

```
Yong Zhang,1,5,* Lennart T. Bach,1 Kai T. Lohbeck,1,2,6 Kai G. Schulz,3
4 Luisa 
    Listmann,2 Regina Klapper,4 Ulf Riebesell1
5
```
- 6 ¹Biological Oceanography, GEOMAR Helmholtz-Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany
- 8 ²Evolutionary Ecology of Marine Fishes, GEOMAR Helmholtz-Centre for Ocean
- Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany
- ³Centre for Coastal Biogeochemistry, School of Science, Environment and Engineering, Southern Cross University, Lismore, NSW, Australia
- ⁴Goethe-University, Institute for Ecology, Evolution and Diversity; Senckenberg
- Gesellschaft für Naturforschung, Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research
- Centre, Frankfurt am Main, Germany
- ⁵ State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, College of Ocean and Earth
- Sciences, Xiamen University (Xiang-An Campus), Xiamen 361102, China
- ⁶Department of Marine Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
-
- Running head: *population response of* Emiliania huxleyi *to CO²*
-
- 21 ^{*}Correspondence to: Yong Zhang (zhangyong1983@xmu.edu.cn)
- 22 Keywords: CO_2 ; coccolithophore; physiological rate; population; strain

Abstract

24 Although coccolithophore physiological responses to $CO₂$ -induced changes in seawater carbonate chemistry have been widely studied in the past, there is limited knowledge on the variability of physiological responses between populations from different areas. In the present study, we investigated the specific responses of growth, particulate organic (POC) and inorganic carbon (PIC) production rates of 3 populations of the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* from three regions in the North Atlantic Ocean (Azores: 6 strains, Canary Islands: 5 strains, and Norwegian coast near 31 Bergen: 6 strains) to a $CO₂$ partial pressure $(pCO₂)$ range from 120 µatm to 2630 µatm. Physiological rates of each population and individual strain increased with 33 rising pCO_2 levels, reached maximum and declined thereafter. Optimal pCO_2 for growth and POC production rates and tolerance to low pH (i.e. high proton concentration) was significantly higher in an *E. huxleyi* population isolated from the Norwegian coast than in those isolated near the Azores and Canary Islands. This may 37 be due to the large environmental variability including large $pCO₂$ and pH fluctuations in coastal waters off Bergen compared to the rather stable oceanic conditions at the other two sites. Maximum growth and POC production rates of the Azores and Bergen populations were similar and significantly higher than that of the 41 Canary Islands population. This pattern could be driven by temperature- $CO₂$ -42 interactions where the chosen incubation temperature (16 $^{\circ}$ C) was slightly below what strains isolated near the Canary Islands normally experience. Our results indicate adaptation of *E. huxleyi* to their local environmental conditions and the existence of

69 Coccolithophores form a layer of calcium carbonate $(CaCO₃)$ platelets (coccoliths) around their cells. Coccoliths are of biogeochemical importance due to ballasting of 71 organic matter with $CaCO₃$, a phenomenon which is thought to promote the transport of organic carbon to the deep ocean (Klaas and Archer, 2002; Rost and Riebesell, 2004). The coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* forms extensive blooms under favourable light intensity, temperature and nutrient conditions, with different morphotypes in certain regions (Cook et al., 2011; Henderiks et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2012; Balch et al., 2014; Krumhardt et al., 2017).

 Variable responses of growth, photosynthetic carbon fixation and calcification rates 78 of different *E. huxleyi* strains to rising CO₂ levels have been reported (Langer et al., 2009; Hoppe et al., 2011; Müller et al., 2015; Hattich et al, 2017) and are likely a result of intra-specific variability of genotypes (Langer et al., 2009). Several recent studies observed optimum curve responses in physiological rates of a single *E. huxleyi* 82 strain to a broad pCO_2 range from about 20 μ atm to 5000 μ atm, and linked them to 83 inorganic carbon substrate limitation at low pCO_2 and inhibiting H⁺ concentrations at 84 high pCO_2 (Bach et al., 2011, 2015; Kottmeier et al., 2016). Until now, studies on the 85 physiological responses of E . *huxleyi* to rising CO_2 are mostly based on a few 86 genotypes and little is known about the potential variability in CO_2 and H^+ sensitivity between and within populations. Recently, several studies found substantial variations in CO² responses for N² fixation rates between *Trichodesmium* strains, as well as for growth rates between strains of *Gephyrocapsa oceanica*, *Ostreococcus tauri* and *Fragilariopsis cylindrus* (Hutchins et al., 2013; Schaum et al., 2013; Pancic et al., 2015; Hattich et al., 2017). Hence, multiple strains, ideally from geographically distinct regions should be considered for investigating phytoplankton responses to climate change (Zhang et al., 2014; Blanco-Ameijeiras et al., 2016; Krumhardt et al., 2017).

 Oceanographic boundaries formed by both ocean currents and environmental factors such as temperature, can limit dispersal of marine phytoplankton, reduce gene flow between geographic populations, and give rise to differentiated populations (Palumbi, 1994). Different populations were found to show different growth rates for *E. huxleyi*, *G. oceanica*, and *Skeletonema marinoi* at the same temperatures*,* and for *Ditylum brightwellii* at the same light intensities (Brand, 1982; Rynearson and Armbrust, 2004; Kremp et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014). Phenotypic plasticity describes the ability of a strain to change its morphology or physiology in response to changing environmental conditions (Bradshaw, 1965). Plasticity can be assessed by analyzing the reaction norm of one trait and a plastic response may allow a strain to acclimate across an environmental gradient and widen its bio-geographical distribution (Reusch, 2014; Levis and Pfennig, 2016).

 In order to better understand how local adaptation affects the physiological 108 response of *E. huxleyi* to rising CO₂ conditions, we isolated 17 strains from three regions in the Atlantic Ocean, and assessed growth, carbon fixation and calcification 110 responses of the population over a pCO_2 range from 120 µatm to 2630 µatm.

