Main drivers of transparent exopolymer particle distribution across the surface Atlantic Ocean

Marina Zamanillo¹, Eva Ortega–Retuerta^{1,2}, Sdena Nunes¹, Pablo Rodríguez–Ros¹, Manuel Dall'Osto¹,
 Marta Estrada¹, María Montserrat Sala¹, Rafel Simó¹

¹Biologia Marina i Oceanografia, Institut de Ciències del Mar, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Spain
 ²CNRS, Sorbonne Université, UMR 7621, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Microbienne, Banyuls–sur–Mer, France

9 Correspondence to: Rafel Simó (<u>rsimo@icm.csic.es</u>)

36 Abstract. Transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) are a class of gel particles, produced mainly by microorganisms, which 37 play important roles in biogeochemical processes such as carbon cycling and export. TEP (a) are colonized by carbon-38 consuming microbes; (b) mediate aggregation and sinking of organic matter and organisms, thereby contributing to the 39 biological carbon pump; and (c) accumulate in the surface microlayer (SML) and affect air-sea gas exchange. The first step 40 to evaluate the global influence of TEP in these processes is the prediction of TEP occurrence in the ocean. Yet, little is 41 known about the physical and biological variables that drive their abundance, particularly in the open ocean. Here we 42 describe the horizontal TEP distribution, along with physical and biological variables, in surface waters along a North-South 43 transect in the Atlantic Ocean during October-November 2014. Two main regions were separated due to remarkable 44 differences; the open Atlantic Ocean (OAO, n = 30), and the Southwestern Atlantic Shelf (SWAS, n = 10). TEP concentration in the entire transect ranged 18.3–446.8 μ g XG eq L⁻¹ and averaged 117.1 ± 119.8 μ g XG eq L⁻¹, with the 45 maximum concentrations in the SWAS and in a station located at the edge of the Canary Coastal Upwelling (CU), and the 46 47 highest TEP to chlorophyll a (TEP:Chl a) ratios in the OAO (183 \pm 56) and CU (1760). TEP were significantly and 48 positively related to Chl a and phytoplankton biomass, expressed in terms of C, along the entire transect. In the OAO, TEP 49 were positively related to some phytoplankton groups, mainly Synechococcus. They were negatively related to the previous 50 24-hours-averaged solar irradiance, suggesting that sunlight, particularly UV radiation, is more a sink than a source for 51 TEP. Multiple regression analyses showed the combined positive effect of phytoplankton and heterotrophic prokaryotes 52 (HP) on TEP distribution in the OAO. In the SWAS, TEP were positively related to high nucleic acid-containing prokaryotic 53 cells and total phytoplankton biomass, but not to any particular phytoplankton group. Estimated TEP-carbon constituted an 54 important portion of the particulate organic carbon pool in the entire transect (28-110 %), generally higher than the 55 phytoplankton and HP carbon shares, which highlights the importance of TEP in the cycling of organic matter in the ocean.

56 57

58 1 Introduction

59 Transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) are defined as a class of non-living organic particles in aqueous media, mainly 60 consisting of acidic polysaccharides, which are stainable with Alcian Blue (Alldredge et al., 1993). They are formed from dissolved precursors that self-assemble to form TEP (operationally defined as particles $> 0.4 \mu m$) (Passow and Alldredge, 61 62 1994; Chin et al., 1998; Thuy et al., 2015). TEP are stabilized by covalent links or ionic strength (Cisternas-Novoa et al., 63 2015) and therefore, the formation and fragmentation of TEP from/to dissolved precursor material spans the dissolved to 64 particulate continuum of organic matter in the sea. Due to their stickiness, TEP favour the formation of large aggregates of 65 organic matter and organisms (typically named marine snow), enhancing particle ballast and sinking and thereby 66 contributing to the biological carbon pump (Logan et al., 1995; Kumar et al., 1998; Passow et al., 2001; Burd and Jackson, 67 2009). The presence of TEP also affects the microbial food-web, as they can be used as a food source for zooplankton 68 (Decho and Moriarty, 1990; Dilling et al., 1998; Ling and Alldredge, 2003) and heterotrophic prokaryotes (HP) (Passow, 69 2002b) through microbial colonization of aggregates (Alldredge et al., 1986; Grossart et al., 2006; Azam and Malfatti, 2007). 70 On their way to aggregation, and due to their low density, TEP and TEP-rich microaggregates formed near the surface may 71 ascend and accumulate in the sea surface microlayer (SML) (Engel and Galgani, 2016), a process that is largely enhanced by 72 bubble-associated scavenging (Azetsu-Scott and Passow, 2004; Wurl et al., 2009; Wurl et al., 2011b). This accumulation in 73 the SML, also contributed by local TEP production (Wurl et al., 2011b), can supress the air-sea exchange of CO₂ and other 74 trace gases by acting as a physicochemical barrier or modifying sea surface hydrodynamics at low wind speeds (Calleja et 75 al., 2008; Cunliffe et al., 2013; Wurl et al., 2016). Sea surface TEP can also be released to the atmosphere by bubble bursting 76 (Zhou et al., 1998; Aller et al., 2005; Kuznetsova et al., 2005), contributing to organic aerosol and possibly acting as cloud 77 condensation nuclei and ice nucleating particles (Orellana et al., 2011; Leck et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2015). All in all, TEP 78 play important roles in microbial diversity, carbon cycling and carbon exports to both the deep ocean and the atmosphere.

79 TEP distribution in marine systems depends on the complex balance between the sources and the sinks (Alldredge et al., 80 1998; Passow, 2002a). TEP sinks include some of the above mentioned processes (sinking of aggregates to the deep ocean, 81 release to the atmosphere and consumption by organisms), and also photolysis by UV radiation (Ortega-Retuerta et al., 82 2009b). Regarding the sources, TEP are produced by organisms, mainly microorganisms, during metabolic and 83 decomposition processes (Hong et al., 1997; Berman-Frank et al., 2007). Phytoplankton are major TEP producers in the 84 ocean, although also HP are able to produce TEP (Biddanda, 1986; Stoderegger and Herndl, 1998; Passow, 2002b; Ortega-85 Retuerta et al., 2010). Some phytoplankton groups that have been shown to produce TEP include cyanobacteria (Grossart et 86 al., 1998; Mazuecos, 2015; Deng et al., 2016), diatoms (Passow and Alldredge, 1994; Mari and Kiorboe, 1996; Passow, 87 2002b), dinoflagellates (Passow and Alldredge, 1994), Prymnesiophyceae, coccolithophores included (Riebesell et al., 1995; 88 Engel, 2004; Leblanc et al., 2009), and Cryptomonads (Kozlowski and Vernet, 1995; Passow et al., 1995). Other organisms

such as *Posidonia oceanica* (Iuculano et al., 2017a), zooplankton (Passow and Alldredge, 1999; Prieto et al., 2001) and
benthic suspension feeders (Heinonen et al., 2007) have also been identified as TEP producers.

91 TEP sources and sinks in the ocean depend not only on the taxonomic composition of TEP producers, but they are also 92 influenced by other variables such as the organism's physiological state (Passow, 2002b), temperature (Nicolaus et al., 1999; 93 Claquin et al., 2008), light (Trabelsi et al., 2008; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2009a; Iuculano et al., 2017b), carbon dioxide 94 concentration (Engel, 2002), nutrient availability (Guerrini et al., 1998; Radic et al., 2006), turbulence (Passow, 2000, 95 2002b), microbe-microbe interactions (Gärdes et al., 2011), or viral infection (Shibata et al., 1997; Vardi et al., 2012). For 96 example, limitation by nutrients often increases TEP production, due to dissolved inorganic carbon overconsumption (Corzo 97 et al., 2000; Engel et al., 2002a; Schartau et al., 2007), and also impedes prokaryotic consumption of TEP (Bar-Zeev and 98 Rahav, 2015). High solar radiation can stimulate TEP production by Prochlorococcus during cell decay (Iuculano et al., 99 2017b), but also can limit TEP formation inhibiting the aggregation of the precursor polymers (Orellana and Verdugo, 2003). 100 HP have been found to stimulate TEP production by diatoms, suggesting that HP-diatom interaction is required for TEP 101 formation (Guerrini et al., 1998; Gärdes et al., 2011). HP may also facilitate the self-assembly of dissolved TEP precursors 102 (Sugimoto et al., 2007), e.g., through the release of amphiphilic exopolymers that induce microgel formation (Ding et al., 103 2008).

104 The aforementioned importance of TEP in carbon fluxes in the pelagic ocean can be further stressed by considering the 105 following rough numbers: if the percentage of extracellular carbon release during planktonic primary production is generally 106 constrained within 10-20 % (Nagata, 2000; Mari et al., 2017), but can reach > 50 % (López-Sandoval et al., 2011), and half 107 of the extracellular release is in the form of reactive polysaccharides (Biddanda and Benner, 1997), then the production rate 108 of TEP precursors may represent 5-10 %, but can reach > 25% of planktonic primary production, without considering 109 production by heterotrophs. This calls for the need to quantify their occurrence across the oceans, elucidate their main 110 distribution drivers, and determine their contribution to the organic carbon reservoir. To date, large-scale studies of TEP 111 distributions in the ocean are scarce, particularly in the open ocean. In this study, we describe the horizontal distribution of 112 TEP (> 0.4 µm) in surface waters across a North–South transect in the Atlantic Ocean, including several biogeographical provinces in the open ocean as well as the highly productive Southwestern Atlantic Shelf (SWAS). Our aims were (a) to 113 114 identify the main biological and abiotic drivers of TEP distribution across contrasting environmental conditions, and (b) to 115 quantify the TEP contribution to the total particulate organic carbon (POC) pool and compare it with those of phytoplankton 116 and heterotrophic prokaryote biomasses.

117 2 Material and methods

118 2.1 Study site and sampling

119 Sampling was conducted during the TransPEGASO cruise aboard the Spanish RV Hespérides, from 20 October to 21 120 November 2014. A total of 41 stations were sampled within a transit across the Atlantic Ocean from Cartagena (SE Spain) to 121 Punta Arenas (S Chile, Fig. 1). During the cruise, the ship crossed six biogeographical provinces (Longhurst, 1998): the 122 Northeastern Subtropical Gyre, the Canary Current Coastal, the North Atlantic Tropical Gyre, the Western Tropical Atlantic, 123 the South Tropical Gyre and the SWAS. Seawater was collected from 4 m depth using the ship's underway pump (BKMKC-124 10.11, Tecnium, Manresa, Spain) and screened through a 150 µm Nylon mesh to remove large particles. Temperature and 125 salinity were measured continuously using a SBE21 Sea Cat Thermosalinograph. Solar irradiance was measured also 126 continuously using a LI-COR Biospherical PAR Sensor. The rest of the variables were collected twice a day (09:00:00 and 127 16:00:00 local time) with the ship moving at approximately 10 knots.

128 2.2 Chemical and biological analysis

129 **2.2.1** Particulate organic matter (TEP and POC)

130 TEP concentrations were determined by spectrophotometry following Passow and Alldredge (1995). Duplicate samples 131 (100–500 mL each) were filtered through 25 mm diameter 0.4 µm pore size Polycarbonate filters (DHI) using a constant low 132 filtration pressure (~150 mmHg). The samples were immediately stained with 500 µL of Alcian Blue solution (0.02 %, pH 133 2.5) for 5 s and rinsed with Milli-Q water. The filters were stored frozen until further processing in the laboratory (within 8 134 months). Duplicate blanks (empty filters stained as stated earlier) were prepared twice a day to correct the interference of 135 stained particles in TEP estimates. Both the sample and blank filters were soaked in 5 mL of 80 % sulfuric acid for 3 h. The 136 filters were shaken intermittently during this period. The samples were then measured spectrophotometrically at 787 nm 137 (Varian Cary 100 Bio). The absorbance values of filter blanks did not change substantially between batches of samples, 138 suggesting stability in the staining capacity of the Alcian Blue solution throughout the cruise. The Alcian Blue dye solution 139 was calibrated just before the cruise using a standard solution of xanthan gum (XG) passed through a tissue grinder and 140 subsequently filtered through two sets of filters (four points in triplicate): pre-weighted filters to determine the actual 141 concentration of the XG solution, and filters that were subsequently stained, frozen and analysed in the spectrophotometer. 142 The detection limit was set to 0.034 absorbance units and the mean range between duplicates was 18.7 %. We estimated the TEP carbon content (TEP-C) using the conversion factor of 0.51 μ g TEP-C L⁻¹ per μ g XG eq L⁻¹ (Engel and Passow, 2001). 143

- POC was measured by filtering 1000 mL of seawater on pre-combusted (4 h, 450 °C) GF/F glass fibre filters (Whatman).
 The filters were stored frozen (-20 °C) until processed. Prior to analysis, the filters were dried at 60 °C for 24 h in an
- atmosphere of HCl fumes to remove carbonates. Then filters were dried again and analysed by high-temperature (900 °C)
 combustion in an elemental analyzer (Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN). No POC replicates were run, but replication in a previous
 study yielded a coefficient of variation of around 5 %.

