Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

Baseline for ostracod-based northwestern Pacific and Indo-Pacific shallow-marine paleoenvironmental reconstructions: ecological modeling of species distributions

Yuanyuan Hong[1,2,*], Moriaki Yasuhara[1,2,*], Hokuto Iwatani[1,2], Briony Mamo[1,2]

[1]School of Biological Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Pok Fu Lam Road, Hong Kong SAR, China

[2]Swire Institute of Marine Science, The University of Hong Kong, Cape d'Aguilar Road, Shek O, Hong Kong SAR, China

*Corresponding authors: Hong, Y.Y. (oocirclr@gmail.com); Yasuhara, M. (moriakiyasuhara@gmail.com).

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Abstract:

Fossil ostracods have been widely used for Quaternary paleoenvironmental reconstructions especially in marginally marine environments (e.g. for water depth, temperature, salinity, oxygen levels, pollution). But our knowledge of the autoecology of indicator species, the base of paleoenvironmental reconstructions, remains limited and commonly lacks robust statistical support and comprehensive comparison with environmental data. We analysed marginally marine ostracod taxa at 52 sites in Hong Kong for which comprehensive environmental data are available. We applied linear regression models to reveal relationships between species distribution and environmental factors, and identified indicator species of environmental parameters. For example, *Sinocytheridea impressa* and *Neomonoceratina delicata* indicate botttom-water hypoxia – eutrophication, and heavy-metal pollution - increased turbidity, respectively. Many taxa are widespread throughout the northwestern Pacific – Indo-Pacific regions, including temperate (South China Sea to Japan and Russia), subtropical (i.e., Indo-Pacific to the East China Sea), and tropical (i.e., Indo-Pacific and South China Sea) taxa. With statistical support from ecological modeling and comprehensive environmental data, These results provide a robust baseline for ostracod-based Quaternary-Anthropocene paleoenvironmental reconstructions in the tropic–extratopic northwestern Pacific and Indo-Pacific.

Key words: Autoecology, Distribution modeling, Indicator species, Ostracoda, Paleoenvironmental reconstruction, Proxy.

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Key points

1. We provide a robust baseline for ostracod (microscopic Arthropods) based paleoenvironmental reconstructions from Quaternary and Anthropocene marginal marine sediments.
2. The studied species have wide distributions over the tropics and extratropics of the northwestern Pacific and Indo-Pacific.
3. Ecological modeling established reliable indicator ostracod species for paleoenvironmental reconstructions.

1. Introduction

Because of their small size, high abundance and excellent fossil record, fossil ostracods (microcrustaceans), have been widely used to reconstruct Quaternary environmental conditions including water-depth, salinity, temperature, oxygen, and pollution, especially in marginal marine sediments (Boomer & Eisenhauer, 2002; Cronin, 2015; Frenzel & Boomer, 2005; Horne et al., 2012; Ruiz et al., 2005). In the northwestern Pacific and Indo-Pacific there are numerous, widely distributed deltas (Woodroffe et al., 2006) hosting accumulated Holocene marine sediments. Many studies have reconstructed the depositional environments of these sediments (Alberti et al., 2013; Dong et al., 2012; Irizuki et al., 2015b; Tanaka et al., 2011; Yasuhara & Seto, 2006; Yasuhara et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2015). Due to high sedimentation rates (> 1 cm per year), fossil ostracods allow high-resolution reconstructions of human-induced environmental changes (pollution, eutrophication, bottom oxygen depletion) over the past century (Irizuki et al., 2011; Yasuhara et al., 2003).

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



76

77 Many have evaluated the autoecology of indicator species as the basis for the
78 paleoenvironmental reconstructions (Hazel, 1988; Irizuki et al., 2003; Ozawa et al.,
79 2004; Stepanova et al., 2003; Wang et al., 1988; Yasuhara & Seto, 2006; Zhao, 1984;
80 Zhao & Wang, 1988a, 1988b). Yet these studies tend to focus on only one or few
81 targeted environmental factor(s) and lack rigorous statistical evaluation, particularly
82 statistical modeling, a common approach in contemporary ecology. This is probably
83 due to the facts that comprehensive environmental dataset are often unavailable and
84 an ecological modeling approach (especially regression modeling and model selection)
85 has not been common in the field of micropaleontology.

86

87 Hong Kong is an ideal location for the marine ecological modeling approach
88 valid for the northwestern Pacific and Indo-Pacific, because of its extensive and
89 intensive marine environmental monitoring program, which provides robust datasets
90 for ecological modeling and its subtropical location, where tropical and temperate
91 species coexist, which allows investigations of species with different latitudinal and
92 geographical distributions. We employed regression modeling of Hong Kong
93 shallow-marine ostracod species to show statistical relationships between species
94 abundance, distribution and environmental factors. This study allows the autoecology
95 and statistical evaluation of common tropical and extratropical species, providing a
96 baseline for ostracod-based shallow-marine paleoenvironmental reconstructions of the
97 northwestern Pacific and Indo-Pacific regions.

98

99 **2. Study area**

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

Hong Kong is situated at the southeastern corner of the Pearl River (Zhujiang) Delta, and has an area of 2500 km^2 (Fig. 1), at 22° 12.021' to 22° 33.817' N latitude, 113° 53.388' to 114° 26.920' E longitude. It is an ideal location to study natural and/or anthropogenic impacts on benthic communities due to its complex hydrology and long history of human influence. Western Hong Kong is affected by input from the Pearl River, particularly during the summer heavy rainfall (Morton & Wu, 1975), when salinity of the surface waters is strongly influenced by rainfall. Eastern Hong Kong waters are mainly marine and derived from the South China Sea. As one of the most urbanized coastal areas in the world, human activities, including rapid industrialization, sewage discharge, trawling, dredging and land reclamation have led to a deteriorated marine benthic ecosystem (Blackmore, 1998; Hodgkiss & Yim, 1995; Hong et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2008; Morton, 1996; Morton & Blackmore, 2001; Owen & Sandhu, 2000; Shin, 1977; Tanner et al., 2000).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Samples and laboratory procedure

In January and July of 2011 we used a Van Veen Grab to collect 100 ml of sediment from the uppermost cm of the sea floor from 52 sites in Hong Kong marine waters (Fig. 1; Supplement A), 41 of which are in open waters and 11 in typhoon shelter sites. Typhoon shelters are semi-enclosed areas of water designed to protect moored vessels in extreme weather (Environmental Protection Department, 2011). All sites are included in the Hong Kong EPD marine water and sediment quality monitoring program, which has been conducted monthly since 1986 (for water) and biannually (for sediment), providing comprehensive environmental data for all stations (see below).

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Sediments were wet-sieved over a 63 μm mesh sieve and air dried or oven-dried at 40 °C. The residue was dry-sieved over a 150 μm mesh sieve, and ostracod specimens larger than 150 μm were picked; smaller individuals were not included to avoid problems of identification in small, early instar juveniles. In samples containing fewer than 200 specimens, we picked all individuals. If there were more than 200 specimens, we picked ostracods from a split. We identified each counted specimen to species level when possible. We considered both an entire carapace or a single valve as one individual for counting.

3.2 Environmental Variables

We selected parameters from the EPD monitoring program (Table 1) for our regression modeling (see below), including surface productivity (Chlorophyll-a, Chl; Fig. 2), water depth (D; Fig. 2), bottom water dissolved oxygen (DO; Fig. 2), bottom water salinity (Sal; Fig. 2), turbidity (Tur; Fig. 2), summer bottom water temperature (ST – June to September average; Fig. 2), winter bottom water temperature (WT – November to Feburary average; Fig. 2), mud content (MD; Fig. 2), and heavy metal concentration (Cu, Zn, and Pb; Fig. 3). These parameters are known to control ostracod faunal properties (Cronin, 2015; Cronin & Vann, 2003; Hazel, 1988; Ikeya & Shiozaki, 1993; Irizuki et al., 2005; Ruiz et al., 2005; Yasuhara et al., 2007; Yasuhara et al., 2012b). We used averages over the entire monitoring period (1986–2011), because the ostracods in this study were mostly dead shells, thus the samples should be considered time averaged. Bottom water DO is the average of the summer season (June–September), due to the likely importance of summer bottom water oxygen depletion.

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



150 **4. Regression modeling**

151 Multiple linear regression modeling was used to determine the relationship between
152 common ostracod species (relative abundance of each species) and environmental
153 parameters (Supplement B). All environmental parameters were log-transformed and
154 zero centered. Salinity outliers (salinity <25: DS2 and DS3) and samples with low
155 abundance (<50 specimens: ES5, MS7, VS21, VS5 & VS6) were removed from the
156 models.

157 The best-fitting models were selected based on Akaike's Information Criterion
158 (AICc) for small sample size, in which the lower score indicates the better model
159 support considering both goodness-of-fit and model complexity (Anderson &
160 Burnham, 2002). Akaike weights were used to summarize proportional support for all
161 candidate models (Anderson et al., 2000) (Table 2). We considered parameter
162 estimates averaged over models, proportional to the support that each model received
163 (Anderson et al., 2000) (Table 3). This approach accounts for the uncertainty in model
164 selection and thus leads to appropriately broader confidence intervals than obtained
165 by relying only on the single, best-supported model. The relative importance of
166 various predictor variables was measured by the sum of Akaike weights of models
167 that included the variables in question (Brunham & Anderson, 2002).

168 We explored linear dependencies by computing variance inflation factors (VIF)
169 (Legendre & Legendre, 1998) and pairwise correlations between predictor variables
170 to assess whether multicollinearity was likely to influence regression results
171 (Yasuhara et al., 2012b). The degree of freedom is more than one for the geographic
172 region variable (see below), thus we computed generalized variance inflation factors
173 (GVIF). For continuous variables, GVIF (Table 4) is the same as VIF, but for
174 categorical variables, GVIF has degrees of freedom (Df) equal to the number of

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



coefficients associated with it (Hendrickx et al., 2004). Thus, we used $GVIF^{1/2df}$ to make GVIF values comparable among those with different Df. VIF >20 is usually indicative of high collinearity (Legendre & Legendre, 1998). Thus we calculated an equivalent threshold of 4.47 (equal to √20) for $GVIF^{1/2df}$ to assess conlinearity. Also, adjusted R^2 >0.8 indicates a strong correlation of variables (Hoffman, 2015). In all datasets, summer temperature (ST) and water depth (D) were highly correlated (R^2=0.8217), and the GVIFs of ST and Cu are >20, indicating that these correlations may influence regression results. Thus, we re-ran the linear regression modeling without ST and Cu. The new GVIFs of all variables were under 4.47 (Table 4).