112 **2 Materials and methods**

113

114 **2.1 Cell isolation sites and experimental setup**

115 *Emiliania huxleyi* strains EHGKL B95, B63, B62, B51, B41 and B17 originated from 116 Raunefjord (Norway $60^{\circ}18'N$, $05^{\circ}15'E$) and were isolated by K. T. Lohbeck in May, 117 2009 (Lohbeck et al., 2012) at ~ 10 °C in-situ water temperature. *E. huxleyi* strains 118 EHGLE A23, A22, A21, A19, A13 and A10 originated from coastal waters near the 119 Azores (38°34'N, 28°42'W) and were isolated by S. L. Eggers in May or June, 2010 120 at ~ 17 °C in-situ water temperature. *E. huxleyi* strains EHGKL C98, C91, C90, C41 121 and C35 originated from coastal waters near Gran Canaria (27°58'N, 15°36'W) and 122 were isolated by K. T. Lohbeck in February, 2014 at \sim 18 °C in-situ water temperature. 123 Seasonal $CO₂$ concentration in the surface seawater ranges from 240 µatm to 400 124 µatm near Bergen, from 320 µatm to 400 µatm around the Azores and from 320 µatm 125 to 400 µatm around the Canary Islands (Table 1). Monthly surface seawater 126 temperature ranges from 6.0 to 16.0 $^{\circ}$ C near Bergen, 15.6 to 22.3 $^{\circ}$ C around the 127 Azores and from 18.0 to 23.5 \degree C around the Canary Islands (Table S1).

 All 17 strains belong to morphotype A (determined by scanning electron microscopy) and have been deposited in the Roscoff culture collection (RCC) under the official names as shown above. Genetically different isolates, here called strains, were identified by 5 microsatellite markers (P02E09, P02B12, P02F11, EHMS37, EHMS15) (Table S2). For a description of primer testing, deoxyribonucleic acid 133 (DNA) extraction, DNA concentration measurements, and polymerase chain reaction 134 (PCR) protocols see Zhang et al. (2014). The Azores and Bergen strains had been 135 used earlier by Zhang et al. (2014).

136 The six or five (in case of Canary Islands) strains of each region were used to test 137 the physiological response to varying $CO₂$ concentrations at constant total alkalinity 138 (TA). The experiment was performed in six consecutive incubations, with one strain 139 from each population (Azores, Bergen, Canary Islands) being cultured at a time (Fig. 140 S1). Monoclonal populations were always grown in sterile-filtered (0.2 μm diameter, 141 Sartobran[®] P 300, Sartorius) artificial seawater medium (ASW) as dilute batch 142 cultures at 200 µmol photons m^{-2} s⁻¹ light intensity under a 16/8 h light/dark cycle 143 (light period: 5:00 a.m to 9:00 p.m.) at 16 $^{\circ}$ C which we consider to be a compromise 144 for the three different origins of the strains. Nutrients were added in excess (with 145 nitrate and phosphate concentrations of 64 μ mol kg⁻¹ and 4 μ mol kg⁻¹, respectively). 146 For the preparation of ASW and nutrient additions see Zhang et al. (2014). Calculated 147 volumes of Na_2CO_3 and hydrochloric acid were added to the ASW to achieve target 148 CO₂ levels at an average total alkalinity (TA) of 2319 \pm 23 µmol kg⁻¹ (Pierrot et al., 149 2006; Bach et al., 2011). Each strain was grown under 11 $CO₂$ levels ranging from 150 115 µatm to 3070 μatm without replicate. Mean response variables of all strains with 151 a population were calculated and mean $CO₂$ levels of all strains within a population 152 ranged from 120 μatm to 2630 μatm. Cells grew in the experimental conditions for at 153 least 7 generations, which corresponded to 4–7 days depending on cell division rates. 154 Cells were cultured for 4 days in 120–925 μatm CO_2 , for 5 days in 1080–1380 μatm 155 $CO₂$, and for 6 or 7 days in 1550–2630 μatm $CO₂$. Initial cell concentration was 200 156 cells ml^{-1} (estimated from measured pre-culture concentrations and known dilution) 157 and final cell concentration was lower than $100,000$ cells ml^{-1} . Dissolved inorganic 158 carbon (DIC) concentrations and $pCO₂$ levels changed less than 7% and 11%. 159 respectively, during the experimental growth phase.

160

161 **2.2 pH^T and total alkalinity measurements**

162 At 10:00 a.m. on the last day of incubations (at day $4-7$ depending on $CO₂$) 163 concentration), pH_T and TA samples were filtered (0.2 μ m diameter, Filtropur S 0.2, 164 Sarstedt) by gentle pressure and stored at $4^{\circ}C$ for a maximum of 14 days. The entire 165 sampling lasted less than 2 h. The pH_T sample bottles were filled with considerable 166 overflow and closed tightly with no space. pH_T was measured spectrophotometrically 167 (Cary 100, Agilent) using the indicator dye *m*-cresol purple (Sigma-Aldrich) similar 168 to Carter et al. (2013) with constants of acid dissociation for the protonated and un-169 protonated forms reported in Clayton and Byrne (1993). TA was measured by open-170 cell potentiometric titration (862 Compact Titrosampler, Metrohm) according to 171 Dickson et al. (2003). The carbonate system was calculated from measured TA, pH_T , 172 (assuming 4 μ mol kg⁻¹ of phosphate and 0 μ mol kg⁻¹ of silicate) using the CO2 173 System Calculations in MS Excel software (Pierrot et al., 2006) with carbonic acid 174 constants K_1 and K_2 as determined by Roy et al. (1993).

175

176 **2.3 Growth rate measurements**

 At 1:00 p.m. on the last day of incubation, 25 ml samples were used to measure cell concentration. Cell concentration was determined within two hours using a Z2 Coulter Particle Counter (Beckman). Growth rate (μ) was calculated according to:

180
$$
\mu = (\ln N_1 - \ln N_0) / d \tag{1}
$$

181 where N_1 is cell concentration on the last day of incubation, N_0 is 200 cells mL⁻¹, and *d* is the time period for growth of algae in days.

