1 Ecophysiological characteristics of red, green and brown strains of

2 the Baltic picocyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. – a laboratory

3 study

4

6

11

5 S. Śliwińska-Wilczewska¹, A. Cieszyńska², and A. Latała¹

⁷ ¹University of Gdańsk, Institute of Oceanography, Laboratory of Marine Plant Ecophysiology, Gdynia, Poland

²Institute of Oceanology Polish Academy of Sciences, Department of Marine Physics, Marine Biophysics Laboratory, Sopot,
 Poland

10 Correspondence to: A. Cieszyńska (acieszynska@iopan.gda.pl, cieszynska.agata@gmail.com)

12 Abstract. The contribution of picocyanobacteria (PCY) to summer phytoplankton blooms, accompanied by an ecological 13 crisis, is a new phenomenon in Europe. This issue requires careful investigation. Therefore, the work, which examines the 14 response of Synechococcus sp. physiology to different environmental conditions was conducted. Three strains of 15 Synechococcus sp. (red BA-120, green BA-124 and brown BA-132) were cultivated in a laboratory under previously 16 determined environmental conditions. These conditions were as follows: temperature (T) from 10 by 5 to 25°C, salinity from 17 3 by 5 to 18 PSU and Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) from 10 by 90 to 280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, which gave 64 18 combinations of synthetic, though real environmental conditions. Scenarios reflecting all possible combinations were applied 19 in the laboratory experiments. Results pointed to differences in final number of cells between strains. However, there was 20 also a similar pattern for BA-124 and BA-132, which showed the highest concentrations of picocyanobacteria cells at higher 21 T and PAR. This was also the case for BA-120, but only to a certain degree as the number of cells started to decrease above 22 190 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ PAR. Pigmentation, chlorophyll a (Chl a), fluorescence and rate of photosynthesis presented both 23 similarities and differences between the strains. In this context, more consistent features were observed for brown and red 24 strains when compared to the green. In this paper are defined the ecophysiological responses of PCY.

26 1 Introduction

25

27

28 The presence of picoplankton and its contribution to marine biomass were ignored in environmental studies conducted 29 before 1970. This was related to the poor accuracy of research equipment, which did not enable the recording and 30 recognition of such small organisms. Before the discovery of picocyanobacteria (PCY) in the oceans by Johnson and 31 Sieburth (1979) and Waterbury et al. (1979) there only existed incidental reports of this fraction of cyanobacteria occurrence 32 in aquatic ecosystems. Since then, the number of PCY observations has rapidly increased, and currently they are known to be 33 present in many marine, brackish and freshwater ecosystems of the world (e.g., Callieri, 2010; Sorokin and Zakuskina, 2010; 34 Flombaum et al., 2013; Jodłowska and Śliwińska, 2014; Jasser and Callieri, 2017). Additionally, recent works showed that 35 many aquatic ecosystems have been experiencing super-dense, long-term blooms of picocyanobacteria (Sorokin et al., 2004; 36 Sorokin and Zakuskina, 2010), whilst in the past PCY were often described as a non-blooming group (Stockner et al., 1988). 37 Sorokin and Zakuskina (2010) found that the picocyanobacteria blooms were accompanied by great changes in the benthic 38 habitats.

Picocyanobacteria of the *Synechococcus* genus are extremely important organisms in the world's oceans. This is the
 smallest fraction of plankton ranked by the size of cells, which ranges from 0.2 to 2.0 µm (Sieburth et al., 1978).
 Chrococcoid genus of the *Synechococcus* are ubiquitous components of the natural plankton communities in aquatic
 environments. Picocyanobacteria of the *Synechococcus* group span a range of different colors, depending on their pigment

composition (Stomp et al., 2007; Haverkamp et al., 2008). Baltic strains of *Synechococcus* sp. are classified as red strains
with phycoerythrin (PE), green strains rich in phycocyanin (PC) and the brown strains containing two different bilin
pigments known as phycoerythrobilin (PEB) and phycourobilin (PUB), which both bind to the apoprotein PE (Six et al.,
2007a, b; Haverkamp et al., 2008; 2009). The three strains of *Synechococcus* sp.: BA-120 (red), BA-124 (green), and BA-132 (brown) examined in this work (Fig. S1 in Supplement) are different morphotypes representatives. The existence of
these different colors picocyanobacteria is commonly found in the Baltic Sea (Andersson et al., 1996; Hajdu et al., 2007;
Stomp et al., 2007; Haverkamp et al., 2009; Mazur-Marzec et al., 2013; Larsson et al., 2014; Paczkowska et al., 2017).

50 Picocyanobacterial species are phylogenetically divided into several major clusters. These clusters have been 51 identified, based on photosynthetic pigmentation, nitrogen requirements, motility and salinity preferences (Herdman et al., 52 2001). Picocyanobacteria that are often found and isolated from marine, brackish and freshwater environments are related to 53 Synechococcus cluster 5 (Herdman et al., 2001). Synechococcus cluster 5 is divided in two sub-clusters: 5.1 and 5.2. The 54 members of cluster 5.1 typically produce PE as their main photosynthetic pigment. In contrast, members of cluster 5.2 have a 55 green coloration because they produce PC (Herdman et al., 2001; Larsson et al., 2014). The diversity of picocyanobacteria 56 has been investigated mainly by analysis of the 16S rRNA gene. However, the phylogenetic tree of Synechococcus sp. is not 57 always consistent with their pigmentation type (Haverkamp et al., 2008). Thus, the actual taxonomic position may be 58 incorrectly defined due to the morphological plasticity of these organisms (Callieri, 2010).

59 Despite its association with open ocean systems, it has become increasingly evident in recent years that *Synechococcus* 60 sp. is a significant contributor to cyanobacterial blooms (Beardall, 2008). Surprisingly, this species may also comprise 80% 61 and more of the total cyanobacterial biomass during cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea (Stal et al., 2003; Mazur-62 Marzec et al., 2013).

63 Recently, it has been confirmed that PCY are able to excrete harmful and allelopathic substances (e.g., Jakubowska and 64 Szelag-Wasilewska, 2015; Jasser and Callieri, 2017; Śliwińska-Wilczewska et al., 2017; Barreiro Felpeto et al., 2018). Many 65 different factors, including physical parameters, availability and competition for resources, selective grazing and allelopathic 66 interactions can affect the occurrence of harmful blooms in aquatic ecosystems. The development of massive algal blooming 67 is a consequence of the interaction between many favorable factors. Synechococcus sp. greatly contributes to these massive 68 blooms, but so far the characteristics of the life cycle of Baltic PCY has not been sufficiently studied. This knowledge needs 69 to be expanded and improved, especially because of bloom toxicity and negative impacts on ecosystems (Jasser and Callieri, 70 2017; Śliwińska-Wilczewska et al., 2018a).

71 According to the above all, phytoplankton is of great interest to scientists in understanding its life cycles and impact on 72 the ecosystem in different parts of the world's oceans and within diverse environmental conditions. In order to investigate it, 73 scientists use various types of research methodology: in-situ measurements, laboratory experiments and numerical 74 estimations. All of these approaches are necessary and essential in marine phytoplankton examination. Some laboratory and 75 field studies of ecophysiological responses of picocyanobacteria to different growth conditions have already been completed 76 for typical oceanic mediums, semi-closed seas and lakes (e.g., Glover et al., 1986; Kuosa, 1988; Stal et al., 1999; Agawin et 77 al., 2000; Callieri and Stockner, 2002; Hajdu et al., 2007; Sánchez-Baracaldo et al., 2008; Cai and Kong, 2013; Motwani et 78 al., 2013; Jodłowska and Śliwińska, 2014, Stawiarski et al., 2016). However, there is still a need to provide more systematic 79 information about these organisms. What is more, the need is amplified by the fact that there are only few research papers on 80 the brown strain of Baltic Synechococcus sp. (Stal et al., 2003; Haverkamp et al., 2008; 2009; Jodłowska and Śliwińska, 81 2014). This gives limited knowledge of PCY and their life cycle in the Baltic Sea, as brown form also contributes to total 82 pico- and phytoplankton biomass in the area of interest (Stal et al., 2003). The above strengthens the motivation to conduct 83 studies on the brown strain of Synechococcus sp.

The overall goal of this paper is to determine the most favorable and unfavorable environmental conditions for PCY to grow on the basis of three different strains of *Synechococcus* sp. ecophysiological analysis. The initial step of these works was to carry out laboratory experiments with *Synechococcus* sp. cultures. In order to create different environmental

- 87 conditions in the Baltic Sea range, combinations of physical quantities were determined. These quantities were as follows: 88 scalar irradiance in Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) spectrum range (10, 100, 190, 280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), 89 salinity (3, 8, 13, 18 PSU), and temperature (T) (10, 15, 20, 25°C). In total, 64 combinations (environmental scenarios) were 90 generated. The second step was to plot and analyze all results after seven days of incubations. For the results, the number of 91 cells, pigmentation, Chl a fluorescence parameters, and rate of photosynthesis were collected. The third step was to extract 92 any significant relations between the results and specific physical factors by using a statistical analysis, which included the 93 variance method analysis (two-way ANOVA) and Tukey's HSD post-hoc test. Derived laboratory results will help to 94 develop the knowledge of the picocyanobacteria life cycle. Moreover, the PCY experiments underlie the improved numerical 95 approach to phytoplankton modeling development. On the basis of derived results, the algorithm for picocyanobacterium 96 growth will be created in a separate study.
- 97

98 2 Material and methods

99

100 2.1 Material and culture conditions

101

102 Three different phenotypes of picocyanobacteria strains from the genus Synechococcus were examined: BA-120 (red), BA-103 124 (green), and BA-132 (brown). The cultures preparation was carried out as follows. The Synechococcus sp. strains were 104 isolated from the coastal zone of the Gulf of Gdansk (southern Baltic Sea) and maintained as unialgal cultures in the Culture 105 Collection of Baltic Algae (CCBA) at the Institute of Oceanography, University of Gdańsk, Poland (Latała et al., 2006). The 106 experiments on the 'batch cultures' were carried out in 25 mL glass Erlenmeyer flasks containing sterilized f/2 medium 107 (Guillard, 1975). In order to develop the media, the appropriate amount of Tropic Marine Synthetic Sea Salt was dissolved in 108 distilled water. The final salinity was 3, 8, 13 and 18 PSU, measured with salinometer (inoLab Cond Level 1, Weilheim in 109 Oberbayern, Germany). Salinity of the media was measured in PSU (practical salinity units). The major nutrients, 110 microelements and vitamin concentrations were added according to a method proposed by Guillard (1975) (any of the 111 components in f/2 media were not replaced by Tropic Marine Synthetic Sea Salt). Culture media was prepared with artificial 112 seawater filtered through a 0.45-µm filters (Macherey-Nagel MN GF-5) using a vacuum pump (600 mbar) and autoclaved. 113 Into 25 mL Erlenmeyer glass flasks, the cells of specific strains were inoculated. The picocyanobacteria cultures were 114 acclimated to the various synthetic environmental conditions for two days. The conditions were the combinations of different 115 values of: scalar irradiance in Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) spectrum (10, 100, 190 and 280 µmol photons m⁻² 116 s^{-1}), temperature (T) (10, 15, 20 and 25°C), and salinity (3, 8, 13 and 18 PSU). Values of quantities representing each 117 environmental condition were applied at the fixed intervals, i.e.: PAR, interval 90; T, interval 5; salinity, interval 5. The 118 synthetic environmental conditions of salinity and T applied in the laboratory are representative for the Baltic Sea area 119 (Feistelet al., 2008; 2009; Siegel and Gerth, 2017). Regarding PAR, its levels has been generated the highest possible to be 120 achieved in the laboratory. These values are generally lower than mean PAR intensities being observed in the summertime in 121 the Baltic (Leppäranta and Myrberg, 2009). Moreover, the values of environmental conditions variables (salinity, 122 temperature, PAR) were also specified in certain ranges to make this study comparable with other laboratory cultures 123 experiments available in literature. The combination of the quantities of environmental variables is called a scenario in the 124 present paper. The intensity of PAR was measured using a LI-COR spherical quantum-meter. Fluorescent lamps (Cool 125 White 40W, Sylvania, USA) were used as source of irradiance and combined with halogen lamps (100W, Sylvania, USA) to 126 obtain more intensive light. After acclimation time (2 d), the picocyanobacteria cells served as inoculum for the right test 127 cultures with the initial number of cells equal to 10^6 cells mL⁻¹. The flasks with picocyanobacteria were shaken (once a day) 128 during the experiment. In order to achieve the most reliable results, test cultures were grown in three replicas and were 129 incubated for one week at each combination of light, temperature and salinity. On the last day of incubation the number of 130 cells, pigment content, Chl *a* fluorescence, and rate of photosynthesis were measured in each replica. Results were reported

131 as mean values \pm standard deviation (SD).

