

**Daily variation in net primary production and net calcification in coral reef communities exposed to elevated pCO<sub>2</sub>**

<sup>1,2</sup> Steeve Comeau, <sup>1</sup>Peter J. Edmunds, <sup>1,3</sup> Coulson A. Lantz, <sup>1</sup> Robert C. Carpenter

5 <sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, California State University, 18111 Nordhoff Street, Northridge, CA 91330-8303, USA.

<sup>2</sup> School of Earth Sciences, Oceans Institute and ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia

10 <sup>3</sup>Southern Cross University School of Environment, Science, and Engineering, Military Road Lismore NSW 2480 Australia

Correspondence to: Steeve Comeau (steeve.comeau@uwa.edu.au)

## Abstract

15

The threat represented by ocean acidification (OA) for coral reefs has received considerable attention because of the sensitivity of calcifiers to changing seawater carbonate chemistry. However most studies have focused on the organismic response of calcification to OA, and only a few have addressed community-level effects, or  
20 investigated parameters other than calcification, such as photosynthesis. Light (Photosynthetically Active Radiation, PAR) is a driver of biological processes on coral reefs, and the possibility that these processes might be perturbed by OA has important implications for community function. Here we investigate how CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment affects  
25 the relationships between PAR and community net O<sub>2</sub> production ( $P_{net}$ ), and between PAR and community net calcification ( $G_{net}$ ), using experiments on three coral communities constructed to match (i) the back reef of Mo'orea, French Polynesia, (ii) the fore reef of Mo'orea, and (iii) the back reef of O'ahu, Hawaii. The results were used to test the hypothesis that OA affects the relationship between  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$ . For the three  
30 communities tested, pCO<sub>2</sub> did not affect the  $P_{net}$ -PAR relationship, but it affected the intercept of the hyperbolic tangent curve fitting the  $G_{net}$ -PAR relationship for both reef communities in Mo'orea (but not in O'ahu). For the three communities, the slopes of the linear relationships between  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  were not affected by OA, although the intercepts were depressed by the inhibitory effect of high pCO<sub>2</sub> on  $G_{net}$ . Our result  
35 indicates that OA can modify the balance between net calcification and net photosynthesis of reef communities by depressing community calcification, but without affecting community photosynthesis.

## 1. Introduction

40 Ocean acidification (OA), which is caused by the dissolution of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>  
in surface seawater, induces profound changes in seawater carbonate chemistry,  
involving an increased concentration of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and bicarbonate ions, and a  
decrease in the concentration of carbonate ions and pH (Feely et al. 2004). The effects of  
these changes on tropical coral reefs are beginning to be understood in detail, with most  
45 studies reporting a decrease in calcification of scleractinian corals and coralline algae at  
reduced seawater pH (Gattuso and Hanson 2011; Kroeker et al. 2013).

To date, studies addressing the effects of OA on coral reefs have been performed  
mostly at the scale of individual organisms, and have focused on calcification as a  
50 response variable (Schoepf et al. 2013; Comeau et al. 2013; Okazaki et al. 2016), while  
studies focusing on larger spatial scales (i.e., whole communities) have remained rare,  
mostly because of technical constraints (e.g., Dove et al. 2013; Comeau et al. 2015,  
2016a). The few experiments addressing the effects of OA on intact coral reef  
communities have confirmed the threat to calcification rates previously reported for  
55 individual organisms, notably by showing a decreased capacity of communities to  
maintain positive net calcification under conditions mimicking future ocean in which  
seawater pH will be depressed 0.15 – 0.30 units relative to present-day conditions (e.g.,  
Dove et al. 2013; Comeau et al. 2015, 2016a). These community-level studies have  
focused mostly on the response of calcification to low pH (Dove et al. 2013; Comeau et  
60 al. 2015, 2016a) and, in contrast, the effect of increasing pCO<sub>2</sub> on community net O<sub>2</sub>

production has rarely been investigated. Where this issue has been addressed, community O<sub>2</sub> production has been found to be insensitive to pCO<sub>2</sub> (to ~ 1000 μatm) (Leclerc et al. 2002; Langdon and Atkinson 2005, Dove et al. 2013), while a positive effect of pCO<sub>2</sub> on the net production of photosynthetically fixed organic carbon has been reported during a  
65 flume experiment (Langdon and Atkinson 2005).

Investigating the combined response to OA of primary production and calcification of benthic coral reef communities is critical, because increasing dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and bicarbonate ion concentrations potentially could “fertilize” photosynthesis of  
70 marine organisms (Connell and Russell 2010; Hepburn et al. 2011; Connell et al. 2013), thereby perturbing ecosystem trophodynamics. A stimulatory effect of OA on photosynthesis could, for calcifying taxa such as corals and coralline algae, support higher rates of calcification by increasing the ease with which the metabolic costs of these events could be met through enhanced respiration fuelled by greater availability of  
75 carbon substrates (Comeau and Cornwall 2016). However, a stimulatory effect of OA on photosynthesis has not been clearly established for coral reef organisms, and to date, the evidence in support of this possibility is equivocal (e.g., Anthony et al. 2008; Kroeker et al. 2013; Comeau et al. 2016b).

80 One reason why studies of the effect of pCO<sub>2</sub> on the relationship between primary production and calcification are technically challenging is that the relationships between light (Photosynthetically Active Radiation, PAR) and both photosynthesis and calcification are non-linear (e.g., Borowitzka 1981; Chalker et al. 1988; Muscatine 1990;

Chisholm 2000). In symbiotic reef corals, the relationships between photosynthesis and  
85 PAR, and between calcification and PAR, generally are best fit by a hyperbolic tangent  
function (Chalker 1981; Marubini et al. 2001), which is characterized by a rapid rise of  
photosynthesis (or calcification) with initial increases in PAR from darkness, followed by  
a plateau of response at saturating light, and sometimes a reduction in response at the  
highest PAR intensity (i.e., photoinhibition [e.g., Brown et al. 1999]). No studies have  
90 investigated the effect of pCO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on the mathematical parameters defining the  
hyperbolic tangent relationship between PAR and photosynthesis (or calcification) for  
coral reef organisms and communities.

Because calcification of coral reef communities is coupled to photosynthesis on  
95 timescales of hours-to-days (Gattuso et al. 1999), examination of high frequency  
variation in the net O<sub>2</sub> production ( $P_{net}$ )- net calcification ( $G_{net}$ ) relationships for these  
communities has the potential to reveal the capacity to respond dynamically to varying  
conditions (i.e., Jokiel et al. 2014). The relationship between  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  for coral reefs is  
relatively well known at the community level, and generally describes a positive linear  
100 relationship (Gattuso et al. 1999; Falter et al. 2012). Such a relationship reflects emergent  
properties arising from the stimulation of  $G_{net}$  by  $P_{net}$  at the organism scale (i.e., for corals  
and calcified algae) (Jokiel et al. 2014), most likely because  $P_{net}$  can supply the carbon  
resources necessary as substrates for aerobic respiration (Stambler 2011), modify the  
intracellular and surrounding seawater chemistry (Marubini et al. 2008; Jokiel et al.  
105 2014), and provide the building blocks necessary to construct the organic matrix found  
within coral skeletons (Muscatine et al. 2005). Unfortunately, it is difficult to test the

hypothesis that the  $G_{net} - P_{net}$  relationship for reef communities is affected by carbonate chemistry, because the seawater chemistry varies with  $P_{net}$  in the natural environment (Jokiel et al. 2014; Shaw et al. 2015). To test for an effect of seawater carbonate chemistry on the  $G_{net} - P_{net}$  relationship of reef communities, it is therefore necessary to conduct experiments in a controlled environment to assess how seawater carbonate chemistry alone affects the  $G_{net} - P_{net}$  relationship.