149 **2.2.2 Chlorophyll** *a* (Chl *a*)

Samples for fluorometric Chl *a* analyses were filtered (250 mL) on glass fibre filters (Whatman GF/F, 25 mm diameter) and stored at -20 °C until further processing in the ship's laboratory. Pigments were extracted with 90 % acetone at 4 °C in the dark for 24 hours. Fluorescence of extracts was measured according to the procedure described in Yentsch and Menzel (1963), with a calibrated Turner Designs fluorometer. No "phaeophytin" correction was applied.

154 2.2.3 Inorganic nutrients

Samples for dissolved inorganic nutrients (nitrate, phosphate and silicate) were stored in 10 mL sterile polypropylene bottles at -20 °C until analysis. The samples were further processed in the laboratory using standard segmented flow analyses with colorimetric detection (Hansen and Grasshoff, 1983), using a Skalar Autoanalyzer.

158 2.2.4 Microscopic phytoplankton identification

159 We quantified phytoplankton groups by microscopy. Water was fixed with hexamine-buffered formaldehyde solution (4 % 160 final formalin concentration) in a glass bottle, immediately after collection, and then was allowed to settle for 48 h in a 100 161 cm³ composite chamber. An inverted microscope (Utermöhl, 1958) was used to enumerate the smaller phytoplankton cells 162 $(< 20 \,\mu\text{m}, 312 \times \text{magnification})$ and the larger phytoplankton cells $(> 20 \,\mu\text{m}, 125 \times \text{magnification})$. Micro-phytoplankton was 163 identified to the species level when possible, and finally classified into four groups: diatoms, dinoflagellates, 164 coccolithophores and other microplankton cells called from now on as "other microalgae". Cell C content was calculated using conversion equations of Menden-Deuer and Lessard (2000), $\log pg C \operatorname{cell}^{-1} = \log a (95 \% \operatorname{confidence intervals}) + b (95 \% \operatorname{confidence})$ 165 % confidence intervals) \times log volume (V; μ m³): one for diatoms (log pg C cell⁻¹ = log -0.541 (0.099) + 0.811 (0.028) \times log 166 V) and one for the other algae groups (log pg C cell⁻¹ = log -0.665 (0.132) + 0.939 (0.041) × log V). Total carbon biomass 167 168 was calculated from cell C content and cell abundance. Uncertainty sources for micro-phytoplankton biomass estimates are 169 the conversion factors, biovolume estimates, and proper identification based on morphological characteristics, harder for 170 naked cells and those at the lower size edge $(5-10 \,\mu\text{m})$ (Kozlowski et al., 2011; Cassar et al., 2015).

172 To enumerate picoplankton cells, samples (4.5 mL) were fixed with 1 % paraformaldehyde plus 0.05 % glutaraldehyde (final 173 concentrations), let fix for 15 min. at room temperature, deep frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored frozen at -80 °C. Samples 174 were then analysed 6 months after the cruise end, using a FACS Calibur (Becton and Dickinson) flow cytometer equipped 175 with a 15 mW argon-ion laser emitting at 488 nm. Before analysis, samples were thawed and we added 10 μ L per 600 μ L sample of a 10⁵ mL⁻¹ solution of yellow-green 0.92 µm Polysciences latex beads as an internal standard. Samples were then 176 run at high speed (approx. 75 μ L min⁻¹) for 4 min. with Milli–Q water as a sheath fluid. Three groups of phytoplankton 177 178 (Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus and picoeukaryotic algae) were distinguished and enumerated on the basis of the 179 differences in their autofluorescence properties and scattering characteristics (Olson et al., 1993; Zubkov et al., 1998). 180 Abundances were converted to biomass (μ g L⁻¹) using average C:cell conversion factors gathered in Simó et al. (2009): 51 ± 18 fg C cell⁻¹ for *Prochlorococcus*, 175 ± 73 fg C cell⁻¹ for *Synechococcus* and 1319 ± 813 fg C cell⁻¹ for picoeukaryotes. 181

182 **2.2.6 Heterotrophic prokaryotic abundance (HPA)**

183 HPA was determined by flow cytometry using the same fixing protocol and instrument as for picoplankton. Before analyses, 184 samples were thawed, stained with SYBRGreen I (Molecular Probes) at a final concentration of 10 µM and left in the dark 185 for about 15 min. Samples were run at a low flow rate (approximately 15 μ L min⁻¹) for 2 min with Milli–Q water as a sheath fluid. We added 10 μ L per sample of a 10⁵ mL⁻¹ solution of yellow–green 0.92 μ m Polysciences latex beads as an internal 186 187 standard. Heterotrophic prokaryotes (HP) were detected by their signature in a plot of side scatter versus FL1 (green 188 fluorescence). HP were enumerated separately as high-nucleic-acid-containing (HNA) and low-nucleic-acid-containing 189 cells (LNA), and the prokaryote counts presented are the sum of these 2 types. Data were gated and counted in the SSC vs FL1 plot using the BD CellQuestTM software. HPA was expressed in cells mL⁻¹. Only one replicate was analysed since 190 191 standard errors of duplicates are usually very low (around 1.5 % at Pernice et al. (2015)). In order to estimate the number of 192 HP, cyanobacteria abundance (Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus) measured in the same but non-stained samples were 193 subtracted from the total number of prokaryotes counted. HPA was converted into carbon unit (HP-C) using the conversion factor of 12 fg C cell⁻¹. Ducklow (2000) summarized the carbon contents of free-living marine bacteria reported in the 194 195 literature for a number of oceanic regions, bays and estuaries. The average \pm standard deviation for open ocean regions was 12.3 ± 2.5 fg C cell⁻¹. A factor of 12 fg C cell⁻¹ is equivalent to use the empirical equation proposed by Norland (1993), fg C 196 cell⁻¹ = 0.12 (μ m³ cell volume)^{0.72}, for an average bacterial biovolume of 0.04 μ m³. 197

199 We used the R software package (RStudio Team, 2016) to test for covariations and to explore the potential controlling 200 variables of TEP distribution across the Atlantic Ocean. We performed pairwise Spearman correlation analyses between TEP 201 and POC concentrations. We performed bivariate and multiple regression analyses (ordinary least squares, OLS) between 202 TEP concentrations and several physical, chemical and biological variables. Data were log transformed to fulfil the 203 requirements of parametric tests. Ranged major axis (RMA) regression would have been more suitable since there were 204 errors in both our dependent and independent variables. However, we decided to perform OLS regressions for a better 205 comparison of slopes between our study and those available in the literature. The non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney 206 test was carried out to compare variables, like TEP and POC, among regions. Two main regions were analysed separately 207 due to remarkable differences in nutrient, Chl a and TEP concentration: the open Atlantic Ocean (OAO, n = 30), with 208 exclusion of the single sample from the edge of the Canary Coastal Upwelling (CU), which had a much higher TEP 209 concentration; and the SWAS (n = 10).

210

211 3 Results

212 **3.1 TEP distribution across the surface Atlantic Ocean**

TEP concentrations ranged from 18.3 to 446.8 µg XG eq L⁻¹ along the entire Atlantic Ocean transect. Across OAO, CU 213 included, nitrate and phosphate concentrations were low and relatively homogeneous (nitrate: 0.47 \pm 0.51 μ mol L⁻¹; 214 215 phosphate: 0.11 \pm 0.06 µmol L⁻¹). Silicate ranged between 0.20 and 1.42 µmol L⁻¹, and presented the minimum 216 concentrations in the CU station and surroundings, and the maximum concentration at station 14. The temperatures ranged 217 from 20.7 to 29.6 °C (25.6 ± 23.8 °C), with maximum values in the Equatorial Counter Current (~0-20° N, 29.1-29.6 °C), 218 and minimum values around the CU and in the southernmost stations of the OAO (22.6-23.6 °C). The salinity ranged 219 between 34.8 and 37.4, with the minimum values in the Equatorial Counter Current, and the maximum values around 10-30° S. The Chl *a* concentration was low and quite homogeneous $(0.36 \pm 0.22 \text{ mg m}^{-3})$, even at the CU (0.25 mg m^{-3}) . 220

In the Northeastern Subtropical Gyre and the Canary Current Coastal (stations 1 to 7, Fig. 1) Chl *a* concentration ranged from 0.24 to 0.37 mg m⁻³. The phytoplankton biomass was generally dominated by *Prochlorococcus*, with an average of $1.68 \times 10^5 \pm 0.81 \times 10^5$ cells mL⁻¹, which corresponded to a biomass of $8.58 \pm 4.16 \ \mu g \ C \ L^{-1}$. TEP concentration in this region ranged from 54.2 to 131.7 $\ \mu g \ XG \ eq \ L^{-1}$ (average 73.9 $\pm 27.3 \ \mu g \ XG \ eq \ L^{-1}$). In the station 8 we sampled the edge of

the CU. The decrease in silicate (0.26 µmol L⁻¹) was accompanied by a relative increase of diatoms (9.4-fold increase) and 225 226 dinoflagellates (1.3-fold increase) with respect to surrounding stations (Fig. 2b,e). Prochlorococcus abundance decreased to 9×10^3 cell mL⁻¹ and a biomass of 0.46 µg C L⁻¹. In this station, TEP concentrations were the highest found along the whole 227 transect (446.7 μ g XG eq L⁻¹) but the Chl *a* concentration (0.25 mg m⁻³) was lower than in the neighbour region. 228 229 Consequently the TEP:Chl a ratio was the highest of the whole transect (1760.4). Moving south, the North Tropical Gyre 230 (stations 9 to 13) showed an increase of silicate concentration, from 0.20 to 0.79 μ mol L⁻¹. The Chl *a* concentration ranged from 0.41 to 0.57 mg m⁻³ (Fig. 2c). In the northernmost part of this region (stations 9 to 11), phytoplankton biomass was 231 dominated by *Synechococcus*, with an average of $7.7 \times 10^4 \pm 0.8 \times 10^4$ cells mL⁻¹, which corresponded to a biomass of 13.5 ± 10^{-1} 232 1.4 µg C L⁻¹. By contrast, the southernmost stations (12 and 13) were dominated by *Prochlorococcus*, with an average of 2.6 233 $\times 10^5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^5$ cells mL⁻¹, that corresponded to a biomass of 13.2 \pm 2.7 \mu g C L⁻¹ (Fig. 2e). TEP concentrations were 234 235 similar to those in the Northeastern Subtropical Gyre and the Canary Current Coastal, ranging between 78.1 and 123.9 µg 236 XG eq L^{-1} . Station 14, with a relatively high temperature (29.0 °C) and low salinity (35.2) was probably the most influenced 237 by the Equatorial Counter Current. In this station, the silicate concentration (1.41 μ mol L⁻¹) was the maximum observed in 238 the whole transect, and there was an increase of dinoflagellates and "other microalgae", and a decrease of Prochlorococcus. 239 The Chl a concentration (0.48 mg m⁻³) was similar to the surrounding stations and TEP were 49.4 μ g XG eq L⁻¹. Moving further south, in the Western Tropical and the South Tropical Gyre (stations 15 to 31) Chl a ranged from 0.20 to 0.41 mg m⁻³ 240 241 and the silicate concentration decreased (0.42-1.39 µmol L⁻¹). TEP presented the lowest average values of the whole 242 transect, ranging from 25.5 to 80.4 µg XG eq L⁻¹. Overall in the OAO (excluding CU), TEP ranged from 18.3 to 131.7 µg XG eq L⁻¹ (average 59.9 \pm 27.4 µg XG eq L⁻¹) and the TEP:Chl *a* ratio ranged between 81 and 360 (average 183 \pm 56; Table 243 244 1).