132

133 2.2 Determination of the number of cells

134

135 The number of cells (N) in cultures was counted with flow cytometer BD Accuri™ C6 Plus (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, 136 USA) according to the procedure proposed by Śliwińska-Wilczewska et al. (2018b). Events were recorded in list form. 137 Samples were run at a flow rate of approximately 14 μ L min⁻¹. Selection of this flow rate was based on previous 138 introductory experiments to determine the most relevant effectiveness. Choosing an adequate discriminator and thresholds 139 plays a key role in recording the cells correctly. The most reasonable solution to record chlorophyll fluorescing 140 cyanobacteria and microalgae is to choose the red fluorescence as the discriminator (Fig. S1) and to select a high threshold, 141 enough to eliminate optical and electronic noise (Marie et al., 2005). Concerning this, the discriminator was set on the red 142 (chlorophyll) fluorescence with a standard threshold of 80,000 on FSC-H. Flow was daily calibrated with Spherotech 6- and 143 8- Peak Validation Beads (BD, San Jose, USA). This ensures that the cytometer is working properly before running 144 experimental samples. FITC, PE, and PE-Cy5 detectors were daily calibrated with SPHERO™ Rainbow Calibration 145 Particles (BD, San Jose, USA), and the APC channel was calibrated with SPHERO 6-peaks Allophycocyanin Calibration 146 Particles (APC). Detectors FL1, FL2, and FL3 read fluorescence emissions excited by the blue laser (480 nm), while detector 147 FL4 reads emissions excited by the red laser (640 nm). The flow cytometry was used to establish the initial number of 148 picocyanobacteria cells and to measure the final cells concentration after the incubation period.

149

150 2.3 Determination of the pigments content

151

152 The concentration of photosynthetic pigments of analyzed picocyanobacteria was measured by the spectrophotometric 153 method (Strickland and Parsons, 1972). The analysis of mL-specific (pigment content per mL) and cell-specific (pigment 154 content per cell) pigmentation was conducted. After seven days of incubation, 4 mL of culture was filtered in order to 155 separate the picocyanobacteria cells from the medium. Chl a and carotenoids (Car) were extracted from the 156 picocyanobacteria cells with cold 90% acetone (5 mL). To improve extraction, the cells were disintegrated for two minutes 157 by ultrasonication. Then, the test-tube with the extract was held in the dark for three hours at -60°C. To remove cell debris 158 and filter out the particles, the extracts were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm (8496 \times g) for 5 min (Sigma 2-16P, Osterode am 159 Harz, Germany). The absorbance of pigments was estimated on the basis of Beckman spectrophotometer UV-VIS DU 530 160 measurements at specific wavelengths (750, 665 and 480 nm), using 1 cm quartz cuvette. Pigment concentration was 161 calculated according to Strickland and Parsons (1972). The following formulas have been used: Chl a ($\mu g \ mL^{-1}$) = 162 11.236(A₆₆₅-A₇₅₀)V_a/V_b, Car (μ g mL⁻¹) = 4(A₄₈₀-A₇₅₀)V_a/V_b, where: V_a - extract volume (in this study 5 mL), V_b - sample 163 volume (in this study 4 mL), and A_x - absorbance estimated at wavelength x in a 1-cm cuvette.

164

165 2.4 Chlorophyll fluorescence analyses

166

167 Chl *a* fluorescence was measured with a Pulse Amplitude Modulation (PAM) fluorometer (FMS1, Hansatech, King's Lynn, 168 Norfolk, UK). The FMS1 uses a 594 nm amber modulating beam with 4-step frequency control as a measuring light and is 169 equipped with a dual-purpose halogen light source providing actinic light (0 – 3000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in 50 steps) and a 170 saturating pulse (0 – 20000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in 100 steps). FMS1 also has a 735 nm far-red LED source for preferential 171 PSI excitation allowing accurate determination of the F_o' parameter. Samples were filtered through 13-mm glass fiber filters 172 (Whatman GF/C, pore size = 1.2 μ m). Before measurement, the filtered sample was kept in the dark for 10 min. The

173 maximum photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (PSII) at dark-adapted state (F_v/F_m) and the photochemical efficiency

174 of PSII under actinic light intensity (ΦPSII) were estimated. The actinic light was different for different cultures, the same as

the PAR level was for each incubation. The above is similar to the method used by Campbell et al. (1998).

176

177 2.5 Measurements of photosynthesis rate

178

179 The measurements of oxygen evolution were carried out on the day seventh of the experiment using a Clark-type oxygen 180 electrode (Chlorolab 2, Hansatech). Temperature was controlled with a cooling system LAUDA (E100, Germany). 181 Illumination was provided by a high intensity probe-type light array with 11 red LED's centered on 650 nm. Irradiance was 182 measured with a quantum sensor (Quantitherm, Hansatech, King's Lynn, Norfolk, UK). Dark respiration was estimated from 183 O₂ uptake by cells incubated in the dark. Experimental data was fitted to the photosynthetesis irradiance response (*P-E*) 184 curves using equation (Jassby and Platt, 1976) and Statistica® 13.1 software and photosynthetic parameters, i.e., the 185 photosynthetic capacity (*P*_m), the initial slope of *P-E* curve (α) and the dark respiration (*R*_d) (Sakshaug et al., 1997).

186

187 2.6 Statistical analyses

188

189 The effect of light and temperature separately and then their combinations impact on growth, pigments content, fluorescence 190 and photosynthesis performance of examined strains were analyzed using two-way variance analysis (ANOVA). A post hoc 191 test (Tukey's HSD) was used to show which results differed under varied conditions over the experimental period (Sheskin 192 2000). The confident levels in the statistical analysis were: 95% (*p < 0.05), 99% (**p < 0.01), 99.9% (***p < 0.001). The 193 statistical analyses were performed using Statistica® 13.1 and Matlab 2012b software. According to the literature, light and 194 temperature are major factors controlling the growth and distribution of picocyanobacteria (e.g.: Jasser and Arvola, 2003), 195 and they may have considerable significance on the abundance of the Synechococcus community (Glover, 1985; Glover et 196 al., 1985; 1986, Joint and Pomroy, 1986; Jasser and Arvola, 2003; Jasser, 2006; Jodłowska and Śliwińska, 2014), as a result 197 it was decided that light and temperature would be the independent variables in ANOVA and post-hoc test analysis. The 198 dependent variable was always the parameter, which had been measured.

199

200 3 Results

201

202 **3.1 Number of cells**

203

For all three picoplankton strains, ANOVA analysis indicated that in each scenario the independent variable (temperature or PAR) significantly influenced the dependent variable. What is more, post-hoc tests indicated that multiple factors (T and PAR together) had an impact on the PCY growth.

According to post-hoc tests, 2008 multiple comparisons (70%) out of all 2880 completed for three strains, indicated the highest statistical significance (Tukey HSD, *** p < 0.001), 160 multiple comparisons (6%) pointed to the statistical significance of 0.001 < ** p < 0.01, and 114 (4%) stated for the significance of 0.01 < * p < 0.05. The rest of the multiple comparisons (598, 20%) indicated no statistically significance differences (Tukey HSD, $p \ge 0.05$).

Both PAR and T affected the number of *Synechococcus* sp. BA-120 cells significantly (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 42.3$, *** p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 22.7$, *** p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 9.6$, *** p < 0.001 and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 12.2$, *** p < 0.001, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively). For BA-120, the number of cells increased with T in each medium (salinities 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU) (Fig. 1A, a-d). The minimum number of cells was estimated in salinity 3 PSU, T 10°C and PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (1.6×10⁶ cell mL⁻¹, Fig. 1A, a), whilst the maximum in salinity 18 PSU, T 25°C, PAR 190 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (11.5×10⁶ cell mL⁻¹, Fig. 1A, d). The decrease in number of cells was observed from PAR 190 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ onwards. This can likely be related to the photo-inhibition of photosystem II (PSII). The above was the case in each salinity

- 218 (Figs. 1A, a-d). It seemed that the most important environmental factor for BA-120 number of cells was T, with PAR playing 219 an additional role, for instance in the context of photo-inhibition. Multiple comparisons tests pointed to the strong 220 significance of PAR and T combined in influencing the number of *Synechococcus* sp. BA-120 cells. According to the 221 statistics, 82% of multiple comparisons were statistically significant (Tukey HSD, * p < 0.05) with 91% of them having the 222 highest significance level (Tukey HSD, *** p < 0.001).
- 223 Both PAR and T also significantly affected the number of *Synechococcus* sp. BA-124 cells (ANOVA, $F_{9.32} = 7.9$, *** p 224 < 0.001, ANOVA, *F*_{9,32} = 13.6, *** *p* < 0.001, ANOVA, *F*_{9,32} = 8.4, *** *p* < 0.001 and ANOVA, *F*_{9,32} = 2.8, ** *p* < 0.01, for 225 salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively). For BA-124, number of cells increased with T and PAR in all salinities (Figs. 1B, a-226 d). The lowest number of cells was calculated in salinity 3 PSU, T 10°C and PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (2.0×10⁶ cell mL⁻ 227 ¹, Fig. 1B, a) and the highest number of cells was reached in salinity 18 PSU, T 25°C, PAR 280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ 228 $(43.6 \times 10^6 \text{ cell mL}^{-1}, \text{ Fig. 1B, d})$. Intensive cell division was also estimated under the highest T and PAR conditions in 229 salinity 13 PSU with a number of cells of 41.1×10^6 cell mL⁻¹ (Fig. 1B, c). Generally, the number of cells was the highest 230 when compared to BA-120 and BA-132 in respective scenarios. One of the observations was the difference in BA-124 231 number of cells between lower and higher PAR and T scenarios. BA-124 seemed to be more sensitive to changes in PAR 232 and T in their lower rather than in higher ranges. The highest number of Synechococcus sp. BA-124 cells were noted in 233 moderate- and high-salinity mediums. However, optimum salinities for strain BA-124 were 8 and 13 PSU. Due to post-hoc 234 analysis, salinity 13 PSU differentiated the conditions for cell abundances under different PAR and T at a lower degree when 235 compared to other salinities under respective PAR and T (Fig. 1B, c). Another feature of BA-124 was the number of cells in 236 low T and high PAR scenarios were nearly equal to cell abundances in high T and low PAR scenarios. This was not the case 237 for BA-120 and BA-132 strains. The observation was supported by Tukey's tests, where only few statistically significant 238 differences in number of cells were observed between scenarios with elevated PAR (280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), low T (10, 239 15°C) and those with high T (25°C) and low PAR (10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). These differences were observed between 15°C 240 and 280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and 25°C and 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in salinities 3 and 8 PSU (Tukey HSD, ** p < 0.05 in 241 both cases, Figs. 1B, a-b). Multiple comparisons tests showed high significance of combinations of PAR and T in affecting 242 the number of cells. According to Tukey HSD tests, 72% of multiple comparisons were statistically significant (* p < 0.05) 243 with 82% of them with the highest significance level (*** p < 0.001).
- 244 Similarly to BA-120 and BA-124, it was found that PAR and T significantly affected the number of Synechococcus sp. 245 BA-132 cells (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 6.8$, *** p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.4$, *** p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.6$, *** p < 0.001246 and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 12.5$, ** p < 0.01, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively). For BA-132, the positive impact of T and 247 PAR on number of cells (Figs. 1C, a-d) was observed in each medium. Note that positive impact means the increasing 248 (positive) dependency, whilst negative impact means decreasing (negative) dependency between the independent and 249 dependent variable, e.g.: between T and abundance. Salinity played a more significant role here than when compared to BA-250 124. It was found that the higher the salinity, the higher the number of cells of BA-132. What is more, according to the 251 statistical analysis, salinity 18 PSU differentiated the number of cells the most (Fig. 1C, d). In salinity 18 PSU, the cell 252 abundances could be described as a linear increasing function of ambient T and PAR. This was also observed in other 253 salinities but not as intensively pronounced as in the highest-saline medium. Moreover, in high salinity, the sensitivity of 254 number of cells to T changes was much lower than in low salinities. PAR did not determine the number of cells as strongly 255 as T, which was quite consistent to the observation noted for BA-120. The minimum number of cells was observed in 3 PSU, 256 10°C and 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (1.4×10⁶ cell mL⁻¹, Fig. 1C, a), whilst the maximum in 18 PSU, 25°C, 280 μ mol photons 257 $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ (16.1×10⁶ cell mL⁻¹, Fig. 1C, d). In addition, the lowest values of BA-132 number of cells were calculated for the 258 lowest T and PAR condition in each salinity. Tukey HSD post hoc tests indicated high significance of the combination of 259 PAR and T in affecting the cell abundances. According those tests, 84% of multiple comparisons were statistically 260 significant (* p < 0.05) with 90% of them with the highest significance (*** p < 0.001).

