

Interactive comment on “Modulation of the vertical particles transfer efficiency in the Oxygen Minimum Zone off Peru” by Marine Bretagnon et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 5 April 2018

I had difficulty evaluating this manuscript. I believe the methodologies and observations are on solid scientific ground and interpretations are justified. However, the writing style is so dense, including often unnecessarily complicated terminology, redundant or unimportant details, and some passages were just ambiguous. The English grammar needs a lot of work. I am totally sympathetic to non-native Anglophones, but I cannot wade through this. Furthermore, I found treatment of existing literature to be a bit superficial and occasionally inaccurate. I refer the authors to several directly relevant studies that they overlooked: Thunell et al. (2000) L&O 45(2), Devol and Hartnett (2001) L&O 46(7), and Taylor et al. (2009) DSR 1 56.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



In my opinion, this paper requires ruthless simplification and editing. To provide a detailed review of this draft would take me an entire day that I do not have to dedicate to this activity.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-103>, 2018.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