245 The southernmost part of the cruise transect corresponded to the SWAS (stations 32 to 41). In this region, temperature (7.6– 246 13.9 °C) and salinity (32.6–33.6) were lower on average than those found in the OAO (Table 1). The SWAS could be further 247 divided into two regions according to different inorganic nutrient (nitrate and phosphate) concentrations (p < 0.05) and 248 phytoplankton composition. The northern SWAS (stations 32 to 36) presented lower nitrate (0.16 to 4.15 μ mol L⁻¹) and 249 phosphate (0.31 to 0.62 μ mol L⁻¹) concentrations than the southern SWAS (stations 37 to 41; nitrate: 2.16 to 8.92 μ mol L⁻¹, phosphate: 0.51 to 0.89 μ mol L⁻¹). Silicate was more homogeneous throughout (0.31 to 1.27 μ mol L⁻¹). Chl *a* concentration 250 251 across the entire SWAS $(1.07-3.75 \text{ mg m}^{-3})$ was significantly higher than in the OAO, with no major differences between the 252 northern and the southern parts. In most of the northern SWAS, phytoplankton biomass was dominated by "other microalgae", with an average of $10.2 \times 10^5 \pm 6.1 \ 10^5$ cells L⁻¹, which corresponded to a biomass of $43.7 \pm 25.8 \ \mu g \ C \ L^{-1}$. In 253 station 35, an increase of diatoms (58121 cells L^{-1} and a biomass of 145.2 µg C L^{-1}) and dinoflagellates (44896 cells L^{-1} and 254 a biomass of 3.3 μ g C L⁻¹) was observed, coinciding with a decrease in silicate (0.32 μ mol L⁻¹). Here in northern SWAS, 255 TEP ranged from 98.6 to 427.2 μ g XG eq L⁻¹, with the maxima in stations 34 and 35 (Fig. 2f). In the southern SWAS 256

- 257 (stations 37 to 41), phytoplankton biomass was dominated by picoeukaryotes, with an average of $6.34 \times 10^4 \pm 1.93 \times 10^4$
- cells mL⁻¹, which corresponded to a biomass of $83.6 \pm 25.5 \ \mu g \ C \ L^{-1}$. TEP concentration ranged $168.6-395.7 \ \mu g \ XG \ eq \ L^{-1}$. Overall in the SWAS, TEP ranged from 98.6 to 427.2 $\ \mu g \ XG \ eq \ L^{-1}$ (average $255.7 \pm 130.4 \ \mu g \ XG \ eq \ L^{-1}$) and the TEP:Chl *a* ratio ranged from 31 to 165 (average 97 ± 42) (Table 1).

261 **3.2 TEP contribution to POC**

262 TEP and POC covaried significantly and positively across the entire TransPEGASO transect (Spearman rs analysis, r = 0.91, 263 p < 0.01, n = 17). The contribution of TEP–C to the POC pool (TEP–C%POC) ranged between 34 and 103 % in the OAO 264 (average 66 ± 19 %), and between 28 and 110 % in the SWAS (average 73 ± 36 %). POC was not analysed in the CU (Fig. 265 3). To better explore the importance of TEP-C with respect to other major quantifiable POC pools, we estimated 266 phytoplankton biomass (phyto-C) and HP biomass (HP-C) throughout the whole cruise (Fig. 2). It is worth mentioning that 267 POC also includes other fractions of non-living non-TEP organic carbon (e.g., cell fragments and Coomassie stainable 268 particles), but phytoplankton and heterotrophic prokaryotes are generally considered the most abundant in open sea water 269 (Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2009b; Yamada et al., 2015). TEP-C contributed the most to the POC pool in the OAO, where it 270 represented twice the share of phyto-C and HP-C. In the SWAS, conversely, TEP-C was not significantly different than 271 phyto-C, and three times higher than HP-C (Fig. 3).

272 **3.3 Relationship to other variables**

TEP were significantly and positively related to Chl *a* along the entire transect ($R^2 = 0.61$, p< 0.001, n = 39, table 3). The regression equation for log converted TEP vs Chl *a* was log TEP = 2.09 (± 0.04) + 0.66 (± 0.08) × log Chl *a*. Considering the two study regions separately, only in the OAO the relationship was significant, with a higher slope than in the entire transect (log TEP = 2.31 (± 0.10) + 1.13 (± 0.20) × log Chl *a*; $R^2 = 0.56$, p< 0.001, n = 29).

277 Across the whole transect, TEP presented a significant (p < 0.05) positive relationship with total phytoplankton biomass (Table 3) and with some phytoplankton biomass groups: *Synechococcus* ($R^2 = 0.30$), picoeukaryotes ($R^2 = 0.49$), diatoms (R^2) 278 = 0.19) and "other microalgae" ($R^2 = 0.27$), and with HPA ($R^2 = 0.60$). TEP were negatively related to silicate ($R^2 = 0.19$) 279 and coccolithophores ($R^2 = 0.15$). Some differences arose from examining the two regions separately. Within the OAO, TEP 280 presented a significant (p< 0.001) positive relationship with Chl a ($R^2 = 0.56$), total phytoplankton biomass ($R^2 = 0.47$) and 281 282 some phytoplankton groups (Synechococcus, picoeukaryotes, diatoms, dinoflagellates and "other microalgae", Table 3), but 283 not with HPA. TEP showed a significant (p < 0.001) negative relationship with the previous 24 hours-averaged solar irradiance ($R^2 = 0.43$, Fig. 4). Multiple regression analyses showed the combined positive effect of Chl *a* and HPA on TEP 284

distribution in the OAO (Table 4). By contrast, within the SWAS, TEP only presented a significant (p< 0.05) positive relationship with total phytoplankton biomass ($R^2 = 0.62$) and HNA ($R^2 = 0.46$, Table 3).

287

288 4 Discussion

289 4.1 TEP across the surface Atlantic Ocean

290 We present the first distribution of surface (4 m) TEP concentration along a latitudinal gradient in the Atlantic Ocean, 291 covering both open sea and shelf waters. It is worth mentioning that vertical variability within the top surface meters (< 4 m)292 has sometimes been observed (Wurl et al., 2009), but 4 m is usually considered "surface ocean" in studies where samples are 293 collected with either an oceanographic rosette or an underway pumping system. The existing information about TEP 294 distribution in surface waters of the open oceans is compiled in Table 2. TEP concentrations we measured across the OAO 295 (CU included) fall generally within the range reported in other studies from the open ocean (Table 2). However our levels 296 are higher than those observed in the Mediterranean Sea (Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2010; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2017), Pacific 297 Ocean (Ramaiah et al., 2005; Kodama et al., 2014; Iuculano et al., 2017b) and one study in the Northwestern Atlantic Ocean 298 (Cisternas-Novoa et al., 2015), and lower than that reported in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011). We 299 believe that one of the reasons for the higher values found in our study compared with these previous studies is the depth. 300 Mean TEP values in some of them (Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2010; Kodama et al., 2014; Cisternas-Novoa et al., 2015; Ortega-301 Retuerta et al., 2017) correspond to the above mixed layer depth or from 0 to 100 or 200 m. As TEP tend to accumulate in 302 the surface and our values correspond only to the surface (4 m), this could explain the higher values obtained in our dataset. 303 Another reason seems to be the different Chl a concentrations, as the main TEP producer is phytoplankton. Chl a concentration in the OAO ($0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ ($0.2-0.6 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$)) was generally higher than in the other studies referred in the 304 305 Table 2. For example, in Iuculano et al. (2017b) Chl a ranged 0.05–0.31 mg m⁻³, and in Kodama et al. (2014) it averaged 0.05 ± 0.01 mg m⁻³. We can't discard either that differences in TEP chemical composition could cause differences in staining 306 307 capacity.

We found maximum TEP concentrations in the regions with high nutrient supply, namely in the station located in the CU and within the SWAS. Ours are the first TEP concentrations ever measured in the SWAS (Table 1), and only three more studies have reported TEP concentrations in coastal or shelf waters of the Atlantic Ocean (Harlay et al., 2009; Harlay et al., 2010; Jennings et al., 2017). The SWAS is a high nutrient region due to the arrival of cold rich–nutrient Subantarctic water with the Malvinas Current. This current collides near 40 °S with the southward flowing Brazil Current (Gordon, 1989; Piola

313 and Gordon, 1989; Peterson and Stramma, 1991; Palma et al., 2008). The nutrient-rich water in the region is responsible for 314 the proliferation of phytoplankton and HP, which could partly explain the high TEP concentrations in this region. It is also 315 known that large freshwater discharges occur in the shelf (Piola, 2005). These discharges could bring allochtonous HP 316 directly to the shelf or bring DOM loads, which would stimulate autochtonous microbes. Besides, DOM inputs associated to 317 freshwater discharges could also contain TEP and their precursors. Although no previous information on TEP distribution 318 exists for this area, previous studies in similarly productive areas or during phytoplankton blooms already observed high 319 TEP concentrations (Long and Azam, 1996; Harlay et al., 2009; Klein et al., 2011). The TEP levels we measured at the 320 SWAS are generally within the range of those reported for coastal areas (Passow and Alldredge, 1995; Passow et al., 1995; 321 Riebesell et al., 1995; Kiorboe et al., 1996; Hong et al., 1997; Jähmlich et al., 1998; Wild, 2000; Ramaiah et al., 2001; Engel 322 et al., 2002b; García et al., 2002; Radic et al., 2005; Scoullos et al., 2006; Sugimoto et al., 2007; Harlay et al., 2009; Wurl et 323 al., 2009; Harlay et al., 2010; Fukao et al., 2011; Klein et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2012; Van Oostende et al., 2012; 324 Dreshchinskii and Engel, 2017; Jennings et al., 2017). Only two studies, in the western Baltic Sea and the Dona Paula Bay 325 (Arabian Sea), reported TEP levels higher than ours (Engel, 2000; Bhaskar and Bhosle, 2006).

326 **4.2 TEP as an important contributor to ocean surface POC**

327 The significant positive correlation between TEP and POC observed in our study highlighted the importance of TEP 328 determining POC horizontal variations in the surface Atlantic Ocean, suggesting a high contribution of TEP to this pool. A 329 few values of TEP-C%POC were unrealistically higher than 100 %, a feature that has also been observed in other studies 330 (Engel and Passow, 2001; Bar-Zeev et al., 2011; Yamada et al., 2015). This suggests the inaccuracy of the use of standard TEP-to-carbon conversion factors (CF, 0.51 μ g TEP-C L⁻¹ per μ g Xeq. L⁻¹ in our case). Therefore there is a need for 331 332 defining specific CF for diverse regions or environmental conditions. Nonetheless, an alternative explanation for the apparent oversizing of the relative TEP-C pool may be strictly methodological: TEP are determined on filters of 0.4 µm of 333 334 pore size, whereas POC is measured on glass fibre filters with nominal pore size 0.7 µm. It is plausible, thus, that part of the 335 smaller TEP particles are not taken into account in the POC measurement.

All in all, our results clearly show that TEP–C constituted an important portion of the POC pool in the Atlantic Ocean (from 28 to 110 %). This contribution is comparable to that reported in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011; Parinos et al., 2017), lower than in the western Arctic (Yamada et al., 2015), but higher than in the Northeast Atlantic Ocean (Harlay et al., 2009; Harlay et al., 2010). Both in the OAO and SWAS, TEP comprised the largest share of the POC pool, with phyto–C being equal or the second most important contributor to POC (Fig. 3). Only in one station in the SWAS phyto– C surpassed TEP–C. The contribution of phyto–C and HP–C to the POC pool should be taken with caution, as the glass fibre filters (nominal pore size 0.7 µm) used to analyse POC could have not retained all the small phytoplankton organisms and prokaryotes (Gasol and Morán, 1999), causing underestimation of the actual POC pool. Furthermore, conversion factors
 carry quite an uncertainty, as pointed out in the Methods section.

