "zero curtain" period, when subsurface soil temperatures are near 0 °C. So it is





- 917 entirely plausible that methane emissions occur during winter months which models fail to
- 918 simulate and most inversions fail to capture.

919

- 920 3.6 Evaluation of present-day global methane budget
- 921

922 Our final evaluation of simulated global methane budget is against estimates compiled by 923 Saunois et al. (2016) who synthesize several recent studies to summarize the present-day 924 global methane budget. Our global methane budget is based on simulated and specified fluxes 925 and the use of one box model of atmospheric methane. We exclusively evaluate our simulated global methane budget against the top-down approaches presented in Saunois et al. 926 927 (2016) since estimates from bottom-up approaches are known to yield higher total emissions than those based on top-down approaches as mentioned earlier in the Introduction. The global 928 929 methane budget based on top-down approaches which are constrained by the atmospheric 930 CH_4 burden and its loss in the atmosphere is considered more reliable than that based on the bottom-up approaches. These comparisons are shown in Table 4. The natural and 931 932 anthropogenic sources are divided into their broad categories and so are the sinks which are 933 divided into atmospheric and soil sinks. The Saunois et al. (2016) estimates are reported for 934 the period 2000-2009 while the CLASS-CTEM values correspond to the 2000-2008 period 935 since the EDGAR anthropogenic emissions were available only until 2008 at the time of this study and therefore the one box model of atmospheric methane is also run up until 2008. For 936 937 clarity, Table 4 also identifies which fluxes are modelled by CLASS-CTEM, which are 938 specified and which are based on atmospheric methane lifetimes.

939

940 In Table 4 the total emissions from natural sources in our framework are 199 Tg CH_4/yr 941 which are in the lower part of the range of 194-292 Tg CH_4/yr compiled by Saunois et al.





(2016). This is due to lower specified emissions from non-wetland sources. While our 942 943 modelled emissions from wetlands of 169 Tg CH₄/yr compare well with the Saunois et al. (2016) central estimate of 166 Tg CH₄/yr, our specified emissions from other natural sources 944 945 (including termites, geological sources and fresh water bodies) of 30 Tg CH₄/yr are near the 946 low end of their range (21-130 Tg CH₄/yr). In contrast, our anthropogenic emissions of 344 Tg CH₄/yr (which include emissions from fire for consistency with Saunois et al. (2016)) are 947 948 higher than Saunois et al. (2016) central estimate of 319 Tg CH₄/yr and towards the higher end of their range (255-357 Tg CH₄/yr). This is due to the use of EDGAR emissions which as 949 950 Saunois et al. (2016) note are towards the higher end of all data sets of anthropogenic emissions. Our modelled fire emissions of 27 Tg CH₄/yr are lower than Saunois et al. (2016) 951 central estimate of 35 Tg CH₄/yr. One reason for this is that while we include natural and 952 953 anthropogenic fires in our framework we do not account for biofuel burning. Overall, our emissions from natural sources are 35 Tg CH₄/yr lower, and emissions from anthropogenic 954 955 sources are 25 Tg CH₄/yr higher, than the Saunois et al. (2016) central estimates. As a result, 956 the sum of natural and anthropogenic emissions (543 Tg CH₄/yr) in our framework is 9 Tg 957 CH₄/yr lower than Saunois et al. (2016) central estimate (552 Tg CH₄/yr).

958

The total sink strength is calculated to be 538 Tg CH₄/yr in our framework which compares well with the Saunois et al. (2016) estimate of 546 Tg CH₄/yr. Saunois et al. (2016) do not provide uncertainty ranges for the atmospheric and total sink. The modelled atmospheric (509 Tg CH₄/yr) and soil (29 Tg CH₄/yr) sinks in Table 4 also compare well with Saunois et al. (2016) estimates of 514 and 32 Tg CH₄/yr, respectively.

964

965 4. Discussion and conclusions





The offline evaluation of natural methane fluxes simulated by the CLASS-CTEM modelling 967 968 framework presented here is the first step in making atmospheric methane concentration a 969 prognostic variable in the family of Canadian earth system models. The evaluation is based 970 on comparison of present-day fluxes with existing observation- and model-based estimates 971 compiled by Saunois et al. (2016) but also the historical evolution of atmospheric methane 972 burden and methane's lifetime simulated using a one box model of atmospheric methane. While our simulated and specified present-day global methane budget components lie within 973 the uncertainty range for top-down estimates from Saunois et al. (2016) this uncertainty range 974 975 also implies that methane emissions from individual sectors for the present-day budget can be 976 increased or decreased within their uncertainty ranges as long as the total emissions stay the same. The time evolution of the atmospheric methane burden over the historical period, 977 978 however, provides additional constraints than the present-day methane budget. For example, our specified methane emissions of 30 Tg CH₄/yr from other nature sources (E_o) (including 979 980 termites, geological sources, wild animals and freshwater) are lower than Saunois et al. 981 (2016) central estimate of 68 Tg CH₄/yr, although still within their uncertainty range. In the absence of any information about its time evolution we have assumed that E_o remains 982 983 constant over the historical period. This is a plausible assumption since we do not expect emissions from termites, geological sources, wild animals and freshwater to show a large 984 985 response to changing environmental conditions over the historical period. Certainly, not as large as we saw for wetland emissions which increased by 40 Tg CH₄/yr over the 1850-2008 986 987 period (Figure 6). However, when we use a constant E_0 of 68 Tg CH₄/yr in our framework 988 we obtain higher than observed methane concentration throughout the historical period and the year 2008 value is 1953 ppb compared to 1815 ppb that we obtain in Figure 9a (observed 989 990 methane concentration for 2008 is 1790 ppb). This is shown in Figure 15. Part of the reason for this may be that present-day EDGAR emissions are higher than other estimates as Saunois 991





992 et al. (2016) note and that's why we had to choose a lower E_o . However, the global 993 harmonized and RCP anthropogenic emissions are fairly similar up until 1990 (see Figure 4a) 994 and therefore had we use RCP based emissions (up until year 2000) we still would have 995 obtained higher than observed atmospheric methane concentrations throughout the historical 996 period when using E_o of 68 Tg CH₄/yr. Our framework cannot accommodate E_o larger than 997 about 30-35 Tg CH₄/yr without over estimating atmospheric methane concentrations 998 throughout the historical period.