We considered the degree of spatial autocorrelation in model residuals by the calculation of Moran's *I* index for the five best models. The neighborhood size was set as 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50 km. We found significant spatial autocorrelation in model residuals for many cases, thus we forced the geographic region variable (R) (Water Control Zones defined by EPD) to be included in all models. After this treatment, spatial autocorrelation was detected only in a few models for *Propontocypris* spp., *Stigmatocythere roesmani*, and *Hemikrithe orientalis*.

The multiple linear regression model analyses were implemented in R programming language (R Core Team, 2016). We used 'M_UMI_N' (Bartoń, 2013) for model averaging and 'SPDEP' (Bivand & Piras, 2015) to measure spatial autocorrelation.

5. Results and discussions

The comprehensive ostracod dataset for the 52 sites and the environmental variables enabled us to elucidate distribution patterns of common ostracod taxa and their related environmental factor(s). We identified 151 species belonging to 76 genera

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



(Supplement A). Among them, 18 common taxa (mainly species, a few genera) of *Sinocytheridea impressa*, *Neomonoceratina delicata*, *Propontocypris* spp., *Pistocythereis bradyi*, *Bicornucythere bisanensis* s.l., *Keijella kloempritensis*, *Nipponocythere bicarinata*, *Spinileberis quadriaculeata*, *Xestoleberis* spp., *Loxoconcha malayensis, Neonesidea* spp., *Neosinocythere elongata*, *Stigmatocythere roesmani*, *Phlyctocythere japonica*, *Alocopocythere goujoni*, *Hemikrithe orientalis*, *Loxoconcha epeterseni* and *Hemicytheridea reticulata* (Supplement B) were used for regression modelling, and their relative abundances (to the total ostracod abundance in a sample) show a significant relation with environmental parameters. The best three regression models are presented in Table 2 and the model-averaged parameter estimates in Table 3.

Relative abundance of *S. impressa* [=*Sinocytheridea latiovata*; see Whatley and Zhao (1988a)] was strongly correlated with salinity (negative), dissolved oxygen (negative), mud content (positive) and productivity (positive) (Tables 2 and 3). The species is noticeably dominant in areas characterized by a muddy bottom including northern Mirs Bay, Port Shelter and coastal Southern Waters (Fig. 4). This species is also abundant in Tolo Harbour, an area known for its summer hypoxia and eutrophication (Hu et al., 2001; Sin & Chau, 1992). These results are consistent with previous studies indicating that *S. impressa* is dominant in low salinity, nutrient-rich and turbid estuaries (Irizuki et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2011), but we did not see a significant relation with turbidity (Tables 2 and 3). *S. impressa* is known as a euryhaline species widely distributed throughout the East and South China Seas [abundant in water depths of <20 m; Whatley and Zhao (1988a)], and the Indo-Pacific (Fig. 7).

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Relative abundance of *N. delicata* (=*Neomonoceratina crispata*; see Hou & Gou, 2007) is significantly correlated with Zn (positive), salinity (positive) and turbidity (positive) (Tables 2 and 3). *N. delicata* is a nearshore species, abundant at depths less than 30 m, at relatively high salinities (>30; Zhao and Wang, 1988). *N. delicata* prefers higher salinity waters in Hong Kong (Figs. 2 and 4; Tables 2 and 3), and is likely tolerant to human-induced environmental stress such as pollution and eutrophication, in view of its positive correlation with Zn and turbidity. This species is widely known from nearshore and estuary environments in the East and South China Seas, and the Indo-Pacific (Fig. 7).

Relative abundance of *B. bisanensis* s.l. was significantly correlated with water depth (negative) (Tables 2 and 3) and this species prefers shallower environments (Figs. 2 and 4). In Chinese and Japanese coastal areas, *B. bisanensis* s.l. is abundant in brackish water (salinity: 20–30) at depths less than 10 m (Ikeya & Shiozaki, 1993; Irizuki et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 1986). Our results confirm its preference for shallow depths. *Bicornucythere bisanensis* is tolerant of anthropogenic impacts, especially eutrophication and the resulting bottom water hypoxia in Japan (Irizuki et al., 2003; Yasuhara et al., 2003; Yasuhara et al., 2012a). We did not see a significant relation between relative abundance and metal concentration, productivity, or dissolved oxygen. Possibly, the more dominant presence of *S. impressa* and *N. delicata,* that are neither dominant or distributed throughout most of Japan, could explain this difference. These species may have a higher tolerance than *B. bisanensis* s.l. Another explanation may be that different morphotypes have different ecological preferences (Abe, 1988), and only form A is known to be tolerant to eutrophication and bottom-water oxygen depletion (Irizuki et al., 2011; Irizuki et al., 2015a; Yasuhara & Yamazaki, 2005; Yasuhara et al., 2007). Form A is less abundant in

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



249 Hong Kong, and due to the difficulty of identification of juveniles, we did not divide
250 *B. bisanensis* into morphotypes. *B. bisanensis* s.l. is widely distributed throughout
251 marginal marine environments around Japan and Russia, and the East and South
252 China Seas (Fig. 7).

253 Relative abundance of *P. bradyi* was highly correlated with salinity (positive),
254 water depth (negative) and Pb (negative) (Tables 2 and 3). This indicates the species
255 prefers relatively shallow environments with high salinity (Figs. 2 and 4). In the Pearl
256 River Delta and shallow South China Sea (Fig. 7), *P. bradyi* is dominant along the
257 inner continental shelf at water depths <100 m (mostly common between 10–50 m),
258 and at salinities from 30–40 (Li, 1985; Zhao & Wang, 1990; Zhao et al., 1986).
259 *Pistocythereis bradyi* is a typical middle muddy bay species in Japan (Irizuki et al.,
260 2006; Yasuhara & Irizuki, 2001; Yasuhara & Seto, 2006), and known from open bays
261 such as Gamagyang Bay in Korea (Abe, 1988) and Malacca Strait (Whatley & Zhao,
262 1988b). In these studies, *P. bradyi* prefers relatively high salinity and deeper water in
263 the inner continental shelf (Tables 2 and 3). Our data agree as to the preference for
264 high salinity, but we find shallower depths, inconsistent with the literature. Maybe
265 salinity is more important than depth, but the restricted depth range of our sites (≤35
266 m) may also be a reason for this inconsistency. Our results indicate that *P. bradyi* is
267 sensitive to metal pollution. This species is widely distributed throughout the
268 marginally marine environments of Japan, the East and South China Seas, and the
269 Indo-Pacific (Fig. 7).

270 Relative abundance of *N. bicarinata* was correlated with productivity
271 (negative) (Tables 2 and 3). This is a typical middle bay species in Japan (Irizuki et al.,
272 2006), abundant on muddy substrates at water depths > 10 m (Yasuhara & Seto, 2006;
273 Yasuhara et al., 2005). We found *N. bicarinata* to be sensitive to eutrophication,

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



prefering lower productivity (Figures 2, 4). This species is know from marginal marine environments around Japan and the East and South China Seas (Fig. 7).

Relative abundance of *S. quadriaculeata* is correlated to productivity (positive) and turbidity (negative). This is a typical inner muddy bay species in Japan (Irizuki et al., 2006), which prefers silty substrates in brackish waters, at salinities from 20–30, and water depths of 2–7 m (Ikeya and Shiozaki, 1993). This study shows a preference for waters with higher productivity but relatively low turbidity (Tables 2 and 3), so that the species is abundant in Tolo Harbour (higher productivity, lower turbidity) but not in Deep Bay (higher turbidity) (Figs. 2 and 4). *Spinileberis quadriaculeata* is not tolerant to seasonal anoxia or oxygen depletion (0–1 mg/L) in Uranouchi Bay, Japan (Irizuki et al., 2008), but we do not find a significant correlation with dissolved oxygen content, probably due to the relatively high bottom-water oxygen content (2.96–6.84 mg/L) in Hong Kong (Fig. 2; Supplement B). *S. quadriaculeata* is widely distributed in marginally marine environments around Japan and Russia, and the East and South China Seas (Fig. 7).

Relative abundance of *K. kloempritensis* was correlated only with water depth (positive) (Fig. 4; Tables 2 and 3). *Keijella kloempritensis* is widely known from the tropical Indo-Pacific region, and abundant along the inner continental-shelf of the South and East China Seas (Fig. 7), at water depths range from 20–50 m and salinity is close to normal marine (Zhao & Wang, 1990). Our modelling results are consistent with this showing a preference for relatively deeper water in this study (Tables 2 and 3). Thus, this species is probably useful for reconstructing past sea-level changes in the broad tropical and subtropical Indo-Pacific and northwestern Pacific regions.

Relative abundance of *L. malayensis* was correlated with dissolved oxygen (negative) and mud content (negative) (Tables 2 and 3). *Loxoconcha malayensis* is a

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

typical tropical species known from the Indo-Pacific and the South China Sea (Fig. 7). We did not find a correlation to temperature, likely due to the small range of variation of bottom water temperatures in Hong Kong (winter temperature: 19.10–21.49°C). This species prefers coarse sediments and is resistant to low oxygen content (Table 2 and 3), as seen by its abundance in Victoria Harbour (Figs. 2 and 4).

Relative abundance of *N. elongata* was correlated only with turbidity (positive) (Tables 2 and 3). *Neosinocythere elongata* occurs along the entire coast of China (Fig. 7) in marginal marine, especially estuarine, environments shallower than 20 m (Dong et al., 2012; Hou & Gou, 2007; Liu et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2017; Zhao & Whatley, 1993) and is known from the Indo-Pacific region (Fig. 7). Our modeling results and previous studies indicate consistently that *N. elongata* prefers shallow, turbid waters like Deep Bay and the Pearl River Estuary (Figs 2 and 6).