A previous study in a eutrophic system reported TEP–C as the dominant POC contributor (Yamada et al., 2015), whereas others found that phyto–C represented the largest share to POC compared to TEP–C and HP–C (Bhaskar and Bhosle, 2006; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2009b; de Vicente et al., 2010). With our results taken all together, we hypothesize that in oligotrophic conditions TEP–C is the predominant POC fraction, because nutrient limitation favours TEP production by phytoplankton and limits TEP consumption by bacteria. Conversely, in eutrophic conditions, the predominant POC fraction depends on many variables like the community composition, the bloom stage, and sources of TEP different from phytoplankton.

352 **4.3 Main drivers of TEP distribution in the surface ocean**

In order to better understand and even predict the occurrence of TEP in the surface ocean, it is important to describe their distribution together with those of their main putative sources (phytoplankton and heterotrophic prokaryotes), sinks and environmental modulators, across large–scale gradients. However, most of the previous studies of TEP in the Atlantic Ocean were restricted to local areas, and, to our knowledge, only one included a complete description of these variables together in a long transect (Mazuecos, 2015).

Our dataset suggests that phytoplankton is the main driver of TEP distribution in the surface Atlantic Ocean at the horizontal scale, since significant positive relationships were observed between TEP and both Chl *a* and phytoplankton biomass (Table 3). It is worth noting that Chl *a* was a good estimator of phytoplankton biomass when the entire cruise was considered, as these variables were tightly related ($R^2 = 0.79$, p–value < 0.001, n = 36). The slope of the log converted TEP–Chl *a* relationship for the whole study ($\beta = 0.66 \pm 0.08$, Table 3) was within the upper range amongst published data (Fig. 5), and the slope in the OAO ($\beta = 1.13 \pm 0.20$) was the highest reported so far (Table 3, Fig. 5). In the SWAS, the TEP–Chl *a* relationship was not significant (p–value > 0.05), yet it was for TEP–phytoplankton biomass (see below).

TEP:Chl *a* ratios were significantly (p < 0.001) higher in the OAO (both including or excluding the CU) than in the SWAS (Table 1), with the maximum value in the station located in the CU. TEP:Chl *a* values in the OAO (CU included) were comparable to those observed in other oligotrophic areas (Riebesell et al., 1995; García et al., 2002; Prieto et al., 2006; Harlay et al., 2009; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2010; Kodama et al., 2014; Iuculano et al., 2017b; Parinos et al., 2017) (Table 2), while the values in the SWAS were comparable to those reported in eutrophic waters (Hong et al., 1997; Ramaiah et al., 2001; Engel et al., 2002b; Corzo et al., 2005; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2009b). The higher TEP:Chl *a* ratios in oligotrophic

371 waters (Prieto et al., 2006) are related to nutrient scarcity, which is suggested to enhance TEP production by phytoplankton 372 and prokaryotes (Myklestad, 1977; Guerrini et al., 1998; Mari et al., 2005; Beauvais et al., 2006). The highest TEP:Chl a 373 ratio of the entire transect observed in the station located in the CU was probably associated with the high relative abundance 374 of diatoms and dinoflagellates. These groups are known to be strong TEP producers (Passow and Alldredge, 1994), and 375 besides, previous studies have shown that TEP production rates reach maxima at late stages of the growth cycle, once 376 nutrients have been exhausted (Corzo et al., 2000; Pedrotti et al., 2010; Borchard and Engel, 2015). In the CU, the relatively 377 low Chl a level along with low silicate concentrations suggests that the upwelling-triggered bloom maximum had already 378 passed, which resulted in a high TEP:Chl a ratio. Although POC was not measured in the CU, high TEP:Chl a suggests a 379 high proportion of TEP with respect to other organic particles. In the SWAS, the lower TEP:Chl a ratios could be related 380 with a lower rate of TEP production under relatively replete nutrient conditions. Extending our comparison to the literature, 381 TEP:Chl a ratio is generally higher in oligotrophic regions (Prieto et al., 2006; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2010; Kodama et al., 382 2014; Iuculano et al., 2017b) than in eutrophic regions (Hong et al., 1997; Engel et al., 2002b; Corzo et al., 2005; Ortega-383 Retuerta et al., 2009b; Klein et al., 2011; Engel et al., 2017).

In the OAO, the phytoplankton groups that showed a significant (p < 0.05) positive relationship to TEP and hence were 384 385 candidates to be considered as the main producers of TEP or their precursors were Synechococcus, picoeukaryotes, diatoms, 386 dinoflagellates and "other microalgae" (Table 3). All the groups above mentioned have been reported to produce TEP (see 387 references in the introduction). Conversely, coccolithophores and Prochlorococcus did not present a significant relationship 388 with TEP. It has been shown in cultures that coccolithophores do not produce high amounts of TEP (Passow, 2002b), and a 389 previous study showed temporal disconnections between coccolithophores and TEP maxima (Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2018). 390 However, in a previous study in the Atlantic Ocean, Leblanc et al. (2009) found an association of TEP with 391 coccolithophores.

392 The oligotrophic ocean covers a big portion of the global ocean and it is mostly dominated by picophytoplankton (Agawin et 393 al., 2000), chiefly Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus (Partensky et al., 1999). Iuculano et al. (2017b) reported relatively 394 high rates of TEP production by *Prochlorococcus* in culture, and Mazuecos (2015) found a significant and positive 395 relationship of TEP with Prochlorococcus abundance in the low latitude oceans. The absence of significant covariation 396 between TEP and the largely abundant *Prochlorococcus* in our study suggests that these picophytoplankters are not the main 397 TEP producers, or their production is strongly modulated by environmental conditions. It is remarkable that, amongst the phytoplankton groups of the present study, *Synechococcus* biomass presented the highest relationship ($R^2 = 0.72$) with TEP 398 399 concentration in the OAO. Deng et al. (2016) demonstrated TEP production by marine Synechococcus in a laboratory study, but only Mazuecos (2015) had previously found a significant and positive relationship ($R^2 = 0.26-0.36$) between these two 400 401 variables in the ocean, particularly in the Atlantic, North Pacific and Indian oceans. This author actually found that 402 *Synechococcus* was the phytoplankton group with the highest relationship with TEP concentration. Our study supports the 403 importance of *Synechococcus* as a TEP source in the oligotrophic ocean.

In the SWAS, unlike in the OAO, the significant relationship between TEP and the total phytoplankton biomass ($R^2 = 0.62$) was not accompanied by any relationship to any phytoplankton group (Table 3). This could be due to the high variability of the phytoplankton composition in the SWAS stations. Since many phytoplankton taxa are capable of TEP production, it is difficult to discern one group playing the main role. Moreover, as mentioned before, in these shelf waters TEP formation could have been further modulated by aggregation of colloids carried by freshwater discharges.

Regarding the influence of abiotic factors in TEP distribution, we found a negative relationship ($R^2 = 0.43$) between TEP concentration and the 24 hours–averaged solar irradiance in the OAO (Fig. 4). The OAO stations were exposed to high solar radiation due to water transparency and their location in tropical and subtropical regions. Ultraviolet (UV) radiation causes TEP loss by photolysis (Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2009a) and inhibits TEP formation from precursors (Orellana and Verdugo, 2003) . However, it has also been proved that solar radiation harms picophytoplanktonic cells through photobiological stress, inducing TEP production (Agustí and Llabrés, 2007; Iuculano et al., 2017b). Our results suggest that the roles of UV radiation in breaking up TEP and/or limiting their formation from precursors overcome UV stress–induced TEP production.

416 The role of HP as potential drivers of TEP distribution is not straightforward, since their net effect on TEP accumulation 417 depends on local conditions. Across the entire transect, TEP concentration was significantly (p < 0.001) and positively 418 related to HPA (Table 3). However, the relationship was not significant considering the regions separately, and only in the 419 SWAS TEP were significantly (p < 0.05) and positively related to HNA, considered to be a proxy of the more active cells 420 (Servais et al., 1999; Lebaron et al., 2001). This relationship in the SWAS could indicate that HP used TEP as a significant 421 carbon source or that both HP and TEP were controlled by the same drivers, such as the presence of dissolved 422 polysaccharides, which are substrates for HP as well as TEP precursors (Mari and Kiorboe, 1996). In the OAO, despite the 423 lack of a paired relationship between TEP and HPA, multiple regression analyses showed that both phytoplankton and HP 424 contributed significantly to explain TEP concentration variance (Table 4).

In summary, our study describes for the first time the horizontal distribution of TEP across a North–South transect in the Atlantic Ocean. TEP constituted a large portion of the POC pool, larger than phytoplankton at most stations and always larger than heterotrophic prokaryotic biomass. This supports the important role of TEP in the carbon cycle. The drivers of TEP distribution were primarily phytoplankton and, to a lesser extent, heterotrophic prokaryotes among sources, with *Synechococcus* playing an outstanding role in the oligotrophic ocean. Also in the oligotrophic ocean, solar irradiance was a major identifiable sink. We call for the need to carry out more extensive studies in the ocean, across both space and time, in

431	order to better predict the occurrence of TEP and incorporate diagnostic relationships in model projections. These diagnostic
432	studies must be combined with further process studies if we are to relate TEP concentrations to important biogeochemical
433	processes such as microbial colonization of particles, organic matter export to the deep ocean, gas exchange at the air-water
434	interface and organic aerosol formation.

435			
436			
437			
438			
439			
440			
441			

442 Author Contribution

- 443 M.Z. conducted the field work, analysed samples, processed and analysed the data. E.O-R. and R.S. designed the study and
- 444 analysed data. S.N., P.R-R., M.E. and M.S. analysed samples and provided data. M.D. helped with data contextualization.
- 445 M.Z., E.O–R. and R.S. wrote the manuscript with the help of all co–authors.

446

447 **Competing interests**

448 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

451 This research was funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through projects PEGASO (CTM2012–

452 37615) and BIOGAPS (CTM2016–81008–R) to R.S. M.Z. was supported by a FPU predoctoral fellowship from the Spanish

453 Ministry of Education and Culture. E.O-R. was supported by a Marie Curie Actions Intra-European Fellowship (H2020-

454 MSCA-IF-2015-703991). The authors thank Pep Gasol and Carolina Antequera for assistance with flow cytometry;

455 Maximino Delgado for microscopic phytoplankton counts; Rocío Zamanillo and Rafael Campos for assistance with R

- 456 software; and the scientists, the Marine Technology Unit (UTM-CSIC) and crew on board the RV Hespérides for help
- 457 during the cruise.
- 458
- 459
- 460
- 400
- 461
- 462
- 463
- 464

465 **References**

- Agawin, N. S. R., Duarte, C. M., and Agustí, S.: Nutrient and temperature control of the contribution of picoplankton to
 phytoplankton biomass and production, Limnology and Oceanography, 45, 591-600, 2000.
- Agustí, S., and Llabrés, M.: Solar Radiation-induced Mortality of Marine Pico-phytoplankton in the Oligotrophic Ocean,
 Photochemistry and Photobiology, 83, 793-801, 2007.
- Alldredge, A. L., Cole, J. J., and Caron, D. A.: Production of heterotrophic Inc. bacteria inhabiting macroscopic organic
 aggregates (marine snow) from surface waters, Limnology and Oceanography, 31 68-78, 1986.
- Alldredge, A. L., Passow, U., and Logan, B. E.: The abundance and significance of a class of large, transparent organic
 particles in the ocean, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 40, 1131-1140, 1993.
- Alldredge, A. L., Passow, U., and Haddock, S. H. D.: The characteristics and transparent exopolymer particle (TEP) content
 of marine snow formed from thecate dinoflagellates, Journal of Plankton Research, 20, 393-406, 1998.
- Aller, J. Y., Kuznetsova, M. R., Jahns, C. J., and Kemp, P. F.: The sea surface microlayer as a source of viral and bacterial
 enrichment in marine aerosols, Journal of Aerosol Science, 36, 801-812, 10.1016/j.jaerosci.2004.10.012, 2005.
- 478 Azam, F., and Malfatti, F.: Microbial structuring of marine ecosystems, Nat Rev Microbiol, 5, 782-791,
- 479 10.1038/nrmicro1747, 2007.
- Azetsu-Scott, K., and Passow, U.: Ascending marine particles: Significance of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in the
 upper ocean, Limnology and Oceanography, 49 741-748, 2004.
- 482 Bar-Zeev, E., Berman-Frank, I., Stambler, N., Vázquez Domínguez, E., Zohary, T., Capuzzo, E., Meeder, E., Suggett, D. J.,
- Iluz, D., Dishon, G., and Berman, T.: Transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) link phytoplankton and bacterial production in
 the Gulf of Aqaba, Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 56, 217-225, 10.3354/ame01322, 2009.