999

1000 The second constraint provided by the time evolution of the atmospheric methane burden 1001 over the historical period is that related to wetland methane emissions. As seen in section 3.2, 1002 in the absence of the simulated increase in wetland methane emissions from about 130 to 170 1003 Tg CH₄/yr from 1850s to the present day the simulated year 2008 atmospheric methane 1004 concentration is about 100 ppb lower than observed (as seen in Figure 10). Assuming our 1005 RCP and EDGAR based harmonized anthropogenic emissions and their increase over the 1006 historical period is reasonably realistic, this indicates that it is very likely that wetland 1007 methane emissions have indeed increased over the historical period in response to changes in climate and increased atmospheric CO₂ concentration. The results in section 3.1 showed that 1008 1009 this increase of 30% in wetland methane emissions in driven more by an increase in methane 1010 emissions per unit area than the increase in maximum wetland extent, which increased by 1011 about 8% over the historical period. The implication of this is that wetland methane 1012 emissions will likely keep increasing in the future in response to the increasing atmospheric 1013 concentration of CO₂ driven by higher heterotrophic respiration.

1014

1015 The evaluation of simulated wetland extent against the GLWD and the merged SWAMPS 1016 and GLWD product provides confidence that the model is broadly able to reproduce the





geographical distribution of wetlands although, of course, some limitations remain. Over the
WSL region the simulated estimates of wetland extent and wetland methane emissions are
also broadly consistent with observation-based estimates.

1020

1021 We have not evaluated the model's wetland methane emissions at the site level against 1022 observations. Wetland methane emissions are known to be spatially highly heterogeneous and 1023 temporally intermittent (e.g. Godwin et al., 2013) and CLASS-CTEM does not represent 1024 physical processes that govern methane emissions at small spatial and temporal scales. Instead the model is designed for operation at large spatial scales (> 100 km) and 1025 1026 implementation within an Earth system model and as such only temperature, soil moisture 1027 and substrate availability (through heterotrophic respiration) are taken into account. Water 1028 table depth, ebullition, and transport through vascular plants are not considered in our 1029 modelling framework. Similar approaches are followed by several large scale models including a recent attempt by Bloom et al. (2017) who derive wetland methane emissions 1030 1031 using heterotrophic respiration from eight terrestrial ecosystem models.

1032

Our next step to evaluate natural methane fluxes from CLASS-CTEM is to use these fluxes in an atmospheric transport model to simulate and compare methane concentrations at selected stations. In addition, CLASS-CTEM simulated fluxes can be used as a prior in a methane inversion-based system to calculate optimized posterior fluxes to which the prior fluxes can be compared. Although atmospheric inversions-based systems have their own limitations (Houweling et al., 2017), the objective is to evaluate CLASS-CTEM simulated natural methane fluxes using a range of available methodologies.

1040





1041	Overall the results presented here suggest that the natural fluxes of methane between the
1042	atmosphere and the land, and the geographical distribution of wetland extent, simulated by
1043	the CLASS-CTEM modelling framework are sufficiently realistic to use the model to study
1044	the changes in natural methane fluxes due to changes in environmental conditions.
1045 1046 1047	Acknowledgements
1048	
1049	We would like to thank Douglas Chan and Reinel Sospedra-Alfonso for providing comments
1050	on an earlier version of this manuscript.
1051	