Relative abundance of *S. roesmani* was correlated with Pb (negative) (Tables 2 and 3), thus it was sensitive to metal pollution (but note the significant autocorrelation with the modeling result of this genus) and absent in areas with high metal concentrations, e.g., Tolo and Victoria Harbours (Fig. 3, 6). This species occurs in the East and South China Seas and the Indo-Pacific region (Dewi, 1997; Mostafawi, 1992; Whatley & Zhao, 1988a) (Fig. 7).

Relative abundance of *P. japonica* was correlated with water depth (positive) (Tables 2 and 3). This species is known from relatively deeper waters (>40 m) in the East China Sea (Ishizaki, 1981; Wang et al., 1988). At our sites, it has its greatest abundance at the deeper southern sites (Fig. 5). *Phlyctocythere japonica* is distributed around Japan (Yasuhara et al., 2002) and the East and South China Seas (Fig. 7).

Relative abundance of *A. goujoni* was correlated with salinity (positive) (Tables 2 and 3). It occurs not only in Mirs Bay where the salinity is higher, but also

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



in Deep Bay and North Western Waters where the salinity is lower than in other areas (Figs 2 and 6). The Deep Bay and North Western Waters are shallow and have relatively low oxygen content. The modeling result of this species shows a marginally insignificant but negative relationship to oxygen content and water depth with moderately high relative importance (Table 3). We explain this inconsistency by considering their preference of higher salinity and shallow water depths, and also their resistance to low oxygen conditions, but further research is needed to know their autoecology with better confidence. *Alocopocythere goujoni* is known from the South China Sea and the Indo-Pacific (Fig. 7).

Relative abundance of *H. orientalis* was correlated with water depth (positive) (Tables 2 and 3; but note the significant autocorrelation with the modeling result of this genus), and it is more abundant in deeper waters including southern Mirs Bay (Fig. 5). *Hemikrithe orientalis* is known from depths of 20–50 m in the South China Sea (Zhao & Wang, 1988a), and reported from tropical Indo-Pacific marginal marine environments (Fig. 7). Our regression modeling consistently shows a positive relationship between the relative abundance and winter temperatures, with moderately high relative importance, although the correlation is marginally insignificant (Table 3).

Relative abundance of *L. epeterseni* was correlated with water depth (positive) and turbidity (negative) (Tables 2 and 3), and its occurs in the southern and eastern, deeper and less turbid parts of Hong Kong waters, but the trend is not very clear (Figs 2 and 6). This species is also known from the deeper parts of Osaka Bay, Japan (Yasuhara & Irizuki, 2001) and from marginal marine environments around Japan (Ishizaki, 1968), the East China Sea (Yang et al., 1982), and the South China Sea (Cao, 1998) (Fig. 7). This species is reported as *Loxoconcha modesta* in Hou & Gou (2007), and also has been misidentified as *Loxoconcha viva* and *Loxoconcha sinensis*

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

(Hou & Gou, 2007). Ishizaki (1968) described *Loxoconcha laeta* and *Loxoconcha modesta* but in our opinion, these are the females and males of the same species. Ishizaki (1981) gave the new species names *Loxoconcha epeterseni* and *Loxoconcha tosamodesta* for *Loxoconcha laeta* and *Loxoconcha modesta*, respectively, because these names were junior homonyms. Since *Loxoconcha laeta* (= *epeterseni*) appears earlier than *Loxoconcha modesta* (=*tosamodesta*) in the original description (Ishizaki, 1968), we use the name *Loxoconcha epeterseni* for this species.

Relative abundance of *H. reticulata* was correlated with Pb (negative) and water depth (negative) (Tables 2 and 3). This species is abundant in Tolo Harbour and the inner part of Mirs Bay (Fig. 5), at shallow depths, and is also consistently found in very shallow waters from the Indo-Pacific (Zhao & Whatley, 1989). Their metal-pollution sensitivity is contradictory because they occur in Tolo and Victora Harbours, both polluted regions of Hong Kong, and further research is needed to better understand these results (Figs 2 and 6). *Hemicytheridea reticulata* is distributed in the East China Sea (Gu et al., 2017), the South China Sea, and the Indo-Pacific (Fig. 7).

The relative abundance of the cosmopolitan *Neonesidea* spp. was correlated with dissolved oxygen (positive), as expected for a phytal species (Smith & Kamiya, 2002; Yamada, 2007) (Table 2 and 3; Fig 6).

The relative abundance of the cosmopolitan *Propontocypris* spp. was strongly correlated with productivity (negative) (Tables 2 and 3). This negative correlation with productivity (but note the significant autocorrelation with the modeling result of this genus) indicates that the genus prefers less eutrophic waters (Fig. 6). *Propontocypris* is a good swimmer (Maddocks, 1969), and thus may have an advantage in obtaining food in relatively food-limited environments.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



37 The relative abundance of the cosmopolitan phytal (Irizuki et al., 2008; Sato &
375 Kamiya, 2007; Yasuhara et al., 2002) *Xestoleberis* spp. was correlated with turbidity
376 (negative), mud content (negative), and dissolved oxygen (positive) (Table 2 and 3;
377 Fig. 6). The taxon's habitats including clear water, coarse sediment, and high oxygen
378 content are reflected in our modeling.
379
380 **Summary**
381 Benthic ostracods from Hong Kong marginal marine waters studied here include
382 widespread (i.e., distributed throughout the northwestern Pacific – Indo-Pacific region:
383 one species), temperate (i.e., distributed from the South China Sea to Japan and
384 Russia: five species), subtropical (i.e., distributed from the Indo-Pacific to the East
385 China Sea: six species), and tropical (i.e., distributed in the Indo-Paficic and South
386 China Sea: three species) species and three globally distributed genera (Fig. 7). We
387 provide a robust baseline of autoecology for these common ostracod taxa based on
388 rigorous statistical modeling using comprehensive environmental data. We
389 established reliable indicator forms for water depth, mud content, salinity, turbidity,
390 dissolved oxygen, heavy metal pollution (Pb and Zn) and eutrophication (chlorophyll-
391 a) (Table 5). Thus our results are applicable for future ostracod-based
392 paleoenvironmental studies in a wide range of localities from the tropics to the
393 extratropics, and from the Indian Ocean to the northwestern Pacific. We established
394 pollution and eutrophication indicator species in tropical environments for the first
395 time. Anthropocene paleoenvironmental and paleoecological studies in the tropics are
396 urgently needed because (1) the tropics are seriously under-studied (Wilkinson et al.,
397 2014; Yasuhara et al., 2012a), (2) tropical environments and ecosystems are
398 vulnerable and sensitive to human influences (Jackson et al., 2001; Pandolfi et al.,

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



2003), and (3) Indo-Pacific tropical environments have been seriously degraded by the human activity of rapidly developing countries (Bellwood et al., 2004; Jackson, 2008; Knowlton & Jackson, 2008). Our results provide useful and reliable tools for tropical Anthropocene research in the broad Indo-Pacific region.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Environmental Protection Department of Hong Kong, especially K. Yung, for support; L. Wong, C. Law, M. Lo, and the staff of the Electronic Microscope Unit of the University of Hong Kong for their technical support; B. Lin, S. Wang, R. Mak and V. Wang for helping sampling; P. Frenzel, M. Warne, E. Thomas, O. Friedrich for valuable comments on an early version of the manuscript. The data used are listed in the tables and supplements. The work described in this paper was partially supported by the Environment and Conservation Fund of Hong Kong (project code: 19/2012), the General Research Fund of the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (project code: HKU 17303115), the Early Career Scheme of the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (project code: HKU 709413P), and the Seed Funding Programme for Basic Research of the University of Hong Kong (project codes: 201111159140, 201611159053) (to MY).

References: Irizuki et al. (2006); Zhao and Wang (1988a, 1988b, 1990); Zhao and Whatley (1993); Zhou et al. (2015)(Dong et al., 2012; Hong et al., 2017; Hou & Gou, 2007; Irizuki et al., 2009; Jie et al., 2013; Li, 1985; Tanaka et al., 2009; Wang & Zhao, 1985; Wang & Zhang, 1987; Zhao, 1984; Zhao & Wang, 1988b; Zhao & Whatley,

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

1989; Zhou et al., 2015)(Noraswana et al., 2014)(Whatley & Zhao, 1988b)(Tanaka et al., 2011)(Zhao & Whatley, 1993)(Pugliese et al., 2006)(Hussain et al., 2004; Nishath et al., 2017)(Al Jumaily & Al-Sheikhly, 1999; Hussain & Mohan, 2000, 2001; Hussain et al., 2010; Iwatani et al., 2014)

Abe, K.: Speciation ~~C~~completed? In *Keijella bisanensis* ~~S~~pecies ~~G~~roup, ~~Developments in Palaeontology and Stratigraphy, 11,~~ 919–925, 1988.

Al Jumaily, W. A. K. and Al-Sheikhly, S. S.: Palaeozoogeography of ~~S~~hallow ~~M~~arine Ostracoda from Holocene ~~S~~ediments-~~S~~outhern Iraq, Qatar Univ~~ersity~~ Sci~~ence~~ J~~ournal~~, 18, 215–230, 1999.

Alberti, M., Hethke, M., Fürsich, F. T., and Liu, C.: Macro-versus microfauna: resolution potential of bivalves, gastropods, foraminifera and ostracods in reconstructing the Holocene palaeoenvironmental evolution of the Pearl River delta, China, Palaeobio. Palaeoenv., 93(3), 327–353, 2013.

Anderson, D. R. and Burnham, K. P.: Avoiding pitfalls when using information-theoretic methods, J. Wildlife Manage., 66, 912–918, 2002.

Anderson, D. R., Burnham, K. P., and Thompson, W. L.: Null hypothesis testing: problems, prevalence, and an alternative, J. Wildlife Manage., 64, 912–923, 2000.