- Bar-Zeev, E., Berman, T., Rahav, E., Dishon, G., Herut, B., and Berman-Frank, I.: Transparent exopolymer particle (TEP)
 dynamics in the eastern Mediterranean Sea, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 431, 107-118, 10.3354/meps09110, 2011.
- Bar-Zeev, E., and Rahav, E.: Microbial metabolism of transparent exopolymer particles during the summer months along a
 eutrophic estuary system, Front Microbiol, 6, 403, 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00403, 2015.
- Beauvais, C., Pedrotti, M. L., Egge, J., Iversen, K., and Marrasé, C.: Effects of turbulence on TEP dynamics under
 contrasting nutrient conditions: implications for aggregation and sedimentation processes, Marine Ecology Progress Series,
 323, 47-57, 2006.
- Berman-Frank, I., Rosenberg, G., Levitan, O., Haramaty, L., and Mari, X.: Coupling between autocatalytic cell death and
 transparent exopolymeric particle production in the marine cyanobacterium Trichodesmium, Environ Microbiol, 9, 14151422, 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01257.x, 2007.
- Bhaskar, P. V., and Bhosle, N. B.: Dynamics of transparent exopolymeric particles (TEP) and particle-associated
 carbohydrates in the Dona Paula bay, west coast of India, Journal of Earth System Science, 115, 403-413,
 10.1007/bf02702869, 2006.
- Biddanda, B., and Benner, R.: Carbon, nitrogen, and carbohydrate fluxes during the production of particulate and dissolved
 organic matter by marine phytoplankton, Limnology and Oceanography, 1997.
- 500 Biddanda, B. A.: Structure and function of marine microbial aggregates, Oceanologica Acta, 9, 209-211, 1986.
- 501 Borchard, C., and Engel, A.: Size-fractionated dissolved primary production and carbohydrate composition of the 502 coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, Biogeosciences, 12, 1271-1284, 10.5194/bg-12-1271-2015, 2015.
- Burd, A. B., and Jackson, G. A.: Particle aggregation, Ann Rev Mar Sci, 1, 65-90, 10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163904,
 2009.
- Calleja, M. L., Duarte, C. M., Prairie, Y. T., Agustí, S., and Herndl, G. J.: Evidence for surface organic matter modulation of
 air-sea CO2 gas exchange, Biogeosciences Discussions, 5, 4209-4233, 10.5194/bgd-5-4209-2008, 2008.
- Cassar, N., Wright, S. W., Thomson, P. G., Trull, T. W., Westwood, K. J., de Salas, M., Davidson, A., Pearce, I., Davies, D.
 M., and Matear, R. J.: The relation of mixed-layer net community production to phytoplankton community composition in
- the Southern Ocean, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 29, 446-462, 10.1002/2014gb004936, 2015.
- Chin, W.-C., Orellana, M. V., and Verdugo, P.: Spontaneous assembly of marine dissolved organic matter into polymer gels,
 Nature, 391, 568-572, 1998.
- 512 Cisternas-Novoa, C., Lee, C., and Engel, A.: Transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) and Coomassie stainable particles
- 513 (CSP): Differences between their origin and vertical distributions in the ocean, Marine Chemistry, 175, 56-71,
- 514 10.1016/j.marchem.2015.03.009, 2015.
- Claquin, P., Probert, I., Lefebvre, S., and Veron, B.: Effects of temperature on photosynthetic parameters and TEP
 production in eight species of marine microalgae, Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 51, 1-11, 10.3354/ame01187, 2008.
- Corzo, A., Morillo, J. A., and Rodríguez, S.: Production of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in cultures of
 Chaetoceros calcitrans under nitrogen limitation, Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 23, 63-72, 10.3354/ame023063, 2000.
- Corzo, A., Rodríguez-Gálvez, S., Lubian, L., Sangrá, P., Martínez, A., and Morillo, J. A.: Spatial distribution of transparent
 exopolymer particles in the Bransfield Strait, Antarctica, Journal of Plankton Research, 27, 635-646, 10.1093/plankt/fbi038,
 2005.
- Cunliffe, M., Engel, A., Frka, S., Gašparović, B., Guitart, C., Murrell, J. C., Salter, M., Stolle, C., Upstill-Goddard, R., and
 Wurl, O.: Sea surface microlayers: A unified physicochemical and biological perspective of the air–ocean interface, Progress
 in Oceanography, 109, 104-116, 10.1016/j.pocean.2012.08.004, 2013.
- de Vicente, I., Ortega-Retuerta, E., Mazuecos, I. P., Pace, M. L., Cole, J. J., and Reche, I.: Variation in transparent
 exopolymer particles in relation to biological and chemical factors in two contrasting lake districts, Aquatic Sciences, 72,
 443-453, 10.1007/s00027-010-0147-6, 2010.
- 528 Decho, A. W., and Moriarty, D. J. W.: Bacterial exopolymer utilization by a harpacticoid copepod: A methodology and 529 results, Limnology and Oceanography, 35, 1039-1049, 1990.

- 530 Deng, W., Cruz, B. N., and Neuer, S.: Effects of nutrient limitation on cell growth, TEP production and aggregate formation 531 of marine Synechococcus, Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 78, 39-49, 10.3354/ame01803, 2016.
- Dilling, L., Wilson, J., Steinberg, D., and Alldredge, A. L.: Feeding by the euphasiid *Euphasia pacifica* and the copepod
 Calanus pacificus on marine snow, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 170, 189-201, 1998.
- 534 Ding, Y.-X., Chin, W.-C., Rodriguez, A., Hung, C.-C., Santschi, P. H., and Verdugo, P.: Amphiphilic exopolymers from

Sagittula stellata induce DOM self-assembly and formation of marine microgels, Marine Chemistry, 112, 11-19,
 10.1016/j.marchem.2008.05.003, 2008.

- Dreshchinskii, A., and Engel, A.: Seasonal variations of the sea surface microlayer at the Boknis Eck Times Series Station
 (Baltic Sea), Journal of Plankton Research, 39, 943-961, 10.1093/plankt/fbx055, 2017.
- Ducklow, H.: Bacterial production and biomass in the oceans, in: Microbial Ecology of the Oceans, edited by: Kirchman, D.
 L., 2000.
- 541 Engel, A.: The role of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in the increase in apparent particle stickiness (α) during the 542 decline of a diatom bloom, Journal of Plankton Research, 22, 485-497, 2000.
- Engel, A., and Passow, U.: Carbon and nitrogen content of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in relation to their Alcian
 Blue adsorption, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 219, 1-10, 2001.
- Engel, A.: Direct relationship between CO2 uptake and transparent exopolymer particles production in natural
 phytoplankton, Journal of Plankton Research, 24, 49-53, 2002.
- Engel, A., Goldthwait, S., Passow, U., and Alldredge, A. L.: Temporal decoupling of carbon and nitrogen dynamics in a
 mesocosm diatom bloom, Limnology and Oceanography, 47, 753-761, 2002a.
- Engel, A., Meyerhöfer, M., and von Bröckel, K.: Chemical and Biological Composition of Suspended Particles and
 Aggregates in the Baltic Sea in Summer (1999), Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 55, 729-741, 10.1006/ecss.2001.0927,
 2002b.
- Engel, A.: Distribution of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in the northeast Atlantic Ocean and their potential
 significance for aggregation processes, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 51, 83-92,
 10.1016/j.dsr.2003.09.001, 2004.
- Engel, A., and Galgani, L.: The organic sea-surface microlayer in the upwelling region off the coast of Peru and potential
 implications for air-sea exchange processes, Biogeosciences, 13, 989-1007, 10.5194/bg-13-989-2016, 2016.
- Engel, A., Piontek, J., Metfies, K., Endres, S., Sprong, P., Peeken, I., Gabler-Schwarz, S., and Nothig, E. M.: Inter-annual
 variability of transparent exopolymer particles in the Arctic Ocean reveals high sensitivity to ecosystem changes, Sci Rep, 7,
 4129, 10.1038/s41598-017-04106-9, 2017.
- Fukao, T., Kitahara, S., Karino, N., Yamatogi, T., Kimoto, K., and Kotani, Y.: Dynamics of transparent exopolymer particles
 in spring and autumn in Isahaya Bay, Japan, Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi, 77 1027 1033, 2011.
- García, C. M., Prieto, L., Vargas, M., Echevarría, F., García-Lafuente, J., Ruiz, J., and Rubín, J. P.: Hydrodynamics and the
 spatial distribution of plankton and TEP in the Gulf of Cádiz (SW Iberian Peninsula), Journal of Plankton Research, 24, 817 833, 2002.
- 565 Gärdes, A., Iversen, M. H., Grossart, H. P., Passow, U., and Ullrich, M. S.: Diatom-associated bacteria are required for 566 aggregation of Thalassiosira weissflogii, ISME J, 5, 436-445, 10.1038/ismej.2010.145, 2011.
- Gasol, J. M., and Morán, X. A. G.: Effects of filtration on bacterial activity and picoplankton community structure as
 assessed by flow cytometry, Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 1999.
- 569 Gordon, A.: Brazil--Malvinas Conlluence---1984, Deep Sea Research, 36, 359-384, 1989.
- Grossart, H. P., Berman, T., Simon, M., and Pohlmann, K.: Occurrence and microbial dynamics of macroscopic organic
 aggregates (lake snow) in Lake Kinneret, Israel, in fall, Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 14, 59-67, 10.3354/ame014059, 1998.
- 572 Grossart, H. P., Czub, G., and Simon, M.: Algae-bacteria interactions and their effects on aggregation and organic matter 573 flux in the sea, Environ Microbiol, 8, 1074-1084, 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2006.00999.x, 2006.