1053 References

1054 1055	Amante, C. and Eakins, B. W.: ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model: Procedures, Data Sources and Analysis, NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24, 19 pp.
1056	doi:10.7289/V5C8276M, 2009.
1057 1058	Andreae, M. O. and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 15(4), 955–966, doi:10.1029/2000GB001382, 2001.
1059 1060	Arora, V. K.: Land surface modelling in general circulation models: a hydrological perspective, PhD thesis, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia., 1997.
1061 1062 1063	Arora, V. K. and Boer, G. J.: A Representation of Variable Root Distribution in Dynamic Vegetation Models, Earth Interact., 7(6), 1–19, doi:10.1175/1087-3562(2003)007<0001:AROVRD>2.0.CO;2, 2003.
1064 1065 1066	Arora, V. K. and Boer, G. J.: A parameterization of leaf phenology for the terrestrial ecosystem component of climate models, Glob. Change Biol., 11(1), 39–59, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00890.x, 2005a.
1067 1068	Arora, V. K. and Boer, G. J.: Fire as an interactive component of dynamic vegetation models, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 110(G2), doi:10.1029/2005JG000042, 2005b.
1069 1070 1071	Arora, V. K. and Boer, G. J.: Uncertainties in the 20th century carbon budget associated with land use change, Glob. Change Biol., 16(12), 3327–3348, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02202.x, 2010.
1072 1073 1074	Arora, V. K. and Scinocca, J. F.: Constraining the strength of the terrestrial CO2 fertilization effect in the Canadian Earth system model version 4.2 (CanESM4.2), Geosci. Model Dev., 9(7), 2357–2376, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-2357-2016, 2016.
1075 1076 1077 1078 1079	Arora, V. K., Boer, G. J., Christian, J. R., Curry, C. L., Denman, K. L., Zahariev, K., Flato, G. M., Scinocca, J. F., Merryfield, W. J. and Lee, W. G.: The Effect of Terrestrial Photosynthesis Down Regulation on the Twentieth-Century Carbon Budget Simulated with the CCCma Earth System Model, J. Clim., 22(22), 6066–6088, doi:10.1175/2009JCLI3037.1, 2009.
1080 1081 1082 1083	Arora, V. K., Scinocca, J. F., Boer, G. J., Christian, J. R., Denman, K. L., Flato, G. M., Kharin, V. V., Lee, W. G. and Merryfield, W. J.: Carbon emission limits required to satisfy future representative concentration pathways of greenhouse gases, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(5), doi:10.1029/2010GL046270, 2011.
1084 1085 1086 1087	Arora, V. K., Boer, G. J., Friedlingstein, P., Eby, M., Jones, C. D., Christian, J. R., Bonan, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Hajima, T., Ilyina, T., Lindsay, K., Tjiputra, J. F. and Wu, T.: Carbon–Concentration and Carbon–Climate Feedbacks in CMIP5 Earth System Models, J. Clim., 26(15), 5289–5314, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00494.1, 2013.
1088 1089 1090 1091	Bloom, A. A., Bowman, K. W., Lee, M., Turner, A. J., Schroeder, R., Worden, J. R., Weidner, R., McDonald, K. C. and Jacob, D. J.: A global wetland methane emissions and uncertainty dataset for atmospheric chemical transport models (WetCHARTs version 1.0), Geosci Model Dev, 10(6), 2141–2156, doi:10.5194/gmd-10-2141-2017, 2017.
1092 1093 1094	Bohn, T. J., Melton, J. R., Ito, A., Kleinen, T., Spahni, R., Stocker, B. D., Zhang, B., Zhu, X., Schroeder, R., Glagolev, M. V., Maksyutov, S., Brovkin, V., Chen, G., Denisov, S. N., Eliseev, A. V., Gallego-Sala, A., McDonald, K. C., Rawlins, M. A., Riley, W. J., Subin, Z. M.,





Tian, H., Zhuang, Q. and Kaplan, J. O.: WETCHIMP-WSL: intercomparison of wetland
methane emissions models over West Siberia, Biogeosciences, 12(11), 3321–3349,
doi:10.5194/bg-12-3321-2015, 2015.

Bousquet, P., Ringeval, B., Pison, I., Dlugokencky, E. J., Brunke, E.-G., Carouge, C.,
Chevallier, F., Fortems-Cheiney, A., Frankenberg, C., Hauglustaine, D. A., Krummel, P. B.,
Langenfelds, R. L., Ramonet, M., Schmidt, M., Steele, L. P., Szopa, S., Yver, C., Viovy, N.
and Ciais, P.: Source attribution of the changes in atmospheric methane for 2006–2008,
Atmos Chem Phys, 11(8), 3689–3700, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3689-2011, 2011.

- Collins, W. J., Bellouin, N., Doutriaux-Boucher, M., Gedney, N., Halloran, P., Hinton, T.,
 Hughes, J., Jones, C. D., Joshi, M., Liddicoat, S., Martin, G., O'Connor, F., Rae, J., Senior,
 C., Sitch, S., Totterdell, I., Wiltshire, A. and Woodward, S.: Development and evaluation of
- an Earth-System model HadGEM2, Geosci. Model Dev., 4(4), 1051–1075,
- 1107 doi:10.5194/gmd-4-1051-2011, 2011.
- 1108 Curry, C. L.: Modeling the soil consumption of atmospheric methane at the global scale, 1109 Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 21(4), doi:10.1029/2006GB002818, 2007.

1110 Dalva, M., Moore, T. R., Arp, P. and Clair, T. A.: Methane and soil and plant community

1111 respiration from wetlands, Kejimkujik National Park, Nova Scotia: Measurements,

- 1112 predictions, and climatic change, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 106(D3), 2955–2962,
- 1113 doi:10.1029/2000JD900500, 2001.

Denman, K. L., Brasseur, G., Chidthaisong, A., Ciais, P., Cox, P. M., Dickinson, R. E.,
Hauglustaine, D., Heinze, C., Holland, E., Jacob, D., Lohmann, U., Ramachandran, S., da
Silva Dias, P. L., Wofsy, S. C. and Zhang, H.: Couplings Between Changes in the Climate
System and Biogeochemistry, in Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis.
Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
Panel on Climate Change [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B.
Averyt, M.Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)], pp. 499–587, Cambridge University Press,

- 1121 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 2007.
- European Commission: Wise use and conservation of wetlands. Communication from the
 Commission to the Council and the European Parliament, European Commission, Brussels,
 Belgium. [online] Available from: http://aei.pitt.edu/4792/1/4792.pdf (Accessed 12 September
 2017), 1995.

1126 Eyring, V., Butchart, N., Waugh, D. W., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J., Bekki, S., Bodeker, G. E., 1127 Boville, B. A., Brühl, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Cordero, E., Dameris, M., Deushi, M., Fioletov, 1128 V. E., Frith, S. M., Garcia, R. R., Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Grewe, V., Jourdain, L., 1129 Kinnison, D. E., Mancini, E., Manzini, E., Marchand, M., Marsh, D. R., Nagashima, T., 1130 Newman, P. A., Nielsen, J. E., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Rozanov, E., 1131 Schraner, M., Shepherd, T. G., Shibata, K., Stolarski, R. S., Struthers, H., Tian, W. and 1132 Yoshiki, M.: Assessment of temperature, trace species, and ozone in chemistry-climate 1133 model simulations of the recent past, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 111(D22), n/a-n/a, 1134 doi:10.1029/2006JD007327, 2006.

