Carbon Exchange in an Amazon Forest: from Hours to Years 1

Matthew N. Hayek¹, Marcos Longo², Jin Wu³, Marielle N. Smith⁴, Natalia Restrepo-Coupe⁵, Raphael Tapajós⁶, Rodrigo 2

da Silva⁶, David R. Fitzjarrald⁷, Plinio B. Camargo⁸, Lucy R. Hutyra⁹, Luciana F. Alves¹⁰, Bruce Daube¹¹, J William Munger¹¹, Kenia T. Wiedemann¹¹, Scott R. Saleska¹², and Steven C. Wofsy¹¹ 3

4

- 5 ¹ Harvard Law School, Cambridge, MA, United States
- ² NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, United States 6
- ³Biological, Environmental & Climate Sciences Department, Brookhaven National Lab, Upton, New York, NY, United States 7
- ⁴ Department of Forestry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States 8
- ⁵ Plant Functional Biology and Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia. 9
- ⁶ Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará, Santarém, PA, Brazil. 10
- ⁷ University at Albany SUNY, Albany, NY, United States. 11
- ⁸ Centro de Energia Nuclear na Agricultura, Universidade de São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil. 12
- ⁹ Department of Earth and Environment, Boston University, Boston, MA. 13
- ¹⁰ Center for Tropical Research, Institute of the Environment and Sustainability, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, United States. 14
- ¹¹ Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, United States. 15
- 16 ¹² Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, United States.
- 17 *Corresponding author*: Matthew Hayek (mhayek@law.harvard.edu)

Abstract. In Amazon forests, the relative contributions of climate, phenology, and disturbance to net ecosystem exchange of 18 19 carbon (NEE) are not well understood. To partition influences across various timescales, we use a statistical model to represent 20 eddy covariance-derived NEE in an evergreen Eastern Amazon forest as a constant response to changing meteorology and 21 phenology throughout a decade. Our best fit model represented hourly NEE variations as changes due to sunlight, while seasonal 22 variations arose from phenology influencing photosynthesis and from rainfall influencing ecosystem respiration, where 23 phenology was asynchronous with dry season onset. We compared annual model residuals with biometric forest surveys to 24 estimate impacts of drought-disturbance. We found that our simple model represented hourly and monthly variations in NEE well ($R^2 = 0.81$, 0.59 respectively). Modeled phenology explained 1% of hourly and 26% of monthly variations in observed 25 26 NEE, whereas the remaining modeled variability was due to changes in meteorology. We did not find evidence to support the common assumption that the forest phenology was seasonally light- or water-triggered. Our model simulated annual NEE well, 27 with exception to 2002, the first year of our data record, which contained 1.2 MgC ha⁻¹ of residual net emissions, because 28 photosynthesis was anomalously low. Because a severe drought occurred in 1998, we hypothesized that this drought caused a 29 30 persistent, multi-year depression of photosynthesis. Our results suggest drought can have lasting impacts on photosynthesis,

31 possibly via partial damage to still-living trees.

32 1. Introduction

- 33 The Amazon's tropical forests are pivotal to global climate, exchanging large, globally important quantities of energy and matter,
- 34 including atmospheric carbon (Betts et al., 2004). Amazon forests contain 10-20% of Earth's biomass carbon (Houghton et al.,
- 35 2001). Increased emissions of the forest's carbon can therefore accelerate climate change and attention is now focused on how
- 36 vulnerable this large reservoir of carbon will be to a potentially drier future climate (de Almeida Castanho et al., 2016; Farrior et
- 37 al., 2015; Duffy et al., 2015; Longo et al., 2018; McDowell et al., 2018). Characterizing the response of present-day Amazon rain
- 38 forest carbon balance to climate and drought disturbance is a necessary step to improving predictions of future vulnerability.
- 39 Eddy covariance CO_2 flux measurements are a powerful tool for quantifying net ecosystem exchange of carbon (NEE) (Baldocchi, 2003). NEE is the difference between uptake from gross ecosystem productivity (GEP) and emission from 40

ecosystem respiration (RE). The magnitudes of these gross fluxes are influenced both by exogenous environmental conditions
such as light, moisture, and temperature (Collatz et al., 1991; Bolker et al., 1998; Fatichi et al., 2014; Kiew et al., 2018), as well
as endogenous biophysical properties such as canopy structure, phenology, and community composition (Barford et al., 2001;
Melillo et al., 2002; Dunn et al., 2007; Doughty and Goulden, 2008; Stark et al., 2012; Frey et al., 2013; Morton et al., 2016; Wu
et al., 2016).

46 Partitioning the exogenous and endogenous influences upon eddy covariance NEE is possible using statistical modeling 47 (Barford et al., 2001, Yadav et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2017). To partition influences upon NEE in a 20-year eddy flux record in a 48 temperate New England forest, Urbanski et al. (2007) used a statistical modeling approach: by representing hourly NEE merely 49 as response to exogenous meteorology and annually integrating their results, they concluded that meteorology did not explain the 50 accelerated uptake seen annually integrated NEE. They hypothesized that residual uptake was due to long-term forest regrowth 51 and succession, a hypothesis that was corroborated by biometric measurements of increasing canopy foliage and accelerating 52 mid-successional tree biomass accrual. This novel partitioning framework for NEE has not previously been applied to any tropical forest, in part because long-term eddy covariance coverage of tropical forests is lacking (Zscheischler et al., 2017). A 53 54 simple statistical framework may allow tropical forest CO₂ flux measurements to better inform model development and

55 improvement.

56 On seasonal timescales, tropical evergreen forests undergo endogenous changes in GEP via the phenology of leaf flush 57 and abscission (Doughty and Goulden, 2008, Restrepo-Coupe et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2016). The seasonal dependency of 58 productivity has motivated the development of rooting depth and phenology sub-models in DVGMs (Verbeeck et al., 2011; De 59 Weirdt et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2012). These sub-models have led to complexity in the modeled mechanisms controlling the GEP 59 seasonal cycle without necessarily improving accuracy. It is necessary to quantify the magnitude and timing of phenology's 56 effect on the GEP seasonal cycle after accounting for the integrated hourly response to sunlight.

62 On interannual to decadal timescales, endogenous changes in forest NEE can arise from disturbance and recovery 63 (Nelson et al., 1994; Moorcroft et al., 2001; Chambers et al., 2013; Espírito-Santo et al., 2014; Anderegg et al., 2015). The km67 64 eddy flux site in the Tapajós National Forest (TNF) presents a unique opportunity to study the potential legacy of disturbance 65 caused by drought. This Eastern Brazilian Amazon forest lies on the dry end of the rainfall spectrum for tropical evergreen forests (Saleska et al., 2003; Hutyra et al., 2005). A severe El Niño drought in 1997-1998 was followed by disturbance, 66 67 evidenced by a large and heavily respiring CWD pool in 2001. Subsequent NEE measurements showed a 4-year transition from a 68 net carbon source in 2002 to nearly carbon-neutral in 2004 and 2005 (Hutyra et al., 2007). The observed disequilibrium state led 69 researchers to the hypothesis that RE was high but dissipating, and that the forest will continue to transition into equilibrium, 70 becoming a sink throughout the decade (Pyle et al., 2008). Conversely, this hypothesis implies that any new disturbance should 71 drive the forest back into disequilibrium, becoming a source again. We test these predictions using meteorological records, forest 72 inventories of aboveground biomass (AGB) and CWD, and an additional 3.5 years of eddy flux data, resumed after a 2.5-year 73 interruption, collected since prior studies.

In this study, we test hypotheses related to controls of NEE on multiple timescales at an Eastern Amazon rain forest. Specifically, we sought to answer the following questions: (1) what were the effects of exogenous meteorology upon NEE across hourly to yearly timescales? (2) What is the seasonal effect of canopy phenology upon NEE? Is phenology synchronized with wet/dry seasonality? (3) Major basin-wide droughts occurred in 1998 before eddy flux measurements began, and were reported again in 2005 and 2010 (Zeng et al., 2008; Philips et al., 2009; Lewis et al., 2011; Doughty et al., 2015) during the span of measurements. Did any of these basin-wide droughts affect the TNF in particular? What was the impact of drought upon interannual variability and the decadal trend in NEE? Furthermore, which NEE component, GEP or RE, was perturbed most by

81 drought? Overall, we statistically partitioned the multiple influences on NEE across timescales from hours to an entire decade of

82 eddy flux and forest inventory measurements.

83 2 Methods

84 **2.1 Site Description**

85 The Tapajós National Forest (TNF) is located to the southeast of the convergence of the Tapajós and Amazon Rivers in 86 Pará, Brazil. The forest site is on the dry end of the spectrum of evergreen tropical forests, receiving 1918 mm of annual rainfall 87 and experiencing a 5 month long dry season from July 15 to December 14, defined by average monthly precipitation of less than 88 100 mm (Hutyra et al., 2007). Temperature and humidity average 25 °C and 85% respectively (Rice et al., 2004). The forest has 89 a closed canopy with a height of roughly 40 m (Stark et al., 2012), emergent trees up to 55 m (Rice et al., 2004). The forest has 90 fast turnover rates with much of the population consisting of small-diameter trees (Pyle et al., 2008), but many larger trunks, an 91 uneven age distribution, many epiphytes, and emergent trees; the forest may be considered primary or "old growth" (Goulden et 92 al., 2004). Soils are predominantly nutrient-poor clay oxisols with some sandy utisols (Rice et al., 2004), both of which have low 93 organic content and cation exchange capacity. The forest terrain is 75 m upland on a plateau adjacent to the nearby Tapajós 94 River, and a deep water table accessed by roots sometimes more than 12 meters deep (Hutyra et al., 2007). The flux tower that 95 provided flux and meteorological data is located near km 67 of the Santarém-Cuiabá highway. The tower and site are designated 96 by site ID "BR-Sa1" in the FLUXNET data system, but are herein referred to simply as "km67".

