Plant functional traits determine latitudinal variations in soil microbial function: evidence from forests in China

Zhiwei Xu[1,2,3], Guirui Yu[4,5,*], Qiufeng Wang[4,5,*], Xinyu Zhang[4,5], Ruili Wang[6], Ning Zhao[7], Nianpeng He[3,4], Ziping Liu[1,2,3]

1. Institute for Peat and Mire Research, College of Geographical Sciences, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, 130024, China

2. Jilin Provincial Key Laboratory for Wetland Ecological Processes and Environmental Change in the Changbai Mountains, Changchun, 130024, China

3. Education Ministry Key Laboratory for Geography Process and Ecological Security in the Changbai Mountains, Changchun, 130024, China

4. Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 10010, China.

5. College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

6. College of Forestry, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, 712100, China

7. Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing of Gansu Province, Heihe Remote Sensing Experimental Research Station, Cold and Arid Regions Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

* Corresponding author at: Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China.No. 11A, Datun Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing, 100101, China. Tel.: +86-10-64889268; fax: +86 10 64889432.

E-mail: yugr@igsnrr.ac.cn (G. Y.), qfwang@igsnrr.ac.cn (Q. W.)

Abstract. Plant functional traits have increasingly been studied as determinants of ecosystem properties, especially for soil biogeochemical processes. While the relationships between biological community structures and ecological functions are a central issue in ecological theory, these relationships remain poorly understood at the large scale. We selected nine forests along the North–South Transect of Eastern China (NSTEC) to determine how plant functional traits influence the latitudinal pattern of soil microbial functions, and how soil microbial communities and functions are linked at the regional scale. We found that there was considerable latitudinal variation in the profiles of different substrate use along the NSTEC. Specifically, we found that the substrate use by microorganisms was highest in the temperate forest soils (intensities of 10–12), followed by the subtropical forest soils (intensities of 7–10), and was least in the coniferous forest soils (intensities of 4–7). The latitudinal variation in soil microbial function was more closely related to plant functional traits ($P=0.002$) than climate ($P=0.022$). The soil silt, leaf dry matter, and leaf C and N contents were the main controls on the biogeographical patterns of microbial substrate use in these forest soils. The soil microbial community structures and functions were significantly correlated along the NSTEC. Soil carbohydrate and polymer substrate use were mainly related to soil G^+ bacterial and actinomycic PLFAs, while the use of amine and miscellaneous substrates were related to soil G^- bacterial and fungal PLFAs. The enzyme production varied with changes in the soil microbial communities. The soil enzyme activities were positively correlated with the bacterial PLFAs but were not correlated with the fungal PLFAs. The soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition rates were significantly higher in the temperate forests than in the subtropical and tropical forests, emphasizing the rapid degradability of high-energy substrates, such as soil microbial biomass carbon, carbohydrates, and amino acids. The SOM decomposition rates were significantly and negatively related to soil dissolved organic carbon concentrations, carboxylic acids, polymers, and miscellaneous substrate use. The relationships between soil PLFAs and microbial substrate use, enzyme activities, and SOM decomposition rate show that, as the soil microbial community structure changes, soil biogeochemical processes also change.

2

Abbreviations

NSTEC	North-South Transect of Eastern China	
AWCD	Average well color development	
RDA	Redundancy analysis	

Soil microbial community

PLFAs	Phospholipid fatty-acids	
G^+	Gram positive bacteria	
G^-	Gram negative bacteria	
F/B	Fungi/Bacteria	

Soil enzyme activities

BG	β-glucosidase	
NAG	N-acetylglucosaminidase	
AP	Acid phosphatase	
LAP	Leucine aminopeptidase	

Soil properties

SMC	Soil moisture content	
SOM	Soil organic matter	
SOC	Soil organic carbon	
TN	Total Nitrogen	
DOC	Dissolved organic carbon	
MBC	Microbial biomass carbon	
Silt	Soil silt fractions (<53 μm)	

Plant functional properties:

CWM	Community-weighted means	
SLA	The specific leaf area	
LDMC	Leaf dry matter content	
Leaf C	Leaf C concentrations	
Leaf N	Leaf N concentrations	

1 Introduction

The catabolic diversity of soil microbial communities is a useful indicator of how microbial functions adapt to environmental stress. It can be used to test fundamental questions about soil biological resistance and resilience (Jagadamma et al., 2014; Swallow and Quideau, 2015), and help us understand the role of microbial communities in different environments (Preston-Mafham et al., 2002). Biological community structure and function are intimately linked in ecological processes, and their relationships are a central issue in ecological theory (Talbot et al., 2014). Therefore, a major goal in ecological research is to identify and understand the mechanisms and relationships that control the structure and function of microbial communities over large spatial scales.

Numerous studies have documented how environmental and anthropogenic perturbations impact on the structure, diversity (Tu et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016), and enzyme activities (Peng and Wang, 2016; Xu et al., 2017) of soil microbial communities, and have reported that forests in the same climatic zone develop similar microbial communities. Other researchers have examined spatial patterns in soil microbial function at different scales. For example, Tian et al. (2015), from their study of Changbai Mountain, China, found that the soil microbial metabolic activity and functional diversity were spatially dependent. Others reported that soil microbial activities varied by forest type, with high local variation and significant separation along regional climate gradients (Brockett et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2016). Soil microbes from different climatic zones have different affinities for carbon substrates. For example, microorganisms from boreal pine forest soils used carboxylic acids more efficiently, but decomposed amino acids much less efficiently, than microorganisms from temperate forest soils (Klimek et al., 2016). The soil microbial metabolic abilities are also influenced by the dominant tree species, through the production of chemically-unique litter and root exudates, and the soil physico-chemical properties (Menyailo et al., 2002). Despite this, because of limitations in analytical methods, questions still remain about how soil microbial functions vary at the regional scale.

The functional diversity of soil microbial communities is regulated by physico-chemical soil properties (Gartzia-Bengoetxea et al., 2016), climate (Cao et al., 2016), and the composition of plant cover (Sherman and Steinberger, 2012). For example, the geographic patterns in soil microbial

4

activities mainly reflect the climate, soil pH, and total phosphorus concentrations over large

111 geographic scales (Cao et al., 2016). Research has shown that substrate-induced respiration rates

112 were higher in soil microbial communities that developed under beech and holm oak forests than

113 under oak and pine forests (Gartzia-Bengoetxea et al., 2016). Plant functional traits have increasingly

114 been studied as determinants of ecosystem properties, especially for soil biogeochemical processes

115 (De Vries et al., 2012; Pei et al., 2016). Soil bacteria phospholipid fatty-acids (PLFAs) were found

116 to be positively correlated with the community-weighted means (CWM) of plant functional traits

117 (leaf nitrogen (N) concentration) (De Vries et al., 2012). The plant leaf dry matter content and the

118 leaf carbon (C) to nitrogen (N) ratio both influence the multivariate soil microbial community

119 structure, and these factors positively promote the abundances of specific microbial functional groups

120 (Pei et al., 2016). Limited soil resources, particularly in tropical forests, mean that soil

121 microorganisms may be more reliant on plants than soil for C and nutrients via rhizosphere exudation

122 or litter production, which varies among plant species (Russell et al., 2007; Raich et al., 2014; Waring

123 et al., 2015). While soil functional diversity has been used as an indicator of microbial metabolic

124 potential, there have been few studies of the integrated effects of climate, vegetation, and soil

125 substrate availability on large-scale soil microbial functional diversity.

126   Although the functional characteristics of soil microorganisms are at least as important as their

127 patterns of community structure in biogeochemical studies, the links between microbial community

128 structure and microbial functions are poorly understood. There are two current hypotheses about how

129 microbes determine ecosystem process rates. In functional redundancy, different microbes perform

130 the same function and so changes in the microbial community structure do not necessarily lead to a

131 change in soil function (Balser and Firestone, 2005; Strickland et al., 2009). For example, Banerjee

132 et al. (2016) showed that the abundance of different bacterial and fungal groups changed by up to

133 300-fold under straw- and nutrient-amended treatments but that the decomposition rate remained

134 similar, indicating possible functional redundancy. The functional redundancy hypothesis has

135 recently been challenged by a counter-hypothesis, referred to as functional dissimilarity, which

136 suggests that diversity brings stability, and that every species plays a unique role in ecosystem

137 function (Fierer et al., 2007; Waldrop and Firestone, 2006). Soil microbial community composition

138 therefore, combined with environmental variables, may ultimately determine ecosystem process rates.

139   Waldrop and Firestone (2006) showed that gram positive bacteria (G+) were mainly responsible for

140   the decomposition of pine needles and soil organic matter, but gram negative bacteria (G−) were

141   mainly responsible for the decomposition of starch and xylose, which are easy to break down.

142   Philippot et al. (2013), when studying the diversity of denitrifiers, showed that the loss of microbial

143   diversity could result in decreases of between 4- and 5-fold in denitrification activity. In the

144   Mediterranean, losses in the mass of decomposing leaf litter from shrub species accelerated as

145   detritivore assemblages became more functionally dissimilar (Coulis et al., 2015). Research to date

146   suggests that the different microbial communities will result in variations in soil microbial function

147   and soil biochemical processes, so information about the relationships between soil microbial

148   communities and their functions in natural ecosystems is urgently needed.

149   The North-South Transect of Eastern China (NSTEC) extends from a cold temperate coniferous

150   forest in the north to a tropical rainforest in the south, and includes almost all the forest types found

151   in the Northern Hemisphere (Zhang and Yang, 1995) (Fig. 1 and Table 1). This transect, therefore,

152   provides the optimal environment for investigating large-scale geographical patterns in microbial

153   communities and their responses to environmental changes. In this study, we examined spatial

154   patterns in soil labile C concentrations, soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition rates, and

155   metabolic activity and functional diversity of microbes in nine forest biomes along the NSTEC. We

156   assessed how abiotic factors, such as climate, soil physical and chemical properties, and biotic factors,

157   in the form of community-weighted means (CWM) of plant functional traits, contributed to soil

158   functional diversity at the regional scale. We also examined the links between soil microbial

159   community structure (PLFAs) and function (SOM decomposition rate, enzyme activities, and

160   microbial substrate use). We tested four hypotheses in this study, as follows: (1) The profiles of soil

161   microbial substrate use vary along a latitudinal gradient, (2) the functional characteristics of soil

162   microbes are similar in closely related forest types, (3) biogeographical patterns of soil microbial

163   substrate use are constrained by climate and plant functional traits, and (4) different soil microbial

164   communities may have substrate use profiles and SOM decomposition rates.

165   **2 Material and methods**

166   2.1 Study area and soil sampling

6

167   We selected nine forest ecosystems along the NSTEC, namely Huzhong (HZ), Liangshui (LS),

168   Changbai (CB), Dongling (DL), Taiyue (TY), Shennong (SN), Jiulian (JL), Dinghu (DH), and

169   Jianfeng (JF) (18°44′–51°46′N, 128°53′–108°51′E) (Fig. 1, Table 1). Further information about the

170   soil types and sites has been documented previously by Xu et al. (2017). Forest soils have been

171   classified following the U.S. soil taxonomy and are described in Table 1 (Soil Survey Staff, 2010),

172   where information about the climate and the dominant vegetation at each site is also presented.

173   Soil samples were collected from four random plots at each site in July and August 2013, as

174   described previously by Xu et al. (2017). Briefly, we established four sampling plots measured 30 ×

175   40 m and collected soil samples from a depth of between 0 and 10 cm at between 30 and 50 points

176   in each plot along an S-shape. On return to the laboratory, the fresh soil samples were immediately

177   sieved through a 2-mm mesh and subdivided into three subsamples. One subsample was stored briefly

178   at 4 °C until analysis for soil enzyme activities and soil pH. Another was stored briefly at −20 °C

179   until analysis for PLFAs and Eco-Biolog. The third was air-dried, sieved through a 0.25 mm mesh,

180   and analyzed for soil nutrients.

181   2.2 Soil chemical analyses

182   Soil pH was measured at a soil-to-water ratio of 1:2.5. The soil moisture content (SMC) was

183   measured gravimetrically on 20 g fresh soil that was oven-dried at 105 °C to constant weight

184   immediately on arrival at the study sites' laboratories (Liu et al., 2012a). Soil organic carbon (SOC)

185   and total N (TN) concentrations were determined by dry combustion of ground samples (100-mesh)

186   in a C/N analyzer (Elementar, Vario Max CN, Germany). Total phosphorus (TP) was determined

187   with a flow injection auto-analyzer following digestion with H_2SO_4-$HClO_4$ (Huang et al., 2011).

188   After extraction with distilled water at a soil:distilled water ratio of 1:5, dissolved organic carbon

189   (DOC) concentrations were determined by Liqui TOC II (Elementar, Liqui TOC II, Germany) (Jones

190   and Willett, 2006). Soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) was measured using the chloroform

191   fumigation and direct extraction technique (Vance et al., 1987). A conversion factor of 2.64 was used

192   to convert extracted C to biomass C. The silt fractions (<53 μm) of the samples were separated by

193   wet-sieving and then were freeze-dried in the laboratory, as described by Six et al. (2000). The soil

194   properties are shown in Table 2. We followed the method described by Bååth et al. (2003) for PLFA

7

analysis and PLFAs are expressed in units of nmol g⁻¹. The four enzymatic activities of β-glucosidase (BG), N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG), acid phosphatase (AP), and leucine aminopeptidase (LAP) responsible for soil C, N, and phosphorous cycling, were measured following the procedure outlined in Saiya-Cork et al. (2002) and are expressed in units of nmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹. Information about PLFA and enzyme activities are presented in Table S1.

The Biolog-ECO plates were purchased from Biolog, US. The substrates for BG, NAG, AP, and LAP were 4-MUB-β-D-glucoside, 4-MUB-N-acetyl-b-D-glucosaminide, 4-MUB-phosphate, and L-Leucine-7-amino-4-methylcoumarin, and were stored at −20 °C. An MUB standard was used for the BG, NAG, and AP enzymes and an AMC standard was used for the LAP enzyme. The substrates and standards were purchased Sigma. Analytical grade reagents were used for the soil nutrient analysis.

2.3 Vegetation data

We established four sampling plots (30×40 m) in each forest ecosystem. In each plot, we recorded all the tree individuals, and measured the height and diameter-at-breast-height (DBH) of each woody individual with a DBH≥2 cm. The diversity of the tree species in the sampling plots was represented by H′, and the diversity (H′, Shannon-Wiener) of the tree species in the community was calculated as follows:

$$H' = \sum_{i=0}^{n}(PilnPi)$$

Where P_i was the importance value of the species i as a proportion of all species, and n was the number of the species.

We also calculated the community-weighted means (CWM) values of the tree traits using the cover of each tree. As described by Xu et al. (2018), we collected litter and sun-exposed and mature leaves (leaf blades for trees) from between five and ten individuals of each plant species at each site and determined their TN and TC concentrations. We calculated the specific leaf area (SLA, the one-sided area of a fresh leaf divided by its oven-dried mass, m² kg⁻¹), leaf dry matter content (LDMC, the oven-dried mass of a leaf divided by its water-saturated fresh mass, mg g⁻¹), leaf C concentrations (leaf C, g kg⁻¹), and leaf N concentrations (leaf N, g kg⁻¹) for ten fully expanded leaves of each sampled individual. To measure the leaf traits at the community level, we calculated the CWM of the

222   tree layer, as follows:

$$\mathrm{CWM} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} pi \times \mathrm{trait}_i$$

224   Where *pi* is the relative contribution of the species *i* to the cover of the whole community, *n* is the

225   number of the most abundant species, and trait *i* is the trait value of species *i*, as described by Garnier

226   *et al.* (2004). The diversity of the tree species and plant functional traits are summarized in Table S2.

227   2.4 Microbial substrate use

228   Microbial functional diversities were determined using a Biolog EcoPlate™ (Biolog Inc., Hayward,

229   California, USA) as described by Garland and Mills (1991). Briefly, approximately 10 g of fresh soil

230   was suspended in 100 ml saline solution (0.85% NaCl) and shaken on an orbital shaker for 30 min at

231   190 rpm. A 150 μl aliquot of supernatant from 1:1 000 dilutions of each soil sample was added to

232   each well. The plates were incubated at 25°C, and the absorbance at 590 nm was measured using a

233   microplate reader (GENios Pro™, Tecan Trading AG, Männedorf, Switzerland) every 24 h up to 240

234   h (0, 24, 48, 72, 96, 20, 144, 168, 192, 216, and 240 h).

235   The Richness (*R*), Shannon-Weiner diversity index (*H'*), Shannon evenness index (*E*), and

236   Simpson dominance index (*D*) were calculated from the absorption values after EcoPlate™

237   incubation for 96 h (Gomez et al., 2006). Additionally, the 31 C sources were divided into six groups,

238   namely carbohydrates, carboxylic acids, amines, amino acids, polymers, and miscellaneous, as

239   suggested by Zak et al. (1994). The average absorbance of all C sources within each group was

240   computed as the intensity of the single substrate use. The soil microbial metabolic intensities (*S*) were

241   estimated by the area underneath *AWCD* vs. *t*, and were obtained by integrating the equation against

242   time t (Guckert et al., 1996):

$$S = \sum [(v_i + v_{i-1})/2 \times (t_i + t_{i-1})]$$

244   Where v_i was the average optical density of the *i*th incubation time.

245   2.5 SOM decomposition rate

246   Four replicates from each sampling site with a 60% water-holding capacity were incubated at 20°C.

247   In brief, 40 g of each fresh soil sample were put into a 150-ml incubation bottle, and the samples

248   were then adjusted so that their moisture content corresponded to a water-holding capacity of 60%.

249   During the 4-week incubation period, the soil respiration rates were measured on days 1, 7, 14, 21,

250   and 28 using an automatic system. The SOM decomposition rates were calculated as described in the

251   study of Xu et al. (2015).