Concerning all three strains, high salinity generally had a positive impact on number of *Synechococcus* sp. cells. What is more, the relations between salinity and number of cells for all strains, especially red and brown were positive with almost linearly increasing dependency. The highest average increase was noted for BA-132.

265 **3.2 Pigment content**

266

264

The results showed that for all strains, cell-specific pigment composition (pigment content per cell) was environmentally driven (Figs. 2, 3). The analysis of mL-specific pigmentation (pigment content per mL) was also done (Figs. S2 and S3 in Supplement), however, the mL-specific pigment content is another way to illustrate the biomass and that is why it is not described in this section in detail.

271 It was estimated, that PAR and T significantly affected the Chl a cell-specific content of Synechococcus sp. BA-120 272 (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 33.7$, *** p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.3$, *** p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 15.6$, *** p < 0.001 and 273 ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.7$, *** p < 0.001, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively). Both PAR and T also affected the Car 274 content in the BA-120 strain cells significantly (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 25.8$, *** p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 7.5$, *** p < 0.001, 275 ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 7.3$, *** p < 0.001, and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 12.0$, *** p < 0.001, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively). It 276 was found that cell-specific Chl a and Car concentrations decreased with the increase of salinity (Figs. 2A, 3A). On average, 277 the cell content of pigments for BA-120 was the highest when compared to the other strains. Chl a concentration dominated 278 over Car concentration in each scenario. What is more, there were very high cell-specific concentrations of Chl a observed 279 for the whole T domain at low PAR. Maximum Chl a content was measured under T 25°C and PAR 10 μmol photons m⁻²s⁻ 280 ¹. This was the case in each salinity. The highest Chl a concentration within all scenarios was reached in BA-120 cells in 281 salinity 3 PSU and was equal to 0.339 pg cell⁻¹ (Fig. 2A, a). For other salinities these maximums were as follows: 0.233 pg 282 cell⁻¹ (8 PSU, Fig. 2A, b), 0.164 pg cell⁻¹ (13 PSU, Fig. 2A, c), 0.100 pg cell⁻¹ (18 PSU, Fig. 2A, d). The highest Car content 283 was measured in salinity 3 PSU under T of 20°C and PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and reached 0.160 pg cell⁻¹ (Fig. 3A, a). 284 The lowest concentrations of Chl a (0.038 pg cell⁻¹) and Car (0.031 pg cell⁻¹) were measured in salinity 18 PSU, T 25°C, 285 PAR 190 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 2A, d) and salinity 18 PSU, T 15°C, PAR 280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 3A, d), 286 respectively. Multiple comparisons tests indicated the significance of PAR and T combined in shaping the pigmentation. Due 287 to those tests, 52% and 55% of multiple comparisons in Chl a and Car content analysis, respectively, were statistically 288 significant (Tukey HSD, * p < 0.05) with 80% (for Chl a) and 74% (for Car) of them with the highest significance (Tukey 289 HSD, *** *p* < 0.001).

290 Both PAR and T affected the Chl *a* cell-specific content (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.3$, ** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.3$, *** p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 0.01$, ANOVA, $F_{9,33} = 0.01$, ANOVA, $F_{9,33} = 0.01$, ANOVA, $F_{9,33}$ 291 0.001, ANOVA, F_{9,32} = 69.8, *** p < 0.001 and ANOVA, F_{9,32} = 17.5, *** p < 0.001, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, 292 respectively) and Car cell-specific content (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.6$, *** p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 65.5$, *** p < 0.001, 293 ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 83.1$, *** p < 0.001 and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 43.2$, *** p < 0.001, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively) of 294 Synechococcus sp. BA-124 significantly. Generally, PAR and high T increase had a negative impact on pigmentation (Figs. 295 2B, 3B). Maximum values of cell-specific Chl a and Car concentrations were measured under 10°C and 10 µmol photons m⁻ 296 ² s⁻¹ in each salinity medium. These values, concerning salinities from the lowest to the highest, were as follows: 0.095, 297 0.102. 0.176, 0.148 pg cell⁻¹ for Chl a (Figs. 2B, a-d) and 0.051, 0.067, 0.087, 0.079 pg cell⁻¹ for Car (Figs. 3B, a-d). 298 Nonetheless, there were also some exceptions. In salinity 3 PSU, high Car contents were calculated under 280 µmol photons 299 $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ and T: 15, 20°C and equaled to 0.042 pg cell⁻¹ and 0.041 pg cell⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 3B, a). On average, salinity 300 increase had a negative impact on pigmentation. The lowest cell-specific concentrations of Chl a and Car in BA-124 cells 301 were estimated in the same scenario: salinity 18 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 280 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and were equal to 0.013 pg 302 cell⁻¹ (Fig. 2B, d) and 0.009 pg cell⁻¹ (Fig. 3B, d), for Chl a and Car, respectively. Multiple comparisons tests pointed to the 303 significance of PAR and T combined in influencing the pigmentation. According to the statistics, 47% and 54% of multiple 304 comparisons in Chl *a* and Car content analysis, were statistically significant (Tukey HSD, * p < 0.05) with 83% (for Chl *a*) 305 and 79% (for Car) of them with the highest significance level (Tukey HSD, *** p < 0.001).

306 It was also examined that PAR and T affected the Chl a cell-specific content (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 6.5$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, 307 $F_{9,32} = 11.1, p < 0.001, ANOVA, F_{9,32} = 21.5, p < 0.001$ and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 6.5, p < 0.001$, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, 308 respectively) and Car cell-specific content (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 8.6$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 9.6$, p < 0.001, $F_{9,32} = 9.6$, $F_{9,32} = 9.6$, P < 0.001, $F_{9,32} = 9.6$, P < 0.001, $F_{9,32} = 9.6$, P < 0.001, $F_{9,32} = 9.6$, $F_{9,33} = 9.$ 309 4.6, p < 0.001 and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 26.8$, p < 0.001, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively) of Synechococcus sp. BA-132 310 significantly. It was found that salinity increase had a negative impact on cell-specific Chl a and Car concentrations. BA-132 311 was richer in cell-specific pigments than BA-124 (Figs. 2C, 3C). Along with PAR increase, the Chl a concentration 312 decreased significantly. The maximum Chl a cell-specific content was measured in moderate or high T (20°C in salinity 13 313 PSU and 25°C in salinity 3, 8, 18 PSU) under the lowest PAR (10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). These maximums were 0.299 pg 314 cell⁻¹ in salinity 3 PSU (Fig. 2C, a), 0.248 pg cell⁻¹ in salinity 8 PSU (Fig. 2C, b), 0.151 pg cell⁻¹ in salinity 13 PSU (Fig. 2C, 315 c) and 0.073 pg cell⁻¹ in salinity 18 PSU (Fig. 2C, d). Consistently with Chl a, Car cell-specific content maximums were also 316 measured under the lowest PAR (10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) but contrary to Chl a, at the lowest T (10°C). These maximums 317 were: 0.194 pg cell⁻¹ in salinity 3 PSU (Fig. 3C, a), 0.131 pg cell⁻¹ in salinity 8 PSU (Fig. 3C, b), 0.097 pg cell⁻¹ in salinity 13 318 PSU (Fig. 3C, c), 0.062 pg cell⁻¹ in salinity 18 PSU (Fig. 3C, d). Minimums of Chl a and Car cell-specific contents within all 319 scenarios were estimated in salinity 18 PSU, T 15°C and PAR 280 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ being equal to 0.020 pg cell⁻¹ (Fig. 320 2C, d) and 0.19 pg cell⁻¹ (Fig. 3C, d), for Chl a and Car, respectively. Regarding Chl a for minimum content per cell the 321 same concentration as above mentioned (0.020 pg cell⁻¹) was also estimated in salinity 13 PSU for the same conditions of T 322 and PAR (Fig. 2C, c). Tukey HSD tests pointed to the significance of PAR and T combined in impacting the pigmentation. 323 According to those tests, 66% and 61% of multiple comparisons in Chl a and Car content analysis, respectively, were 324 statistically significant (Tukey HSD, * p < 0.05), with 81% (for Chl a) and 75% (for Car) of them with the highest 325 significance (Tukey HSD, *** p < 0.001).

326

327 3.3 Chl *a* fluorescence

328

329 The parameters of Chl *a* fluorescence were depicted as two-factor-dependent graphs, where the values in between the 330 specific measurements were interpolated (Figs. 4, 5). For all strains, Chl *a* fluorescence parameters were measured and 331 examined. These parameters were: the maximum photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (PSII) at dark-adapted state 332 (F_v/F_m) and the photochemical efficiency of PSII under actinic light intensity (Φ PSII).

333 The results showed that PAR and T affected F_v/F_m (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.2$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.7$, p < 0.001, 334 ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.8$, p < 0.001 and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 33.9$, p < 0.001, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively) and Φ PSII 335 (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.5$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.7$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 6.3$, p < 0.001 and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.3$, p = 0.001, $P_{1,2} = 0.001$, $P_{1,2} = 0.001$, $P_{2,32} = 0.001$, $P_{2,33} =$ 336 < 0.05, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively) of *Synechococcus* sp. BA-120 significantly. For this strain, especially in 337 low T scenarios and in all scenarios with the lowest salinity, higher F_v/F_m was observed for 280 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ when 338 compared to 190 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 4A, a). Generally, strong fluctuations were noticeable in F_{y}/F_{m} values, which 339 disabled the fixed environmentally driven pattern determination. However, there was a constant relation noted between T 340 and PAR and Φ PSII. PAR and T increase had a negative impact on Φ PSII. The impact was the strongest in low salinity 341 (Figs. 5A, a-b). Nonetheless, in each salinity, the lowest Φ PSII were observed under the highest T and elevated PAR (190 or 342 280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). On the contrary, the highest Φ PSII values were calculated in the lowest T and PAR conditions in 343 every salinity. The highest F_v/F_m , for all BA-120 experiments equaled 0.804 and was estimated for scenario: salinity 18 344 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 4A, d). Generally, maximum values of F_v/F_m in each medium were 345 associated with the lowest temperature. Minimum F_v/F_m within all scenarios was estimated for salinity 3 PSU, T 25°C and 346 PAR 190 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (0.409, Fig. 4A, a). Concerning Φ PSII, the greatest value was 0.768 estimated in salinity 18 347 PSU, T 10°C and PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 5A, d). Minimum Φ PSII was measured in salinity 3 PSU, T 25°C and