The present study tests the hypothesis that the enrichment in seawater  $pCO_2$  due to OA will affect the relationships between  $P_{net}$  and  $PAR$ , and between  $G_{net}$  and  $PAR$  for intact reef communities fabricated in outdoor flumes (sensu Atkinson et al. 1994). The second hypothesis tested is that the  $P_{net} - G_{net}$  relationships would be affected by OA, based on the rationale that community  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  would respond in dissimilar ways to high  $pCO_2$ . Because the shape of these relationships likely depends on the community composition (i.e., the taxa present and their relative abundances [Gattuso et al. 1999]), we used results from three independent experiments to explore variations in the relationships caused by differences in environmental conditions and differences in the taxonomic assemblages composing the communities tested. Data from three experiments conducted in flumes in two locations in the tropical Pacific were combined; one experiment focused on a back reef community assembled in Mo'orea, French Polynesia, during the Austral spring 2013 (Comeau et al. 2015); one experiment focused on a reef flat (back reef) community assembled in Kāne'ohe Bay, O'ahu, during the winter 2014; and one experiment focused on a fore reef community assembled in Mo'orea, during the Austral spring 2014 (Comeau et al. 2016a). For the communities analysed in Mo'orea, the present

130 contribution describes in more detailed the results for net calcification, as well as new  
results for photosynthesis, that originate from experiments that are described in part in  
previous papers (Comeau et al. 2015, 2016a); the study conducted in O’ahu has not been  
described before. The three communities were incubated in outdoor flumes of similar  
designs, and were operated under ambient and elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> (~ 400 μatm and ~1300  
135 μatm, respectively). When the experiments were conducted, community  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$   
were measured simultaneously.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 140 2.1 Collection and sample preparation

This study utilizes results from three experiments conducted between August  
2013 and October 2014. The first and third experiments were carried out in Mo’orea,  
French Polynesia, at the Richard B. Gump South Pacific Research Station, and the second  
145 experiment was conducted in O’ahu, Hawaii, on Coconut Island at the Hawaii Institute of  
Marine Biology (Fig. 1).

The first experiment took place in August-October 2013, and focused on a back  
reef community from 1–2 m depth on the north shore of Mo’orea (Comeau et al. 2015).  
150 When the study was completed, this community consisted of 22% coral cover and 6%  
coralline alga cover. Two-third of the area of the working section of the flume was  
occupied by sediments collected from the lagoon at 2-m depth.

The second experiment was carried out in O’ahu in January-February 2014 and  
155 focused on a benthic community similar to that found at 1-2 m depth on the Kāne’ohe  
Bay barrier reef flat in 2013. This community consisted of *Porites compressa* (7% cover),  
*Montipora capitata* (12%), massive *Porites* spp. (3%), and *Pocillopora damicornis* (2%),  
and the crustose coralline alga *Porolithon onkodes* (4%) (Jokiel et al. 2015). As described  
above for experiment 1, sediments were inserted into the floor of the flume to recreate  
160 ecologically relevant communities. Since the flumes in O’ahu (as designed and utilized  
by M. Atkinson (e.g., Atkinson et al. 1994)) were not designed to include sediments, a  
custom-made sediment box was inserted into the floor of the flumes to provide an area  
occupying two-thirds of the floor of the working section of the flume with sediment to a  
depth of ~ 5-8 cm.

165

The third experiment was carried out from August to October, 2014 in Mo’orea,  
and focused on outer reef benthic communities prepared from specimens collected from ~  
15–17-m depth (Comeau et al. 2016a). This community consisted of 27% cover of corals  
and 5 % cover of coralline algae. 55% of the floor of the flume was covered by ~ 20 × 20  
170 × 5 cm pieces of reef pavement collected from ~15-m.

In Mo’orea, the two experiments were performed in four outdoor flumes  
consisting of a working section of 5.0 × 0.3 × 0.3 m (as in Comeau et al. 2015) in which  
water was re-circulated at a constant speed of  $10 \pm 0.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  (mean  $\pm$  SE; Experiment 1)  
175 or  $8 \pm 0.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  (Experiment 3) that represented the mean in situ flow speed over the  
year measured in the two habitats (Washburn 2014; Comeau et al. 2016). Two flumes



were maintained at ambient pCO<sub>2</sub> (~ 400 μatm), and two at elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> (~1200–1300 μatm, see below). Fresh sand-filtered seawater was dispensed continuously into the flumes at 5 L min<sup>-1</sup>, and the experiments lasted eight (Experiment 1) or seven weeks  
180 (Experiments 3).

In O'ahu, the benthic community was constructed in two outdoor flumes, one with a working section of 9 × 0.6 × 0.3 m, and one with a working section of 4 × 0.4 × 0.4 m; one of these flumes was maintained at ambient pCO<sub>2</sub> and one at elevated pCO<sub>2</sub>.  
185 To address the confounding effect of flumes in this design (i.e., the flumes were allocated to one of two treatments and the flumes were not of an identical design), the first experiment ended after three weeks, the pCO<sub>2</sub> treatments were switched between flumes, and new communities (with the same taxon composition including sediment) were placed in the two flumes for a second trial of the same experiment lasting 3 weeks. Fresh sand-  
190 filtered seawater was dispensed continuously into both flumes (at 5-10 L min<sup>-1</sup>), and a flow speed of 10 cm s<sup>-1</sup>, similar to that employed in the earlier trial with the back reef communities of Mo'orea, was maintained using electric trolling motors (Minnkota USA Riptide 55, Minnkota, USA).

195 The three experiments were performed outdoors under natural sunlight that was attenuated using shade cloth to maintain PAR values similar to ambient PAR recorded in situ in each habitat. In Experiment 1 and 2, the maximum PAR was set at ~ 1000 μmol quanta m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to represent light levels at ~ 1–2m depth in the back reef (Carpenter et al. 2016), and in Experiment 3, maximum PAR was set at ~ 600 μmol quanta m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to

200 mimic light levels recorded at 17-m depth on the fore reef of Mo'orea around noon on a  
cloudless day (Carpenter et al. 2016). For Experiment 3 (with an outer reef community  
from deeper water), blue acetate filters (Lee Filters #183 Moonlight Blue) were placed  
over the flumes to filter ambient sunlight in the 600-800 nm range to approximate the  
light spectrum found at 17-m depth (Comeau et al. 2016a). Temperature in all flumes was  
205 maintained at ambient seawater temperature when the experiments were conducted,  
which corresponded to ~ 27 °C in Experiment 1 and 3 (both conducted during Austral  
spring) and ~ 24 °C in Experiment 2 (conducted in winter).

## *2.2 Carbonate chemistry manipulations and measurements*

210 For the three experiments, pCO<sub>2</sub> levels were chosen to match ambient pCO<sub>2</sub> (~  
400 µatm) and the pCO<sub>2</sub> expected in the atmosphere by the middle of the next century  
(~1300 µatm, Moss et al., 2010). pCO<sub>2</sub> in the flumes was controlled using pH controllers  
(Aquacontroller, Neptune systems, USA) that controlled the delivery of either pure CO<sub>2</sub>  
215 or CO<sub>2</sub>-free air into the seawater. To match the natural diel variation in seawater pH in  
shallow back reef communities (Hofmann et al., 2011; Comeau et al., 2014a), in  
Experiment 1 and 2, seawater pH was maintained 0.1 unit lower at night (from 18:00 to  
6:00) than during the day. It is expected that diel fluctuations in pH will be larger in the  
future due to changes in the buffering capacity of seawater. However, similar fluctuations  
220 we chosen here to apply similar pH fluctuations between ambient and elevated pCO<sub>2</sub>  
flumes to avoid confounding effects. Diel variation in pH was not applied during  
Experiment 3, because seawater pH varies < 0.1 between day and night on the fore reef of  
Mo'orea (S. Comeau unpublished data).