2.4 Particulate organic (POC) and inorganic (PIC) carbon measurements

 At 3:00 p.m. on the last day of incubation, cells for total particulate (TPC) and total organic (TOC) carbon were filtered onto GF/F filters which were pre-combusted at 187 500 °C for 8 h. Samples of background particulate carbon (BPC) were determined in a similar way but using filtered ASW without algae, which was previously adjusted to 189 target $pCO₂$ levels, and allowed to age for about 7 days under incubation conditions 190 (*see* above). All samples were placed at -20° C. BPC filters were used as blanks to correct for organic carbon in the medium. TOC and BPC filters were acid fumed. 192 Afterwards, all filters were dried for 8 h at 60° C. TPC, TOC and BPC were measured using an Elemental Analyzer (EuroEA, Hekatech GmbH). The percentages of BPC in 194 TPC were about 20% at cell densities $< 10,000$ cells ml⁻¹ and about 10% at cell 195 densities $> 40,000$ cells ml⁻¹. POC was calculated as the difference between TOC and BPC. PIC was calculated as the difference between TPC and TOC. POC and PIC production rates were calculated as:

198 POC production rate = μ (d⁻¹) × (TOC – BPC) (pg C cell⁻¹) (2)

199 PIC production rate =
$$
\mu
$$
 (d⁻¹) × (TPC – TOC) (pg C cell⁻¹) (3)

201 **2.5 Data analysis**

202 In a broad pCO_2 range, physiological rates are expected to initially increase quickly 203 until reaching an optimum and then decline towards further increasing $CO₂$ levels (e.g. 204 Krug et al. 2011). Hence we used the following modified Michaelis-Menten equation 205 (Bach et al. 2011) which was fitted to measured cellular growth, POC and PIC 206 production rates and yield theoretical optimum $pCO₂$ and maximum values for each of 207 the three populations (combining the data of five or six strains) (Bach et al., 2011).

$$
y = \frac{X \times pCO_2}{Y + pCO_2} - s \times pCO_2 \tag{4}
$$

209 where *X* and *Y* are fitted parameters, and *s*, the sensitivity constant, depicts the slope 210 of the decline after optimum CO_2 levels in response to rising H^+ . Based on the fitted *X*, 211 *Y* and *s*, we calculated pCO_2 optima (K_m) (equation 5) and maximum growth, POC 212 and PIC production rates following Bach et al., (2011).

$$
K_{\rm m} = \sqrt{\frac{X \times Y}{s}} - Y \tag{5}
$$

 The relative values for growth, POC and PIC production rates were calculated as 215 ratios of growth, POC and PIC production rates at each $pCO₂$ level to the maximum (highest) rates. We obtained the relative sensitivity constant by fitting function (4) based on relative growth, POC and PIC production rates.

218 A one-way ANOVA was then used to test for statistically significant differences in 219 theoretical optimum $pCO₂$, maximum value and relative sensitivity constant between 220 populations. A Tukey HSD test was conducted to determine the differences between

3 Results

3.1 Carbonate chemistry parameters

228 Carbonate system parameters are shown in Table 2. Average $pCO₂$ levels of the ASW ranged from 125 µatm to 2490 µatm for the Azores population, from 120 µatm to 2280 µatm for the Bergen population, and from 130 µatm to 2630 µatm for the 231 Canary Islands population. Corresponding pH_T values of the ASW ranged from 8.46 to 7.33 for the Azores population, from 8.47 to 7.37 for the Bergen population, and from 8.45 to 7.31 for the Canary Islands population.

3.2 Measured growth, POC and PIC production rates of each population

 As expected, growth rates, POC and PIC production rates of the three *E. huxleyi* 237 populations increased with rising $pCO₂$, reached a maximum, and then declined with 238 further pCO_2 increase (Fig. 1). Growth rates of the Azores and Bergen populations 239 were larger than those of the Canary Islands population at all investigated $pCO₂$ levels 240 (Fig. 1a). With rising pCO_2 levels beyond the pCO_2 optimum, decline in growth rates was more pronounced in the Azores and Canary Islands populations than in the Bergen population (Fig. 1b).

243 Measured POC production rates of the Azores and Bergen populations were larger 244 than those of the Canary Islands population at all $pCO₂$ levels (Fig. 1c) and decline in 245 POC production rates with increasing $pCO₂$ levels beyond the $pCO₂$ optimum was 246 larger in the Azores and Canary Islands populations than in the Bergen population 247 (Fig. 1d).

248 Measured PIC production rates at investigated $pCO₂$ levels did not show significant 249 differences among the Azores, Bergen and Canary Islands populations (Fig. 1e). 250 Exceptions were that at 365–695 μatm, PIC production rates of the Azores population 251 were larger than those of the Canary Islands population (all $p < 0.05$).

252

253 **3.3 Physiological responses of populations to** *p***CO²**

254 Calculated optimum pCO_2 for growth, POC and PIC production rates of the Bergen 255 population were significantly larger than those of the Azores and Canary Islands 256 populations (all $p < 0.05$) (Fig. 2a–c). Optimum $pCO₂$ for these physiological rates 257 between the Azores and Canary Islands population were not different (all $p > 0.1$).

258 Calculated maximum growth rates, POC and PIC production rates were not 259 significantly different between the Azores and the Bergen populations (all $p > 0.1$) 260 (Fig. 2d–f). Maximum growth rate and POC production rate of the Canary Islands 261 population were significantly lower than those of the Azores and Bergen populations 262 (both *p* < 0.01) (Fig. 2d,e). Maximum PIC production rates of the Canary Islands 263 population were significantly lower than that of the Azores population ($p < 0.05$), 264 while there was no difference to the Bergen population $(p > 0.1)$ (Fig. 2f).

 Fitted relative sensitivity constants for growth and POC production rates of the Bergen population were significantly lower than those of the Azores and Canary Islands populations (*p* < 0.01) (Fig. 2g, h). Fitted relative sensitivity constants for growth and POC production rates between the Azores and Canary Islands populations 269 were not significantly different $(p > 0.1)$. Fitted relative sensitivity constants for PIC 270 production rates did not show difference among three populations $(p = 0.13)$ (Fig. 2i).

3.4 Physiological responses of individual strains to *p***CO²**

 Measured growth rates, POC and PIC production rates of 17 *E. huxleyi* strains showed 274 optimum curve response patterns to the broad pCO_2 gradient (Fig. 3). Variations in 275 calculated $pCO₂$ optima, maximum values and relative sensitivity constants of physiological rates were found between the strains (Table 3).

277 For all strains within each population, optimum $pCO₂$ of POC production rates 278 were larger than optimum $pCO₂$ of growth rates or PIC production rates with the 279 exception of optimum pCO_2 of POC and PIC production rates of *E. huxleyi* strain EHGLE A22 (Table 3). Compared to the Azores and Bergen populations, strains 281 isolated near the Canary Islands showed larger variation in optimum $pCO₂$ of PIC 282 production rates. Within the Azores population, variations in maximum values (V_{max}) and relative sensitivity constants (*rs*) of growth, POC and PIC production rates of all strains were larger than those within the Bergen and Canary Islands populations (Fig.

3).