97 2.2 Eddy Covariance Measurements

Hourly fluxes of NEE were calculated using the sum of hourly turbulent eddy fluxes plus the hourly change in heightweighted average CO₂ concentration in the canopy air column. Our measurements covered two contiguous periods: one from January 2002 to January 2006 (period 1) and another from July 2008 to December 2011 (period 2). The tower fell in January 2006 when a tree snapped a supporting guy-wire. Measurements resumed in July of 2008 when the tower was rebuilt and equipment repaired. Measurements ceased again in 2012 when electrical failures damaged measurement and calibration systems. Some data collection has resumed since 2015, although gaps in this data were much larger than those in periods 1 and 2, precluding calculating annual carbon balance after 2011.

105 **2.3 Flux Data Processing, Quality Control, and Gap Filling**

Nighttime NEE measurements were filtered for low turbulence. We used a turbulence threshold filter of $u^{*Th}_{*} = 0.22$ to 106 107 ensure consistency with previous studies (Saleska et al., 2003; Hutyra et al., 2008). The absolute magnitude of nighttime respiration and resulting carbon balance was highly sensitive to the selection of u_*^{Th} , (Saleska et al, 2003; Miller et al., 2004). 108 109 However, the interannual variability and trend remained the same regardless of the choice of u^{*Th} (Saleska et al., 2003). Errors in total annual NEE therefore do not reflect potentially large u^{Th} error, and should be interpreted as errors in the differences 110 111 between years, not errors in the annual magnitude of the carbon source/sink. Coverage of hourly NEE was substantial for both 112 periods in the total eddy covariance record. After quality control and outlier detection, period 1 (2002-2006) had 80% and period 113 2 (mid 2008-2011) had 75% data coverage for all hours. Filtering for u_* below the threshold of 0.22 m/s left 48% and 42% 114 coverage of period 1 and 2 respectively.

- 115 We used established gap-filling models to obtain annual NEE totals. Gross ecosystem productivity (GEP) was gap-filled 116 using a hyperbolic fit curve between GEP and PAR (Waring et al., 1995). For ecosystem respiration (RE), we adapted the 117 method by Hutyra et al. (2007), who calculated missing, filtered, and daytime hours using 50 u_* -filtered nighttime hour bins, 118 instead using a running average of 50 u*-filtered nighttime hours, allowing us to capture the onset of semiannual seasonal 119 transitions in RE. Consistent with other tropical forest sites, temperature was not used in our gap-filling, because temperature 120 variability at tropical forests is low, which results in weak and insignificant correlations with RE (Carswell et al., 2002). We 121 calculated annual errors as 95% bootstrap confidence intervals by resampling like-hours with replacement (NEE conditions for 122 the same month, time of day, and similar PAR conditions), instead of resampling all hourly NEE, so that resampling did not 123 capture diurnal and long-term nonstationary.
-

124 2.4 Meteorological Measurements

Meteorological variables measured at km67 included photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), temperature, and specific humidity. Downward drifts in PAR data due to a degrading sensor were corrected by de-trending a time series of midday PAR observations in the top 95th percentile of each month (Longo, 2014). This threshold included substantial information about the sunniest hours, throughout which intensity should remain constant between years for any given month. We scaled the radiation time series using the proportion between the fitted trend and the initial fitted value. Simultaneous total incoming shortwave radiation measurements allowed us to partially fill missing periods of PAR data using a relationship derived from linear regression in simultaneously measured hours ($R^2 = 0.98$).

- Rainfall measurements were greatly underestimated at this site because of a faulty tipping bucket rain gauge. We discarded site-based data and calculated a distance-weighted synthetic hourly rainfall time series from a network of nearby meteorological stations, with locations ranging from 10 km to 110 km away from km67. More information on the meteorological network is available in Fitzjarrald et al. (2008). Detailed information about the subsequent calculations of the synthetic precipitation data set and PAR drift correction are available in Longo (2014).
- Additionally, the Brazil National Institute of Meteorology (INMET) has a station at Belterra, located 25 km away from km67, with daily precipitation totals dating back to 1971, which were used to corroborate the seasonal and long-term trends at km67. Correlation between these two monthly datasets for the years 2001-2012 was R^2 =0.88. Altogether there were three data sets: the local tower-based meteorology, the mesoscale network meteorology data interpolated to km67, and the INMET meteorology. Further information regarding the robustness of these three datasets, and correlations amongst them, can be found in Longo (2014). The three datasets provided us with at least two redundant estimates for all meteorological variables at km67.

143 **2.5 Coarse Woody Debris and Mortality**

144To assess how disturbance coincided with changes in NEE, we conducted surveys of coarse woody debris (CWD).145These surveys capture the magnitude and dynamics of the respiring pool of dead tree biomass. Transect subplots were surveyed146in 2001 for pieces greater than 10 cm in diameter (Rice et al., 2004). Bootstrapped confidence intervals were quantified by147resampling subplots totals (n=321) with replacement. Additionally, in 2006, pieces only greater than 30 cm in diameter were148surveyed. Lastly, we conducted an additional CWD survey in 2012 using the line-intercept method (Van Wagner, 1968)149throughout all transects for a total length of 4 km to minimize sampling uncertainty. Bootstrap confidence intervals were150quantified by resampling line segment totals (n=40) with replacement. These two different methodologies have previously

151 produced consistent simultaneous results within measurement uncertainties, which were 20% larger for line-intercept sampling

152 than plot-based sampling (Rice et al., 2004).

153 Because CWD surveys were conducted infrequently, we inferred mortality from aboveground biometry surveys in 154 1999, 2001, 2005, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011. Trees larger than 10 cm diameter at breast height (DBH) were surveyed and were 155 converted to biomass using non-species specific equations (Chambers et al., 2001a) based on sampling previously established 156 protocols for this site (Rice et al., 2004; Pyle et al., 2008). Mortality biomass was inferred by tallying biomass of dead trees that 157 were alive in the prior survey. Sometimes, trees were missed by the census surveyors before they could be confirmed dead or 158 were found again. In 2012 we assigned missing trees that were not later found alive an equal probability of dying in all surveyed 159 years they had been missing (Longo, 2014). We used tree mortality to model CWD over time using a simple box model with a 160 first-order rate equation:

161
$$\frac{d\text{CWD}}{dt} = -k \cdot \text{CWD} + M \tag{1}$$

where M is the mortality rate input to the CWD pool (MgC ha⁻¹yr⁻¹) and *k* is the decay loss rate of 0.124 yr⁻¹. The loss rate is derived from measurements of respiring CWD in Manaus, Amazonas (Chambers et al, 2001b) and snag density measurements taken at km67 (Rice et al., 2004). The box model initial condition was the 2001 survey of total CWD. This model allowed us to assess whether disturbances after 2001 were sufficient to cause an increase in CWD or whether disturbances after 2001 were minimal and the CWD pool respired and depleted gradually. The final timestep of the model was validated against the second and final full measurement of CWD made in 2012.

168 **2.6 Empirical NEE Model**

Our low-parameter empirical model represents the mean response of NEE to hourly and seasonal changes in exogenous meteorology and seasonal changes in phenology throughout the decade. We used our model to diagnose interannual nonstationarity in model residuals, which correspond to endogenous ecosystem changes in photosynthesis and respiration rates between years, give or take random measurement error and unaccounted for model terms. We fit the model to the entire 7.5-year interrupted eddy covariance record of raw, *u**-filtered hourly NEE (NEE_{obs}):

174
$$\operatorname{NEE}_{Model} = a_0 + a_1 s_R + \frac{a_2 \operatorname{PAR}}{a_3 + \operatorname{PAR}} \cdot (1 - k_{pheno} s_{pheno})$$
(2)

where NEE_{Model} is the modeled hourly NEE. The models were fit in two steps: first, the two model parameters that represent RE, 175 176 a_0 and a_1 , were fit to nighttime data, then the remaining three GEP parameters were fit to daytime data. Parameter a_0 is the wet 177 season intercept for RE. Parameter a_1 is an adjustment of the ecosystem respiration during the rainfall-defined dry season (factor 178 variable s_R , defined in detail below). Parameters a_2 and a_3 are the Michaelis-Menten light response parameters. We also include a 179 simple scaling factor for endogenous changes in phenology: a time-varying binary factor variable s_{pheno} represents timing in 180 changes to the intrinsic light use efficiency (LUE= $1-k_{oheno}$) within an average seasonal cycle. The purpose of this simplistic 181 scaling factor was to determine when the timing of endogenous seasonal shifts in LUE that were not explained by light and 182 moisture were most pronounced.

Atmospheric moisture and diffuse radiation, in addition to radiation, are also known to affect photosynthesis at tropical sites on short timescales (Kiew et al., 2018), by affecting stomatal closure hence controlling the degree to which photosynthetic uptake saturates at high PAR. We tested a higher-parameter model based on light and moisture model representing exogenous