252   2.6 Statistical analysis

253   One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a post hoc Tukey HSD test were used to test

254   the significance of the differences among the soil properties, C use, functional diversity, and SOM

255   decomposition rates in the different forest ecosystems. We tested the relationships between labile C,

256   soil microbial community structure, microbial function, and the SOM decomposition rates with the

257   Pearson correlation test. Differences were considered significant when $P<0.05$, with the marginal

258   significance set at $P<0.01$. All ANOVA and regression analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0

259   for Windows. Data are reported as the mean ± SE.

260   We used redundancy analysis (RDA) to examine the relationship between the environmental

261   variables and soil microbial substrate use. The environmental variables were the same as those

262   described in Xu et al. (2018), including climate, soil properties, litter properties, and plant functional

263   traits. Before RDA, we conducted forward selection of the environmental variables that were

264   significantly correlated with variations in the microbial substrate use profile using stepwise

265   regression and the Monte Carlo Permutation Test. We used CANOCO software 4.5 (Ter Braak and

266   Smilauer 2002) for the RDA and stepwise regression. The environmental properties, which were

267   significantly correlated with the microbial substrate use in the RDA, were stressed in the plots.

268   **3 Results**

269   3.1 Patterns in the microbial substrate use, soil labile carbon, and SOM decomposition rates

270   Of the forests along the NSTEC, the C metabolic intensity of soil microbes was lowest in HZ and LS;

271   the C metabolic intensity of soil microbes differed significantly between JF and the other forests (Fig.

272   2), which indicates that the color development was significantly higher in the tropical forest soils

273   than in the subtropical and temperate forest soils and is consistent with the variations in the AWCD

274   (Fig. S1). The average values of R, H', and D were significantly different among the nine forest soils

275   and were highest in JF, SN, and CB (Table 3).

276   Across the nine forests, soil microorganisms used the six substrate groups in the same order; the

277   carboxylic acid substrate was used most, followed by amino acids, carbohydrates, polymers, amines,

278   and miscellaneous substrates (Fig. 3). Microorganisms in the boreal and temperate forests mainly

279   metabolized carbohydrates, amino acids, and carboxylic acids, while those from the subtropical and

280   tropical forests used the substrates in equal proportions. The substrate microbial use ability was

281   highest in the coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest and tropical forest soils, and lowest in the

282   coniferous forest soil (Fig. 3).

283   Overall, soil MBC concentrations in the boreal and temperate forests were three to eight times

284   higher than those of the subtropical and tropical forests. In contrast, the average DOC concentrations

285   in the tropical and subtropical forest soils, which ranged from 311 to 458 mg kg^{-1}, were significantly

286   higher than the average concentrations in the temperate and boreal forest soils, where the average

287   concentrations ranged from 204 to 284 mg kg^{-1} (Table 2). The average SOM decomposition rates in

288   the subtropical forests ranged from 0.64 to 2.42 μg C g^{-1} d^{-1}, and were significantly lower than the

289   rates in the temperate forests, which ranged from 3.43 to 4.61 μg C g^{-1} d^{-1} (Table S3).

290   3.2 Effect of environmental properties on soil microbial substrate use

291   Redundancy analysis showed that the variations in soil microbial substrate use were strongly and

292   positively correlated with the CWM values of LDMC, leaf N, and leaf C, and strongly and negatively

293   correlated with the soil silt content and SMC (Fig. 4). The RDA2 of soil microbial substrate use was

294   strongly positively correlated with TN and SOC, but negatively correlated with the mean annual

295   precipitation (MAP) (Fig. 5). RDA1 mainly represented the plant functional traits, soil texture, and

296   micro-meteorological conditions, while RDA2 represented climate and soil nutrients. Overall, the

297   soil silt content and the CWM values of plant functional traits were the main predictors of the

298   latitudinal variation in the soil microbial substrate use along the NSTEC.

299   3.3 Relationships between soil microbial substrate use, enzyme activities, and PLFAs

300   Microbial carbohydrate use was positively related with bacterial biomass and actinomycic biomass

301 (Fig. 5). Microbial polymer use was negatively related with bacterial biomass and actinomycic

302 biomass. Microbial amines use was negatively related with G^- bacterial and fungal biomass.

303 Miscellaneous substrate use was positively related with fungal biomass and G^+/G^- bacterial biomass

304 (Fig. 5).

305   The abundance of G^- bacteria was positively associated first with the specific activities of BG,

306 whereas actinomycetes and G^+ bacteria were positively associated with BG and LAP. Soil fungi were

307 negatively associated with BG (Fig. 5).

308 3.4 Relationships between SOM decomposition rate, PLFAs, enzyme activity, and microbial

309 metabolic activities

310 The SOM decomposition rates were significantly and positively related to soil MBC concentrations

311 but significantly and negatively related to soil DOC concentrations (Fig. 6a and b). Except for amino

312 acid and amine substrates, the SOM decomposition rates were significantly and positively related to

313 microbial metabolic activities (AWCD) and carbohydrate substrate use (Fig. 6c and d) and negatively

314 related to carboxylic acid, polymer, and miscellaneous substrate use (Fig. 6e, g, and i).

315   The SOM decomposition rates were significantly and positively correlated with total PLFAs

316 (r=0.456, P=0.005), bacteria (r=0.3836, P=0.021), actinomycetes (r=0.500, P=0.002), and G^-

317 bacteria PLFAs (r=0.520, P=0.001) (Fig. 7a, b, d, and f) but were negatively correlated with fungal

318 PLFAs (r=−0.370, P=0.026), F/B (r=−0.513, P=0.001), and the G^+/G^- (r=−0.496, P=0.002) (Fig. 7c,

319 g, and h). Except for LAP activity, soil enzyme activities were significantly and positively correlated

320 with the SOM decomposition rates (P<0.01) (Fig. 7i, j, and l).

321 **4 Discussion**

322 4.1 Response of soil labile C and SOM decomposition rates to variations in forest type

323 Soil organic matter is one of the most important C pools in terrestrial ecosystems. The concentrations

324 of soil DOC in the temperate forests were lower than those in subtropical forests but the soil MBC

325 concentrations were higher in temperate forests than in subtropical forests. This reflects the results

326 of previous regional and global studies (Tian et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2013), and shows that the

327   production/consumption ratio of soil DOC was lower, but that microbial C immobilization was higher,

328   in the high latitude forests than closer to the tropics (Fang et al., 2014). Soil DOC, as a labile SOM

329   fraction with a rapid turnover, is one of the primary energy sources for microorganisms. The higher

330   temperatures and precipitation in subtropical and tropical forests lead to higher turnover rates (Fang

331   et al., 2014), so soil DOC concentrations were highest in subtropical, and MBC concentrations were

332   lowest, in tropical forests. However, in temperate forests, more C is assimilated into microbial

333   biomass, so that less C is lost through chemical and physical processes (Liu et al., 2010). Also,

334   because the decomposition ability of different microbe groups varies, the differences in the soil

335   microbial communities in different forest ecosystems may also be responsible for the spatial

336   variations in the soil DOC and MBC concentrations along the NSTEC (Hagedorn et al., 2008).

337   Heterotrophic soil respiration is sustained by the decomposition of SOM. The SOM

338   decomposition rates along the NSTEC were greater in temperate forests than in subtropical forests,

339   which was consistent with the variations in the soil MBC and SOC concentrations. These results

340   indicate that, as found in other studies, large scale SOM decomposition rates are driven by the

341   amounts of substrate available (Yu et al., 2010). Changes in the availability of C in SOM may affect

342   the microbial resource strategies, which may in turn influence the SOM decomposition rate.

343   4.2 Mechanisms driving latitudinal variations in microbial substrate use

344   The AWCD reflects the sole C source use ability of the soil microbial community (Garland and Mills,

345   1991). Of the six groups of C substrates, microbial communities in the temperate forests mainly used

346   carbohydrates, carboxylic acids, and amino acids, which suggests that microorganisms in temperate

347   forests probably use high-energy substrates that degrade easily (Kunito et al., 2009). The carbon

348   substrate use was lowest in the coniferous forest. This shows that, compared with coniferous species,

349   broadleaved tree species produce root exudates and litter high in water-soluble sugars, organic acids,

350   and amino acids that are more favorable for microbial activity (Priha et al. 2001). There was no

351   significant latitudinal pattern in the C metabolic intensity of soil microbes in our study, which was

352   inconsistent with hypothesis (1). Our results show that MAP only had a moderate effect on the soil

353   microbial function (Fig. 4). However, there was significant spatial variation in the use of different

354   carbon sources, which was also related, to a lesser extent, to climate. Consistent with hypothesis (2),

355   soil microbial functions were similar in closely related tree species and diverged as the variability

356   between tree species and forest types increased (Fig. 4), which suggests that plant traits have more

357   influence on soil microbial functions than climate.

358   A growing number of studies reported that vegetation type, land use, soil nutrients, and soil

359   organic matter quality and quantity can determine large scale patterns of microbial communities (de

360   Vries et al., 2012; Tu et al., 2016). Plant functional traits that are related to growth may determine a

361   tree species' ability to contribute to the soil carbon pool via leaf litter inputs. For example, it was

362   previously reported that plant traits such as the leaf N content, SLA, and LDMC could explain

363   variations in soil nutrients and litter decomposition rates (Eichenberg et al., 2014; Laughlin, 2011).

364   Therefore, we examined how these plant traits influenced the soil microbial function by latitude. We

365   found that changes in the soil microbial C substrate use with latitude were mainly related to the soil

366   silt contents and the CWMs of LDMC, and leaf C and leaf N concentrations, which indicates that the

367   quality of nutrients from plants had a major influence on microbial carbon use efficiency (Hypothesis

368   (3)). Plant species with high a SLA, high leaf N concentrations, and low LDMC can produce

369   bacterial-dominated soil microbial communities in grasslands (Orwin et al., 2010). Looking beyond

370   individual traits, related tree species may cultivate microbial communities with similar preferences

371   for carbon sources through coevolution of plants and microbes (Liu et al., 2012b; Buscot, 2015).

372   As hypothesized, the soil microbial community composition was explained by the CWMs of

373   plant traits at the regional scale. Carbon substrate use was negatively correlated with the CWM of

374   leaf N concentrations (Table S2, Fig. S2). Bacterially dominated soil microbial communities develop

375   from leaf litter comprised of N-rich leaves from fast growing species (De Vries et al., 2012), while

376   leaves with low N concentrations will promote fungal domination (Orwin et al., 2010; De Vries et

377   al., 2012). In line with this, fungal biomass decreased, and bacterial biomass increased, as the CWM

378   leaf N content increased, and is associated with fast-growing, N-exploitative plants (Xu et al., 2018).

379   Leaf N concentrations are considered as indicators of plant growth and resource uptake (Wright et

380   al., 2004). The results from this study show that, along the NSTEC, high leaf N restrained microbial

381   C substrate use (Fig.S2) and was a good indicator of the competition between plants for soil N (Pei

382   et al., 2016). Soil microbes and nearby plants may have been competing for N in the soil.

383   We also found that the C substrate use was negatively correlated with the CWM of leaf C

384   concentrations (Table S2, Fig. S2). Plants at high latitudes may have higher leaf C concentrations

385   than plants at lower latitudes so that they can balance the osmotic pressure of cells and resist freezing

386   (Millard et al., 2007; Hoch and Körner, 2012). The increased C was most likely in the form of an

387   increase in non-structural C, including starch, low molecular weight sugars, and storage lipids that

388   are easy to break down. Therefore, soil microorganisms from the temperate forests mainly

389   metabolized high-energy substrates, such as carbohydrates, carboxylic acids, and amino acids.

390   The LDMC is the ratio of the leaf dry weight to the fresh weight and has been used as a proxy

391   for the ratio of structural compounds to assimilatory tissue (mesophyll and epidermis, Van Arendonk

392   and Poorter, 1994). High values of LDMC indicate large amounts of vascular tissue, cellulose,

393   insoluble sugars, and leaf lignin that are difficult to decompose (Poorter and Bergkotte, 1992); C

394   substrates such as carbohydrates, carboxylic acid, and amino acid are, however, easy to decompose

395   (Myers et al., 2001). In line with this, the use of carbohydrate, carboxylic acid, and amino acid

396   substrates was negatively related to the CWMs of the LDMC (Table S2). Pei et al. (2016) reported

397   that the LDMC was an important driver of multivariate soil microbial community structure and G^-

398   bacterial abundance.

399   Soil texture regulates soil biological processes and so affects the soil microbial community

400   structure (Sessitsch et al., 2001). In the present study, microbial C substrate use was significantly and

401   positively related to the soil silt content. Soil types and textures varied along the NSTEC. Soil texture

402   influences how microbes use organic matter, and has a strong influence on soil moisture, nutrient

403   availability, and retention (Veen and Kuikman, 1990). Fine-textured soils with a higher silt content

404   are known to be more favorable for bacterial growth than soils with a lower silt content because of

405   their greater water-holding capacity and nutrient availability, and because they are better protected

406   from bacterial grazers (Carson et al., 2010). We found that the microbial C substrate use was higher

407   in LS, CB, SN, and JL than in the other forests, reflecting their fine-grained soils and high silt contents,

408   which ranged from 60% to 80%.

409   4.3 Links between soil microbial community structure and function

410   The soil microbial community structure and functions were significantly correlated along the NSTEC.

411   Soil carbohydrate and polymer substrate use were mainly related to soil G^+ bacterial and actinomycic

412 biomass, but amines and miscellaneous substrates were mainly related to soil G⁻ bacterial, fungal

413 biomass, and the F/B ratio. Soil bacteria mainly decomposed simple carbohydrates, organic acids,

414 and amino acids, whereas soil fungi mainly decomposed recalcitrant compounds (Myers et al., 2001;

415 Treonis et al., 2004). Consistent with this, soil bacterial PLFAs were positively correlated with the

416 carbohydrate substrate use and the fungal PLFAs were positively related with miscellaneous substrate

417 use. The results are similar to those reported by Sterner and Elser (2002), who found that fungi tended

418 to have higher C/N or C/P ratios while heterotrophic bacteria typically have lower C/N or C/P ratios.

419 Shifts in microbial community composition may influence enzyme production (DeForest et al.,

420 2012; Waldrop et al., 2000; Brockett et al., 2012). Different microbial groups require different

421 amounts of nutrients to construct biomass, or have enzymes that differ in their affinity for nutrients.

422 We found that the relative abundances of the G⁺ bacteria and actinomycetes communities were

423 associated with the specific activities of BG, AP, and LAP), whereas the relative abundance of the

424 G⁻ bacteria was correlated with soil NAG activities involved in chitin degradation. In agreement with

425 our study, numerous other researchers have reported significant correlations between PLFA profiles

426 and enzyme activities (Waldrop et al., 2000; DeForest et al., 2012; Brockett et al., 2012; Riah-Anglet

427 et al., 2015). Soil BG was mainly responsible for cellulose degradation and was involved in breaking

428 down complex organic compounds (cellobiose) into small molecule substrates (glucose) in favor of

429 acquiring C through microbial community growth (Waldrop et al., 2000). Soil NAG activities were

430 weakly and positively related with fungal biomass in the present study, and may have been mainly

431 produced by fungal populations (Valášková et al., 2007). Fungi are commonly considered as

432 producers of oxidative enzymes. Therefore, the influence of fungal biomass on variations in enzyme

433 activities was minimal (Kivlin and Treseder, 2014). The linkages between enzyme activity and

434 community composition may provide some insight into the microbial mechanisms that drive the

435 decomposition of macromolecular C compounds. These results suggest that that overall ecosystem

436 functioning may suffer if soil microbial groups are lost.

437 The quality and amounts of SOM are influenced by the biomass, vegetation coverage, root

438 distribution, and microbial species (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992). The SOM decomposition rates

439 were higher in temperate forests than in tropical forests and may reflect the higher soil microbial

440 biomass (Wang et al., 2016). In line with this, SOM decomposition rates were positively related with

441 soil MBC concentrations and different groups of PLFAs. The inverse relationships between SOM

442 decomposition rates and DOC, carboxylic acids, polymers, and miscellaneous along the NSTEC,

443 indicate a shift in the soil C turnover from open to closed with increases in the soil labile C

444 concentrations (Fang et al., 2014). Soil DOC and MBC influence SOM decomposition rates indirectly

445 by regulating microbial properties (Boberg et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2014). In our study, SOM

446 decomposition rates were positively related with bacterial PLFAs but negatively with fungal PLFAs.

447 Because different communities of microbes have different SOM use efficiencies (Balser and Wixon,

448 2009; Lipson et al., 2009; Monson et al., 2006), changes in the microbial community structure may

449 influence the microbial activities and the decomposition rates of organic matter (Lipson et al., 2009;

450 Keiblinger et al., 2010). The functional dissimilarity of microbes and fungi may help explain these

451 results. However, we did not measure some key variables, such as the microbial competition and

452 interactions, and relationship between the microbial diversity and the decomposition rates. Therefore,

453 in the future, we will use different experimental techniques that will help us gain an improved

454 understanding of the mechanisms that drive the relationships between the structure and function of

455 microbial communities.

456 **5 Conclusions**

457 In this study, we examined the patterns in labile C concentrations, SOM decomposition rates,

458 microbial substrate use, and functional diversity and identified a combination of abiotic and biotic

459 factors that influenced soil microbial functional diversity at the regional scale. The MBC

460 concentration and SOM decomposition rates were significantly lower, and the soil DOC

461 concentrations and microbial metabolic activities were higher, in the subtropical and tropical forests

462 than in the temperate forests. For the first time, we showed that, along with the soil silt content, CWM

463 plant traits explained variations in soil microbial C substrate use at the regional scale. Soil microbial

464 community structure and function were strongly related, which suggests that the loss of soil microbial

465 groups may have consequences for overall ecosystem functioning.

466 *Data accessibility*. Requests for data and materials should be addressed to N.H. (henp@igsnrr.ac.cn) and G.Y.

467 (yugr@igsnrr.ac.cn).