- 1135 Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney,
- S., Eby, M., Fung, I., Bala, G., John, J., Jones, C., Joos, F., Kato, T., Kawamiya, M., Knorr,
 W., Lindsay, K., Matthews, H. D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E.,
- W., Lindsay, K., Matthews, H. D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E.,
 Schnitzler, K.-G., Schnur, R., Strassmann, K., Weaver, A. J., Yoshikawa, C. and Zeng, N.:
- 1139 Climate–Carbon Cycle Feedback Analysis: Results from the C4MIP Model Intercomparison,
- 1140 J. Clim., 19(14), 3337–3353, doi:10.1175/JCLI3800.1, 2006.





- 1141 Friedlingstein, P., Meinshausen, M., Arora, V. K., Jones, C. D., Anav, A., Liddicoat, S. K. and
- 1142 Knutti, R.: Uncertainties in CMIP5 Climate Projections due to Carbon Cycle Feedbacks, J.
- 1143 Clim., 27(2), 511–526, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00579.1, 2014.
- 1144 Giglio, L., Randerson, J. T. and van der Werf, G. R.: Analysis of daily, monthly, and annual
- 1145 burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database (GFED4), J.
- 1146 Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 118(1), 317–328, doi:10.1002/jgrg.20042, 2013.
- Glagolev, M. V., Kleptsova, I. E., Filippov, I. V., Kazantsev, V. S., Machida, T. and
 Maksyutov, S. S.: Methane emissions from subtaiga mires of Western Siberia: The "standard
 model" Bc5, Mosc. Univ. Soil Sci. Bull., 65(2), 86–93, doi:10.3103/S0147687410020067,
 2010.
- Godwin, C. M., McNamara, P. J. and Markfort, C. D.: Evening methane emission pulses
 from a boreal wetland correspond to convective mixing in hollows, J. Geophys. Res.
 Biogeosciences, 118(3), 994–1005, doi:10.1002/jgrg.20082, 2013.

Houweling, S., Bergamaschi, P., Chevallier, F., Heimann, M., Kaminski, T., Krol, M.,
Michalak, A. M. and Patra, P.: Global inverse modeling of CH4 sources and sinks: an
overview of methods, Atmos Chem Phys, 17(1), 235–256, doi:10.5194/acp-17-235-2017,
2017.

Hurtt, G. C., Frolking, S., Fearon, M. G., Moore, B., Shevliakova, E., Malyshev, S., Pacala,
S. W. and Houghton, R. A.: The underpinnings of land-use history: three centuries of global
gridded land-use transitions, wood-harvest activity, and resulting secondary lands, Glob.
Change Biol., 12(7), 1208–1229, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01150.x, 2006.

Jones, C., Robertson, E., Arora, V., Friedlingstein, P., Shevliakova, E., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V.,
Hajima, T., Kato, E., Kawamiya, M., Liddicoat, S., Lindsay, K., Reick, C. H., Roelandt, C.,
Segschneider, J. and Tjiputra, J.: Twenty-First-Century Compatible CO2 Emissions and
Airborne Fraction Simulated by CMIP5 Earth System Models under Four Representative
Concentration Pathways, J. Clim., 26(13), 4398–4413, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00554.1,
2013.

- Kaplan, J. O.: Wetlands at the Last Glacial Maximum: Distribution and methane emissions,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 29(6), 3–1, doi:10.1029/2001GL013366, 2002.
- Kim, H.-S., Maksyutov, S., Glagolev, M. V., Machida, T., Patra, P. K., Sudo, K. and Inoue,
 G.: Evaluation of methane emissions from West Siberian wetlands based on inverse
 modeling, Environ. Res. Lett., 6(3), 035201, 2011.

Kloster, S., Mahowald, N. M., Randerson, J. T., Thornton, P. E., Hoffman, F. M., Levis, S.,
Lawrence, P. J., Feddema, J. J., Oleson, K. W. and Lawrence, D. M.: Fire dynamics during
the 20th century simulated by the Community Land Model, Biogeosciences, 7(6), 1877–
doi:10.5194/bg-7-1877-2010, 2010.

Lamarque, J.-F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse,
C., Mieville, A., Owen, B., Schultz, M. G., Shindell, D., Smith, S. J., Stehfest, E., Van
Aardenne, J., Cooper, O. R., Kainuma, M., Mahowald, N., McConnell, J. R., Naik, V., Riahi,
K. and van Vuuren, D. P.: Historical (1850–2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass
burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application,
Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 10(15), 7017–7039, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010, 2010.

Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Josse, B., Young, P. J., Cionni, I., Eyring, V., Bergmann, D.,
Cameron-Smith, P., Collins, W. J., Doherty, R., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G.,





- 1185 Ghan, S. J., Horowitz, L. W., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Naik, V.,
- 1186 Plummer, D., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Schulz, M., Skeie, R. B., Stevenson, D. S., Strode,
- 1187 S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Voulgarakis, A. and Zeng, G.: The Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 1188 Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP): overview and description of models,
- simulations and climate diagnostics, Geosci. Model Dev., 6(1), 179–206, doi:10.5194/gmd-6179-2013, 2013.
- Lehner, B. and Döll, P.: Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and wetlands, J. Hydrol., 296(1–4), 1–22, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.03.028, 2004.
- Li, F., Zeng, X. D. and Levis, S.: A process-based fire parameterization of intermediate complexity in a Dynamic Global Vegetation Model, Biogeosciences Discuss., 9(3), 3233–
- 1195 3287, doi:10.5194/bgd-9-3233-2012, 2012.

Marlon, J. R., Bartlein, P. J., Carcaillet, C., Gavin, D. G., Harrison, S. P., Higuera, P. E.,
Joos, F., Power, M. J. and Prentice, I. C.: Climate and human influences on global biomass
burning over the past two millennia, Nat. Geosci, 1(10), 697–702, doi:10.1038/ngeo313,
2008.