- Guerrini, F., Mazzotti, A., Boni, L., and Pistocchi, R.: Bacterial– algal interactions in polysaccharide production, Aquatic
 Microbial Ecology, 15, 247-253, 1998.
- Hansen, H. P., and Grasshoff, K.: Procedures for the automated determination of seawater constituents, in: Methods of
 seawater analysis, second, revised and extended edition ed., edited by: Verlag Chemie, W., 362-379, 1983.
- Harlay, J., De Bodt, C., Engel, A., Jansen, S., d'Hoop, Q., Piontek, J., Van Oostende, N., Groom, S., Sabbe, K., and Chou,
 L.: Abundance and size distribution of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in a coccolithophorid bloom in the northern
 Bay of Biscay, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 56, 1251-1265, 10.1016/j.dsr.2009.01.014, 2009.
- Harlay, J., Borges, A. V., Van Der Zee, C., Delille, B., Godoi, R. H. M., Schiettecatte, L. S., Roevros, N., Aerts, K.,
 Lapernat, P. E., Rebreanu, L., Groom, S., Daro, M. H., Van Grieken, R., and Chou, L.: Biogeochemical study of a
 coccolithophore bloom in the northern Bay of Biscay (NE Atlantic Ocean) in June 2004, Progress in Oceanography, 86, 317336, 10.1016/j.pocean.2010.04.029, 2010.
- Heinonen, K. B., Ward, J. E., and Holohan, B. A.: Production of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) by benthic
 suspension feeders in coastal systems, Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 341, 184-195,
 10.1016/j.jembe.2006.09.019, 2007.
- Hong, Y., Smith, W. O., and White, A.-M.: Studies of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) produced in the Ross Sea
 (Antarctica) and by Phaeocytis antarctica (Prymnesiophyceae), Journal of Phycology, 33, 368 376, 1997.
- Iuculano, F., Duarte, C. M., Marbà, N., and Augustí, S.: Seagrass as major source of transparent exopolymer particles in the
 oligotrophic Mediterranean coast, Biogeosciences Discussions, 1-12, 10.5194/bg-2016-558, 2017a.
- Iuculano, F., Mazuecos, I. P., Reche, I., and Agusti, S.: Prochlorococcus as a Possible Source for Transparent Exopolymer
 Particles (TEP), Front Microbiol, 8, 709, 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00709, 2017b.
- Jähmlich, S., Thomsen, L., and Graf, G.: Factors controlling aggregate formation in the benthic boundary layer of the
 Mecklenburg Bight (western Baltic Sea), Journal of Sea Research, 41, 245–254, 1998.
- Jennings, M. K., Passow, U., Wozniak, A. S., and Hansell, D. A.: Distribution of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP)
 across an organic carbon gradient in the western North Atlantic Ocean, Marine Chemistry, 190, 1-12,
 10.1016/j.marchem.2017.01.002, 2017.
- Kiorboe, T., Hansen, J. L. S., Alldredge, A. L., Jackson, G. A., Passow, U., Dam, H. G., Drapeau, D. T., Waite, A., and
 Garcia, C. M.: Sedimentation of phytoplankton during a diatom bloom: Rates and mechanisms, Journal of Marine Science,
 54, 1123-1148, 1996.
- Klein, C., Claquin, P., Pannard, A., Napoléon, C., Le Roy, B., and Véron, B.: Dynamics of soluble extracellular polymeric
 -substances and transparent exopolymer particle pools in coastal ecosystems, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 427, 13-27,
 10.3354/meps09049, 2011.
- Kodama, T., Kurogi, H., Okazaki, M., Jinbo, T., Chow, S., Tomoda, T., Ichikawa, T., and Watanabe, T.: Vertical distribution
 of transparent exopolymer particle (TEP) concentration in the oligotrophic western tropical North Pacific, Marine Ecology
 Progress Series, 513, 29-37, 10.3354/meps10954, 2014.
- Kozlowski, W., and Vernet, M.: Palmer LTER: Predominance of cryptomonads and diatoms in antarctic coastal waters,
 Antarctic Journal of the United States, 30, 267-268, 1995.
- Kozlowski, W. A., Deutschman, D., Garibotti, I., Trees, C., and Vernet, M.: An evaluation of the application of CHEMTAX
 to Antarctic coastal pigment data, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 58, 350-364,
- 612 10.1016/j.dsr.2011.01.008, 2011.
- Kumar, M. D., Sarma, V. V. S. S., Ramaiah, N., Gauns, M., and de Sousa, S. N.: Biogeochemical significance of transport
 exopolymer particles in the Indian Ocean, Geophysical Research Letters, 25, 81-84, 10.1029/97gl03481, 1998.
- Kuznetsova, M., Lee, C., and Aller, J.: Characterization of the proteinaceous matter in marine aerosols, Marine Chemistry,
 96, 359-377, 10.1016/j.marchem.2005.03.007, 2005.
- Lebaron, P., Servais, P., Agogue, H., Courties, C., and Joux, F.: Does the high nucleic acid content of individual bacterial cells allow us to discriminate between active cells and inactive cells in aquatic systems?, Appl Environ Microbiol, 67, 1775-
- 619 1782, 10.1128/AEM.67.4.1775-1782.2001, 2001.

- Leblanc, K., Hare, C. E., Feng, Y. y., Berg, G. M., DiTullio, G. R., Neeley, A., Benner, I., Sprengel, C., Beck, A., SanudoWilhelmy, S. A., Passow, U., Klinck, K., Rowe, J. M., Willhelm, S. W., Brown, C. W., and Hutchins, D. A.: Distribution of
 calcifying and silicifying phytoplankton in relation to environmental and biogeochemical parameters during the late stages of
 the 2005 North East Atlantic Spring Bloom, Biogeosciences, 6, 1-25, 2009.
- Leck, C., Gao, Q., Mashayekhy Rad, F., and Nilsson, U.: Size-resolved atmospheric particulate polysaccharides in the high summer Arctic, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 12573-12588, 10.5194/acp-13-12573-2013, 2013.
- Ling, S., and Alldredge, A. L.: Does the marine copepod Calanus pacificus consume transparent exopolymer particles
 (TEP)?, Journal of Plankton Research, 25, 507-515, 2003.
- Logan, B. E., Passow, U., Alldredge, A. L., Grossart, H. P., and Simon, M.: Rapid formation and sedimentation of large
 aggregates is predictable from coagulation rates (half-lives) of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP), Deep Sea Research
- 630 Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 42, 203-214, 1995.
- 631 Long, R. A., and Azam, F.: Abundant protein-containing particles in the sea, Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 10, 213-221, 1996.
- Longhurst, A. R.: Ecological Geography of the Sea, Second Edition ed., Academic Press, San Diego, Academic Press, 402
 pp., 1998.
- López-Sandoval, D. C., Fernández, A., and Marañón, E.: Dissolved and particulate primary production along a longitudinal
 gradient in the Mediterranean Sea, Biogeosciences, 8, 815-825, 10.5194/bg-8-815-2011, 2011.
- Mari, X., and Kiorboe, T.: Abundance, size distribution and bacterial colonization of transparent exopolymeric particles
 (TEP) during spring in the Kattegat, Journal of Plankton Research, 18, 969-986, 1996.
- Mari, X., Rassoulzadegan, F., Brussaard, C. P. D., and Wassmann, P.: Dynamics of transparent exopolymeric particles
 (TEP) production by Phaeocystis globosa under N- or P-limitation: a controlling factor of the retention/export balance,
 Harmful Algae, 4, 895-914, 10.1016/j.hal.2004.12.014, 2005.
- Mari, X., Passow, U., Migon, C., Burd, A. B., and Legendre, L.: Transparent exopolymer particles: Effects on carbon cycling in the ocean, Progress in Oceanography, 151, 13-37, 10.1016/j.pocean.2016.11.002, 2017.
- Mazuecos, I. P.: Exopolymer particles in the ocean: in the ocean: Production by microorganisms, carbon export and by microorganisms, carbon export and ation mesopelagic respiration, Ph.D. thesis, University of Granada, Spain, 281 pp., 2015.
- Menden-Deuer, S., and Lessard, E. J.: Carbon to volume relationships for dinoflagellates, diatoms, and other protist plankton, Limnology and Oceanography, 45, 569-579, 2000.
- 647 Myklestad, S.: Production of carbohydrates by marine planktonic diatoms., J. exp. mar. Biol. Ecol., 29, 161-179, 1977.
- Nagata, T.: Production mechanisms of dissolved organic matter., in: Kirchman, D.L. (Ed.) Microbial Ecology of the Oceans,
 Wiley-Liss Inc., New York, 121-152, 2000.
- Nicolaus, B., Panico, A., Lama, L., Romano, I., Manca, M. C., De Giulio, A., and Gambacorta, A.: Chemical composition
 and production of exopolysaccharides from representative members of heterocystous and non-heterocystous cyanobacteria,
 Phytochemistry, 52, 639-647, 1999.
- Norland, S.: The relationship between biomass and volume of bacteria, in: Handbook of methods in aquatic microbial ecology, edited by: Publishers, L., 303-307, 1993.
- Olson, R. J., Zettler, E. R., and DuRand, M. D.: Handbook of Methods in Aquatic Microbial Ecology, Boca Raton ed.,
 Phytoplankton analysis using flow cytometry, Lewis Publishers, 1993.
- Orellana, M. V., and Verdugo, P.: Ultraviolet radiation blocks the organic carbon exchange between the dissolved phase and
 the gel phase in the ocean, Limnology and Oceanography, 48 (4), 1618-1623, 2003.
- Orellana, M. V., Matrai, P. A., Leck, C., Rauschenberg, C. D., Lee, A. M., and Coz, E.: Marine microgels as a source of
 cloud condensation nuclei in the high Arctic, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 108, 13612-13617, 10.1073/pnas.1102457108,
 2011.
- Ortega-Retuerta, E., Passow, U., Duarte, C. M., and Reche, I.: Effects of ultraviolet B radiation on (not so) transparent
 exopolymer particles, Biogeosciences, 6, 3071-3080, 10.5194/bg-6-3071-2009, 2009a.

- Ortega-Retuerta, E., Reche, I., Pulido-Villena, E., Agustí, S., and Duarte, C. M.: Uncoupled distributions of transparent
 exopolymer particles (TEP) and dissolved carbohydrates in the Southern Ocean, Marine Chemistry, 115, 59-65,
- 666 10.1016/j.marchem.2009.06.004, 2009b.
- Ortega-Retuerta, E., Duarte, C. M., and Reche, I.: Significance of bacterial activity for the distribution and dynamics of
 transparent exopolymer particles in the Mediterranean sea, Microb Ecol, 59, 808-818, 10.1007/s00248-010-9640-7, 2010.

Ortega-Retuerta, E., Sala, M. M., Borrull, E., Mestre, M., Aparicio, F. L., Gallisai, R., Antequera, C., Marrase, C., Peters, F.,
Simo, R., and Gasol, J. M.: Horizontal and Vertical Distributions of Transparent Exopolymer Particles (TEP) in the NW
Mediterranean Sea Are Linked to Chlorophyll a and O2 Variability, Front Microbiol, 7, 2159, 10.3389/fmicb.2016.02159,
2017.

- Ortega-Retuerta, E., Marrase, C., Munoz-Fernandez, A., Sala, M. M., Simo, R., and Gasol, J. M.: Seasonal dynamics of
 transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) and their drivers in the coastal NW Mediterranean Sea, Sci Total Environ, 631-632,
 180-190, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.341, 2018.
- Palma, E. D., Matano, R. P., and Piola, A. R.: A numerical study of the Southwestern Atlantic Shelf circulation: Stratified
 ocean response to local and offshore forcing, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, 10.1029/2007jc004720, 2008.

Parinos, C., Gogou, A., Krasakopoulou, E., Lagaria, A., Giannakourou, A., Karageorgis, A. P., and Psarra, S.: Transparent
Exopolymer Particles (TEP) in the NE Aegean Sea frontal area: Seasonal dynamics under the influence of Black Sea water,
Continental Shelf Research, 149, 112-123, 10.1016/j.csr.2017.03.012, 2017.

- Partensky, F., Hess, W. R., and Vaulot, D.: Prochlorococcus, a Marine Photosynthetic Prokaryote of Global Significance,
 Microbiology and molecular biology reviews 63, 106-137, 1999.
- Passow, U., and Alldredge, A. L.: Distribution, size and bacterial colonization of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in
 the ocean, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 113, 185-198, 1994.
- Passow, U., and Alldredge, A. L.: A dye-binding assay for the spectrophotometric measurement of transparent exopolymer
 particles (TEP), Limnology and Oceanography, 40, 1326-1335, 1995.
- Passow, U., Kozlowski, W., and Vernet, M.: Distribution of Transparent Exopolymer Particles (TEP) during summer at a
 permanent station in Antarctica, Antarctic Journal of the United States, 30, 265-266, 1995.
- Passow, U., and Alldredge, A. L.: Do transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) inhibit grazing by the euphausiid Euphausia
 pacifica?, Journal of Plankton Research, 21, 2203-2217, 1999.
- Passow, U.: Formation of transparent exopolymer particles, TEP, from dissolved precursor material, Marine Ecology
 Progress Series, 192, 1-11, 2000.
- Passow, U., Shipe, R. F., Murray, A., Pak, D. K., Brzezinski, M. A., and Alldredge, A. L.: The origin of transparent
 exopolymer particles (TEP) and their role in the sedimentation of particulate matter, Continental Shelf Research, 21, 327346, 2001.
- Passow, U.: Transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in aquatic environments, Progress in Oceanography, 55, 287-333,
 2002a.
- Passow, U.: Production of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) by phyto- and bacterioplankton, Marine Ecology Progress
 Series, 236, 1-12, 2002b.
- Pedrotti, M. L., Peters, F., Beauvais, S., Vidal, M., Egge, J., Jacobsen, A., and Marrasé, C.: Effects of nutrients and
 turbulence on the production of transparent exopolymer particles: a mesocosm study, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 419,
 57-69, 10.3354/meps08840, 2010.
- Pernice, M. C., Forn, I., Gomes, A., Lara, E., Alonso-Saez, L., Arrieta, J. M., del Carmen Garcia, F., Hernando-Morales, V.,
 MacKenzie, R., Mestre, M., Sintes, E., Teira, E., Valencia, J., Varela, M. M., Vaque, D., Duarte, C. M., Gasol, J. M., and
 Massana, R.: Global abundance of planktonic heterotrophic protists in the deep ocean, ISME J, 9, 782-792,
 10.1038/ismej.2014.168, 2015.
- Peterson, R. G., and Stramma, L.: Upper-level circulation in the South Atlantic Ocean, Progress in Oceanography, 26, 1-73,
 1991.
- Piola, A. R., and Gordon, A. L.: Intermediate waters in the southwest South Atlantic, Deep-Sea Research, 36, 1-16, 1989.