468

Acknowledgements

References

480   van Arendonk, J.J.C.M., Poorter, H. The chemical composition and anatomical structure of leaves of grass species
481   differing in relative growth rate, Plant Cell Environ., 17, 963-970, 1994.
482   Bååth, E., Anderson, T.H. Comparison of soil fungal/bacterial ratios in a pH gradient using physiological and PLFA-
483   based techniques, Soil Biol. Biochem., 35, 955-963, 2003.
484   Balser, T.C., Firestone, M.K. Linking microbial community composition and soil processes in a California annual
485   grassland and mixed-conifer forest,Biogeochemistry, 73, 395-415, 2005.
486   Balser, T.C., Wixon, D.L. Investigating biological control over soil carbon temperature sensitivity, Global Change
487   Biol., 15, 2935-2949, 2009.
488   Banerjee, S., Kirkby, C.A., Schmutter, D., Bissett, A., Kirkegaard, J.A., Richardson, A.E. Network analysis reveals
489   functional redundancy and keystone taxa amongst bacterial and fungal communities during organic matter
490   decomposition in an arable soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 97, 188-198, 2016.
491   Boberg, J.B., Finlay, R.D., Stenlid, J., Ekblad, A., Lindahl, B.D. Nitrogen and carbon reallocation in fungal mycelia
492   during decomposition of boreal forest litter, PLOS ONE, 9, e92897, 2014.
493   Brockett, B.F.T., Prescott, C.E., Grayston, S.J. Soil moisture is the major factor influencing microbial community
494   structure and enzyme activities across seven biogeoclimatic zones in western Canada, Soil Biol. Biochem., 44,
495   9-20, 2012.
496   Buscot, F. Implication of evolution and diversity in arbuscular and ectomycorrhizal symbioses, J. Plant Physiol., 172,
497   55-61, 2015.
498   Cao, H., Chen, R., Wang, L., Jiang, L., Yang, F., Zheng, S., Wang, G., Lin, X. Soil pH, total phosphorus, climate and
499   distance are the major factors influencing microbial activity at a regional spatial scale, Sci. Rep-UK, 6, 25815,
500   2016.
501   Carson, J.K., Gonzalez-Quinones, V., Murphy, D.V., Hinz, C., Shaw, J.A., Gleeson, D.B. Low pore connectivity
502   increases bacterial diversity in soil, Appl. Environ. Microb., 76, 3936-3942, 2010.
503   Coulis, M., Fromin, N., David, J.-F., Gavinet, J., Clet, A., Devidal, S., Roy, J., Hättenschwiler, S. Functional
504   dissimilarity across trophic levels as a driver of soil processes in a Mediterranean decomposer system exposed
505   to two moisture levels, Oikos, 124, 1304-1316, 2015..
506   De Vries, F.T., Manning, P., Tallowin, J.R., Mortimer, S.R., Pilgrim, E.S., Harrison, K.A., Hobbs, P.J., Quirk, H.,
507   Shipley, B., Cornelissen, J.H., Kattge, J., Bardgett, R.D. Abiotic drivers and plant traits explain landscape-scale
508   patterns in soil microbial communities, Ecol. Lett., 15, 1230-1239, 2012.
509   Deforest, J., Smemo, K., Burke, D., Elliott, H., Becker, J. Soil microbial responses to elevated phosphorus and pH in
510   acidic temperate deciduous forests, Biogeochemistry, 109, 189-202, 2012.
511   Eichenberg, D., Trogisch, S., Huang, Y., He, J.S., Bruelheide, H. Shifts in community leaf functional traits are related
512   to litter decomposition along a secondary forest succession series in subtropical China. Journal of Plant Ecology,
513   2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtu021.
514   Fang, H., Cheng, S., Wang, Y., Yu, G., Xu, M., Dang, X., Li, L., Wang, L. Changes in soil heterotrophic respiration,
515   carbon availability, and microbial function in seven forests along a climate gradient, Ecol. Res., 29, 1077-1086,
516   2014.
517   Fiere, N., Bradford, M. A. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria, Ecology, 88, 1354-1364, 2007.
518   Garland, J.L., Mills, A.L. Classification and characterization of heterotrophic microbial communities on the basis of
519   patterns of community-level sole carbon source utilization, Appl. Environ. Microb., 57, 2351-2359, 1991.
520   Garnier, E., Cortez, J., Billes, G., Navas, M.L., Roumet, C., Debussche, M., Gérard, L., Alain, B., David, A., Astrid,
521   B., Cathy, N., Jean-Patrick, T. Plant functional markers capture ecosystem properties during secondary

522   succession, Ecology, 85, 2630-2637, 2004.

523 Gartzia-Bengoetxea, N., Kandeler, E., Martínez de Arano, I., Arias-González, A. Soil microbial functional activity is
524   governed by a combination of tree species composition and soil properties in temperate forests, Appl. Soil Ecol.,
525   100, 57-64, 2016.

526 Gomez, E., Ferreras, L., Toresani, S. Soil bacterial functional diversity as influenced by organic amendment
527   application, Bioresour. Technol., 97, 1484-1489, 2006.

528 Guckert, J.B., Carr, G.J., Johnson, T.D., et al. Community analysis by Biolog: curve integration for statistical analysis
529   of activated sludge microbial habitats, J. Microbiol. Meth., 27, 183-197, 1996.

530 Huang, Z., Clinton, P.W., Baisden, W.T., Davis, M.R. Long-term nitrogen additions increased surface soil carbon
531   concentration in a forest plantation despite elevated decomposition, Soil Biol. Biochem., 43, 302-307, 2011.

532 Jagadamma, S., Mayes, M.A., Steinweg, J.M., Schaeffer, S.M. Substrate quality alters the microbial mineralization
533   of added substrate and soil organic carbon, Biogeosciences, 11, 4665-4678, 2014.

534 Jones, D., Willett, V. Experimental evaluation of methods to quantify dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) and dissolved
535   organic carbon (DOC) in soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 38, 991-999, 2006.

536 Keiblinger, K.M., Hall, E.K., Wanek, W., Szukics, U., Hammerle, I., Ellersdorfer, G., Bock, S., Strauss, J., Sterflinger,
537   K., Richter, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S. The effect of resource quantity and resource stoichiometry on
538   microbial carbon-use-efficiency. FEMS Microb. Ecol. 73, 430-440, 2010.

539 Kivlin, S.N. & Treseder, K.K. Soil extracellular enzyme activities correspond with abiotic factors more than fungal
540   community composition, Biogeochemistry, 117, 23-37, 2014.

541 Klimek, B., Chodak, M., Jaźwa, M., Niklińska, M. Functional diversity of soil microbial communities in boreal and
542   temperate Scots pine forests, Eur. J. Forest Res., 135, 731-742, 2016.

543 Kunito, T., Akagi, Y., Park, H.-D., Toda, H. Influences of nitrogen and phosphorus addition on polyphenol oxidase
544   activity in a forested Andisol, Eur. J. Forest Res., 128, 361-366, 2009.

545 Laughlin, D.C. Nitrification is linked to dominant leaf traits rather than functional diversity. Journal of Ecology 99,
546   1091-1099, 2011.

547 Lipson, D.A., Monson, R.K., Schmidt, S.K., Weintraub, M.N. The trade-off between growth rate and yield in
548   microbial communities and the consequences for under-snow soil respiration in a high elevation coniferous forest,
549   Biogeochemistry, 95, 23-35, 2009.

550 Liu, L., Gundersen, P., Zhang, T., and Mo, J. M.: Effects of phosphorus addition on soil microbial biomass and
551   community composition in three forest types in tropical China, Soil Biol. Biochem., 44, 31-38, 2012a.

552 Liu, X., Liang, M., Etienne, R.S., Wang, Y., Staehelin, C., Yu, S. Experimental evidence for a phylogenetic Janzen-
553   Connell effect in a subtropical forest, Ecol. Lett., 15, 111-118, 2012b.

554 Liu, Z., Liu, G., Fu, B., Wu, Y., Hu, H., Fu, S. Changes in the soil microbial community with a pine plantation
555   restoration in a dry valley of the upper reaches of the Minjiang River, southwest China, Ann N Y Acad. Sci.,
556   1195 Suppl 1, E82-95, 2010.

557 Menyailo, O.V., Hungate, B.A., Zech, W. Tree species mediated soil chemical changes in a Siberian artificial
558   Afforestation experiment. Plant Soil, 242, 171-182, 2002.

559 Millard, P., Sommerkorn, M., Grelet, G.A. Environmental change and carbon limitation in trees: a biochemical,
560   ecophysiological and ecosystem appraisal, New Phytol., 175, 11-28, 2007.

561 Monson, R.K., Lipson, D.L., Burns, S.P., Turnipseed, A.A., Delany, A.C., Williams, M.W., Schmidt, S.K. Winter
562   forest soil respiration controlled by climate and microbial community composition,Nature, 439, 711-714, 2006.

563 Myers, R.T., Zak, D.R., White, D.C., Peacock, A. Landscape-level patterns of microbial community composition and
564   substrate use in upland forest ecosystems, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 65, 359-367, 2001.

565 Orwin, K.H., Buckland, S.M., Johnson, D., Turner, B.L., Smart, S., Oakley, S., Bardgett, R.D. Linkages of plant traits
566   to soil properties and the functioning of temperate grassland, J. Ecol., 98, 1074-1083, 2010.

567 Pei, Z., Eichenberg, D., Bruelheide, H., Kröber, W., Kühn, P., Li, Y., von Oheimb, G., Purschke, O., Scholten, T.,
568   Buscot, F., Gutknecht, J.L.M. Soil and tree species traits both shape soil microbial communities during early
569   growth of Chinese subtropical forests, Soil Biol. Biochem., 96, 180-190, 2016.

570 Peng, X., Wang, W. Stoichiometry of soil extracellular enzyme activity along a climatic transect in temperate
571   grasslands of northern China, Soil Biol. Biochem., 98, 74-84, 2016.

572 Philippot, L., Spor, A., Henault, C., Bru, D., Bizouard, F., Jones, C.M., Sarr, A., Maron, P.A. Loss in microbial
573   diversity affects nitrogen cycling in soil, ISME J., 7, 1609-1619, 2013.

574 Poorter, H., Bergkotte, M. Chemical composition of 24 wild species differing in relative growth rate, Plant Cell
575   Environ.,15, 221-229, 1992.

576 Preston-Mafham, J., Boddy, L., Randerson, P.F. Analysis of microbial community functional diversity using sole-
577   carbon-source utilisation profies-a critique, FEMS Microb. Ecol., 42, 1-14, 2002.

578 Priha, O., Grayston, S.J., Hiukka, R., Pennanen, T., Smolander, A. Microbial community structure and characteristics
579   of the organic matter in soils under *Pinus sylvestris, Picea abies and Betula pendula* at two forest sites. Biol
580   Fertil Soils, 33, 17-24, 2001.

581 Raich, J.W., Clark, D.A., Schwendenmann, L., Wood, T.E. Aboveground tree growth varies with belowground carbon
582   allocation in a tropical rainforest environment, PloS one, 9, e100275, 2014.

583 Riah-Anglet, W., Trinsoutrot-Gattin, I., Martin-Laurent, F., Laroche-Ajzenberg, E., Norini, M.-P., Latour, X., Laval,
584   K. Soil microbial community structure and function relationships: A heat stress experiment, Appl. Soil Ecol., 86,
585   121-130, 2015..

586 Russell, A.E., Raich, J.W., Valverde-Barrantes, O.J., Fisher, R.F. Tree species effects on soil properties in experimental
587   plantations in tropical moist forest, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 71, 1389, 2007.

588 Saiya-Cork, K.R., Sinsabaugh, R.L., Zakb, D.R. The effects of long term nitrogen deposition on extracellular enzyme

589   activity in an Acer saccharum forest soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 34, 1309-1315, 2002.
590   Sessitsch, A., Weilharter, A., Gerzabek, M.H., Kirchmann, H., Kandeler, E. Microbial Population Structures in Soil
591   Particle Size Fractions of a Long-Term Fertilizer Field Experiment, Appl. Environ. Microb., 67, 4215-4224, 2001.
592   Sherman, C., Steinberger, Y. Microbial functional diversity associated with plant litter decomposition along a climatic
593   gradient, Microb. Ecol., 64, 399-415, 2012.
594   Six, J., Elliott, E. T., Paustian, K. Soil structure and soil organic matter: II. A normalized stability index and the effect
595   of mineralogy, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 64, 1042-1049, 2000.
596   Sterner, R. W. & Elser, J. J. Ecological stoichiometry: the biology of elements from molecules to the biosphere.
597   Princeton, New Jersey, USA: Princeton University Press, 2002.
598   Strickland, M.S., Lauber, C., Fierer, N., Bradford, M.A. Testing the functional significance of microbial community
599   composition, Ecology, 90, 441-451, 2009.
600   Soil Survey StaffKeys to Soil Taxonomy, 11th ed. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, DC,
601   2010.
602   Swallow, M.J.B., Quideau, S.A. A method for determining community level physiological profiles of organic soil
603   horizons, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 79, 536-542, 2015.
604   Talbot, J.M., Bruns, T.D., Taylor, J.W., Smith, D.P., Branco, S., Glassman, S.I., Erlandson, S., Vilgalys, R., Liao, H.L.,
605   Smith, M.E., Peay, K.G. Endemism and functional convergence across the North American soil mycobiome, P.
606   Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 111, 6341-6346, 2014.
607   Ter Braak, C.J.F., Smilauer, P. CANOCO Reference manual and CanoDraw for Windows User's guide: Software for
608   Canonical Community Ordination (Version 4.5). Microcomputer, 2002.
609   Tian, H., Chen, G., Zhang, C., Melillo, J.M., Hall, C.A.S., Pattern and variation of C:N:P ratios in China's soils: a
610   synthesis of observational data, Biogeochemistry, 98, 139-151 2010.
611   Tian, J., McCormack, L., Wang, J., Guo, D., Wang, Q., Zhang, X., Yu, G., Blagodatskaya, E., Kuzyakov, Y. Linkages
612   between the soil organic matter fractions and the microbial metabolic functional diversity within a broad-leaved
613   Korean pine forest, Eur. J. Soil Biol., 66, 57-64, 2015.
614   Treonis, A.M., Ostle, N.J., Stott, A.W., Primrose, R., Grayston, S.J., Ineson, P. Identification of groups of
615   metabolically-active rhizosphere microorganisms by stable isotope probing of PLFAs, Soil Biol. Biochem., 36,
616   533-537, 2004.
617   Tu, Q., Deng, Y., Yan, Q., Shen, L., Lin, L., He, Z., Wu, L., Van Nostrand, J.D., Buzzard, V., Michaletz, S.T., Enquist,
618   B.J., Weiser, M.D., Kaspari, M., Waide, R.B., Brown, J.H., Zhou, J. Biogeographic patterns of soil diazotrophic
619   communities across six forests in the North America, Mol. Ecol., 25, 2937-2948, 2016.
620   Valášková, V., Šnajdr, J., Bittner, B., Cajthaml, T., Merhautová, V., Hofrichter, M., Baldrian, P. Production of
621   lignocellulose-degrading enzymes and degradation of leaf litter by saprotrophic basidiomycetes isolated from a
622   Quercus petraea forest, Soil Biol. Biochem., 39, 2651-2660, 2007.
623   Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C, Soil Biol.
624   Biochem., 19, 703-707, 1987.
625   Veen, J.A.V., Kuikman, P.J. Soil structural aspects of decomposition of organic-matter by micro-organism,
626   Biogeochemistry, 11, 213-233, 1990.
627   Waldrop, M.P., Firestone, M.K. Response of microbial community composition and function to soil climate change,
628   Microb. Ecol., 52, 716-724, 2006.
629   Waldrop, M. P., Balser, T. C. & Firestone, M. K. Linking microbial community composition to function in a tropical
630   soil,Soil Biol. Biochem., 32, 1837-1846, 2000.
631   Wang, Q., He, N., Yu, G., Gao, Y., Wen, X., Wang, R., Koerner, S.E., Yu, Q. Soil microbial respiration rate and
632   temperature sensitivity along a north-south forest transect in eastern China: Patterns and influencing factors, J.
633   Geophys. Res.(Biogeosciences), 121, 399-410, 2016.
634   Waring, B.G., Alvarez-Cansino, L., Barry, K.E., Becklund, K.K., Dale, S., Gei, M.G., Keller, A.B., Lopez, O.R.,
635   Markesteijn, L., Mangan, S., Riggs, C.E., Rodriguez-Ronderos, M.E., Segnitz, R.M., Schnitzer, S.A., Powers,
636   J.S. Pervasive and strong effects of plants on soil chemistry: a meta-analysis of individual plant 'Zinke' effects,
637   Proc. R. Soc. B. The Royal Society, 282, 20151001, 2015.
638   Wei, H., Guenet, B., Vicca, S., Nunan, N., AbdElgawad, H., Pouteau, V., Shen, W., Janssens, I.A. Thermal acclimation
639   of organic matter decomposition in an artificial forest soil is related to shifts in microbial community structure,
640   Soil Biol. Biochem., 71, 1-12, 2014.
641   Wright, I.J., Reich, P.B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D.D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F., et al. The worldwide leaf economics
642   spectrum, Nature, 428, 821-827, 2004.
643   Xu, X., Thornton, P.E., Post, W.M. A global analysis of soil microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in
644   terrestrial ecosystems, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 22, 737-749, 2013.
645   Xu, Z., Yu, G., Zhang, X., Ge, J., He, N., Wang, Q., Wang, D. The variations in soil microbial communities, enzyme
646   activities and their relationships with soil organic matter decomposition along the northern slope of Changbai
647   Mountain, Appl. Soil Ecol., 86, 19-29, 2015.
648   Xu, Z., Yu, G., Zhang, X., He, N., Wang, Q., Wang, S., Wang, R., Zhao, N., Jia, Y., Wang, C. Soil enzyme activity and
649   stoichiometry in forest ecosystems along the North-South Transect in eastern China (NSTEC), Soil Biol.
650   Biochem., 104, 152-163, , 2017.
651   Xu, Z., Yu, G., Zhang, X., He, N., Wang, Q., Wang, S., Xu, X., Wang, R., Zhao, N. Biogeographical patterns of soil
652   microbial community as influenced by soil characteristics and climate across Chinese forest biomes, Appl. Soil
653   Ecol., 124, 298-305, 2018.
654   Yu, G., Zheng, Z., Wang, Q., Fu, Y., Zhuang, J., Sun, X., Wang, Y. Spatiotemporal pattern of soil respiration of
655   terrestrial ecosystems in China: the development of a geostatistical model and its simulation.,Environ. Sci.

