# Carbon balance of a restored and cutover raised bog: Implications for restoration and comparison to global trends

Michael M. Swenson<sup>1</sup>, Shane Regan<sup>1</sup>, Dirk T. H. Bremmers<sup>1</sup>, Jenna Lawless<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Saunders<sup>2</sup>; Laurence W. Gill<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Civil, Structural, and Environmental Engineering, Trinity College Dublin, College Green, Dublin 2, Ireland <sup>2</sup> Department of Botany, Trinity College Dublin, College Green, Dublin 2, Ireland

Correspondence to: Michael M. Swenson (swensonm@tcd.ie)

- 10 **Abstract.** The net ecosystem exchange (NEE) and methane ( $CH_4$ ) flux were measured by chamber measurements for five distinct ecotypes (areas with unique eco-hydrological characteristics) at Abbeyleix Bog in the Irish midlands over a two year period. The ecotypes ranged from those with high quality peat forming vegetation to communities indicative of degraded, drained conditions. Three of these ecotypes were located in an area where peat was extracted by hand and then abandoned and left to revegetate naturally at least 50 years prior to the start of the study. Two of the ecotypes were located on an
- adjacent raised bog, which although never mined for peat, was impacted by shallow drainage and then restored (by drain blocking) 6 years prior to the start of the study. Other major aspects of the carbon (C) balance, including dissolved organic carbon (DOC), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), and open water  $CO_2$  evasion, were quantified for a catchment area at the study site over the same two year period. The ecotype average annual ecotype C balance ranged from a net C sink of -58 ±60 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, comparable to studies of intact peatlands, to a substantial C source of +205 ±80 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, with NEE being the
- 20 most variable component of the C balance between the five ecotypes. Ecotype annual  $CH_4$  flux was ranged from 2.7 ±1.4 g C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> to 14.2 ±4.8 g C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. Average annual aquatic C losses were 14.4 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> with DOC, DIC, and  $CO_2$  evasion of 10.4 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, 1.3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, and 2.7 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. A statistically significant negative correlation was found between the mean annual water table (MAWT) and the plot scale NEE but not the global warming potential (GWP). However, a significant negative correlation was observed between the plot scale percent *Sphagnum* moss
- 25 cover and the GWP, highlighting the importance of regenerating this keystone genus as a climate change mitigation strategy in peatland restoration. The data from this study was then compared to the rapidly growing number of peatland C balance studies across boreal and temperate regions. The trend in NEE and  $CH_4$  flux with respect to MAWT was compared for the five ecotypes in this study and literature data from degraded/restored/recovering peatlands, intact peatlands, and bare peat sites.

# 30 1 Introduction

Peatlands are important to the global carbon cycle as they act as important stores of carbon (C) and sources or sinks of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) (Gorham 1991). Despite covering only  $\sim$ 3% of the earth's terrestrial surface, it is estimated that between 500 and 700 billion tonnes of C are stored as organic soil within the global peatland expanse (Leifeld

and Menichetti, 2018; Paige and Baird, 2016; Yu et al., 2010). However, at present, human activity is either draining or mining ~10% of global peatlands, transforming them from long-term C sinks into sources (Joosten, 2010; Leifeld and Menichetti, 2018). In Europe, a high percentage (~46%) of the remaining peatlands are degraded to the point whereby peat is no longer actively being formed (Tanneberger et al., 2017), and in Ireland whilst ~20% of the land area is peatland, over

5 95% of raised bogs has been degraded through anthropogenic activities such as drainage for agriculture, forestry and peat extraction (Connolly and Holden, 2017; Connolly and Holden, 2009).

The C cycle and greenhouse gas (GHG) dynamics of degraded peatlands are often substantially different compared to intact peatlands (Baird et al., 2009; Blodau, 2002) making them significant with respect to national and global GHG budgets and emission reporting (Billet et al., 2010; Wilson et al., 2013). Moreover, degraded peatlands can continue to emit C for decades to centuries following drainage, and current estimates are that degraded peatlands store globally ~80.8 Gt soil C and emit ~1.91 (0.31–3.38) Gt CO<sub>2</sub>-eq. yr<sup>-1</sup> (Leifeld and Menichetti, 2018). Soil C sequestration through peatland restoration is increasingly recognized as an important strategy to tackle climate change (Dise, 2009; Leifeld and Menichetti, 2018), and in

10

recent years there has been a substantial increase in money being invested in peatland projects across the world (Anderson et al., 2017). With the increase in global active peatland management, there is a need for more studies examining how drainage and restoration alters the eco-hydrology of degraded peatlands systems and their C balances (Baird et al., 2009; Young et al., 2017).

The land-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux, or net ecosystem exchange (NEE) in peatlands is related to water table level, as inundation creates anaerobic conditions which suppresses the decomposition of soil organic matter (Lain et al., 1996). High water table can result in a net CO<sub>2</sub> sink (negative NEE) whereas a low water table can result in a net CO<sub>2</sub> source (positive NEE). Thus, water table has been correlated to spatial (Junkurst and Fielder, 2007; Silvola et al., 1996; Strack et al., 2014) and temporal (Helftler et al., 2015; Lund et al., 2012; McVeigh et al., 2014; Peichl et al., 2014; Strachan et al., 2016) variation in the NEE of both intact and degraded peatlands. However, anaerobic conditions due to a high water table can also increase the land

- atmosphere  $CH_4$  flux (Frenzel and Karofeld, 2000). Both NEE and  $CH_4$  flux are also affected by plant ecology, as the extent of aerenchymatous vegetation cover such as *Eriophorum spp*. is correlated with increased  $CH_4$  flux (Cooper et al., 2014; Frenzel and Karofeld, 2000; Gray et al., 2013; McNamera et al., 2008; Waddington and Day, 2007), although this effect can possibly be reversed if aerenchymatous vegetation aerates the saturated soil (Fritz et al., 2011). *Sphagnum spp*., however, often exhibit lower  $CH_4$  fluxes (Frenzel and Rudolph et al., 1998) due to a symbiotic relationship with methanotrophic
- 30 bacteria (Raghoebarsing et al., 2005). Also, *Sphagnum spp.* coverage may correspond to an increase in the CO<sub>2</sub> sink function of "natural" sites (Strack et al., 2016) as much of the peat in northern peatlands is derived from this genus (Bacon et al., 2017; Vitt et al., 2000). Furthermore, the extent of vegetation cover is an important factor affecting the NEE (Strack et al., 2016; Tuitili et al., 1999; Waddington and Day, 2010). This is relevant to degraded and restored peatlands because mined peatlands can have large areas of bare peat (Wilson et al., 2015).

Climatic variables such as the frequency of cloudiness, temperature, and length of growing season have also been found to be important controlling factors of NEE (Charman et al., 2013; Helftler et al., 2015; McVeigh et al., 2014; Zhaojun et al., 2011). However, climate variables cannot be controlled at a specific site, and therefore, may not be as relevant when considering climate change mitigation actions.

5

Although  $N_2O$  emissions can be an important aspect of the GHG emissions from organic soils (Pärn et al., 2018), this study focuses only on aspects of the C balance. In low nutrient, non-agricultural, sites like in this study, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are typically low (Haddaway et al., 2014) but can be higher for deeply drained (Vanselow-Algan et al., 2015) or high nutrient sites (Danevčič et al., 2010). The radiative impact of different GHGs can be normalized by converting them into a  $CO_2$ 10 equivalents in terms of the 100-year global warming potential (GWP) in tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>: over a hundred year horizon,  $CO_2 = 1$ ,  $CH_4 = 34$ , and  $N_2O = 298$ , after IPCC 2013 recommendations (Myhre and Shindell, 2013).

Intact peatlands are a net CO<sub>2</sub> sink [typical annual average NEE range -31.9 to -66 g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, from literature data compiled by Helftler et al. (2015)] and a CH<sub>4</sub> source. By contrast, drained peatlands are a CO<sub>2</sub> source [the average annual 15 NEE of +81 to +151 g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> vr<sup>-1</sup> reported in Renou-Wilson et al. (2018a) is typical with very low CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Baird et al., 2009). However, it should be noted that this can be offset by high  $CH_4$  emissions from active drains of ~60 g  $CH_4$  m<sup>-2</sup>  $vr^{-1}$  (Evans et al., 2016). Degraded/drained peatlands typically have a larger GWP compared to intact sites or rewetted sites because a large positive NEE outweighs the reduced CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Renou-Wilson et al., 2018a). The NEE and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from restored peatlands can be similar to intact peatlands, but exhibit greater variability (Strack et al., 2016; Wilson et al., 20 2016a).

Several studies have suggested the hypothesis that time since restoration is an important factor in the GWP of peatlands (Augustin & Joosten, 2007; Bain et al., 2011; Waddington and Day 2007). In particular, the restored sites may go through an

- initial period of high  $CH_4$  production and high GWP because restored peatlands are often rapidly colonized by 25 aerenchymatous vegetation, such as Eriophorum spp. (Cooper et al., 2014; Waddington and Day, 2007). This is followed by a period of decreasing GWP as mosses and other peatland species become established (Augustin & Joosten, 2007; Bain et al., 2011). To test this hypothesis, more data is needed for peatlands "restored more than 10 years previously" (Bacon et al., 2017). Also, it is valuable to have studies which directly compare adjacent sites with contrasting site histories.
- 30

Aquatic losses of C include dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in runoff as well as CO<sub>2</sub> evasion from open water. These have not been measured as frequently as NEE and CH<sub>4</sub> flux (Dinsmore et al., 2010), but can represent a key component of the net ecosystem C budget (NECB) (Barry et al., 2016; Kindler et al., 2011). Ignoring the aquatic C losses would result in an overestimate of the C sink function of peatlands (Billet et al., 2010). Few studies have

concurrently measured a complete NECB for a peatland including the DIC flux (Nilsson et al., 2008) and  $CO_2$  evasion from open water (Dinsmore et al., 2010), even though  $CO_2$  evasion has been found to be important to the overall C balance (Dinsmore et al., 2010). Further, these studies have focused on intact rather than degraded or restored peatlands.

5 The growing body of scientific research on the GHG and C balance of peatlands and the importance to global climate change means that it is increasingly important to consider new data in the context of global studies (e.g. Junkurst and Fieldler 2007).