225 For the three experiments, pH on the total scale ( $\text{pH}_T$ ) was measured daily using a  
portable pH meter (Orion 3-stars, Thermo-Scientific, USA) fitted with a DG 115-SC pH  
probe (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland) calibrated every other day with Tris/HCl buffers  
(Dickson et al., 2007).  $\text{pH}_T$  also was measured every 2 weeks spectrophotometrically  
using m-cresol dye (Dickson et al., 2007). Mean values of  $\text{pH}_T$  measured  
230 spectrophotometrically and using a pH electrode differed  $< 0.02$  pH units. Total alkalinity  
( $A_T$ ) was measured using open-cell potentiometric titrations (Dickson et al., 2007) on 50-  
mL samples of seawater collected every 2-3 d. Accuracy of  $A_T$  measurements was  
checked by titrating certified reference materials provided by A.G. Dickson (batch 122  
and 140) that yielded  $A_T$  values within  $\sim 4 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$  of the nominal value. Parameters of  
235 the carbonate system in seawater were determined with the R package seacarb (Gattuso et  
al., 2015) using measured values of  $\text{pH}_T$ ,  $A_T$ , temperature, and salinity.

### *2.3 Net calcification and primary production measurements*

Net community calcification ( $G_{net}$ ) in the flumes was measured using the total  
240 alkalinity anomaly method (Chisholm and Gattuso 1991; Schoepf et al. 2016), and net  
community primary production ( $P_{net}$ ) was measured using oxygen sensors (TROLL 9500,  
In-Situ) that measured the  $\text{O}_2$  concentration at 60-second intervals with an accuracy of  
 $0.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . Oxygen sensors were calibrated at the beginning of the experiment using a  
two-point calibration (0% and 100%  $\text{O}_2$  seawater solutions). Measurements of changes in  
245 dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) were not meaningful with our experimental-design

because DIC was held constant by adding pure CO<sub>2</sub> during the incubations to maintain pCO<sub>2</sub> at target values.

For the three experiments, community metabolism was measured every 7 d using  
250 single 24-h incubations during which the addition of seawater to the flumes was stopped,  
and the flumes were operated in a closed circuit mode. During these incubations,  
seawater samples for the determination of  $A_T$  were taken every 3 h during the day, and  
every 6 h at night, to estimate  $G_{net}$ , while O<sub>2</sub> was constantly monitored. To maintain  $A_T$ ,  
nutrient concentrations, and pO<sub>2</sub> at values close to ambient seawater in the sampled  
255 habitats, ~ 50% of the flume volume was replaced every 3 h during the day, and every 6 h  
at night (i.e., at 6:00, 9:00, 12:00, 15:00, 18:00, and 00:00).  $A_T$  and DIC changed by < 5%  
(~ 40-50 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>) during the incubations, which likely did not affect the metabolism of  
organisms. Since only two O<sub>2</sub> sensors were available, and experiments were conducted in  
four flumes in Mo'orea,  $P_{net}$  was measured for each incubation in one ambient and one  
260 elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> flumes that were randomly picked. In O'ahu, one O<sub>2</sub> sensor was used in  
each flume during the incubations. Acrylic covers placed on top of the flumes limited gas  
exchange with the atmosphere but did not prevent it. Gas exchange, between seawater  
and the atmosphere were estimated based on the flumes surface areas, the flow speed, and  
the differences between the O<sub>2</sub> concentration measured in seawater and the theoretical O<sub>2</sub>  
265 concentrations when in equilibrium with the atmosphere following equations of Langdon  
and Atkinson (2005). Wind effects on gas exchange across the air-water interface were  
assumed to be negligible because acrylic covers protected flumes. Gas exchange was  
estimated to be small (i.e. < 5-10%) because ~ 50% of the flume volume was replaced

every 3 h during the day. Gas exchange was similar between treatments and was therefore  
270 not taken into account in the present study. Light was monitored constantly during the  
incubations using cosine-corrected PAR sensors (Odyssey, Dataflow Systems Pty Ltd,  
Christchurch, New Zealand).

#### 2.4 Calculations and statistical analysis

275  $P_{net}$  was estimated hourly by calculating the change in  $O_2$  during the incubations,  
except for the hours during which the seawater was refreshed (6:00, 9:00, 12:00, 15:00,  
18:00, and 00:00 hrs).  $G_{net}$  was estimated at 3 h intervals during the day and 6 h intervals  
at night by collecting  $A_T$  samples at the beginning (after seawater refreshing) and at the  
end of each incubation (before adding fresh seawater).

280

Because there were no significant differences in calcification between flumes for  
each treatment (Comeau et al. 2015, 2016a),  $G_{net}$  was pooled among replicate flumes in  
each treatment.  $P_{net}$  was measured in Mo'orea in only one flume per treatment at a time,  
and it was assumed that the measurements represented the average response to the  
285 conditions experienced in each treatment. Individual measurements of  $G_{net}$  and  $P_{net}$  in  
O'ahu were considered replicates.

A corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) approach was used to determine  
if a linear, logarithmic, or hyperbolic tangent functions best described the functional  
290 relationships between  $P_{net}$  and PAR, and between  $G_{net}$  and PAR, for each community (see  
details in Comeau et al. 2013). A linear relationship was fit to explore a “proportional

effect” model for increasing PAR. A logarithmic function and a hyperbolic tangent function that are commonly used to describe the relationship between  $P_{net}$  and PAR for reef corals (Chalker 1981; Marubini et al. 2001), also were fit to the data in cases where  
295 photosynthesis (or calcification) initially rapidly increased with PAR, then approached an asymptote at saturating PAR.

The hyperbolic tangent function between PAR and  $P_{net}$  in the light corresponded to:

$$P_{net} = C_0 + P_{net\ max} \tanh \frac{(\alpha I)}{P_{net\ max}}$$

300 where  $P_{net\ max}$  is the maximum photosynthetic rate,  $I$  is the PAR,  $\alpha$  is the slope of the initial portion of the  $P_{net}$  versus  $I$  relationship, and  $C_0$  is the intercept.

Similarly, the hyperbolic tangent function for the relationship between PAR and  $G_{net}$  in the light was:

$$G_{net} = C_0 + G_{net\ max} \tanh \frac{(\alpha I)}{G_{net\ max}}$$

305 where  $G_{net\ max}$  is the maximum calcification rate,  $I$  is the PAR,  $\alpha$  is the slope of the initial portion of the  $G_{net}$  versus  $I$  relationship, and  $C_0$  is the intercept.

The best fits of the functions (least squares) were determined using the function *nls* in R, and t-tests were used to compare the curve parameters between pCO<sub>2</sub> treatments.

310

To test the hypothesis that  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  were associated, mean  $P_{net}$  corresponding to the  $G_{net}$  determination intervals (3 h periods during the day and 6 h at night) were calculated, and the relationship between  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  was investigated using a correlation approach (sensu Gattuso et al. 1999). When the linear associations between  $G_{net}$  on  $P_{net}$  were significant, analyses of covariance (ANCOVA), with  $P_{net}$  as the covariate, were used to test the effects of pCO<sub>2</sub> (a fixed effect) on the  $P_{net}$  -  $G_{net}$  relationship for each experiment. All analyses were performed using R software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing). In this design, both  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  are random variables for which a test of association is best accomplished with correlation. Evaluating the slope and intercept is problematic as it is not appropriate to use Model I (least squares) approaches for the purpose of describing the functional relationship between two random variables. In the present case, we report Model I slopes because we are interested in the capacity to predict  $G_{net}$  from  $P_{net}$  and because Model I slopes are integral to the ANCOVA approach.

### 3. Results

Carbonate chemistry was tightly controlled during the three experiments, with mean pCO<sub>2</sub> maintained at  $453 \pm 30$ ,  $460 \pm 23$ , and  $400 \pm 14$   $\mu\text{atm}$  in the ambient treatments, and  $1317 \pm 50$ ,  $1233 \pm 76$ , and  $1176 \pm 37$   $\mu\text{atm}$  in the elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> treatments during Experiments 1, 2, and 3, respectively (all  $\pm$  SE, n = 42–56). In all experiments and both treatments, aragonite saturation states ( $\Omega_{\text{arag}}$ ) were  $\sim 3.52$ ,  $2.59$ , and  $3.71$  in the ambient treatments, and  $1.64$ ,  $1.36$ , and  $1.75$  in the elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> treatments during Experiments 1, 2, and 3, respectively (Table 1).  $\Omega_{\text{arag}}$  was lower during Experiment 2 in O’ahu compared to Experiments 1 and 3 in Mo’orea because of naturally

lower  $A_T$  ( $\sim 2160 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ ) and temperature ( $\sim 24^\circ\text{C}$ ) in this location (cf in Mo'orea  
335 where  $A_T$  is  $\sim 2340 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$  at  $27^\circ\text{C}$ ).