656   Technol., 44, 6074-6080, 2010.
657   Zak, J.C., Willig, M.R., Moorhead, D.L., Wildman, H.G. Functional diversity of microbial communities: a quantitative
658   approach, Soil Biol. Biochem., 26, 1101-1108, 1994.
659   Zhang, X. S., Yang, D. A. Allocation and study on global change transects in China, Quaternary Sci., 1, 43-52, 1995.
660   (In Chinese)
661   Zhou, J., Deng, Y., Shen, L., Wen, C., Yan, Q., Ning, D., Qin, Y., Xue, K., Wu, L., He, Z., Voordeckers, J.W., Nostrand,
662   J.D., Buzzard, V., Michaletz, S.T., Enquist, B.J., Weiser, M.D., Kaspari, M., Waide, R., Yang, Y., Brown, J.H.
663   Temperature mediates continental-scale diversity of microbes in forest soils, Nat. Commun., 7, 12083, 2016.

Figures legends

Fig. 1. Distribution of typical forest ecosystems along the North-South Transect of eastern China (NSTEC). The abbreviations for the sampling sites from north to south are as follows: HZ, Huzhong; LS, Liangshui; CB, Changbai; DL, Dongling; TY, Taiyue; SN, Shennong; JL, Jiulian; DH, Dinghu; JF, Jiangfeng. These abbreviations are used for the nine forests throughout.

Fig.2. Variations in soil microbial substrate use during a 240-h incubation for the nine forests. Different colors represent different forest types: Yellow, coniferous forest; Dark yellow, coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest; Purple, deciduous broad-leaved forest; Olive, subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest; Orange, Tropical monsoon forest. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among forests in the same climate zone. The abbreviations of the sampling sites are given in Table 1.

Fig. 3. Characteristics of microbial use of (a) carbohydrates, (b) carboxylic acids, (c) amino acids, (d) polymers, (e) amines, and (f) miscellaneous along the NSTEC. The representatives of different colors were showed in Figure 2.

Fig.4. Redundancy analysis (RDA) ordination biplot of soil microbial carbon sources use efficiency and environmental properties. The representatives of different colors were showed in Figure 2. The dotted lines and solid lines represent the environmental variables and lipid signatures and carbon sources. The abbreviations of the variables in this figure are as follows: MAP, mean annual precipitation. The vegetation data: LDMC, leaf dry matter weight; Leaf C, leaf carbon content; Leaf N, leaf nitrogen content; SLA, specific leaf area. Soil properties included SMC, soil moisture content; Silt, soil silt content; TN, soil total nitrogen; SOC, soil organic carbon. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were given in Table 1

Fig.5. The heatmap of the Pearson's correlation coefficients between the use of individual substrates and microbial PLFAs and soil enzyme activities. Note: The abbreviations of the variables: Actino-, actinomycetes; F/B, fungi/bacteria; G$^+$, gram positive bacteria; G$^-$, gram negative bacteria; G$^+$/G$^-$, Gram-positive bacteria/ Gram-negative bacteria. BG, β-1, 4-glucosidase; NAG, β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase; LAP, leucine aminopeptidase; AP, acid phosphatase. **$P < 0.01$, *$P < 0.05$.

Fig. 6. Relationships between soil carbon mineralization rates (μg C g^{-1}d^{-1}) and microbial biomass C (MBC), soil dissolved organic C (DOC), average well color development (AWCD), and individual substrate use.

Fig. 7. Relationships between soil carbon mineralization rates (μg C g^{-1} d^{-1}) and different groups of soil microbial PLFAs (a-h) and enzyme activities (i-l).

Supporting information

Table S1 Average values of forest soil enzyme activities and different PLFA groups along the NSTEC.

Table S2 Plant diversity and community weighted means of plant functional traits

Table S3 Soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition rates during the28 days of incubation time (Mean±SE) (μg C g^{-1} d^{-1})

Fig. S1 Variations in the average well color development (AWCD) values during a 240-h incubation for the nine forests. The abbreviations of the sampling sites are the same as those in Table 1.

701 **Tables**
702 **Table 1.** The main characteristics of the sampling sites along the North South Transect of East China

Sampling Sites	Longitude (E)	Latitude (N)	Elevation (m)	MAT[b] (ºC)	MAP[b] (mm)	Vegetation types	Soil type
HZ[a]	123°01′12″	51°46′48″	850	−3.7	473	Cold temperate coniferous forest	Spodosols
LS	128°53′51″	47°11′06″	401	0.01	648	Temperate conifer broad-leaved mixed forest	Albi-Boric Argosols
CB	128°05′27″	42°24′16″	758	2.8	691	Temperate conifer broad-leaved mixed forest	Albi-Boric Argosols
DL	115°25′24″	39°57′27″	972	6.6	539	Warm temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest	Alfisols
TY	112°04′39″	36°41′43″	1668	6.0	644	Warm temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest	Alfisols
SN	110°29′43″	31°19′15″	1510	8.5	1447	Subtropical deciduous evergreen mixed forest	Inceptisols
JL	114°26′28″	24°35′05″	562	18.2	1770	Subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest	Ultisols
DH	112°32′14″	23°10′25″	240	21.8	1927	Subtropical monsoon evergreen broad-leaved forest	Ultisols
JF	108°51′26″	18°44′18″	809	23.2	2266	Tropical monsoon forest	Ultisols

703 a:HZ, Huzhong; LS, Liangshui; CB, Changbai; DL, Dongling; TY,Taiyue; SN, Shennong; JL, Jiulian; DH, Dinghu; JF, Jiangfeng.
704 b: MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation.

23

705 **Table 2.** Soil properties of different sampling sites

Sampling site	pH	ST (°C)	SMC (%)	Silt (%)	SOC (g kg^{-1})	MBC (mg kg^{-1})	DOC (mg kg^{-1})	TN (g kg^{-1})	TP (g kg^{-1})
HZ	6.79±0.02a	10.3±0.15g	45.3±0.90c	56±1.2c	42.29±0.47b	350±6.0a	240±7.6e	2.90±0.16d	0.87±0.02b
LS	6.17±0.02b	15.9±0.02f	46.9±0.76c	64±0.3b	62.08±7.20a	316±0.7a	204±4.9f	4.59±0.29b	0.59±0.02c
CB	6.37±0.04b	16.0±0.06f	102.8±0.25a	76±0.6a	72.38±2.00a	178±8.8b	314±8.6c	6.05±0.17a	1.67±0.08a
DL	6.87±0.02a	17.8±0.14e	32.4±0.30e	6±2.4e	38.83±0.41c	43±0.8e	284±2.6d	3.17±0.04d	0.56±0.01c
TY	6.85±0.05a	16.0±0.12f	36.0±0.23d	49±1.4d	41.34±2.75c	115±4.0c	226±13.8f	2.43±0.15e	0.52±0.01c
SN	6.93±0.01a	18.4±0.12d	50.5±0.63b	74±0.3a	36.13±1.26c	72±13.1e	311±13.2c	3.76±0.05c	0.81±0.01b
JL	5.57±0.19b	25.3±0.01a	39.0±0.89d	68±0.3b	31.55±1.82c	89±19.7d	387±1.9b	2.28±0.09e	0.36±0.01d
DH	5.43±0.03c	24.4±0.04b	37.8±0.38d	50±1.8d	28.47±0.54d	38±0.1e	334±7.7c	1.77±0.02f	0.20±0.01e
JF	6.32±0.01c	22.5±0.07c	38.6±0.12d	49±0.2d	29.38±0.94d	140±1.3c	458±6.6a	1.99±0.02e	0.15±0.01e

706 Note: ST=temperature of 0–10 cm soil; SMC=soil moisture content; Silt=soil silt content; SOC=soil organic carbon; MBC=microbial biomass carbon; DOC=dissolved organic carbon; TN=soil
707 total nitrogen; TP=soil total phosphorus. Values were presented as means ± SE (n=4). The abbreviations of the sampling sites were given in the Table 1.

708   **Table 3.** Functional diversity of soil microbial communities in forest ecosystems along the NSTEC

Sampling sites	Richness (*R*)	Shannon *H'*	Shannon *E*	Simpson *D*
HZ	14.08±0.34d	2.65±0.03d	1.01±0.007b	0.91±0.002c
LS	25.29±0.14b	3.12±0.02b	0.98±0.003c	0.95±0.001a
CB	27.00±0.27a	3.22±0.01a	0.98±0.001c	0.95±0.001a
DL	11.54±0.47e	2.52±0.03e	1.04±0.010a	0.87±0.005d
TY	22.33±0.87c	3.02±0.02c	0.98±0.002c	0.94±0.001a
SN	28.10±0.34a	3.24±0.01a	0.97±0.001c	0.95±0.001a
JL	23.54±0.07c	3.04±0.01c	0.96±0.001c	0.93±0.003b
DH	25.65±0.71b	3.11±0.01b	0.97±0.001c	0.93±0.002b
JF	27.63±0.68a	3.19±0.02a	0.96±0.001c	0.95±0.002a

709   Indices were calculated based on the optical density values after incubation for 96 h. Data are expressed as
710 means±standard errors. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among forests. The abbreviations
711 of the sampling sites are the same as those used in Table 1.

Figures

713 **Figure 1.** Distribution of typical forest ecosystems along the North-South Transect of eastern China (NSTEC).
714 The abbreviations of sampling sites from north to south are as follows: HZ, Huzhong; LS, Liangshui; CB,
715 Changbai; DL, Dongling; TY, Taiyue; SN, Shennong; JL, Jiulian; DH, Dinghu; JF, Jiangfeng.
716

Figure 2. Variations in soil microbial substrate use during a 240-h incubation for the nine forests. Different colors represent different forest types: Yellow, coniferous forest; Dark yellow, coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest; Purple, deciduous broad-leaved forest; Olive, subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest; Orange, Tropical monsoon forest. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among forests in the same climate zone. The abbreviations of the sampling sites are given in Table 1.

Figure 3. Characteristics of microbial use of (a) carbohydrates, (b) carboxylic acids, (c) amino acids, (d) polymers, (e) amines, and (f) miscellaneous along the NSTEC. The representatives of different colors were showed in Figure 2.

Figure 4. Redundancy analysis (RDA) ordination biplot of soil microbial carbon sources use efficiency and environmental properties. The representatives of different colors were showed in Figure 2. The dotted lines and solid lines represent the environmental variables and lipid signatures and carbon sources. The abbreviations of the variables in this figure are as follows: MAP, mean annual precipitation. The vegetation data: LDMC, leaf dry matter weight; Leaf C, leaf carbon content; Leaf N, leaf nitrogen content; SLA, specific leaf area. Soil properties included SMC, soil moisture content; Silt, soil silt content; TN, soil total nitrogen; SOC, soil organic carbon. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were given in Table 1.

Figure 5. The heatmap of the Pearson's correlation coefficients between the use of individual substrates and microbial PLFAs and soil enzyme activities. Note: The abbreviations of the variables: Actino-, actinomycetes; F/B, fungi/bacteria; G^+, gram positive bacteria; G^-, gram negative bacteria; G^+/G^-, Gram-positive bacteria/ Gram-negative bacteria. BG, β-1, 4-glucosidase; NAG, β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase; LAP, leucine aminopeptidase; AP, acid phosphatase. **$P < 0.01$, *$P < 0.05$.

739
740 **Figure 6.** Relationships between soil carbon mineralization rates ($\mu g\ C\ g^{-1}\ d^{-1}$) and microbial biomass C (MBC), soil dissolved organic C (DOC), average well color development (AWCD), and
741 use of individual substrates.

742
743 **Figure 7.** Relationships between soil carbon mineralization rates (μg C g^{-1} d^{-1}) and different groups of soil microbial PLFAs (a-h) and enzyme activities (i-l).

Table S1 Average values of forest soil enzyme activity and different group of PLFAs along the NSTEC.

Sampling site	Enzyme activities				PLFAs							
	BG	NAG	LAP	AP	tPLFA	Bacteria	Fungi	Acti-	F/B	G$^+$	G$^-$	G$^+$/G$^-$
HZ	244±8.6	111±5.5	41±0.8	459±5.0	17.7±1.2	9.4 ±0.4	0.9±0.1	0.4±0.2	0.09 ±0.01	4.5±0.4	4.23±0.2	1.4±0.1
LS	203±12.0	68±6.6	60±0.8	3297±17.5	16.8±1.2	7.9±0.4	0.6±0.1	0.5±0.4	0.06±0.01	4.5±0.4	2.88±0.1	1.6±0.1
CB	520±52.3	115±2.4	545±15.5	1213±90.6	22.2±1.9	12.3± 1.0	0.4±0.1	0.8±0.1	0.05±0.02	7.3± 0.4	3.21±0.9	1.6±0.1
DL	266±2.5	122±12.9	101±10.9	407±6.9	13.5±0.9	5.4±0.7	2.4±0.3	0.3±0.3	0.36±0.02	3.52±0.3	1.92±0.1	2.1±0.1
TY	267±21.9	189±2.4	367±11.1	356±41.0	8.0±0.3	3.7±0.1	0.3±0.1	0.2±0.1	0.07±0.01	1.85±0.1	1.53±0.1	1.2±0.1
SN	163±10.7	70±3.6	111±1.3	239±8.6	12.2±0.5	6.2±0.3	0.3±0.1	0.3±0.1	0.05±0.01	3.02±0.1	2.76±0.2	1.1±0.1
JL	67±4.3	35±0.5	83±2.9	845±33.2	9.8±0.8	4.2±0.2	0.7±0.3	0.3±0. 1	0.15±0.04	3.17±0.2	1.18±0.4	3.1±1.0
DH	71±0.4	20±1.2	81±0.4	1075±13.6	10.0±0.5	5.6±0.2	0.9±0.1	0.3±0.1	0.16±0.01	3.75±0.2	1.46±0.1	2.5±0.6
JF	37±3.4	14±3.1	77±1.4	656±40.5	7.9±1.2	4.4±0.5	0.6± 0.3	0.3±0.1	0.11±0.05	3.16±0.4	1.68±0.1	2.2±0.1

All values are presented as means±SE (n=4). The enzyme activity (nmol·h^{-1} g^{-1} dry soil) and PLFAs (nmol·g^{-1} dry soil). C acquisition is represented by β-1,4-glucosidase (BG); N acquisition is measured by the potential activities of β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG) and leucine aminopeptidase (LAP); P acquisition is measured as acid (alkaline) phosphatase (AP) activity. tPLFA, total PLFAs, Acti-, actinomycetes, F/B, fungi/bacteria; G$^+$/G$^-$, Gram-positive bacteria/ Gram-negative bacteria. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were showed in table 1.

Table S2 The plant diversity and community weighted means of plant functional traits

Sites	H′	LDMC (mg g^{-1})	SLA (m^2 kg^{-1})	Leaf C (g kg^{-1})	Leaf N (g kg^{-1})
HZ	0.53	365.6	8.5	487.5	19.4
LS	2.05	345.5	12.1	441.6	18.5
CB	2.14	287.8	18.5	108.7	6.12
DL	2.74	408.1	11.3	447.6	25.1
TY	1.01	421.6	9.5	494.0	19.5
SN	2.61	289.8	8.7	332.7	13.5
JL	2.73	359.3	6.2	392.6	14.2
DH	2.09	412.2	6.4	463.1	16.2
JF	4.08	nd	nd	nd	nd

H′: the diversity of the tree species; LDMC: the oven-dried mass of a leaf divided by its water-saturated fresh mass, SLA: the one-sided area of a fresh leaf divided by its oven-dried mass; Leaf C: Leaf carbon concentration; Leaf C: Leaf nitrogen concentration. nd: none detected. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were showed in table 1.

Table S3 The soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition rates during the28 days of incubation time (Mean±SE) (μgC·g⁻¹d⁻¹)

Sites	1d	7d	14d	21d	28d	Average
HZ	3.23±0.24c	4.69±0.27a	3.40±0.17b	3.64±0.03a	2.19±0.13b	3.43±0.09b
LS	6.17±0.19a	4.60±0.44a	4.97±0.17a	3.83±0.17a	3.47±0.02a	4.61±0.14a
CB	3.74±0.13b	4.27±0.23b	3.36±0.13b	4.11±0.09a	3.41±0.43a	3.78±0.14b
DL	2.82±0.13d	2.66±0.08c	1.96±0.04c	2.38±0.02c	2.10±0.12b	2.38±0.05d
TY	3.92±0.27b	3.87±0.20b	3.75±0.36b	3.77±0.04a	3.50±0.06a	3.76±0.04b
SN	6.36±0.19a	5.36±0.24a	3.87±0.08b	3.19±0.16b	2.56±0.14b	4.27±0.10a
JL	2.88±0.08d	2.29±0.09c	2.40±0.08c	2.70±0.08c	1.82±0.03c	2.42±0.04d
DH	0.74±0.05e	0.58±0.06d	0.33±0.01d	1.03±0.12d	0.53±0.07e	0.64±0.04f
JF	2.21±0.06d	1.71±0.20c	1.72±0.29c	3.14±0.09b	1.30±0.03d	2.02±0.11e

Data labeled with different letters indicated soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition rates were significantly different at $P < 0.01$. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were given in the Table 1.

Fig.S1 Variation of the average well color development (AWCD) values during a 240-h incubation for the nine forests. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were showed in table 1.

Fig.S2 The Pearson's correlation coefficients between the use of individual substrates and plant functional traits.