Bartoń, K.: MuMIn: Multi-model inference, R package version 1.9.13., The Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN), available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn (last access: 3 September 2018), 2013

Bellwood, D. R., Hughes, T. P., Folke, C., and Nyström, M.: Confronting the coral reef crisis, Nature, 429(6994), 827, 2004.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

446 Bivand, R. and Piras, G.: Comparing implementations of estimation methods for
447 spatial econometrics, J. Stat. Softw., 63, 1–36, 2015.

448 Blackmore, G.: An overview of trace metal pollution in the coastal waters of Hong
449 Kong, Sci. Total Environ., 214(1), 21–48, 1998.

450 Boomer, I. and Eisenhauer, G.: Ostracod faunas as palaeoenvironmental indicators in
451 marginal marine environments, in: The Ostracoda: applications in Quaternary
452 research, edited by: Holmes, J. A. and Chivas, A.R., vol. 131, American
453 Geophysical Union, Washington DC, 135–149, 2002.

454 Burnham, K. P. and Anderson, D. R.: Model selection and multi-model inference: a
455 practical information-theoretic approach, Springer, New York, 2002.

456 Cao, M.: Ostracods from Quaternary Hang Hau Formation, Lei Yue Mun, Hong
457 Kong, in: Fossils and strata of Hong Kong (Lower Volume), edited by: Li, Z.,
458 Chen, J., and He, G., Science Press, Beijing, 171–183, 1998 (in Chinese).

459 Cronin, T. M.: Ostracods and sea level, in: Handbook of Sea-Level Research, edited
460 by: I. Shennan, Long, A. J., and Horton, B. P, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 249–
461 257, 2015.

462 Cronin, T. M. and Vann, C. D.: The sedimentary record of climatic and anthropogenic
463 influence on the Patuxent estuary and Chesapeake Bay ecosystems, Estuaries,
464 26(2), 196–209, 2003.

465 Dewi, K. T.: Ostracoda from the Java Sea, west of Bawean Island, Indonesia, Marine
466 Geological Institute Special Publication, 4, 1–115, 1997.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

Dong, Y., Liu, C., Chen, M., Qu, R., Wu, T., and Zhao, F.: Late Quaternary Paleontology and environmental changes in Da'Ao plain middle Pearl River Delta, Quaternary Sciences, 32(6), 1183–1198, 2012 (in Chinese with English Abstract).

Environmental Protection Department, H. K.: Marine Water Quality in Hong Kong 2011, available at http://wqrc.epd.gov.hk/pdf/water-quality/annual-report/Marine2011EN.pdf (last access: 3 September 2018), 2011.

Fauzielly, L., Irizuki, T., and Sampei, Y.: Vertial changes of recent ostracode assemblages and environment in the inner part of Jakarta Bay, Indonesia, Journal of coastal development, 16(1), 11–24, 2013.

Frenzel, P. and Boomer, I.: The use of ostracods from marginal marine, brackish waters as bioindicators of modern and Quaternary environmental change, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 225(1), 68–92, 2005.

Gu, Y., Liu, H., and Qin, Y.: Postglacial transgression maximum documented by the core sediments of Xixi Wetland, East China, Quatern. Int., 436, 84–95, 2017.

Hazel, J. E.: Determining late Neogene and Quaternary palaeoclimates and palaeotemperature regimes using ostracods, in: Ostracoda in the Earth Sciences, edited by: De Deckker, P., Colin, J.P., and Peypouquet, J.P., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 89–103, 1988.

Hendrickx, J., Pelzer, B., Te Grotenhuis, M., and Lammers, J.: Collinearity involving ordered and unordered categorical variable, RC33 conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 17–20 August 2004, –2004.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

Hodgkiss, I. and Yim, W.: A case study of Tolo Harbour, Hong Kong, Eutrophic Shallow Estuaries and Lagoons, 4, 41–57, 1995.

Hoffman, J. I. E.: Biostatistics for Medical and Biomedical Practitioners, Academic Press, Tiburon, California, USA, 2015.

Hong, Y., Yasuhara, M., Iwatani, H., Seto, K., Yokoyama, Y., Yoshioka, K., and Mamo, B.: Freshwater reservoir construction by damming a marine inlet in Hong Kong: Paleoecological evidence of local community change, Mar. Micropaleontol., 132, 53–59, 2017.

Horne, D., Holmes, J., Viehberg, F., and Rodriguez-Lazaro, J.: Ostracoda as proxies for Quaternary climate change, in: Developments in Quaternary Science, edited by: ~~J. M.~~ Jaap, van der Meer, vol. 17, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1–337, 2012.

Hou, Y. T. and Gou, Y. X.: Ostracod Fossils of China, Vol. II, Cytheracea and Cytherellidae, Science Press, Beijing, 2007 (in Chinese).

Hu, J., Zhang, G., Li, K., Peng, P. ~~a.~~, and Chivas, A. R.: Increased eutrophication offshore Hong Kong, China during the past 75 years: evidence from high-resolution sedimentary records, Mar. Chem., 110(1), 7–17, 2008.

Hu, W. F., Lo, W., Chua, H., Sin, S. N., and Yu, P. H. F.: Nutrient release and sediment oxygen demand in a eutrophic land-locked embayment in Hong Kong, Environ. Int., 26(5), 369–375, 2001.

Hussain, S. M. and Mohan, S. P.: Recent Ostracoda from Adyar River Estuary, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, J. Palaeontol. Soc. Ind., 45(2), 25–32, 2000.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

Hussain, S. M. and Mohan, S. P.: Distribution of recent benthic Ostracoda in Adyar river estuary, east coast of India, Indian J. Mar. Sci., 30, 53–56, 2001.

Hussain, S. M., Mohan, S. P., and Jonathan, M. P.: Ostracoda as an aid in identifying 2004 tsunami sediments: a report from SE coast of India, Nat. Hazards., 55(2), 513–522, 2010.

Hussain, S. M., Ravi, G., Mohan, S. P., and Rao, N. R.: Recent ~~B~~enthic Ostracoda from the inner shelf off Chennai, south east coast of India-implication of microenvironments, Env. Micropal. Microbiol. Microbenth., 1, 105–121, 2004.

Ikeya, N. and Shiozaki, M.: Characteristics of the inner bay ostracodes around the Japanese islands: The use of ostracodes to reconstruct paleoenvironments, Mem~~oirs of the~~ Geol~~ogical~~ Soc~~iety of~~ Japan, 39, 15–32, 1993 (in Japanese with English abstract).

Irizuki, T., Matsubara, T., and Matsumoto, H.: Middle Pleistocene Ostracoda from the Takatsukayama Member of the Meimi Formation, Hyogo Prefecture, western Japan- significance of the occurrence of *Sinocytheridea impressa*, ~~The Palaeontological Society of Japan~~, 9(1), 37–54, 2005.

Irizuki, T., Takata, H., and Ishida, K.: Recent Ostracoda from Urauchi Bay, Kamikoshiki-jima Island, Kagoshima Prefecture, southwestern Japan, Laguna, 13, 13–28, 2006.

Irizuki, T., Seto, K., and Nomura, R.: The impact of fish farming and bank construction on Ostracoda in Uranouchi Bay on the Pacific coast of southwest

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

Japan-Faunal changes between 1954 and 2002/2005, Palaeontological Research, 12(3), 283–302, 2008.

Irizuki, T., Nakamura, Y., Takayasu, K., and Sakai, S.: Faunal changes of Ostracoda (Crustacea) in Lake Nakaumi, southwestern Japan, during the last 40 years, Geoscience Report Shimane University, 22, 149–160, 2003.

Irizuki, T., Taru, H., Taguchi, K., and Matsushima, Y.: Paleobiogeographical implications of inner bay Ostracoda during the Late Pleistocene Shimosueyoshi transgression, central Japan, with significance of its migration and disappearance in eastern Asia, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 271(3), 316–328, 2009.

Irizuki, T., Takimoto, A., Sako, M., Nomura, R., Kakuno, K., Wanishi, A., and Kawano, S.: The influences of various anthropogenic sources of deterioration on meiobenthos (Ostracoda) over the last 100 years in Suo-Nada in the Seto Inland Sea, southwest Japan, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 62(10), 2030–2041, 2011.

Irizuki, T., Ito, H., Sako, M., Yoshioka, K., Kawano, S., Nomura, R., and Tanaka, Y.: Anthropogenic impacts on meiobenthic Ostracoda (Crustacea) in the moderately polluted Kasado Bay, Seto Inland Sea, Japan, over the past 70 years, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 91(1), 149–159, 2015a.

Irizuki, T., Kobe, M., Ohkushi, K., Kawahata, H., and Kimoto, K.: Centennial-to millennial-scale change of Holocene shallow marine environments recorded in ostracode fauna, northeast Japan, Quaternary Res., 84(3), 467–480, 2015b.

Ishizaki, K.: Ostracodes from Uranouchi Bay, Kochi Prefecture, Japan, Science reports of the Tohoku University, Sendai, Second Series (Geology), 40, 1–45.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

556 Ishizaki, K.: Ostracoda from the East China Sea, The science reports of the Tohoku
557 University, Second series, Geology, 51(1/2), 37-A39, 1981.

558 Iwatani, H., Young, S. M., Irizuki, T., Sampei, Y., and Ishiga, H.: Spatial variations in
559 recent ostracode assemblages and bottom environments in Trincomalee Bay,
560 northeast coast of Sri Lanka, Micropaleontology, 509–518, 2014.

56 Jackson, J. B. C.: Ecological extinction and evolution in the brave new ocean, PNAS,
562 2008.

563 Jackson, J. B. C., Kirby, M. X., Berger, W. H., Bjorndal, K. A., Botsford, L. W.,
564 Bourque, B. J., Bradbury, R. H., Cooke, R., Erlandson, J., and Estes, J. A.:
565 Historical overfishing and the recent collapse of coastal ecosystems, Science,
566 293(5530), 629–637, 2001.

567 Jie, L., Chunlian, L., Liangwen, J., Tingting, Y., and Suqing, Z. J., Y1.:
568 Foraminiferal and ostracod distribution in surface sediments from Huangmao
569 Bay of Pearl River Estuary and its influencing factors, Journal of
570 Palaeogeography, 15(3), 413–422, 2013 (in Chinese with English Abstract).