Benthic community structure in the flumes was not measured during these short  
experiments, and we assume that changes were minor as there was no major coral  
mortality and planar growth would have been trivial over several weeks.

340

### 3.1 Relationships of $P_{net}$ and $G_{net}$ with PAR

AICc analyses justified the use of a hyperbolic tangent function (versus linear or  
logarithmic functions) to fit the relationship between  $P_{net}$  and PAR during the day for the  
345 back reef communities of Mo'orea and O'ahu under the two pCO<sub>2</sub> conditions (Fig. 2A, B,  
and C, Supplementary Table 1). Since the hyperbolic tangent function could not be  
rejected for the fore reef community of Mo'orea, this model was also chosen to facilitate  
comparisons between experiments. For the back reef community of Mo'orea, the back  
reef community of O'ahu, and the fore reef community of Mo'orea, there was no effect of  
350 pCO<sub>2</sub> on any of the parameters of the relationship between  $P_{net}$  and PAR (Table 2).

Similar to  $P_{net}$ , AICc tests also confirmed that the relationships of  $G_{net}$  with PAR  
could be fit with a hyperbolic tangent function for the three experiments under the two  
pCO<sub>2</sub> conditions tested (Fig. 3A–C; Supplementary Table 2). For the Mo'orea back reef  
355 community, there was no difference in maximum calcification ( $G_{net\ max}$ ), and slope of the  
initial portion of the relationship ( $\alpha$ ) between pCO<sub>2</sub> treatments (Table 2). However, pCO<sub>2</sub>



affected the intercepts ( $C_0$ ,  $p = 0.046$ ), with  $C_0$  at ambient  $p\text{CO}_2$  ( $1.26 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) greater than  $C_0$  at elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$  ( $-0.52 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). The relationship of  $G_{net}$  with PAR for the back reef communities in O'ahu was not statistically affected by  $p\text{CO}_2$  (Table 2).

360 For the fore reef community of Mo'orea,  $G_{net \max}$  and  $\alpha$  did not differ between treatments, but  $C_0$  was higher ( $2.77 \text{ mmol O}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) at ambient versus elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$  ( $0.58 \text{ mmol O}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) (Table 2).

### 3.2 Relationships between $P_{net}$ and $G_{net}$

365 For the back reef communities of Mo'orea, the relationship between  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  was significantly and positively correlated ( $p < 0.001$  under ambient and elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$ ) with slopes of  $0.17 \pm 0.03 \text{ mmol CaCO}_3 \text{ mmol O}_2^{-1}$  under ambient  $p\text{CO}_2$ , and  $0.18 \pm 0.03 \text{ mmol CaCO}_3 \text{ mmol O}_2^{-1}$  (both  $\pm \text{SE}$ ,  $n = 48$ ) under elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$  (Fig. 4A, Table 3). There was no difference between treatments in slopes (ANCOVA,  $p = 0.749$ ), but  
370 intercepts were 61% greater under ambient versus elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$  ( $p < 0.001$ ).

$G_{net}$  and  $P_{net}$  for the back reef communities of O'ahu also were positively correlated ( $p < 0.001$  under both ambient and elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$ ) and their relationships exhibited slopes of  $0.14 \pm 0.02 \text{ mmol CaCO}_3 \text{ mmol O}_2^{-1}$  under ambient  $p\text{CO}_2$ , and  $0.17 \pm$   
375  $0.02 \text{ mmol CaCO}_3 \text{ mmol O}_2^{-1}$  (both  $\pm \text{SE}$ ,  $n = 36$ ) under elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$  (Fig. 4B, Table 3). There was no difference between treatments in slopes (ANCOVA,  $p = 0.286$ ), but the intercepts were 32% greater under ambient versus elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$  ( $p < 0.001$ ).

For the fore reef community of Mo'orea, the relationships between  $G_{net}$  and  $P_{net}$  were significant under ambient and elevated  $pCO_2$  ( $p < 0.001$ ) and had respective slopes of  $0.27 \pm 0.05$  mmol  $CaCO_3$  mmol  $O_2^{-1}$ , and  $0.30 \pm 0.06$  mmol  $CaCO_3$  mmol  $O_2^{-1}$  (both  $\pm$  SE,  $n = 28$ ; Table 3). For the back reef communities, there were no differences of the slopes between  $G_{net}$  and  $P_{net}$  between treatments (ANCOVA,  $p = 0.623$ ), but intercepts were 48% greater under elevated versus ambient  $pCO_2$  ( $p = 0.002$ ).

385

#### 4. Discussion

By testing the response of three coral reef communities to OA under natural PAR, our study demonstrates that the relationships between  $P_{net}$  and PAR and  $G_{net}$  and PAR for back reef and outer reef communities are not affected by  $pCO_2$ . Our results also demonstrate that the slope of the relationship between  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  was unaffected by increasing  $pCO_2$ , but in contrast, the intercepts were more elevated in the ambient treatments. Such results were caused by a constant effect of OA on  $G_{net}$  for the range of  $P_{net}$  values measured in the three communities.

395

For the three assembled communities,  $pCO_2$  did not affect the functional relationship between PAR and  $P_{net}$  as modelled using a hyperbolic tangent function. This result suggests that for the organisms composing the three communities, the additional quantities of bicarbonate and dissolved  $CO_2$  available under OA conditions did not enhance photosynthesis across the range of light intensities and community structures tested. However, as our results come from experiments completed in a single season, we

400

cannot be sure whether the results are consistent throughout the year, as seasonal variations in community and organismic  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  are common on coral reefs (e.g., Falter et al. 2012). Whether increasing pCO<sub>2</sub> has beneficial consequences for rates of photosynthesis of marine organisms is equivocal (Connell and Russell 2010; Britton et al. 405 2016) and, indeed, the absence of an effect of pCO<sub>2</sub> on photosynthesis may have important biological meaning (e.g., Kroeker et al. 2013). For instance, such an outcome could reflect the presence of diverse carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCM), which allow organisms to actively concentrate CO<sub>2</sub> at the site of Rubisco activity by actively 410 transporting HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> across internal membranes (Giardano et al. 2005; Raven et al. 2014). Increases in concentration of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> in seawater that occur as a result of OA (Feely et al. 2004) could have beneficial consequences for photosynthetic rates of species that currently are DIC limited (Diaz-Pulido et al. 2016), because these organisms often rely on inefficient and energetically costly CCMs to access CO<sub>2</sub> (Raven et al. 2014).