The goal of this work is to quantify all of the major aspects of the C balance (NEE,  $CH_4$  flux, and aquatic losses as DOC, DIC, and  $CO_2$  evasion) over a two year period for five distinct peatland ecotypes, which are located in two adjacent areas with contrasting site histories: a peat extraction bog, which was abandoned ca. 1960 and an ombrotrophic raised bog, which was previously impacted by drainage but not peat extraction, and then recently restored (in 2009). This study also presents the measurements in the context of global studies on boreal and temperate peatlands with the aim of identifying trends in NEE and  $CH_4$  flux based on land condition (drained, restored, intact), mean annual water table, and vegetation cover (presence/lack of vegetation).

#### 15 2 Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Site Description

Abbeyleix Bog (N 52.89714, W 7.35022, elevation approx. 90 m) is a peatland and natural area in Co. Laois, Ireland. This site is located in a temperate, oceanic climate with a 30 year (1981–2010) mean annual rainfall of 923 mm and a mean annual temperature of 9.5° C (Walsh, 2012).

<sup>20</sup> 

Abbeyleix Bog contains areas that were historically mined for peat (referred to here as cutover bog) as well as raised ombrotrophic bog, which was never mined for peat (Fig. 1). The areas of cutover bog were domestically mined for peat by hand cutting between the 1870s and 1960s, and then abandoned (i.e. no restoration or management works have occurred in this area post-extraction) (Ryle, 2013). Peat extraction never occurred on the remaining areas of raised bog; however, these areas were impacted by a surface drainage network installed in the 1980s in preparation for industrial extraction although the plans for industrial extraction of the peat were later abandoned due to resistance from the local community. Throughout the raised bog, surface drains were installed at 15 m spacing to a depth of 1 m, and connected with older and deeper drains along a historic railway track and the margins of the bog. The surface drains were later blocked as part of a restoration effort in 2009, six years before the start of this study. Acidic, low nutrient, histosol, peat soils remain throughout the raised and cutover bog, with 5.0–8.5 m depth on the raised bog and 1–3 m depth on the cutover bog.

#### 2.2 Sampling Locations

10

Five sampling locations were chosen to quantify GHG emissions, two on the uncut raised bog and three on the cutover bog. These locations were chosen to represent 5 ecotypes, where the ecotype refers to a distinct set of hydro-physical and ecological conditions. These 5 areas were chosen to represent common ecotypes on raised and cutover bogs in Ireland with the help of ecologists from the Irish National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS).

5 the help of ecologists from the Irish National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS).

On the raised bog, one study location was chosen in a Sub-Central ecotype, which is defined as having a continuous *Sphagnum spp.* cover and continuously high water table but lacking the micro-topography of hummocks and hollows. The Sub-Central ecotype is the highest quality bog conditions found at this site. Another study location was chosen in a Sub-Marginal ecotype, which is defined as having a discontinuous *Sphagnum spp.* moss cover and a mixed presence of both

relatively wet and dry bog vegetation (Table 1). Further description of raised bog ecotypes can be found in Schouten et al. (2002).

On the cutover bog, three sampling locations were chosen based on distinctions in the plant ecology. The Sphagnum Cutover ecotype contains a continuous *Sphagnum spp.* cover (primarily as hummocks of *Sphagnum capilifolium* with some *Sphagnum subnitens* and *Sphagnum magellanicum*) and a mixture of plant species similar to the Sub-Central ecotype. The Calluna Cutover ecotype contains a low diversity of plant species characteristic of a well-drained peat soil, dominated by heather (*Calluna vulgaris*), bare peat, and lichens (mostly *Cladonia portenosa*) similar to a facebank ecotype on a raised

bog. The Eriophorum Cutover ecotype is dominated by Eriophorum angustifolium, and contains a moderate percent (21-54%

- 20 in this study) cover of *Sphagnum spp.* (Table 1). All sampling locations were chosen in open areas, excluding any trees, shrubs or other vegetation that could not fit under the gas sampling chambers (see Section 2.4). Six collars were installed for each ecotype except for the Calluna Cutover ecotype where 5 collars were installed. Collar locations were chosen to represent ecological variability within each ecotype. Plant ecology was characterized for all collars in June 2016 and again in June 2017 with the help of ecologists from the NPWS. The plant ecology was determined in terms of the percent cover of every species present, averaged over the two years.
- 25 every species present, averaged over the two year

# 2.3 Meteorological Field Data

On site, hourly measurements of air temperature and humidity (CS215 probe, Campbell Scientific, Loughborough, UK), rainfall (ARG100 Tipping Bucket Raingauge, Campbell Scientific), barometric pressure (PTB110 Barometer, Vaisala, Oyj, Finland), and soil temperature at 5 and 10 cm (PT100 temperature probes, Campbell Scientific) were recorded by a CR1000

30 Data logger (Campbell Scientific). Soil temperature was also recorded at ecotypes by two LogBoxAA data loggers (Novus, Miami, USA). Hourly phreatic water table was recorded in 5 cm diameter stilling wells located at each of the five ecotypes by an Orphius Mini Level Logger (vented transducer, 0.1% error, OTT Hydromet, Kempten, Germany). The ground

elevation at the center of each collar was surveyed and compared to the stilling well using an RTK GPS with  $\pm$  2mm accuracy (TDL 450L, Trimble, Sunnyvale, CA), and the hourly water table at each collar was offset by this difference in elevation. All collars were located within 8 m of the ecotype water table logger.

5 The hourly light intensity was measured in the field in units of  $W/m^2$  using an LP02 Pyranometer (Hukseflux Thermal Sensors, Delft, Netherlands). This sensor was calibrated to the photosynthetically active radiation (PPFD) sensor (TPR-2, PP Systems), which recorded in units of (µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), used during the field measurements, located inside the chamber. A linear calibration between these two sensors was found for both sunny and overcast days (n=27, r<sup>2</sup>=0.82), which was used to convert hourly light intensity to hourly PPFD.

#### 10 2.4 CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> Flux Measurements

The closed static chamber method was used to measure CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> gas fluxes from all plots, comparable to methods used in a large number of other studies, particularly on peatlands in Ireland (e.g. Wilson et al., 2016b). Stainless steel collars were permanently installed 20 cm into the ground at least two weeks before the start of sampling. This collar had a water trough along the top edge to ensure a suitable seal with the chamber. The chambers were constructed in-house of clear polycarbonate for CO<sub>2</sub> measurements and opaque polystone<sup>tm</sup> for CH<sub>4</sub> and were equipped with a fan. Chambers were of size 60 x 60 x 30 cm or 54 l total with a measurement area of 0.36 m<sup>2</sup>. A system of wooden platforms was constructed 6–7 weeks before the start of sampling so that each collar could be accessed without putting pressure on the ground surface adjacent to it. In the Sub-Central ecotype, platforms were placed on steel piles to the base of the peat to prevent sinking into the bog. For CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements, chambers were gently set on the collar and any pressure differential between the chamber 20 headspace and the ambient atmosphere was vented using a 5 cm<sup>2</sup> hole set in the side of the chamber. The chamber was then sealed and the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was recorded in the field every 15 seconds for a period of 105 seconds using an EGM-4 infra-red gas analyser (PP Systems, Amesbury, USA). CO<sub>2</sub> flux was calculated from the slope of the linear increase in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration over time. In order to maintain a constant temperature over the chamber closure time, particularly under high

25 located behind the fan to keep the variance of the chamber temperature to within 1°C during the measurement. The  $CO_2$  flux measurement was repeated under a range of light levels by artificially shading the chamber, generally under full ambient light, 1–2 other partial shading light levels, and a completely shaded measurement. Ecosystem respiration is assumed to be the  $CO_2$  flux when the light transmitted into the chamber was zero. For this study, a positive sign convention is indicates a net loss of C from the peatland.  $CO_2$  flux measurements were conducted over 63 field days between January 2016 and

irradiance, a cooling system was installed in the chamber, which pumped water from an ice bath through a small radiator

30 August 2017. Over 29 collar locations, a total of 3358 chamber measurements for  $CO_2$  flux were kept for modelling after quality checking to ensure that the change in  $CO_2$  concentration over the chamber closure was monotonic and that the PPFD did not change by more than 50 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> over the chamber closure. For  $CH_4$  flux measurements, gas samples of 20 mL each were extracted from the chamber every 10 minutes beginning 5 minutes after the chamber had been placed on the collar and sealed. These samples were later analyzed in the lab on an Agilent Gas Chromatograph instrument with a flame ionization detector and a 30 m long Elite-plot Q GC column. Samples were collected over 17 field days between May 2017 and January 2018.

5

25

Additionally, the soil temperature at 5 and 10 cm depth, water table adjacent to the collar, air temperature, and light level inside the chamber (for  $CO_2$  flux measurements) were recorded for each chamber closure at the time of sampling.

# **2.5 NEE Modelling**

The NEE was modelled on an hourly basis to account for the expected diurnal variations, which are driven by diurnal variations in light intensity and soil temperature. Field measurements of  $CO_2$  flux were used to build collar specific empirical models of gross primary production (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (ER). Hourly measurements of field variables were input into these empirical models to calculate hourly GPP and ER, which were then summed to calculate NEE.

Several different empirical models of GPP and ER were tested based on the fit the field data (see Supplemental Section 1), which were judged based on the sum of the squares of the residuals and r<sup>2</sup> values. Models were also checked to ensure that there was no bias or trend in the residuals with respect to independent variables. Of the models tested, the GPP model in Eq. (1) and ER model in Eq. (2) (from Wilson et al., 2016b) were found to best explain the variance in the field data for all of the 29 collars.

20 
$$GPP = -(a + c * \sin((JDAY + 215)/365 * 2\pi)) * \frac{PAR}{PAR + b} * exp(T_{5cm} * d) * (1 + WT * e)$$
(1)

where *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, and *e* are collar specific empirical fitted model parameters and JDAY is the Julian day of the year, PPFD is the light level in ( $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5cm</sub> is the soil temperature at 5 cm, and WT is the water level in cm below ground surface at the collar. The r<sup>2</sup> value of the modelled versus measured data using Eq. (1) ranged between 0.77 and 0.94 for each of the 29 collars (Table S3).

$$ER = (a + b * WT) * \exp\left(c * \left(\frac{1}{(283.15 - 227.13)} - \frac{1}{(TK5cm - 227.13)}\right)\right)$$
(2)

where a, b, and c are collar specific emperical fitting parameters, and other variables are as above. For this ER model, the r<sup>2</sup> values ranged from 0.63 to 0.92 for each of the 29 collars (Table S4). (Other metrics on model fitting for Eq. (1) and Eq. (2)

30 including the standard error of the model fitting parameters and n values are shown in Table S3 and Table S4). Fitting parameters and more information on the GPP and ER models tested can be found in Supplemental Section 1.