- PAR 280 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (0.241, Fig. 5A, a). Multiple comparisons tests pointed to a strong environmental influence on Chl *a* fluorescence parameters. Regarding F_v/F_m , 65% of all comparisons were statistically significant (Tukey HSD, * *p* < 0.05) with 78% of them having the highest significance (Tukey, HSD, *** *p* < 0.001). For ΦPSII the percentages were as follows: 80% of all comparisons were statistically significant (Tukey HSD, * *p* < 0.05) and 87% of them had the highest
- 352 significance (*** p < 0.001).
- 353 Both PAR and T significantly affected F_{y}/F_{m} (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 46.2$, *** p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.1$, *** p < 0.001, 354 ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.0$, *** p < 0.001 and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 20.6$, *** p < 0.001, for 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively) and Φ PSII 355 (ANOVA, *F*_{9,32} = 25.0, *** *p* < 0.001, ANOVA, *F*_{9,32} = 11.6, *** *p* < 0.001, ANOVA, *F*_{9,32} = 15.4, *p* < 0.001 and ANOVA, 356 $F_{9,32} = 5.2, p < 0.001$, for 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively) of Synechococcus sp. BA-124. For this strain, $F_{\sqrt{F_m}}$ reached the 357 lowest values when compared to the respective incubations of other strains. The values of F_v/F_m generally decreased along 358 with PAR and T increases but with some exceptions. Generally, Φ PSII environmentally driven characteristics were similar to 359 $F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m}$ characteristics. The $F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m}$ minimums were measured under the lowest T and highest PAR in each salinity (Figs. 4B, a-360 d). The lowest value within all scenarios was 0.124 and was observed in salinity 3 PSU, T 10°C and PAR 280 µmol photons 361 $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ (Fig. 4B, a). The $F_{\sqrt{F_m}}$ maximums were estimated for the highest T and the lowest PAR in each salinity. The highest 362 $F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m}$ equaled 0.560 for salinity 3 PSU, T 25°C and PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 4B, a). Minimums of Φ PSII, 363 consistently with F_v/F_m , were noted under the lowest T and highest PAR. The lowest Φ PSII within all BA-124 experiments 364 was 0.114 (followed by the minimum in salinity 3 PSU being equal to 0.116, Fig. 5B, a) and was measured in salinity 13 365 PSU (Fig. 5B, c). Maximums of Φ PSII were observed in the highest T and lowest PAR in each medium, similarly to F_{v}/F_{m} . 366 The greatest value of Φ PSII was 0.542 and was measured in salinity 3 PSU, T 25°C and PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 367 5B, a). Tukey HSD post hoc test showed that PAR and T combined influenced Chl a fluorescence parameters significantly. 368 Concerning F_v/F_m , 77% of all comparisons were statistically significant (* p < 0.05) with 88% of them having the highest 369 significance (*** p < 0.001). For Φ PSII the percentages were as follows: 79% of all comparisons were statistically 370 significant (* p < 0.05) and 89% of them had the highest significance (*** p < 0.001).
- It was found that both PAR and T affected F_v/F_m (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.3$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.8$, p < 0.001, 371 372 ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.5$, p < 0.001 and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.7$, p < 0.001, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively) and Φ PSII 373 (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 10.1$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 7.7$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.7$, p < 0.001 and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 7.0$, 374 p < 0.001, for salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively) of Synechococcus sp. BA-132, significantly. For this strain, F_v/F_m 375 decreased along with the PAR increase but was positively affected by T in each salinity (Figs. 4C, a-d). Minimum values of 376 $F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m}$ were measured in the highest PAR and the lowest T in each salinity. The lowest $F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m}$ within all experiments on BA-377 132 stated for salinity 13 PSU ($F_v/F_m = 0.155$, Fig. 4C, c). In salinity 3 PSU, under aforementioned conditions of T and 378 PAR, the F_v/F_m value was also low compared to the others and equaled 0.160 (Fig. 4C, a). The maximums of F_v/F_m were 379 measured in T 25°C and PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. This was the case for all mediums. The highest F_v/F_m were noted in 380 salinities 13 and 18 PSU and equaled 0.742 (Fig. 4C, c) and 0.733 (Fig. 4C, d), respectively. The lowest Φ PSII were noted 381 under the highest PAR and T conditions in every salinity (Figs. 5C, a-d). The minimum ΦPSII, within all gathered results, 382 was obtained in salinity 3 PSU and equaled 0.281 (Fig. 5C, a). Maximums of Φ PSII were measured under completely 383 opposite conditions to the ones stating for minimums, i.e. the lowest PAR and T. The highest Φ PSII, 0.786, was noted in 384 salinity 8 PSU, T 10°C and PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 5C, b). The Φ PSII reached generally higher values than 385 $F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m}$ in BA-132 experiments. Φ PSII reached lower values than Φ PSII measured under respective conditions for two other 386 strains. Multiple comparisons tests point to a strong environmental influence on Chl a fluorescence parameters. For F_{v}/F_{m} , 387 78% of all comparisons were statistically significant (Tukey HSD, * p < 0.05) with 89% of them with the highest 388 significance (Tukey, HSD, *** p < 0.001). For Φ PSII, 82% of all comparisons were statistically significant (Tukey HSD, * p389 < 0.05), with 89% of them having the highest significance level (Tukey, HSD, *** p < 0.001).

390 Generally, for the BA-120 strain, F_v/F_m was affected negatively by T increase, while BA-124 and BA-132 strains were 391 affected positively. T increase had a positive impact on Φ PSII for BA-124 and a negative impact for BA-120 and BA-132. 392 On average, Φ PSII decreased along with PAR increase in all cultures.

393

394 3.4 Photosynthesis

395

Net photosynthetic light-response curves for three PCY strains were analyzed. For all cultures, the photosynthesis parameters were: maximum of photosynthesis, photosynthesis efficiency at low irradiance, and dark respiration (P_m , α , R_d , respectively) and these were estimated for Chl *a*-specific and cell-specific domains (Figs. S4-S6 in Supplement). It should be noted that dark respiration values were negative (less oxygen than carbon dioxide (CO₂)), which meant the lower R_d , the less net oxygen concentration was. This, in turn, indicated higher respiration rate.

401 For BA-120 statistical study showed significant dependence of PAR and T on Chl a-specific Pm in salinities 3, 8 and 18 402 PSU (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.4$, p < 0.05, $F_{9,32} = 3.2$, p < 0.05 and $F_{9,32} = 5.2$, p < 0.001, respectively) and pointed to no 403 statistically significant dependence of ecological conditions on $P_{\rm m}$ in salinity 13 PSU (ANOVA, $p \ge 0.05$). Regarding cell-404 specific $P_{\rm m}$ there was no statistically significant influence of PAR and T on this parameter in salinity 18 PSU (ANOVA, $p \ge$ 405 0.05) but was in salinity 3 PSU (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.5$, p < 0.05), 8 PSU (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.6$, p < 0.05), and 13 PSU 406 (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.0$, p < 0.05). For Chl *a*-specific α , statistical study indicated no environmental impacts in salinities 3, 8 407 and 13 PSU but an impact of PAR and T in salinity 18 PSU (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.7$, p < 0.05), while for cell-specific α 408 statistical significance of PAR and T influence was obtained for all salinities (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.1$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32}$ 409 = 2.9, p < 0.05, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.5$, p < 0.05 and ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.8$, p < 0.001, for salinity 3, 8, 13 and 18 PSU, 410 respectively). Regarding R_d , two-way ANOVA pointed to no environmental determination of Chl *a*-specific R_d values 411 (ANOVA, p > 0.05) but it showed the influence of PAR and T on cell-specific R_d (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 9.2$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, 412 $F_{9,32} = 3.8, p < 0.01, ANOVA, F_{9,32} = 3.8, p < 0.01, ANOVA, F_{9,32} = 4.5, p < 0.001, in salinities 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU,$ 413 respectively). Tukey HSD tests pointed to some statistically significant multiple comparisons but showed a weak influence 414 of PAR and T combined on Chl a-specific parameters. Regarding a, only 3% of all multiple comparisons were statistically 415 significant (* p < 0.05) with 7% of them at the highest statistical significance level (*** p < 0.001). For P_m , 36% of all 416 multiple comparisons were statistically significant (* p < 0.05) with 64% of them with the highest significance (*** p <417 0.001). Regarding $R_{\rm d}$, as mentioned above, no statistically significant analysis of variance was indicated. Due to that, no post 418 hoc tests were proceeded. Note that in this section, to make it more concise, the notation for all statistically significance 419 multiple comparisons percentage (* p < 0.05) and the percentage of the multiple comparisons of the highest significance 420 within the significant ones *** $p < 0.001 \times (* p < 0.05)^{-1}$ were written in parenthesis, one by one, separated with comma. 421 Similarly to Chl a-specific calculations, Tukey HSD test pointed to a selective influence of PAR and T combined on cell-422 specific parameters. However, this dependence was stronger when compared to Chl *a*-specific estimations (P_m (16%, 52%), 423 α (19%, 43%), R_d (28%, 56%)). Nonetheless, there were also some fixed relations noted for both calculation domains. For 424 Chl a-specific photosynthesis, $P_{\rm m}$ increased along with PAR up to PAR of 190 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figs. S4, a, c). Above 425 this level $P_{\rm m}$ value started to decrease slightly. This was the case in all salinities. Minimum $P_{\rm m}$ was measured for cells grown 426 in scenario: salinity 3 PSU, T 15°C, PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and it was 0.12 μ mol O₂ (μ g Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Fig. S4, a), 427 whilst the maximum equalled 1.31 μ mol O₂ (μ g Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹ and was reached in salinity 18 PSU, T 25°C, 190 μ mol photons 428 m^{-2} s⁻¹ (Fig. S4, c). Dark respiration rate (R_d) increased with T increase and decreased with PAR increase (Figs. S5, a, c). 429 Minimum R_d (-0.31 µmol O₂ (µg Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹) was measured in salinity 18 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 280 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ 430 (Fig. S5, c), while maximum (-0.02 μ mol O₂ (μ g Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹) was estimated in salinity 3 PSU, T 25°C, PAR 10 μ mol 431 photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S5, a). On the contrary, it was more difficult to determine a fixed pattern of α changes unequivocally. 432 The most fixed tendency of α changes was observed between all temperature-differenced scenarios in 18 PSU salinity 433 medium (Figs. S6, a, c). Under those conditions, it was noticeable that α decreased with PAR and T increase till it reached 434 PAR level of 190 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Then, α started to rise slowly. Regarding all gathered results (all mediums together), 435 minimum α was measured in salinity 3 PSU, T 25°C, PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and equalled 0.002 μ mol O₂ (μ g Chl a)⁻¹ 436 h^{-1} [µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹]⁻¹ (Fig. S6, a), whilst maximum was 0.013 µmol O₂ (µg Chl a)⁻¹ h^{-1} [µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹]⁻¹ in 437 salinity 13 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. On the other hand, for cell-specific domain, P_m increased along with 438 T and it was more pronounced in higher salinities. Concerning all results, minimum $P_{\rm m}$ was 28.58 µmol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ and, 439 similarly to Chl a-specific $P_{\rm m}$ was measured in scenario: salinity 13 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, whilst 440 maximum $P_{\rm m}$ equalled 55.16 µmol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ and was reached in salinity 8 PSU, T 25°C, 190 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ 441 (data not shown). Regarding α , this parameter was generally negatively affected by PAR and T up to PAR of 190 µmol 442 photons m⁻² s⁻¹. However minimum value was obtained for cells growing in moderate T (salinity 8 PSU, T 20°C, PAR 10 443 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and equalled 0.81 μ mol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ [μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹]⁻¹. Maximum α equalled 1.57 μ mol O₂ 444 cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ [µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹]⁻¹ and was measured in salinity 18 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S6, 445 d). Generally, T and PAR had a positive impact on R_d for cultures grown in PAR range up to 190 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. For 446 cultures grown under elevated PAR conditions, R_d was lower (more intensive respiration) when compared to low PAR 447 scenarios. The lowest R_d within all BA-120 experiments results was -16.97 µmol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ and noted in salinity 3 448 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S5, b), whilst the highest R_d was measured in salinity 18 PSU, T 25°C, 449 PAR 100 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and equalled -2.06 μ mol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ (Fig. S5, d).