 We investigated growth, POC and PIC production rates of 17 *E. huxleyi* strains from 290 three populations to a broad pCO_2 range (120–2630 μ atm). The three populations 291 differed significantly in growth and POC production rates at the investigated $pCO₂$ levels. The reaction norms of the individual strains and populations equaled an optimum curve for all physiological rates (Figs. 1 and 3). However, we detected distinct pCO_2 optima for growth, POC and PIC production rates, and different H⁺ sensitivities for growth and POC production rates among them (Fig. 2). These results indicate the existence of distinct populations in the cosmopolitan coccolithophore *E. huxleyi*.

 In comparison to the Azores and Canary Islands populations, variability in growth rates between strains of the Bergen population was smaller even though they had 300 higher growth rates at all $pCO₂$ levels (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the Bergen population 301 showed significantly higher pCO_2 optima and lower H⁺ sensitivity for growth and POC production rates (Fig. 2). These findings indicate that the Bergen population may be more tolerant to changing carbonate chemistry in terms of its growth and photosynthetic carbon fixation rates. The Bergen strains were isolated from coastal waters, while the Azores and Canary Islands strains were isolated from a more oceanic environment. Seawater carbonate chemistry of coastal waters is usually more dynamic than in the open ocean (Cai, 2011). In fact, previous studies have reported 308 that $CO₂$ and pH variability of the seawater off Bergen was larger than off the Azores and Canary Islands (Table 1). In addition, due to riverine input, seawater upwelling and metabolic activity of plankton communities, environmental variability in coastal waters are larger than in open-ocean ecosystems (Duarte and Cerbrian, 1996). Doblin and van Sebille (2016) suggested that phytoplankton populations should be constantly under selection when experiencing changing environmental conditions. In this case, 314 the Bergen population, exposed to larger $CO₂$ or pH fluctuations, may have acquired a higher capacity to acclimate to changing carbonate chemistry resulting in a higher 316 tolerance (or lower sensitivity) to rising $CO₂$ levels. In contrast, the Azores and Canary Islands populations experience similar, less variable seawater carbonate chemistry conditions in their natural environment, which could explain why they also 319 show similar pCO_2 optima and H^+ sensitivity for physiological rates (Fig. 2).

 In an earlier study (Zhang et al., 2014), growth rates of the same Azores and Bergen strains as used here were measured at 8–28 °C. While at 26–28 °C the Bergen 322 strains grew slower than the Azores strains, at 8 °C the Azores strains grew slower than the Bergen strains. This illustrates how adaptation to local temperature can significantly affect growth of *E. huxleyi* strains in laboratory experiments. Considering these findings and the temperature ranges of the three isolation locations 326 (Table S1), the incubation temperature of 16 \degree C used in the present study was lower than the minimum sea surface temperature (SST) commonly recorded at the Canary Islands. In contrast, SSTs of 16 °C and lower have been reported for Azores and 329 Bergen waters (Table S1). When exposed to 16 $^{\circ}C$, growth rate of the Canary Islands population might have been already below their optimum and hence significantly reduced in comparison to the other populations (Fig. 2d).

 Furthermore, compared to the Canary Islands population, the Azores population 333 had higher maximum growth and POC production rates, and similar optimum $CO₂$ for these physiological rates. Again, this might be related to sub-optimal incubation 335 conditions as temperature has been found to significantly modulate $CO₂$ responses in coccolithophores in terms of maximum rates, $CO₂$ optima and half-saturation, and $H⁺$ sensitivity (Sett et al., 2014; Gafar et al., 2018; Gafar and Schulz, 2018). In a similar 338 fashion, light can also modulate $CO₂$ responses, hence different requirements by strains adapted to different light availabilities could also explain our observations (Zhang et al., 2015; Gafar et al., 2018; Gafar and Schulz, 2018). Thus, with rising CO₂, growth, photosynthetic carbon fixation and calcification rates of the Canary Islands population cannot increase as much as in the Azores and Bergen populations. In addition, the Canary Islands population showed smallest variability in optimum $pCO₂$ and maximum values for growth and POC production rates (Fig. 2). The reason may be that low incubation temperature predominantly limited growth and POC production rates of the Canary Islands population, and decreased the sensitivities of 347 these physiological rates to rising $pCO₂$.

 Before we started this experiment, strains isolated from the Azores, Bergen and 349 Canary Islands grew as stock cultures at $15 \degree C$ and 400μ atm for 4 years, 5 years and 3 months, respectively. Schaum et al. (2015) provide evidence that long-term 351 laboratory incubation affects responses of phytoplankton to different $pCO₂$ levels. Thus, it is conceivable that the same selection history in the laboratory incubation

 may contribute to a more similar response of growth, POC and PIC production rates 354 between the Azores and Bergen populations at low pCO_2 levels (Fig. 1).

 Our results indicate that *E. hulxyei* populations are adapted to the specific environmental conditions of their origin, resulting in different responses to increasing $pCO₂$ levels. The ability to adapt to diverse environmental conditions is supposed to be one reason for the global distribution of *E. huxleyi* (Paasche, 2002), spanning a 359 temperature range of about 30° C. In addition, these results will improve our understanding on variation in physiological responses of different *E. huxleyi* populations to climate change, and variation in production of different areas in future oceans. The optimum temperature for growth of the Bergen population was about 22 \degree C and was 5 \degree C higher than the maximum SST in Bergen waters (Zhang et al. 2014). Furthermore, in comparison to the Azores and Canary Islands populations, larger 365 optimum pCO_2 of growth rate indicates that the Bergen population may benefit more 366 from the rising CO_2 levels. PIC : POC ratios of the Azores and Bergen populations 367 declined with rising pCO_2 , whereas PIC : POC ratios of the Canary Islands population were rather constant (Figs. S6, S7). As changes in PIC : POC ratios of coccolithophore blooms were suggested to impact on biological carbon pump (Rost and Riebesell, 2004), variation in PIC : POC ratios of different populations indicates that different regions might have different changes in marine carbon cycle in the future ocean. In natural seawater, due to ocean currents and gene flow, populations at any given location may get replaced by immigrant genotypes transported there from other locations (Doblin and van Sebille, 2016). In addition, *E. huxleyi* is thought to

375 utilize HCO₃ for calcification which generates protons, and increase in proton 376 concentration may mitigate the potential of the ocean to absorb atmospheric $CO₂$ and 377 then give a positive feedback to rising atmosphare $CO₂$ levels (Paasche, 2002).