Plant functional traits determine latitudinal variations in soil microbial function: evidence from forests in China

Zhiwei Xu[1,2,3], Guirui Yu[4,5,*], Qiufeng Wang[4,5,*], Xinyu Zhang[4,5], Ruili Wang[6], Ning Zhao[7], Nianpeng He[3,4], Ziping Liu[1,2,3]

1. Institute for Peat and Mire Research, College of Geographical Sciences, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, 130024, China

2. Jilin Provincial Key Laboratory for Wetland Ecological Processes and Environmental Change in the Changbai Mountains, Changchun, 130024, China

3. Education Ministry Key Laboratory for Geography Process and Ecological Security in the Changbai Mountains, Changchun, 130024, China

4. Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 10010, China.

5. College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

6. College of Forestry, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, 712100, China

7. Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing of Gansu Province, Heihe Remote Sensing Experimental Research Station, Cold and Arid Regions Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

* Corresponding author at: Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China.No. 11A, Datun Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing, 100101, China. Tel.: +86-10-64889268; fax: +86 10 64889432.

E-mail: yugr@igsnrr.ac.cn (G. Y.), qfwang@igsnrr.ac.cn (Q. W.)

Abstract. Plant functional traits have increasingly been studied as determinants of ecosystem properties, especially for soil biogeochemical processes. While the relationships between biological community structures and ecological functions are a central issue in ecological theory, these relationships remain poorly understood at the large scale. We selected nine forests along the North–South Transect of Eastern China (NSTEC) to determine how plant functional traits influence the latitudinal pattern of soil microbial functions, and how soil microbial communities and functions are linked at the regional scale. We found that there was considerable latitudinal variation in the profiles of different substrate use along the NSTEC. Specifically, we found that the substrate use by microorganisms was highest in the temperate forest soils (intensities of 10–12), followed by the subtropical forest soils (intensities of 7–10), and was least in the coniferous forest soils (intensities of 4–7). The latitudinal variation in soil microbial function was more closely related to plant functional traits ($P=0.002$) than climate ($P=0.022$). The soil silt, leaf dry matter, and leaf C and N contents were the main controls on the biogeographical patterns of microbial substrate use in these forest soils. The soil microbial community structures and functions were significantly correlated along the NSTEC. Soil carbohydrate and polymer substrate use were mainly related to soil G^+ bacterial and actinomycic PLFAs, while the use of amine and miscellaneous substrates were related to soil G^- bacterial and fungal PLFAs. The enzyme production varied with changes in the soil microbial communities. The soil enzyme activities were positively correlated with the bacterial PLFAs but were not correlated with the fungal PLFAs. The soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition rates were significantly higher in the temperate forests than in the subtropical and tropical forests, emphasizing the rapid degradability of high-energy substrates, such as soil microbial biomass carbon, carbohydrates, and amino acids. The SOM decomposition rates were significantly and negatively related to soil dissolved organic carbon concentrations, carboxylic acids, polymers, and miscellaneous substrate use. The relationships between soil PLFAs and microbial substrate use, enzyme activities, and SOM decomposition rate show that, as the soil microbial community structure changes, soil biogeochemical processes also change.

54 **Abbreviations**

55 NSTEC   North-South Transect of Eastern China
56 AWCD   Average well color development
57 RDA   Redundancy analysis

58 Soil microbial community

59 PLFAs   Phospholipid fatty-acids
60 G^+ Gram positive bacteria
61 G^- Gram negative bacteria
62 F/B   Fungi/Bacteria

63 Soil enzyme activities

64 BG   β-glucosidase
65 NAG   N-acetylglucosaminidase
66 AP   Acid phosphatase
67 LAP   Leucine aminopeptidase

68 Soil properties

69 SMC   Soil moisture content
70 SOM   Soil organic matter
71 SOC   Soil organic carbon
72 TN   Total Nitrogen
73 DOC   Dissolved organic carbon
74 MBC   Microbial biomass carbon
75 Silt   Soil silt fractions (<53 μm)

76 Plant functional properties:

77 CWM   Community-weighted means
78 SLA   The specific leaf area
79 LDMC   Leaf dry matter content
80 Leaf C   Leaf C concentrations
81 Leaf N   Leaf N concentrations

1 Introduction

The catabolic diversity of soil microbial communities is a useful indicator of how microbial functions adapt to environmental stress. It can be used to test fundamental questions about soil biological resistance and resilience (Jagadamma et al., 2014; Swallow and Quideau, 2015), and help us understand the role of microbial communities in different environments (Preston-Mafham et al., 2002). Biological community structure and function are intimately linked in ecological processes, and their relationships are a central issue in ecological theory (Talbot et al., 2014). Therefore, a major goal in ecological research is to identify and understand the mechanisms and relationships that control the structure and function of microbial communities over large spatial scales.

Numerous studies have documented how environmental and anthropogenic perturbations impact on the structure, diversity (Tu et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016), and enzyme activities (Peng and Wang, 2016; Xu et al., 2017) of soil microbial communities, and have reported that forests in the same climatic zone develop similar microbial communities. Other researchers have examined spatial patterns in soil microbial function at different scales. For example, Tian et al. (2015), from their study of Changbai Mountain, China, found that the soil microbial metabolic activity and functional diversity were spatially dependent. Others reported that soil microbial activities varied by forest type, with high local variation and significant separation along regional climate gradients (Brockett et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2016). Soil microbes from different climatic zones have different affinities for carbon substrates. For example, microorganisms from boreal pine forest soils used carboxylic acids more efficiently, but decomposed amino acids much less efficiently, than microorganisms from temperate forest soils (Klimek et al., 2016). The soil microbial metabolic abilities are also influenced by the dominant tree species, through the production of chemically-unique litter and root exudates, and the soil physico-chemical properties (Menyailo et al., 2002). Despite this, because of limitations in analytical methods, questions still remain about how soil microbial functions vary at the regional scale.

The functional diversity of soil microbial communities is regulated by physico-chemical soil properties (Gartzia-Bengoetxea et al., 2016), climate (Cao et al., 2016), and the composition of plant cover (Sherman and Steinberger, 2012). For example, the geographic patterns in soil microbial

4

110 activities mainly reflect the climate, soil pH, and total phosphorus concentrations over large

111 geographic scales (Cao et al., 2016). Research has shown that substrate-induced respiration rates

112 were higher in soil microbial communities that developed under beech and holm oak forests than

113 under oak and pine forests (Gartzia-Bengoetxea et al., 2016). Plant functional traits have increasingly

114 been studied as determinants of ecosystem properties, especially for soil biogeochemical processes

115 (De Vries et al., 2012; Pei et al., 2016). Soil bacteria phospholipid fatty-acids (PLFAs) were found

116 to be positively correlated with the community-weighted means (CWM) of plant functional traits

117 (leaf nitrogen (N) concentration) (De Vries et al., 2012). The plant leaf dry matter content and the

118 leaf carbon (C) to nitrogen (N) ratio both influence the multivariate soil microbial community

119 structure, and these factors positively promote the abundances of specific microbial functional groups

120 (Pei et al., 2016). Limited soil resources, particularly in tropical forests, mean that soil

121 microorganisms may be more reliant on plants than soil for C and nutrients via rhizosphere exudation

122 or litter production, which varies among plant species (Russell et al., 2007; Raich et al., 2014; Waring

123 et al., 2015). While soil functional diversity has been used as an indicator of microbial metabolic

124 potential, there have been few studies of the integrated effects of climate, vegetation, and soil

125 substrate availability on large-scale soil microbial functional diversity.

126 Although the functional characteristics of soil microorganisms are at least as important as their

127 patterns of community structure in biogeochemical studies, the links between microbial community

128 structure and microbial functions are poorly understood. There are two current hypotheses about how

129 microbes determine ecosystem process rates. In functional redundancy, different microbes perform

130 the same function and so changes in the microbial community structure do not necessarily lead to a

131 change in soil function (Balser and Firestone, 2005; Strickland et al., 2009). For example, Banerjee

132 et al. (2016) showed that the abundance of different bacterial and fungal groups changed by up to

133 300-fold under straw- and nutrient-amended treatments but that the decomposition rate remained

134 similar, indicating possible functional redundancy. The functional redundancy hypothesis has

135 recently been challenged by a counter-hypothesis, referred to as functional dissimilarity, which

136 suggests that diversity brings stability, and that every species plays a unique role in ecosystem

137 function (Fierer et al., 2007; Waldrop and Firestone, 2006). Soil microbial community composition

138 therefore, combined with environmental variables, may ultimately determine ecosystem process rates.

139   Waldrop and Firestone (2006) showed that gram positive bacteria (G$^+$) were mainly responsible for

140   the decomposition of pine needles and soil organic matter, but gram negative bacteria (G$^-$) were

141   mainly responsible for the decomposition of starch and xylose, which are easy to break down.

142   Philippot et al. (2013), when studying the diversity of denitrifiers, showed that the loss of microbial

143   diversity could result in decreases of between 4- and 5-fold in denitrification activity. In the

144   Mediterranean, losses in the mass of decomposing leaf litter from shrub species accelerated as

145   detritivore assemblages became more functionally dissimilar (Coulis et al., 2015). Research to date

146   suggests that the different microbial communities will result in variations in soil microbial function

147   and soil biochemical processes, so information about the relationships between soil microbial

148   communities and their functions in natural ecosystems is urgently needed.

149   The North-South Transect of Eastern China (NSTEC) extends from a cold temperate coniferous

150   forest in the north to a tropical rainforest in the south, and includes almost all the forest types found

151   in the Northern Hemisphere (Zhang and Yang, 1995) (Fig. 1 and Table 1). This transect, therefore,

152   provides the optimal environment for investigating large-scale geographical patterns in microbial

153   communities and their responses to environmental changes. In this study, we examined spatial

154   patterns in soil labile C concentrations, soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition rates, and

155   metabolic activity and functional diversity of microbes in nine forest biomes along the NSTEC. We

156   assessed how abiotic factors, such as climate, soil physical and chemical properties, and biotic factors,

157   in the form of community-weighted means (CWM) of plant functional traits, contributed to soil

158   functional diversity at the regional scale. We also examined the links between soil microbial

159   community structure (PLFAs) and function (SOM decomposition rate, enzyme activities, and

160   microbial substrate use). We tested four hypotheses in this study, as follows: (1) The profiles of soil

161   microbial substrate use vary along a latitudinal gradient, (2) the functional characteristics of soil

162   microbes are similar in closely related forest types, (3) biogeographical patterns of soil microbial

163   substrate use are constrained by climate and plant functional traits, and (4) different soil microbial

164   communities may have substrate use profiles and SOM decomposition rates.

165   **2 Material and methods**

166   2.1 Study area and soil sampling

167   We selected nine forest ecosystems along the NSTEC, namely Huzhong (HZ), Liangshui (LS),

168   Changbai (CB), Dongling (DL), Taiyue (TY), Shennong (SN), Jiulian (JL), Dinghu (DH), and

169   Jianfeng (JF) (18°44′–51°46′N, 128°53′–108°51′E) (Fig. 1, Table 1). Further information about the

170   soil types and sites has been documented previously by Xu et al. (2017). Forest soils have been

171   classified following the U.S. soil taxonomy and are described in Table 1 (Soil Survey Staff, 2010),

172   where information about the climate and the dominant vegetation at each site is also presented.

173   Soil samples were collected from four random plots at each site in July and August 2013, as

174   described previously by Xu et al. (2017). Briefly, we established four sampling plots measured 30 ×

175   40 m and collected soil samples from a depth of between 0 and 10 cm at between 30 and 50 points

176   in each plot along an S-shape. On return to the laboratory, the fresh soil samples were immediately

177   sieved through a 2-mm mesh and subdivided into three subsamples. One subsample was stored briefly

178   at 4 °C until analysis for soil enzyme activities and soil pH. Another was stored briefly at −20 °C

179   until analysis for PLFAs and Eco-Biolog. The third was air-dried, sieved through a 0.25 mm mesh,

180   and analyzed for soil nutrients.

181   2.2 Soil chemical analyses

182   Soil pH was measured at a soil-to-water ratio of 1:2.5. The soil moisture content (SMC) was

183   measured gravimetrically on 20 g fresh soil that was oven-dried at 105 °C to constant weight

184   immediately on arrival at the study sites' laboratories (Liu et al., 2012a). Soil organic carbon (SOC)

185   and total N (TN) concentrations were determined by dry combustion of ground samples (100-mesh)

186   in a C/N analyzer (Elementar, Vario Max CN, Germany). Total phosphorus (TP) was determined

187   with a flow injection auto-analyzer following digestion with H_2SO_4-$HClO_4$ (Huang et al., 2011).

188   After extraction with distilled water at a soil:distilled water ratio of 1:5, dissolved organic carbon

189   (DOC) concentrations were determined by Liqui TOC II (Elementar, Liqui TOC II, Germany) (Jones

190   and Willett, 2006). Soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) was measured using the chloroform

191   fumigation and direct extraction technique (Vance et al., 1987). A conversion factor of 2.64 was used

192   to convert extracted C to biomass C. The silt fractions (<53 μm) of the samples were separated by

193   wet-sieving and then were freeze-dried in the laboratory, as described by Six et al. (2000). The soil

194   properties are shown in Table 2. We followed the method described by Bååth et al. (2003) for PLFA

195 analysis and PLFAs are expressed in units of nmol g^{-1}. The four enzymatic activities of β-glucosidase

196 (BG), N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG), acid phosphatase (AP), and leucine aminopeptidase (LAP)

197 responsible for soil C, N, and phosphorous cycling, were measured following the procedure outlined

198 in Saiya-Cork et al. (2002) and are expressed in units of nmol h^{-1} g^{-1}. Information about PLFA and

199 enzyme activities are presented in Table S1.

200   The Biolog-ECO plates were purchased from Biolog, US. The substrates for BG, NAG, AP, and

201 LAP were 4-MUB-β-D-glucoside, 4-MUB-N-acetyl-b-D-glucosaminide, 4-MUB-phosphate, and L-

202 Leucine-7-amino-4-methylcoumarin, and were stored at −20 °C. An MUB standard was used for the

203 BG, NAG, and AP enzymes and an AMC standard was used for the LAP enzyme. The substrates and

204 standards were purchased Sigma. Analytical grade reagents were used for the soil nutrient analysis.

205 2.3 Vegetation data

206 We established four sampling plots (30×40 m) in each forest ecosystem. In each plot, we recorded all

207 the tree individuals, and measured the height and diameter-at-breast-height (DBH) of each woody

208 individual with a DBH≥2 cm. The diversity of the tree species in the sampling plots was represented

209 by H′, and the diversity (H′, Shannon-Wiener) of the tree species in the community was calculated

210 as follows:

211
$$H' = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (Pi\,lnPi)$$

212   Where P_i was the importance value of the species i as a proportion of all species, and n was the

213 number of the species.

214   We also calculated the community-weighted means (CWM) values of the tree traits using the

215 cover of each tree. As described by Xu et al. (2018), we collected litter and sun-exposed and mature

216 leaves (leaf blades for trees) from between five and ten individuals of each plant species at each site

217 and determined their TN and TC concentrations. We calculated the specific leaf area (SLA, the one-

218 sided area of a fresh leaf divided by its oven-dried mass, m^2 kg^{-1}), leaf dry matter content (LDMC,

219 the oven-dried mass of a leaf divided by its water-saturated fresh mass, mg g^{-1}), leaf C concentrations

220 (leaf C, g kg^{-1}), and leaf N concentrations (leaf N, g kg^{-1}) for ten fully expanded leaves of each

221 sampled individual. To measure the leaf traits at the community level, we calculated the CWM of the

8

222   tree layer, as follows:

$$\text{CWM} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} pi \times \text{trait}_i$$

224   Where *pi* is the relative contribution of the species *i* to the cover of the whole community, *n* is the

225   number of the most abundant species, and trait *i* is the trait value of species *i*, as described by Garnier

226   *et al.* (2004). The diversity of the tree species and plant functional traits are summarized in Table S2.

227   2.4 Microbial substrate use

228   Microbial functional diversities were determined using a Biolog EcoPlate™ (Biolog Inc., Hayward,

229   California, USA) as described by Garland and Mills (1991). Briefly, approximately 10 g of fresh soil

230   was suspended in 100 ml saline solution (0.85% NaCl) and shaken on an orbital shaker for 30 min at

231   190 rpm. A 150 μl aliquot of supernatant from 1:1 000 dilutions of each soil sample was added to

232   each well. The plates were incubated at 25°C, and the absorbance at 590 nm was measured using a

233   microplate reader (GENios Pro™, Tecan Trading AG, Männedorf, Switzerland) every 24 h up to 240

234   h (0, 24, 48, 72, 96, 20, 144, 168, 192, 216, and 240 h).

235   The Richness (*R*), Shannon-Weiner diversity index (*H'*), Shannon evenness index (*E*), and

236   Simpson dominance index (*D*) were calculated from the absorption values after EcoPlate™

237   incubation for 96 h (Gomez et al., 2006). Additionally, the 31 C sources were divided into six groups,

238   namely carbohydrates, carboxylic acids, amines, amino acids, polymers, and miscellaneous, as

239   suggested by Zak et al. (1994). The average absorbance of all C sources within each group was

240   computed as the intensity of the single substrate use. The soil microbial metabolic intensities (*S*) were

241   estimated by the area underneath *AWCD* vs. *t*, and were obtained by integrating the equation against

242   time t (Guckert et al., 1996):

$$S = \sum [(v_i + v_{i-1})/2 \times (t_i + t_{i-1})]$$

244   Where v_i was the average optical density of the *i*th incubation time.

245   2.5 SOM decomposition rate

246   Four replicates from each sampling site with a 60% water-holding capacity were incubated at 20°C.

247   In brief, 40 g of each fresh soil sample were put into a 150-ml incubation bottle, and the samples

248   were then adjusted so that their moisture content corresponded to a water-holding capacity of 60%.

249   During the 4-week incubation period, the soil respiration rates were measured on days 1, 7, 14, 21,

250   and 28 using an automatic system. The SOM decomposition rates were calculated as described in the

251   study of Xu et al. (2015).