450 For BA-124, statistical study showed significant dependence of ecological conditions on photosynthesis parameters, 451 excluding Chl a-specific α (ANOVA, $p \ge 0.05$) and cell-specific $P_{\rm m}$ (ANOVA, $p \ge 0.05$). For the rest parameters ANOVA 452 results were as follows: Chl *a*-specific $P_{\rm m}$ (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.8$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 19.7$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 19.7$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 10.7$, p < 0.001, $F_{9,32} = 10.7$, $F_{9,32} = 10.7$, $F_{9,33} = 10$ 453 $= 9.14, p < 0.001, ANOVA, F_{9.32} = 6.5, p < 0.001$ in salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively); cell-specific $P_{\rm m}$ (ANOVA, $F_{9.32}$ 454 = 7.5, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 6.1$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.3$, p < 0.001 in salinity 8, 13 and 18 PSU, respectively); 455 Chl *a*-specific α (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 5.0$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.3$, p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.8$, p < 0.01 in salinity 3, 456 8 and 18 PSU, respectively); cell-specific α (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 6.6$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 17.9$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, 457 $F_{9,32} = 18.9, p < 0.001$, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.1, p < 0.01$, in salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively); Chl *a*-specific R_d (ANOVA, 458 $F_{9,32} = 10.0, p < 0.001$, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.9, p < 0.001$, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.8, p < 0.01$, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.6, p < 0.05$, in 459 salinity 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively); cell-specific R_d (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 13.0$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.2$, p < 0.05, 460 ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 40.4$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.1$, p < 0.01). Post-hoc tests showed there must have been other factors, 461 which affected the whole process of photosynthesis as there were many not statistically significant multiple comparisons 462 defined. Generally, Tukey HSD tests pointed to only few statistically significant multiple comparisons, in both Chl a-463 specific, especially for $P_{\rm m}$, $(P_{\rm m}(60\%, 76\%), \alpha$ (9%, 29%), $R_{\rm d}(30\%, 47\%)$ and cell-specific ($P_{\rm m}(22\%, 56\%), \alpha$ (34%, 63%), 464 $R_d(30\%, 74\%)$) estimations. Nonetheless, for P_m there was a tendency noted, which suggested that on average, the maximum 465 of photosynthesis was higher at elevated PAR. This was the case in both estimations, Chl a-specific and cell-specific. 466 Maximum Chl a-specific $P_{\rm m}$ was 3.0 and minimum 0.16 µmol O₂ (µg Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹. These values were measured in salinity 18 467 PSU in T 25°C, PAR 280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and T 10°C, PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively (Fig. S4, g). 468 Maximum cell-specific P_m was obtained in salinity 8 PSU, T 25°C, PAR 280 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and minimum in salinity 469 13 PSU, T 20°C, PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (data not shown here). These extreme values were 53.41 and 19.17 µmol O₂ 470 cell·10⁻⁹ h⁻¹, respectively. It was difficult to determine a fixed relation between ecological state and α changes in both 471 domains, which was supported by the post-hoc test (more than 91% of multiple comparisons were not statistically significant 472 $(p \ge 0.05)$ in Chl a-specific and more than 35% in cell-specific estimations). Maximum Chl a-specific α was 0.02 μ mol O₂ 473 (μ g Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹ [μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹]⁻¹ and was measured in salinity 3 PSU, T 15°C, PAR 100 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 474 S6, e), while maximum cell-specific α (1.77 µmol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹]⁻¹) was obtained in salinity 13 PSU, 475 T 10°C, PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Minimum Chl *a*-specific α was 0.003 µmol O₂ (µg Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹ [µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ 476 ¹]⁻¹ and was measured in two scenarios: salinity 3 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 280 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S6, e) and salinity 18 477 PSU, T 15°C, PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S6, g). Minimum cell-specific α equalled 0.08 μ mol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ [μ mol 478 photons m⁻² s⁻¹]⁻¹ and was measured in salinity 18 PSU, T 15°C, PAR 190 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S6, h). Similarly to α , it 479 was difficult to determine fixed relations between PAR and T and R_d , which was supported by statistics (about 70% of 480 multiple comparisons for both Chl a-specific and cell-specific R_d were not statistically significant (Tukey HSD, $p \ge 0.05$)). 481 Nonetheless, it was observed that, generally, R_d decreased along with PAR increase in cell-specific estimations. Maximum 482 Chl a-specific and cell-specific R_d was -0.03 µmol O₂ (µg Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹ and -1.52 µmol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹, respectively. These 483 values were obtained in salinity 13 PSU, T 20°C, PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and salinity 18 PSU, T 20°C, PAR 190 µmol 484 photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$, respectively for Chl *a*- and cell-specific calculations. Minimum Chl *a*-specific R_d was measured in salinity 485 13 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and was -0.27 μ mol O₂ (μ g Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹, whilst minimum cell-specific R_d 486 was measured in salinity 13 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and equalled -12.19 µmol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ (data not 487 shown here).

488 For BA-132, statistical study showed significant dependence of PAR and T on Chl a- and cell-specific $P_{\rm m}$ (for Chl a-489 specific: ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 6.2$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 23.1$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 25.2$, p < 0.001, $F_{9,32} = 25.2$, $F_{9,32} = 25.2$, $F_{9,32} = 25.2$, $F_{9,33} = 25.2$, $F_{9,$ 490 = 16.0, p < 0.001; for cell-specific: ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 4.8$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 24.3$, p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 24.3$, 491 p < 0.001, ANOVA, $F_{9.32} = 21.2$, p < 0.001; all numbers given for salinities 3, 8, 13, 18 PSU, respectively). Regarding other 492 Chl a-specific parameters, there were no statistically significant impacts of PAR and T on α in salinities 3, 13, 18 PSU 493 (ANOVA, $p \ge 0.05$) but were in salinity 8 PSU (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.7$, p < 0.05) and no impacts on Chl *a*-specific R_d in 494 salinities 3, 8, 18 PSU (ANOVA, $p \ge 0.05$) but were in salinity 13 PSU (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.8$, p < 0.05). Regarding other 495 than $P_{\rm m}$ cell-specific parameters, there was no ecological determination of α noted in salinities 3 and 8 PSU and of $R_{\rm d}$ in 496 salinity 13 PSU (ANOVA, $p \ge 0.05$), while there were statistically significant environmental impacts calculated for α in 497 salinity 13 PSU (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.2$, p < 0.01) and 18 PSU (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.9$, p < 0.05) and for R_d in salinities 3, 8 and 498 18 PSU (ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.2$, p < 0.05, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 3.1$, p < 0.01, ANOVA, $F_{9,32} = 2.4$, p < 0.05, respectively). Tukey 499 HSD tests pointed to statistically significant multiple comparisons, in both Chl a-specific and cell-specific maximum of 500 photosynthesis ($P_{\rm m}$ (68%, 85%), $P_{\rm m}$ (62%, 76%), respectively). Post hoc tests indicated no significant multiple comparisons 501 for Chl a-specific α (>1%, >1%), a few significant multiple comparisons for Chl a-specific R_d (8%, 38%), cell-specific α 502 (18%, 67%) and cell-specific R_d (6%, 20%)). It was observed, that in cell-specific estimations, P_m increased along with PAR 503 increase, while α decreased at elevated PAR. It was the most difficult to determine a fixed tendency for the R_d response to 504 changing environmental conditions. This was supported by statistical tests (Tukey HSD, more than 93% of multiple 505 comparisons were not statistically significant ($p \ge 0.05$)). Maximum cell-specific $P_{\rm m}$ was 158.94 µmol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ and 506 was reached in salinity 8 PSU, T 25°C, PAR 280 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, whilst minimum equalled 28.04 µmol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ 507 in salinity 18 PSU, T 15°C, PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S4, I). Maximum cell-specific α was 1.78 μ mol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ 508 h⁻¹ µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and was measured in salinity 13 PSU, T 20°C, PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, while minimum was 509 reached in salinity 18 PSU, T 20°C, PAR 100 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and equalled 0.19 µmol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ [µmol photons 510 $m^{-2} s^{-1}$]⁻¹ (Fig. S6, 1). Regarding cell-specific R_d , maximum was measured in salinity 18 PSU, T 15°C, PAR 100 µmol 511 photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and equalled -3.17 μ mol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ (Fig. S5, 1), whilst minimum was -15.55 μ mol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h⁻¹ and 512 was obtained in salinity 3 PSU, T 10°C, PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S5, j). For Chl *a*-specific P_m, the increases along 513 with T and salinity was observed, whilst α presented strong changing characteristics between scenarios. The fixed influence 514 of PAR and T on α values was difficult to determine, which was supported by statistics (ANOVA, $p \ge 0.05$). Contrary to the 515 above, it was plainly evident that PAR increase had a negative impact on Chl a-specific R_d . Maximum Chl a-specific P_m was 516 6.22 μ mol O₂ (μ g Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹ and was reached in salinity 18 PSU, T 25°C, PAR 280 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S4, k), 517 whilst minimum equalled 0.12 μ mol O₂ (μ g Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹ in salinity 3 PSU, T 25°C, PAR 10 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S4, i). 518 Maximum Chl *a*-specific α was 0.02 µmol O₂ (µg Chl *a*)⁻¹ h⁻¹ [µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹]⁻¹ and was measured in salinity 18 PSU, 519 T 15°C, PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. S6, k), while minimum was reached in salinity 3 PSU, T 15°C, PAR 10 µmol 520 photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and equalled 0.003 μ mol O₂ (μ g Chl a)⁻¹ h⁻¹ [μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹]⁻¹ (Fig. S6, i). Concerning Chl a-specific 521 $R_{\rm d}$, maximum was measured in salinity 3 PSU, T 20°C, PAR 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and equalled -0.02 µmol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ 522 h^{-1} (Fig. S5, i), whilst minimum was -0.39 μ mol O₂ cell 10⁻⁹ h^{-1} and was obtained in salinity 13 PSU, T 25°C, PAR 280 523 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Generally, in both domains, photosynthesis parameters were the highest for BA-132 when compared 524 to other strains.

525 The analysis of photosynthesis characteristics enabled examining and defining the photoacclimation process of all three 526 strains of Synechococcus sp. This was done on the basis of the photosynthetic parameters (Figs. S4-S6) and Photosynthesis-527 Irradiance (P-E) curves (exemplification shown in Fig. 6). The curves were plotted on the basis of laboratory results (Clark 528 oxygen electrode measurements) using the equation of Jassby and Platt (1976). According to a photoacclimation model 529 description (Prezelin, 1981; Prezelin and Sweeney, 1979; Ramus, 1981; Richardson et al., 1983; Pniewski et al., 2016), the 530 results of the present study indicated changes in Photosynthetic Units (PSU) sizes as the photoacclimation mechanism, 531 which occurred most frequently (Table 1). There were also P-E curves pointing to some changes in enzymatic reactions and 532 the altering of accessory pigments activity. Changes in PSU numbers were noted as well, but these observations were 533 episodic. In this paper the term 'OTHER' states for changes in enzymatic reactions and the altering of accessory pigments 534 activity and concerns photoacclimation mechanisms other than changes in PSU sizes (PSUsize) or changes in PSU number 535 (PSUno.). In general, photoacclimation did not occur in low-saline medium (salinity 3). According to our results, the process 536 was observed in only four cases in low salinity: BA-120 25°C salinity 3 PSU, BA-124 25°C salinity 3 PSU, BA-132 10°C 537 salinity 3 PSU, and BA-132 25°C salinity 3 PSU. For BA-120, photoacclimation occurred more frequently at higher T (20 538 and 25°C) than lower T (10 and 15°C). However, if it had been observed in low T conditions, it usually stated for OTHER, 539 not for PSUsize or PSUno. For BA-124 and BA-132 photoacclimation was noted in the whole T range. All photoacclimation 540 mechanisms observed for different strains are listed in Table 1.

542 4 Discussion

543

541

Picoplanktonic organisms show a lot of adaptations, which enable them to spread in aquatic environments. What is more, picocyanobacteria often dominate and occupy the niches, which are inaccessible for other photoautotrophs. Owing to the fact that PCY are small-sized cells and consequently possess an advantageous surface area to volume ratio, they can assimilate trace amount of nutrients and effectively absorb light. Therefore, in oligotrophic regions of seas and oceans PCY compete with other cyanobacteria and microalgae and it can determine primary production of the whole marine ecosystem (Six et al., 2007a; Richardson and Jackson, 2007; Worden and Wilken, 2016). This is also true for eutrophic basins (Stal et al., 2003; Haverkamp et al., 2008; 2009; Callieri, 2010; Mazur-Marzec et al., 2013).

551 The distribution of PCY are determined by their optimal ecological requirements for light and temperature. Due to the 552 presented results, PAR and T had positive effects on the number of cells for two out of the three studied strains of 553 Synechococcus sp. The highest cell concentrations were noted at the highest T (25°C) and the highest PAR level (280 µmol 554 photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ for BA-124 and BA-132. The BA-120 strain behaved differently when compared to the other two in high 555 PAR conditions. The decrease in number of cells appeared then, i.e. cell abundances for cultures grown under the most 556 elevated PAR were lower than the number of cells measured for Synechococcus sp. BA-120 cells grown under 190 umol 557 photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$. According to the results derived from pigmentation, Chl *a* fluorescence and photosynthesis sections of the 558 present study, the decrease in number of cells under the elevated PAR could have likely been associated with Photosystem II 559 photo-inhibition. This was a result of a few observations, which are described as follows. Firstly, there was a higher cell-560 specific Car content observed for 280 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ when compared to 190 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Secondly, higher 561 $F_{\rm w}/F_{\rm m}$ values were observed for 280 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ when compared to 190 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, especially for low T 562 scenarios and for all scenarios in the lowest salinity medium. Thirdly, for Chl a-specific photosynthesis, P_m increased along 563 with PAR until 190 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, above which the values started to decrease slightly in all salinity mediums. 564 According to the above, a PAR level of 190 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ could be defined as the PSII photo-inhibition point for the 565 red strain. This implies BA-120 did not lead as effective photosynthesis being grown in PAR of more than 190 µmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ as the cells grown in PAR levels equal or are beneath the above mentioned value. The results showed that in all synthetically created environmental scenarios, BA-124 was the strain of the highest cell abundance. This is consistent with the Baltic Sea field studies (Mazur-Marzec et al., 2013).