- changes to LUE from Wu et al. (2017) to examine whether these meteorological variables added explanatory power to our model at monthly and longer timescales. This model adjusts LUE by multiplying terms that account for effects of vapor pressure deficit (VPD: $1-k_{VPD}$) and cloudiness index (CI: $1-1-k_{Cl}$) a statistical proxy for diffuse radiation. To determine whether this model was parsimonious, we evaluated the Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) of the data-model mean monthly residuals for the model in Eq. 2 and the higher-parameter light and moisture model. We found that the higher-parameter model was not parsimonious because the additional parameters did not improve the goodness of fit at monthly timescales. We explain these results further in
- 192 Section 3.4.2 and discuss their implications further in Sections 4.1 and 4.2.
- 193 This forest site has coincident deficits in rainfall and ecosystem RE during the dry season (Saleska et al., 2003; Goulden 194 et al., 2004) due to desiccation of dead wood, leaf litter, and other substrates for heterotrophic respiration (Hutyra et al., 2008). 195 To depict this reduced dry season RE, we set dry season $s_R=1$ and wet season $s_R=0$, fitting a_I to the mean dry season RE. We 196 defined the dry season onset as the period during which rainfall is below 50 mm per half-month, consistent with previous 197 definitions of tropical forest dry season as 100 mm month⁻¹ (e.g. Saleska et al., 2016). We defined the wet season onset as the 198 first in a series of 3 or more semi-monthly periods with rainfall greater than 50mm; this definition allows for sporadic dry season 199 downpour whilst ensuring that there is not more than one dry season per year. Although a_1 does not vary across years, our 200 meteorologically-defined s_R permits the duration of the dry season to vary interannually. A longer dry season in a given year 201 would therefore result in less RE (more net uptake) when NEE_{Exo} is integrated over that full year.
- We tested three different seasonal timings for the phenology factor variable: (1) $s_{pheno} = 0$ year-round (no phenology), (2) $s_{pheno} = 1$ during the dry season and $s_{pheno} = 0$ during the wet season, and (3) $s_{pheno} = 1$ during the peak of leaf flush (June 15 to Sept 14) (Hutyra et al., 2007) and $s_{pheno} = 0$ all other times of the year. In scenario 2, the timing of phenology varies interannually, but in scenarios 1 and 3, modeled phenology does not differ between years and therefore does not influence interannual variability in modeled GEP or NEE.
- 207 After subtracting hourly NEE_{Model} from NEE_{obs} , the annually integrated residuals reflect changes in the ecosystem's 208 efficiency irrespective of the aggregate response to meteorology, plus or minus random error and unaccounted for meteorological 209 controls. Upper-level soil moisture, for instance, exerts seasonal controls upon NEE at various tropical sites differently 210 depending on terrain (Hayek et al., 2018; Kiew et al., 2018) but is not included in the model because it was insignificantly 211 associated with GEP (Wu et al., 2017) or RE at this site after we controlled for other variables, including wet and dry season 212 onset, in our model. Examples of a change in intrinsic ecosystem efficiency may occur in the aftermath of a drought, during 213 which leaf stomates close, causing the ecosystem to sequester less CO₂ per unit incident PAR than average, or a storm inducing 214 widespread mortality and a pulse of CWD during which RE would be higher than average for a given season or year. In both 215 scenarios, we would expect residuals to be positive during or after the event, because the ecosystem would sequester less and 216 emit more CO_2 relative to other years. To assess which aggregated annual residuals were significantly different from zero, we 217 quantified 95% confidence intervals in annual NEE residuals due to random error using bootstrapping (Section 2.3).
- We partitioned both NEE_{obs} and NEE_{Model} into RE and GEE (GEE = -GEP, to keep the same sign convention as eddy flux NEE) to determine which of the two components were more adequately represented by our model. For observations of NEE, RE, and GEE, we used hours during which a direct u_* filtered measurement of NEE occurred. Observations of RE were nighttime hours during which NEE was measured; observations of GEE are daytime hours during which the 50-hour running average RE was subtracted from measured NEE. Partitioned GEE is not a direct observation, but represents the lowest-parameter approximation of a direct measurement (GEE = NEE - RE see Wu et al., 2017). Our GEE/RE results are limited by not accounting for partitioning bias.

225 3 Results

226 **3.1 Eddy Covariance Measurements of CO₂ Fluxes**

NEE has a large diurnal cycle relative to its mean seasonal cycle, with a mean diel range of 25.05 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The 227 228 range of the mean seasonal cycle is 2.46 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, or 10% of the mean diel range. Annual totals of NEE are presented in Fig. 1. For period 1, the first four years, annual NEE is similar to that reported previously by Hutyra et al. (2007) despite using 229 230 slightly modified gap-filling procedures here (Section 2.3). The previously reported trend remains: a moderate source in 2002 of 2.7 MgC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (±0.5 95% bootstrap confidence intervals) tapering off to nearly carbon neutral totals in the following years, 231 within confidence limits, of 0.5 (\pm 0.6) MgC ha⁻¹yr⁻¹ in 2004 and 0.2 (\pm 0.6) MgC ha⁻¹yr⁻¹ in 2005. During the three subsequent 232 233 years that comprise period 2, 2009-2011, the forest returned to a moderate source of carbon, with a range of 1.8 ± 0.6 MgC ha 1 yr⁻¹ in 2010 to 2.5 ± 0.5 MgC ha⁻¹yr⁻¹ in 2009. We examined measurements of rainfall, coarse woody debris (CWD), and 234 235 aboveground biomass (AGB) for indications of drought or other disturbance during 2002-2011 to explain these patterns seen in 236 annual NEE totals.

237 **3.2 Meteorological Measurements and Drought**

238 We examined our distance-weighted interpolated estimate of km67 rainfall for trends and droughts. Our precipitation 239 estimate was consistent with previous estimates of precipitation for this site and region, with a minimum of 1595 mm in 2005 240 and maximum of 2137 mm in 2011 (Saleska et al., 2003; Nepstad et al., 2007). While 2005 annual precipitation was a minimum, 241 no previous groundwater deficits in carbon exchange, latent heat flux, or sensible heat fluxes were observed during this year 242 (Hutyra et al, 2007). Our measurements did not indicate that any drought occurred during or immediately preceding period 2 of 243 NEE measurements. In fact, period 2 annual rainfall totals increased on average by 20% relative to period 1. The dry season in 244 2009 was longer than average, lasting 6 months (Fig. 2a). Mean annual radiation was expectedly anti-correlated with annual 245 rainfall. Accordingly, period 2 experienced 4% less mean annual PAR than period 1.

246 Our synthetic decade-long rainfall record corresponded closely with the nearby INMET Belterra measurements, 247 although INMET Belterra had on average 220 mm of rainfall more per year, likely due to differences in circulation and 248 convection between the km67 forest and Belterra pasture land surface (Fitzjarrald et al., 2008). Annual rainfall totals throughout 249 the decade of eddy flux measurements 2002-2011 lay well within the historical variability of annual rainfall since 1972, which 250 experienced a range of 974 to 3057 mm of annual precipitation (Fig. 2b). The second and third lowest annual precipitation totals 251 occurred during 1997-1998, which were 1391 and 1218 mm respectively, during a major El Niño event, which persisted from 252 June of 1997 to June of 1998 (Ross et al., 1998) and corresponded with a 9 month long dry season, the longest in the historical 253 record.

3.3 Coarse woody debris and mortality

We examined measurements of CWD over time to assess whether a disturbance might have impacted the period 2 carbon balance. Compared to CWD stocks in 2001 of 48.6 (\pm 5.9) MgC ha⁻¹, CWD stocks in 2012 were significantly lower at 30.5 MgC ha⁻¹ (\pm 7.4) (Fig. 3). Errors in the 2012 pool were 25% larger. The larger magnitude of error is consistent with higher uncertainty for line-intercept sampling relative to area-based sampling at the TNF (Rice et al., 2004). Because CWD measurements were sparse in time, we included an additional measurement in 2006 of large CWD, with diameter greater than or equal to 30 cm, totaling 20.8 \pm 12.8 MgC ha⁻¹. We compared this measurement with similarly sized CWD from other surveys (Fig. 3). Total large CWD was 25.7 ± 11.4 MgC ha⁻¹ in 2001, and 19.8 ± 11.9 MgC ha⁻¹ in 2012. Differences in large CWD between 2001 and 2006 and between 2006 and 2012 are small relative to their uncertainties, but they still show a qualitative downward trend over time.

A box model of CWD (Eq. 2) allowed us to estimate the transient behavior of the CWD pool throughout years in which it was not directly measured (Fig. 3). The CWD mortality input rates M were derived from forest inventory surveys. The box model shows no large spikes from mortality events outweighing the respiration rate, and its derivative is negative throughout time, predicting a continuously depleting CWD pool. The box model estimate for 2012 CWD is 26.2 MgC ha⁻¹, and lies well within the uncertainty of the concurrent 2012 measurement. We see no evidence via increased CWD that disturbance has occurred since the start of measurements.

270 Assuming that the large initial CWD pool arose from a past disturbance, hypothetically following the 1997-1998 El 271 Niño drought, we ran the CWD box model (Eq. 2) backward in time to estimate the magnitude of such a disturbance. We 272 assumed that the disturbance occurred in 1998 because 1999 and 2000 were not characterized by below-average rainfall. Severe 273 drought events have been accompanied by increased mortality and canopy turnover rates in intact Amazon forests (Leitold et al., 274 2018). Because the CWD measurement was made in July of 2001, we calculated the box model CWD value to the end of the El 275 Niño drought in June 1998 using the same respiration rate, k, and the mean mortality, M, for all surveys, and applied this rate to 276 the mean and 95% bootstrapped confidence intervals of the 2001 measurement (48.6 \pm 5.9 MgC ha⁻¹). Our estimate of the CWD 277 pool immediately following the drought was thus $63.7 \pm 8.1 \text{ MgC} \text{ ha}^{-1}$. Subtracting the 2012 measurement of $30.2 \pm 7.3 \text{ MgC} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ¹ from this number, which is our best estimate of equilibrium CWD that may have existed before the 1997-1998 El Niño drought, 278 279 we estimate drought-induced mortality to be 33.5 ± 15.4 MgC ha⁻¹, or 12-31% of present AGB.

280 **3.4 Empirical NEE Model**

281 **3.4.1 Hourly variability in NEE**

Optimized parameter values for our model are included in Table 1. Our model predicted 81% of the variance in observed hourly NEE, and captured 94% of the amplitude of the diurnal cycle. The only hourly independent variable in the model was PAR; hourly NEE in our model was therefore predominantly driven by changes in sunlight. Modeled hourly variability frequently captured the difference in magnitude in NEE between high and low uptake events (example time series shown in Fig. 4).

287 **3.4.2 Seasonal variability in NEE**

In our best-fitting model parameterization, phenology was asychnronous with the dry season (Table 2). Over the mean seasonal cycle, removing this seasonal phenology parameterization resulted in positive residual NEE from June 15 to September 14, hence over-predicting uptake during this time (Fig. 5a). Our final model, however, simplistically corrects for this positive anomaly, adjusting NEE by 16% (Fig. 5b; Table 2). Although this seasonal transition appears to be more gradual over the season, our simplistic, low-parameter phenology representation was chosen for parsimony. While the seasonal timing of respiration, s_R , varied by meteorological inputs (semi-monthly total rainfall <50 mm), we could not identify a similar seasonal meteorological trigger for phenology and therefore used set calendar dates.