Hourly water level,  $T_{5cm}$ , PAR, and Julian day data were input into Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) (with the collar specific fitting parameters) to calculate hourly GPP and ER at each collar over a two year period.

# 2.6 CH<sub>4</sub> Modelling

- 5 The annual CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes for 2017 were calculated from the average measured flux at each collar (as in Strack et al., 2014) over the year. However, in this case, the data collection was bias toward the warmer part of the year, with no measurements collected during January–April because of equipment issues. To account for this bias in sampling period, the collar average CH<sub>4</sub> flux was scaled by a factor of 0.80. This factor was in turn derived from an empirical model fit to the field data, which modelled the temporal variation in CH<sub>4</sub> flux as a function of soil temperature and day of the year (Eq. S3). The modelling process is described more fully in the Section S1. Throughout all of 2016, equipment issues prevented the collection of CH<sub>4</sub>
- flux measurements. Due to this data limitation, the GWP and C balance for 2016 was calculated using the 2017 values of  $CH_4$  flux. The reported GWP and to a lesser extent C balance for 2016 should thus be interpreted with some caution. The assumption that  $CH_4$  fluxes were similar in 2016 and 2017 is partially justified by the fact that the empirical model of  $CH_4$  flux gave very similar results for 2016 and 2017 (<3% difference).

#### 15 2.7 Aquatic C losses

A thin-plate V-notch weir was installed to measure hourly discharge from a 249,000 m<sup>2</sup> catchment area on-site (as shown in Fig. 1). The weir catchment area was delineated in ARC-GIS using a digital terrain map based on LiDAR survey data from 2013. The majority of this catchment area was composed of marginal and sub-marginal uncut raised bog (>90%) as well as lightly forested drains along a bog road (<10%). Aquatic C losses as DOC and DIC were quantified at this location only, and

- 20 assumed to be the same for all ecotypes (even those adjacent to but outside of this catchment area), due to the difficulty in resolving the relative contributions of each ecotype to the total DOC flux. The DOC concentration was sampled weekly in 2016 and every 12 hours (with a few gaps) from January through November 2017. DOC samples were filtered in the field using a 0.45 μm cellulose syringe filter after rinsing the syringe and filter with 20 mL of sample. Samples were then acidified to pH 2 using 10% HCl to preserve them and stored under refrigeration at 4° C and analysed within two months.
- The DOC concentration was measured by UV absorbance as in other studies (e.g. Jager et al., 2008; Koehler et al., 2009) at wavelength 254 nm. A site specific calibration curve was determined between 254 nm UV absorbance and DOC concentration measured using a Vario Total Organic Carbon (TOC) Select Analyzer (Elementar, Langenselbold, Germany). This was undertaken on samples collected from January 2016 to April 2016, July 2016, and July 2017 ( $r^2 = 0.997$ , n=76). The error of this method was  $\pm 1.1$  mg C L<sup>-1</sup> based on the standard deviation of the residuals. The hourly discharge at the weir
- 30 was multiplied by the most recent DOC concentration measurement to calculate a C flux as DOC from the catchment. This value was then divided by the catchment area to calculate the aquatic C loss as DOC per  $m^2$ .

The DIC concentration at the weir was calculated from the aqueous partial pressure of  $CO_2$  as well as the pH and temperature using equations from Gelbrecht et al. (1998) as in Nillson et al. (2008) where dissolved  $CO_2$  was included as part of DIC. Partial pressure of  $CO_2$ , was measured on-site in triplicate by filling, then sealing a 250 mL bottle with 200 mL of water sample. Circulated air was bubbled through the sample and the change in  $CO_2$  concentration in the headspace was

5 measured over time using an EGM-4 infra-red gas analyser (PP Systems, Amesbury, USA) until the concentration was constant (10–12 minutes). The initial partial pressure of dissolved  $CO_2$  in the sample was then back calculated from the total change in  $CO_2$  concentration in the headspace. A total of 7 DIC measurements were taken at the weir between November 2016 and October 2017. The average DIC concentration was multiplied by the hourly discharge and divided by the catchment area to calculate the aquatic C loss as DIC per m<sup>2</sup>.

10

15

25

 $CO_2$  evasion occurred from the open water areas of blocked drains on the raised bog and from the functioning drain network upstream of the weir.  $CO_2$  evasion was measured in triplicate with a CPY-4 (PP systems, Amesbury, USA) chamber fitted to a small floating raft and EGM-4 gas analyser. A total of 15 measurements of  $CO_2$  evasion were conducted between two locations of blocked drains on the raised bog (Fig. 1), and 8 measurements were conducted just upstream of the weir from November 2016 to July 2017.

For the calculation of the global warming potential, 90% of the DOC loss is assumed to be converted to  $CO_2$  and 10% to longer term storage (after Evans et al., 2016), while 100% of the DOC flux is included in the calculation of the C balance for the system. All of the DIC loss is assumed to be converted to atmospheric  $CO_2$  as DIC is almost entirely composed of

20 dissolved supersaturated CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### 2.8 Statistical Analysis

The standard error and statistical significance of model fit parameters in Table S5 and Table S6 was determined using Minitab© 2018 Statistical Software with the non-linear regression function. The differences between ecotypes for C balance, CH<sub>4</sub> flux, and GWP was determined using 1-way ANOVA with the annual results from the 29 collars grouped by ecotype, which was coupled with Bonferroni honestly significant difference for multiple pair-wise comparisons. The statistical significance of ecotype annual C sinks/sources was determined using a student's t-test of the five or six collars in the ecotype. The significance of linear trends was determined using Microsoft Excell© data analysis package.

#### 2.9 Comparisons with Global Studies of Boreal and Temperate Peatlands

The annual NEE, CH<sub>4</sub> flux, and water table data from the ecotypes in this study were compared to global studies of boreal and temperate peatlands. The data from global studies was divided into three generic categories as follows: *Intact peatlands* - those peatlands that have not been mined, undergone intensive agriculture or forestry, and are not heavily impacted by drainage or other disturbance; *Bare peat sites* - previous peat extraction sites where there is an absence of vegetation cover; *Degraded/Restored/Recovering peatlands*: - peatlands that have (at some point in time) been substantially altered by previous/current land use, drainage, or peat extraction, where recovering is defined here as the "spontaneous revegetation of mined peatlands" (Poulin et al., 2005), which have had no definite action taken to rehabilitate them. This compilation of data focuses on low nutrient (if specified, pH<6) semi-natural sites, i.e. excludes sites that are actively used for intensive agriculture, forestry, or other uses.

#### **3 Results**

5

#### 3.1 Environmental Monitoring

The annual rainfall measured at Abbeyleix Bog was 746 mm in 2016 and 840 mm in 2017, compared to the 2001-2017 (the period of record) annual average of  $862 \pm 134$  mm at the Ballyroan (Oatlands) daily rainfall station, located approximately 5

10 km NE of the site. The mean annual temperature at Abbeyleix bog was 9.6° C and 9.7° C in 2016 and 2017, similar to the 30 year average (1981-2010) of 9.5° C based on a gridded interpolation of Irish climate (Walsh, 2012). Mean daily PPFD, air temperature, and monthly rainfall are shown in Figure 2 over the study period. The mean annual water table (MAWT) was within 2 cm between the two years for all ecotypes. The winter (Oct-Mar) water table was higher than summer (Apr-Sep) water table, as expected (Fig. 3). The average soil pore water pH was 4.7 (range: 4.4-5.1) for all ecotypes.

#### 15 3.2 CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> Gas Fluxes

The modeled annual GPP, ER, and NEE for each collar is shown in (Table S8). The ecotype  $CO_2$  fluxes were calculated as the average of all collars in each ecotype. The seasonal trend in modeled monthly GPP and ER were similar among all ecotypes increasing in magnitude during the summer and decreasing during the winter (Fig. 4a & 4b). The Sphagnum Cutover ecotype had the largest monthly GPP from January to June both years. The monthly ER was highest at the Calluna Cutover ecotype, especially during the summer months. The ecotypes show different seasonal trends in cumulative NEE

- 20 Cutover ecotype, especially during the summer months. The ecotypes show different seasonal trends in cumulative NEE (Fig. 4c). The Sphagnum Cutover and the Sub-Central ecotypes were net  $CO_2$  sinks (negative slope) from March (March 27 for Sub-central and March 4 for Sphagnum Cutover) to October 24, 2016 and April 24 to October 7, 2017 and  $CO_2$  sources the rest of the year, showing an overall similar pattern to other studies of intact peatlands (e.g. Gažovič et al., 2013). The Sub-Marginal ecotype is an overall moderate  $CO_2$  source both years with a minor net  $CO_2$  uptake occurring during summer
- of 2017. The Eriophorum Cutover ecotypes is approximately  $CO_2$  neutral for much of the year with short periods of  $CO_2$ uptake during the summer months. Some caution should be applied to interpreting the 2017 NEE data because the field measurements of NEE were conducted for 8 months of 2017 (Jan–Aug), although the field measurements in 2017 did encompass the warmest months of the year when the largest variation in NEE occurred.
- 30 The temporal variation in measured  $CH_4$  flux followed a seasonal trend becoming larger and more variable during the summer months, which was captured reasonably well by the model (Fig. 5, Fig. S2). Annual  $CH_4$  fluxes by ecotype are

shown in Figure 6, and for each collar in Table S8. The annual CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are highest for the Eriophorum Cutover (14.2  $\pm$ 4.8 g C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and Sub-Central ecotypes (12.6  $\pm$ 7.9 g C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), which have the highest mean annual water table (MAWT). The annual CH<sub>4</sub> flux at the Sub-Central ecotype is highly variable with a range of 1.2 to 19.3 g C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> between collars. The annual CH<sub>4</sub> flux is lowest for the Calluna Cutover ecotype (2.7  $\pm$ 1.4 g C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>).