569 Cyanobacteria are generally recognized to prefer low light intensity for growth (Fogg and Thake, 1987; Ibelings, 1996). 570 Some picoplanktonic organisms demonstrated the ability to survive and resume growth after periods of total darkness. Such 571 a pronounced capacity for survival in the dark would enable these organisms to outlive the seasonal rhythm of winter 572 darkness and sinking into the aphotic zone (Antia, 1976). The investigated strains of Synechococcus sp. were found to be 573 well acclimated to relatively low and high PAR levels. The latter was especially evident at the high treatment T. This 574 conclusion is consistent with the observations of picocyanobacteria maximum abundance at the euphotic zone in coastal and 575 offshore marine waters (Stal et al., 2003; Callieri, 2010). Moreover, Kana and Glibert (1987a,b) showed that Synechococcus 576 sp. could grow at irradiance as high as 2000 μ mol photon m⁻² s⁻¹.

577 Surface and near-surface populations experience extremely variable light and temperature conditions (Millie et al., 578 1990), and these factors are the ones that affect the composition of photosynthetic pigments and photosynthesis performance 579 of picocyanobacteria (Jodłowska and Śliwińska, 2014). Picocyanobacteria with a high concentration of PC are chromatically 580 better adapted to harvest longer wavelengths of PAR than those with PE as a dominating pigment. Therefore, such 581 picocyanobacteria, such as the BA-124 strain, usually dominate in surface euphotic waters (Stal et al., 2003; Haverkamp et 582 al., 2008; 2009). On the other hand, the strains rich in PE (BA-120 and BA-132), usually occurred deeper (Fahnenstiel et al., 583 1991; Hauschild et al., 1991; Vörös et al., 1991). Nonetheless, generally PCY, thanks to their high concentration of 584 photosynthetic pigments, may occur in waters under low light intensity (Stal et al., 2003). Carotenoids have a dual role in the 585 cell: to maintain a high capacity for photosynthetic light absorption and to provide protection against photooxidation 586 (Siefermann-Harms, 1987). This feature additionally explains why picoplanktonic Synechococcus is able to grow 587 successfully both in the surface layer of the sea and also in deeper waters (Stal and Walsby, 2000; Stal et al., 2003). This 588 research showed that for BA-120 cell-specific pigments content, there were very high concentrations of Chl a observed in 589 the whole T domain under low PAR. This could have implied the photoacclimation type, which was the change in PSU 590 number. This mechanism was also observed in P-E curves for scenario with salinity 8 PSU and temperature 20°C.

591 PAR and T were also the main factors influencing the changes in Chl *a* fluorescence in three strains of *Synechococcus* 592 sp. and may be of a great importance in the context of PCY domination in many aquatic ecosystems during the summer 593 period. Due to Chl *a* fluorescence parameters results, it should be noted that PAR increase always had a negative impact on 594 Φ PSII, which implied that cells, previously acclimated to high light conditions, had lower PSII photosystem efficiency under 595 actinic light.

596 The results showed that T, PAR and salinity influenced the photosynthesis parameters only to a certain degree. There 597 were many not statistically significant multiple comparisons pointed by post hoc tests. However, it was found that generally, 598 in cell-specific estimations, elevated PAR had a negative effect on α and PAR increase influenced the respiration negatively. 599 For each of the studied strains of Synechococcus sp., the highest α and the lowest R_d were noted for the cells grown under the 600 lowest PAR (10 µmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$). On the other hand, the highest values of P_m were noted at the highest PAR. It pointed 601 to inability for the cells incubated in low PAR conditions to be as effective in photosynthesis as the cells grown under high 602 irradiances. According to our results, on the basis of P-E curves, three types of photoacclimation mechanisms of 603 Synechoccocus sp. were observed: change in PSU size, change in PSU number and altering accessory pigments activity and 604 changes in enzymatic reactions. This was a striking observation because in the literature results predominantly derive the two 605 first aforementioned types of recognition (Stal et al., 2003; Jodłowska and Śliwińska, 2014). The present study showed that 606 changes in PSU size occur most frequently (Table 1). The second, ranked by frequency of occurrence, was the altering of 607 accessory pigment activity. PSU number changes in Synechoccocus sp. rarely occurred, which is consistent with literature 608 (Jodłowska and Śliwińska, 2014). Moreover, in our study, photoacclimation mechanisms occurred less frequently in the 609 scenarios with salinity 3 PSU. The changes of photosynthesis parameters (P_m , α , R_d) under different environmental

- 610 conditions explains the occurrence of different photoacclimation mechanisms. According to our results, *Synechococcus* 611 strains present different ecophysiological characteristics, however, they all demonstrate their tolerance to elevated PAR (for 612 BA-120 to a certain degree) and T levels and could have effectively acclimated to varied water conditions. These strains 613 were able to change the composition of photosynthetic pigments in order to use light quanta better. The ability of 614 *Synechococcus* to sustain their growth in low light conditions and their low photo-inhibition in exposure to high light 615 intensities could give picocyanobacteria an advantage in optically changeable waters (Jasser, 2006).
- 616 Due to occurrence of extremes in salinity and other environmental conditions in the Baltic Sea area, the Baltic 617 inhabitants are highly adapted to different regions and often reach their physiological limits (Snoeijs-Leijonmalm and 618 Andrén, 2017). The changing environmental conditions the cultures were grown in during the experiments were salinity, T 619 and PAR. Daily mean sea surface temperature (Leppäranta and Myrberg, 2009) presents strongly pronounced annual cycles 620 in the Baltic Sea area. Sea surface temperature (SST) range between about 10 and 20°C may be timed in the Baltic between 621 June and September with some inter-annual changes (Siegel and Gerth, 2017). SSTs reaching and exceeding 20°C are also 622 observed in the Baltic basin. For instance, according to Siegel and Gerth (2017), SSTs higher than 20°C were recorded in 623 almost whole Baltic area beyond Danish Straits, Bothnian Bay and northern Bothnian Basin in the warmest week of 2016, in 624 July. According to above, the temperatures, under which the picocyanobacterium cultures were grown in the present study 625 (10-25°C) can be defined as representative for the Baltic Sea. Furthermore, the salinity ranges applied in the experiment are 626 also Baltic's representatives. The Baltic Sea horizontal salinity gradient is high and different sub-basins are characterized by 627 different mean salinity values. The gradient decreases North towards. The highest salinity is observed in the Baltic Sea 628 boundary to the North Sea (Skagerrak, mean salinity ranges between 28.34 and 32.71), while the lowest mean salinity is 629 observed in the Baltic northernmost regions (around 2.35 - 3.96 in Bothnian Basin). These numbers were determined on the 630 basis of climatological data from the Baltic Atlas of Long-Term Inventory and Climatology (Feistel et al., 2008; 2010). 631 Thus, the presented analysis may derive accurate assumptions regarding the regional distribution of *Synechococcus* sp. 632 strains in the Baltic Sea. For instance, a salinity horizontal gradient can be one of the factors determining the abundance of a 633 certain strain in the basin. More saline waters are most preferred by BA-132. On that basis, one can assume the concentration 634 of this strain will be higher near the Baltic Sea entrance (Danish Straits) than in Bothnian Bay. Additionally, it was observed 635 that despite elevated PAR conditions being more suitable for BA-124 and BA-132 to grow intensively, all analyzed strains 636 were able to survive and grow in low PAR conditions. This is consistent with other previously published Baltic studies (Stal 637 et al., 2003; Jodłowska and Śliwińska, 2014) stating that this is caused by phycobilisomes, which are structural components 638 of picocyanobacteria PSII photosystem. The presence of PCY cells throughout the whole euphotic water column was also 639 reported in limnological studies (Becker et al., 2004, Callieri, 2007).
- 640 The discrepancies between the strains ecophysiology derived in this study amplified the need for in-depth investigation 641 of three strains separately. What is more, according to the author's best knowledge, Baltic brown strain (BA-132) is the least 642 recognized strain out of three analyzed Synechococcus sp. strains, so far. Stal et al. (2003) and Haverkamp et al. (2008) 643 pointed to its inhabitation in the Baltic Sea but did not give its characteristics in detail. In more recent research new 644 information has appeared, which has provided a more detailed examination of BA-132 (Jodłowska and Śliwińska, 2014). 645 Nonetheless, this strain still required careful studies. The present paper derives the new knowledge on the BA-132 responses 646 to changing ecological conditions. What is more, the study places BA-132 among the other Synechococcus sp. strains and 647 compares their ecophysiology pointing to significant differences between these organisms.
- The study of Baltic picoplankton ecophysiology is also of a great importance in the context of climate change. According to Belkin (2009), the Baltic Sea is among the Large Marine Ecosystems (LME), where the most rapid warming is being observed (the increase in SST between 1982 and $2006 > 0.9^{\circ}$ C). Moreover, there are studies pointing to an increase of average winter temperatures in northern Europe by several degrees by the year 2100 (Meier, 2002). These along with the presented results, which suggest that all analyzed strains of *Synechococcus* sp. were positively affected by T can be a strong argument for further numerical research on examining the effect of long-term positive temperature trend on the abundance of

654 PCY in the Baltic Sea (the need for picoplankton model representation). What is more, the feedback relation, which is the 655 surface most layer being warmed up by irradiance trapped in the cells of phytoplankton may derive interesting conclusions 656 on the functioning of the ecosystem and the living organisms being the internal source of heat in the marine medium.

657 The observed feature that T increase had a positive impact on all strains' number of cells is also consistent with field 658 studies, which indicate the seasonal cycle of PCY maximal abundances (Flombaum et al., 2013; Dutkiewicz et al., 2015; 659 Worden and Wilken, 2016). Hajdu et al. (2007) showed that during the decline phase of Baltic cyanobacterial blooms in late 660 summer, unicellular and colony-forming picocyanobacteria increased in abundance. Mazur-Marzec et al. (2013) indicated 661 that in summer cyanobacterial biomass was usually high and ranged from 20% at the beginning of July to 97% in late July 662 and August. Moreover, Paczkowska et al. (2017) pointed to the abundance of 40-90% in the summertime in the Baltic Sea 663 and to PCY being a dominant size group in all Baltic basins. Stal et al. (1999) reported that 65% of the phytoplankton-664 associated Chl a concentration in the Baltic Proper during late summer belonged to picoplankton, while the second most 665 dominant group was nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria (Aphanizomenon sp., Dolichospermum sp. and Nodularia sp.). Contrary 666 to that, there were also some reports regarding high PCY abundance in the wintertime. For instance, during the winter-667 spring period, picocyanobacteria was the second most dominant fraction in the Baltic Sea (Paczkowska et al., 2017). The 668 present study showed that PCY can survive and grow also in low T and PAR conditions, which is consistent to the above 669 cited field research of Paczkowska et al. (2017).

The studies of autecology of the PCY community and an understanding of its response to main environmental factors could be an important step in recognizing the phenomenon of PCY blooms in marine environments. Additionally, the laboratory experiments became a foundation in developing a new approach to Baltic Sea phytoplankton modeling development of pico-bioalgorithm describing PCY growth, which will enable long-term numerical studies on the response of PCY to changes in environmental conditions.

675

676 5 Conclusions

677

678 This study showed both differences and similarities in three strains of Baltic Synechococcus sp. ecophysiology. 679 Discrepancies in number of cells, pigmentation changes, Chl a fluorescence and photosynthesis characteristics implied that 680 BA-120, BA-124 and BA-132 should be studied and examined separately. Nonetheless, there were also fixed features 681 similar for all analyzed strains, offering a reason to associate these features with Synechococcus sp. as a species, in general. 682 For instance, according to the derived results, PAR and T played a key role in the life cycle of all three strains. Additionally, 683 the positive impact of salinity on the number of cells was observed in each culture. Another similarity was the prevalence of 684 the one mechanism of photoacclimation, which was the change in size of PSU. This second most frequent type was altering 685 of accessory pigments and the least frequent was the change in PSU number.