Matthes, K., Funke, B., Andersson, M. E., Barnard, L., Beer, J., Charbonneau, P., Clilverd,
M. A., Dudok de Wit, T., Haberreiter, M., Hendry, A., Jackman, C. H., Kretzschmar, M.,
Kruschke, T., Kunze, M., Langematz, U., Marsh, D. R., Maycock, A. C., Misios, S., Rodger,
C. J., Scaife, A. A., Seppälä, A., Shangguan, M., Sinnhuber, M., Tourpali, K., Usoskin, I., van
de Kamp, M., Verronen, P. T. and Versick, S.: Solar forcing for CMIP6 (v3.2), Geosci. Model
Dev., 10(6), 2247–2302, doi:10.5194/gmd-10-2247-2017, 2017.

Matthews, E. and Fung, I.: Methane emission from natural wetlands: Global distribution,
area, and environmental characteristics of sources, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 1(1), 61–86,
doi:10.1029/GB001i001p00061, 1987.

Meinshausen, M., Smith, S. J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J. S., Kainuma, M. L. T., Lamarque, J.-F.,
Matsumoto, K., Montzka, S. A., Raper, S. C. B., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Velders, G. J. M.
and van Vuuren, D. P. P.: The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions
from 1765 to 2300, Clim. Change, 109(1), 213, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0156-z, 2011.

Melton, J. R. and Arora, V. K.: Competition between plant functional types in the Canadian
Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (CTEM) v. 2.0, Geosci Model Dev, 9(1), 323–361,
doi:10.5194/gmd-9-323-2016, 2016.

Melton, J. R., Wania, R., Hodson, E. L., Poulter, B., Ringeval, B., Spahni, R., Bohn, T., Avis,
C. A., Beerling, D. J., Chen, G., Eliseev, A. V., Denisov, S. N., Hopcroft, P. O., Lettenmaier,
D. P., Riley, W. J., Singarayer, J. S., Subin, Z. M., Tian, H., Zürcher, S., Brovkin, V., van
Bodegom, P. M., Kleinen, T., Yu, Z. C. and Kaplan, J. O.: Present state of global wetland
extent and wetland methane modelling: conclusions from a model inter-comparison project
(WETCHIMP), Biogeosciences, 10(2), 753–788, doi:10.5194/bg-10-753-2013, 2013.

Melton, J. R., Shrestha, R. K. and Arora, V. K.: The influence of soils on heterotrophic
respiration exerts a strong control on net ecosystem productivity in seasonally dry
Amazonian forests, Biogeosciences, 12(4), 1151–1168, doi:10.5194/bg-12-1151-2015,
2015.

Migliavacca, M., Dosio, A., Kloster, S., Ward, D. S., Camia, A., Houborg, R., Houston
Durrant, T., Khabarov, N., Krasovskii, A. A., San Miguel-Ayanz, J. and Cescatti, A.: Modeling

- 1228 burned area in Europe with the Community Land Model, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences,
- 1229 118(1), 265–279, doi:10.1002/jgrg.20026, 2013.





Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., 1230 1231 Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, 1232 T. and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in Climate Change 2013: 1233 The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report 1234 of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. 1235 Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley, 1236 pp. 659–740, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, 1237 USA., 2013. 1238 Naik, V., Voulgarakis, A., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Lamarque, J.-F., Lin, M., Prather, M. 1239 J., Young, P. J., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P. J., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. 1240 B., Doherty, R., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G. A., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. 1241 A., Nagashima, T., van Noije, T. P. C., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R., 1242 Shindell, D. T., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S. and Zeng, G.: Preindustrial 1243 to present-day changes in tropospheric hydroxyl radical and methane lifetime from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmospheric 1244 1245 Chem. Phys., 13(10), 5277-5298, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5277-2013, 2013. 1246 Neely III, R. R., Conley, A. J., Vitt, F. and Lamarque, J.-F.: A consistent prescription of 1247 stratospheric aerosol for both radiation and chemistry in the Community Earth System Model 1248 (CESM1), Geosci. Model Dev., 9(7), 2459-2470, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-2459-2016, 2016. 1249 Papa, F., Prigent, C., Aires, F., Jimenez, C., Rossow, W. B. and Matthews, E.: Interannual 1250 variability of surface water extent at the global scale, 1993–2004, J. Geophys. Res. 1251 Atmospheres, 115(D12), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2009JD012674, 2010. 1252 Peregon, A., Maksyutov, S. and Yamagata, Y.: An image-based inventory of the spatial 1253 structure of West Siberian wetlands, Environ. Res. Lett., 4(4), 045014, 2009. 1254 Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Peregon, A., Marielle Saunois, Arora, V. 1255 K., Beerling, D. J., Brovkin, V., Jones, C. D., Joos, F., Nicola Gedney, Ito, A., Kleinen, T., 1256 Koven, C. D., McDonald, K., Melton, J. R., Peng, C., Shushi Peng, Prigent, C., Schroeder, 1257 R., Riley, W. J., Saito, M., Spahni, R., Tian, H., Lyla Taylor, Viovy, N., Wilton, D., Wiltshire, 1258 A., Xu, X., Zhang, B., Zhang, Z. and Zhu, Q.: Global wetland contribution to 2000-2012 1259 atmospheric methane growth rate dynamics, Environ. Res. Lett., 12(9), 094013, 2017. 1260 Prather, M. J., Holmes, C. D. and Hsu, J.: Reactive greenhouse gas scenarios: Systematic 1261 exploration of uncertainties and the role of atmospheric chemistry, Geophys. Res. Lett., 1262 39(9), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2012GL051440, 2012. 1263 Prigent, C., Papa, F., Aires, F., Rossow, W. B. and Matthews, E.: Global inundation 1264 dynamics inferred from multiple satellite observations, 1993–2000, J. Geophys. Res. 1265 Atmospheres, 112(D12), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2006JD007847, 2007. 1266 Randerson, J. T., Chen, Y., van der Werf, G. R., Rogers, B. M. and Morton, D. C.: Global 1267 burned area and biomass burning emissions from small fires, J. Geophys. Res. 1268 Biogeosciences, 117(G4), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2012JG002128, 2012. 1269 Saunois, M., Bousquet, P., Poulter, B., Peregon, A., Ciais, P., Canadell, J. G., Dlugokencky, 1270 E. J., Etiope, G., Bastviken, D., Houweling, S., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Tubiello, F. N., 1271 Castaldi, S., Jackson, R. B., Alexe, M., Arora, V. K., Beerling, D. J., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, 1272 D. R., Brailsford, G., Brovkin, V., Bruhwiler, L., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P., Covey, K., Curry, C., 1273 Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Joos, F., Kim, H.-1274 S., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P., Lamarque, J.-F., Langenfelds, R., Locatelli, R., Machida, T., 1275 Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K. C., Marshall, J., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Naik, V., O'Doherty,