- Piola, A. R.: The influence of the Plata River discharge on the western South Atlantic shelf, Geophysical Research Letters,
 32, 10.1029/2004gl021638, 2005.
- Prieto, L., Sommer, F., Stibor, H., and Koeve, W.: Effects of planktonic copepods on transparent exopolymeric particles
 (TEP) abundance and size spectra, Journal of Plankton Research, 5, 515-525, 2001.
- Prieto, L., Navarro, G., Cózar, A., Echevarría, F., and García, C. M.: Distribution of TEP in the euphotic and upper
 mesopelagic zones of the southern Iberian coasts, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 53, 13141328, 10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.03.009, 2006.
- 717 Radic, T., Kraus, R., Fuks, D., Radic, J., and Pecar, O.: Transparent exopolymeric particles' distribution in the northern
- Adriatic and their relation to microphytoplankton biomass and composition, Sci Total Environ, 353, 151-161,
 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.09.013, 2005.
- Radic, T., Ivancic, I., Fuks, D., and Radic, J.: Marine bacterioplankton production of polysaccharidic and proteinaceous
 particles under different nutrient regimes, FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 58, 333-342, 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00176.x, 2006.
- Ramaiah, N., Yoshikawa, T., and Furuya, K.: Temporal variations in transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) associated with a diatom spring bloom in a subarctic ria in Japan, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 212, 79-88, 10.3354/meps212079, 2001.
- Ramaiah, N., and Furuya, K.: Seasonal variations in phytoplankton composition and transparent exopolymer particles in a
 eutrophicated coastal environment, Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 30, 69-82, 2002.
- Ramaiah, N., Takeda, S., Furuya, K., Yoshimura, T., Nishioka, J., Aono, T., Nojiri, Y., Imai, K., Kudo, I., Saito, H., and
- 727 Tsuda, A.: Effect of iron enrichment on the dynamics of transparent exopolymer particles in the western subarctic Pacific,
- 728 Progress in Oceanography, 64, 253-261, 10.1016/j.pocean.2005.02.012, 2005.
- Riebesell, U., Reigstad, M., Wassmann, P., Noji, T., and Passow, U.: On the trophic fate of *Phaeocystis pouchetii* (Hariot):
 VI. Significance of Phaeocystis-derived mucus for vertical flux., Journal of Sea Research, 33, 193 203, 1995.
- Schartau, M., Engel, A., Schröter, J., Thoms, S., Völker, C., and Wolf-Gladrow, D.: Modelling carbon overconsumption and
 the formation of extracellular particulate organic carbon, Biogeosciences, 4, 433-454, 2007.
- Scoullos, M., Plavšić, M., Karavoltsos, S., and Sakellari, A.: Partitioning and distribution of dissolved copper, cadmium and
 organic matter in Mediterranean marine coastal areas: The case of a mucilage event, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science,
 67, 484-490, 10.1016/j.ecss.2005.12.007, 2006.
- Servais, P., Courties, C., Lebaron, P., and Troussellier, M.: Coupling Bacterial Activity Measurements with Cell Sorting by
 Flow Cytometry, Microbial Ecology, 38, 180-189, 10.1007/s002489900160, 1999.
- Shibata, A., Kogure, K., Koike, I., and Ohwada, K.: Formation of submicron colloidal particles from marine bacteria by viral
 infection., Marine Ecology Progress Series, 155, 303-307, 1997.
- Simó, R., Vila-Costa, M., Alonso-Sáez, L., Cardelús, C., Guadayol, Ò., Vázquez-Domínguez, E., and Gasol, J. M.: Annual
 DMSP contribution to S and C fluxes through phytoplankton and bacterioplankton in a NW Mediterranean coastal site,
 Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 57, 43-55, 10.3354/ame01325, 2009.
- Stoderegger, K., and Herndl, G. J.: Production and release of bacterial capsular material and its subsequent utilization by
 marine bacterioplankton, Limnology and Oceanography, 43, 877-884, 1998.
- Sugimoto, K., Fukuda, H., Baki, M. A., and Koike, I.: Bacterial contributions to formation of transparent exopolymer
 particles (TEP) and seasonal trends in coastal waters of Sagami Bay, Japan, Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 46, 31-41,
- 747 10.3354/ame046031, 2007.
- Sun, C.-C., Wang, Y.-S., Li, Q. P., Yue, W.-Z., Wang, Y.-T., Sun, F.-L., and Peng, Y.-L.: Distribution characteristics of
 transparent exopolymer particles in the Pearl River estuary, China, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 117,
 1-12, 10.1029/2012jg001951, 2012.
- 751 Thuy, N. T., Lin, J. C., Juang, Y., and Huang, C.: Temporal variation and interaction of full size spectrum Alcian blue
- stainable materials and water quality parameters in a reservoir, Chemosphere, 131, 139-148,
- 753 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.03.023, 2015.

- Trabelsi, L., Ben Ouada, H., Bacha, H., and Ghoul, M.: Combined effect of temperature and light intensity on growth and
 extracellular polymeric substance production by the cyanobacterium Arthrospira platensis, Journal of Applied Phycology,
 21, 405-412, 10.1007/s10811-008-9383-8, 2008.
- Utermöhl, H.: Zur Vervollkommnung der quentitativen Phytoplankton-Methodik, Mitteilungen der International
 Vereinigung fur heorestische und Angewandte Limnologie, 9, 1-38, 1958.
- Van Oostende, N., Harlay, J., Vanelslander, B., Chou, L., Vyverman, W., and Sabbe, K.: Phytoplankton community
 dynamics during late spring coccolithophore blooms at the continental margin of the Celtic Sea (North East Atlantic, 2006–
 2008), Progress in Oceanography, 104, 1-16, 10.1016/j.pocean.2012.04.016, 2012.
- Vardi, A., Haramaty, L., Van Mooy, B. A., Fredricks, H. F., Kimmance, S. A., Larsen, A., and Bidle, K. D.: Host-virus
 dynamics and subcellular controls of cell fate in a natural coccolithophore population, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 109,
 19327-19332, 10.1073/pnas.1208895109, 2012.
- Wild, C.: Effekte von "marine snow"—Sedimentation auf Steinkorallen (Hexacorallia, Scleractinia) des Great Barrier Reef,
 Australien, Department of Biology and Chemistry, University of Bremen, 110 pp., 2000.

Wilson, T. W., Ladino, L. A., Alpert, P. A., Breckels, M. N., Brooks, I. M., Browse, J., Burrows, S. M., Carslaw, K. S.,
Huffman, J. A., Judd, C., Kilthau, W. P., Mason, R. H., McFiggans, G., Miller, L. A., Najera, J. J., Polishchuk, E., Rae, S.,
Schiller, C. L., Si, M., Temprado, J. V., Whale, T. F., Wong, J. P., Wurl, O., Yakobi-Hancock, J. D., Abbatt, J. P., Aller, J.
Y., Bertram, A. K., Knopf, D. A., and Murray, B. J.: A marine biogenic source of atmospheric ice-nucleating particles,
Nature, 525, 234-238, 10.1038/nature14986, 2015.

- Wurl, O., Miller, L., Röttgers, R., and Vagle, S.: The distribution and fate of surface-active substances in the sea-surface
 microlayer and water column, Marine Chemistry, 115, 1-9, 10.1016/j.marchem.2009.04.007, 2009.
- Wurl, O., Miller, L., and Vagle, S.: Production and fate of transparent exopolymer particles in the ocean, Journal of
 Geophysical Research, 116, 10.1029/2011jc007342, 2011a.
- Wurl, O., Wurl, E., Miller, L., Johnson, K., and Vagle, S.: Formation and global distribution of sea-surface microlayers,
 Biogeosciences, 8, 121-135, 10.5194/bg-8-121-2011, 2011b.
- Wurl, O., Stolle, C., Van Thuoc, C., The Thu, P., and Mari, X.: Biofilm-like properties of the sea surface and predicted effects on air–sea CO2 exchange, Progress in Oceanography, 144, 15-24, 10.1016/j.pocean.2016.03.002, 2016.

Yamada, Y., Fukuda, H., Uchimiya, M., Motegi, C., Nishino, S., Kikuchi, T., and Nagata, T.: Localized accumulation and a
shelf-basin gradient of particles in the Chukchi Sea and Canada Basin, western Arctic, Journal of Geophysical Research:
Oceans, 120, 4638-4653, 10.1002/2015jc010794, 2015.

- Yentsch, C. S., and Menzel, D. W.: A method for the determination of phytoplankton chlorophyll and phaeophytin by
 fluorescence, Deep Sea Res I, 10, 221-231, 1963.
- Zhou, J., Mopper, K., and Passow, U.: The role of surface-active carbohydrates in the formation of transparent exopolymer
 particles by bubble adsorption of seawater, Limnology and Oceanography, 43, 1860-1871, 1998.
- Zubkov, M. V., Sleigh, M. A., Tarran, G. A., Burkill, P. H., Raymond, J. A., and Leakey, R. J. G.: Picoplanktonic
 community structure on an Atlantic transect from 50°Nto 50°S, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers,
 45, 1339-1355, 1998.
- 790
- 791
- 792
- 793

799Table 1. Mean, standard deviation and range of temperature (°C), salinity, 24 hours-averaged solar irradiance (W m⁻²), nitrate800(µmol L⁻¹), silicate (µmol L⁻¹), phosphate (µmol L⁻¹), Chl a (mg m⁻³), POC (µmol L⁻¹), HPA (× 10⁵ cells mL⁻¹), TEP (µg XG eq L⁻¹)801and TEP:Chl a in the OAO, the edge of the Canary Coastal Upwelling (CU) and the SW Atlantic Shelf.

	OAO		CU	SW Atlantic Shelf	
	Mean ± SD (ranges)	n	Value $(n = 1)$	Mean \pm SD (ranges)	n
Temperature (°C)	26.0 ± 2.1 (22.6–29.6)	30	23.6	10.7 ± 2.2 (7.6–13.9)	9
Salinity	$36.4 \pm 0.6 \; (34.8 37.4)$	30	36.1	33.2 ± 0.3 (32.6–33.6)	9
Solar irradiance 24 h (W m ⁻²)	265 ± 73 (144–362)	26	_	369 ± 52 (264–425)	10
Nitrate (μ mol L ⁻¹)	$0.49 \pm 0.53 \; (0.09 0.77)$	30	0.13	4.08 ± 3.08 (0.16–8.9)	10
Silicate (µmol L ⁻¹)	$0.74 \pm 0.27 \; (0.20 1.41)$	30	0.26	$0.63 \pm 0.35 \; (0.31 1.27)$	10
Phosphate (μ mol L ⁻¹)	$0.11 \pm 0.06 \; (0.05 0.18)$	30	0.16	$0.57 \pm 0.21 \; (0.31 0.89)$	10
Chl $a (\text{mg m}^{-3})$	$0.32 \pm 0.10 \; (0.20 0.57)$	29	0.25	$2.73 \pm 0.87 \; (1.07 3.75)$	10
POC (μ mol L ⁻¹)	$4.2 \pm 1.9 \ (1.7 - 7.1)$	12	_	$16.6 \pm 15.8 \ (6.8-44.3)$	5
HPA (× 10^5 cells mL ⁻¹)	$7.83 \pm 2.16 \ (4.34 14.90)$	30	14.56	$29.04 \pm 5.39 \; (13.00 70.20)$	10
TEP (µg XG eq L ⁻¹)	59.8 ± 27.4 (18.3–131.7)	30	446.8	255.7 ± 130.4 (98.6–427.2)	10
TEP:Chl a	183.1 ± 55.8 (81.2–359.7)	29	1760.4	97.2 ± 42.1 (30.8–164.9)	10