686 Contrary to that, the main differences were: different responses of number of cells to different environmental conditions 687 in different cultures; various photoacclimation mechanisms observed; and changes in pigmentation. According to the latest 688 research, PCY are a great contributor to total primary production in the Baltic Sea and may contribute to summer 689 cyanobacteria bloom at a high degree. This explains the authors' motivation to lead an in-depth investigation on Baltic PCY 690 response to a changing environment. The present research is a first step on the way to deriving new knowledge on 691 *Synechococcus* sp. ecophysiology and is a foundation for further studies.

692

693 Acknowledgments

694

695 The authors would like to thank the Reviewers and Editor for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve the 696 quality of the paper. The authors would like to thank Simon Bretherton for English language support and Proof Reading 697 Service company for professionally proofread. The authors gratefully thank Jakub Maculewicz (IO UG), for his excellent

- and professional technical assistance. The author SSW was financially supported by BMN grants, Poland, no. 538-G245B568-17. This work has been funded by the Polish National Science Centre project (contract number:
 2012/07/N/ST10/03485) entitled: "Improved understanding of phytoplankton blooms in the Baltic Sea based on numerical
 models and existing data sets". The author (AC) received funding from Polish National Science Centre in a doctoral
 scholarship program (contract number: 2016/20/T/ST10/00214). AC contribution was also supported by the statutory
 funding of IO PAS.
- 704

705 References

- 706
- Agawin, N. S., Duarte, C. M., and Agustí, S.: Nutrient and temperature control of the contribution of picoplankton to
 phytoplankton biomass and production, Limn. Oceanogr., 45(3), 591–600, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2000.45.3.0591,
 2000.
- 710 Antia, N. J.: Effects of temperature on the darkness survival of marine microplanktonic algae, Microb. Ecol., 3, 41–54, 1976.
- Barreiro Felpeto, A., Śliwińska-Wilczewska, S., Złoch, I., and Vasconcelos, V.: Light-dependent cytolysis in the allelopathic
 interaction between picoplanktic and filamentous cyanobacteria, J. Plankton Res.,
 https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fby004, 2018.
- Beardall, J.: Blooms of *Synechococcus*: An analysis of the problem worldwide and possible causative factors in relation to
 nuisance blooms in the Gippsland Lakes; Monash University: Clayton, VIC, Australia, 2008; pp. 1–8, 2008.
- Becker, S., Singh, A. K., Postius, C., Böger, P., and Ernst, A.: Genetic diversity and distribution of periphytic *Synechococcus*spp. in biofilms and picoplankton of Lake Constance, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 49, 181–190, 2004.
- Belkin, I. M.: Rapid warming of large marine ecosystems, Prog Oceanogr., 81 (1-4), 207–213, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2009.04.011, 2009.
- Cai, Y., and Kong, F.: Diversity and dynamics of picocyanobacteria and bloom-forming cyanobacteria in a large shallow
 eutrophic lake (lake Chaohu, China), J. Limnol., 72(3), 473–484, doi:10.4081/jlimnol.2013.e38, 2013.
- Callieri, C.: Picophytoplankton in freshwater ecosystems: The importance of small-sized phototrophs, Freshw. Rev., 1, 1–28,
 https://doi.org/10.1608/FRJ-1.1.1, 2007.
- Callieri, C.: Single cells and microcolonies of freshwater picocyanobacteria: A common ecology, J. Limnol., 69, 257–277,
 https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2010.257, 2010.
- Callieri, C., and Stockner, J. G.: Freshwater autotrophic picoplankton: A review, J. Limnol., 61, 1–14,
 https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2002.1, 2002.
- Campbell, D., Hurry, V., Clarke, A. K., Gustafsson, P., and Öquist, G.: Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis of cyanobacterial
 photosynthesis and acclimation, Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 62(3), 667–683, 1998.
- Dutkiewicz, S., Morris, J. J., Follows, M. J., Scott, J., Levitan, O., Dyhrman, S. T., and Berman-Frank, I.: Impact of ocean
 acidification on the structure of future phytoplankton communities. Nat. Clim. Change., 5(11), 1002–1006,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2722, 2015.
- Fahnenstiel, G. L., Carrick, H. J., Rogers, C. E., and Sicko-Goad, L.: Red fluorescing phototrophic picoplankton in the
 Laurentian Great Lakes: What are they and what are they doing?, Int. Rev. Ges. Hydrobiol., 76(4), 603–616,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19910760411, 1991.
- Feistel, R., Feistel, S., Nausch, G., Szaron, J., Lysiak-Pastuszak, E., and Ærtebjerg, G.: BALTIC: Monthly time series 1900–
 2005, edited by: Feistel, R., Nausch, G., and Wasmund, N., State and Evolution of the Baltic Sea, 1952–2005, A
 Detailed 50-Year Survey of Meteorology and Climate, Physics, Chemistry, Biology, and Marine Environment, John
 Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, 311–336, 2008.
- Feistel, R., Weinreben, S., Wolf, H., Seitz, S., Spitzer, P., Adel., B., Nausch, G., Schneider, B., and Wright, D. G.: Density
 and absolute salinity of the Baltic Sea 2006-2009, Ocean Sci., 6, 3–24, www.ocean-sci.bet/6/3/2010/, 2010.

- Flombaum, P., Gallegos, J. L., Gordillo, R. A., Rincón, J., Zabala, L. L., Jiao, N., Karl, D. M., Li, W. K. W., Lomas, M. W.,
 Veneziano, D., Vera, C. S., Vrugt J. A., and Martiny A. C.: Present and future global distributions of the marine
 Cyanobacteria *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 110(24), 9824–9829,
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1307701110, 2013.
- Fogg, G. E., and Thake, B. (Eds.): Algal Cultures and Phytoplankton Ecology, University of Wisconsin Press, Madison and
 Milwaukee, 1987.
- Glover, H. E.: The physiology and ecology of marine Cyanobacteria, *Synechococcus* spp., in: Advances in Aquatic
 Microbiology, Vol. 3, Jannasch, H. W., and Williams Leb, P. J., (Eds.), New York, Academic Press, 49–107, 1985.
- Glover, H. E., Phinney, D. A., and Yentsch, C. S.: Photosynthetic characteristics of picoplankton compared with those of
 larger phytoplankton populations, in various water masses in the Gulf of Maine, Biol. Oceanogr., 3, 223–248, 1985.
- Glover, H. E., Campbell, L., and Prézelin, B. B.: Contribution of *Synechococcus* spp. to size-fraction primary productivity in
 three waters masses in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean, Mar. Biol., 91, 193–203, 1986.
- Guillard, R. R. L.: Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates, in: Culture of Marine Invertebrate Animals,
 Smith, W. L., and Chanley, M. H. (Eds.), Plenum Press, New York, USA, 26–60, 1975.
- Hajdu, S., Höglander, H., and Larsson, U.: Phytoplankton vertical distributions and composition in Baltic Sea cyanobacterial
 blooms, Harmful Algae, 6(2), 189–205, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2006.07.006, 2007.
- Hauschild, C. A., McMurter, H. J. G., and Pick, F. R.: Effect of spectral quality on growth and pigmentation of
 picocyanobacteria, J. Phycol. 27, 698–702, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1991.00698.x, 1991.
- Haverkamp, T., Acinas, S. G., Doeleman, M., Stomp, M., Huisman, J., and Stal, L. J.: Diversity and phylogeny of Baltic Sea
 picocyanobacteria inferred from their ITS and phycobiliprotein operons, Environ. Microbiol. 10(1), 174–188,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01442.x, 2008.
- Haverkamp, T. H., Schouten, D., Doeleman, M., Wollenzien, U., Huisman, J., and Stal, L. J.: Colorful microdiversity of
 Synechococcus strains (picocyanobacteria) isolated from the Baltic Sea, The ISME Journal, 3(4), 397–408, 2009.
- Herdman, M., Castenholz, R. W., Iteman, I., Waterbury, J. B., and Rippka, R.: The Archaea and the deeply branching and
 phototrophic bacteria, in: Boone, D. R., Castenholz, R. W. (Eds.), Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, 2nd
 edn. Springer Verlag: Heidelberg, 493–514, 2001.
- 768 Ibelings, B. W.: Changes in photosynthesis in response to combined irradiance and temperature stress in cyanobacterial
 769 surface waterblooms, J. Phycol., 32, 549–557, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1996.00549.x, 1996.
- Jakubowska, N., and Szeląg-Wasilewska, E.: Toxic Picoplanktonic Cyanobacteria Review, Mar. Drugs., 13, 1497–1518,
 https://doi.org/10.3390/md13031497, 2015.
- Jassby, A. D., and Platt, T.: Mathematical formulation of the relationship between photosynthesis and light for
 phytoplankton, Limnol. Oceanogr., 21, 540–547, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1976.21.4.0540, 1976.
- Jasser, I.: The relationship between autotrophic picoplankton (APP) The smallest autotrophic component of food web and
 the trophic status and depth of lakes, Ecohydrol. and Hydrobiol., 6(1-4), 69–77, https://doi.org/10.1016/S16423593(06)70128-8, 2006.
- Jasser, I., and Arvola, L.: Potential effects of abiotic factors on the abundance of autotrophic picoplankton in four boreal
 lakes, J. Plankton Res., 25(8), 873–883, https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/25.8.873, 2003.
- Jasser, I., and Callieri, C.: Picocyanobacteria: The smallest cell-size cyanobacteria, in: Handbook on Cyanobacterial
 Monitoring and Cyanotoxin Analysis, Meriluoto, J., Spoof, L., and Codd G. A. (Eds.), John Wiley & Sons, Ltd,
 Chichester, UK,19–27, https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119068761.ch3, 2017.
- Jodłowska, S., and Śliwińska, S.: Effects of light intensity and temperature on the photosynthetic irradiance response curves
 and chlorophyll fluorescence in three picocyanobacterial strains of *Synechococcus*, Photosynthetica, 52(2), 223–232,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-014-0024-y, 2014.