- 1276 S., Parmentier, F.-J. W., Patra, P. K., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Pison, I., Prigent, C.,
- 1277 Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Riley, W. J., Saito, M., Santini, M., Schroeder, R., Simpson, I. J.,
- 1278 Spahni, R., Steele, P., Takizawa, A., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Viovy, N.,
- 1279 Voulgarakis, A., van Weele, M., van der Werf, G. R., Weiss, R., Wiedinmyer, C., Wilton, D.
- 1280 J., Wiltshire, A., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Xu, X., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, B., Zhang, Z. and Zhu,
- 1281 Q.: The global methane budget 2000–2012, Earth Syst Sci Data, 8(2), 697–751,
- 1282 doi:10.5194/essd-8-697-2016, 2016.
- Schroeder, R., McDonald, C. K., Chapman, D. B., Jensen, K., Podest, E., Tessler, D. Z.,
 Bohn, J. T. and Zimmermann, R.: Development and Evaluation of a Multi-Year Fractional
 Surface Water Data Set Derived from Active/Passive Microwave Remote Sensing Data,
 Remote Sens., 7(12), doi:10.3390/rs71215843, 2015.
- Scinocca, J. F., McFarlane, N. A., Lazare, M., Li, J. and Plummer, D.: Technical Note: The
 CCCma third generation AGCM and its extension into the middle atmosphere, Atmospheric
 Chem. Phys., 8(23), 7055–7074, doi:10.5194/acp-8-7055-2008, 2008.
- Shindell, D., Kuylenstierna, J. C. I., Vignati, E., van Dingenen, R., Amann, M., Klimont, Z.,
 Anenberg, S. C., Muller, N., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Raes, F., Schwartz, J., Faluvegi, G.,
 Pozzoli, L., Kupiainen, K., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Emberson, L., Streets, D., Ramanathan, V.,
 Hicks, K., Oanh, N. T. K., Milly, G., Williams, M., Demkine, V. and Fowler, D.:
 Simultaneously Mitigating Near-Term Climate Change and Improving Human Health and
 Food Security, Science, 335(6065), 183–189, 2012.
- Shindell, D. T., Pechony, O., Voulgarakis, A., Faluvegi, G., Nazarenko, L., Lamarque, J.-F.,
 Bowman, K., Milly, G., Kovari, B., Ruedy, R. and Schmidt, G. A.: Interactive ozone and
 methane chemistry in GISS-E2 historical and future climate simulations, Atmos Chem Phys,
 13(5), 2653–2689, doi:10.5194/acp-13-2653-2013, 2013.
- SPARC CCMVal: SPARC Report on the Evaluation of Chemistry-Climate Models, V. Eyring,
 T. G. Shepherd, D. W. Waugh (Eds.), SPARC Report No. 5, WCRP-132, WMO/TD-No.
 1526., 2010.
- Verseghy, D. L.: Class—A Canadian land surface scheme for GCMS. I. Soil model, Int. J.
 Climatol., 11(2), 111–133, doi:10.1002/joc.3370110202, 1991.
- Verseghy, D. L.: The Canadian land surface scheme (CLASS): Its history and future,
 Atmosphere-Ocean, 38(1), 1–13, doi:10.1080/07055900.2000.9649637, 2000.
- Verseghy, D. L., McFarlane, N. A. and Lazare, M.: Class—A Canadian land surface scheme
 for GCMS, II. Vegetation model and coupled runs, Int. J. Climatol., 13(4), 347–370,
 doi:10.1002/joc.3370130402, 1993.
- 1310 Viovy, N.: CRU-NCEP reanalysis data version 4, [online] Available from:
- 1311 http://dods.extra.cea.fr/store/p529viov/cruncep/V4_1901_2012/, 2012.
- 1312 Voulgarakis, A., Naik, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Young, P. J., Prather, M. J., Wild,
- 1313 O., Field, R. D., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B.,
- 1314 Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G. A., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B.,
- 1315 MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Stevenson, D.
- 1316 S., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S. and Zeng, G.: Analysis of present day and future OH
- and methane lifetime in the ACCMIP simulations, Atmos Chem Phys, 13(5), 2563–2587,
- 1318 doi:10.5194/acp-13-2563-2013, 2013.





1319 Walter, B. P. and Heimann, M.: A process-based, climate-sensitive model to derive methane 1320 emissions from natural wetlands: Application to five wetland sites, sensitivity to model

- parameters, and climate, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 14(3), 745–765,
- 1322 doi:10.1029/1999GB001204, 2000.