# 5 3.3 Aquatic C Losses

The DOC concentrations showed a seasonal trend for both years - higher between approx. June and November  $(46.0 \pm 3.0 \text{ mg} \text{ L}^{-1})$  and lower between December and May  $(34.5 \pm 2.3 \text{ mg} \text{ L}^{-1})$  (Fig. 7). No trend in DOC concentration was observed with respect to discharge. The discharge at the weir site was much higher in the winter months, with a resulting higher total DOC flux over those months. Annual losses of DOC were  $8.0 \pm 1.6$  and  $12.8 \pm 2.5$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2016 and 2017, respectively.

- Seven DIC measurements were conducted at the weir site between November 2016 and October 2017. The average DIC concentration at the weir was 4.6 ±1.1 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, excluding 1 low outlier (2.2 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) on June 2, 2017 (Fig. 7). Based on this limited amount of data there is no significant trend in DIC concentration with respect to season, temperature, or discharge, so it was assumed constant throughout the 2 year study period. Annual C losses as DIC were 1.1 ±0.2 and 1.5 ±0.3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. These values of annual aquatic C loss for DOC and DIC were applied to each of the ecotypes equally when calculating the C
- 15 balance and GWP. Open water CO<sub>2</sub> evasion was measured for two blocked drains on the raised bog and just upstream of the weir. The average CO<sub>2</sub> evasion rate from the two blocked drains on the western and eastern portion of the raised bog (WHB and EHB, respectively) (n=15) was  $5.1 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$  mg C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and was somewhat higher at the weir (n=8) as  $9.2 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3.2 \times 10^{-3}$  mg C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 8).
- Based on this limited data set, there was no significant trend in evasion rate with respect to season, temperature, or (at the weir site) discharge. CO<sub>2</sub> evasion rate was thus assumed constant and extrapolated to give an annual C loss as CO<sub>2</sub> evasion of 162 ±91 g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> and 290 ±100 g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for open water blocked ditches and active drain network of the weir, respectively. The open water areas in the drain network contributing to the weir were ~0.9% of the total catchment area to give a C loss of 2.7 ±0.9 g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for the weir catchment area as a whole. As above, this was applied equally all ecotypes. Open water areas of blocked drains only occurred near one of the ecotypes (Sub-Marginal), where they were estimated to be 2.8% of the total surface area. This gives an additional C loss in the Sub-Marginal ecotype of 4.5 ±2.6 g C-
  - $CO_2 m^{-2} yr^{-1}$ .

#### 3.4 Carbon Balance and GWP by Ecotype

The NEE, CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, and the aquatic losses of C were compiled to calculate the C balance and GWP for each ecotype (Fig. 30 9), with collar specific data shown in Table S8. Two of the ecotypes were on average C sinks both years: the Sphagnum Cutover (-29.8  $\pm$  42 g-C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2016 and -30.0  $\pm$  40 g-C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2017) and the Sub-Central ecotypes (-53.0  $\pm$  37 g-C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2016 and -62.4  $\pm$  46 g-C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2017), but only the Sub-Central ecotype was a statistically significant carbon

sink based on a student's t-test (p= 0.018 in 2016 and p=0.021 in 2017, n=6). The Calluna Cutover ecotype was a substantial C source of  $234 \pm 52$  g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> and  $175 \pm 61$  g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2016 and 2017, respectively. This ecotype was significantly higher than all the other ecotypes in 2016 (p < 0.001) and 2017 (p=0.017) (Fig. 9a). The Sub-Marginal, Eriophorum Cutover, and Sphagnum Cutover ecotypes showed no statistically significant difference from C neutral both

- 5 years. However, the Sub-Marginal ecotype had one collar, which was a low outlier (Table S8); this collar is much more similar ecologically and hydrologically to the Sub-Central ecotype (Table S7). If this low outlier is removed, then the Sub-Marginal ecotype is a significant C source both years (p=0.003 for 2016 and p=0.003 for 2017, n=5), based on a student's ttest. Removing this outlier, the Sub-Marginal ecotype is a significantly higher C source than the Sub-Central (p= 0.007) and Sphagnum Cutover (p=0.046) ecotype in 2016, and higher than the Sphagnum Cutover ecotype to marginal significance
- 10 (p=0.057) in 2017. There is substantial variation between collars within each ecotype for NEE and  $CH_4$  flux, which is the largest source of error in ecotype C balance and GWP.

All ecotypes had an average positive GWP both years, with the lowest average GWP of 1.2 ± 2.6 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>-eq m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at the Sphagnum Cutover ecotype and the highest average GWP occurring at the Calluna Cutover ecotype of 8.6 ± 3.3 tonnes
CO<sub>2</sub>-eq m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 9b). The *Sphagnum* dominated ecotypes, Sphagnum Cutover and Sub-Central, were on average the lowest GWP sources, with the Sphagnum Cutover ecotype lower than the Calluna Cutover ecotype to a high degree of significance (p<0.001) and significantly lower (p= 0.001 for 2016 and p=0.010 for 2017) than the Eriophorum Cutover ecotype both years. The Sub-Central ecotype was significantly lower (p<0.001 for 2016 and p=0.020 for 2017) than the Calluna Cutover ecotype in 2016 and 20 2017, respectively. CH<sub>4</sub> emissions account for the majority of the total GWP in all other ecotypes (72-210%). Thus, the

differences between ecotype GWP should be interpreted with some caution for 2016, with  $CH_4$  flux assumed to be the same as 2017.

# 3.5 Drivers of NEE and GWP

Environmental drivers of the annual NEE, CH<sub>4</sub> flux, and GWP were analyzed by comparing the data from each of the 29
collars. There is a significant (slope = -5.8 ± 2.6, p=0.015, n=29) but weak (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.16) negative linear correlation between the two year average annual NEE and the MAWT (Fig. 10a). This particular data set is skewed by the Sphagnum Cutover ecotype, where there is a relatively low water table and a moderate CO<sub>2</sub> sink due to the presence of *Sphagnum spp*. hummocks. If the Sphagnum Cutover ecotype is excluded, the linear regression between average annual NEE and MAWT is more highly significant (slope = -9.2 ± 2.8, p = 0.003, n=29) with a stronger correlation (r<sup>2</sup> = 0. 35). The annual CH<sub>4</sub> flux has a significant (slope = 0.57 ± 0.11, p < 0.001, n=29) positive linear correlation (r<sup>2</sup>=0.51) with the average MAWT (Fig. 10b).

The trends in CH<sub>4</sub> flux and NEE with respect to MAWT offset each other such that there is no trend (slope =  $0.04 \pm 0.09$ , p = 0.61, n= 29, r<sup>2</sup> < 0.01) in GWP with respect to mean annual water table (Fig. 10c).

The collar annual average GWP has a highly significant (slope = -0.067  $\pm$  0.010, p < 0.001, n=1) negative linear correlation (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.63) with the percent *Sphagnum spp.* cover in the collar (Fig. 10f). The percentage *Sphagnum spp.* cover and *Eriophorum spp.* cover in the collar seem to be correlated in a non-linear fashion with the average annual NEE and the annual CH<sub>4</sub> flux, respectively (Fig. 10 d,e). In particular, the annual CH<sub>4</sub> flux is greater than ~9 g C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for all collars where the percentage *Eriophorum spp.* cover is higher than 10%.

#### 3.6 Comparison with Global Studies

The annual NEE and  $CH_4$  flux from this study were compared to a compilation of literature data from global studies of boreal and temperate peatlands. This comparison is shown graphically in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 and in tabular form in Table S9.

- 10 For both vegetated and bare peat sites, there is a negative correlation between MAWT and NEE (Fig. 11). Annual NEE for vegetated sites followed a linear trend with respect to MAWT with slope of -4.5 g  $C-CO_2 m^{-2} yr^{-1}$  per cm rise in MAWT and an intercept of -92 g  $C-CO_2 m^{-1} yr^{-1}$ .
- The *Sphagnum* dominated ecotypes in this study (Sphagnum Cutover and Sub-Central) were just below the overall trend line for vegetated sites in Fig. 11. The Sub-Central ecotype in this study has continuous *Sphagnum spp*. lawns similar to an intact peatland. This ecotype has a mean annual NEE of  $-85 \pm 67$  g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> and a mean annual water table of -8.2 cm. This is close to the overall average NEE (-60 g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and mean annual water table (-9 cm) for intact peatlands shown in this figure. The other ecotypes in this study were higher than the overall trend line for vegetated sites in Fig. 11. The Calluna Cutover ecotype from this study had an exceptionally high average annual NEE (188 ± 79 g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) for the given
- 20 average MAWT (-18.6 cm) compared to the NEE (-5 g C-CO<sub>2</sub>  $m^{-2} yr^{-1}$ ) predicted from the best fit trend line of vegetated sites.

Similarly, annual/seasonal CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are plotted against MAWT (Fig. 12). Reported CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from drained peatlands are quite low and typically do not exceed 0.6 g C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in sites with a MAWT below -30 cm. There is a high degree of variability in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in sites where the MAWT is higher than -20 cm. This figure excludes infilled ditches, which can be hotspots for CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Waddington and Day, 2000). For example, Cooper et al. (2014) reports 53.9 g C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for infilled ditches (Cooper et al., 2014). There are few studies that have reported CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from bare peat sites, and the results are generally low (mean of -0.03 g C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) even at high water table. The data from the ecotypes in this study fall well within the range of the CH<sub>4</sub> flux values in Fig. 12 for given MAWTs.

30

5

There are a few cautionary notes that should accompany these plots. First, some of this data was collected using the closed chamber method and some collected using eddy covariance methods. Although both methods measure the same metric (NEE), closed chamber methods are inherently micro-scale while eddy-covariance methods are inherently landscape scale,

as are the water table measurements accompanying them. Eddy-covariance measurements spatially integrate the microvariations within the landscape compared to closed chamber measurements. Much of the NEE data reported in Figure 11 for intact peatlands are from eddy-covariance flux towers while there are very few studies that have used this technique on degraded/restored/recovering peatlands. This may cause apparently higher variation in NEE for degraded/restored/recovering

5 peatlands. Second, many of the studies on boreal peatlands report only growing season NEE and water table because of frozen winter conditions. Data collected from literature included in Fig 11 and Fig 12 are reported as is without attempting to account for the differences in growing season vs. annual values. Though, non-growing season gas fluxes can account for ~15% of annual fluxes for boreal peatlands (Saarnio et al., 2007). Third, this figure contains data points from different locations as well as the same location over multiple years where data is available. The data used to compile Figs. 11 and 12

10 and additional studies can be found in Supplemental Section 3, Table S9.