 Within a population, individual strains showed different growth, POC and PIC production rates at different $pCO₂$ levels, indicating phenotypic plasticity of individual strains (Reusch, 2014). Phenotypic plasticity constitutes an advantage for 381 individual strains to acclimate and adapt to elevated $pCO₂$ by changing fitness- relevant traits and potentially to attenuate the short-term effects of changing environments on fitness-relevant traits (Schaum et al., 2013).

 The strain-specific CO_2 -response curves revealed considerable physiological diversity in co-occurring strains (Fig. 3). Physiological variability makes a population more resilient, increases its ability to persist in variable environments and potentially forms the basis for selection (Gsell et al., 2012; Hattich et al., 2017). It is clear that other environmental factors such as light intensity, temperature and nutrient concentration affect the responses of physiological rates of individual *E. huxleyi* strains to changing carbonate chemistry, and thus change the physiological variability within populations (Zhang et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2017). However, different sensitivities and requirements of each strain to the variable environments can allow strains to co-exist within a population in the natural environment (Hutchinson, 1961; Reed et al., 2010; Krueger-Hadfield et al., 2014). In a changing ocean, strain succession is likely to occur and shift the population composition (Blanco-Ameijeiras et al., 2016; Hattich et al., 2017). Strains with higher growth rates or other competitive abilities may outcompete others (Schaum et al., 2013). Further, a significant positive correlation between growth and POC production rate or POC quota (Fig. S5) indicates that higher growth rate means larger populations and then greater production.

5 Conclusions

 In the present study, we found population-specific responses in physiological rates of *E. huxleyi* to a broad pCO_2 range, which may have arisen from local adaptation to environmental conditions at their origins. The existence of distinct *E. huxleyi* populations and phenotypic plasticity of individual strains may both be important for *E. huxleyi* when adapting to natural environmental variability and to ongoing climate changes. Our results suggest that when assessing phytoplankton responses to changing environments on a global scale, variability in population and strain responses need to be considered. In this study, we only studied the effects of rising $CO₂$ but future studies should take into account simultaneous effects from other interacting factors such as light and temperature variability.

References

 Bach, L. T., Riebesell, U., Gutowska, M. A., Federwisch, L., and Schulz, K. G.: A unifying concept of coccolithophore sensitivity to changing carbonate chemistry embedded in an ecological framework, Prog. Oceanogr., 135, 125–138, doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2015.04.012, 2015.

- Bach, L. T., Riebesell, U., and Schulz, K. G.: Distinguishing between the effects of ocean acidification and ocean carbonation in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, Limnol. Oceanogr., 56, 2040–2050, doi: 10.4319/lo.2011.56.6.2040, 2011.
- Balch, W. M., Drapeau, D. T., Bowler, B. C., Lyczkowski, E. R., Lubelczyk, L. C., Painter, S. C., and Poulton, A. J.: Surface biological, chemical, and optical properties of the Patagonian Shelf coccolithophore bloom, the brightest waters of the Great Calcite Belt, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59, 1715–1732, doi: 10.4319/lo.2014.59.5.1715, 2014.
- Blanco-Ameijeiras, S., Lebrato, M., Stoll, H. M., Iglesias-Rodriguez, D., Müller, M. N., Méndez-Vicente, A., and Oschlies, A: Phenotypic variability in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, PLoS ONE, 11, e0157697, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0157697, 2016.
- Bradshaw, A. D.: Evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity in plants, Adv.
- Genet, 13, 115–155, doi: 10.1016/S0065-2660(08)60048-6, 1965.
- Brand, L. E.: Genetic variability and spatial patterns of genetic differentiation in the reproductive rates of the marine coccolithophores *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Gephyrocapsa oceanica*, Limnol. Oceanogr., 27, 236–245, doi:

- 10.4319/lo.1982.27.2.0236, 1982.
- 464 Cai W. J.: Estuarine and coastal ocean carbon paradox: CO_2 sinks or sites of terrestrial
- carbon incineration?, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 3**,** 123–145, doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142723, 2011.
- Carter, B. R., Radich, J. A., Doyle, H. L., and Dickson, A. G.: An automated system
- for spectrophotometric seawater pH measurements, Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods,
- 11, 16–27, doi: 10.4319/lom.2013.11.16, 2013.
- Clayton, T. D., and Byrne, R. H.: Spectrophotometric seawater pH measurements–
- Total hydrogen-ion concentration scale calibration of m-cresol purple and at-sea results, Deep Sea Res. I, 40, 2115–2129, doi: 10.1016/0967-0637(93)90048-8, 1993.
- Cook, S. S., Whittock, L., Wright S. W., and Hallegraeff, G. M.: Photosynthetic pigment and genetic differences between two southern ocean morphotypes of *Emiliania huxleyi* (Haptophyta), J. Phycol., 47, 615–626, doi: 10.1111/j.1529- 8817.2001.00992.x, 2011.
- Dickson, A. G., Afghan, J. D., and Anderson, G. C.: Reference materials for oceanic
- 479 $CO₂$ analysis: a method for the certification of total alkalinity, Mar. Chem., 80,
- 185–197, doi: 10.1016/S0304-4203(02)00133-0, 2003.
- Doblin, M. A., and van Sebille, E.: Drift in ocean currents impacts intergenerational
- microbial exposure to temperature, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 113, 5700–5705,
- doi: 10.1073/pnas.1521093113, 2016.
- Duarte, C. M., and Cerbrian, J.: The fate of marine autotrophic production, Limnol.

Oceanogr., 41, 1758–1766, 1996.