Our model predicted monthly mean NEE well ($R^2=0.59$ across all months). Hourly changes in PAR integrated over monthly and seasonal time periods. Therefore, seasonal variability in our model was controlled by precipitation, sunlight, and a simplistic parametric representation of phenology (Eq. 2; Table 1). Part of the remaining seasonal variability was explained by random measurement error: bootstrap 95% confidence intervals representing hourly measurement errors in monthly mean NEE had an average range of 1.07 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹, 47% of the mean NEE seasonal cycle's range. The model slightly over-predicted the mean seasonal cycle's magnitude, although well within the model and measurement interannual variability (Fig. 6). The model attributed the greatest sink to October, because (1) October rainfall was low enough each year to be classified as part of the dry season, (2) PAR was consistently high due to sunny conditions after the dry season onset, and (3) the phenology scaling factor (1 - $k_{pheno}*s_{pheno}$) returned to 1 after Sept 14, increasing the October LUE and pushing the carbon balance further towards a sink.

A higher-parameter model with VPD and diffuse radiation from Wu et al. (2017) explained additional variance in hourly NEE but not in monthly NEE (Table S1). The BIC score for this model (-31.4) was greater than (more negative) than that from our main model (-35.6; Eq. 2), because it did not improve the goodness of fit but contained additional parameters. The BIC results imply that VPD and diffuse radiation do not explain significant additional variance relative to our model (Eq. 2) at monthly and greater timescales.

310 **3.4.3 Interannual Variability in NEE**

Hourly changes in PAR and seasonal changes in precipitation integrated annually to determine yearly sums of modeled
 NEE. Therefore, interannual variability was controlled by precipitation and sunlight. Phenology did not vary interannually,
 therefore it did not affect interannual variability in modeled NEE.

314 We disaggregated the meteorological influence on NEE, represented by our model (Eq. 2), from long-term changes in 315 forest's ecological efficiency by examining the annually integrated hourly model residuals. In 2002, there were a total of 1.2 MgC ha⁻¹vr⁻¹ of excess emissions unaccounted for by the modeled mean response to meteorology (Fig. 7a). The correlation 316 between modeled and measured yearly NEE was low and insignificant ($R^2 = 0.17$; p = 0.37) owing to the 2002 anomaly; if 2002 317 is excluded as an outlier, the correlation is high and significant ($R^2 = 0.81$; p = 0.014). All other years were not significantly 318 319 different from zero within random measurement error, represented by 95% bootstrap confidence intervals, indicating that these 320 years are well predicted by meteorological variability, including the relatively higher emission/lower uptake in period 2 (Fig. 1). 321 On average, period 2 saw a 20% increase in annual precipitation relative to period 1. Abbreviated dry season lengths

and lack of radiation from increased cloudiness in period 2 resulted in less modeled net uptake relative to period 1.

We partitioned observed and modeled NEE into RE and GEE. Interannual variations in RE were accurately represented as changes in wet and dry season length (Fig. S1). The range in annual residual RE is therefore small compared to that of annual residual GEE (Fig. 7b). In 2002, mean model GEE had 0.85 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ more uptake than observations. Therefore, the 1.2 MgC ha⁻¹y⁻¹ residual emissions in 2002 were more likely due to anomalously low photosynthesis rather than high RE.

327 4 Discussion

328 4.1 Hourly and Seasonal Changes in NEE and Implications for Modeling Phenology

Hourly changes in NEE were due predominantly to changes in sunlight (Fig. 4). Phenology only played a small role in modeled hourly variability, improving the fit of our model by only 1% relative to a model that only used meteorology and lacked a phenology parameterization (Table 2).

Seasonal changes, on the other hand, were due to a combination of sunlight, rainfall inputs, and phenology (Fig. 6). The model parameterization contained a seasonal decrease in respiration (a_1) that was synchronous with the dry season, a timing that

- 334 was consistent with other tropical forest sites, but can exert the opposite influence depending on terrain, drainage, and inundation
- 335 (Kiew et al., 2018). A phenological LUE decrease in GEP $(1-k_{pheno})$ was asynchronous with the dry season (Eq. 5; Table 2).
- 336 Modeled phenology explained 26% of the variability in observed monthly NEE (Table 2).
- 337 VPD and diffuse radiation do not explain significant additional variance in NEE relative to our model (Eq. 2) at 338 monthly timescales (Table 1; Table S1). The relative importance of phenology at monthly timescales, compared to that of VPD 339 and diffuse radiation, is consistent with other findings regarding GEP at our research site: moving from finer to coarser temporal 340 resolution, the influence of exogenous meteorology becomes outweighed by that of exogenous ecosystem changes such as those 341 in phenology (Wu et al. 2017).
- Seasonal changes in LUE are well explained by canopy leaf age and demography both at this site and at a comparatively wetter forest site in Manaus, showing good agreement with a model informed by camera and trap-based observations of leaf flushing and shedding (Wu et al., 2016). Our single mid-year parameter simplistically up-shifts the trough in a more continuous seasonal oscillation between low and high LUE (Fig. 5) because we lacked independent variables explaining the seasonal oscillation.
- 347 The seasonally asynchronous nature of phenology-mediated LUE establishes a middle ground in debates over whether 348 the Eastern Amazon canopy is enhanced or "greens up" during the dry season (Huete et al., 2006; Myneni et al, 2007; Samanta et 349 al., 2012; Morton et al., 2014; Bi et al., 2015; Guan et al., 2015; Saleska et al., 2016). Changes to the canopy's LUE do indeed 350 occur, but not synchronously with the dry season at our site (Fig. 5). Evidence from previous studies at the TNF suggests that 351 changes in phenological LUE result from carbon allocation shifting from stem allocation to the turnover and production of new 352 leaves (Goulden et al., 2004) supporting the prevailing hypothesis that tropical trees have been selected to coordinate new leaf 353 production ahead of dry seasonal peaks of irradiance (Wright and van Schaik, 1994). The GEP seasonal cycles at additional 354 evergreen Amazon forest sites are not well described by sunlight alone (Restrepo-Coupe et al., 2013). Averaging over seasonal 355 windows is therefore likely to miss a potential inter-seasonal depletion and enhancement of canopy LUE if additional regions of 356 evergreen Amazon forest similarly exhibit seasonally asynchronous phenology.
- 357 Interannual variation in phenology is represented mechanistically in phenology and LUE sub-models, which have been 358 optimized using km67 eddy flux data, but nonetheless fail to reproduce the observed mid-year GEP decrease at this site. Kim et 359 al. (2012) present a light-triggered phenology scheme, which assumes higher modeled leaf turnover rates and higher maximum 360 leaf photosynthesis during the dry season, and hence produced higher dry season GEP. Their model produced leaf flushing rates 361 that lagged behind observations, and contradicted observations that light-controlled GEP decreases mid-year at km67 (Fig. 5). 362 Another phenology scheme has been developed by De Weirdt et al. (2012), which attributes excess leaf allocation to the turnover 363 of new, more efficient leaves, but nevertheless over-predicted mid-year GEP at km67 relative to their prior model. Wu et al. 364 (2016), on the other hand, successfully represent the GEP seasonal cycle using their model of leaf age and demography, but 365 relied on observations of canopy leaf fluxes. Their model, however, does not provide a meteorologically-triggered mechanism 366 for seasonal leaf shedding and flushing. Therefore, until such a trigger can be identified, models that mechanistically represent 367 phenology are primed to make erroneous predictions about the interannual and long-term consequences of changing seasonal 368 lengths for the Amazon carbon balance.

369 4.2 Interannual variability in NEE

Annual totals of measured NEE exhibited an unpredicted trend: despite previous hypotheses that the years after period 1 would continue to trend downward towards more uptake (Hutyra et al., 2007; Pyle et al., 2008), the ecosystem returned to a moderate carbon source in all three years of period 2 (Fig. 1). We examined whether the reversal of the period 1 trend throughout

- period 2 could be explained by exogenous changes in climate or an endogenous biophysical change. We developed the model
- 374 selection framework to partition these two sources of variability.
- Our model represented NEE well across a variety of timescales (Figs. 4, 5, 7). On yearly timescales, interannual differences in NEE_{Model} were due to exogenous meteorology, as phenology did not vary interannually. The model predicted annual NEE accurately within 95% confidence limits of random measurement error for 6 out of 7 years (Fig. 7a), including period 2, during which the forest returned to a carbon source (Fig. 1). The model representation of the period 2 source was due to lower radiation and higher rainfall relative to period 1, consistent with findings of light-limitation in Amazon forests derived from satellite observations of climate and vegetation activity (Nemani et al., 2003).
- The overall magnitude of the carbon source/sink, however, was highly sensitive to the choice of u_* filter, consistent with previous findings (Saleska et al., 2003; Miller et al., 2004; Hayek et al., 2018). We therefore applied a novel correction to the long-term magnitude of NEE that is independent of the u_* filter (Hayek et al., 2018), which indicated that the ecosystem may in fact be a slight sink, but that the interannual variability, which our model represents, remained the same (Fig. S2). The overall magnitude of the carbon source/sink therefore does not affect or results concerning the variability between years. The least net uptake still occurred in 2002, from which NEE remained insignificantly different in 2009 and 2011.
- We examined the possibility that a systematic high bias in 2002 PAR could result in an over-prediction of 2002 GEP and erroneously cause a positive 2002 residual. We found that PAR was appropriately drift-corrected by corroboration with R_{net} , which was not affected by drifts. Additionally, we note that rainfall was not atypical in 2002 relative to 2003-2005 (Fig. 2).
- Additional meteorological variables such as the vapor pressure deficit (VPD) and diffuse radiation did not appear to explain residual NEE in 2002. A model including these variables did not explain the positive NEE/GEE anomaly in 2002 (Fig. S3). The annual means of both VPD and CI in 2002 lied within their decadal range, making high VPD or low diffuse radiation unlikely explanations for low photosynthetic uptake. These meteorological factors did not appear to significantly impact interannual changes in NEE, consistent with previous findings regarding GEP at this site (Wu et al., 2017).
- We cannot rule out that the 2002 source may be a measurement artifact, caused for example by disturbance following tower construction. We note, however, that tower construction was completed almost a year before the measurements we used, with preliminary data collection occurring during 2001 (Saleska et al., 2003). We examine the possibility that 1998 droughtbased disturbance impacted forest GEP through 2002 in section 4.2.2.