415

The present study, as well as previous studies of both coral reef organisms (corals and calcified algae) (Schneider & Erez 2006; Comeau et al. 2016b), and coral reef communities (Leclercq et al. 2002; Langdon et al 2003; Dove et al. 2013), showed no change in  $P_{net}$ , measured by changes in O<sub>2</sub> concentrations, in response to OA arising 420 from pCO<sub>2</sub> values as high as 2000 µatm. Stimulatory effects of pCO<sub>2</sub> on  $P_{net}$  probably were not detected in our communities (i.e., where coral cover ranged from 22–27%), because such effects are likely to be minimal for endosymbiotic *Symbiodinium* in corals that possess a CCM (Mackey et al. 2015) and, moreover, are able to exploit some of the host respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> as an alternative DIC source (Stambler 2011). Beneficial effects of

425 high pCO<sub>2</sub> on community carbon production, but not oxygen production, for shallow  
water coral reefs have been reported by Langdon & Atkinson (2005), who found a 20–  
50% increase in carbon production of coral assemblages composed of *Porites compressa*  
and *Montipora capitata* in Hawai'i. This result led to the hypothesis that increasing CO<sub>2</sub>  
causes a decrease in the photosynthetic quotient of corals, which could be a product of  
430 the metabolism of the coral host, if CO<sub>2</sub> favors the production of carbohydrates over  
proteins and lipids (Langdon & Atkinson 2005). While this hypothesis is appealing as a  
mean to resolve discrepancy between studies, it was not possible to test in the present  
study because  $P_{net}$  was determined through measurements of O<sub>2</sub> (see Material and  
Methods). In order to reconcile these apparently contradictory results regarding a  
435 potential “CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization” effect, it would be necessary for future studies to  
simultaneously measure changes in O<sub>2</sub>, DIC, and  $A_T$ . In such an experiment, fluxes in  
DIC should be corrected for changes in  $A_T$  due to calcium carbonate precipitation and  
dissolution (because 0.5 moles DIC is equivalent to 1 mole  $A_T$  [Gattuso et al. 1999]). DIC  
data corrected by this means could then be compared against contemporaneous  
440 measurements of O<sub>2</sub> in experimental set-up to ascertain if the expected 1:1 molar flux  
ratio (of DIC : O<sub>2</sub>) changes under elevated seawater pCO<sub>2</sub>. Changes in the value of this  
ratio, relative to ambient conditions, may provide insight into the possibility that coral  
reef calcifiers alter the allocation of photosynthetically fixed carbon among carbohydrate,  
lipid and protein pools as result of exposure to elevated seawater pCO<sub>2</sub>.

445

In our three experiments, maximal community  $G_{net}$  was coincident with the  
highest PAR. At low PAR ( $\sim < 50 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) only the fore reef community in

Mo'orea exhibited positive  $P_{net}$  at both pCO<sub>2</sub> levels, demonstrating the capacity of this deeper community to photosynthesize at lower intensities of PAR. Similar to  $P_{net}$ , the relationships of  $G_{net}$  with PAR at the two pCO<sub>2</sub> levels were best-fit by a hyperbolic tangent function. The lack of changes in the parameters of these relationships as a result of the treatment conditions demonstrated that pCO<sub>2</sub> and light did not have interactive effects on  $G_{net}$  (Table 2). Only the elevations of the hyperbolic functions for the two habitats in Mo'orea were affected by high pCO<sub>2</sub>, and in this case their reduction relative to ambient pCO<sub>2</sub> demonstrates that  $G_{net}$  consistently was lower, regardless of PAR intensity, at high pCO<sub>2</sub>. Comparative data on the effect of the intensity of PAR on the response of community calcification to pCO<sub>2</sub> are not available, but of the few studies of similar effects that have been conducted at the organism scale, contradictory results have been found (Marubini et al. 2001; Comeau et al. 2013; Dufault et al. 2013; Sugget et al. 2013; Comeau et al. 2014b; Enochs et al. 2014).

The consistently lower  $G_{net}$  in the high pCO<sub>2</sub> treatments for the three experiments could have resulted from either a decrease in gross calcification, an increase in calcium carbonate dissolution, or a combination of both. The constant offset (i.e., difference in elevation of the response) between  $G_{net}$  under ambient and high pCO<sub>2</sub> at any given PAR suggests the effect cannot be accounted for solely by changes in gross calcification ( $G_{gross}$ ). Indeed, if only  $G_{gross}$  were affected by high pCO<sub>2</sub>, a proportional effect on  $G_{net}$  would be expected, with the reduction of  $G_{net}$  associated with high pCO<sub>2</sub> varying with  $G_{gross}$  and, therefore, PAR. In contrast, if dissolution and bioerosion, which are mostly chemically and mechanically driven (Andersson and Gledhill 2013), were responsible for

the reduced  $G_{net}$  at high  $p\text{CO}_2$ , it is likely that PAR would have only a small influence in  $G_{net}$ . Thus, it is likely that increasing dissolution and chemical bioerosion in the high  $p\text{CO}_2$  treatment caused most of the observed decreases in  $G_{net}$ . However, the method used in the present study (alkalinity anomaly technique) did not permit quantifying mechanical  
475 bioerosion, which could also be affected by OA (Enochs et al. 2016).

Although the two coral reef communities studied in Mo'orea differed in substratum composition (i.e., with sand present in the back reef versus pavement in the outer reef, and differences in coral cover), community structure, and the quality and  
480 quantity of light applied (i.e., blue-biased at depth, and a 40% reduction in intensity at 17-m versus 2-m depth), both communities exhibited a 50-60% decline in  $G_{net}$  at 1300  $\mu\text{atm}$   $p\text{CO}_2$ . In contrast, mean  $G_{net}$  for the O'ahu back reef community was less affected by  $p\text{CO}_2$  than for the communities of Mo'orea. The reduced sensitivity of  $G_{net}$  to  $\sim 1200$   $\mu\text{atm}$   $p\text{CO}_2$  for back reef communities in O'ahu may reflect different sediment  
485 composition, and legacy effects associated with environmental conditions in the bay from which the organisms and sediment were collected. Critically, the organisms for the O'ahu experiment were collected from Kāne'ohe Bay where seawater  $p\text{CO}_2$  (up to  $\sim 450$ -500  $\mu\text{atm}$ ) is higher than current atmospheric levels ( $\sim 400$   $\mu\text{atm}$ ) because of heterotrophy and calcification (Fagan and Mackenzie 2007; Drupp et al. 2011). Kāne'ohe Bay is also  
490 affected by strong diurnal cycles in  $p\text{CO}_2$ , and rapid changes in  $p\text{CO}_2$  during storm events (Fagan and Mackenzie 2007; Drupp et al. 2011). These conditions potentially could have created the opportunity for physiological acclimatization or local adaptation that might reduce their sensitivity to high  $p\text{CO}_2$  in the experimental trials.

495           The relationship between community  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  is commonly used as a  
measured of coral reef “state” (Gattuso et al. 1999; Lantz et al. 2014), with coral reefs  
dominated by high coral cover and low cover of macroalgae characterized by elevated  
slopes of the  $P_{net} - G_{net}$  relationship. In the present study, the slopes of the relationships  
between  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  in the ambient treatment were between 0.14 (O’ahu) (this and all  
500 following slope values have units of  $\text{mmol CaCO}_3 \text{ mmol O}_2^{-1}$ ) and 0.27 (Mo’orea fore  
reef). In Mo’orea, the slopes were higher for the fore reef (0.27 and 0.30) versus the back  
reef (0.17 and 0.18) community, which demonstrated that  $G_{net}$  was more sensitive to  
changes in  $P_{net}$  in fore reef communities, probably because of a higher cover of calcifiers.  
The slopes of the  $P_{net} - G_{net}$  relationships for the communities tested are within the range  
505 estimated from in situ “reef scale” measurements, which indicate a mean value of 0.22  
based on 52 reefs (Gattuso et al. 1999). More recently, Shaw et al. (2012) reported a  $P_{net} -$   
 $G_{net}$  slope of 0.24 for the reef flat of Lady Elliot Island, Australia, and a slope of 0.14 was  
reported for Ningaloo reef, Australia (Falter et al. 2012). The consistency between the  
slopes reported herein, and values determined in situ (e.g., Shaw et al. 2012, Gattuso et  
510 al. 1999), suggest that our constructed communities, and the conditions to which they  
were exposed, reproduced conditions found in situ on coral reefs. This outcome lends  
support to the inferences we are able to make regarding the response of reef communities  
to elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$ , for which currently there is no in situ data.

515           Our results are consistent with the hypothesis that OA will affect the relationship  
between community  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  (sensu Gattuso et al. 1999) because intercept of the  $P_{net}$

-  $G_{net}$  relationships varied between treatments and were more elevated under ambient  $pCO_2$ . The absence of changes in slopes as a function of  $pCO_2$  probably was due to the lack of a  $pCO_2$  effect on  $P_{net}$ , and the lack of a PAR- $pCO_2$  interactive effect on  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$ . Furthermore, the community composition remained the same in the ambient and elevated  $pCO_2$  conditions, with no mortality or loss of benthic cover of living organisms during the course of the experiment, which could potentially have modified the community  $P_{net} - G_{net}$  relationship (Lantz et al. 2014; Shaw et al. 2015) due to taxon-specific  $P_{net} - G_{net}$  relationships (Page et al. 2016). Thus, this result indicates that elevated  $CO_2$  alone (e.g., without considering global warming) can modify the balance between calcification and photosynthesis at the scale of a whole reef, because of a decrease in coral reef community calcification while photosynthesis remains constant.