252   2.6 Statistical analysis

253   One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a post hoc Tukey HSD test were used to test

254   the significance of the differences among the soil properties, C use, functional diversity, and SOM

255   decomposition rates in the different forest ecosystems. We tested the relationships between labile C,

256   soil microbial community structure, microbial function, and the SOM decomposition rates with the

257   Pearson correlation test. Differences were considered significant when $P<0.05$, with the marginal

258   significance set at $P<0.01$. All ANOVA and regression analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0

259   for Windows. Data are reported as the mean $\pm$ SE.

260   We used redundancy analysis (RDA) to examine the relationship between the environmental

261   variables and soil microbial substrate use. The environmental variables were the same as those

262   described in Xu et al. (2018), including climate, soil properties, litter properties, and plant functional

263   traits. Before RDA, we conducted forward selection of the environmental variables that were

264   significantly correlated with variations in the microbial substrate use profile using stepwise

265   regression and the Monte Carlo Permutation Test. We used CANOCO software 4.5 (Ter Braak and

266   Smilauer 2002) for the RDA and stepwise regression. The environmental properties, which were

267   significantly correlated with the microbial substrate use in the RDA, were stressed in the plots.

268   **3 Results**

269   3.1 Patterns in the microbial substrate use, soil labile carbon, and SOM decomposition rates

270   Of the forests along the NSTEC, the C metabolic intensity of soil microbes was lowest in HZ and LS;

271   the C metabolic intensity of soil microbes differed significantly between JF and the other forests (Fig.

272   2), which indicates that the color development was significantly higher in the tropical forest soils

273   than in the subtropical and temperate forest soils and is consistent with the variations in the AWCD

274   (Fig. S1). The average values of R, H', and D were significantly different among the nine forest soils

275   and were highest in JF, SN, and CB (Table 3).

276   Across the nine forests, soil microorganisms used the six substrate groups in the same order; the

277   carboxylic acid substrate was used most, followed by amino acids, carbohydrates, polymers, amines,

278   and miscellaneous substrates (Fig. 3). Microorganisms in the boreal and temperate forests mainly

279   metabolized carbohydrates, amino acids, and carboxylic acids, while those from the subtropical and

280   tropical forests used the substrates in equal proportions. The substrate microbial use ability was

281   highest in the coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest and tropical forest soils, and lowest in the

282   coniferous forest soil (Fig. 3).

283   Overall, soil MBC concentrations in the boreal and temperate forests were three to eight times

284   higher than those of the subtropical and tropical forests. In contrast, the average DOC concentrations

285   in the tropical and subtropical forest soils, which ranged from 311 to 458 mg kg^{-1}, were significantly

286   higher than the average concentrations in the temperate and boreal forest soils, where the average

287   concentrations ranged from 204 to 284 mg kg^{-1} (Table 2). The average SOM decomposition rates in

288   the subtropical forests ranged from 0.64 to 2.42 μg C g^{-1} d^{-1}, and were significantly lower than the

289   rates in the temperate forests, which ranged from 3.43 to 4.61 μg C g^{-1} d^{-1} (Table S3).

290   3.2 Effect of environmental properties on soil microbial substrate use

291   Redundancy analysis showed that the variations in soil microbial substrate use were strongly and

292   positively correlated with the CWM values of LDMC, leaf N, and leaf C, and strongly and negatively

293   correlated with the soil silt content and SMC (Fig. 4). The RDA2 of soil microbial substrate use was

294   strongly positively correlated with TN and SOC, but negatively correlated with the mean annual

295   precipitation (MAP) (Fig. 5). RDA1 mainly represented the plant functional traits, soil texture, and

296   micro-meteorological conditions, while RDA2 represented climate and soil nutrients. Overall, the

297   soil silt content and the CWM values of plant functional traits were the main predictors of the

298   latitudinal variation in the soil microbial substrate use along the NSTEC.

299   3.3 Relationships between soil microbial substrate use, enzyme activities, and PLFAs

300   Microbial carbohydrate use was positively related with bacterial biomass and actinomycic biomass

301 (Fig. 5). Microbial polymer use was negatively related with bacterial biomass and actinomycic

302 biomass. Microbial amines use was negatively related with G^- bacterial and fungal biomass.

303 Miscellaneous substrate use was positively related with fungal biomass and G^+/G^- bacterial biomass

304 (Fig. 5).

305 The abundance of G^- bacteria was positively associated first with the specific activities of BG,

306 whereas actinomycetes and G^+ bacteria were positively associated with BG and LAP. Soil fungi were

307 negatively associated with BG (Fig. 5).

308 3.4 Relationships between SOM decomposition rate, PLFAs, enzyme activity, and microbial

309 metabolic activities

310 The SOM decomposition rates were significantly and positively related to soil MBC concentrations

311 but significantly and negatively related to soil DOC concentrations (Fig. 6a and b). Except for amino

312 acid and amine substrates, the SOM decomposition rates were significantly and positively related to

313 microbial metabolic activities (AWCD) and carbohydrate substrate use (Fig. 6c and d) and negatively

314 related to carboxylic acid, polymer, and miscellaneous substrate use (Fig. 6e, g, and i).

315 The SOM decomposition rates were significantly and positively correlated with total PLFAs

316 ($r=0.456$, $P=0.005$), bacteria ($r=0.3836$, $P=0.021$), actinomycetes ($r=0.500$, $P=0.002$), and G^-

317 bacteria PLFAs ($r=0.520$, $P=0.001$) (Fig. 7a, b, d, and f) but were negatively correlated with fungal

318 PLFAs ($r=-0.370$, $P=0.026$), F/B ($r=-0.513$, $P=0.001$), and the G^+/G^- ($r=-0.496$, $P=0.002$) (Fig. 7c,

319 g, and h). Except for LAP activity, soil enzyme activities were significantly and positively correlated

320 with the SOM decomposition rates ($P<0.01$) (Fig. 7i, j, and l).

321 **4 Discussion**

322 4.1 Response of soil labile C and SOM decomposition rates to variations in forest type

323 Soil organic matter is one of the most important C pools in terrestrial ecosystems. The concentrations

324 of soil DOC in the temperate forests were lower than those in subtropical forests but the soil MBC

325 concentrations were higher in temperate forests than in subtropical forests. This reflects the results

326 of previous regional and global studies (Tian et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2013), and shows that the

12

327 production/consumption ratio of soil DOC was lower, but that microbial C immobilization was higher,

328 in the high latitude forests than closer to the tropics (Fang et al., 2014). Soil DOC, as a labile SOM

329 fraction with a rapid turnover, is one of the primary energy sources for microorganisms. The higher

330 temperatures and precipitation in subtropical and tropical forests lead to higher turnover rates (Fang

331 et al., 2014), so soil DOC concentrations were highest in subtropical, and MBC concentrations were

332 lowest, in tropical forests. However, in temperate forests, more C is assimilated into microbial

333 biomass, so that less C is lost through chemical and physical processes (Liu et al., 2010). Also,

334 because the decomposition ability of different microbe groups varies, the differences in the soil

335 microbial communities in different forest ecosystems may also be responsible for the spatial

336 variations in the soil DOC and MBC concentrations along the NSTEC (Hagedorn et al., 2008).

337 Heterotrophic soil respiration is sustained by the decomposition of SOM. The SOM

338 decomposition rates along the NSTEC were greater in temperate forests than in subtropical forests,

339 which was consistent with the variations in the soil MBC and SOC concentrations. These results

340 indicate that, as found in other studies, large scale SOM decomposition rates are driven by the

341 amounts of substrate available (Yu et al., 2010). Changes in the availability of C in SOM may affect

342 the microbial resource strategies, which may in turn influence the SOM decomposition rate.

343 4.2 Mechanisms driving latitudinal variations in microbial substrate use

344 The AWCD reflects the sole C source use ability of the soil microbial community (Garland and Mills,

345 1991). Of the six groups of C substrates, microbial communities in the temperate forests mainly used

346 carbohydrates, carboxylic acids, and amino acids, which suggests that microorganisms in temperate

347 forests probably use high-energy substrates that degrade easily (Kunito et al., 2009). The carbon

348 substrate use was lowest in the coniferous forest. This shows that, compared with coniferous species,

349 broadleaved tree species produce root exudates and litter high in water-soluble sugars, organic acids,

350 and amino acids that are more favorable for microbial activity (Priha et al. 2001). There was no

351 significant latitudinal pattern in the C metabolic intensity of soil microbes in our study, which was

352 inconsistent with hypothesis (1). Our results show that MAP only had a moderate effect on the soil

353 microbial function (Fig. 4). However, there was significant spatial variation in the use of different

354 carbon sources, which was also related, to a lesser extent, to climate. Consistent with hypothesis (2),

355   soil microbial functions were similar in closely related tree species and diverged as the variability

356   between tree species and forest types increased (Fig. 4), which suggests that plant traits have more

357   influence on soil microbial functions than climate.

358   A growing number of studies reported that vegetation type, land use, soil nutrients, and soil

359   organic matter quality and quantity can determine large scale patterns of microbial communities (de

360   Vries et al., 2012; Tu et al., 2016). Plant functional traits that are related to growth may determine a

361   tree species' ability to contribute to the soil carbon pool via leaf litter inputs. For example, it was

362   previously reported that plant traits such as the leaf N content, SLA, and LDMC could explain

363   variations in soil nutrients and litter decomposition rates (Eichenberg et al., 2014; Laughlin, 2011).

364   Therefore, we examined how these plant traits influenced the soil microbial function by latitude. We

365   found that changes in the soil microbial C substrate use with latitude were mainly related to the soil

366   silt contents and the CWMs of LDMC, and leaf C and leaf N concentrations, which indicates that the

367   quality of nutrients from plants had a major influence on microbial carbon use efficiency (Hypothesis

368   (3)). Plant species with high a SLA, high leaf N concentrations, and low LDMC can produce

369   bacterial-dominated soil microbial communities in grasslands (Orwin et al., 2010). Looking beyond

370   individual traits, related tree species may cultivate microbial communities with similar preferences

371   for carbon sources through coevolution of plants and microbes (Liu et al., 2012b; Buscot, 2015).

372   As hypothesized, the soil microbial community composition was explained by the CWMs of

373   plant traits at the regional scale. Carbon substrate use was negatively correlated with the CWM of

374   leaf N concentrations (Table S2, Fig. S2). Bacterially dominated soil microbial communities develop

375   from leaf litter comprised of N-rich leaves from fast growing species (De Vries et al., 2012), while

376   leaves with low N concentrations will promote fungal domination (Orwin et al., 2010; De Vries et

377   al., 2012). In line with this, fungal biomass decreased, and bacterial biomass increased, as the CWM

378   leaf N content increased, and is associated with fast-growing, N-exploitative plants (Xu et al., 2018).

379   Leaf N concentrations are considered as indicators of plant growth and resource uptake (Wright et

380   al., 2004). The results from this study show that, along the NSTEC, high leaf N restrained microbial

381   C substrate use (Fig.S2) and was a good indicator of the competition between plants for soil N (Pei

382   et al., 2016). Soil microbes and nearby plants may have been competing for N in the soil.

383   We also found that the C substrate use was negatively correlated with the CWM of leaf C

384 concentrations (Table S2, Fig. S2). Plants at high latitudes may have higher leaf C concentrations

385 than plants at lower latitudes so that they can balance the osmotic pressure of cells and resist freezing

386 (Millard et al., 2007; Hoch and Körner, 2012). The increased C was most likely in the form of an

387 increase in non-structural C, including starch, low molecular weight sugars, and storage lipids that

388 are easy to break down. Therefore, soil microorganisms from the temperate forests mainly

389 metabolized high-energy substrates, such as carbohydrates, carboxylic acids, and amino acids.

390 The LDMC is the ratio of the leaf dry weight to the fresh weight and has been used as a proxy

391 for the ratio of structural compounds to assimilatory tissue (mesophyll and epidermis, Van Arendonk

392 and Poorter, 1994). High values of LDMC indicate large amounts of vascular tissue, cellulose,

393 insoluble sugars, and leaf lignin that are difficult to decompose (Poorter and Bergkotte, 1992); C

394 substrates such as carbohydrates, carboxylic acid, and amino acid are, however, easy to decompose

395 (Myers et al., 2001). In line with this, the use of carbohydrate, carboxylic acid, and amino acid

396 substrates was negatively related to the CWMs of the LDMC (Table S2). Pei et al. (2016) reported

397 that the LDMC was an important driver of multivariate soil microbial community structure and G^-

398 bacterial abundance.

399 Soil texture regulates soil biological processes and so affects the soil microbial community

400 structure (Sessitsch et al., 2001). In the present study, microbial C substrate use was significantly and

401 positively related to the soil silt content. Soil types and textures varied along the NSTEC. Soil texture

402 influences how microbes use organic matter, and has a strong influence on soil moisture, nutrient

403 availability, and retention (Veen and Kuikman, 1990). Fine-textured soils with a higher silt content

404 are known to be more favorable for bacterial growth than soils with a lower silt content because of

405 their greater water-holding capacity and nutrient availability, and because they are better protected

406 from bacterial grazers (Carson et al., 2010). We found that the microbial C substrate use was higher

407 in LS, CB, SN, and JL than in the other forests, reflecting their fine-grained soils and high silt contents,

408 which ranged from 60% to 80%.

409 4.3 Links between soil microbial community structure and function

410 The soil microbial community structure and functions were significantly correlated along the NSTEC.

411 Soil carbohydrate and polymer substrate use were mainly related to soil G^+ bacterial and actinomycic

15

412   biomass, but amines and miscellaneous substrates were mainly related to soil G⁻ bacterial, fungal

413   biomass, and the F/B ratio. Soil bacteria mainly decomposed simple carbohydrates, organic acids,

414   and amino acids, whereas soil fungi mainly decomposed recalcitrant compounds (Myers et al., 2001;

415   Treonis et al., 2004). Consistent with this, soil bacterial PLFAs were positively correlated with the

416   carbohydrate substrate use and the fungal PLFAs were positively related with miscellaneous substrate

417   use. The results are similar to those reported by Sterner and Elser (2002), who found that fungi tended

418   to have higher C/N or C/P ratios while heterotrophic bacteria typically have lower C/N or C/P ratios.

419   Shifts in microbial community composition may influence enzyme production (DeForest et al.,

420   2012; Waldrop et al., 2000; Brockett et al., 2012). Different microbial groups require different

421   amounts of nutrients to construct biomass, or have enzymes that differ in their affinity for nutrients.

422   We found that the relative abundances of the G⁺ bacteria and actinomycetes communities were

423   associated with the specific activities of BG, AP, and LAP), whereas the relative abundance of the

424   G⁻ bacteria was correlated with soil NAG activities involved in chitin degradation. In agreement with

425   our study, numerous other researchers have reported significant correlations between PLFA profiles

426   and enzyme activities (Waldrop et al., 2000; DeForest et al., 2012; Brockett et al., 2012; Riah-Anglet

427   et al., 2015). Soil BG was mainly responsible for cellulose degradation and was involved in breaking

428   down complex organic compounds (cellobiose) into small molecule substrates (glucose) in favor of

429   acquiring C through microbial community growth (Waldrop et al., 2000). Soil NAG activities were

430   weakly and positively related with fungal biomass in the present study, and may have been mainly

431   produced by fungal populations (Valášková et al., 2007). Fungi are commonly considered as

432   producers of oxidative enzymes. Therefore, the influence of fungal biomass on variations in enzyme

433   activities was minimal (Kivlin and Treseder, 2014). The linkages between enzyme activity and

434   community composition may provide some insight into the microbial mechanisms that drive the

435   decomposition of macromolecular C compounds. These results suggest that that overall ecosystem

436   functioning may suffer if soil microbial groups are lost.

437   The quality and amounts of SOM are influenced by the biomass, vegetation coverage, root

438   distribution, and microbial species (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992). The SOM decomposition rates

439   were higher in temperate forests than in tropical forests and may reflect the higher soil microbial

440   biomass (Wang et al., 2016). In line with this, SOM decomposition rates were positively related with

soil MBC concentrations and different groups of PLFAs. The inverse relationships between SOM decomposition rates and DOC, carboxylic acids, polymers, and miscellaneous along the NSTEC, indicate a shift in the soil C turnover from open to closed with increases in the soil labile C concentrations (Fang et al., 2014). Soil DOC and MBC influence SOM decomposition rates indirectly by regulating microbial properties (Boberg et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2014). In our study, SOM decomposition rates were positively related with bacterial PLFAs but negatively with fungal PLFAs. Because different communities of microbes have different SOM use efficiencies (Balser and Wixon, 2009; Lipson et al., 2009; Monson et al., 2006), changes in the microbial community structure may influence the microbial activities and the decomposition rates of organic matter (Lipson et al., 2009; Keiblinger et al., 2010). The functional dissimilarity of microbes and fungi may help explain these results. However, we did not measure some key variables, such as the microbial competition and interactions, and relationship between the microbial diversity and the decomposition rates. Therefore, in the future, we will use different experimental techniques that will help us gain an improved understanding of the mechanisms that drive the relationships between the structure and function of microbial communities.

5 Conclusions

In this study, we examined the patterns in labile C concentrations, SOM decomposition rates, microbial substrate use, and functional diversity and identified a combination of abiotic and biotic factors that influenced soil microbial functional diversity at the regional scale. The MBC concentration and SOM decomposition rates were significantly lower, and the soil DOC concentrations and microbial metabolic activities were higher, in the subtropical and tropical forests than in the temperate forests. For the first time, we showed that, along with the soil silt content, CWM plant traits explained variations in soil microbial C substrate use at the regional scale. Soil microbial community structure and function were strongly related, which suggests that the loss of soil microbial groups may have consequences for overall ecosystem functioning.

Data accessibility. Requests for data and materials should be addressed to N.H. (henp@igsnrr.ac.cn) and G.Y. (yugr@igsnrr.ac.cn).

Acknowledgements

References

van Arendonk, J.J.C.M., Poorter, H. The chemical composition and anatomical structure of leaves of grass species differing in relative growth rate, Plant Cell Environ., 17, 963-970, 1994.

