

BGD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Reviews and syntheses: Dams, water quality and tropical reservoir stratification" by R. Scott Winton et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 26 January 2019

General Comment The review is very timely as the number of both large and small dams increase, and more planned, in lower latitudes. Knowledge on impacts are currently skewed to that of higher latitudes. The focus on specific mechanisms relating to effects of dams on water quality is a particular strength of the paper. This provides both insight to general effects of e.g stratification as well as how this might differ in tropical compared with temperate climates. A good use of the more limited information on tropical systems, and resisting the temptation of drifting into too many temperature examples will likely help the reader keep attention on the topic and make the paper a highly relevant resource. Following the general review, the paper makes a further important step in comparing some traditional held beliefs on the effect of tropical reservoirs on water quality with more recent ideas supported by physical models. This is a

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



key contribution as it separates conjecture from evidence based conclusions for dams holding back the largest volumes of water in the tropics. Collectively, the general review and the application of models to existing large dams enables the paper to review existing knowledge and its application, and present ideas for future work.

Specific comments. Page 6, line 6. I don't see the need for the sentences "The Colorado River...Glen canyon Dam (Holden and Stalnaker)" as the focus in on tropical systems and not convinced that this example adds anything to the general message of the paper. If this change is adopted, then close up the next paragraph, starting with "Several case studies exists". Page 8, line 28. Term "hungry river" seems a little too idiosyncratic and suggest a clearer phrase and brief description provided as to what this means. Page 9, line 20. Seems that the crucial point here is the balance between sediment/nutrient supply and loss against a background of possible intensification of land and loss of forest cover. This leads to a net effect of nutrient gain or loss. Page 10, line. See above comment as surely even if there is sediment input from tributaries downstream, unless this is very high from erosion in the sub-catchments, the issue of net sediment depletion remains. Page 15, line 19. The consideration of mitigation measures also raises the important issue of local individual and institutional capacity development to aid decision making. It would be useful to address this general point in the Discussion.

Page 16, line 27. The term "would help" seems very mild as a recommendation. Surely given the scale of the issues and future importance, more extensive and, where required, intensive monitoring is a basic need. While there are current financial and (related) capacity limitations given the very high finances involved in dam construction and the critical importance in general for attempting to optimise water management, developing financial and (then) capacity mechanisms for better monitoring would seem an obvious consideration. This is mentioned in the Conclusions, but not in a very strong way Page 17, line 4. While the smaller schemes were not the focus of the review, an obvious recommendation is the need to better understand their impact. Page 18, line.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



with "thresholds required". Page 6, line 5. The sentence "When...will shift" requires

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Page 14, line 4, Delete firs use of "depth" and "replace "max" with "maximum". Page 14, line 9 &10. Replace "stopping here ...recall that" with "reflecting that". Page 14,

line 27. Replace "parameters" with "variables". Page 14, line 9. Delete "do" and "in contexts", replace "they" with "these" nd delete following "these". Page 16, line 14. See earlier comments on the use of "hungry water". Page 16, line 29. Replace "Compared to" with "Compared with". Page 17, line 6. Delete "have been". Page 17, line 10. Replace "compared to" with "compared with". Page 17, line 22. Delete "and repurposed". Page 18, line 3. Insert "to" after "difficult". Page 18, line 6. Move comma after "value" to after "which". Page 18, line 9. Delete "does the". Page 18, line 10. Replace "some contexts" with "places".

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-510, 2019.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

