

Dear authors.

I have edited the below few sentences, and deleted the last one, which is a bit confusing and does not really add to the story.

Page 3: Our mitigation approach investigated the potential for reductions in slurry application accompanied with increased clover proportion in the pasture to reduce N₂O emissions at the field-scale. Farmers currently use a combination of home-produced slurries and **bought in** mineral fertilizer. Our suggestion is to apply the slurry **to the** fields which are amended with mineral fertilizers. This would have an additional benefit of reducing the indirect greenhouse gas emissions i.e. those during the manufacture of mineral fertilizers. ▾

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: acquired

Deleted: in

Deleted: currently 5

Deleted: , as the home-produced slurry clearly should be used

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: The quantity of these manufacturing¶ reductions in GHG emissions, which are beyond the field-scale, as well as the full farm nitrogen and GHG budget are well¶ beyond the focus of this study would need further investigation.