571 Knowlton, N. and Jackson, J. B. C.: Shifting baselines, local impacts, and global
572 change on coral reefs, PLoS biology, 6(2), e54, 2008.

573 Legendre, P. and Legendre, L.: Numerical ecology: second English edition (Vol. 20),
574 Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1998.

575 Li, S.: Distribution of the ostracod thanatocoenses in the Pearl River Mouth area,
576 Journal of Tropical Oceanography, 4, 43–54, 1985.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

577 Liu, C., Franz T., F., Wu, J., Dong, Y., Yang, T., Yin, J., Wang, Y., and Liu, M.: Late
578 Quaternary palaeoenvironmental changes documented by microfaunas and
579 shell stable isotopes in the southern Pearl River Delta plain, South China,
580 Journal of Palaeogeography, 2(4), 344–361, 2013.

581 Liu, J., Zhang, X., Mei, X., Zhao, Q., Guo, X., Zhao, W., Liu, J., Saito, Y., Wu, Z.,
582 and Li, J.: The sedimentary succession of the last~ 3.50 Myr in the western
583 south Yellow Sea: Paleoenvironmental and tectonic implications, Mar. Geol.,
584 399, 47–65, 2017.

585 Maddocks, R. F.: Recent ostracodes of the Family Pontocyprididae chiefly from the
586 Indian Ocean, Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology, 7, 1–56, 1969.

587 Morton, B.: The subsidiary impacts of dredging (and trawling) on a subtidal benthic
588 molluscan community in the southern waters of Hong Kong, Mar. Pollut.
589 Bull., 32(10), 701–710, 1996.

590 Morton, B. and Wu, S.: The hydrology of the coastal waters of Hong Kong, Environ.
591 Res., 10(3), 319–347, 1975.

592 Morton, B. and Blackmore, G.: South China Sea, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 42(12), 1236–
593 1263, 2001.

594 Mostafawi, N.: Recent ostracods from the central Sunda Shelf, between the Malay
595 Peninsula and Borneo, Senckenbergiana Lethaea, 72, 129–168, 1992.

596 Nishath, N. M., Hussain, S. M., Neelavnnan, K., Thejasino, S., Saalim, S., and
597 Rajkumar, A.: Ostracod biodiversity from shelf to slope oceanic conditions,
598 off central Bay of Bengal, India, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 483, 70–82, 2017.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

599 Noraswana, N. F., Ramlan, O., and Norashikin, S.: Distribution of recent ostracoda in
600 offshore Sediment of selected stations in the Sulu Sea, Sabah, Malaysian
601 Applied Biology, 43(2), 49–57, 2014.

602 Owen, R. B. and Sandhu, N.: Heavy Metal Accumulation and Anthropogenic Impacts
603 on Tolo Harbour, Hong Kong, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 40(2), 174–180, 2000.

604 Ozawa, H., Kamiya, T., Itoh, H., and Tsukawaki, S.: Water temperature, salinity
605 ranges and ecological significance of the three families of Recent cold-water
606 ostracods in and around the Japan Sea, Paleontol. Res., 8(1), 11–28, 2004.

607 Pandolfi, J. M., Bradbury, R. H., Sala, E., Hughes, T. P., Bjorndal, K. A., Cooke, R.
608 G., McArdle, D., McClenachan, L., Newman, M. J. H., and Paredes, G.:
609 Global trajectories of the long-term decline of coral reef ecosystems, Science,
610 301(5635), 955–958, 2003.

611 Pugliese, N., Maria Eugenia, M., Francesco, S., and Chaimanee, N.: Environmental
612 monitoring through the shallow marine ostracods of Phetchaburi area (NW
613 Gulf of Thailand), in: Proceedings of the Second and Third Italian Meetings
614 on Environmental Micropaleontology, Urbino, Italy, 10–12 June 2003 and 8–9
615 June 2004, vol. 11, 85–90,; 2006.

616 R Core Team.: R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Computer
617 software 3.2.4], available at http://www.R-project.org/ (last access: 3
618 September 2018), 2016.

619 Ruiz, F., Abad, M., Bodergat, A. M., Carbonel, P., Rodríguez-Lázaro, J., and
620 Yasuhara, M.: Marine and brackish-water ostracods as sentinels of
621 anthropogenic impacts, Earth-Sci. Rev., 72, 89–111, 2005.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



622 Sato, T. and Kamiya, T.: Taxonomy and geographical distribution of recent
623 *Xestoleberis* species (Cytheroidea, Ostracoda, Crustacea) from Japan,
624 Paleontol. Res., 11(2), 183–227, 2007.

625 Schornikov, E. I., Zenina, M. A., and Ivanova, E. V.: Ostracods as indicators of the
626 aquatic environmental conditions on the northeastern Black Sea shelf over the
627 past 70 years, Russ. J. Mar. Biol., 40(6), 455–464, 2014.

628 Shin, P. K. S.: Changes in benthic infaunal communities in Tolo Harbour: will the
629 trend continue, Perspectives on Marine Environment Change in Hong Kong
630 and Southern China, 2001, 579–592, 1977.

631 Sin, Y. S. and Chau, K. W.: Eutrophication studies on Tolo Harbour, Hong Kong,
632 Water Sci. Technol., 26(9-11), 2551–2554, 1992.

633 Smith, R. J. and Kamiya, T.: The ontogeny of *Neonesidea oligodentata* (Bairdioidea,
634 Ostracoda, Crustacea), Hydrobiologia, 489(1-3), 245–275, 2002.

635 Stepanova, A., Taldenkova, E., and Bauch, H. A.: Recent Ostracoda from the Laptev
636 Sea (Arctic Siberia): species assemblages and some environmental
637 relationships, Mar. Micropaleontol., 48(1), 23–48, 2003.

638 Tanaka, G., Komatsu, T., and Phong, N. D.: Recent ostracod assemblages from the
639 northeastern coast of Vietnam and the biogeographical significance of the
640 euryhaline species, Micropaleontology, 55, 365–382, 2009.

641 Tanaka, G., Komatsu, T., Saito, Y., Nguyen, D. P., and Vu, Q. L.: Temporal changes
642 in ostracod assemblages during the past 10,000 years associated with the

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

643 evolution of the Red River delta system, northeastern Vietnam, Mar.
644 Micropaleontol., 81(3), 77–87, 2011.

645 Tanner, P. A., Leong, L. S., and Pan, S. M.: Contamination of heavy metals in marine
646 sediment cores from Victoria Harbour, Hong Kong, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 40(9),
647 769–779, 2000.

648 Wang, P. and Zhao, Q.: Ostracod distribution in bottom sediments of the East China
649 Sea, in: Marine micropaleontology of China, edited by: Wang, et al., China
650 Ocean Press, Beijing, 70–92, 1985(in Chinese),.

651 Wang, P., Zhang, J., Zhao, Q., Min, Q., Bian, Y., Zheng, L., Cheng, X., and Chen, R.:
652 Foraminifera and Ostracoda in bottom sediments of the East China Sea, Ocean
653 Press, Beijing, 1988 (in Chinese with English abstract).

654 Wang, Q. and Zhang, L.: Holocene ostracod fauna and paleoenvironment in the sea
655 region around Hong Kong, Acta Oceanol. Sin., 6, 281–291, 1987.

656 Whatley, R. and Zhao, Q.: A revision of Brady's 1869 study of the Ostracoda of Hong
657 Kong, J. Micropalaeontol., 7(1), 21–29, 1988a.

658 Whatley, R. and Zhao, Q.: Recent Ostracoda of the Malacca Straits, Part II, Revista
659 Española de Micropaleontología, 20, 5–37, 1988b.

660 Wilkinson, I. P., Poirier, C., Head, M. J., Sayer, C. D., and Tibby, J.: Microbiotic
661 signatures of the Anthropocene in marginal marine and freshwater
662 palaeoenvironments, Geological Society, London, Special Publications,
663 395(1), 185–219, 2014.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



664 Woodroffe, C. D., Nicholls, R. J., Saito, Y., Chen, Z., and Goodbred, S. L.:
665 Landscape variability and the response of Asian megadeltas to environmental
666 change, in: Global change and integrated coastal management: the Asia-
667 Pacific region, edited by: N. Harvey, vol. 10, 277–314, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

668 Yamada, S.: Ultrastructure of the carapace margin in the Ostracoda (Arthropoda:
669 Crustacea), Hydrobiologia, 585(1), 201–211, 2007.

670 Yang, H., Chen, T., Hou, Y., Ho, J., Zhou, Q., and Tian, M.: Cretaceous–Quaternary
671 Ostracoda Fauna from Jiangsu, Geological Publishing House, Peking, 386,
672 1982.

673 Yasuhara, M. and Irizuki, T.: Recent Ostracoda from the northeastern part of Osaka
674 Bay, southwestern Japan, Journal of Geosciences Osaka City University, 44,
675 57–95, 2001.

676 Yasuhara, M. and Yamazaki, H.: The impact of 150 years of anthropogenic pollution
677 on the shallow marine ostracode fauna, Osaka Bay, Japan, Mar.
678 Micropaleontol., 55(1), 63–74, 2005.

679 Yasuhara, M. and Seto, K.: Holocene relative sea-level change in Hiroshima Bay,
680 Japan: A semi-quantitative reconstruction based on ostracodes, Paleontol.
681 Res., 10(2), 99–116, 2006.

682 Yasuhara, M., Yoshikawa, S., and Nanayama, F.: Reconstruction of the Holocene
683 seismic history of a seabed fault using relative sea-level curves reconstructed
684 by ostracode assemblages: Case study on the Median Tectonic Line in Iyo-
685 nada Bay, western Japan, Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 222(3–4), 285–312, 2005.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



686 Yasuhara, M., Irizuki, T., Yoshikawa, S., and Nanayama, F.: Changes in Holocene
687 ostracode faunas and depositional environments in the Kitan Strait,
688 southwestern Japan, Paleontol. Res., 6(1), 85–99, 2002.