# Discussion

#### 4.1 Comparison between ecotype NEE and CH<sub>4</sub> flux

All of the ecotypes in this study were on average GWP sources both years, which was statistically significant for all but the Sphagnum Cutover ecotype both years. This is in agreement with others studies, which have found that even relatively high quality restored bogs tend to have positive overall GWP (Renou-Wilson et al., 2018b). The *Sphagnum spp*. dominated ecotypes (Sphagnum Cutover and Sub-Central) were on average the lowest GWP sources, and plot scale *Sphagnum spp*. cover had a statistically significant negative correlation to the GWP. In terms of restoration, this suggests that there is a direct GHG benefit for establishing high quality bog vegetation such as *Sphagnum spp*.

- There is some debate about the use of GWP as a metric for peatlandsbecause this metric focuses on a 100-year time window, which may not be appropriate. For example, "the long-term sequestration of CO<sub>2</sub> into stable organic matter gradually outweighs the warming effect of CH<sub>4</sub>, due to the shorter atmospheric lifetime of the latter, so that natural peatlands exert a net cooling impact on the atmosphere over longer periods" i.e. the Holocene (Evans et al., 2016; Frolking et al., 2006). This means that peatland preservation is beneficial (in terms of warming impact) despite CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. However, peatland restoration projects may impact the eco-hydrological trajectory on a shorter time scale (i.e. decadal as opposed to
- millennial) in which case, the increased  $CH_4$  emissions resulting from restoration works (such as raising the water table) may be proportionally more important to consider for the overall greenhouse gas effect. This would mean that the 100-year GWP may be a more appropriate metric for restored peatlands than intact peatlands.
- 30 For the cutover ecotypes in this study, it is interesting to observe that ecotypes with identical site history, close physical proximity, similar soils, and only subtle differences in hydrology can have substantial differences in the NEE, CH<sub>4</sub> flux, and

resulting GWP. In the cutover areas of Abbeyleix Bog, a mosaic of ecotypes has naturally developed in the time since abandonment. The resulting C cycling is highly spatially variable throughout the cutover bog: the Calluna Cutover ecotype is a considerable carbon source; the Eriophorum Cutover ecotype is approximately carbon neutral; and the Sphagnum Cutover ecotype is on average a moderate carbon sink. Also, the Eriophorum Cutover ecotype was found to produce much higher

5  $CH_4$  emissions than the other two cutover ecotypes. The Sphagnum Cutover ecotype in this study was a statistically significant lower GWP source than the other ecotypes on the cutover bog and a substantially lower  $CO_2$  source than the Calluna Cutover Ecotype, although the Sphagnum Cutover ecotype was located within 30 m of the Calluna Cutover ecotype.

The Calluna Cutover ecotype was not only a larger  $CO_2$  source than the other ecotypes in this study, but also much higher

- 10 than values reported in the literature for degraded/restored/recovering bogs at a comparable MAWT (as in Fig. 11). This may be due to the longer time post-abandonment than many other studies because the  $CO_2$  emissions from peat soils can possibly increase with post-extraction time (Rankin et al., 2018; Waddington et al., 2002). If this is true, differences in ecohydrological trajectory (e.g. between the Sphagnum Cutover and Calluna Cutover ecotypes) may even result in a divergent trend in the global warming impact over time, which would underscore the important of restoration as soon as possible post-
- 15 extraction.

Similarly, the two ecotypes on the restored raised bog share a similar site history, i.e. both were restored by drain blocking 6 years prior to the start of the study. The Sub-Central ecotype was on average a C sink while the lower quality Sub-Marginal area was on average a moderate carbon source in 2016 and 2017 despite only minor differences in hydrology (Fig. 3). This is

- 20 an example where the successful restoration of a continuous *Sphagnum* moss layer has resulted in an improved C sink. The Sub-Central ecotype had an average annual NEE that was similar to other studies on intact bog locations (as in Fig. 11, & Helftler et al., 2015; McVeigh et al., 2014; Nugent et al., 2018, etc.). This demonstrates that restored bogs can be returned to a similar CO<sub>2</sub> sink as intact bogs with a recovery of eco-hydrological conditions, agreeing with Nugent et al. (2018), but possibly depending on the initial level of disturbance (Renou-Wilson et al., 2018a).
- 25

The eco-hydrological conditions seem to be what determines GHG emissions, rather than time since restoration/abandonment. The data here do not support the hypothesis that time since restoration/abandonment *per se* is an important factor in the GHG emissions (once vegetation is established as discussed below). This is evidenced by the fact that ecotypes with the same site history (e.g. the cutover ecotypes or the raised bog ecotypes) can have very different C cycling.

30 Also, locations with very different site history (e.g. the Sphagnum Cutover and Sub-Central ecotypes) can have similarities in plant ecology, C balance, and GWP.

#### 4.2 Aquatic C losses

Only a handful of previous studies have concurrently quantified annual fluxes of all major aspects of the C balance for a peatland site (Table 2). Of these, only one study, to the authors' knowledge, (Nugent et al., 2018) has concurrently measured annual NEE,  $CH_4$  flux, and DOC flux for a restored peatland site.

5

10

20

The annual C export as DOC measured in this study was lower than the value reported in Dinsmore et al. (2010) from Auchencorth Moss, Scotland, which is similarly located in a temperate oceanic climate. The annual DOC export measured at Abbeyleix Bog was also on the lower end of the range  $(5-36 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  reported for temperate peatlands in the review by Evans et al. (2016). The DIC losses in this study (1.3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, including super-saturated CO<sub>2</sub> as DIC) are lower than the values reported in Nilsson et al. (2008) and Dinsmore et al. (2010) of 2.0 and 4.6 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This is partially because the average DIC concentration measured in this study (4.6 ±1.1 mg C L<sup>-1</sup>) is somewhat lower than that reported in Nillson et al. (2008) of 9.6 mg C L<sup>-1</sup> and at Auchencorth Moss (Dinsmore et al., 2013) of 8.65 mg C L<sup>-1</sup>. The annual open water CO<sub>2</sub> evasion found in this study (2.7 or 7.2 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) is lower than what was reported in Dinsmore et al. (2010)

- (12.7 g C  $m^{-2} yr^{-1}$ ), but this is dependent on the geometry of the system as water surface area is a factor in the calculation.
- 15 Also, the floating chamber method used in this study may have underestimated total CO<sub>2</sub> evasion (Dinsmore et al., 2010).

Although the NEE is the most variable component of the C balance and often drives the trends in the overall C balance, it is not necessarily the largest component of the C balance. Other aspects of the C balance become proportionally more important when the NEE is near neutral. For example, the NEE at the Eriophorum Cutover ecotype in 2016 was  $+3 \pm 61$  g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. The magnitude of the aquatic C loss in 2016 (11.8  $\pm$ 1.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) was actually larger than the average NEE

for this ecotype.

#### 4.2 Implications for Peatland Management and Restoration

Peatland management and restoration is primarily able to alter 1) the hydrology, typically managing the water table through drainage or drain blocking and 2) the plant ecology, through revegetation efforts and controlling invasive species (Andersen et al., 2017). If peatland management is used as a climate change mitigation tool [as suggested in Birkin et al. (2011); Wilson et al. (2013); Leifeld and Menichetti (2018)], then, the impact of these actions on C balance, CH<sub>4</sub> flux, and GWP must be considered. The trends in Fig. 11 could be used to predict the NEE impact of rewetting and/or revegetating a peatland. However, the trend in NEE with respect to MAWT in Fig. 11 should be interpreted with some caution because of the difficulty of generalizing across sites based on simple water table proxies (Wilson et al., 2016a). For example, there was a

30 "highly peatland-specific dependency (i.e., with different offsets and slopes) of the CO<sub>2</sub> response to water table depth" for grassland peatlands in Germany (Tiemeyer et al., 2017). The reader is directed toward various formal literature reviews,

which have considered the impact of re-wetting or water table on peatland  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  emissions (Haddaway et al., 2014; Junkurst and Fielder, 2007; Saarnio, et al., 2007; Turetsky et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2016a).

Higher water table generally corresponds to increased CH<sub>4</sub> emissions and reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Wilson et al., 2016a),
which was found in this study as well. For sites with a higher water table, the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake tends to outweigh the higher CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Junkurst and Fielder, 2007) such that rewetting of a drained peatland has often been observed to result in an overall reduction in GWP (Renou-Wilson et al., 2018b; Wilson et al., 2016a; Wilson et al., 2016b). However, this is not necessarily the case because of the high degree of variability for reported methane emissions. For example, in this study, the Eriophorum Cutover ecotype (with the highest MAWT) was found to have a higher GWP than the Sphagnum Cutover
ecotype (with a much lower MAWT) both years. Also, this study found that the plot scale GWP showed no trend with respect to MAWT.

As shown in Figure 11, bare peat sites have a higher NEE than vegetated sites at a given MAWT, and these trend lines diverge at higher MAWT. As it can take decades for vegetation to be established in industrially mined peatlands (Wilson et al., 2015), these data would suggest that restoration to encourage plant colonization could reduce the short term CO<sub>2</sub> emissions even if no other restoration works are undertaken. Further, peatlands may be large C sinks in the years immediately post restoration as vegetation recovers due to the rapid, subsequent increase in vegetation biomass. For example, an annual NEE of -473 g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> was reported by Waddington et al. (2010) one year post restoration for sites where herbaceous vegetation increased dramatically. This may explain some of the low outliers in Figure 11 for degraded/recovering sites. Three of the low outliers in Figure 11 are from Strack et al. (2014), which is 4 years post restoration with a growing season NEE of -162, -121- and -126 g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> for plots with mean seasonal water tables of -21.3, -24.9 and -28.2 cm, respectively. On the other hand, the low CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rewetted bare peat soils suggests that the methanogenesis is limited by substrate availability in cutover peatlands (Tuittila et al., 2000; Tuittila et al., 1999).Thus, establishing vegetation on a cutover peatland could increase methane emissions compared to bare peat, even so, restored

25 peatlands often have lower  $CH_4$  flux than intact reference sites (e.g. Nugent et al., 2018).