Bååth, E., Anderson, T.H. Comparison of soil fungal/bacterial ratios in a pH gradient using physiological and PLFA-based techniques, Soil Biol. Biochem., 35, 955-963, 2003.

Balser, T.C., Firestone, M.K. Linking microbial community composition and soil processes in a California annual grassland and mixed-conifer forest,Biogeochemistry, 73, 395-415, 2005.

Balser, T.C., Wixon, D.L. Investigating biological control over soil carbon temperature sensitivity, Global Change Biol., 15, 2935-2949, 2009.

Banerjee, S., Kirkby, C.A., Schmutter, D., Bissett, A., Kirkegaard, J.A., Richardson, A.E. Network analysis reveals functional redundancy and keystone taxa amongst bacterial and fungal communities during organic matter decomposition in an arable soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 97, 188-198, 2016.

Boberg, J.B., Finlay, R.D., Stenlid, J., Ekblad, A., Lindahl, B.D. Nitrogen and carbon reallocation in fungal mycelia during decomposition of boreal forest litter, PLOS ONE, 9, e92897, 2014.

Brockett, B.F.T., Prescott, C.E., Grayston, S.J. Soil moisture is the major factor influencing microbial community structure and enzyme activities across seven biogeoclimatic zones in western Canada, Soil Biol. Biochem., 44, 9-20, 2012.

Buscot, F. Implication of evolution and diversity in arbuscular and ectomycorrhizal symbioses, J. Plant Physiol., 172, 55-61, 2015.

Cao, H., Chen, R., Wang, L., Jiang, L., Yang, F., Zheng, S., Wang, G., Lin, X. Soil pH, total phosphorus, climate and distance are the major factors influencing microbial activity at a regional spatial scale, Sci. Rep-UK, 6, 25815, 2016.

Carson, J.K., Gonzalez-Quinones, V., Murphy, D.V., Hinz, C., Shaw, J.A., Gleeson, D.B. Low pore connectivity increases bacterial diversity in soil, Appl. Environ. Microb., 76, 3936-3942, 2010.

Coulis, M., Fromin, N., David, J.-F., Gavinet, J., Clet, A., Devidal, S., Roy, J., Hättenschwiler, S. Functional dissimilarity across trophic levels as a driver of soil processes in a Mediterranean decomposer system exposed to two moisture levels, Oikos, 124, 1304-1316, 2015..

De Vries, F.T., Manning, P., Tallowin, J.R., Mortimer, S.R., Pilgrim, E.S., Harrison, K.A., Hobbs, P.J., Quirk, H., Shipley, B., Cornelissen, J.H., Kattge, J., Bardgett, R.D. Abiotic drivers and plant traits explain landscape-scale patterns in soil microbial communities, Ecol. Lett., 15, 1230-1239, 2012.

Deforest, J., Smemo, K., Burke, D., Elliott, H., Becker, J. Soil microbial responses to elevated phosphorus and pH in acidic temperate deciduous forests, Biogeochemistry, 109, 189-202, 2012.

Eichenberg, D., Trogisch, S., Huang, Y., He, J.S., Bruelheide, H. Shifts in community leaf functional traits are related to litter decomposition along a secondary forest succession series in subtropical China. Journal of Plant Ecology, 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtu021.

Fang, H., Cheng, S., Wang, Y., Yu, G., Xu, M., Dang, X., Li, L., Wang, L. Changes in soil heterotrophic respiration, carbon availability, and microbial function in seven forests along a climate gradient, Ecol. Res., 29, 1077-1086, 2014.

Fiere, N., Bradford, M. A. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria, Ecology, 88, 1354-1364, 2007.

Garland, J.L., Mills, A.L. Classification and characterization of heterotrophic microbial communities on the basis of patterns of community-level sole carbon source utilization, Appl. Environ. Microb., 57, 2351-2359, 1991.

Garnier, E., Cortez, J., Billes, G., Navas, M.L., Roumet, C., Debussche, M., Gérard, L., Alain, B., David, A., Astrid, B., Cathy, N., Jean-Patrick, T. Plant functional markers capture ecosystem properties during secondary

522   succession, Ecology, 85, 2630-2637, 2004.

523   Gartzia-Bengoetxea, N., Kandeler, E., Martínez de Arano, I., Arias-González, A. Soil microbial functional activity is
524   governed by a combination of tree species composition and soil properties in temperate forests, Appl. Soil Ecol.,
525   100, 57-64, 2016.

526   Gomez, E., Ferreras, L., Toresani, S. Soil bacterial functional diversity as influenced by organic amendment
527   application, Bioresour. Technol., 97, 1484-1489, 2006.

528   Guckert, J.B., Carr, G.J., Johnson, T.D., et al. Community analysis by Biolog: curve integration for statistical analysis
529   of activated sludge microbial habitats, J. Microbiol. Meth., 27, 183-197, 1996.

530   Huang, Z., Clinton, P.W., Baisden, W.T., Davis, M.R. Long-term nitrogen additions increased surface soil carbon
531   concentration in a forest plantation despite elevated decomposition, Soil Biol. Biochem., 43, 302-307, 2011.

532   Jagadamma, S., Mayes, M.A., Steinweg, J.M., Schaeffer, S.M. Substrate quality alters the microbial mineralization
533   of added substrate and soil organic carbon, Biogeosciences, 11, 4665-4678, 2014.

534   Jones, D., Willett, V. Experimental evaluation of methods to quantify dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) and dissolved
535   organic carbon (DOC) in soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 38, 991-999, 2006.

536   Keiblinger, K.M., Hall, E.K., Wanek, W., Szukics, U., Hammerle, I., Ellersdorfer, G., Bock, S., Strauss, J., Sterflinger,
537   K., Richter, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S. The effect of resource quantity and resource stoichiometry on
538   microbial carbon-use-efficiency. FEMS Microb. Ecol. 73, 430-440, 2010.

539   Kivlin, S.N. & Treseder, K.K. Soil extracellular enzyme activities correspond with abiotic factors more than fungal
540   community composition, Biogeochemistry, 117, 23-37, 2014.

541   Klimek, B., Chodak, M., Jaźwa, M., Niklińska, M. Functional diversity of soil microbial communities in boreal and
542   temperate Scots pine forests, Eur. J. Forest Res., 135, 731-742, 2016.

543   Kunito, T., Akagi, Y., Park, H.-D., Toda, H. Influences of nitrogen and phosphorus addition on polyphenol oxidase
544   activity in a forested Andisol, Eur. J. Forest Res., 128, 361-366, 2009.

545   Laughlin, D.C. Nitrification is linked to dominant leaf traits rather than functional diversity. Journal of Ecology 99,
546   1091-1099, 2011.

547   Lipson, D.A., Monson, R.K., Schmidt, S.K., Weintraub, M.N. The trade-off between growth rate and yield in
548   microbial communities and the consequences for under-snow soil respiration in a high elevation coniferous forest,
549   Biogeochemistry, 95, 23-35, 2009.

550   Liu, L., Gundersen, P., Zhang, T., and Mo, J. M.: Effects of phosphorus addition on soil microbial biomass and
551   community composition in three forest types in tropical China, Soil Biol. Biochem., 44, 31-38, 2012a.

552   Liu, X., Liang, M., Etienne, R.S., Wang, Y., Staehelin, C., Yu, S. Experimental evidence for a phylogenetic Janzen-
553   Connell effect in a subtropical forest, Ecol. Lett., 15, 111-118, 2012b.

554   Liu, Z., Liu, G., Fu, B., Wu, Y., Hu, H., Fu, S. Changes in the soil microbial community with a pine plantation
555   restoration in a dry valley of the upper reaches of the Minjiang River, southwest China, Ann N Y Acad. Sci.,
556   1195 Suppl 1, E82-95, 2010.

557   Menyailo, O.V., Hungate, B.A., Zech, W. Tree species mediated soil chemical changes in a Siberian artificial
558   Afforestation experiment. Plant Soil, 242, 171-182, 2002.

559   Millard, P., Sommerkorn, M., Grelet, G.A. Environmental change and carbon limitation in trees: a biochemical,
560   ecophysiological and ecosystem appraisal, New Phytol., 175, 11-28, 2007.

561   Monson, R.K., Lipson, D.L., Burns, S.P., Turnipseed, A.A., Delany, A.C., Williams, M.W., Schmidt, S.K. Winter
562   forest soil respiration controlled by climate and microbial community composition,Nature, 439, 711-714, 2006.

563   Myers, R.T., Zak, D.R., White, D.C., Peacock, A. Landscape-level patterns of microbial community composition and
564   substrate use in upland forest ecosystems, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 65, 359-367, 2001.

565   Orwin, K.H., Buckland, S.M., Johnson, D., Turner, B.L., Smart, S., Oakley, S., Bardgett, R.D. Linkages of plant traits
566   to soil properties and the functioning of temperate grassland, J. Ecol., 98, 1074-1083, 2010.

567   Pei, Z., Eichenberg, D., Bruelheide, H., Kröber, W., Kühn, P., Li, Y., von Oheimb, G., Purschke, O., Scholten, T.,
568   Buscot, F., Gutknecht, J.L.M. Soil and tree species traits both shape soil microbial communities during early
569   growth of Chinese subtropical forests, Soil Biol. Biochem., 96, 180-190, 2016.

570   Peng, X., Wang, W. Stoichiometry of soil extracellular enzyme activity along a climatic transect in temperate
571   grasslands of northern China, Soil Biol. Biochem., 98, 74-84, 2016.

572   Philippot, L., Spor, A., Henault, C., Bru, D., Bizouard, F., Jones, C.M., Sarr, A., Maron, P.A. Loss in microbial
573   diversity affects nitrogen cycling in soil, ISME J., 7, 1609-1619, 2013.

574   Poorter, H., Bergkotte, M. Chemical composition of 24 wild species differing in relative growth rate, Plant Cell
575   Environ.,15, 221-229, 1992.

576   Preston-Mafham, J., Boddy, L., Randerson, P.F. Analysis of microbial community functional diversity using sole-
577   carbon-source utilisation profies-a critique, FEMS Microb. Ecol., 42, 1-14, 2002.

578   Priha, O., Grayston, S.J., Hiukka, R., Pennanen, T., Smolander, A. Microbial community structure and characteristics
579   of the organic matter in soils under *Pinus sylvestris, Picea abies and Betula pendula* at two forest sites. Biol
580   Fertil Soils, 33, 17-24, 2001.

581   Raich, J.W., Clark, D.A., Schwendenmann, L., Wood, T.E. Aboveground tree growth varies with belowground carbon
582   allocation in a tropical rainforest environment, PloS one, 9, e100275, 2014.

583   Riah-Anglet, W., Trinsoutrot-Gattin, I., Martin-Laurent, F., Laroche-Ajzenberg, E., Norini, M.-P., Latour, X., Laval,
584   K. Soil microbial community structure and function relationships: A heat stress experiment, Appl. Soil Ecol., 86,
585   121-130, 2015..

586   Russell, A.E., Raich, J.W., Valverde-Barrantes, O.J., Fisher, R.F. Tree species effects on soil properties in experimental
587   plantations in tropical moist forest, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 71, 1389, 2007.

588   Saiya-Cork, K.R., Sinsabaugh, R.L., Zakb, D.R. The effects of long term nitrogen deposition on extracellular enzyme

19

589   activity in an Acer saccharum forest soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 34, 1309-1315, 2002.

590 Sessitsch, A., Weilharter, A., Gerzabek, M.H., Kirchmann, H., Kandeler, E. Microbial Population Structures in Soil
591   Particle Size Fractions of a Long-Term Fertilizer Field Experiment, Appl. Environ. Microb., 67, 4215-4224, 2001.

592 Sherman, C., Steinberger, Y. Microbial functional diversity associated with plant litter decomposition along a climatic
593   gradient, Microb. Ecol., 64, 399-415, 2012.

594 Six, J., Elliott, E. T., Paustian, K. Soil structure and soil organic matter: II. A normalized stability index and the effect
595   of mineralogy, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 64, 1042-1049, 2000.

596 Sterner, R. W. & Elser, J. J. Ecological stoichiometry: the biology of elements from molecules to the biosphere.
597   Princeton, New Jersey, USA: Princeton University Press, 2002.

598 Strickland, M.S., Lauber, C., Fierer, N., Bradford, M.A. Testing the functional significance of microbial community
599   composition, Ecology, 90, 441-451, 2009.

600 Soil Survey StaffKeys to Soil Taxonomy, 11th ed. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, DC,
601   2010.

602 Swallow, M.J.B., Quideau, S.A. A method for determining community level physiological profiles of organic soil
603   horizons, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 79, 536-542, 2015.

604 Talbot, J.M., Bruns, T.D., Taylor, J.W., Smith, D.P., Branco, S., Glassman, S.I., Erlandson, S., Vilgalys, R., Liao, H.L.,
605   Smith, M.E., Peay, K.G. Endemism and functional convergence across the North American soil mycobiome, P.
606   Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 111, 6341-6346, 2014.

607 Ter Braak, C.J.F., Smilauer, P. CANOCO Reference manual and CanoDraw for Windows User's guide: Software for
608   Canonical Community Ordination (Version 4.5). Microcomputer, 2002.

609 Tian, H., Chen, G., Zhang, C., Melillo, J.M., Hall, C.A.S., Pattern and variation of C:N:P ratios in China's soils: a
610   synthesis of observational data, Biogeochemistry, 98, 139-151 2010.

611 Tian, J., McCormack, L., Wang, J., Guo, D., Wang, Q., Zhang, X., Yu, G., Blagodatskaya, E., Kuzyakov, Y. Linkages
612   between the soil organic matter fractions and the microbial metabolic functional diversity within a broad-leaved
613   Korean pine forest, Eur. J. Soil Biol., 66, 57-64, 2015.

614 Treonis, A.M., Ostle, N.J., Stott, A.W., Primrose, R., Grayston, S.J., Ineson, P. Identification of groups of
615   metabolically-active rhizosphere microorganisms by stable isotope probing of PLFAs, Soil Biol. Biochem., 36,
616   533-537, 2004.

617 Tu, Q., Deng, Y., Yan, Q., Shen, L., Lin, L., He, Z., Wu, L., Van Nostrand, J.D., Buzzard, V., Michaletz, S.T., Enquist,
618   B.J., Weiser, M.D., Kaspari, M., Waide, R.B., Brown, J.H., Zhou, J. Biogeographic patterns of soil diazotrophic
619   communities across six forests in the North America, Mol. Ecol., 25, 2937-2948, 2016.

620 Valášková, V., Šnajdr, J., Bittner, B., Cajthaml, T., Merhautová, V., Hofrichter, M., Baldrian, P. Production of
621   lignocellulose-degrading enzymes and degradation of leaf litter by saprotrophic basidiomycetes isolated from a
622   Quercus petraea forest, Soil Biol. Biochem., 39, 2651-2660, 2007.

623 Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C, Soil Biol.
624   Biochem., 19, 703-707, 1987.

625 Veen, J.A.V., Kuikman, P.J. Soil structural aspects of decomposition of organic-matter by micro-organism,
626   Biogeochemistry, 11, 213-233, 1990.

627 Waldrop, M.P., Firestone, M.K. Response of microbial community composition and function to soil climate change,
628   Microb. Ecol., 52, 716-724, 2006.

629 Waldrop, M. P., Balser, T. C. & Firestone, M. K. Linking microbial community composition to function in a tropical
630   soil,Soil Biol. Biochem., 32, 1837-1846, 2000.

631 Wang, Q., He, N., Yu, G., Gao, Y., Wen, X., Wang, R., Koerner, S.E., Yu, Q. Soil microbial respiration rate and
632   temperature sensitivity along a north-south forest transect in eastern China: Patterns and influencing factors, J.
633   Geophys. Res.(Biogeosciences), 121, 399-410, 2016.

634 Waring, B.G., Alvarez-Cansino, L., Barry, K.E., Becklund, K.K., Dale, S., Gei, M.G., Keller, A.B., Lopez, O.R.,
635   Markesteijn, L., Mangan, S., Riggs, C.E., Rodriguez-Ronderos, M.E., Segnitz, R.M., Schnitzer, S.A., Powers,
636   J.S. Pervasive and strong effects of plants on soil chemistry: a meta-analysis of individual plant 'Zinke' effects,
637   Proc. R. Soc. B. The Royal Society, 282, 20151001, 2015.

638 Wei, H., Guenet, B., Vicca, S., Nunan, N., AbdElgawad, H., Pouteau, V., Shen, W., Janssens, I.A. Thermal acclimation
639   of organic matter decomposition in an artificial forest soil is related to shifts in microbial community structure,
640   Soil Biol. Biochem., 71, 1-12, 2014.

641 Wright, I.J., Reich, P.B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D.D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F., et al. The worldwide leaf economics
642   spectrum, Nature, 428, 821-827, 2004.

643 Xu, X., Thornton, P.E., Post, W.M. A global analysis of soil microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in
644   terrestrial ecosystems, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 22, 737-749, 2013.

645 Xu, Z., Yu, G., Zhang, X., Ge, J., He, N., Wang, Q., Wang, D. The variations in soil microbial communities, enzyme
646   activities and their relationships with soil organic matter decomposition along the northern slope of Changbai
647   Mountain, Appl. Soil Ecol., 86, 19-29, 2015.

648 Xu, Z., Yu, G., Zhang, X., He, N., Wang, Q., Wang, S., Wang, R., Zhao, N., Jia, Y., Wang, C. Soil enzyme activity and
649   stoichiometry in forest ecosystems along the North-South Transect in eastern China (NSTEC), Soil Biol.
650   Biochem., 104, 152-163, , 2017.

651 Xu, Z., Yu, G., Zhang, X., He, N., Wang, Q., Wang, S., Xu, X., Wang, R., Zhao, N. Biogeographical patterns of soil
652   microbial community as influenced by soil characteristics and climate across Chinese forest biomes, Appl. Soil
653   Ecol., 124, 298-305, 2018.