399 4.2.1 Temporal and spatial heterogeneity of droughts

400 Our multiple records of meteorology adjacent to our research site (Fig. 2), which we used to inform our simple model of 401 NEE, can also shed light on the larger discussion of recent droughts in the Amazon. Previous reports of 21st century droughts in 402 this region are inconsistent. For the 2010 Amazon drought, Lewis et al. (2011) show that water deficits during were minimal in 403 the Eastern Amazon region, consistent with our findings. However, Doughty et al. (2015) report ubiquitous detrimental effects of 404 the 2010 drought basin-wide, including a -3 MgC ha⁻¹ GEP anomaly overlying the TNF. Our results contradict these findings: we 405 did not find anomalously low water inputs, nor a concurrent GEP or NEE anomaly (Fig. 7b), in 2010. For the 2005 Amazon 406 drought, Zeng et al. (2008) claim that North Tropical Atlantic warming in the dry Jul-Oct quarter led to rainfall reductions 407 everywhere in the Amazon, a result not borne out by our precipitation analysis. The two supposedly basin-wide droughts in 2005 408 and 2010 did not appear to affect the region in which this particular site lies. Measurements and empirical modeling of CWD 409 over time support this finding because no interim disturbances were detected between 2001 and 2011 (Fig. 3). The spatial extent 410 and severity with which a more recent 2015-2016 El Niño drought impacted Amazon forests, however, remains to be quantified.

411 **4.2.2 Legacy impacts of drought on ecosystem function**

412 Our model over-predicted photosynthetic uptake in 2002, but predicted RE well (Fig. 7b; Fig. S1), suggesting that a 413 drought-disturbance in 1998 persistently affected forest GEP, not RE, through 2002. These findings contradict a previously 414 established hypothesis that legacy effects of a prior drought disturbance increased RE in 2002 via increased CWD respiration 415 (R_{CWD}) and related pathways of decomposition (Saleska et al., 2003; Rice et al, 2004; Hutyra et al., 2007; Pyle et al., 2008).

416 CWD measurements from the km67 site suggest that there was major disturbance before measurements of CO₂ eddy 417 fluxes began. Three years after the 1998 drought, there was a large pool of CWD (48.6 MgC ha⁻¹ in 2001), implying that a 418 drought-based disturbance had occurred in the past. By 2012, the CWD pool respired faster than it could accrue additional 419 necromass from mortality (Fig. 3), implying that no additional impactful disturbance occurred at this site between 2002 and 420 2012. Although R_{CWD} was in fact higher in 2002 than 2005, this difference accounted for only 0.2 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 3) of 421 respiration. Changes in R_{CWD} therefore explain the small differences in annual RE (Fig. S1), but inadequately account for the full 422 1.3 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (2.4 MgC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) difference in NEE between these years (Fig. 1; Fig. 7).

423 Identifying the cause of the reduced 2002 GEP is beyond the scope of this statistical modeling study. It is possible that 424 the 1997-1998 El Niño drought not only killed entire trees, but also damaged living trees through hydraulic failure and partial 425 limb death, affecting canopy photosynthesis for subsequent years. An analysis of over 1000 temperate forest census sites 426 suggests that recovery of live tree biomass accumulation may be delayed by up to four years after drought (Anderegg et al., 427 2015). Following the 2005 and 2010 western droughts, findings from forest inventories (Brienen et al., 2015) and remote sensing 428 (Saatchi et al., 2013), suggested that legacy effects from tropical forest droughts can also persist for four years or more. Drought 429 cavitation due to xylem embolisms reduces hydraulic conductivity leading to whole tree mortality (Choat et al., 2012), initiating 430 a classic disturbance-recovery scenario in which felled trees generate canopy gaps for early successional seedlings and saplings 431 to immediately capitalize on newly available light, causing CO₂ sources to approximately balance sinks (Chambers et al., 2004). 432 However, cavitation is also known to cause branch dieback in still living trees (Koch et al., 2004), reducing canopy foliage 433 partially but not completely forfeiting light resources to the understory. Drought-induced limb diebacks therefore potentially 434 prolong forest recovery relative to immediate disturbances such as windfall. We hypothesize that partial drought damage to 435 surviving trees can persistently affect whole-forest photosynthesis. Our findings, that a 1997-1998 drought-disturbance was 436 followed by reduced photosynthesis in 2002, emphasize the need to better mechanistically understand multi-year legacy impacts 437 following droughts in evergreen Amazon forests.

438 **5** Conclusions

The decade-long record of eddy flux at km67 in the TNF demonstrated unpredicted trends in 7.5 years of measured NEE. Our simple, low-parameter empirical model could represent interannual differences in NEE as integrated continuous responses to changes in meteorology, with exception to the first year, suggesting that increased moisture and decreased sunlight, not an interim disturbance, were responsible for the elevated period 2 carbon source. Although overall magnitude of the carbon source/sink was highly sensitive to the specific choice of u_* filter, the interannual variability, which was predicted by the model, remained the same. Contrary to some reports, no major drought was apparent in concurrent rainfall records, nor was a major concurrent disturbance apparent in biometry surveys of this site from 2001 through 2011.

446 Our model represented a seasonal mid-year decline in GEP. Our representation of phenology follows set calendar dates, 447 and cannot distinguish between various hypotheses concerning the environmental trigger for seasonal leaf shedding and flushing.

- 448 DVGMs and other numerical simulation ecosystem models that represent phenology as a response to light-triggered leaf flushing 449 or root water constraints do not tend to represent the seasonal cycle of GEP accurately and are therefore in danger of over-
- 450 predicting the future response of photosynthesis to longer dry seasons resulting from climate change.
- 451 Our finding that reduced photosynthesis, not increased respiration, contributed to the high NEE source in 2002 modifies 452 the previous hypothesis that the 1997-1998 El Niño drought disturbance affected NEE via respiration. Our findings support a
- 453 corollary hypothesis that partial drought-induced damage to still-living trees can impact whole-ecosystem photosynthesis
- 454 adversely for multiple years, which is consistent with findings from regional and global-scale forest biometric studies (Anderegg
- 455 et al., 2015; Brienen et al., 2015). In order to understand how drought-disturbance uniquely impacts forest recovery,
- 456 observational studies and plot-based manipulation experiments are needed in conjunction with models. Such future research is
- 457 needed to determine the return times for droughts at which persistent forest biomass loss and collapse may occur.

458 Acknowledgments and Data

- 459 This work was supported by funding from a National Science Foundation PIRE fellowship (OISE 0730305) and a U.S.
- 460 Department of Energy grant (DE-SC0008311). The eddy flux data used in this study are available online via the Lawrence
- 461 Berkeley Laboratories (LBL) Ameriflux network database at http://ameriflux.lbl.gov/sites/siteinfo/BR-Sa1.

462 References

- de Almeida Castanho, A. D., Galbraith, D., Zhang, K., Coe, M. T., Costa, M. H. and Moorcroft, P.: Changing Amazon biomass
 and the role of atmospheric CO 2 concentration, climate, and land use, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 30(1), 18–39,
 doi:10.1002/2015GB005135, 2016.
- Anderegg, W. R. L., Schwalm, C., Biondi, F., Camarero, J. J., Koch, G., Litvak, M., Ogle, K., Shaw, J. D., Shevliakova, E.,
 Williams, A. P., Wolf, A., Ziaco, E. and Pacala, S.: Pervasive drought legacies in forest ecosystems and their
 implications for carbon cycle models, Science (80-.)., 349(6247), 528–532, doi:10.1126/science.aab1833, 2015.
- Baldocchi, D. D.: Assessing the eddy covariance technique for evaluating carbon dioxide exchange rates of ecosystems: Past,
 present and future, Glob. Chang. Biol., 9(4), 479–492, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00629.x, 2003.
- Barford, C. C., Wofsy, S. C., Goulden, M. L., Munger, J. W., Pyle, E. H., Urbanski, S. P., Hutyra, L., Saleska, S. R., Fitzjarrald,
 D. and Moore, K.: Factors Controlling Long- and Short-Term Sequestration of Atmospheric CO2 in a Mid-latitude
 Forest, Science (80-.)., 294(5547), 1688–1691, doi:10.1126/science.1062962, 2001.
- Betts, R. a., Cox, P. M., Collins, M., Harris, P. P., Huntingford, C. and Jones, C. D.: The role of ecosystem-atmosphere
 interactions in simulated Amazonian precipitation decrease and forest dieback under global climate warming, Theor.
 Appl. Climatol., 78(1–3), 157–175, doi:10.1007/s00704-004-0050-y, 2004.
- Bi, J., Knyazikhin, Y., Choi, S., Park, T., Barichivich, J., Ciais, P., Fu, R., Ganguly, S., Hall, F., Hilker, T., Huete, A., Jones, M.,
 Kimball, J., Lyapustin, A. I., Mõttus, M., Nemani, R. R., Piao, S., Poulter, B., Saleska, S. R., Saatchi, S. S., Xu, L.,
 Zhou, L. and Myneni, R. B.: Sunlight mediated seasonality in canopy structure and photosynthetic activity of
 Amazonian rainforests, Environ. Res. Lett., 10(6), 64014, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/6/064014, 2015.
- Bolker, B. M., Pacala, S. W. and Parton, W. J.: Linear analysis of soil decomposition: Insights from the Century model, Ecol.
 Appl., 8(2), 425–439, doi:10.1890/1051-0761(1998)008[0425:LAOSDI]2.0.CO;2, 1998.
- Brienen, R. J. W., Phillips, O. L., Feldpausch, T. R., Gloor, E., Baker, T. R., Lloyd, J., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., MonteagudoMendoza, A., Malhi, Y., Lewis, S. L., Vásquez Martinez, R., Alexiades, M., Álvarez Dávila, E., Alvarez-Loayza, P.,
 Andrade, A., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Araujo-Murakami, A., Arets, E. J. M. M., Arroyo, L., Aymard C, G. A., Bánki, O. S.,
 Baraloto, C., Barroso, J., Bonal, D., Boot, R. G. A., Camargo, J. L. C., Castilho, C. V, Chama, V., Chao, K. J., Chave,
 J., Comiskey, J. A., Cornejo Valverde, F., da Costa, L., de Oliveira, E. A., Di Fiore, A., Erwin, T. L., Fauset, S.,
 Forsthofer, M., Galbraith, D. R., Grahame, E. S., Groot, N., Hérault, B., Higuchi, N., Honorio Coronado, E. N.,
 Keeling, H., Killeen, T. J., Laurance, W. F., Laurance, S., Licona, J., Magnussen, W. E., Marimon, B. S., Marimon-
- 490 Junior, B. H., Mendoza, C., Neill, D. A., Nogueira, E. M., Núñez, P., Pallqui Camacho, N. C., Parada, A., Pardo-