- Feng Y. Y., Roleda, M. Y., Armstrong, E., Boyd, P. W., and Hurd, C. L.: Environmental controls on the growth, photosynthetic and calcification rates of a Southern Hemisphere strain of the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, Limnol. Oceanogr., 62, 519–540, doi: 10.1002/lno.10364, 2017.
- Gafar, N. A., Eyre, B. D., and Schulz, K. G.: A conceptual model for projecting coccolithophorid growth, calcification and photosynthetic carbon fixation rates in response to global ocean change, Front. Mar. Sci., 4, 433, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00433, 2018.
- Gafar, N. A., and Schulz, K. G.: A niche comparison of *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* and potential effects of climate change, Biogeosci. Discuss., doi: 10.5194/bg-2018-88.
- González-Dávila, M., and Santana-Casiano, M.: Seasonal and interannual variability
- of sea-surface carbon dioxide species at the European Station for Time Series in the Ocean at the Canary Islands (ESTOC) between 1996 and 2000, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 17, 1076, doi: 10.1029/2002GB001993, 2003.
- Gsell, A. S., de Senerpont-Domis, L. N., Przytulska-Bartosiewicz, A., Mooij, W. M.,
- van Donk, E, and Ibelings, B. W.: Genotype-by-temperature interactions may help
- to maintain clonal diversity in *Asterionella formosa* (Bacillariophyceae), J.
- Phycol., 48, 1197–1208, doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2012.01205.x, 2012.
- Hattich, G. S. I., Listmann, L., Raab, J., Ozod-Seradj, D., Reusch, T. B. H., and Matthiessen, B.: Inter- and intraspecific phenotypic plasticity of three
- phytoplankton species in response to ocean acidification, Biol. Lett., 13, 20160774, doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2016.0774, 2017.
- Henderiks, J., Winter, A., Elbrächter, M., Feistel, R., van der Plas, A., Nausch, G.,
- and Barlow, R.: Environmental controls on *Emiliania huxleyi* morphotypes in the
- Benguela coastal upwelling system (SE Atlantic), Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 448, 51–
- 66, doi:10.3354/meps09535, 2012.
- Hoppe, C. J. M., Langer, G., and Rost, B.: *Emiliania huxleyi* shows identical
- 514 responses to elevated $pCO₂$ in TA and DIC manipulations, J. Exp. Mar. Biol.

Ecol., 406, 54–62, doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2011.06.008, 2011.

- Hutchins, D. A., Fu, F. X., Webb, E. A., Walworth, N., and Tagliabue, A.: Taxon-
- specific response of marine nitrogen fixers to elevated carbon dioxide concentrations, Nat. Geosci., 6, 790–795, doi: 10.1038/ngeo1858, 2013.
- Hutchinson, G. E.: The paradox of the plankton, Am. Nat., 95, 137–145, 1961.
- Klaas, C., and Archer, D. E.: Association of sinking organic matter with various types
- of mineral ballast in the deep sea: Implications for the rain ratio, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 16, 1116, doi: 10.1029/2001GB001765, 2002.
-
- 523 Kottmeier, D. M., Rokitta, S. D., and Rost, B.: H^+ -driven increase in CO₂ uptake and 524 decrease in HCO₃ uptake explain coccolithophores' acclimation responses to ocean acidification, Limnol. Oceanogr., 61, 2045–2057, doi: 10.1002/lno.10352, 2016.
- Kremp, A., Godhe, A., Egardt, J., Dupont, S., Suikkanen, S., Casabianca, S., and Penna, A.: Intraspecific variability in the response of bloom-forming marine

- microalgae to changed climate conditions, Ecol. Evol., 2, 1195–1207, doi: 10.1002/ece.3.245, 2012.
- Krueger-Hadfield, S. A., Balestreri, C., Schroeder, J., Highfield, A., Helaouët, P.,
- Allum, J., Moate, R., Lohbeck, K. T., Miller, P. I., Riebesell, U., Reusch, T. B. H.,
- Rickaby, R. E. M., Young, J., Hallegraeff, G., Brownlee, C., and Schroeder, D. C.:
- Genotyping an *Emiliania huxleyi* (prymnesiophyceae) bloom event in the North
- Sea reveals evidence of asexual reproduction, Biogeosciences, 11, 5215–5234, doi:
- 10.5194/bg-11-5215-2014, 2014.
- Krug, S. A., Schulz, K. G., and Riebesell, U.: Effects of changes in carbonate chemistry speciation on *Coccolithus braarudii*: a discussion of coccolithophorid sensitivities, Biogeosciences, 8, 771–777, doi: 10.5194/bg-8-771-2011, 2011.
- Krumhardt, K. M., Lovenduski, N. S., Debora Iglesias-Rodriguez, M., and Kleypas, J.
- A.: Coccolithophore growth and calcification in a changing ocean, Prog. Oceanogr., 159, 276–295, doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2017.10.007, 2017.
- Langer, G., Nehrke, G., Probert, I., Ly, J., and Ziveri, P.: Strain-specific responses of *Emiliania huxleyi* to changing seawater carbonate chemistry, Biogeosciences, 6, 2637–2646, doi: 10.5194/bg-6-2637-2009, 2009.
- Levis, N. A., and Pfennig, D. W.: Evaluating 'plasticity-first' evolution in nature: key
- criteria and empirical approaches, Trends Eco. Evol., 31, 563–574, doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2016.03.012, 2016.
- Lohbeck, K. T., Riebesell, U., and Reusch, T. B. H.: Adaptive evolution of a key phytoplankton species to ocean acidification, Nat. Geosci., 5, 346–351, doi:

10.1038/ngeo1441, 2012.

- Müller, M. N., Trull, T. W., and Hallegraeff, G. M.: Differing responses of three Southern Ocean *Emiliania huxleyi* ecotypes to changing seawater carbonate chemistry, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser, 531, 81–90, doi: 10.3354/meps11309, 2015.
- Omar, A. M., Olsen, A., Johannessen, T., Hoppema, M., Thomas, H., and Borges, A.
- 556 V.: Spatiotemporal variations of $fCO₂$ in the North Sea, Ocean Sci., 6, 77–89, doi:10.5194/os-6-77-2010, 2010.
- Paasche, E.: A review of the coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi* (Prymnesiophyceae),
- with particular reference to growth, coccolith formation, and calcification- photosynthesis interactions, Phycologia, 40, 503–529, doi: 10.2216/i0031-8884- 40-6-503.1, 2002.
- Palumbi, S. R.: Genetic divergence, reproductive isolation, and marine speciation. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst., 25, 547–572, doi: 10.1146/annurev.es.25.110194.002555, 1994.
- Pancic, M., Hansen, P. J., Tammilehto, A., and Lundholm, N.: Resilience to temperature and pH changes in a future climate change scenario in six strains of the polar diatom *Fragilariopsis cylindrus*, Biogeosciences, 12, 4235–4244, doi: 10.
- 5194/bg-12-4235-2015, 2015.
- 569 Pierrot, D., Lewis, E., and Wallace, D. W. R.: MS Excel program developed for $CO₂$
- system calculations, ORNL/CDIAC-105, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis
- Centre, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S., Department of Energy, 2006.
- Reed, T. E., Waples, R. S., Schindler, D. E., Hard, J. J., and Kinnison, M. T.:

125, 2004.