689 Yasuhara, M., Yamazaki, H., Irizuki, T., & Yoshikawa, S.: Temporal changes of
690 ostracode assemblages and anthropogenic pollution during the last 100 years,
691 in sediment cores from Hiroshima Bay, Japan, The Holocene, 13(4), 527–536,
692 2003.

693 Yasuhara, M., Yamazaki, H., Tsujimoto, A., and Hirose, K.: The effect of long-term
694 spatiotemporal variations in urbanization-induced eutrophication on a benthic
695 ecosystem, Osaka Bay, Japan, Limnol. Oceanogr., 52(4), 1633–1644, 2007.

696 Yasuhara, M., Hunt, G., Breitburg, D., Tsujimoto, A., and Katsuki, K.: Human-
697 induced marine ecological degradation: micropaleontological perspectives,
698 Ecol. Evol., 2(12), 3242–3268, 2012a.

699 Yasuhara, M., Hunt, G., van Dijken, G., Arrigo, K. R., Cronin, T. M., and
700 Wollenburg, J. E.: Patterns and controlling factors of species diversity in the
701 Arctic Ocean, J Biogeogr., 39(11), 2081–2088, 2012b.

702 Zhao, Q.: Recent ostracoda from the coast zone of the East China Sea and the Yellow
703 Sea, Marine Geology & Quaternary Geology, 4, 45–57, 1984 (in Chinese).

704 Zhao, Q. and Wang, P.: Distribution of modern Ostracoda in the shelf seas off China,
705 in: Developments in Palaeontology and Stratigraphy-, edited by: T. Hanai,
706 Ikeya, N., and Ishizaki, K., Kodansha, Tokyo, vol. 11, 805–821, 1988a (in
707 Chinese with English abstract).

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

Zhao, Q. and Wang, P.: Modern Ostracoda in sediments of shelf seas off China: quantitative and qualitative distributions, Oceanologia Et Limnologia Sinica, 19, 553–561, 1988b (in Chinese with English abstract).

Zhao, Q. and Whatley, R.: Recent podocopid ostracoda of the Sedili River and Jason bay, southeastern Malay Peninsula, Micropaleontology, 35, 168–187, 1989.

Zhao, Q. and Wang, P.: Modern Ostracoda in shelf seas off China: Zoogeographical zonation, Oceanologia Et Limnologia Sinica, 21, 458–464, 1990 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Zhao, Q. and Whatley, R.: New species of the ostracod genus *Neosinocythere Huang* (1985) from the Indo-West Pacific Region, J. Micropalaeontol., 12(1), 1–7, doi: 10.1144/jm.12.1.1, 1993.

Zhao, Q., Wang, P., and Zhang, Q.: Ostracoda distribution in northern South China Sea continental Shelf, Acta Oceanol. Sin. (Chinese Version), 8, 590‐602, 1986‐（in Chinese).

Zhou, Y., Xie, Y., Chen, F., Long, G., and Chen, C.: Microfaunas in hole Zk201-2 at Zhujiang River Delta since Late Pleistocene and their implications for paleoenvironments, Marine Geology & Quaternary Geology, 35(4), 114–123, 2015 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Captions

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



730 Fig. 1 Locality map showing the 52 sampling sites across Hong Kong, including 41
731 open water sites (blue dots) and 11 typhoon shelter sites (red open dots). From west to
732 east, DS: Deep Bay; NS: North Western waters; SS: Southern waters; VS: Victoria
733 Harbour; ES: Eastern Buffer; JS: Junk Bay; TS: Tolo Harbour; PS: Port Shelter; MS:
734 Mirs Bay.
735
736 Fig. 2 Spatial distribution of environmental parameters in Hong Kong. Mean surface-
737 water chlorophyll-a concentration; water depth; summer (June to September) bottom-
738 water dissolved oxygen content; mean bottom-water salinity; mean turbidity; mean
739 summer (June to September) bottom-water temperature; mean winter (November to
740 February) bottom-water temperature; and mean mud content. All are averaged values
741 of the data obtained during 1986–2013 (Table 1).
742
743 Fig. 3 Spatial distribution of environmental parameters in Hong Kong. Mean copper
744 (Cu) concentration, mean lead (Pb) concentration, and mean zinc (Zn) concentration
745 in surface sediments. All are averaged values of the data obtained during 1986–2013
746 (Table 1).
747
748 Fig. 4. Spatial distribution of the relative abundance of *Sinocytheridea impressa*,
749 *Neomonoceratina delicata*, *Bicornucythere bisanensis* s.l., *Pistocythereis bradyi*,
750 *Nipponocythere bicarinata*, *Spinileberis quadriaculeata*, *Keijella kloempritensis*, and
751 *Loxoconcha malayensis* in Hong Kong. See Figure 1 for sampling stations.
752
753 Fig. 5. Spatial distribution of the relative abundance of *Neosinocythere elongata*,
754 *Stigmatocythere roesmani*, *Phlyctocythere japonica*, *Alocopocythere goujoni*,

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Hemikrithe orientalis, *Loxoconcha epeterseni*, *Hemicytheridea reticulata* and *Neonesidea* spp. in Hong Kong. See Figure 1 for sampling stations.

Fig. 6. Spatial distribution of the relative abundance of *Propontocypris* spp., and *Xestoleberis* spp. in Hong Kong. See Figure 1 for sampling stations.

Fig. 7. Geographical distributions of the 18 taxa in the northwestern Pacific and Indo-Pacific regions, including *Sinocytheridea impressa*, *Neomonoceratina delicata*, *Pistocythereis bradyi*, *Bicornucythere bisanensis* s.l., *Keijella kloempritensis*, *Nipponocythere bicarinata*, *Spinileberis quadriaculeata*, *Loxoconcha malayensis,* *Neosinocythere elongata*, *Stigmatocythere roesmani*, *Phlyctocythere japonica*, *Alocopocythere goujoni*, *Hemikrithe orientalis*, *Loxoconcha epeterseni*, *Hemicytheridea reticulata*, *Neonesidea* spp., *Propontocypris* spp., and *Xestoleberis* spp. The following references were used mainly to determine the geographical distributions of the species: Al Jumaily and Al-Sheikhly (1999); Dewi (1997); Dong et al. (2012); Fauzielly et al. (2013); Hong et al. (2017); Hou and Gou (2007); Hussain et al. (2004); Hussain et al. (2010); Hussain and Mohan (2000, 2001); Irizuki et al. (2006); Irizuki et al. (2009); Iwatani et al. (2014); Jie et al. (2013); Li (1985); Mostafawi (1992); Nishath et al. (2017); Noraswana et al. (2014); Pugliese et al. (2006); Schornikov et al. (2014); Tanaka et al. (2009); Tanaka et al. (2011); Wang et al. (1988); Wang and Zhang (1987); Wang and Zhao (1985); Zhao (1984); Zhao and Wang (1988a, 1988b, 1990); Zhao and Whatley (1993); Zhou et al. (2015).

Table 1. Summary of marine water/sediment parameters. Note: 1. Summer: June, July, August and September. 2. Winter: November, December, January and February.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

780

781 Table 2. Best three regression models of the relative abundance of common species,
782 including *Sinocytheridea impressa*, *Neomonoceratina delicata*, *Propontocypris* spp.,
783 *Pistocythereis bradyi*, *Bicornucythere bisanensis* s.l., *Keijella kloempritensis*,
784 *Nipponocythere bicarinata*, *Spinileberis quadriaculeata*, *Xestoleberis* spp.,
785 *Loxoconcha malayensis*, *Neonesidea* spp., *Neosinocythere elongata*, *Stigmatocythere*
786 *roesmani*, *Phlyctocythere japonica*, *Alocopocythere goujoni*, *Hemikrithe orientalis*,
787 *Loxoconcha epeterseni* and *Hemicytheridea reticulata*. The table shows the
788 coefficient of each term, adjusted R^2, the Akaike information criterion corrected for
789 small sample size (AICc), and the Akaike weight (AW). Bold denotes significance at
790 $P < 0.05$. Overall P is < 0.05 in all models. R: region. Other abbreviations are found in
791 Table 1.

792

793 Table 3. Model-averaged parameter estimates and CIs of the relative abundance of
794 common species, including *Sinocytheridea impressa*, *Neomonoceratina delicata*,
795 *Propontocypris* spp., *Pistocythereis bradyi*, *Bicornucythere bisanensis* s.l., *Keijella*
796 *kloempritensis*, *Nipponocythere bicarinata*, *Spinileberis quadriaculeata*, *Xestoleberis*
797 spp., *Loxoconcha malayensis,* *Neonesidea* spp., *Neosinocythere elongata*,
798 *Stigmatocythere roesmani*, *Phlyctocythere japonica*, *Alocopocythere goujoni*,
799 *Hemikrithe orientalis*, *Loxoconcha epeterseni* and *Hemicytheridea reticulata*. CIs,
800 confidence intervals; RI, relative importance (the sum of the Akaike weights of
801 models that include the variable in question; see Materials and Methods); R, region.
802 Other abbreviations are found in Table 1. Bold denotes CIs that exclude zero. For R,
803 coefficient, lower CI, and upper CI values shown are averages of those for geographic
804 regions.

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



805

806 Table 4. GVIF value for Environmental Variables. Df, degree of freedom; R, region.

807 Other abbreviations are found in Table 1.

808

809 Table 5. Summary of autoecology for common ostracod taxa. Chl: Chlorophyll-a; D:

810 Water Depth; DO: Dissolved Oxygen; MD: Mud Content; Sal: Salinity; Tur:

811 Turbidity; WT: Winter Temperature; Pb: Lead; Zn: Zinc; R: Region.

812

813

814 Supplement A. Ostracod faunal list.

815

816 Supplement B. Dataset used for the regression modeling.

817

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.




Fig. 1

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.




Fig. 2

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.




Fig. 3

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.






Fig. 4


Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.