- Molina, G., Peacock, J., Peña-Claros, M., Pickavance, G. C., Pitman, N. C. A., Poorter, L., Prieto, A., Quesada, C. A.,
 Ramírez, F., Ramírez-Angulo, H., Restrepo, Z., Roopsind, A., Rudas, A., Salomão, R. P., Schwarz, M., Silva, N., SilvaEspejo, J. E., Silveira, M., Stropp, J., Talbot, J., ter Steege, H., Teran-Aguilar, J., Terborgh, J., Thomas-Caesar, R.,
 Toledo, M., Torello-Raventos, M., Umetsu, R. K., van der Heijden, G. M. F., van der Hout, P., Guimarães Vieira, I. C.,
 Vieira, S. A., Vilanova, E., Vos, V. A. and Zagt, R. J.: Long-term decline of the Amazon carbon sink., Nature,
- 496 519(7543), 344–8, doi:10.1038/nature14283, 2015.
- 497 Carswell, F. E., Costa, a. L., Palheta, M., Malhi, Y., Meir, P., Costa, J. D. P. R., Ruivo, M. D. L., Leal, L. D. S. M., Costa, J. M.
 498 N., Clement, R. J. and Grace, J.: Seasonality in CO2 and H2O flux at an eastern Amazonian rain forest, J. Geophys.
 499 Res. D Atmos., 107(20), 8076, doi:10.1029/2000JD000284, 2002.
- Chambers, J. Q., Santos, J. Dos, Ribeiro, R. J. and Higuchi, N.: Tree damage, allometric relationships, and above-ground net
 primary production in central Amazon forest, For. Ecol. Manage., 152(1–3), 73–84, doi:10.1016/S0378 1127(00)00591-0, 2001a.
- Chambers, J. Q., Schimel, J. P. and Nobre, A. D.: Respiration from coarse wood litter in central Amazon forests,
 Biogeochemistry, 52(2), 115–131, doi:10.1023/A:1006473530673, 2001b.
- Chambers, J. Q., Higuchi, N., Teixeira, L. M., dos Santos, J., Laurance, S. G. and Trumbore, S. E.: Response of tree biomass and
 wood litter to disturbance in a Central Amazon forest., Oecologia, 141(4), 596–611, doi:10.1007/s00442-004-1676-2,
 2004.
- Chambers, J. Q., Negron-Juarez, R. I., Marra, D. M., Di Vittorio, A., Tews, J., Roberts, D., Ribeiro, G. H. P. M., Trumbore, S. E.
 and Higuchi, N.: The steady-state mosaic of disturbance and succession across an old-growth Central Amazon forest
 landscape, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 110(10), 3949–3954, doi:10.1073/pnas.1202894110, 2013.
- Choat, B., Jansen, S., Brodribb, T. J., Cochard, H., Delzon, S., Bhaskar, R., Bucci, S. J., Feild, T. S., Gleason, S. M., Hacke, U.
 G., Jacobsen, A. L., Lens, F., Maherali, H., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Mayr, S., Mencuccini, M., Mitchell, P. J., Nardini, A.,
 Pittermann, J., Pratt, R. B., Sperry, J. S., Westoby, M., Wright, I. J. and Zanne, A. E.: Global convergence in the
 vulnerability of forests to drought., Nature, 491(7426), 752–5, doi:10.1038/nature11688, 2012.
- Collatz, G. J., Ball, J. T., Grivet, C. and Berry, J. A.: Physiological and environmental regulation of stomatal conductance,
 photosynthesis and transpiration: a model that includes a laminar boundary layer, Agric. For. Meteorol., 54(2–4), 107–
 136, doi:10.1016/0168-1923(91)90002-8, 1991.
- De Weirdt, M., Verbeeck, H., Maignan, F., Peylin, P., Poulter, B., Bonal, D., Ciais, P. and Steppe, K.: Seasonal leaf dynamics
 for tropical evergreen forests in a process-based global ecosystem model, Geosci. Model Dev., 5(5), 1091–1108,
 doi:10.5194/gmd-5-1091-2012, 2012.
- Doughty, C. E. and Goulden, M. L.: Seasonal patterns of tropical forest leaf area index and CO 2 exchange, J. Geophys. Res.
 Biogeosciences, 113(G1), G00B06, doi:10.1029/2007JG000590, 2008.
- Doughty, C. E., Metcalfe, D. B., Girardin, C. A. J., Amézquita, F. F., Cabrera, D. G., Huasco, W. H., Silva-Espejo, J. E., Araujo-Murakami, A., da Costa, M. C., Rocha, W., Feldpausch, T. R., Mendoza, A. L. M., da Costa, A. C. L., Meir, P., Phillips, O. L. and Malhi, Y.: Drought impact on forest carbon dynamics and fluxes in Amazonia, Nature, 519(7541), 78–82, doi:10.1038/nature14213, 2015.
- Duffy, P. B., Brando, P., Asner, G. P. and Field, C. B.: Projections of future meteorological drought and wet periods in the
 Amazon, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112(43), 13172–13177, doi:10.1073/pnas.1421010112, 2015.
- Dunn, A. L., Barford, C. C., Wofsy, S. C., Goulden, M. L. and Daube, B. C.: A long-term record of carbon exchange in a boreal
 black spruce forest: means, responses to interannual variability, and decadal trends, Glob. Chang. Biol., 13(3), 577–590,
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01221.x, 2007.
- Espírito-Santo, F. D. B. B., Gloor, M., Keller, M., Malhi, Y., Saatchi, S., Nelson, B., Junior, R. C. O., Pereira, C., Lloyd, J.,
 Frolking, S., Palace, M., Shimabukuro, Y. E., Duarte, V., Mendoza, A. M., López-González, G., Baker, T. R.,
 Feldpausch, T. R., Brienen, R. J. W. W., Asner, G. P., Boyd, D. S. and Phillips, O. L.: Size and frequency of natural
 forest disturbances and the Amazon forest carbon balance, Nat. Commun., 5, 1–6, doi:10.1038/ncomms4434, 2014.
- Farrior, C. E., Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., Dybzinski, R., Levin, S. a and Pacala, S. W.: Decreased water limitation under elevated CO₂
 amplifies potential for forest carbon sinks, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112(23), 7213–7218, doi:10.1073/pnas.1506262112,
 2015.Fatichi, S., Leuzinger, S. and Körner, C.: Moving beyond photosynthesis: from carbon source to sink-driven
 vegetation modeling, New Phytol., 201(4), 1086–1095, doi:10.1111/nph.12614, 2014.
- Fitzjarrald, D. R., Sakai, R. K., Moraes, O. L. L., De Oliveira, R. C., Acevedo, O. C., Czikowsky, M. J. and Beldini, T.: Spatial
 and temporal rainfall variability near the amazon-tapajós confluence, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 114(1),
 G00B11, doi:10.1029/2007JG000596, 2008.

