

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Effect of elevated pCO₂ on trace gas production during an ocean acidification mesocosm experiment" by Sheng-Hui Zhang et al.

Sheng-Hui Zhang et al.

gpyang@mail.ouc.edu.cn

Received and published: 29 July 2018

Dear Reviewer #2: We are grateful to your review of this paper and would like to express our thanks for the helpful and constructive comments. We have revised the manuscript and addressed all the comments. The main changes we made are as follows: General Comments The study examines production of volatile sulfur and halocarbon compounds in mesocosms of seawater with different dissolved carbon concentrations. The premise is to examine the impact of ocean acidiïňAcation on gas production. This is an okay idea. One major concern, however, is that the study was only ïňAve-weeks long, and there was no pretreatment of the phytoplankton. Thus, it

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



terms of variations in ChI a concentrations in the mesocosm experiments as described

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



(Goldman et al., 1979; Sommer, 1989). Meanwhile, DMS, DCB and CH3I concentration decreased significantly after 5 weeks incubation. Therefore, 5 weeks incubation is

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



0.643, p < 0.01 in the LC treatment; r = 0.544, p < 0.01 in the HC treatment) during this

experiment." P12, L251-L253 "Moreover, DCB peaked on days 21 (11.65 \times 106 cells mL-1) and 23 (10.70 \times 106 cells mL-1) in the LC and HC treatments, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2-C. Similar to DMS, DCB was also delayed in the HC mesocosm compared to that in the LC mesocosm." 5) Than many correlations in the text could go in a table. This would make the text more readable. We agree with reviewer's suggestion and have add two tables in the revised manuscript.

Table 2. Relationships between DMS, DMSP, ChI a, CHBrCl2, CH3Br, CH2Br2, CH3I, DCB, Thalassiosira weissflogii (T. weissflogii) and Phaeodactylum tricornutum (P. tricornutum) concentrations in the LC treatments. DMS (nmol L-1) DMSP (nmol L-1) ChI a (μ g L-1) CHBrCl2 (pmol I-1) CH3Br (pmol I-1) CH2Br2 (pmol I-1) CH3I (pmol I-1) DCB (×106 cells mL-1) T. weissflogii (×103 cells mL-1) P. tricornutum (cells mL-1) DMS 1 DMSP 0.701** 1 ChI a 0.597** 0.792** 1 CHBrCl2 0.526 0.280 0.559 1 CH3Br -0.413 -0.230 0.196 0.313 1 CH2Br2 0.310 0.180 0.001 -0.136 -0.308 1 CH3I 0.694** 0.654** 0.717** 0.596* -0.151 0.129 1 DCB 0.643** 0.520* 0.522* 0.394 -0.268 -0.038 0.762** 1 T. weissflogii 0.410 0.617** 0.899** 0.301 0.322 0.028 0.680** 0.399 1 P. tricornutum 0.560* 0.961** 0.821** 0.528 -0.032 0.162 0.588** 0.334 0.685** 1

Table 3. Relationships between DMS, DMSP, Chl a, CHBrCl2, CH3Br, CH2Br2, CH3I, DCB, Thalassiosira weissflogii (T. weissflogii) and Phaeodactylum tricornutum (P. tricornutum) concentrations in the HC treatments. DMS (nmol L-1) DMSP (nmol L-1) Chl a (μ g L-1) CHBrCl2 (pmol I-1) CH3Br (pmol I-1) CH2Br2 (pmol I-1) CH3I (pmol I-1) DCB (×106 cells mL-1) T. weissflogii (×103 cells mL-1) P. tricornutum (cells mL-1) DMS 1 DMSP 0.752** 1 Chl a 0.318* 0.738** 1 CHBrCl2 0.324 0.094 0.326 1 CH3Br -0.410 -0.349 0.065 0.076 1 CH2Br2 0.540* 0.352 0.142 0.233 -0.377 1 CH3I 0.694** 0.816** 0.741** 0.690* -0.407 0.316 1 DCB 0.544* 0.522 0.549* 0.532 -0.311 0.368 0.851* 1 T. weissflogii 0.355 0.743** 0.930** 0.304 0.076 0.233 0.690** 0.567 1 P. tricornutum 0.635** 0.954** 0.803** 0.143 -0.257 0.267 0.834** 0.559 0.820** 1

6) Much of the discussion on page 13 is literature rather than interpretation. Rather than merely list other studies, compare results quantitatively. Did the other studies

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



over 400 μ atm, and these values will further increase to 800–1000 μ atm by the end

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



of DMSP-consuming bacteria (DCB) was estimated using the most probable number

(MPN) methodology. The MPN medium consisted of a mixture (1:1 v/v) of sterile artificial sea water (ASW) and mineral medium (Visscher et al., 1991), 3 mL of which

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



was dispensed in 6 mL test tubes, which were closed off by an over-sized cap, allowing gas exchange. Triplicate dilution series were set up. All test tubes contained 1 mmol L-1 DMSP as the sole organic carbon source and were kept at 30 °C in the dark. After 2 weeks, the presence/absence of bacteria in the tubes was verified by DAPI staining (Porter and Feig, 1980). Three tubes containing 3 mL ASW without substrate were used as controls." P10, L217-L224 "Compared with DMSP, DMS and DCB concentrations showed similar trends during the mesocosm experiment. DMS concentrations in the LC and HC treatments were 1.03 and 0.74 nmol L-1, respectively, while DCB concentrations in the LC and HC treatments were 0.20 × 106 and 0.16 × 106 cells mL-1. DMS and DCB concentrations did not increase significantly during phase I, but began to increase rapidly on day 15. DCB concentrations in the LC and HC treatments peaked on days 21 (11.65 \times 106 cells mL-1) and 23 (10.70 \times 106 cells mL-1), while DMS concentrations in the LC and HC treatments peaked on days 25 (112.1 nmol L-1) and 30 (101.9 nmol L-1). Both DMS and DCB concentrations began to decrease obviously during phase III." P11, L231-L234 "However, a significant 29% reduction in DMS concentrations was detected in the HC treatment compared with the LC treatment (p = 0.016), though no statistical difference for DCB concentrations was found between the LC and HC treatments during phase I." P11, L244-L246 "In addition, a significant positive relationship was also observed between DMS and DCB (r = 0.643, p < 0.01) in the LC treatment; r = 0.544, p < 0.01 in the HC treatment) during this experiment." P12, L250-L253 "Moreover, DCB peaked on days 21 (11.65 \times 106 cells mL-1) and 23 (10.70 \times 106 cells mL-1) in the LC and HC treatments, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2-C. Similar to DMS, DCB was also delayed in the HC mesocosm compared to that in the LC mesocosm." 9) Line 258: the sentence does not make sense. Do you mean 'attributed to biology' rather than 'involve'. Also delete the quotes around 'biogenic'. Why use quotes for an adjective? We agree with the reviewer's suggestion and have deleted this sentence in the revised manuscript.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

https://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/bg-2018-148/bg-2018-148-AC2-supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-148, 2018.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