Roy, R. N., Roy, L. N., Lawson, M., Vogel, K. M., Moore, C. P., Davis W., and

- Millero, F. J.: Thermodynamics of the dissociation of boric acid in seawater at S 5
- 35 from 0 degrees C to 55 degrees C, Mar. Chem., 44, 243–248, doi:10.1016/0304-4203(93)90206-4, 1993.
- Rynearson, T. A., and Armbrust, E. V.: Genetic differentiation among populations of
- the planktonic marine diatom *Ditylum Brightwellii* (Bacillariophyceae), J. Phycol.,
- 40, 34–43, doi: 10.1046/j.1529-8817.2004.03089.x, 2004.
- Schaum, E., Rost, B., Millar, A. J., and Collins, S.: Variation in plastic responses of a
- globally distributed picoplankton species to ocean acidification, Nat. Clim.
- Change, 3, 298–302, doi: 10.1038/nclimate1774, 2013.
- Schaum, E., Rost, B., Collins, S.: Environmental stability affects phenotypic evolution
- in a globally distributed marine picoplankton, The ISME Journal, 10, 75–84, doi: 10.1038/ismej.2015.102, 2015.
- Sett, S., Bach, L. T., Schulz, K. G., Koch-Klavsen, S., Lebrato, M., and Riebesell, U.:
- Temperature modulates coccolithophorid sensitivity of growth, photosynthesis 601 and calcification to increasing seawater $pCO₂$, PLoS ONE, 9, e88308, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0088308, 2014.
- Smith, H. E. K., Tyrrell, T., Charalampopoulou, A., Dumousseaud, C., Legge, O. J.,
- Birchenough, S., Pettit, L. R., Garley, R., Hartman, S. E., Hartman, M. C., Sagoo,
- N., Daniels, C. J., Achterberg, E. P., and Hydes, D. J.: Prodominance of heavily
- 606 calcified coccolithophores at low $CaCO₃$ saturation during winter in the Bay of Biscay, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 109, 8845–8849, doi:
- 10.1073/pnas.1117508109, 2012.
- Wisshak, M., Form, A., Jakobsen, J., and Freiwald, A.: Temperate carbonate cycling and water mass properties from intertidal to bathyal depths (Azores), Biogeosciences, 7, 2379–2396, doi:10.5194/bg-7-2379-2010, 2010.
- Zhang, Y., Klapper, R., Lohbeck, K. T., Bach, L. T., Schulz, K. G., Reusch, T. B. H.,
- and Riebesell, U.: Between- and within-population variations in thermal reaction
- norms of the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59, 1570–
- 1580, doi: 10.4319/lo.2014.59.5.1570, 2014.
- Zhang, Y., Bach, L. T., Schulz, K. G., and Riebesell, U.: The modulating effect of

640 **Table 1.** Surface seawater CO₂ levels and pH at the Azores, Bergen and Canary

641 Islands.

659 **Table 2.** Carbonate chemistry parameters (mean values for the beginning and end of 660 the incubations) of the artificial seawater for each *Emiliania huxleyi* population. pH 661 and TA samples were collected and measured before and at the end of incubation. 662 Data are expressed as mean values of six strains in the Azores and Bergen population, 663 and five strains in the Canary Islands population.

