

Interactive comment on “Patterns in Woody Vegetation Structure across African Savannas” by Christoffer R. Axelsson and Niall P. Hanan

G. Toteva

s1350129@sms.ed.ac.uk

Received and published: 1 February 2017

The following comments are the result of a group discussion as part of a university course on Critical thinking.

Comments on Abstract

The abstract gives a good overview of the scope of the paper. However, the same amount of information could probably be conveyed with fewer words. Additionally, the description of the results is particularly detailed but not quantitative enough. Finally, the abstract would benefit from concluding sentence.

Comments on Results section

You mentioned that there was a “strong relationship” between woody cover and mean

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



crown size (line 197). However, you did not state any threshold in regards to that value or how you arrived at it. Similarly, you referred to the “large majority” of sites (line 206) without specifying exactly how many. Another suggestion that we have about the results section, is to consider the interaction effect between the variables in the analysis. Generally, it is not entirely clear whether you answered your research question and how you can quantify the success of this research project. It is also more convenient to have the graphs and figures within the text rather than in an appendix but we assumed this is because the paper is still undergoing review.

[Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2017-7, 2017.](#)

BGD

[Interactive
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