Geographic area	Conditions	Sampling date	Depth (m)	TEP mean (range)	Chl a mean	TEP:Chl a mean	Reference
				(µg XG eq. L ⁻¹)	(range)	(range)	
					(mg m ⁻³)		
Fram Strait (Arctic	Bloom and non	Summer 2009–2012	5-150	75 ± 78	0-4.2	$45 \pm 3 - 107 \pm 10$	Engel et al.
Ocean)	bloom	and 2014 (time series)		(5–517)			(2017)
		and summer 2014					
		(transect)					
Arctic Ocean	Sea ice covered	Autumn and Spring	Above Mixed	125–1750 ^a	0.1–7.8 ^b	-	Wurl et al.
		2009–2010	Layer Depth				(2011a)
Eastern tropical and	Eutrophic and	Summer 2009	Above Mixed	78–970 ^a	0.3–1.7 ^b	_	Wurl et al.
Eastern subarctic,	oligotrophic		Layer Depth				(2011)
North Pacific Ocean							
Western subarctic	Non bloom	Summer 2001	5	40–60	0.2–1.9	_	Ramaiah et al.
and North Pacific							(2005)
Ocean							
Northeast Atlantic	Different bloom	Summer 1996	0–70	10°-124	0.1–1.1 ^{c,d}	49–104	Engel (2004)
Ocean	stages						
		Autumn 1996	0–50	28.5 ± 10.2	0.07–0.6	61	
Northeast Atlantic	Late stages	Spring 2005	0–10	20–420 ^c	0.1–3 ^{c,e}	_	Leblanc et al.
Ocean	bloom						(2009)
Western tropical	Non bloom	Spring 2013	Surface mixed	43 ± 7 (18–67°)	0.05 ± 0.01	832 ± 314	Kodama et al.
North Pacific Ocean	Oligotrophic		layer (36 ± 12)				(2014)
Western North	Oligotrophic	Spring 2014	1	161-460	0.1–1°	-	Jennings, et al.
Atlantic Ocean							(2017)
Western North	Eutrophic and	Spring 2014	2–5	100-200°	0.1-2.2	-	Aller (2017)

Table 2. Review of open-ocean surface TEP concentrations (mean and ranges; $\mu g XG eq L^{-1}$), Chl *a* (mean and ranges; mg m⁻³) and TEP:Chl *a* ratio (mean ± SE and/or range) available in the literature. bdl: below detection limit.

Atlantic Ocean and	oligotrophic						
Sargasso Sea							
Sargasso Sea	Oligotrophic	Spring, summer,	0–100	$21\pm257\pm3$	0.05–1 °	-	Cisternas-
		autumn 2012 and					Novoa et al.
		spring 2013					(2015)
Mediterranean Sea	Non bloom	Spring 2007	Upper mixed	29 (19–53)	bdl–1.8 ^f	484 (178–1293)	Ortega-
			layer				Retuerta et al.
							(2010)
Western	Oligotrophic	Spring 2012	0–200	16–25 ^{c,g,h}	0.1–0.7 ^{c,h}	_	Ortega-
Mediterranean Sea							Retuerta et al.
							(2017)
Eastern	Oligotrophic	Winter-Autumn 2008	5	345 ±143.2 (116-420)	$0.04 \pm 0.01 \; (0.04 -$	_	Bar-Zeev et al.
Mediterranean Sea		Summer 2009			0.07)		(2011)
Gulf of Aqaba (Eilat,	Oligotrophic	Spring 2008	5	110-228°	0.3–1.3 ⁱ	-	Bar-Zeev et al.
Israel)							(2009)
Tropical Atlantic	Oligotrophic	Spring–Summer 2011	3	8.18 ± 4.56	0.05-0.31	78.6 ± 9.3	Iuculano et al.
Ocean							(2017b)
Pacific Ocean	Oligotrophic	Spring–Summer 2011	3	24.45 ± 2.3		357 ± 127	Iuculano et al.
							(2017b)
Global Subtropical	Non bloom	Winter 2010–Summer	0–200	14.0 (0.4–173.6)	0-3°	-	Mazuecos
Atlantic, Indian and		2011					(2015)
Pacific Oceans							
North Indian Ocean	Eutrophic		0–1000		-	-	Kumar et al.
-Arabian Sea		-August 1996		$-60^{j,k} (< 5 - 102^{j})$			(1998),
-Bay of Bengal		-September 1996		-7–13 ^{c,j}			Ramaiah et al.
							(2000)
OAO	Oligotrophic	Autumn 2014	4	72 ± 74 (18–446)	$0.4 \pm 0.2 \ (0.2 - 0.6)$	$236 \pm 42 \; (81 1760)$	This study
OAO (CU excluded)				$60 \pm 27(18 - 132)$	$0.3 \pm 0.1 \; (0.2 - 0.8)$	183 ± 56 (81–360)	

CU				446	0.25	1760	
Ross Sea	Bloom	Spring 1994	Surface	308 (0-2800)	3.6 (0.3–8.8)	85	Hong et al. (1997)

a: TEP concentrations were given in μ mol C L⁻¹. For transformation into XG units, the Engel and Passow (2001) conversion factor of 0.51 μ g TEP-C L⁻¹ per μ g XG eq L⁻¹ was applied.

b: 1–8 m

c: extracted from graphs

5 d: 5 m

e: TChl a

f: 0–200 m

g: Depth-averaged TEP

h: stations 6-9

10 i: DCM (30–40 m)

j: TEP concentrations were given in milligram equivalent of alginic acid L⁻¹ and absorbance was measured at 745 nm instead of 787 nm

k: 0–50 m

		Open Atlantic Ocean (CU excluded)					SW Atlantic Shelf					All			
Dep. Var.	Ind. Var.	\mathbb{R}^2	р	intercept	slope	n	R^2	р	intercept	slope	n	R ²	р	intercept	slope
TEP	SST	0.07	0.16			29	0.06	0.51			9	0.48	< 0.001	3.80	-1.43
	Salinity	0.26	< 0.05	21.78	-12.84	29	0.002	0.90			9	0.57	< 0.001	25.13	-14.97
	Solar irradiance 24 h	0.43	< 0.001	5.67	-1.04	30	0.08	0.40			10	0.02	0.33		
	Nitrate	0.06	0.21			30	0.002	0.91			10	0.13	0.02	1.97	0.23
	Phosphate	0.04	0.29			30	0.02	0.69			10	0.37	< 0.001	2.39	0.58
	Silicate	0.07	0.15			30	0.24	0.15			10	0.19	< 0.005	1.75	-0.80
	Chl a	0.56	< 0.001	2.31	1.13	29	0.16	0.24			10	0.61	< 0.001	2.09	0.66
	HPA	0.04	0.31			29	0.36	0.06			10	0.60	< 0.001	-4.28	1.03
	HNA	0.01	0.57			29	0.46	0.03	-0.44	0.46	10	0.51	< 0.001	-2.31	0.75
	LNA	0.02	0.43			29	0.02	0.71			10	0.17	< 0.05	-1.96	0.68
	Prochlorococcus B	0.002	0.80			30	-	-				-	-		
	Synechococcus B	0.72	< 0.001	1.72	0.28	30	0.005	0.84			10	0.30	< 0.001	1.87	0.34
	Picoeukaryotes B	0.15	< 0.05	1.68	0.23	30	0.005	0.84			10	0.49	< 0.001	1.71	0.37
	Diatoms B	0.37	< 0.001	2.11	0.28	27	0.42	0.058	2.55	0.16	9	0.19	< 0.05	2.23	0.25
	Dinoflagellates B	0.18	< 0.05	1.79	0.40	27	0.30	0.13			9	0.08	0.08		
	Coccolithophores B	0.01	0.59			27	0.002	0.90			9	0.15	< 0.05	1.70	-0.23
	"Other microalgae" B	0.40	< 0.001	1.75	0.39	27	0.0002	0.97			9	0.27	< 0.001	1.86	0.28
	Phytoplankton B	0.47	< 0.001	1.04	0.61	26	0.62	< 0.05	0.43	1.00	9	0.62	< 0.001	0.99	0.70

Table 3. Regression equations and statistics describing the relationship between TEP and different variables throughout the TransPEGASO cruise (note all variables were log₁₀-transformed). B= biomass.

 R^2 explained variance, *n* sample size, *p* level of significance

		OAO (CU ex	SWAS				All						
Dep.	Ind.Var.	Partial	Partial	\mathbb{R}^2	р	Partial	Partial	\mathbb{R}^2	р	Partial	Partial	\mathbb{R}^2	р
Var.		coefficient	р			coefficient	р			coefficient	р		
TEP	Phyto B	0.67	< 0.001	0.53	< 0.001	0.82	< 0.05	0.66	< 0.05	0.47	< 0.01	0.68	< 0.001
	HPA	0.14	0.58			0.38	0.13			0.48	< 0.05		
	Phyto B	0.70	< 0.001	0.53	< 0.001	0.76	< 0.05	0.70	< 0.05	0.54	< 0.001	0.71	< 0.001
	HNA	0.06	0.70			0.28	0.08			0.36	< 0.01		
	Chl a	1.26	< 0.001	0.67	< 0.001	0.48	0.26	0.33	0.10	0.39	< 0.005	0.66	< 0.001
	HPA	0.56	< 0.05			0.59	0.08			0.54	< 0.01		
	Chl a	1.28	< 0.001	0.60	< 0.001	0.30	0.48	0.36	0.08	0.47	< 0.001	0.67	< 0.001
	HNA	0.20	0.20			0.42	0.06			0.37	< 0.01		

Table 4. Results of multiple regression analyses between TEP and combined variables, all log₁₀-transformed.

 R^2 explained variance, *p* level of significance

Figure 1: Hydrographic stations (filled circles) of the TransPEGASO cruise, sampled during October–November 2014 in the Atlantic Ocean. Chl *a* concentration (background color; mg m⁻³) during November 2014 were taken from NASA MODIS AQUA 9–km Products composite.

Figure 2: Variations of sea surface temperature (SST, °C) and salinity (panel (a)), nitrate, silicate and phosphate (μmol L⁻¹) (panel
(b)), Chl *a* (mg m⁻³) and POC (μmol L⁻¹) (panel (c)), biomass of phytoplankton and HP (μg CL⁻¹) (panel (d)), biomass of *Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus*, picoeukaryotes, diatoms, dinoflagellates, coccolithophores and "other microalgae" (μg CL⁻¹) (panel (e): For OAO use left axis, for SWAS use right axis) and TEP (μg XG eq L⁻¹) (panel (f)) in the TransPEGASO cruise.

Figure 3: Average and standard deviation of the contribution of TEP, phytoplankton and HP to the POC pool (%) in the OAO and the SWAS.

10 Figure 4: Relationship between the 24 hour–average (previous to sampling) solar irradiance (W m⁻²) and TEP (μg XG eq. L⁻¹) in the OAO (CU sample excluded). The linear regression line is plotted and the equation indicated.

Figure 5: Relationship between TEP and Chl *a* concentration from the TransPEGASO cruise, with the linear regression line (regression equation in the text). Two regions are distinguished: open Atlantic Ocean (OAO, CU included, filled circles) and SW

15 Atlantic Shelf (SWAS, empty circles). Regression lines from the literature are also shown for comparison. α and β indicate the y intercept and slope, respectively; log TEP (μ g XGeq. L⁻¹) = α + β × log Chl α (mg m⁻³); [a] α = 2.45 and β = 0.33, (Engel, 1998 in Passow, 2002a); [b] α = 2.25 and β = 0.65, (Hong et al., 1997); [c] α = 2.27 and β = 0.24, (Yamada et al., 2015); [d] α = 2.06 and β = 0.50, (Ramaiah and Furuya, 2002); [e] α = 1.63 and β = 0.39, (Passow and Alldredge, 1995); [f] α = 1.63 and β = 0.32, (Corzo et al., 2005); [g] α = 1.08 and β = 0.38, (Ortega–Retuerta et al., 2009b).