## Acknowledgements

We thank RD Gates and HM Putnam for access to the infrastructure of HIMB and  
530 laboratory assistance in Hawaii. This study was funded by the National Science  
Foundation (OCE 10-41270 and 14-15268) and the Mo'orea Coral Reef LTER (OCE 04-  
17413 and 10-26852). This is contribution number 235 of the CSUN Marine Biology  
Program. S. Comeau was supported by ARC (Discovery Early Career Researcher Award;  
DE160100668) during the writing of the manuscript.

535

## References

- Albright R., Langdon C., and Anthony K. R.: Dynamics of seawater carbonate chemistry,  
production, and calcification of a coral reef flat, central Great Barrier Reef.  
Biogeosciences, 10, 6747–6758, 2013.
- 540 Anthony K. R., Kline D. I., Diaz-Pulido G., Dove S., and Hoegh-Guldberg O.: Ocean  
acidification causes bleaching and productivity loss in coral reef builders. Proc Natl.  
Acad. Sci., 105,17442–17446, 2008.
- Andersson, A. J., and Gledhill, D.: Ocean acidification and coral reefs: effects on  
breakdown, dissolution, and net ecosystem calcification. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 5,  
545 321–348, 2013.
- Atkinson, M. J., Kotler E., and Newton, P.: Effects of water velocity on respiration,  
calcification, and ammonium uptake of a *Porites compressa* community. Pacific Sci.,  
48, 296-303, 1994.

Barkley, H. C., Cohen, A. L., Golbuu, Y., Starczak, V. R., DeCarlo, T. M., and  
550 Shamberger, K. E. F.: Changes in coral reef communities across a natural gradient in  
seawater pH. *Science Advances*, 1: e1500328, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500328, 2015.

Borowitzka, M. A.: Photosynthesis and calcification in the articulated coralline red algae  
*Amphiroa anceps* and *A. foliacea*. *Mar. Biol.*, 62, 17–23, 1981.

Britton, D., Cornwall, C. E., Revill, A. T., Hurd, C. L., and Johnson, C. R.: Ocean  
555 acidification reverses the positive effects of seawater pH fluctuations on growth and  
photosynthesis of the habitat-forming kelp, *Ecklonia radiata*. *Scientific Reports*, 6,  
26036, doi:10.1038/srep26036, 2016.

Brown, B. E., Ambarsari, I., Warner, M. E., Fitt, W. K., Dunne, R. P., Gibb, S. W., and  
Cummings, D. G.: Diurnal changes in photochemical efficiency and xanthophyll  
560 concentrations in shallow water reef corals : evidence for photoinhibition and  
photoprotection. *Coral Reefs*, 18, 99–105, 1999.

Carpenter, R. C. of Mo’orea Coral Reef LTER.: MCR LTER: Coral Reef: Long-term  
population and community dynamics: benthic algae and other community components,  
ongoing since 2005. knb-lter-mcr.8.27. Available at: [http://metacat.lter-  
net.edu/knb/metacat/knb-lter-mcr.8.27/lter](http://metacat.lter-<br/>565 net.edu/knb/metacat/knb-lter-mcr.8.27/lter), 2014.

Carpenter, R. C. of Mo’orea Coral Reef LTER.: MCR LTER: Coral Reef: Benthic  
Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR), ongoing since 2009. knb-lter-mcr.4005.8  
doi:10.6073/pasta/ea06e6d53df8b1cdf7c1d50d025b77fd, 2016.

Chalker, B. E.: Simulating light-saturation curves for photosynthesis and calcification by  
570 reef-building corals. *Mar. Biol.*, 63, 135–141, 1981.

- Chalker, B. E., Barnes, D. J., Dunlap, W. C., and Jokiel, P. L.: Light and reef-building corals. *Interdisciplinary Sci. Rev.*, 13, 222–237, 1988.
- Chisholm, J. R. M., and Gattuso, J.-P.: Validation of the alkalinity anomaly technique for investigating calcification of photosynthesis in coral reef communities. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 36: 1232–1239, 1991.
- Chisholm, J. R. M.: Calcification by crustose coralline algae on the northern Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 45, 1476–1484, 2000.
- Comeau S., and Cornwall, C. E.: Contrasting effects of ocean acidification on coral reef “animal forests” versus seaweed “kelp forests”. *In: Marine Animal Forests*, Springer, 2016.
- Comeau, S., Carpenter, R. C., and Edmunds, P. J.: Effects of pCO<sub>2</sub> on photosynthesis and respiration of tropical scleractinian corals and calcified algae. *ICES J.Mar. Sci.*, fsv267, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv267, 2016b.
- Comeau, S., Carpenter, R. C., Lantz, C. A., and Edmunds, P. J.: Ocean acidification accelerates dissolution of experimental coral reef communities. *Biogeosciences*, 12, 365–372, 2015.
- Comeau, S., Lantz, C. A., Edmunds, P. J., and Carpenter, R. C.: Framework of barrier reefs threatened by ocean acidification. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 22, 1225–1234, 2016a.
- Comeau, S., Edmunds, P. J., Spindel, N. B., and Carpenter, R. C.: Diel pCO<sub>2</sub> oscillations modulate the response of the coral *Acropora hyacinthus* to ocean acidification. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Seri.*, 501, 99–111, 2014.

- Connell, S. D., and Russell, B. D.: The direct effects of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on non-calcifying organisms: increasing the potential for phase shifts in kelp forests. *P. Roy. Soc. B*, 277, 1409-1415, 2010.
- 595 Connell, S. D., Kroeker, K. J., Fabricius, K. E., Kline, D. I., and Russell, B. D.: The other ocean acidification problem: CO<sub>2</sub> as a resource among competitors for ecosystem dominance. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. B*, 368, 20120442, doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0442, 2013.
- Diaz-Pulido, G., Cornwall, C., Gartrell, P., Hurd, C., and Tran, D. V.: Strategies of  
600 dissolved inorganic carbon use in macroalgae across a gradient of terrestrial influence: implications for the Great Barrier Reef in the context of ocean acidification. *Coral Reefs*, 35, 1327, doi:10.1007/s00338-016-1481-5, 2016.
- Dickson A. G., Sabine, C. L., and Christian, J. R.: Guide to best practices for ocean CO<sub>2</sub> measurements. *PICES Special Publication 3*, pp 191, 2007.
- 605 Dove, S. G., Kline, D. I., Pantos, O., Angly, F. E., Tyson, G. W., and Hoegh-Guldberg, O.: Future reef decalcification under a business-as-usual CO<sub>2</sub> emission scenario. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 110, 15342–15347, 2013.
- Drupp, P., DeCarlo, E. H., Mackenzie, F. T., Bienfang, P., and Sabine, C. L.: Nutrient inputs, phytoplankton response, and CO<sub>2</sub> variations in a semi-enclosed subtropical  
610 embayment, Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii. *Aquatic Geochem.*, 17, 473–498, 2011.
- Dufault, A. M., Ninokawa, A., Bramanti, L., Cumbo, V. R., Fan, T.-Y., and Edmunds, P. J.: The role of light in mediating the effects of ocean acidification on coral calcification. *J. Exp. Biol.*, 216, 1570–1577, 2013.