Wania, R., Ross, I. and Prentice, I. C.: Implementation and evaluation of a new methane
model within a dynamic global vegetation model: LPJ-WHyMe v1.3.1, Geosci Model Dev,
3(2), 565–584, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-565-2010, 2010.

Winderlich, J.: Setup of a CO2 and CH4 measurement system in Central Siberia and
modeling of its results, Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie, Jena. [online] Available from:
http://ediss.sub.uni-hamburg.de/volltexte/2012/5533/pdf/Dissertation.pd, 2012.

1329 Young, P. J., Archibald, A. T., Bowman, K. W., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Stevenson, D. S., 1330 Tilmes, S., Voulgarakis, A., Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., 1331 Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., 1332 1333 Skeie, R. B., Shindell, D. T., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S. and Zeng, G.: Pre-industrial 1334 to end 21st century projections of tropospheric ozone from the Atmospheric Chemistry and 1335 Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 13(4), 2063-1336 2090, doi:10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013, 2013.

Zhu, Q., Liu, J., Peng, C., Chen, H., Fang, X., Jiang, H., Yang, G., Zhu, D., Wang, W. and
Zhou, X.: Modelling methane emissions from natural wetlands by development and
application of the TRIPLEX-GHG model, Geosci Model Dev, 7(3), 981–999,
doi:10.5194/gmd-7-981-2014, 2014a.

1341 Zhu, X., Zhuang, Q., Lu, X. and Song, L.: Spatial scale-dependent land–atmospheric
1342 methane exchanges in the northern high latitudes from 1993 to 2004, Biogeosciences, 11(7),
1343 1693–1704, doi:10.5194/bg-11-1693-2014, 2014b.

1344 Zobler, L.: A World Soil File for Global Climate Modelling, NASA Technical Memorandum
1345 87802, NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, USA., 1986.

Zona, D., Gioli, B., Commane, R., Lindaas, J., Wofsy, S. C., Miller, C. E., Dinardo, S. J.,
Dengel, S., Sweeney, C., Karion, A., Chang, R. Y.-W., Henderson, J. M., Murphy, P. C.,
Goodrich, J. P., Moreaux, V., Liljedahl, A., Watts, J. D., Kimball, J. S., Lipson, D. A. and
Oechel, W. C.: Cold season emissions dominate the Arctic tundra methane budget, Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci., 113(1), 40–45, 2016.

1351





Table 1: The upper and lower soil wetness thresholds for three latitudinal bands used in

equation (1) to determine fractional wetland coverage in a given grid cell.

	Latitudinal band		
	40°N to 90°N	35°S to 40°N	90°S to 35°S
W _{low}	0.45	0.55	0.70
W _{high}	0.90	0.99	0.99

Table 2: Emissions categories for EDGAR and RCP anthropogenic methane emissions.

EDGAR	RCP			
Non-biomass burning categories				
 Energy manufacturing transformation Non-road transportation Road transportation Residential Fugitive from solid Oil production and refineries Gas production and distribution Industrial processes and product use Enteric fermentation Manure management Agricultural soils Agricultural waste burning Soil waste disposal Waste waster Fossil fuel fires 	 Agricultural sector Agricultural waste burning Residential and commercial combustion Energy production and distribution Industrial processes and combustion Land transport emissions Waste treatment and disposal Shipping 			
Biomass burning categories				
16. Large scale biomass burning	 Biomass burning from forest fires Biomass burning from grass fires 			





- Table 3: Comparison of CLASS-CTEM simulated annual methane emissions and annual
 maximum wetland extent for the West Siberia lowlands (WSL) region with models
 participating in the WETCHIMP-WSL intercomparison and observation- and inversion-based
 estimates as discussed in section 3.5. Numbers shown are mean ± standard error from Bohn
 et al. (2015) for models participating in the WETCHIMP-WSL intercomparison. Standard
- 1370 error is not available for all inversions. All values are reported as average for the period
- 1371 1993-2004 unless otherwise noted.
- 1372

WSL annual maximum wetland extent (million km ²)			
Model mean from participating models in the WETCHIMP-	0.70±0.15		
WSL Intercomparison			
CLASS-CTEM (this study)	0.53		
GIEMS inundation data set	0.21		
SWAMPS inundation data set	0.15		
SWAMPS and GCP product (for period 2002-2012)	0.55		
Peregon et al. (2009)	0.68		
WSL annual wetland emissions (Tg CH ₄ /yr)			
Model mean from participating models in the WETCHIMP-	5.34±0.54		
WSL Intercomparison			
CLASS-CTEM (this study)	7.76		
Bousquet 2011 K	7.06		
Bousquet 2011 R	7.13		
Kim 2011 (for year 2005)	3.08±1.40		
Winderlich 2012 (for year 2009)	9.80		

1373

1374





Table 4: Comparison of the components of the present day methane budget based on this

study with those from Saunois et al. (2016) (based on synthesis of published studies). The

values used in this study are averaged for the period 2000-2008 (since the last year of the

version of EDGAR emissions used is 2008) while Saunois et al. (2016) values correspond to the 2000-2009 period.

	Saunois et al. (2016)	Values used in this study		
	estimates based on	and how they were obtained.		
	top-down approaches			
Natural sources	234 [194-292]	199		
Natural wetlands	166 [125-204]	169	CLASS-CTEM simulated	
Other natural sources (termites, geological, fresh water etc.)	68 [21-130]	30	Specified as a constant over the historical period	
Anthropogenic sources	319 [255-357]	344		
Agriculture and waste	183 [112-241]	200	EDGAR	
Fossil fuels	101 [77-126]	117	EDGAR	
Biomass and biofuel burning	35 [16-53]	27	CLASS-CTEM simulated	
Sum of all sources	552 [535-566]	543		
Sum of all sinks	546	538		
Atmospheric sink	514	509	Based on specified bias-corrected atmospheric CH4 lifetimes from CMAM	
Soil sink	32 [27-38]	29	CLASS-CTEM simulated	







1395 Figure 1: Fraction of grid cell with slopes less than the threshold of 0.002 (i.e. 0.2% slope) at

a) 0.5° and b) 2.81° spatial resolutions, respectively.





