

Interactive comment on “Comment on “The origin of methane in the East Siberian Arctic Shelf unraveled with triple isotope analysis”, by Sapart et al. (2017)” by Katy J. Sparrow and John D. Kessler

Katy J. Sparrow and John D. Kessler

katysparrow@gmail.com

Received and published: 3 July 2018

We would like to thank Anonymous Referee #2 (RC2) for their thorough review of our comment on the article by Sapart et al. (2017). To clarify one subtle point, in our comment we do not hypothesize that the elevated ^{14}C - CH_4 signatures are due to contamination (incurred during sample collection, processing, and/or analysis) rather than environmental release; instead, we argue that it is premature to suggest, as the authors do, either of these origins when the methodological details, with appropriate standards and blanks, are not presented. As any such details and data remain absent, any con-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



clusions derived from these analyses should be, at best, considered preliminary.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-144>, 2018.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