- Frey, S. D., Lee, J., Melillo, J. M. and Six, J.: The temperature response of soil microbial efficiency and its feedback to climate,
 Nat. Clim. Chang., 3(4), 395–398, doi:10.1038/nclimate1796, 2013.
- Goulden, M. L., Miller, S. D., Da Rocha, H. R., Menton, M. C., De Freitas, H. C., E Silva Figueira, A. M. and Dias De Sousa, C.
 A.: Diel and seasonal patterns of tropical forest CO₂ exchange, Ecol. Appl., 14(4 SUPPL.), 42–54, doi:10.1890/026008, 2004.
- Guan, K., Pan, M., Li, H., Wolf, A., Wu, J., Medvigy, D., Caylor, K. K., Sheffield, J., Wood, E. F., Malhi, Y., Liang, M.,
 Kimball, J. S., Saleska, S. R., Berry, J., Joiner, J. and Lyapustin, A. I.: Photosynthetic seasonality of global tropical
 forests constrained by hydroclimate, Nat. Geosci, 8(4), 284–289, doi:10.1038/ngeo2382, 2015.
- Hayek, M. N., Wehr, R., Longo, M., Hutyra, L. R., Wiedemann, K., Munger, J. W., Bonal, D., Saleska, S. R., Fitzjarrald, D. R.
 and Wofsy, S. C.: A novel correction for biases in forest eddy covariance carbon balance, Agric. For. Meteorol., 250– 251(July 2017), 90–101, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.12.186, 2018.
- Houghton, R. a, Lawrence, K. T., Hackler, J. L. and Brown, S.: The spatial distribution of forest biomass in the Brazilian
 Amazon: a comparison of estimates, Glob. Chang. Biol., 7(7), 731–746, doi:DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2001.00426.x,
 2001.
- Huete, A. R., Didan, K., Shimabukuro, Y. E., Ratana, P., Saleska, S. R., Hutyra, L. R., Yang, W., Nemani, R. R. and Myneni, R.:
 Amazon rainforests green-up with sunlight in dry season, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33(6), 2–5, doi:10.1029/2005GL025583, 2006.
- Hutyra, L. R., Munger, J. W., Nobre, C. a., Saleska, S. R., Vieira, S. a. and Wofsy, S. C.: Climatic variability and vegetation vulnerability in Amazônia, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32(24), L24712, doi:10.1029/2005GL024981, 2005.
- Hutyra, L. R., Munger, J. W., Saleska, S. R., Gottlieb, E., Daube, B. C., Dunn, A. L., Amaral, D. F., de Camargo, P. B. and
 Wofsy, S. C.: Seasonal controls on the exchange of carbon and water in an Amazonian rain forest, J. Geophys. Res.
 Biogeosciences, 112(3), G03008, doi:10.1029/2006JG000365, 2007.
- Hutyra, L. R., Munger, J. W., Hammond-Pyle, E., Saleska, S. R., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Daube, B. C., de Camargo, P. B. and
 Wofsy, S. C.: Resolving systematic errors in estimates of net ecosystem exchange of CO2 and ecosystem respiration in a tropical forest biome, Agric. For. Meteorol., 148(8–9), 1266–1279, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.03.007, 2008.
- Kiew, F., Hirata, R., Hirano, T., Wong, G. X., Aeries, E. B., Musin, K. K., Waili, J. W., Lo, K. S., Shimizu, M. and Melling, L.:
 CO₂ balance of a secondary tropical peat swamp forest in Sarawak, Malaysia, Agric. For. Meteorol., 248 (October 2017), 494–501, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.10.022, 2018.
- Kim, Y., Knox, R. G., Longo, M., Medvigy, D., Hutyra, L. R., Pyle, E. H., Wofsy, S. C., Bras, R. L. and Moorcroft, P. R.:
 Seasonal carbon dynamics and water fluxes in an Amazon rainforest, Glob. Chang. Biol., 18(4), 1322–1334,
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02629.x, 2012.
- Koch, G. W., Sillett, S. C., Jennings, G. M. and Davis, S. D.: The limits to tree height, Nature, 428(6985), 851–854,
 doi:10.1038/nature02417, 2004.
- Leitold, V., Morton, D. C., Longo, M., dos-Santos, M. N., Keller, M. and Scaranello, M.: El Niño drought increased canopy
 turnover in Amazon forests, New Phytol., 959–971, doi:10.1111/nph.15110, 2018.
- Lewis, S. L., Brando, P. M., Phillips, O. L., van der Heijden, G. M. F. and Nepstad, D.: The 2010 Amazon Drought, Science (80-)., 331(6017), 554–554, doi:10.1126/science.1200807, 2011.
- Longo, M.: Amazon Forest Response to Changes in Rainfall Regime: Results from an Individual-Based Dynamic Vegetation
 Model, Doctoral dissertation, Harvard University, 2014.
- Longo, M., Knox, R. G., Levine, N. M., Alves, L. F., Bonal, D., Camargo, P. B., Fitzjarrald, D. R., Hayek, M. N., Restrepo Coupe, N., Saleska, S. R., da Silva, R., Stark, S. C., Tapajós, R. P., Wiedemann, K. T., Zhang, K., Wofsy, S. C. and
 Moorcroft, P. R.: Ecosystem heterogeneity and diversity mitigate Amazon forest resilience to frequent extreme
 droughts, New Phytol., doi:10.1111/nph.15185, 2018.
- McDowell, N., Allen, C. D., Anderson-Teixeira, K., Brando, P., Brienen, R., Chambers, J., Christoffersen, B., Davies, S.,
 Doughty, C., Duque, A., Espirito-Santo, F., Fisher, R., Fontes, C. G., Galbraith, D., Goodsman, D., Grossiord, C.,
 Hartmann, H., Holm, J., Johnson, D. J., Kassim, A. R., Keller, M., Koven, C., Kueppers, L., Kumagai, T., Malhi, Y.,
 McMahon, S. M., Mencuccini, M., Meir, P., Moorcroft, P., Muller-Landau, H. C., Phillips, O. L., Powell, T., Sierra, C.
 A., Sperry, J., Warren, J., Xu, C. and Xu, X.: Drivers and mechanisms of tree mortality in moist tropical forests, New
 Phytol., doi:10.1111/nph.15027, 2018.

- Melillo, J. M., Steudler, P. a, Aber, J. D., Newkirk, K., Lux, H., Bowles, F. P., Catricala, C., Magill, a, Ahrens, T. and
 Morrisseau, S.: Soil warming and carbon-cycle feedbacks to the climate system., Science, 298(5601), 2173–2176,
 doi:10.1126/science.1074153, 2002.
- Miller, S. D., Goulden, M. L., Menton, M. C., Da Rocha, H. R., De Freitas, H. C., E Silva Figueira, A. M. and De Sousa, C. A.
 D.: Biometric and micrometeorological measurements of tropical forest carbon balance, Ecol. Appl., 14(4 SUPPL.),
 114–126, doi:10.1890/02-6005, 2004.
- Moorcroft, P. R., Hurtt, G. C. and Pacala, S. W.: a Method for Scaling Vegetation Dynamics: the Ecosystem Demography Model
 (Ed), Ecol. Monogr., 71(4), 557–586, doi:10.1890/0012-9615(2001)071[0557:AMFSVD]2.0.CO;2, 2001.
- Morton, D. C., Defries, R. S., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Schroeder, W. and Van Der Werf, G. R.: Agricultural intensification increases deforestation fire activity in Amazonia, Glob. Chang. Biol., 14(10), 2262–2275, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01652.x, 2008.
- Morton, D. C., Rubio, J., Cook, B. D., Gastellu-Etchegorry, J. P., Longo, M., Choi, H., Hunter, M. and Keller, M.: Amazon
 forest structure generates diurnal and seasonal variability in light utilization, Biogeosciences, 13(7), 2195–2206,
 doi:10.5194/bg-13-2195-2016, 2016.
- Myneni, R. B., Yang, W., Nemani, R. R., Huete, A. R., Dickinson, R. E., Knyazikhin, Y., Didan, K., Fu, R., Negrón Juárez, R. I.,
 Saatchi, S. S., Hashimoto, H., Ichii, K., Shabanov, N. V, Tan, B., Ratana, P., Privette, J. L., Morisette, J. T., Vermote, E.
 F., Roy, D. P., Wolfe, R. E., Friedl, M. a, Running, S. W., Votava, P., El-Saleous, N., Devadiga, S., Su, Y. and
 Salomonson, V. V: Large seasonal swings in leaf area of Amazon rainforests., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 104(12),
 4820–4823, doi:10.1073/pnas.0611338104, 2007.
- Nelson, B. W., Kapos, V., Adams, J. B., Oliveira, W. J. and Oscar, P. G.: Forest Disturbance by Large Blowdowns in the
 Brazilian Amazon, Ecology, 75(3), 853–858, 1994.
- Nemani, R. R., Keeling, C. D., Hashimoto, H., Jolly, W. M., Piper, S. C., Tucker, C. J., Myneni, R. B. and Running, S. W.:
 Climate-driven increases in global terrestrial net primary production from 1982 to 1999, Science (80-.)., 300(5625),
 1560–1563, doi:10.1126/science.1082750, 2003.
- Nepstad, D. C., Tohver, I. M., Ray, D., Moutinho, P. and Cardinot, G.: Mortality of large trees and lianas following experimental drought in an Amazon forest., Ecology, 88(9), 2259–69, 2007.
- 618 Phillips, O. L., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Lewis, S. L., Fisher, J. B., Lloyd, J., López-gonzález, G., Malhi, Y., Monteagudo, A., 619 Peacock, J., Quesada, C. A., Heijden, G. Van Der, Almeida, S., Amaral, I., Arroyo, L., Aymard, G., Baker, T. R., Bánki, 620 O., Blanc, L., Bonal, D., Brando, P., Chave, J., Cristina, A., Oliveira, A. De, Cardozo, N. D., Czimczik, C. I., 621 Feldpausch, T. R., Freitas, M. A., Gloor, E., Higuchi, N., Jiménez, E., Lloyd, G., Meir, P., Mendoza, C., Morel, A., 622 Neill, D. A., Nepstad, D., Patiño, S., Peñuela, M. C., Prieto, A., Ramírez, F., Schwarz, M., Silva, J., Silveira, M., 623 Thomas, A. S., Steege, H., Stropp, J., Vásquez, R., Zelazowski, P., Dávila, E. A., Andelman, S., Andrade, A., Chao, K., 624 Erwin, T., Fiore, A. Di, C. E. H., Keeling, H., Killeen, T. J., Laurance, W. F., Cruz, A. P., Pitman, N. C. A., Vargas, P. 625 N., Ramírez-angulo, H., Rudas, A. and Salamão, R.: Drought Sensitivy of the Amazon Rainforest, Science (80-.)., 626 323(March), 1344-1347, doi:10.1126/science.1164033, 2009.
- Pyle, E. H., Santoni, G. W., Nascimento, H. E. M., Hutyra, L. R., Vieira, S., Curran, D. J., van Haren, J., Saleska, S. R., Chow,
 V. Y., Carmago, P. B., Laurance, W. F. and Wofsy, S. C.: Dynamics of carbon, biomass, and structure in two
 Amazonian forests, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 113(G1), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2007JG000592, 2008.
- Restrepo-Coupe, N., da Rocha, H. R., Hutyra, L. R., da Araujo, A. C., Borma, L. S., Christoffersen, B., Cabral, O. M. R., de
 Camargo, P. B., Cardoso, F. L., da Costa, A. C. L., Fitzjarrald, D. R., Goulden, M. L., Kruijt, B., Maia, J. M. F., Malhi,
 Y. S., Manzi, A. O., Miller, S. D., Nobre, A. D., von Randow, C., Sá, L. D. A., Sakai, R. K., Tota, J., Wofsy, S. C.,
 Zanchi, F. B. and Saleska, S. R.: What drives the seasonality of photosynthesis across the Amazon basin? A cross-site
 analysis of eddy flux tower measurements from the Brasil flux network, Agric. For. Meteorol., 182–183, 128–144,
 doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.04.031, 2013.
- Rice, A. H., Pyle, E. H., Saleska, S. R., Hutyra, L., Palace, M., Keller, M., de Camargo, P. B., Portilho, K., Marques, D. F. and
 Wofsy, S. C.: Carbon Balance and Vegetation Dynamics in an Old-Growth Amazonian Forest, Ecol. Appl., 14(sp4),
 55–71, doi:10.1890/02-6006, 2004.
- Ross, T., Lott, N., McCown, S. and Quinn, D.: The El Nino Winter of '97 '98, NOAA Natl. Clim. Data Cent. Tech. Reports 98-02, 28, 1998.
- Saatchi, S., Asefi-Najafabady, S., Malhi, Y., Aragao, L. E. O. C., Anderson, L. O., Myneni, R. B. and Nemani, R.: Persistent effects of a severe drought on Amazonian forest canopy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 110(2), 565–570, doi:10.1073/pnas.1204651110, 2013.