Neosinocythere elongata 0< • ≤5% 5< • ≤10% x absent




Stigmatocythere roesmani 0< • ≤4% 4< • ≤8% x absent




Phlyctocythere japonica 0< • ≤3.5% 3.5< • ≤7% x absent




Alocopocythere goujoni 0< • ≤1.5% 1.5< • ≤ 3% x absent




Hemikrithe orientalis 0< • ≤3% 3< • ≤6% x absent



Loxoconcha epeterseni 0< • ≤3% 3< • ≤6% x absent



Hemicytheridea reticulata 0< • ≤2% 2< • ≤4% x absent



Neonesidea spp. 0< • ≤ 5% 5< • ≤10% 10< • ≤20% x absent

Fig. 5

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.





Fig. 6

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Species	Indo Pacific	South China Sea	East China Sea	Japan and Russia Coast
Sinocytheridea impressa	✓	✓	✓	
Neomonoceratina delicata	✓	✓	✓	
Pistocythereis bradyi	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bicornucythere bisanensis s.l		✓	✓	✓
Nipponocythere bicarinata		✓	✓	✓
Keijella kloempritensis	✓	✓	✓	
Spinileberis quadriaculeata		✓	✓	✓
Loxoconcha malayensis	✓	✓		
Neosinocythere elongata	✓	✓	✓	
Stigmatocythere roesmani	✓	✓	✓	
Phlytocythere japonica		✓	✓	✓
Alocopocythere goujoni	✓	✓		
Hemikrithe orientalis	✓	✓		
Loxoconcha epeterseni		✓	✓	✓
Hemicytheridea reticulata	✓	✓	✓	
Neonesidea spp.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Propontocypris spp.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Xestoleberis spp.	✓	✓	✓	✓

Fig. 7

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Table 1.

Parameter (Abbreviation)	Unit	Sampling Depth / Material	Season (AD. 1986-2013)
Chlorophyll-*a* (Chl)	μg/L	Surface water	All year
Water Depth (D)	m		All year
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	mg/L	Bottom water	Summer[1]
Mud Content (MD)	%w/w (<63μm)	Bottom sediment	All year
Salinity (Sal)		Bottom water	All year
Turbidity (Tur)	NTU	Bottom water	All year
Summer Temperature (ST)	°C	Bottom water	Summer[1]
Winter Temperature (WT)	°C	Bottom water	Winter[2]
Copper (Cu)	mg/kg	Bottom sediment	All year
Lead (Pb)	mg/kg	Bottom sediment	All year
Zinc (Zn)	mg/kg	Bottom sediment	All year

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Table 2.

Model	Chl	D	DO	MD	Sal	Tur	WT	Pb	Zn	R	R^2	AICc	AW
Sinocytheridea impressa													
1	**0.33**		**-1.47**	**0.75**	**-9.13**					**0.34**	0.55	-37.29	0.27
2	**0.41**		**-1.48**		**-8.75**					**0.37**	0.50	-35.24	0.10
3			**-1.12**	**0.94**	**-10.30**					**0.44**	0.49	-34.78	0.08
Neomonoceratina delicata													
1					**3.77**	**0.34**			**0.41**	**-0.13**	0.48	-82.57	0.29
2			0.43		**5.02**	**0.31**			**0.39**	**-0.20**	0.50	-81.21	0.15
3					**3.47**	**0.33**		-0.20	**0.55**	**-0.12**	0.48	-79.32	0.06
Propontocypris spp.													
1	**-0.28**			**0.46**						**0.04**	0.20	-72.47	0.15
2	**-0.24**									**0.07**	0.13	-71.74	0.10
3	**-0.24**			**0.49**			-2.46			0.02	0.22	-70.76	0.06
Pistocythereis bradyi													
1		**-0.12**			**2.67**			**-0.21**		**-0.06**	0.61	-163.64	0.52
2		**-0.13**			**2.87**	0.04		**-0.20**		**-0.05**	0.61	-159.79	0.08
3		**-0.12**	0.07		**2.83**			**-0.21**		**-0.07**	0.60	-159.42	0.06
Bicornucythere bisanensis s.l.													
1		**-0.09**		**-0.23**						**0.02**	0.60	-137.80	0.12
2		**-0.08**								**-0.01**	0.56	-136.77	0.07
3				**-0.29**				**0.18**		0.00	0.58	-136.77	0.05
Keijella kloempritensis													
1		**0.06**						**-0.06**		**-0.02**	0.56	-202.12	0.12
2		**0.08**								**-0.02**	0.53	-201.51	0.09
3		**0.06**		0.09				**-0.08**		**-0.02**	0.58	-200.86	0.06
Nipponocythere bicarinata													
1	**-0.07**		**-0.12**							**0.03**	0.43	-200.57	0.11
2	**-0.09**									**0.03**	0.39	-200.38	0.10
3	**-0.09**	-0.03	**-0.16**							**0.04**	0.45	-199.30	0.06
Spinileberis quadriaculeata													
1	0.06					**-0.09**				**-0.04**	0.63	-183.88	0.10
2		**-0.05**								**0.02**	0.60	-182.94	0.06
3	**0.08**					**-0.09**	-0.75			**-0.04**	0.65	-182.66	0.05
Xestoleberis spp.													
1		**0.04**	**0.15**	**-0.11**		**-0.08**		**0.05**		**-0.02**	0.62	-225.55	0.09
2		**0.03**	**0.15**	**-0.09**		**-0.09**				**-0.02**	0.59	-225.29	0.08
3				**-0.09**		**-0.06**				**0.00**	0.52	-224.60	0.06

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Model	Chl	D	DO	MD	Sal	Tur	WT	Pb	Zn	R	R^2	AICc	AW
Loxoconcha malayensis													
1		**-0.03**	**-0.14**	**-0.12**						**0.03**	0.55	-229.55	0.10
2	**0.04**		**-0.13**	**-0.12**						**0.02**	0.55	-229.42	0.09
3		**-0.04**	**-0.14**	**-0.10**				-0.04		**0.03**	0.57	-228.47	0.06
Neonesidea spp.													
1	**-0.12**			**-0.25**					**0.19**	**0.05**	0.28	-149.00	0.09
2	**-0.11**		**0.33**	**-0.24**			-1.13		**0.20**	**0.05**	0.31	-147.86	0.05
3			0.21							0.02	0.08	-147.40	0.04
Neosinocythere elongata													
1	**-0.02**					**0.09**				**-0.03**	0.76	-244.70	0.09
2				**-0.06**		**0.08**				**-0.03**	0.76	-244.42	0.08
3						**0.09**				**-0.03**	0.74	-244.15	0.07
Stigmatocythere roesmani													
1								**-0.08**		**0.00**	0.23	-225.23	0.07
2					0.36			**-0.07**		**-0.02**	0.25	-224.06	0.04
3			**0.10**		**0.67**			**-0.07**		**0.30**	0.30	-224.03	0.04
Phlyctocythere japonica													
1		**0.04**								0.00	0.28	-238.60	0.19
2		**0.03**			0.33					-0.02	0.30	-237.01	0.09
3		**0.03**						-0.02		0.00	0.27	-235.62	0.04
Alocopocythere goujoni													
1					**0.21**					**-0.04**	0.68	-331.97	0.09
2		**-0.01**			**0.28**				**-0.01**	**-0.04**	0.71	-330.52	0.05
3		**-0.01**			**0.27**			**-0.02**		**-0.04**	0.71	-330.45	0.04
Hemikrithe orientalis													
1		**0.03**					**0.46**			0.00	0.19	-249.44	0.11
2		**0.03**	**0.07**							0.00	0.18	-248.67	0.07
3		**0.04**	0.06				**0.42**			0.00	0.23	-248.60	0.07
Loxoconcha epeterseni													
1		**0.03**			**-0.33**	**-0.03**				**0.01**	0.49	-288.61	0.11
2	**0.02**	**0.04**		**-0.04**	**-0.34**	**-0.04**				**0.00**	0.56	-287.76	0.07
3	0.02	**0.04**			**-0.34**	**-0.04**				0.00	0.51	-287.31	0.06
Hemicytheridea reticulata													
1		**-0.03**						**-0.04**		**0.01**	0.23	-270.79	0.14
2	0.02	**-0.02**						**-0.04**		0.01	0.26	-269.44	0.07
3	**0.03**							**-0.03**		0.00	0.19	-268.64	0.05

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Table 3.