	pCO ₂ (μatm)	pH (total scale)	TA (μmol) kg^{-1}	DIC (μmol) kg^{-1}	$HCO3-$ (μmol) \mathbf{kg}^{-1}	$\mathrm{CO_3}^{2-}$ (μmol) kg^{-1}	CO ₂ (μmol) kg^{-1}	Ω
Azores	$125 + 3$ $300 + 20$	8.46 ± 0.01 8.16 ± 0.03	$2358 + 12$ 2339±27	$1844 + 11$ $2031 + 17$	$1485 + 13$ $1803 + 18$	$355 + 5$ $218 + 13$	5 ± 0 11 ± 1	$8.5 + 0.1$ $5.2 + 0.3$
	$360 + 19$	8.09 ± 0.02	2322±30	$2052 + 14$	1849±9	$190 + 10$	13 ± 1	$4.5 + 0.3$
	$500 + 26$	7.97 ± 0.02	$2301 + 23$	2100 ± 16	1933 ± 14	$149 + 8$	18 ± 1	3.5 ± 0.2
	$695 + 20$	7.85 ± 0.01	$2317 + 11$	2167 ± 13	2023 ± 14	$118 + 2$	25 ± 1	$2.8 + 0.1$
	$875 + 40$	7.76 ± 0.02	2320±19	2206 ± 13	2076 ± 10	$99 + 5$	32 ± 1	$2.4 + 0.1$
	1110 ± 119	7.66 ± 0.05	2303 ± 19	$2222 + 23$	$2101 + 25$	$80 + 8$	$40 + 4$	1.9 ± 0.2
	1315 ± 104	7.59 ± 0.03	$2308 + 18$	$2251 + 26$	$2133 + 26$	$70 + 4$	$48 + 4$	$1.7 + 0.1$
	1665 ± 107	7.50 ± 0.03	2311 ± 11	$2286 + 15$	$2169 + 14$	$57 + 3$	$60 + 4$	1.4 ± 0.1
	1935 ± 175	7.44 ± 0.04	$2308 + 15$	$2302 + 24$	$2183 + 21$	$50 + 4$	$70 + 6$	1.2 ± 0.1
	2490 ± 132	7.33 ± 0.02	2320 ± 12	2350 ± 15	2220 ± 13	$40 + 2$	$90 + 5$	0.9 ± 0.1
Bergen	$120 + 3$ 290 ± 16	8.47 ± 0.01 8.17 ± 0.02	2354 ± 18 $2337 + 21$	$1834 + 18$ $2024 + 12$	1470±17 1793 ± 14	$359 + 2$ 220 ± 10	4 ± 0 11 ± 1	$8.6 + 0.1$ 5.3 ± 0.2
	355 ± 18	8.10 ± 0.02	$2315 + 23$	2045 ± 11	1840 ± 7	192 ± 10	13 ± 1	4.6 ± 0.2
	490 ± 18	7.98 ± 0.02	$2302 + 19$	2096±14	1926±12	$152 + 6$	18 ± 1	$3.6 + 0.1$
	$670 + 22$	7.86 ± 0.01	$2317 + 11$	$2162 + 10$	2016 ± 10	121 ± 3	24 ± 1	$2.9 + 0.1$
	$855 + 52$	7.77 ± 0.03	2326±19	$2206 + 15$	2074 ± 14	$101 + 6$	$30 + 2$	2.4 ± 0.1
	$1080 + 53$	7.67 ± 0.02	2316 ± 26	$2232 + 20$	2110 ± 18	$83 + 5$	$39 + 2$	$2.0 - 0.1$
	$1280 + 71$	7.60 ± 0.02	$2318 + 15$	$2257 + 17$	$2138 + 17$	$72 + 4$	$46 + 3$	$1.7 + 0.1$
	1550 ± 122	7.52 ± 0.03	2300 ± 19	2266 ± 28	$2150 + 27$	$60 + 4$	56 ± 4	1.4 ± 0.1
	$1800 + 235$	7.47 ± 0.05	$2301 + 19$	2286 ± 33	$2168 + 30$	53 ± 6	65 ± 9	$1.3 + 0.1$
	$2280 + 147$	7.37 ± 0.02	$2309 + 20$	$2326 + 27$	$2201 + 24$	$42 + 2$	$82 + 5$	$1.0 - 0.1$
Canary Islands	130 ± 3 310 ± 11	8.45 ± 0.01 8.15 ± 0.01	2344±38 $2317 + 24$	$1842 + 32$ $2020+25$	$1491 + 26$ $1798 + 25$	$347 + 7$ $210 + 4$	5 ± 0 11 ± 1	8.3 ± 0.2 $5.0 + 0.1$
	$375 + 14$	8.07 ± 0.01	2295 ± 14	2040 ± 12	1846 ± 13	$182 + 5$	14 ± 1	4.3 ± 0.1
	505 ± 32	7.96 ± 0.02	$2297 + 19$	$2097 + 20$	$1930 + 23$	$148 + 7$	18 ± 1	$3.5 + 0.2$
	695 ± 18	7.85 ± 0.01	$2312 + 20$	$2163 + 17$	$2020\!\pm\!15$	$118 + 3$	25 ± 1	2.8 ± 0.1
	925 ± 73	7.74 ± 0.04	$2319 + 26$	2211 ± 15	2083 ± 12	$95 + 8$	33 ± 3	2.3 ± 0.1
	$1180 + 53$	7.64 ± 0.02	2310±25	2239±20	$2120 + 19$	$76 + 4$	$43 + 2$	$1.8 - 0.1$
	1380 ± 104	7.58 ± 0.03	$2323 + 5$	2271 ± 10	$2154 + 11$	$68 + 5$	50 ± 4	$1.6 + 0.1$
	1740±98	7.48 ± 0.02	2319 ± 16	$2298 + 16$	$2180 + 15$	55 ± 3	$63 + 4$	1.3 ± 0.1
	$2140 + 258$	7.40 ± 0.05	$2312 + 9$	2320 ± 16	2197 ± 13	$46 + 5$	$78 + 10$	1.1 ± 0.1
	$2630 + 284$	7.31 ± 0.04	2317 ± 13	$2363 + 20$	2225 ± 14	$37 + 3$	$98 + 8$	$0.8 + 0.1$

665 Table 3. Calculated optimum pCO_2 , calculated maximum value (V_{max}) and fitted 666 relative sensitivity constant (*rs*, ‰) of growth, POC and PIC production rates of each

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

677 **Figure Captions**

678 **Figure 1.** Optimum curve responses of measured and relative growth, particulate 679 organic (POC) and inorganic carbon (PIC) production rates of three *Emiliania huxleyi* 680 populations to a pCO_2 range from 120 μ atm to 2630 μ atm. Responses of measured (**a**) 681 and relative (**b**) growth rates to pCO_2 . Responses of measured (**c**) and relative (**d**) 682 POC production rates to $pCO₂$. Responses of measured (**e**) and relative (**f**) PIC 683 production rates to $pCO₂$. Using the nonlinear regression model derived by Bach et al. 684 (2011), the curves were fitted based on average growth, POC and PIC production 685 rates of six strains from the Azores and Bergen, and of five strains from the Canary 686 Islands. Vertical error bars represent standard deviations of six growth, POC and PIC 687 production rates for the Azores and Bergen populations, and five growth, POC and 688 PIC production rates for the Canary Islands population. Horizontal error bars 689 represent standard deviations of six $pCO₂$ levels for the Azores and Bergen 690 populations and five $pCO₂$ levels for the Canary Islands populations. At the 691 population levels, 120 μ atm and 2630 μ atm was the lowest and highest pCO_2 level, 692 respectively.

693

Figure 2. Calculated optimum pCO_2 , calculated maximum value and fitted relative 695 sensitivity constant of growth, POC and PIC production rates of each population. **(a)** 696 optimum pCO_2 of growth rate; **(b)** optimum pCO_2 of POC production rates; **(c)** 697 optimum pCO_2 of PIC production rates; **(d)** maximum growth rate, **(e)** maximum 698 POC production rate, **(f)** maximum PIC production rate; **(g)** relative sensitivity

 constant of growth rate; **(h)** relative sensitivity constant of POC production rate; **(i)** relative sensitivity constant of PIC production rate. The line in the middle of each box 701 indicates the mean of 6 or 5 optimum $pCO₂$, 6 or 5 maximum values, and 6 or 5 relative sensitivity constants for growth, POC and PIC production rates in each population. Bars indicate the 99% confidence interval. The maximum or minimum data is shown as the small line on the top or bottom of the bar, respectively. Letters in each panel represent statistically significant differences (Tukey HSD, *p* < 0.05).

 Figure 3. Optimum curve responses of growth, POC and PIC production rates of individual *E. huxleyi* strains in the Azores (left), Bergen (medium) and Canary Islands 709 (right) populations to a CO_2 range from 115 µatm to 3070 µatm. Growth rates of each 710 strain as a function of pCO_2 within the Azores (a), Bergen (b) and Canary Islands (c) 711 populations. POC production rates of each strain as a function of pCO_2 within the Azores (**d**), Bergen (**e**) and Canary Islands (**f**) populations. PIC production rates of 713 each strain as a function of pCO_2 within the Azores (**g**), Bergen (**h**) and Canary Islands (**i**) populations. At the strain levels, 115 µatm and 3070 µatm was the lowest 715 and highest $pCO₂$ level, respectively.

-
-
-
-
-