

## ***Interactive comment on “Methane and carbon dioxide fluxes over a lake: comparison between eddy covariance, floating chambers and boundary layer method” by Kukka-Maaria Erkkilä et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 6 April 2017

This manuscript compares with three widely used methods (Eddy covariance, Floating chamber and Boundary layer method) applied for measuring CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> flux over the boreal lake surface, which gives valuable information on measurement technique development needs. Variation of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> flux during stratified and mixing period is both investigated. The results showed there is the deficiency for each method. The main question is as follows: 1) This manuscript compares CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> flux estimated with three BLM methods (KTE, KHE and KCC), and the linear fit results has been shown in table1 and table 2. The author considered the BLM methods with slope ratio closer to 1 as the best one method. Usually the (determination coefficient) r<sup>2</sup> and RMSE are used for statistical criteria. As there is intercept, it's doubted that the slope

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



ratio could be effective. Regarding for the  $r^2$ , the KTE and KHE still have higher  $r^2$ , and KCC has a lower one. However the conclusion is the same.

---

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2017-56, 2017.

**BGD**

---

Interactive  
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