Term	RI	Coeffiencient	Lower CI	Upper CI	Term	RI	Coeffiencient	Lower CI	Upper CI
Sinocytheridea impressa					***Keijella kloempritensis***				
R	1.00	0.36	-0.09	0.81	R	1.00	-0.02	-0.09	0.04
Sal	**0.99**	**-9.26**	**-14.61**	**-3.91**	**D**	**0.86**	**0.06**	**0.02**	**0.11**
DO	**0.91**	**-1.42**	**-2.48**	**-0.37**	Pb	0.58	-0.08	-0.17	0.01
MD	**0.75**	**0.82**	**0.07**	**1.57**	Sal	0.35	0.68	-0.21	1.58
Chl	**0.74**	**0.82**	**0.03**	**0.70**	MD	0.25	0.08	-0.05	0.21
WT	0.20	**0.82**	-2.34	8.89	Tur	0.24	0.05	-0.03	0.14
Zn	0.13	**0.82**	-0.68	0.51	Chl	0.22	-0.04	-0.11	0.03
Tur	0.11	**0.82**	-0.61	0.30	Zn	0.17	0.02	-0.11	0.14
D	0.11	**0.82**	-0.29	0.41	WT	0.14	0.33	-0.55	1.22
Pb	0.10	**0.82**	-0.62	0.46	DO	0.11	0.03	-0.14	0.19
Neomonoceratina delicata					***Nipponocythere bicarinata***				
R	1.00	-0.15	-0.42	0.12	R	1.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09
Zn	**0.94**	**0.41**	**0.11**	**0.70**	**Chl**	**0.92**	**-0.08**	**-0.14**	**-0.02**
Sal	**0.93**	**4.06**	**1.00**	**7.13**	DO	0.50	-0.14	-0.30	0.02
Tur	**0.84**	**0.33**	**0.06**	**0.59**	D	0.30	-0.03	-0.08	0.02
DO	0.33	0.45	-0.17	1.06	WT	0.25	-0.03	-0.08	0.02
Pb	0.17	-0.18	-0.67	0.30	Sal	0.24	0.51	-0.35	1.36
MD	0.14	0.25	-0.33	0.84	Tur	0.19	-0.04	-0.11	0.04
D	0.11	-0.01	-0.22	0.20	Zn	0.18	-0.04	-0.12	0.04
WT	0.11	-0.86	-4.32	2.60	Pb	0.13	-0.03	-0.11	0.06
Chl	0.09	0.00	-0.24	0.23	MD	0.10	0.00	-0.13	0.13
***Propontocypris* spp.**					***Spinileberis quadriaculeata***				
R	1.00	0.05	-0.19	0.30	R		-0.01	-0.10	0.07
Chl	**0.80**	**-0.26**	**-0.47**	**-0.04**	**Tur**	**0.66**	**-0.09**	**-0.17**	**0.00**
MD	0.58	0.50	-0.03	1.03	**Chl**	**0.54**	**0.06**	**0.00**	**0.13**
WT	0.29	-2.60	-6.48	1.28	D	0.45	-0.05	-0.10	0.01
Pb	0.19	-0.18	-0.55	0.20	WT	0.32	-0.76	-1.82	0.31
Sal	0.17	-1.48	-4.88	1.92	DO	0.19	0.10	-0.10	0.30
DO	0.15	-0.27	-0.99	0.45	MD	0.17	-0.07	-0.23	0.09
Zn	0.14	-0.03	-0.48	0.41	Pb	0.17	-0.05	-0.16	0.07
D	0.13	0.04	-0.17	0.26	Zn	0.14	0.03	-0.09	0.15
Tur	0.10	0.01	-0.29	0.32	Sal	0.13	0.11	-1.03	1.26
Pistocythereis bradyi					***Xestoleberis* spp.**				
R	1.00	-0.06	-0.15	0.04	R	1.00	-0.01	-0.06	0.05
Sal	**1.00**	**2.71**	**1.53**	**3.88**	**Tur**	**0.77**	**-0.07**	**-0.12**	**-0.01**
D	**1.00**	**-0.12**	**-0.19**	**-0.06**	**MD**	**0.66**	**-0.10**	**-0.19**	**0.00**
Pb	**0.97**	**-0.21**	**-0.32**	**-0.10**	**DO**	**0.63**	**0.13**	**0.00**	**0.26**
Tur	0.12	0.04	-0.07	0.15	D	0.42	0.03	-0.01	0.07
Zn	0.11	-0.05	-0.23	0.13	Pb	0.33	0.04	-0.02	0.11
DO	0.10	0.06	-0.18	0.31	Sal	0.27	0.46	-0.24	1.15
WT	0.10	0.33	-0.98	1.64	WT	0.19	-0.37	-1.06	0.31
Chl	0.09	0.02	-0.08	0.11	Zn	0.14	0.00	-0.09	0.08
MD	0.08	-0.01	-0.20	0.18	Chl	0.10	0.00	-0.05	0.05
***Bicornucythere bisanensis* s.l.**					***Loxoconcha malayensis***				
R	1.00	0.01	-0.11	0.12	R	1.00	0.02	-0.02	0.06
MD	0.63	-0.26	-0.52	0.00	**DO**	**0.87**	**-0.14**	**-0.25**	**-0.03**
D	**0.62**	**-0.08**	**-0.16**	**0.00**	**MD**	**0.85**	**-0.11**	**-0.20**	**-0.02**
Pb	0.38	0.14	-0.05	0.33	D	0.48	-0.03	-0.06	0.00
DO	0.20	0.17	-0.15	0.48	Chl	0.40	0.03	-0.01	0.08
Chl	0.19	0.06	-0.07	0.19	Pb	0.29	-0.04	-0.10	0.02
Tur	0.19	0.07	-0.08	0.22	WT	0.26	0.41	-0.21	1.03
Zn	0.16	-0.01	-0.28	0.25	Zn	0.20	-0.03	-0.10	0.04
Sal	0.12	-0.02	-1.86	1.82	Sal	0.15	-0.26	-0.91	0.39
WT	0.10	0.06	-1.80	1.92	Tur	0.11	-0.01	-0.07	0.05

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Term	RI	Coeffiencient	Lower CI	Upper CI	Term	RI	Coeffiencient	Lower CI	Upper CI
***Neonesidea* spp.**					***Alocopocythere goujoni***				
R	1.00	0.02	-0.09	0.13	R	1.00	-0.03	-0.05	-0.02
DO	**0.72**	**0.30**	**0.02**	**0.57**	**Sal**	**0.78**	**0.22**	**0.03**	**0.41**
Zn	0.58	0.15	-0.01	0.31	D	0.46	-0.01	-0.02	0.00
MD	0.46	-0.23	-0.49	0.03	DO	0.43	-0.03	-0.07	0.01
Chl	0.42	-0.09	-0.21	0.02	Pb	0.31	-0.01	-0.03	0.01
WT	0.32	-1.13	-2.69	0.42	Zn	0.29	-0.01	-0.03	0.01
Tur	0.24	-0.09	-0.22	0.05	WT	0.24	0.13	-0.08	0.33
D	0.23	0.05	-0.04	0.15	Tur	0.18	0.01	-0.01	0.03
Pb	0.17	0.05	-0.13	0.24	MD	0.14	-0.01	-0.04	0.02
Sal	0.15	-0.60	-2.20	1.01	Chl	0.11	0.00	-0.01	0.01
Neosinocythere elongata					***Hemikrithe orientalis***				
R	1.00	-0.03	-0.07	0.00	R	1.00	0.00	-0.04	0.03
Tur	**1.00**	**0.08**	**0.04**	**0.13**	**D**	**0.77**	**0.03**	**0.00**	**0.05**
MD	0.33	-0.05	-0.13	0.02	WT	0.46	0.43	-0.07	0.93
Chl	0.30	-0.02	-0.05	0.01	DO	0.44	0.08	-0.02	0.17
WT	0.26	-0.33	-0.85	0.18	Sal	0.30	0.37	-0.17	0.90
Zn	0.21	-0.02	-0.06	0.02	Pb	0.22	-0.03	-0.08	0.02
Pb	0.19	-0.02	-0.07	0.02	Tur	0.17	0.02	-0.03	0.07
D	0.12	0.00	-0.03	0.03	Chl	0.14	-0.01	-0.05	0.03
DO	0.12	-0.02	-0.11	0.07	Zn	0.11	0.00	-0.05	0.05
Sal	0.10	0.04	-0.40	0.49	MD	0.10	-0.01	-0.08	0.07
Stigmatocythere roesmani					***Loxoconcha epeterseni***				
R	1.00	-0.01	-0.07	0.05	R	1.00	0.00	-0.02	0.03
Sal	0.61	0.62	-0.04	1.27	**D**	**0.94**	**0.03**	**0.00**	**0.05**
Pb	**0.61**	**-0.06**	**-0.12**	**0.00**	**Tur**	**0.76**	**-0.03**	**-0.06**	**0.00**
Tur	0.37	0.04	-0.01	0.10	Sal	0.53	-0.30	-0.62	0.02
Zn	0.31	-0.05	-0.11	0.02	MD	0.38	-0.04	-0.08	0.01
DO	0.31	0.09	-0.04	0.22	Chl	0.30	0.02	-0.01	0.04
MD	0.23	-0.06	-0.16	0.04	DO	0.26	0.04	-0.02	0.10
Chl	0.19	-0.02	-0.07	0.02	Pb	0.17	0.01	-0.02	0.05
WT	0.19	0.35	-0.33	1.02	WT	0.13	-0.13	-0.48	0.22
D	0.14	-0.01	-0.05	0.04	Zn	0.12	0.01	-0.03	0.04
Phlyctocythere japonica					***Hemicytheridea reticulata***				
R	1.00	-0.01	-0.05	0.03	R	1.00	0.01	-0.02	0.03
D	**0.80**	**0.03**	**0.01**	**0.06**	**Pb**	**0.67**	**-0.04**	**-0.07**	**0.00**
Sal	0.42	0.46	-0.10	1.02	**D**	**0.66**	**-0.02**	**-0.04**	**0.00**
Pb	0.21	-0.03	-0.08	0.03	Chl	0.49	0.03	0.00	0.05
Zn	0.20	-0.02	-0.07	0.03	WT	0.24	-0.24	-0.64	0.16
WT	0.15	0.22	-0.38	0.81	MD	0.23	-0.03	-0.09	0.02
DO	0.14	0.03	-0.08	0.15	Zn	0.17	-0.01	-0.06	0.05
Tur	0.12	0.00	-0.05	0.05	DO	0.13	0.02	-0.05	0.10
Chl	0.12	0.00	-0.04	0.05	Tur	0.13	-0.01	-0.05	0.03
MD	0.11	0.00	-0.09	0.08	Sal	0.11	-0.05	-0.43	0.34

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Table 4.

Environmental Variables	GVIF	Df	$GVIF^{1/2Df}$
Chl	7.40	1	2.72
D	6.41	1	2.53
DO	4.49	1	2.12
MD	3.72	1	1.93
Sal	9.43	1	3.07
Tur	6.67	1	2.58
Pb	9.98	1	3.16
Zn	10.05	1	3.17
WT	2.39	1	1.55
R	1266.30	9	1.49

Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-405
Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences
Discussion started: 15 October 2018
© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



Table 5

Taxa	Chl	D	DO	MD	Sal	Tur	WT	Pb	Zn	R
Sinocytheridea impressa	+		-	+	-					
Neomonoceratina delicata					+	+			+	
Pistocythereis bradyi		-			+			-		
Bicornucythere bisanensis s.l.		-								
Keijella kloempritensis		+								
Nipponocythere bicarinata	-									
Spinileberis quadriaculeata	+					-				
Loxoconcha malayensis			-	-						
Neosinocythere elongata						+				
Stigmatocythere roesmani								-		
Phlyctocythere japonica		+								
Alocopocythere goujoni					+					
Hemikrithe orientalis		+								
Loxoconcha epeterseni		+				-				
Hemicytheridea reticulata		-						-		
Neonesidea spp.			+							
Propontocypris spp.	-									
Xestoleberis spp.			+	-		-				