- Johnson, P. W., and Sieburth, J. M.: Chroococcoid cyanobacteria in the sea: A ubiquitous and diverse phototrophic biomass,
 Limnol. Oceanogr., 24(5), 928–935, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1979.24.5.0928, 1979.
- Joint, I. R., and Pomroy, A. J.: Photosynthetic characteristics of nanoplankton and picoplankton from the surface mixed
 layer, Mar. Biol., 92, 465–474, 1986.
- Kana, T. M., and Glibert, P. M.: Effect of irradiances up to 2000 µmol E m⁻² s⁻¹ on marine *Synechococcus* WH7803-I.
 Growth, pigmentation, and cell composition, Deep-Sea Res., 34(4), 479–495, https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90001-X, 1987a.
- Kana, T. M., and Glibert, P. M.: Effect of irradiances up to 2000 μmol E m⁻² s⁻¹ on marine *Synechococcus* WH7803-II.
 Photosynthetic responses and mechanisms, Deep-Sea Res., 34(4), 497–516, https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90002-1, 1987b.
- Kuosa, H.: Occurrence of autotrophic picoplankton along an open sea-inner archipelago gradient in the Gulf of Finland,
 Baltic Sea, Ophelia, 28, 85–93, 1988.
- Latała, A., Jodłowska, S., and Pniewski, F.: Culture collection of Baltic Algae (CCBA) and characteristic of some strains by
 factorial experiment approach, Algol. Stud., 122, 137–154, https://doi.org/10.1127/1864-1318/2006/0122-0137, 2006.
- Larsson, J., Celepli, N., Ininbergs, K., Dupont, C.L., Yooseph, S., Bergman, B., and Ekman, M.: Picocyanobacteria
 containing a novel pigment gene cluster dominate the brackish water Baltic Sea, The ISME Journal, 8, 1892–1903,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.35, 2014.
- 802 Leppäranta M., and Myrberg K., Physical Oceanography of the Baltic Sea, Springer, Berlin, pp. 378, 2009.
- Marie, D., Simon, N., and Vaulot, D.: Phytoplankton cell counting by flow cytometry, Algal Culturing Techniques, 1., 253–
 267, 2005.
- Mazur-Marzec, H., Sutryk, K., Kobos, J., Hebel, A., Hohlfeld, N., Błaszczyk, A., Toruńska, A., Kaczkowska, M.J., Łysiak Pastuszak, E., Kraśniewski, W., and Jasser, I.: Occurrence of cyanobacteria and cyanotoxins in the Southern Baltic
 Proper. Filamentous cyanobacteria *vs.* single-celled picocyanobacteria, Hydrobiologia, 701, 235–252,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-012-1278-7, 2013.
- Meier, H. E.: Regional ocean climate simulations with a 3D ice-ocean model for the Baltic Sea. Part 2: Results for sea ice,
 Clim Dyn., 19, 255–266, 2002.
- Millie, D. F., Ingram, D. A., and Dionigi, C. P.: Pigment and photosynthetic responses of *Oscillatoria agardhii*(Cyanophyta) to photon flux density and spectral quality, J. Phycol., 26, 660–666, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.00223646.1990.00660.x, 1990.
- Motwani, N. H., and Gorokhova, E.: Mesozooplankton grazing on picocyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea as inferred from
 molecular diet analysis, PLoS One, 8(11), e79230, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079230, 2013.
- 816 Neumann, T.: Climate-change effects on the Baltic Sea ecosystem: A model study, J. Marine Syst., 81(3), 213–224.
 817 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.12.001, 2010.
- Paczkowska J., Rowe O., Schlüter L., Legrand C., Karlson B., and Andersson A.: Allochthonous matter: An important factor
 shaping the phytoplankton community in the Baltic Sea, J. Plankton Res., 39(1), 23–34,
 https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbw081, 2017.
- Pniewski, F. F., Biskup, P., Bubak, I., Richard, P., Latała, A., and Blanchard, G.: Photo-regulation in microphytobenthos
 from intertidal mudflats and non-tidal coastal shallows, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S. 152, 153–161,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2014.11.022, 2015.
- Prezelin, B. B.: Light reactions in photosynthesis, in: Physiological Bases of phytoplankton Ecology, Platt, T., (Ed.), Ottawa,
 Canadian Bulletin of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, no. 210, 1–46, 1981.
- Prezelin, B. B., and Sweeney, B. M.: Photoadaptation of photosynthesis in two bloom-forming dinoflagellates, in: Toxic
 Dinoflagellate Blooms, Taylor, D., Seliger, H., (Eds.), Elsevier North Holland, Inc., 101–106, 1979.

- Ramus, J.: The capture and transduction of light energy, in: The Biology of Seaweeds, Lobban, C. S., Wynne, M. J., (Eds.).
 Botanical Monographs, vo. 17, Oxford, Blackwell Scientific Publications, 458–492, 1981.
- Richardson, K., Beardall, J., and Raven, J. A.: Adaptation of unicellular algae to irradaince: An analysis of strategies, New
 Phytol., 93, 157–191, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1983.tb03422.x, 1983.
- Richardson, T. L., and Jackson, G. A.: Small phytoplankton and carbon export from the surface ocean, Science, 315, 838–
 840, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1133471, 2007.
- Sakshaug, E., Bricaud, A., Dandonneau, Y., Falkowski, P. G., Kiefer, D. A., Legendre, L. L., Morel, A., Parslow, J., and
 Takahashi, M.: Parameters of photosynthesis: Definitions, theory and interpretation of results, J. Plankton Res., 19,
 1637–1670, https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/19.11.1637, 1997.
- Sánchez-Baracaldo, P., Handley, B. A., and Hayes, P. K.: Picocyanobacterial community structure of freshwater lakes and
 the Baltic Sea revealed by phylogenetic analyses and clade-specific quantitative PCR, Microbiol., 154(11), 3347–
 3357, https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2008/019836-0, 2008.
- Sheskin D. J.: Handbook of Parametric and Nonparametric Statistical Procedures: Third Edition, CRC Press Company,
 London and New York, 867–980, 2000.
- Sieburth J. M. N., Smatacek V., and Lenz J.: Pelagic ecosystem structure: Heterotrophic compartments of the plankton and
 their relationship to plankton size fractions, Limnol Oceanogr., 23, 1256–126,
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1978.23.6.1256, 1978.
- Siefermann-Harms, D.: The light-harvesting and protective functions of carotenoids in photosynthetic membranes, Physiol.
 Plant., 69, 561–568, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1987.tb09240.x, 1987.
- 847 Siegel, H., and Gerth M.: Sea surface temperature in the Baltic Sea in 2016, HELCOM Baltic Sea Environment Fact Sheets
 848 2017, Online [Date Viewed: March 15, 2018], http://www.helcom.fi/baltic-sea-trends/environment-fact-sheets/.
- Six, C., Finkel, Z. V., Irwin, A. J., and Campbell, D. A.: Light variability illuminates niche-partitioning among marine
 picocyanobacteria, PLoS One 2(12), e1341, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001341, 2007a.
- 851 Six, C., Thomas, J. C., Garczarek, L., Ostrowski, M., Dufresne, A., Blot, N., Scanlan, D. J., and Partensky, F.: Diversity and
 852 evolution of phycobilisomes in marine *Synechococcus* spp.: a comparative genomics study, Genome Biol., 8(12),
 853 R259, https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-12-r259, 2007b.
- Śliwińska-Wilczewska, S., Maculewicz, J., Barreiro Felpeto, A., Vasconcelos, V., and Latała, A.: Allelopathic activity of the
 picocyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. on filamentous cyanobacteria, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 496, 16–21,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2017.07.008, 2017.
- Sliwińska-Wilczewska, S., Maculewicz, J., Barreiro Felpeto, A., and Latała, A.: Allelopathic and bloom-forming
 picocyanobacteria in a changing world, Toxins, 10, 48; https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins10010048, 2018a.
- Sliwińska-Wilczewska, S., Barreiro Felpeto, A., Maculewicz, J., Sobczyk, A., Vasconcelos, V., and Latała A.: Allelopathic
 activity of the picocyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. on unicellular eukaryote planktonic microalgae, Mar.
 Freshwater Res., (in press), 2018b.
- Snoeijs-Leijonmalm, P., and Andrén, E.: Why is the Baltic Sea so special to live in?, in: Biological Oceanography of the
 Baltic Sea, Snoeijs-Leijonmalm, P., Schubert, H., and Radziejewska, T. (Eds.), Springer, Dordrecht, 23–84, 2017.
- Sorokin, P. Y., Sorokin, Y. I., Boscolo, R., and Giovanardi, O.: Bloom of picocyanobacteria in the Venice lagoon during
 summer–autumn 2001: ecological sequences, Hydrobiologia, 523(1-3), 71–85, 2004.
- Sorokin, Y. I., and Zakuskina, O. Y.: Features of the Comacchio ecosystem transformed during persistent bloom of
 picocyanobacteria, J. Oceanogr., 66, 373–387, 2010.
- Stal, L. J., Albertano, P., Bergman, B., Bröckel, K., Gallon, J. R., Hayes, P. K., Sivonen, K., and Walsby,
 A. E.: BASIC: Baltic Sea cyanobacteria. An investigation of the structure and dynamics of water blooms of
 cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea Responses to a changing environment, Cont. Shelf Res., 23, 1695–1714,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.001, 2003.

- Stal, L. J., Staal, M., and Villbrandt, M.: Nutrient control of cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea, Aquat. Microb. Ecol.,
 18, 165–173, 1999.
- Stal, L. J., and Walsby, A. E.: Photosynthesis and nitrogen fixation in a cyanobacterial bloom in the Baltic Sea, Eur. J.
 Phycol., 35, 97–108, https://doi.org/10.1080/09670260010001735681, 2000.
- Stawiarski, B., Buitenhuis, E. T., and Le Quèrè, C.: The physiological response of picophytoplankton to temperature and its
 model representation, Front. Mar. Sci., 3, 164, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00164, 2016.
- Stockner, J. G.: Phototrophic picoplankton: An overview from marine and freshwater ecosystems, Limnol. Oceanogr., 33,
 765–775, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1988.33.4part2.0765, 1988.
- Stomp, M., Huisman, J., Vörös, L., Pick, F. R., Laamanen, M., Haverkamp, T., and Stal, L. J.: Colourful coexistence of red
 and green picocyanobacteria in lakes and seas, Ecol. Lett., 10, 290–298, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14610248.2007.01026.x, 2007.
- Strickland, I. D. H., and Parsons T. R.: A practical handbook of seawater analysis, J. Fish Res. Board Can., 167, 1–310,
 1972.
- Vörös, L., Gulyas, P., and Nemeth, J.: Occurrence, dynamics and production of picoplankton in Hungarian shallow lakes,
 Int. Rev. Ges. Hydrobiol., 76, 617–629, https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19910760412, 1991.
- Waterbury, J. B., Watson, S. W., Guillard, R. R., and Brand, L. E.: Widespread occurrence of a unicellular, marine,
 planktonic, cyanobacterium, Nature, 277(5694), 293–294, https://doi.org/10.1038/277293a0, 1979.
- Worden, A. Z., and Wilken, S.: A plankton bloom shifts as the ocean warms, Science, 354(6310), 287–288,
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaj1751, 2016.
- 891 892





Figure 2. Cell-specific Chl *a* (pg cell⁻¹) changes for three *Synechococcus* sp. strains: BA-120 (A), BA-124 (B) and BA-132
(C) under different PAR and temperature conditions in 4 salinity mediums : 3 PSU (a), 8 PSU (b), 13 PSU (c) and 18 PSU
(d).



Figure 3. Cell-specific Car (pg cell⁻¹) changes for three *Synechococcus* sp. strains: BA-120 (A), BA-124 (B) and BA-132
(C) under different PAR and temperature conditions in 4 salinity mediums: 3 PSU (a), 8 PSU (b), 13 PSU (c) and 18 PSU
(d).



909 Figure 4. The maximum photochemical efficiency of PSII in the dark-adapted state (F_v/F_m) for three Synechococcus sp.

- 910 strains: BA-120 (A), BA-124 (B) and BA-132 (C) under different PAR and temperature conditions in 4 salinity mediums: 3
- $911 \qquad \text{PSU (a), 8 PSU (b), 13 PSU (c) and 18 PSU (d).}$



Figure 5. The photochemical efficiency of PSII under actinic light intensity (ΦPSII) for three *Synechococcus* sp. strains:
BA-120 (A), BA-124 (B) and BA-132 (C) under different PAR and temperature conditions in 4 salinity mediums: 3 PSU (a),
8 PSU (b), 13 PSU (c) and 18 PSU (d).



Figure 6. Selected Chl *a* - specific and cell-specific (right side and left side panel, respectively) net photosynthetic–light
response curves for three *Synechococcus* sp. strains: BA-120 (a, b), BA-124 (c, d) and BA-132 (e, f) strains. Curves present
examples of three types of photoacclimation observed for *Synechococcus* sp. and these are as follows: change in number of
photosynthesis units (PSU) (a, b), change in size of PSU (c, d) and change in accessory pigments activity (e, f).

937 Table 1. Photoacclimation types (mechanisms) for three Synechococcus sp. strains: BA-120, BA-124 and BA-132 at

938 different ecological conditions. OTHER states for altering of accessory pigments activity or changes in enzymatic reactions;

939 PSUsize states for the change in PSU sizes; PSUno. states for the change in PSU number. The symbols of labels indicate the

940 strain for which the mechanism is observed and are as follows: ^{red} for BA-120, ^{green} for BA-124 and ^{brown} for BA-132.

941

CONDITIONS	Salinity 3 PSU	Salinity 8 PSU	Salinity 13 PSU	Salinity 18 PSU
10°C	PSUsize ^{brown}	OTHER red	PSUsize red	OTHER red
		PSUsize green	OTHER red	PSUsize green
		PSUsize brown	OTHER green	PSUsize brown
15°C	-	PSUsize green	OTHER red	
			PSUsize green	PSUsize brown
			OTHER brown	
20°C	-	PSUno. ^{red} OTHER ^{green} PSUsize	PSUsize (or	PSUsize green
			OTHER) red	
			OTHER green	
			PSUsize	
25°C	OTHER red PSUsize brown	PSUsize red	PSUsize red	PSUsize ^{green} PSUsize ^{brown}
		PSUsize green	PSUsize green	
		OTHER brown	PSUsize ^{brown}	