654 Yu, G., Zheng, Z., Wang, Q., Fu, Y., Zhuang, J., Sun, X., Wang, Y. Spatiotemporal pattern of soil respiration of
655   terrestrial ecosystems in China: the development of a geostatistical model and its simulation.,Environ. Sci.

656   Technol., 44, 6074-6080, 2010.
657   Zak, J.C., Willig, M.R., Moorhead, D.L., Wildman, H.G. Functional diversity of microbial communities: a quantitative
658   approach, Soil Biol. Biochem., 26, 1101-1108, 1994.
659   Zhang, X. S., Yang, D. A. Allocation and study on global change transects in China, Quaternary Sci., 1, 43-52, 1995.
660   (In Chinese)
661   Zhou, J., Deng, Y., Shen, L., Wen, C., Yan, Q., Ning, D., Qin, Y., Xue, K., Wu, L., He, Z., Voordeckers, J.W., Nostrand,
662   J.D., Buzzard, V., Michaletz, S.T., Enquist, B.J., Weiser, M.D., Kaspari, M., Waide, R., Yang, Y., Brown, J.H.
663   Temperature mediates continental-scale diversity of microbes in forest soils, Nat. Commun., 7, 12083, 2016.

Figures legends

665 **Fig. 1.** Distribution of typical forest ecosystems along the North-South Transect of eastern China (NSTEC). The
666 abbreviations for the sampling sites from north to south are as follows: HZ, Huzhong; LS, Liangshui; CB, Changbai;
667 DL, Dongling; TY, Taiyue; SN, Shennong; JL, Jiulian; DH, Dinghu; JF, Jiangfeng. These abbreviations are used for
668 the nine forests throughout.
669 **Fig.2.** Variations in soil microbial substrate use during a 240-h incubation for the nine forests. Different colors
670 represent different forest types: Yellow, coniferous forest; Dark yellow, coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest; Purple,
671 deciduous broad-leaved forest; Olive, subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest; Orange, Tropical monsoon forest.
672 Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among forests in the same climate zone. The abbreviations
673 of the sampling sites are given in Table 1.
674 **Fig. 3.** Characteristics of microbial use of (a) carbohydrates, (b) carboxylic acids, (c) amino acids, (d) polymers, (e)
675 amines, and (f) miscellaneous along the NSTEC. The representatives of different colors were showed in Figure 2.
676 **Fig.4.** Redundancy analysis (RDA) ordination biplot of soil microbial carbon sources use efficiency and
677 environmental properties. The representatives of different colors were showed in Figure 2. The dotted lines and solid
678 lines represent the environmental variables and lipid signatures and carbon sources. The abbreviations of the variables
679 in this figure are as follows: MAP, mean annual precipitation. The vegetation data: LDMC, leaf dry matter weight;
680 Leaf C, leaf carbon content; Leaf N, leaf nitrogen content; SLA, specific leaf area. Soil properties included SMC, soil
681 moisture content; Silt, soil silt content; TN, soil total nitrogen; SOC, soil organic carbon. The abbreviations of the
682 sampling sites were given in Table 1
683 **Fig.5.** The heatmap of the Pearson's correlation coefficients between the use of individual substrates and microbial
684 PLFAs and soil enzyme activities. Note: The abbreviations of the variables: Actino-, actinomycetes; F/B,
685 fungi/bacteria; G$^+$, gram positive bacteria; G$^-$, gram negative bacteria; G$^+$/G$^-$, Gram-positive bacteria/ Gram-negative
686 bacteria. BG, β-1, 4-glucosidase; NAG, β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase; LAP, leucine aminopeptidase; AP, acid
687 phosphatase. **$P < 0.01$, *$P < 0.05$.
688 **Fig. 6.** Relationships between soil carbon mineralization rates (μg C g^{-1}d^{-1}) and microbial biomass C (MBC), soil
689 dissolved organic C (DOC), average well color development (AWCD), and individual substrate use.
690 **Fig. 7.** Relationships between soil carbon mineralization rates (μg C g^{-1} d^{-1}) and different groups of soil microbial
691 PLFAs (a-h) and enzyme activities (i-l).
692
693

694 **Supporting information**

695 **Table S1** Average values of forest soil enzyme activities and different PLFA groups along the NSTEC.
696 **Table S2** Plant diversity and community weighted means of plant functional traits
697 **Table S3** Soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition rates during the28 days of incubation time (Mean±SE) (μg C
698 g^{-1} d^{-1})
699 **Fig. S1** Variations in the average well color development (AWCD) values during a 240-h incubation for the nine
700 forests. The abbreviations of the sampling sites are the same as those in Table 1.

Tables

702 **Table 1.** The main characteristics of the sampling sites along the North South Transect of East China

Sampling Sites	Longitude (E)	Latitude (N)	Elevation (m)	MAT[b] (°C)	MAP[b] (mm)	Vegetation types	Soil type
HZ[a]	123°01′12″	51°46′48″	850	−3.7	473	Cold temperate coniferous forest	Spodosols
LS	128°53′51″	47°11′06″	401	0.01	648	Temperate conifer broad-leaved mixed forest	Albi-Boric Argosols
CB	128°05′27″	42°24′16″	758	2.8	691	Temperate conifer broad-leaved mixed forest	Albi-Boric Argosols
DL	115°25′24″	39°57′27″	972	6.6	539	Warm temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest	Alfisols
TY	112°04′39″	36°41′43″	1668	6.0	644	Warm temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest	Alfisols
SN	110°29′43″	31°19′15″	1510	8.5	1447	Subtropical deciduous evergreen mixed forest	Inceptisols
JL	114°26′28″	24°35′05″	562	18.2	1770	Subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest	Ultisols
DH	112°32′14″	23°10′25″	240	21.8	1927	Subtropical monsoon evergreen broad-leaved forest	Ultisols
JF	108°51′26″	18°44′18″	809	23.2	2266	Tropical monsoon forest	Ultisols

703 a:HZ, Huzhong; LS, Liangshui; CB, Changbai; DL, Dongling; TY,Taiyue; SN, Shennong; JL, Jiulian; DH, Dinghu; JF, Jiangfeng.
704 b: MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation.

705 **Table 2.** Soil properties of different sampling sites

Sampling site	pH	ST (°C)	SMC (%)	Silt (%)	SOC (g kg^{-1})	MBC (mg kg^{-1})	DOC (mg kg^{-1})	TN (g kg^{-1})	TP (g kg^{-1})
HZ	6.79±0.02a	10.3±0.15g	45.3±0.90c	56±1.2c	42.29±0.47b	350±6.0a	240±7.6e	2.90±0.16d	0.87±0.02b
LS	6.17±0.02b	15.9±0.02f	46.9±0.76c	64±0.3b	62.08±7.20a	316±0.7a	204±4.9f	4.59±0.29b	0.59±0.02c
CB	6.37±0.04b	16.0±0.06f	102.8±0.25a	76±0.6a	72.38±2.00a	178±8.8b	314±8.6c	6.05±0.17a	1.67±0.08a
DL	6.87±0.02a	17.8±0.14e	32.4±0.30e	6±2.4e	38.83±0.41c	43±0.8e	284±2.6d	3.17±0.04d	0.56±0.01c
TY	6.85±0.05a	16.0±0.12f	36.0±0.23d	49±1.4d	41.34±2.75c	115±4.0c	226±13.8f	2.43±0.15e	0.52±0.01c
SN	6.93±0.01a	18.4±0.12d	50.5±0.63b	74±0.3a	36.13±1.26c	72±13.1e	311±13.2c	3.76±0.05c	0.81±0.01b
JL	5.57±0.19b	25.3±0.01a	39.0±0.89d	68±0.3b	31.55±1.82c	89±19.7d	387±1.9b	2.28±0.09e	0.36±0.01d
DH	5.43±0.03c	24.4±0.04b	37.8±0.38d	50±1.8d	28.47±0.54d	38±0.1e	334±7.7c	1.77±0.02f	0.20±0.01e
JF	6.32±0.01c	22.5±0.07c	38.6±0.12d	49±0.2d	29.38±0.94d	140±1.3c	458±6.6a	1.99±0.02e	0.15±0.01e

706 Note: ST=temperature of 0–10 cm soil; SMC=soil moisture content; Silt=soil silt content; SOC=soil organic carbon; MBC=microbial biomass carbon; DOC=dissolved organic carbon; TN=soil
707 total nitrogen; TP=soil total phosphorus. Values were presented as means ± SE (n=4). The abbreviations of the sampling sites were given in the Table 1.

708 **Table 3.** Functional diversity of soil microbial communities in forest ecosystems along the NSTEC

Sampling sites	Richness (*R*)	Shannon *H′*	Shannon *E*	Simpson *D*
HZ	14.08±0.34d	2.65±0.03d	1.01±0.007b	0.91±0.002c
LS	25.29±0.14b	3.12±0.02b	0.98±0.003c	0.95±0.001a
CB	27.00±0.27a	3.22±0.01a	0.98±0.001c	0.95±0.001a
DL	11.54±0.47e	2.52±0.03e	1.04±0.010a	0.87±0.005d
TY	22.33±0.87c	3.02±0.02c	0.98±0.002c	0.94±0.001a
SN	28.10±0.34a	3.24±0.01a	0.97±0.001c	0.95±0.001a
JL	23.54±0.07c	3.04±0.01c	0.96±0.001c	0.93±0.003b
DH	25.65±0.71b	3.11±0.01b	0.97±0.001c	0.93±0.002b
JF	27.63±0.68a	3.19±0.02a	0.96±0.001c	0.95±0.002a

709   Indices were calculated based on the optical density values after incubation for 96 h. Data are expressed as
710 means±standard errors. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among forests. The abbreviations
711 of the sampling sites are the same as those used in Table 1.

712 **Figures**

713 **Figure 1.** Distribution of typical forest ecosystems along the North-South Transect of eastern China (NSTEC).
714 The abbreviations of sampling sites from north to south are as follows: HZ, Huzhong; LS, Liangshui; CB,
715 Changbai; DL, Dongling; TY, Taiyue; SN, Shennong; JL, Jiulian; DH, Dinghu; JF, Jiangfeng.
716

717
718 **Figure 2.** Variations in soil microbial substrate use during a 240-h incubation for the nine forests. Different colors
719 represent different forest types: Yellow, coniferous forest; Dark yellow, coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest;
720 Purple, deciduous broad-leaved forest; Olive, subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest; Orange, Tropical monsoon
721 forest. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among forests in the same climate zone. The
722 abbreviations of the sampling sites are given in Table 1.

Figure 3. Characteristics of microbial use of (a) carbohydrates, (b) carboxylic acids, (c) amino acids, (d) polymers, (e) amines, and (f) miscellaneous along the NSTEC. The representatives of different colors were showed in Figure 2.

28

Figure 4. Redundancy analysis (RDA) ordination biplot of soil microbial carbon sources use efficiency and environmental properties. The representatives of different colors were showed in Figure 2. The dotted lines and solid lines represent the environmental variables and lipid signatures and carbon sources. The abbreviations of the variables in this figure are as follows: MAP, mean annual precipitation. The vegetation data: LDMC, leaf dry matter weight; Leaf C, leaf carbon content; Leaf N, leaf nitrogen content; SLA, specific leaf area. Soil properties included SMC, soil moisture content; Silt, soil silt content; TN, soil total nitrogen; SOC, soil organic carbon. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were given in Table 1.

Figure 5. The heatmap of the Pearson's correlation coefficients between the use of individual substrates and microbial PLFAs and soil enzyme activities. Note: The abbreviations of the variables:

Actino-, actinomycetes; F/B, fungi/bacteria; G^+, gram positive bacteria; G^-, gram negative bacteria; G^+/G^-, Gram-positive bacteria/ Gram-negative bacteria. BG, β-1, 4-glucosidase; NAG, β-1,4-

N-acetylglucosaminidase; LAP, leucine aminopeptidase; AP, acid phosphatase. **$P < 0.01$, *$P < 0.05$.

Figure 6. Relationships between soil carbon mineralization rates (μg C g^{-1} d^{-1}) and microbial biomass C (MBC), soil dissolved organic C (DOC), average well color development (AWCD), and

use of individual substrates.

Figure 7. Relationships between soil carbon mineralization rates (μg C g^{-1} d^{-1}) and different groups of soil microbial PLFAs (a-h) and enzyme activities (i-l).

Table S1 Average values of forest soil enzyme activity and different group of PLFAs along the NSTEC.

Sampling site	Enzyme activities				PLFAs							
	BG	NAG	LAP	AP	tPLFA	Bacteria	Fungi	Acti-	F/B	G$^+$	G$^-$	G$^+$/G$^-$
HZ	244±8.6	111±5.5	41±0.8	459±5.0	17.7±1.2	9.4 ±0.4	0.9±0.1	0.4±0.2	0.09 ±0.01	4.5±0.4	4.23±0.2	1.4±0.1
LS	203±12.0	68±6.6	60±0.8	3297±17.5	16.8±1.2	7.9±0.4	0.6±0.1	0.5±0.4	0.06±0.01	4.5±0.4	2.88±0.1	1.6±0.1
CB	520±52.3	115±2.4	545±15.5	1213±90.6	22.2±1.9	12.3± 1.0	0.4±0.1	0.8±0.1	0.05±0.02	7.3± 0.4	3.21±0.9	1.6±0.1
DL	266±2.5	122±12.9	101±10.9	407±6.9	13.5±0.9	5.4±0.7	2.4±0.3	0.3±0.3	0.36±0.02	3.52±0.3	1.92±0.1	2.1±0.1
TY	267±21.9	189±2.4	367±11.1	356±41.0	8.0±0.3	3.7±0.1	0.3±0.1	0.2±0.1	0.07±0.01	1.85±0.1	1.53±0.1	1.2±0.1
SN	163±10.7	70±3.6	111±1.3	239±8.6	12.2±0.5	6.2±0.3	0.3±0.1	0.3±0.1	0.05±0.01	3.02±0.1	2.76±0.2	1.1±0.1
JL	67±4.3	35±0.5	83±2.9	845±33.2	9.8±0.8	4.2±0.2	0.7±0.3	0.3±0. 1	0.15±0.04	3.17±0.2	1.18±0.4	3.1±1.0
DH	71±0.4	20±1.2	81±0.4	1075±13.6	10.0±0.5	5.6±0.2	0.9±0.1	0.3±0.1	0.16±0.01	3.75±0.2	1.46±0.1	2.5±0.6
JF	37±3.4	14±3.1	77±1.4	656±40.5	7.9±1.2	4.4±0.5	0.6± 0.3	0.3±0.1	0.11±0.05	3.16±0.4	1.68±0.1	2.2±0.1

All values are presented as means±SE (n=4). The enzyme activity (nmol·h^{-1} g^{-1} dry soil) and PLFAs (nmol·g^{-1} dry soil). C acquisition is represented by β-1,4-glucosidase (BG); N acquisition is measured by the potential activities of β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG) and leucine aminopeptidase (LAP); P acquisition is measured as acid (alkaline) phosphatase (AP) activity. tPLFA, total PLFAs, Acti-, actinomycetes, F/B, fungi/bacteria; G$^+$/G$^-$, Gram-positive bacteria/ Gram-negative bacteria. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were showed in table 1.

Table S2 The plant diversity and community weighted means of plant functional traits

Sites	H′	LDMC (mg g⁻¹)	SLA (m² kg⁻¹)	Leaf C (g kg⁻¹)	Leaf N (g kg⁻¹)
HZ	0.53	365.6	8.5	487.5	19.4
LS	2.05	345.5	12.1	441.6	18.5
CB	2.14	287.8	18.5	108.7	6.12
DL	2.74	408.1	11.3	447.6	25.1
TY	1.01	421.6	9.5	494.0	19.5
SN	2.61	289.8	8.7	332.7	13.5
JL	2.73	359.3	6.2	392.6	14.2
DH	2.09	412.2	6.4	463.1	16.2
JF	4.08	nd	nd	nd	nd

H′: the diversity of the tree species; LDMC: the oven-dried mass of a leaf divided by its water-saturated fresh mass, SLA: the one-sided area of a fresh leaf divided by its oven-dried mass; Leaf C: Leaf carbon concentration; Leaf C: Leaf nitrogen concentration. nd: none detected. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were showed in table 1.

Table S3 The soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition rates during the28 days of incubation time (Mean±SE) ($\mu gC \cdot g^{-1}d^{-1}$)

Sites	1d	7d	14d	21d	28d	Average
HZ	3.23±0.24c	4.69±0.27a	3.40±0.17b	3.64±0.03a	2.19±0.13b	3.43±0.09b
LS	6.17±0.19a	4.60±0.44a	4.97±0.17a	3.83±0.17a	3.47±0.02a	4.61±0.14a
CB	3.74±0.13b	4.27±0.23b	3.36±0.13b	4.11±0.09a	3.41±0.43a	3.78±0.14b
DL	2.82±0.13d	2.66±0.08c	1.96±0.04c	2.38±0.02c	2.10±0.12b	2.38±0.05d
TY	3.92±0.27b	3.87±0.20b	3.75±0.36b	3.77±0.04a	3.50±0.06a	3.76±0.04b
SN	6.36±0.19a	5.36±0.24a	3.87±0.08b	3.19±0.16b	2.56±0.14b	4.27±0.10a
JL	2.88±0.08d	2.29±0.09c	2.40±0.08c	2.70±0.08c	1.82±0.03c	2.42±0.04d
DH	0.74±0.05e	0.58±0.06d	0.33±0.01d	1.03±0.12d	0.53±0.07e	0.64±0.04f
JF	2.21±0.06d	1.71±0.20c	1.72±0.29c	3.14±0.09b	1.30±0.03d	2.02±0.11e

Data labeled with different letters indicated soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition rates were significantly different at $P < 0.01$. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were given in the Table 1.

Fig.S1 Variation of the average well color development (AWCD) values during a 240-h incubation for the nine forests. The abbreviations of the sampling sites were showed in table 1.

Fig.S2 The Pearson's correlation coefficients between the use of individual substrates and plant functional traits.