- Saleska, S.R., Wu, J., Guan, K., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Nobre, AD., Araujo, A., and Huete, A. R.: Dry-season greening of Amazon forests., Nat. Br. Commun. Aris., 531(7594), E4–E5, doi:10.1038/nature16457, 2016.
- Saleska, S. R., Miller, S. D., Matross, D. M., Goulden, M. L., Wofsy, S. C., da Rocha, H. R., de Camargo, P. B., Crill, P., Daube,
 B. C., de Freitas, H. C., Hutyra, L., Keller, M., Kirchhoff, V., Menton, M., Munger, J. W., Pyle, E. H., Rice, A. H. and
 Silva, H.: Carbon in Amazon forests: unexpected seasonal fluxes and disturbance-induced losses., Science, 302(5650),
 1554–7, doi:10.1126/science.1091165, 2003.
- Samanta, A., Knyazikhin, Y., Xu, L., Dickinson, R. E., Fu, R., Costa, M. H., Saatchi, S. S., Nemani, R. R. and Myneni, R. B.:
 Seasonal changes in leaf area of Amazon forests from leaf flushing and abscission, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 117(1), G01015, doi:10.1029/2011JG001818, 2012.
- Stark, S. C., Leitold, V., Wu, J. L., Hunter, M. O., de Castilho, C. V, Costa, F. R. C., McMahon, S. M., Parker, G. G.,
 Shimabukuro, M. T., Lefsky, M. a, Keller, M., Alves, L. F., Schietti, J., Shimabukuro, Y. E., Brandão, D. O.,
 Woodcock, T. K., Higuchi, N., de Camargo, P. B., de Oliveira, R. C., Saleska, S. R. and Chave, J.: Amazon forest
 carbon dynamics predicted by profiles of canopy leaf area and light environment., Ecol. Lett., 15(12), 1406–14,
 doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01864.x, 2012.
- Urbanski, S., Barford, C., Wofsy, S., Kucharik, C., Pyle, E., Budney, J., McKain, K., Fitzjarrald, D., Czikowsky, M. and
 Munger, J. W.: Factors controlling CO2 exchange on timescales from hourly to decadal at Harvard Forest, J. Geophys.
 Res. Biogeosciences, 112(2), G02020, doi:10.1029/2006JG000293, 2007.
- Van Wagner, C. E.: The Line Intersect Method in Forest Fuel Sampling, For. Sci., 14(1), 20–26, 1968.Verbeeck, H., Peylin, P.,
 Bacour, C., Bonal, D., Steppe, K. and Ciais, P.: Seasonal patterns of CO 2 fluxes in Amazon forests: Fusion of eddy
 covariance data and the ORCHIDEE model, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 116(G2), G02018,
 doi:10.1029/2010JG001544, 2011.
- Waring, R. H., Law, B. E., Goulden, M. L., Bassow, S. L., McCreight, R. W., Wofsy, S. C. and Bazzaz, F. a.: Scaling gross
 ecosystem production at Harvard Forest with remote sensing: A comparison of estimates from a constrained quantumuse efficiency modei and eddy correlation, Plant, Cell Environ., 18(10), 1201–1213, doi:10.1111/j.13653040.1995.tb00629.x, 1995.
- Wright, S. J. and van Schaik, C. P.: Light and the Phenology of Tropical Trees, Am. Nat., 143(1), 192, doi:10.1086/285600,
 1994.
- Wu, J., Albert, L. P., Lopes, A. P., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Hayek, M., Wiedemann, K. T., Guan, K., Stark, S. C., Christoffersen, B.,
 Prohaska, N., Tavares, J. V., Marostica, S., Kobayashi, H., Ferreira, M. L., Campos, K. S., Silva, R. da, Brando, P. M.,
 Dye, D. G., Huxman, T. E., Huete, A. R., Nelson, B. W. and Saleska, S. R.: Leaf development and demography explain
 photosynthetic seasonality in Amazon evergreen forests, Science (80-.)., 351(6276), 972–977,
 doi:10.1126/science.aad5068, 2016.
- Wu, J., Guan, K., Hayek, M., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Wiedemann, K. T., Xu, X., Wehr, R., Christoffersen, B. O., Miao, G., da
 Silva, R., de Araujo, A. C., Oliviera, R. C., Camargo, P. B., Monson, R. K., Huete, A. R. and Saleska, S. R.:
 Partitioning controls on Amazon forest photosynthesis between environmental and biotic factors at hourly to interannual
 timescales, Glob. Chang. Biol., 23(3), 1240–1257, doi:10.1111/gcb.13509, 2017.
- Yadav, V., Mueller, K. L., Dragoni, D. and Michalak, A. M.: A geostatistical synthesis study of factors affecting gross primary
 productivity in various ecosystems of North America, Biogeosciences, 7(9), 2655–2671, doi:10.5194/bg-7-2655-2010,
 2010.
- Zeng, N., Yoon, J.-H., Marengo, J. a, Subramaniam, A., Nobre, C. a, Mariotti, A. and Neelin, J. D.: Causes and impacts of the
 2005 Amazon drought, Environ. Res. Lett., 3(1), 14002, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/3/1/014002, 2008.
- Zscheischler, J., Mahecha, M. D., Avitabile, V., Calle, L., Carvalhais, N., Ciais, P., Gans, F., Gruber, N., Hartmann, J., Herold,
 M., Ichii, K., Jung, M., Landschützer, P., Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Papale, D., Peylin, P., Poulter, B., Ray, D.,
 Regnier, P., Rödenbeck, C., Roman-Cuesta, R. M., Schwalm, C., Tramontana, G., Tyukavina, A., Valentini, R., van der
 Werf, G., West, T. O., Wolf, J. E. and Reichstein, M.: Reviews and syntheses: An empirical spatiotemporal description
 of the global surface–atmosphere carbon fluxes: opportunities and data limitations, Biogeosciences, 14(15), 3685–3703,
 doi:10.5194/bg-14-3685-2017, 2017.

Tables and Figures

Model Parameters					hourly R^2	monthly R^2
a_0	a_1	a_2	a_3	k _{pheno}	nouny re	menuity it
9.43 (9.30, 9.56)	-1.32 (-1.49, -1.15)	-39.2 (-39.8, -38.6)	760.9 (733.2, 788.6)	0.164 (0.156, 0.171)	0.81	0.59

Table 1. Model parameter values (95% confidence intervals in parentheses) and R^2 fit. Parameters have the following units: a_0 , a_1 , and a_2 : µmol-CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹; a_3 : µmol-photons m⁻² s⁻¹; k_{pheno} : unitless.

<i>s</i> _{pheno} timing	k_{pheno}	hourly R ²	monthly R ²
None	-	0.80	0.33
Dry Season	0.117 (0.109, 0.125)	0.80	0.32
June 15 to Sept 14*	0.164 (0.156, 0.171)	0.81	0.59

Table 2. k_{pheno} parameter values (95% confidence intervals in parentheses) and hourly and monthly model fit associated with various seasonal timings of the phenology factor variable s_{pheno} . *Final model parameterization.



Figure 1. Annual sums of NEE in kg/ha/year. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals. Positive values indicate a source of CO2 to the atmosphere. Net emission of carbon to the atmosphere during every year in the time series was possibly due to choice of u^{*Th} (Fig. S2

for annual NEE time series derived from an alternative choice of flux bias correction).



Figure 2. (a) Semi-monthly dry season rainfall totals for wet season (black) and dry season (orange). Hourly rainfall was estimated by objective analysis (Eq. 1) from meteorology stations nearby km67. The horizontal dashed line shows the dry season threshold of 50 mm per half-month. (b) Yearly totals of rainfall from Belterra INMET station (black), 25 km away from km67, and km67 rainfall estimated by objective analysis (blue). Recent El Niño anomalies (grey shaded areas) coincide with droughts in the 1990s but not in the 2000s (blue points) at this site, when annual rainfall was within the long-term historical variability.



708Figure 3. Measurements of total CWD (black squares with 95% bootstrapped CI error bars) and subsets of CWD \geq 30 cm diameter709(black crosses) show a decrease over time. CWD box model (grey line) also shows a gradual decrease in CWD over time. The initial710condition is the 2001 measurement of CWD; source is input from mortality inferred by biometry census (census times represented by711grey circles); sink is an empirical respiration rate of 0.124 yr⁻¹ [Pyle et al., 2008]. Left axis shows the CWD respiration flux (R_{CWD})712corresponding to the equivalent amount of CWD on the right axis.



Figure 4. Example time series of NEE_{obs} and NEE_{Model} for 9 days of the wet season in 2008. Pearson correlation coefficient between NEE_{obs} and NEE_{Model} is R=0.90 over the entire 7.5 year time series.







Figure 5. Mean daily data-model residuals averaged over all 7.5 years: (a) lacks an adjustment for phenological change in LUE. Leaf-







Figure 6. (a) Mean seasonal cycle of NEE_{obs} (black dots) and NEE_{Model} (red triangles). Grey shaded areas are standard deviations of
 interannual variability for the mean NEE_{obs} for each respective month. Error bars are standard deviations of the interannual
 variability in monthly mean NEE_{Model}.



Figure 7. (a) Annually summed model residuals. Error bars are 95% bootstrapped confidence intervals. Annual residual NEE in 2002
 is statistically different from 0 within random NEE measurement error; all other years are not. (b) Residuals of model representation
 of partitioned GEE (gray circles) and RE (black triangles).