The results from this study demonstrate the importance of establishing a *Sphagnum* moss for C sink and GWP. This is somewhat contradictory to Wilson et al. (2016b), who found that locations in a restored Irish peatland with only *Eriophorum angustifolium* had a stronger  $CO_2$  sink and lower GWP than locations with *Eriophorum* and *Sphagnum* together. Still, the

30 successful restoration of *Sphagnum* on a mined peatland has been found to result in a stable and strong C sink function (e.g. Nugent et al., 2018). Also, Strack et al. (2016) found that variation in  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  flux was lower for natural sites, with a high percent moss cover, than restored sites with a lower percent moss cover. Thus, the re-establishment of *Sphagnum* moss seems to be tied to a consistent C sink function, as was found in this study.

#### **5** Conclusion

In general, this study found large differences in carbon balance and GWP emissions of various ecotypes in a recovering cutover bog despite of the close physical proximity (within 200 m), similarities in soil, and a shared site history. This highlights the importance of microscale hydrological variations on the eco-hydrological trajectory and need for more

5 research on the eco-hydrology of degraded bogs as well as the hydrological requirements for successful restoration. On both a recovering cutover bog and a drain blocked raised bog, lower GWP was observed where there had been recovery of high quality peatland vegetation such as Sphagnum spp.

At the plot scale, the trends in  $CH_4$  flux and C balance with respect to MAWT offset each other such that there is no trend in GWP with respect to MAWT. The collar annual average GWP showed a highly significant negative linear correlation with 10 the plot scale percent Sphagnum spp. cover. Altogether, this demonstrates the greenhouse gas benefit of restoring degraded bogs back to active, Sphagnum dominated systems.

As degraded peatlands are major aspects of the European landscape and given their importance to global greenhouse gas emissions, it is valuable to continue building a database of greenhouse gas emissions from peatlands and the effects of 15 peatland management and restoration. This requires three aspects of future research: 1. More field data is needed to thoroughly characterize the wide range of peatlands and drivers of peatland greenhouse gas emissions; 2. The types of data collected, methods used, and format of reporting this data need to be streamlined across the scientific community; 3. The data from the growing number of studies focused on peatland greenhouse gas emissions needs to be compiled in accessible 20 ways to both the scientific community and policy managers.

#### **Data Availability**

25

Much of the data on the various aspects of the annual C balance including all the data behind Fig. 6, Fig. 9, Fig. 10, Fig. 11, and Fig. 12 can be found in the supplemental material. All other data used in this study are archived by the authors and are available on request (swensonm@tcd.ie).

# **Supplemental Information**

Section S1. A description of the NEE and  $CH_4$  flux models tested and the thought behind these models. Also, for each of the 29 collars in this study, the empirical fitting parameters, and statistical information for the GPP and ER models used. Section S2. Eco-hydrological conditions and C balance terms for all collars, both years of this study.

*Section S3.* Data collected from literature on peatland C balance and other site information. This section includes the data behind Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 as well as other studies.

# **Author Contribution**

5 Michael Swenson collected and analyzed the majority of the field data and prepared the manuscript with contributions from other co-authors. Shane Regan attained the grant award, determined the field site location, and contributed to setting up the field equipment and measuring infrastructure. Dirk Bremmers collected CH<sub>4</sub> flux data in the field and analyzed gas samples in the lab. Jenna Lawless collected field measurements of DIC and CO<sub>2</sub> evasion. Shane Regan, Matt Saunders and Laurence Gill contributed technical advice and guidance throughout the project implementation and manuscript writing stages.

#### 10 Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgements

Environmental Protection Agency (Ireland) for funding the project (project ref: 2014-NC-MS-2); Fernando Fernandez and Jim Ryan (National Parks and Wildlife Service, Ireland); Dr. Maria Strack for providing the collar specific data of NEE, and

15 CH<sub>4</sub> flux which are presented but not explicitly reported in Strack et al. (2014) and were included in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12; Abbeyleix Bog Project, LTD for endless encouragement and help; Trinity College lab technicians and support.

#### References

20

Andersen, R., Farrell, C., Graf. M., Muller, F., Calvar, E., Frankard, P., Caporn, S., and Anderson, P.: An overview of the progress and challenges of peatland restoration in Western Europe, Restoration Ecology, 25(2), 271–282, doi: 10.1111/rec.12415. 2017.

Augustin, J. and Joosten, H.: Peatland rewetting and the greenhouse effect, IIMCG Newsletter, 3(2007), 12–14, 2007.

- Bacon, K. L., Baird, A. J., and Blundell, A. et al.: Questioning ten common assumptions about peatlands, Mires and Peat, 19(12), 1–23, doi: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.253, **2017**.
- Bain, C. G., Bonn, A., Stoneman, R., Chapman, S., Coupar, A., Evans, M., Gearey, B. et al., IUCN UK Commission of
  Inquiry on Peatlands. Project Report. IUCN UK Peatland Programme, Edinburgh, 2011.
  - Baird, A., Holden, J., and Chapman, P.: A Literature Review of Evidence on Emissions of Methane in Peatlands, Defra Project SP0574, 44(0), 1–54, **2009**.

- Barry, C. D., Renou-Wilson, F., Wilson, D., Müller, C., and Foy, R. H., Magnitude, form and bioavailability of fluvial carbon exports from Irish organic soils under pasture. Aquatic Sciences. 78, 541-560, **2016**.
- Ballantyne, D. M., Hribljan, J. A., Pypker, T. G., and Chimner, R. A.: Long-term water table manipulations alter peatland gaseous carbon fluxes in Northern Michigan, Wetlands Ecology and Management, 22(1), 35–47, doi: 10.1007/s11273-013-9320-8, 2014.
- Billett, M. F., Charman, D. J., Clark, J. M., et al.: Carbon balance of UK peatlands: Current state of knowledge and future research challenges, Climate Research, 45(1), 13–29, doi: 10.3354/cr00903, **2010**.
- Birkin, L. J., Bailey, S., Brewis, F. E., and Way, L.: The requirement for improving greenhouse gases flux estimates for peatlands in the UK, Joint Nature Conservation Committee, JNCC report No: 457, ISSN 0963 8901, **2011**.
- 10 Blodau, C. Carbon cycling in peatlands A review of processes and controls, Environmental Reviews, 10(2), 111–134, doi: 10.1139/a02-004, 2002.
  - Chimner, R., A., Pypker, T. G., Hribljan, J. A., Moore, P. A., and Waddington, J. M.: Multi-decadal Changes in Water Table Levels Alter Peatland Carbon Cycling, Ecosystems, 20(5), 1042–1057, doi: 10.1007/s10021-016-0092-x, 2017.
- 15 Connolly, J. and Holden, N.: Detecting peatland drains with Object based Image Analysis and Geoeye-1 imagery, Carbon balance and management 12(1): 7, 2017.
  - Connolly, J. and Holden, N.: Mapping peat soils in Ireland: updating the derived Irish peat map. Irish Geography 42(3): 343-352, **2009**.
- Cooper, M. D., Evans, C. D., Zielinski, P. et al.: Infilled Ditches are Hotspots of Landscape Methane Flux Following Peatland Re-wetting. Ecosystems, doi: 10.1007/s10021-014-9791-3, **2014**.
  - Danevčič, T., Mandic-Mulec, I., Stres, B., Stopar, D., and Hacin, J.: Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from Southern European peatlands, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 42(9), 1437–1446, **2010**.

Dise, N. B.: Peatland response to global change, Science 326(5954): 810-811, 2009.

- Dinsmore, K. J., Billett, M. F., and Dyson, K. E.: Temperature and precipitation drive temporal variability in aquatic carbon
   and GHG concentrations and fluxes in a peatland catchment, Global Change Biology, 19(7), 2133–2148, doi: 10.1111/gcb.12209, 2013.
  - Dinsmore, K. J., Billett, M. F., Skiba, U. M., Rees, R.M., Drewer, J., and Helfter, C.: Role of the aquatic pathway in the carbon and greenhouse gas budgets of a peatland catchment, Global Change Biology, 16(10), 2750–2762, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02119.x, **2010**.
- 30 Duffy, P., Hanley, E., Hyde, B., O'Brien, P., Ponzi, J., Cotter, E., and Black, K.: National Inventory Report 2014, Greenhouse Gas Emissions 1990-2012 Reported to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Environmental Protection Agency Ireland, An Ghníomhaireacht Um Chaomhnú Comhshaoil, 2014.
  - Evans, C. D., Renou-Wilson, F., and Strack, M.: The role of waterborne carbon in the greenhouse gas balance of drained and re-wetted peatlands. Aquatic Sciences, 78(3), 573–590. doi: 10.1007/s00027-015-0447-y, **2016**.

- Frenzel, P., and Karofeld, E.: CH<sub>4</sub> emission from a hollow-ridge complex in a raised bog : The role of CH<sub>4</sub> production and oxidation, Biogeochemistry 51: 91–112, **2000**.
- Fritz, C., Pancotto, V. A., Elzenga, J. T., Visser, E. J., Grootjans, A. P., Pol, A., Iturranspe, R., Roelofs. J. G., and Smolders,
  A. J. Zero methane emission bogs: extreme rhizosphere oxygenation by cushion plants in Patagonia. New Pathologist, New Phytologist. 190: 398-408, 2011.