1399



1400

Figure 2: Annual land-averaged temperature and precipitation in version 7 of the CRU-NCEPdata for the period 1901-2015, excluding the Antarctica region, that are used to drive the

1403 CLASS-CTEM model.







Globally-averaged $\ensuremath{\text{CO}}_2$ and $\ensuremath{\text{CH}}_4$ concentrations used to drive the CLASS-CTEM model

- 1411 Figure 3: Globally-averaged CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations used to drive the CLASS-CTEM 1412 model.





1416

1417





1418

Figure 4: Comparison of RCP, EDGAR and their harmonized annual global anthropogenic
methane emissions (panel a) excluding biomass burning. Panels (b) and (c) illustrate the
harmonization technique for a land and an ocean grid cell, respectively.









Figure 5: Comparison of atmospheric methane lifetime obtained from the Canadian Middle
Atmosphere Model (CMAM) for the period 1850-2010 with observation-based estimates
from Prather et al. (2012) but excluding the soil sink as explained in section 2.2.3.







Figure 6: Time evolution of simulated natural methane fluxes (shown on the primary y-axis)
and annual maximum wetland extent (shown on the secondary y-axis) by CLASS-CTEM for
the 1851-2015 period in the transient historical simulation.





1441 1442





1445 Figure 7: Time evolution of simulated net primary productivity (NPP) and heterotrophic 1446 respiration in the historical simulation both of which increase in response to increase in 1447 atmospheric CO₂ concentration. The thin lines show annual values while the thick lines 1448 represent their 10-year moving average. When fire CO₂ emissions are added to heterotrophic 1449 respiration the total amount is equal to NPP especially during 1850s as the case should be when the system is in equilibrium and net atmosphere-land CO₂ flux (equal to NPP -1450 heterotrophic respiration – fire emissions) is near zero. Later in the 20th century and early 21st 1451 1452 century, NPP is greater than the sum of heterotrophic respiration and fire CO₂ emissions, 1453 which creates the sink over land that is currently observed. 1454







Figure 8: Comparison of global area burned from the transient 1851-2015 historical
simulation (dark yellow line). The thick dark yellow line is the 10-year moving average.
Observation-based area burned (black line) are based on the GFED 4.1s data set. Model
results are also compared to decadal charcoal index from version 3 of the Global Charcoal
Database (purple line). Charcoal index is a proxy for burning and not for area burned per se
and therefore only provides a qualitative measure.









Atmospheric methane life times (excluding the soil sink)



1469 Figure 9: Comparison of simulated methane concentration over the historical period with its 1470 observation-based estimates (panel a). The simulation may be initialized from the 1850 1471 observed methane concentration (solid green line) or from an 1850 concentration that is in 1472 equilibrium with 1850 specified methane sources and sinks (dashed blueline) as explained in 1473 section 3.2. Panel (b) compares the methane lifetimes required to achieve the observed 1474 increase in methane concentration over the historical period (black line) to within ± 5 ppb 1475 (shaded area between grey lines) with observation-based estimate of atmospheric methane 1476 lifetime based on Prather et al. (2012) and the adjusted atmospheric methane lifetime from 1477 CMAM. 1478





1479



Atmospheric methane concentration (ppb)



1480

Figure 10: Time evolution of simulated natural methane fluxes (shown on the primary y-axis) and annual maximum wetland extent (shown on the secondary y-axis) by CLASS-CTEM for the 1851-2008 period for the case when wetland extent and methane emissions are not allowed to respond to changing climate and increase atmospheric CO₂ over the historical period (panel a). Panel (b) shows the simulated methane concentration over the historical period, together with its observation-based values, when the natural fluxes shown in panel (a) are used within the framework of the one box model of atmospheric methane.









Figure 11: Comparison of simulated zonally-averaged maximum wetland fraction over land
with observation-based estimates based on the Global Lakes and Wetland (GLWD; Lehner
and Döll, 2004) and a new product that is formed by merging remote sensing based
observations of daily surface inundation from the Surface Water Microwave Product Series
(SWAMPS; Schroeder et al., 2015) with the static inventory of wetland area from the GLWD
as explained in Poulter et al. (2017).







Figure 12: Comparison of geographical distribution simulated annual maximum wetland
fraction with observation-based estimates based on the Global Lakes and Wetland (GLWD;
Lehner and Döll, 2004) and a new product that is formed by merging remote sensing based
observations of daily surface inundation from the Surface Water Microwave Product Series
(SWAMPS; Schroeder et al., 2015) with the static inventory of wetland area from the GLWD
as explained in Poulter et al. (2017).





1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524



Figure 13: Geographical distribution of annual emissions from wetlands (panel a) and fire
(panel c), and the soil sink (panel b) simulated by the CLASS-CTEM model. The data are
averaged over the 2000-2009 period.





1532







1533

Figure 14: Comparison of CLASS-CTEM simulated wetland extend (panel a) and wetland
methane emissions (pane; b) over the West Siberian lowlands (WSL) region with those from
models participating in the WETCHIMP-WSL intercomparison and observation- and
inversion-based estimates as discussed in section 3.5.

1538

- 1540
- 1541





1542

1543

1544

Atmospheric methane concentration (ppb)



1545

Figure 15: Simulated methane concentration over the historical period, together with its observation-based values, when other non-wetland natural methane emissions (E_o) are specified at 68 Tg CH₄/yr over the historical period.