- Edmunds, P. J. of Mo'orea Coral Reef LTER.: MCR LTER: Coral Reef: Long-term  
615 population and community dynamics: corals. knb-lter-mcr.4.31. Available at:  
<http://metacat.lternet.edu/knb/metacat/knb-lter-mcr.4.31/lter>, 2014.
- Enochs, I. C., Manzello, D. P., Kolodziej, G., Noonan, S. H. C., Valentino, L., and  
Fabricius, K. E. Enhanced macroboring and depressed calcification drive net  
dissolution at high-CO<sub>2</sub> coral reefs. *Proc. R. Soc. B*, 283, 20161742,  
620 doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.1742, 2016.
- Enochs, I. C., Manzello, D. P., Carlton, R., Schopmeyer, S., Van Hooidek, R., and  
Lirman, D.: Effects of light and elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> on the growth and photochemical  
efficiency of *Acropora cervicornis*. *Coral Reefs*, 33, 477–485, 2014.
- Fabricius, K. E., Langdon, C., Uthicke, S., Humphrey, C., Noonan, S., De'ath, G. et al.:  
625 Losers and winners in coral reefs acclimatized to elevated carbon dioxide  
concentrations. *Nature Clim. Change*, 1, 165–169, 2011.
- Fagan K. E., and Mackenzie, F. T.: Air – sea CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in a subtropical estuarine-  
coral reef system, Kaneohe Bay, Oahu, Hawaii. *Mar. Chem.*, 106, 174 – 191, 2007.
- Falter, J. L., Lowe, R. J., Atkinson, M. J., and Cuet, P.: Seasonal coupling and de-  
630 coupling of net calcification rates from coral reef metabolism and carbonate chemistry  
at Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, C05003,  
doi:10.1029/2011JC007268, 2012.
- Feely, R. A., Sabine, C. L., Lee, K., Berelson, W., Kleypas, J., Fabry, V. J., and Millero,  
F. J.: Impact of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> on the CaCO<sub>3</sub> system in the oceans. *Science*, 305,  
635 362–366, 2004.

- Gattuso, J.-P., and Hansson, L.: Ocean acidification: background and history. *Ocean acidification*, 2011.
- Gattuso, J.-P., Allemand, D., and Frankignoulle, M.: Photosynthesis and calcification at cellular, organismal and community levels in coral reefs: a review on interactions and control by carbonate chemistry. *Amer. Zool.*, 39, 160–183, 1999.
- Gattuso, J.-P., Epitalon, J.-M., and Lavigne, H.: seacarb: Seawater carbonate chemistry. R package version 3.0.6. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=seacarb>, 2015.
- Giordano, M., Beardall, J., and Raven, J. A.: CO<sub>2</sub> concentrating mechanism in algae: mechanisms, environmental modulation, and evolution. *Annual Rev. Plant Biol.*, 56, 99–131, 2005.
- Hepburn, C. D., Pritchard, D. W., Cornwall, C. E., McLeod, R. J., Beardall, J., Raven, J. A., and Hurd, C. L.: Diversity of carbon use strategies in a kelp forest community: implications for a high CO<sub>2</sub> ocean. *Global Change Biol.*, 17, 2488–2497, 2011.
- Hofmann, G. E., Smith, J. E., Johnson, K. S., Send, U., Levin, L. A., Micheli, F., et al.: High-frequency dynamics of ocean pH: a multi-ecosystem comparison. *PLoS One*, 6, e28983, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0028983, 2011.
- Jokiel, P. L., Jury, C. P., and Ku'uilei, S. R.: Coral-algae metabolism and diurnal changes in the CO<sub>2</sub>-carbonate system of bulk sea water. *PeerJ*, 2, e378, doi: 10.7717/peerj.378, 2014.
- Jokiel, P. L., Rodgers, K. S., Brown, E. K., Kenyon, J. C., Aeby, G., Smith, W. R., and Farrell, F.: Comparison of methods used to estimate coral cover in the Hawaiian Islands. *PeerJ*, 3, e954, doi.org/10.7717/peerj.954, 2015.

- Kroeker, K. J., Kordas, R. L., Crim, R., Hendriks, I. E., Ramajo, L., Singh, G. S. et al.:  
Impacts of ocean acidification on marine organisms: quantifying sensitivities and  
660 interaction with warming. *Global Change Biol.*, 19, 1884–1896, 2013.
- Langdon, C., and Atkinson, M. J.: Effect of elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> on photosynthesis and  
calcification of corals and interactions with seasonal change in temperature/irradiance  
and nutrient enrichment. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, C09S07, doi:10.1029/2004JC002576,  
2005.
- 665 Langdon, C., Broecker, W. S., Hammond, D. E., Glenn, E., Fitzsimmons, K., Nelson, S.  
G., et al.: Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the community metabolism of an experimental  
coral reef. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 17, 1011, doi:10.1029/2002GB001941, 2003.
- Lantz, C. A., Atkinson, M. J., Winn, C. W., and Kahng, S. E.: Dissolved inorganic carbon  
and total alkalinity of a Hawaiian fringing reef: chemical techniques for monitoring  
670 the effects of ocean acidification on coral reefs. *Coral Reefs*, 33, 105–115, 2014.
- Leclercq, N., Gattuso, J.-P., and Jaubert, J.: Primary production, respiration, and  
calcification of a coral reef mesocosm under increased CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure. *Limnol.  
Oceanogr.*, 47, 558–564, 2002.
- Mackey, K.R.M., Morris, J.J., Morel, F.M.M., and Kranz, S.A.: Response of  
675 photosynthesis to ocean acidification. *Oceanogr.*, 28, 74–91, 2015.
- Marubini, F., Barnett, H., Langdon, C., and Atkinson, M. J.: Dependence of calcification  
on light and carbonate ion concentration for the hermatypic coral *Porites compressa*.  
*Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 220, 153–162, 2001.

- Marubini, F., Ferrier-Pagès, C., Furla, P., and Allemand, D.: Coral calcification responds  
680 to seawater acidification: a working hypothesis towards a physiological mechanism.  
Coral Reefs, 27, 491–499, 2008.
- Moss, R. H., Edmonds, J. A., Hibbard, K. A., Manning, M. R., Rose, S. K., Van Vuuren,  
D. P. et al.: The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and  
assessment. *Nature*, 463, 747–756, 2010.
- 685 Muscatine, L.: The role of symbiotic algae in carbon and energy flux in reef corals, in:  
Dubinsky, Z. (Ed), *Coral Reefs, Ecosystems of the World*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp.  
75-87, 1990.
- Muscatine, L., Goiran, C., Land, L., Jaubert, J., Cuif, J.-P., and Allemand, D.: Stable  
isotopes ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) of organic matrix from coral skeleton. *P. Natl Acad. Sci.*,  
690 102, 1525–1530, 2005.
- Okazaki, R. R., Towle, E. K., van Hooijdonk, R., Mor, C., Winter, R. N., Piggot, A. M. et  
al.: Species-specific responses to climate change and community composition  
determine future calcification rates of Florida Keys reefs. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 13481,  
doi:10.1111/gcb.13481, 2016.
- 695 Page, H. N., Andersson, A. J., Jokiel, P. L., Rodgers, K. S., Lebrato, M., Yeakel, K.,  
Davidson, C., D'Angelo, S., and Bahr, K. D.: Differential modification of seawater  
carbonate chemistry by major coral reef benthic communities. *Coral Reefs*, 35, 1311–  
1325, 2016.
- Raven, J. A., Beardall, J., and Giordano, M.: Energy costs of carbon dioxide  
700 concentrating mechanisms in aquatic organisms. *Photosynthesis Res.*, 121, 111–124,  
2014.