- Frolking, S., Roulet, N., and Fuglestvedt, J.: How northern peatlands influence the Earth's radiative budget: Sustained methane emission versus sustained carbon sequestration, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 111(1), 1–10, doi: 0.1029/2005JG000091, 2006.
- Gažovič, M., Forbrich, I., Jager, D. F., Kutzbach, L., Wille, C., and Wilmking, M.: Hydrology-driven ecosystem
  respiration determines the carbon balance of a boreal peatland, Science of the Total Environment, 463–464, 675–682, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.06.077, 2013.
  - Gelbrecht, J., Fait, M., Dittrich, M.: Use of GC and equilibrium calculations of CO<sub>2</sub> saturation index to indicate whether freshwater bodies in north-eastern Germany are net sources or sinks for atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, Biogeochemistry 51: 91–112, **1998**.
- 15 Gorham, E.: Northern Peatlands : Role in the Carbon Cycle and Probable Responses to Climatic Warming. Ecological Applications, 1(2) 182–195, **1991**.
  - Gray, A., Levy, P. E., Cooper, M. D. et al.:2013). Methane indicator values for peatlands: a comparison of species and functional groups, Global Change Biology, 19(4), 1141–1150, doi: 10.1111/gcb.12120, **2013**.
  - Haddaway, N. R., Burden, A., Evans, C. D., Healey, J. R., Jones, D. L., Dalrymple, S. E., and Pullin, A. S.: Evaluating
- 20 effects of land management on greenhouse gas fluxes and carbon balances in boreo-temperate lowland peatland systems, Environmental Evidence, 3(1), 5,doi: 10.1186/2047-2382-3-5, **2014**.
  - Helfter, C., Campbell, C., Dinsmore, K. J. et al.: Drivers of long-term variability in CO<sub>2</sub> net ecosystem exchange in a temperate peatland, Biogeosciences, 12(6), 1799–1811, doi: 10.5194/bg-12-1799-2015, **2015**.
- Jager, D. F., Wilmking, M., Kukkonen, J. V. K.: The influence of summer seasonal extremes on dissolved organic carbon
   export from a boreal peatland catchment: evidence from one dry and one wet growing season, The Science of the Total Environment, 407(4), 1373–82, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.10.005, 2009.
  - Jungkunst, H. F., and Fiedler, S.: Latitudinal differentiated water table control of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide fluxes from hydromorphic soils: Feedbacks to climate change, Global Change Biology, 13(12), 2668–2683, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01459.x, **2007**.
- Koehler, A. K., Sottocornola, M., and Kiely, G.: How strong is the current carbon sequestration of an Atlantic blanket bog?,
   Global Change Biology, 17(1), 309–319, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02180.x, 2011.
  - Koehler, A. K., Murphy, K., Kiely, G., and Sottocornola, M.: Seasonal variation of DOC concentration and annual loss of DOC from an Atlantic blanket bog in South Western Ireland, Biogeochemistry, 95(2–3), 231–242, doi: 10.1007/s10533-009-9333-9, **2009**.

- Laine, J., Silvola, J., Tolonen, K. et al.: Effect of water-level drawdown on global climatic warming: Northern peatlands, Ambio, 25(3), 179–184, doi: 10.2307/4314450, **1996**.
- Leifeld, J. and Menichetti, L.: The underappreciated potential of peatlands in global climate change mitigation strategies, Nature Communications 9(1): 1071, **2018**.
- 5 Levy, P. E., and Gray, A.:2015). Greenhouse gas balance of a pristine peat bog in northern Scotland, Environmental Research Letters, 10(March), 1–17, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/9/094019, 2015.
  - Lund, M., Christensen, T. R., Lindroth, A., and Schubert, P.: Effects of drought conditions on the carbon dioxide dynamics in a temperate peatland, Environmental Research Letters, 7(4), doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/045704, **2012**.
- 10 McNamara, N. P., Plant, T., Oakley, S., Ward, S., Wood, C., and Ostle, N.: Gully hotspot contribution to landscape methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) fluxes in a northern peatland, Science of the Total Environment, 404, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.03.015, **2008**.
  - Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Chapter 8, Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. IPCC Working Group I, Contribution to AR5, **2013**.
- 15 McVeigh, P., Sottocornola, M., Foley, N., Leahy, P., and Kiely, G.: Meteorological and functional response partitioning to explain interannual variability of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange at an Irish Atlantic blanket bog, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 194, 8–19. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.01.017, **2014**.
- Nilsson, M., Sagerfors, J., Buffam, I., et al.: Contemporary carbon accumulation in a boreal oligotrophic minerogenic mire -A significant sink after accounting for all C-fluxes, Global Change Biology 14(10) 2317–2332, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01654.x, 2008.
  - Nugent, K. A., Strachan, I. B., Strack, M., Roulet, N. T., Rochefort, L. Multi-year net ecosystem carbon balance of a restored peatland reveals a return to carbon sink. Glob Change Biol. 2018;24:5751–5768, DOI: 10.1111/gcb.14449, **2018**.
- Nykänen, H., Alm, J., Silvola, J., Tolonen, K., and Martikainen, P. J.: Methane fluxes on boreal peatlands of
   different fertility and the effect of long-term experimental lowering of the water table on flux rates, Global
   Biogeochemical Cycles, 12(1), 53–69, **1998**.
  - Page, S. and Baird, A.: Peatlands and global change: response and resilience, Annual Review of Environmentand Resources 41: 35-57, **2016**.
- Peichl, M., Öquist, M., Löfvenius, M. O., Ilstedt, U., Sagerfors, J., Grelle, A., Lindroth, A., and Nilsson, M. B.: A 12year record reveals pre-growing season temperature and water table level threshold effects on the net carbon dioxide exchange in a boreal fen, Environmental Research Letters, 9(5), 055006, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/9/5/055006, 2014.
  - Pypker, T. G., Moore, P. A., Waddington, J. M., Hribljan, J. A., and Chimner, R. C.: Shifting environmental controls on CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in a sub-boreal peatland, Biogeosciences, 10(12), 7971–7981, doi: 10.5194/bg-10-7971-2013, **2013**.

- Pärn, J., Verhoeven, J. T. A., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dise, N. B., Ullah, S., Aasa, A., Egorov, S., and Espenberg, M., et al.: Nitrogen-rich organic soils under warm well-drained conditions are global nitrous oxide emissions hotspots. Nature Communications, 9:1135, doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03540-1.5194/bg-10-7971- 2013, 2018.
- Poulin, M., Rochefort, L., Quinty, F., & Lavoie, C. Spontaneous revegetation of mined peatlands in eastern Canada.
- Canadian Journal of Botany, 83(5), 539–557. http://doi.org/10.1139/b05-025, 2005.
  - Rankin, T., Strachan, I. B., & Strack, M. Carbon dioxide and methane exchange at a post- extraction, unrestored peatland. Ecological Engineering, 122, 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.06.021, **2018**.
  - Raghoebarsing, A., Smolders, A. J. P., Schmid, M. C. et al.: Methanotrophic symbionts provide carbon for photosynthesis in peat bogs, Nature, 436(7054), 1153–1156, doi: 10.1038/nature03802, 2005.
- 10 Renou-Wilson, F., Moser, G., Fallon, D., Farrell, C. A., Müller, C., Wilson, D.: Rewetting degraded peatlands for climate and biodiversity benefits: Results from two raised bogs, Ecological Engineering, (August 2017), 0–1, doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.02.014, 2018a.
  - Renou-wilson, F., Wilson, D., Rigney, C., Byrne, K., Farrell, C., and Müller, C.: Network Monitoring Rewetted and Restored Peatlands / Organic Soils for Climate and Biodiversity Benefits (NEROS), EPA Research Ireland, Report No. 236, 2018b.
  - Saarnio, S., Morero, M., Shurpali, N. J., Tuittila, E.-S., Mäkila, M., Alm, J. Annual CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes of pristine boreal mires as a background for the lifecycle analysis of peat energy. Boreal Environmental Research 12: 101-113, **2007**.
- Schooten, M. G. C. (editor): Conservation and Restoration of Raised Bogs: Geological, Hydrological and Ecological Studies. Duchas The Heratige Service of the Department of the Environment and Local Government, Ireland, ISBN 0-7557-1559-4, 2002.
  - Silvola, J., Alm, J., Ahlholm, U., Nykanen, H., and Martikainen, P. J.: CO<sub>2</sub> Fluxes from Peat in Boreal Mires under Varying Temperature and Moisture Conditions, Journal of Ecology, 84(2), 219–228, **1996**.
  - Strachan, I. B., Pelletier, L., and Bonneville, M-C.: Inter-annual variability in water table depth controls net ecosystem carbon dioxide exchange in a boreal bog, Biogeochemistry, 127(1), 99–111, doi: 10.1007/s10533-015-
- 25 0170-8, **2016**.

- Strack, M., Cagampan, J., Hassanpour, F. G., and Keith, A. M., Nugent, K., Rankin, T., Robinson, C., Strachan, I. B., Waddington, J. M, and Xu, B.: Controls on plot-scale growing season CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in restored peatlands: Do they differ from unrestored and natural sites?, Mires and Peat, 17, 1–18, doi: 10.5194/bg-14-257-2017, 2016.
- 30 Strack, M., Keith, A. M., and Xu. B.: Growing season carbon dioxide and methane exchange at a restored peatland on the Western Boreal Plain, Ecological Engineering, 64, 231–239. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.12.013, 2014.
  - Tanneberger, F., Tegetmeyer, C., and Busse, S. et al.: The peatland map of Europe, Mires and Peat, 19(2015), 1–17, doi: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264, **2017**.

- Tiemeyer, B., Borraz, E. A., Augustin, J. et al.: High emissions of greenhouse gases from grasslands on peat and other organic soils, Global Change Biology, 22(12), 4134–4149. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13303, **2016**.
- Tuittila, E. S., Komulainen, V. M., Vasander, H., Nykanen, H., Martikainen, P. J., and Laine, J.: Methane dynamics of a restored cut-away peatland, Global Change Biology, 6(5), 569–581. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00341.x, **2000**.
- 5 Tuittila, E. S., Komulainen, V. M., Vasander, H., and Laine, J.: Restored cut-away peatland as a sink for atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, Oecologia, 120(4), 563–574, doi: 10.1007/s004420050891, **1999**.
  - Turetsky, M. R., Kotowska, A., Bubier, J., Dise, N. B., Crill, P., Hornibrook, E. R. C., ... Wilmking, M. A synthesis of methane emissions from 71 northern, temperate, and subtropical wetlands. Global Change Biology, 20(7), 2183– 2197. <u>http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12580</u>. 2014.
- 10 Vanselow-Algan, M., Schmidt, S. R., Greven, M., Fiencke, C., Kutzbach, L., and Pfeiffer, E-M.: High methane emissions dominate annual greenhouse gas balances 30 years after bog rewetting, Biogeosciences Discussions, 12(3), 2809–2842, doi: 10.5194/bgd-12-2809-2015, 2015.
- Von Arnold, K., Nilsson, M., Hånell, B., Weslien, P., and Klemedtsson, L.: Fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from drained organic soils in deciduous forests, Soil Biology and Biochemistry 37(6), 1059–1071, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.11.004, 2005.
  - Waddington, J. M., Strack, M., and Greenwood, M. J.: Toward restoring the net carbon sink function of degraded peatlands: Short-term response in CO<sub>2</sub> exchange to ecosystem-scale restoration, Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 115, G01008. doi: 10.1029/2009JG001090, **2010**.
- Waddington, J. M., and Day, S. M.: Methane emissions from a peatland following restoration, 112(August) 1–11, doi: 10.1029/2007JG000400, **2007**.
  - Waddington, J. M. and Roulet, N. T.: Carbon balance of a Boreal patterned peatland, Global Change Biology, 6(1), 87–97, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00283.x, **2000**.
  - Walsh, S. A summary of Climate Averages, 1981-2010 for Ireland, Climatological Note No. 14. Met Éirean, Dublin, 2012.
- Wilson, D., Blain, D., Couwenberg, J., and Evans, C. D. et al.: Greenhouse gas emission factors associated with
   rewetting of organic soils, Mires and Peat, 17, 04, doi: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.222, 2016a.
  - Wilson, D., Farrell, C. A., Fallon, D., Moser, G., Müller, C., and Renou-Wilson, F.: Multi-year greenhouse gas balances at a rewetted temperate peatland, Global Change Biology, 1–16, doi: 10.1111/gcb.13325, **2016b**.
  - Wilson, D., Dixon, S. D., Artz, R. R. E., Smith, T. E. L., Evans, C. D., Owen, H. J. F., Archer, E., and Renou-Wilson, F.: Derivation of greenhouse gas emission factors for peatlands managed for extraction in the Republic of Ireland and the United Kingdom, Biogeosciences, 12(18), 2015.
  - Wilson, D., Müller, C., and Renou-Wilson, F.: Carbon emissions and removals from Irish peatlands: present trends and future mitigation measures, Irish Geography, 1–23. doi: 10.1080/00750778.2013.848542, **2013**.

