

Interactive comment on “Riverine particulate C and N generated at the permafrost thaw front: case study of western Siberian rivers across a 1700-km latitudinal transect” by Ivan V. Krickov et al.

Ivan V. Krickov et al.

oleg.pokrovsky@get.omp.eu

Received and published: 20 August 2018

Dear Editor The reviewer No 2 also positively evaluated our manuscript and issued only a few technical corrections:

3.1 I found one erratum on page 9, lines 219-221. “Generally, a 2 to 3-fold decrease (instead of increase) in Corg . . .” Answer: We thank the reviewer for pointing thi sout and we already corrected this misprint.

3.2 I should wish to include into the text the tables and figures that are given under index

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



S (supplementary materials). I think the volume of the paper will not be much higher but the readers may have more simple access to the factual additional unpublished data
Answer: We agree with this proposition, which was also put forward by 1st reviewer. In case if we invited to submit the revised manuscript, we will gladly incorporate most of Supplementary Information into the main text. We are ready to submit the revised version. Sincerely Oleg Pokrovsky

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-245>, 2018.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