- Schoepf, V., Grottoli, A. G., Warner, M. E., Cai, W.-J., Melman, T. F., Hoadley, K. D. et al.: Coral energy reserves and calcification in a high-CO<sub>2</sub> world at two temperatures. PLoS One, 8, e75049. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075049, 2013.
- 705 Schoepf, V., Hu, X., Holcomb, M., Cai, W.-J., Li, Q., Wang, Y., et al.: Coral calcification under environmental change: a direct comparison of the alkalinity anomaly and buoyant weight techniques. Coral Reefs, doi:10.1007/s00338-016-1507-z, 2016.
- Shaw, E. C., McNeil, B. I., and Tilbrook, B.: Impacts of ocean acidification in naturally variable coral reef flat ecosystems. J. Geophys. Res., 117, C03038, doi:10.1029/2011JC007655, 2012.
- 710 Shaw, E. C., Phinn, S. R., Tilbrook, B., and Steven, A.: Natural in situ relationships suggest coral reef calcium carbonate production will decline with ocean acidification. Limnol. Oceanogr., 60, 777–788, 2015.
- Schneider, K., and Erez, J.: The effect of carbonate chemistry on calcification and photosynthesis in the hermatypic coral *Acropora eurystoma*. Limnol. Oceanogr., 51, 715 1284–1293, 2006.
- Stambler, N.: Zooxanthellae: the yellow symbionts inside animals. In Coral Reefs: An Ecosystem in Transition, pp. 87–106. Ed. by Z. Dubinsky, and N. Stambler. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2011.
- 720 Suggett, D. J., Dong, L. F., Lawson, T., Lawrenz, E., Torres, L., and Smith, D. J.: Light availability determines susceptibility of reef building corals to ocean acidification. Coral Reefs, 32, 327–337, 2013.

Washburn, L.: MCR LTER: coral reef: ocean currents and biogeochemistry: salinity,  
temperature and current at CTD and ADCP mooring FOR05 from 2005 ongoing. Knb-

725 lter-mcr.30.30, 2015.

**Table 1:** Mean carbonate chemistry and temperature treatments in the flumes during the experiments conducted with back reef communities in Mo’orea and O’ahu, and the fore reef community in Mo’orea. The mean  $\pm$  SE partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> (pCO<sub>2</sub>), and the saturation states of aragonite ( $\Omega_{\text{arag}}$ ) were calculated from pH<sub>T</sub>, total alkalinity ( $A_T$ ), salinity (S) and temperature (T). SE for salinity was  $< 0.1$ .

<b>Experiment</b>	<b>Treatment</b>	<b>pH<sub>T</sub></b>	<b>A<sub>T</sub></b>	<b>pCO<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>C<sub>T</sub></b>	<b><math>\Omega_{\text{arag}}</math></b>	<b>S</b>	<b>T</b>
<b>Mo’orea Back reef</b>	<b>Ambient</b>	8.01 $\pm 0.02$	2339 $\pm 2$	453 $\pm 30$	2025 $\pm 9$	3.52 $\pm 0.09$	35.9	26.9 $\pm 0.1$
	<b>OA</b>	7.61 $\pm 0.01$	2344 $\pm 1$	1317 $\pm 50$	2230 $\pm 7$	1.64 $\pm 0.06$	35.9	27.0 $\pm 0.1$
<b>O’ahu Back reef</b>	<b>Ambient</b>	7.96 $\pm 0.01$	2160 $\pm 4$	490 $\pm 23$	1936 $\pm 8$	2.59 $\pm 0.06$	33.4	23.9 $\pm 0.2$
	<b>OA</b>	7.62 $\pm 0.02$	2164 $\pm 4$	1233 $\pm 76$	2074 $\pm 12$	1.36 $\pm 0.10$	33.4	23.9 $\pm 0.2$
<b>Mo’orea Fore reef</b>	<b>Ambient</b>	8.04 $\pm 0.01$	2329 $\pm 2$	400 $\pm 14$	1992 $\pm 8$	3.71 $\pm 0.08$	36.5	27.1 $\pm 0.1$
	<b>OA</b>	7.65 $\pm 0.01$	2330 $\pm 2$	1176 $\pm 37$	2198 $\pm 6$	1.75 $\pm 0.05$	36.5	27.0 $\pm 0.1$

**Table 2:** Results of the t-tests used to compare between pCO<sub>2</sub> treatments the parameters of the hyperbolic tangent functions describing the relationship between community net photosynthesis ( $P_{net}$ ) in the light and PAR and net calcification ( $G_{net}$ ) in the light and PAR. Parameters of the hyperbolic function are the maximum rate ( $P_{net\ max}$  and  $G_{net\ max}$ ), the slope of the initial portion of the relationship ( $\alpha$ ), and the intercept ( $C_0$ ).

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Experiment</b>	<b>Function parameter</b>	<b>p-value</b>
Net Photosynthesis ( $P_{net}$ )	Mo'orea – Back reef	$P_{net\ max}$	0.558
		$\alpha$	0.387
		$C_0$	0.559
	O'ahu – Back reef	$P_{net\ max}$	0.840
		$\alpha$	0.536
		$C_0$	0.621
	Mo'orea – Fore reef	$P_{net\ max}$	0.942
		$\alpha$	0.792
		$C_0$	0.579
Net Calcification ( $G_{net}$ )	Mo'orea – Back reef	$G_{net\ max}$	0.376
		$\alpha$	0.836
		$C_0$	0.046
	O'ahu – Back reef	$P_{net\ max}$	0.867
		$\alpha$	0.126
		$C_0$	0.394
	Mo'orea – Fore reef	$P_{net\ max}$	0.736
		$\alpha$	0.715
		$C_0$	0.002

740


**Table 3:** Results of the linear regressions modelling the  $P_{net}$  -  $G_{net}$  relationships under ambient and elevated pCO<sub>2</sub>. Results are shown for the experiments with back reef communities in Mo’orea and O’ahu, and fore reef communities in Mo’orea.

<b>Experiment</b>	<b>Treatment</b>	<b>Slope</b>	<b>Slope <i>p</i>-value</b>	<b>Intercept</b>	<b>Intercept <i>p</i>-value</b>
Mo’orea - back reef	Ambient	0.27 ± 0.05	<0.001	3.85 ± 0.33	<0.001
	Elevated	0.30 ± 0.05	<0.001	1.99 ± 0.31	<0.001
O’ahu - back reef	Ambient	0.14 ± 0.02	<0.001	6.1 ± 0.38	<0.001
	Elevated	0.17 ± 0.02	<0.001	4.12 ± 0.37	<0.001
Mo’orea - fore reef	Ambient	0.27 ± 0.05	<0.001	3.85 ± 0.33	<0.001
	Elevated	0.30 ± 0.06	<0.001	1.99 ± 0.31	<0.001


745

**Fig. 1.** Map showing the study locations and photos of the three assembled communities. Experiments were performed on three coral reef communities representing the back reef of Mo'orea (Experiment 1), the back reef of O'ahu (Experiment 2), and the fore reef of Mo'orea (Experiment 3). The respective pCO<sub>2</sub> levels and flow speeds used are indicated.

750




**Fig. 2.** Relationships of net primary production ( $P_{net}$ ) in the light with PAR in three coral reef communities representing the back reef of Mo'orea (A), the back reef of O'ahu (B), and the fore reef of Mo'orea (C). Communities were incubated under ambient  $pCO_2$  (~400  $\mu atm$ , black symbols and lines) and elevated  $pCO_2$  (~1200  $\mu atm$ , red symbols and lines). The curves represent the best fit of a hyperbolic tangent function for the relationship between  $P_{net}$  with PAR.



760


**Fig. 3.** Relationships of net calcification ( $G_{net}$ ) in the light with PAR in three coral reef communities representing the back reef of Mo’orea (A), the back reef of O’ahu (B), and the fore reef of Mo’orea (C). Communities were incubated under ambient  $p\text{CO}_2$  ( $\sim 400 \mu\text{atm}$ , black symbols and lines) and elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$  ( $\sim 1200 \mu\text{atm}$ , red symbols and lines).

765 The curves represent the best fit of a hyperbolic tangent function for the relationship between  $G_{net}$  and PAR.





770 **Fig. 4.** Variations in  $G_{net}$  as a function of  $P_{net}$  in the three study sites: (A) Mo'orea back reef, (B) O'ahu back reef, and (C) Mo'orea fore reef. Relationships were determined under control pCO<sub>2</sub> (400 μatm, black points and lines) and elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> (~1200 μatm, red points and lines). For the three communities and the two pCO<sub>2</sub> levels, the slopes of the linear relationships between  $P_{net}$  and  $G_{net}$  were significant.



775