- Wilson, D., Alm, J., Riutta, T., Laine, J., Byrne, K. A., Farrell, E. P., and Tuittila, E. S.: A high resolution green area index for modelling the seasonal dynamics of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in peatland vascular plant communities. Plant Ecology, 190(1), 37–51, doi: 10.1007/s11258-006-1891, **2007**.
- Yamulki, S., Anderson, R., Peace, A., and Morison, J. I. L.: Soil CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from an afforested lowland
  raised peatbog in Scotland: implications for drainage and restoration, Biogeosciences Discussions, 9(6), 7313–7351, doi: 10.5194/bgd-9-7313-2012, 2012.
  - Young, D. M., Baird, A. J., Morris, P. J., and Holden, J.: Simulating the long- term impacts of drainage and restoration on the ecohydrology of peatlands, Water Resources Research 53(8): 6510-6522, **2017**.
  - Zhaojun, B., Joosten, H., Hongkai, L., Gaolin, Z., Xingxing, Z., Jinze, M., and Jing, Z.: The response of peatlands to climate warming: A review, Acta Ecologica Sinica, 31(3), 157–162,

doi: 10.1016/j.chnaes.2011.03.006, 2011.

10

# Figures

5



Figure 1. Location of the study site in Ireland; elevation map of Abbeyleix Bog (bottom right) showing the uncut raised bog surrounded by lower cutover bog and the higher esker complex to the east; an aerial photograph of the study site showing the weir catchment area, major drains, and sampling locations. In the aerial photograph the blocked surface drainage network on the raised bog can be seen as a set of horizontal lines and the historic railroad track can be seen as a vertical line through the middle of the photograph. White circles represent the open water CO<sub>2</sub> evasion locations on blocked drains, referred to as west high bog (WHB) and east high bog (EHB).



Figure 2. (a) Mean daily PPFD, (b) mean daily temperature, and (c) monthly rainfall at Abbeyleix Bog in 2016 and 2017.



Figure 3. Mean seasonal water table for each of the ecotypes for summer (Apr-Sep) and winter (Oct-Mar), where the mean annual water table is measured with respect to the springtime peat surface or sphagnum surface (if present). The ecotypes are abbreviated as SC = Sphagnum Cutover, CC = Calluna Cutover, EC = Eriophorum Cutover, SBM = Sub-Marginal, and SBC = Sub-Central



Figure 4. Monthly (a) GPP and (b) ER, and (c) cumulative NEE for each ecotype for 2016 and 2017, where the ecotype values are the average of all collars in the ecotype.



Figure 5. The average daily CH<sub>4</sub> flux measured in the field compared to the modelled temporal fluctuations in CH<sub>4</sub> flux for 2017.



Figure 6. Annual CH<sub>4</sub> flux for each ecotype averaged over all collars in the ecotype. Shared letters represent no statistically significant difference between ecotypes based on one-way ANOVA with Bonforroni honestly significant difference for pairwise comparisons.



Figure 7. Measured DOC and DIC concentrations (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) over a two year period (2016 and 2017) at the weir.



Figure 8. CO<sub>2</sub> evasion rate measured at two blocked drains on the high bog (WHB and EHB) and just upstream of the weir. 5 Locations of WHB and EHB are shown as white dots in Fig 1 as is the Weir location. Data was collected between March and July 2017 at the WHB location (n=7), November 2016 and July 2017 at the EHB location (n=8), and December 2016 and July 2017 at the weir location (n=8).



Figure 9. (a) Annual C balance for each ecotype including NEE, CH4 flux, aquatic losses as DOC and DIC, and open water CO<sub>2</sub> evasion averaged over all collars in each ecotype. (b) Annual global warming potential for each ecotype. Shared letters represent no statistically significant difference to 95% confidence between ecotypes based on one-way ANOVA with Bonforroni honestly significant difference for pairwise comparisons. Letters apply for each year separately.



**★**SC ◆CC ▲EC □SBM ●SBC

Figure 10. Trends in collar annual C balance,  $CH_4$  flux, and GWP plotted against mean annual water table (MAWT) (a-c) and percent genus cover (d-f). Data is displayed by ecotype with abbreviations in legend as in Fig 2.



Figure 11. Mean annual water table vs. the annual NEE for the 5 ecotypes in this study (error bars are standard deviation) compared to global studies from boreal and temperate peatlands. (Data from: Wilson et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2016; Vanslow-Algan et al., 2015; Tuittili et al., 1999; Waddington et al., 2010; Strack et al., 2014; Nilsson et al., 2008; Dinsmore et al., 2010; Koehler et al., 2011; Chimner et al., 2017; Gazovic et al., 2013; Lund et al., 2012; Levy and Grey et al., 2015; McVeigh et al., 2014;

5 Helftler et al., 2015; Piechl et al., 2014; Stranchen et al., 2016; Roulet et al., 2007; Waddington and Roulet, 2000; for more details and additional studies see Table S9 in Supplemental Section 3). Also, shown to the right of the figure is the mean and 95% CI NEE from nutrient poor, wet (MAWT >-30 cm) boreal (B) and temperate (T) peatlands (from the review paper, Wilson et al., 2016a). Numbers indicate the ecotype with Sphagnum Cutover = 1, Calluna Cutover = 2, Eriophorum Cutover = 3, Sub-Marginal = 4, and Sub-Central = 5.



in this study (error bars are standard deviations) and from global studies of temperate and boreal peatlands (Sources: Flessa et al., 1998, Fieldler et al., 1998, Wilson et al., 2016; Tuitili et al., 1999; Wilson et al., 2018; Danevic et al., 2010; Von Arnold et al., 2005; 5 Laine et al., 1996; Yamulki et al., 2012; Nykanen et al., 1998; Fieldler et al., 2007; Cooper et al., 2014; Waddington and Day, 2007; Chimner et al., 2017; Waddington and Roulet 2000; for more details see Table S9 in Supplemental Section 3. Also, shown to the right of the figure is the mean and 95% CI of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from nutrient poor, wet (MAWT >-30 cm) boreal (B) and temperate (T) peatlands (from the review paper, Wilson et al., 2016a). Numbering of ecotypes is the same as in Fig. 11.

# Tables

	Percent	Percent		
	Sphagnum spp.	Eriophorum	Percent Calluna	Percent Total Plant
Ecotype	cover	spp. cover	vulgaris cover	Cover
Sphagnum Cutover	94 (78 to 100)	8 (3 to 23)	16 (5 to 30)	119 (103 to 134)
Calluna Cutover	0	2 (0 to 3)	35 (8 to 50)	51 (18 to 68)
Eriophorum Cutover	35 (21 to 54)	51 (21 to 80)	6 (2 to 15)	103 (77 to 140)
Sub-Marginal	57 (15 to 89)	13 (4 to 37)	9 (2 to 15)	100 (69 to 114)
Sub-Central	98 (93 to 100)	8 (1 to 39)	2 (0 to 8)	124 (107 to 151)

Table 1. Summary of the plant ecology for each ecotype in this study. Data is reported as the mean (range) of the 5 or 6 collars within each ecotype.

Table 2. This table shows the average annual C balance from various studies which have measured multiple aspects of the C balance. All units are in g-C  $m^{-1} yr^{-1}$ , with a negative sign convention indicating C uptake to the bog. Where two or three years of data were available the range is given (min to max), where more years of data were available ±SD is included.

		Restored 14			
		years previously	Intact peatlands		
Reference	This study	Nugent et al.,			Nugent et al.,
		2018	Nillson et al., 2008	Dinsmore et al., 2010	2018
		Bois-des-Bel			Mer Bleue
	Abbeyleix Bog,	peatland in	Degerö Stormyr,	Auchencorth Moss,	Bog, Ontario,
Location	Ireland	Quebec, Canada	Northern Sweden	Scotland	Canada
Study Period	2016-2017	2014 - 2016	2004–2005	2007–2008	1998–2014
NEE	(-92 to +219)†	-90 (-105 to -70)	-50 (-55 to -44)	-115 (-136 to -93.5)	$-73 \pm 40$
CH <sub>4</sub> flux	(2.7 to 14.2)†	4.4 (4.2 to 4.5)	11.5 (9 to 14)	0.32 (0.29 to 0.35)	$6.0\pm4.0$
DOC	10.4 (8.0 to 12.8)	6.9 (4.8 to 9.2)	13.0 (11.9 to 14.0)	25.4 (18.6 to 32.2)	$17 \pm 3.0$
DICŧ	1.3 (1.1 to 1.5)		4.6 (3.1 to 6.0)	2.0 (2.0 to 2.1)	
CO <sub>2</sub> evasion	2.7§			12.7 (11.5 to 13.9)	
Other C					
losses/gains			-1.1 (-1.3 to -0.8)	2.3 (0.51 to 4.03)	
Carbon Balance		-78 (-94 to -61)	-23.5 (-27 to -20)	-70 (-101 to -38.2)	$-50 \pm 40$

†Range for various ecotypes

5 #Including super saturated CO<sub>2</sub> as DIC

In the vicinity of the Sub-Marginal ecotype, this value was found to be 7.2 g-C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> because of larger surface area of open water from blocked ditches.